

# THE GYSIN BRAID FOR $S^3$ -ACTIONS ON MANIFOLDS

JOSÉ IGNACIO ROYO PRIETO AND MARTINTXO SARALEGI-ARANGUREN

January 24, 2023

**ABSTRACT.** Given a smooth action of the sphere  $S^3$  on a manifold  $M$ , we have previously constructed a Gysin sequence relating the cohomology of the manifold  $M$  and that of the orbit space  $M/S^3$ . This sequence involves an exotic term depending on the subset  $M^{S^1}$ .

Notice that the orbit space is a stratified pseudomanifold, a kind of singular spaces where intersection cohomology applies. In the case where the action is semi-free, the first author has already constructed a Gysin sequence relating the cohomology of  $M$  and the intersection cohomology of  $M/S^3$ .

What happens if the action is not semi-free? This is the goal of this work. The situation is more complicated and we do not find a Gysin sequence but a Gysin braid relating the cohomology of  $M$  and the intersection cohomology of  $M/S^3$ . This braid also contains an exotic term depending this time on the intersection cohomology of  $M^{S^1}$ .

Associated to a smooth free action of  $S^3$  on a smooth manifold  $M$  we have a sphere bundle and the Gysin sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-4}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

relating the cohomologies of the manifold  $M$  and that of the orbit space  $M/S^3$  (see for example [11, 4]). In the case of a semi-free action we do not have a sphere bundle but we have the Gysin sequence

$$(1) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

and the Smith-Gysin sequence

$$(2) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \oplus H^{*-1}(\Sigma/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

where  $\Sigma = M^{S^3}$  (see for example [2, Theorem 2.2], [4, Exercise 12 in p. 169] and Remark 4.3 (e)). In the general case, an exotic term appears

$$(3) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \oplus \left( H^{*-3}(M^{S^1}) \right)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow H^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

(cf. [15]). The group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts on  $M^{S^1}$  by  $j \in S^3$ .

The orbit space is a manifold when the action is free and a pseudomanifold in the general case. We have proved that the cohomology of the manifold  $M$  and the intersection cohomology of the pseudomanifold  $M/S^3$  are also related by a Gysin sequence

$$(4) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-4}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

in the case where the action is semi-free and  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{i}$  (cf. [16]). The Euler perversity  $\bar{e}$  takes the value 4 on singular strata. This exact sequence becomes (1) when  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57S15; Secondary 55N33.

*Key words and phrases.* Braid, intersection cohomology,  $S^3$ -actions.

Partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia, Spain, grant PID2019-105621GB-I00.

arXiv:2301.09002v1 [math.AT] 21 Jan 2023

3Cl

las

Gysin

final

MR0336651, MR0413144

Smith-Gysin MR0413144

MR3119667

MR1184085

In this work we relate the cohomology of  $M$  and the intersection cohomology of the orbit space  $M/S^3$  in the case of any smooth action  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ . The important case appears when this action possesses orbits of dimension three (*mobile action*).

Associated to a mobile action we shall get the following Gysin braid relating both cohomologies  $H^*(M)$  and  $H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$

where

- $\mathcal{S}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_3$ ) is the family of singular strata  $S$  of  $M$  with  $\dim S_x^3 = 1$  (resp. 3) for any  $x \in S$ . Here  $S_x^3$  denotes the isotropy subgroup.
- the Euler perversity  $\bar{e}$  takes the value 4 (resp. 2) on the strata of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_3$ ),
- the perversity  $\bar{p}$  lies between  $\bar{0}$  and the top perversity  $\bar{i}$  on  $M$ ,
- the number  $p_S$  denotes the integer part of  $\bar{p}(S)/2$ ,
- the perversity  $\bar{P}_S$  on the filtered space  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$  is defined by  $\bar{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2$  for any  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$  with  $Q \subset \bar{S}$

(see Theorem C). In this braid there are four long exact sequences, denoted by ①, ②, ③ and ④. All the triangles and diamonds are commutative. Also, the top and bottom sequences are semi-exact sequences and both have the same exactness defaults (cf. Remark 4.3 (c)). We can relate the cohomologies of the Gysin term  $G_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  and the co-Gysin term  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  through long exact sequences (cf. Remark 4.3 (b)).

Let us take a closer look at this braid.

- Beginning with the inclusion  $M/S^3 \hookrightarrow M$ , the pull back  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  induces the long exact sequence ①

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(G_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

where an intermediate complex appears: the *Gysin term*  $G_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . Its cohomology can be computed with the sequence ②. This is in fact the point of view followed in [15]. Considering the perversity  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$  one gets (3) since the sequence ② splits at the coboundary place. For other perversities, the sequence ② does not necessarily split (see the example of Remark 4.3 (d)).

- Using the integration along the fibers of the projection  $M \rightarrow M/S^3$ , we get the long exact sequence ③

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-4}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

where an intermediate complex appears: the *co-Gysin term*  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . Its cohomology can be computed with the sequence ④. Sequence ③ does not necessarily split as in the previous case (see the example of Remark 4.3 (d)).

- When the exotic term  $\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{P}_S}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{(-1)^{p_S}Z_2}$  vanishes, the Gysin braid just becomes the sequence (4).

This is the case when the action  $\Phi$  is semi-free (see also Remark 4.3 (a)).

The non mobile actions are simpler and give  $H^*(M) = H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \oplus H_{\bar{p}-2}^{*-2}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$  (see Section 5).

A natural question arises here: what can be said for an action of a connected compact Lie group  $G$  acting on a manifold  $M$ ? When the action is free, we do not have a Gysin sequence but the Leray spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}$  converging to  $H^{p+q}(M)$  with  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(M/G) \otimes H^q(G)$ . This spectral sequence produces the Gysin sequence when  $G = S^1$  or  $S^3$ . The situation is much more complex when the action is not free, the second term of this spectral sequence is difficult to compute (see [17]).

What about the Leray spectral sequence for a mobile action of  $S^3$ ? This spectral sequence  ${}_{\bar{p}}E_r^{p,q}$  depends on a perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  on  $M$  and verifies the following properties.

- It converges:  ${}_{\bar{p}}E_r^{p,q} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(M)$ .
- The second term is given by

$${}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_{\bar{p}}^p(M/S^3) & \text{if } q = 0 \\ \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{p}_S}^{p-2p_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} & \text{if } q = 2 \\ H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^p(M/S^3) & \text{if } q = 3 \end{cases}$$

and 0 otherwise.

- The Gysin term appears in this spectral sequence through the long exact sequence ②

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^{p-1}(G_{\bar{p}}(M)) \longrightarrow {}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{p-4,3} \xrightarrow{d_2} {}_{\bar{p}}E_2^{p-2,2} \longrightarrow H^p(G_{\bar{p}}(M)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

- It degenerates at the third sheet and produces the long exact sequence ①.

In other words, the information in the Leray spectral sequence, from the page  ${}_{\bar{p}}E_2$  onwards, is contained in the Gysin braid.

In the sequel,  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  is a smooth action<sup>1</sup>, on a second countable, Hausdorff, without boundary and smooth (of class  $C^\infty$ ) manifold whose dimension is  $m$ . In this work, any action is supposed to be effective.

The first section is devoted to the study of the intersection cohomology of the orbit space  $M/S^3$ . We show how to compute this cohomology by using differential forms defined on an open subset of  $M$ . A main tool for the construction of the Gysin braid is the complex of invariant intersection forms of  $M$ . This complex is treated in the second section. In the two last sections of the work we construct and study the Gysin braid associated to the action. It comes from the integration along the orbits of the action studied in section three.

## CONTENTS

1. Intersection cohomology	4
2. Invariant differential forms	7
3. The integration operator $f$ .	14
4. Gysin braid for a mobile action	21
5. Gysin sequence for a non-mobile action	28
References	29

<sup>1</sup>We refer the reader to [4] for the notions and properties related with compact Lie group actions.

## 1. INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY

The original definition of the intersection cohomology of the orbit space  $M/S^3$  is defined by using singular simplices. In this Section we show how it is possible to compute this cohomology by using differential forms defined on the regular part of  $M$ .

1.1. **Filtered spaces** [8]. The orbit type stratification  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $M$  is obtained from the equivalence relationship:

$$x \mathcal{R} y \Leftrightarrow \dim S_x^3 = \dim S_y^3.$$

This condition is equivalent to  $(S_x^3)_0$  and  $(S_y^3)_0$  are conjugated, where  $(-)_0$  denotes the connected component containing the unity. The elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are called *strata*, they are in fact the connected components of the partition induced by  $\sim$ .

There are four possibilities, up to conjugacy, for the isotropy subgroup of a point of  $M$ : a finite subgroup of  $S^3$ ,  $S^1$ , the normalizer  $N = N(S^1)$  of  $S^1$  in  $S^3$  and  $S^3$  itself. Recall that  $N = S^1 \sqcup j S^1$ . So, we have  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_3$  where

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{S}_0 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S_x^3 = 0, x \in S\} & \mathcal{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S_x^3 = 1, x \in S\} & \mathcal{S}_3 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S_x^3 = 3, x \in S\} \\ \text{Mobile strata} & \text{Semi-mobile strata} & \text{Fixed strata} \end{array}$$

The action is *mobile* when  $\mathcal{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1 \neq \emptyset$  we say that the action is *semi-mobile*. The remaining case is the *trivial* action. The family of *singular strata* is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^{sing}$ . We have  $\mathcal{S}^{sing} = \mathcal{S}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_3$  when the action is mobile and  $\mathcal{S}^{sing} = \mathcal{S}_3$  when the action is semi-mobile.

We define  $F_3 = \sqcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}_3} S = M^{S^3}$  and  $F_1 = \sqcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} S$ , which are  $S^3$ -invariant submanifolds of  $M^2$ . Notice that  $F_1$  is in fact the twisted product  $S^3 \times_N M^{S^1}$ , where  $S^3$  acts on the left of the left factor. Moreover, if  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$  we have

$$(5) \quad S = S^3 \times_N S^1.$$

The union of singular strata is  $\Sigma = F_1 \sqcup F_3$  (resp.  $F_3$ ) when the action is mobile (resp. semi-mobile).

Filtered spaces is the minimal framework for the definition of the singular intersection cohomology, dual of the intersection homology of [10] (see for example [7]).

**Proposition 1.1.** *The strata of  $\mathcal{S}$  are invariant submanifolds. For each integer  $i$  we define  $M_i = \sqcup \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S \leq i\}$ . The filtration*

$$\emptyset = M_{-1} \subset M_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_m = M.$$

defines a filtered space.

For each integer  $i$  we define  $(M/S^3)_i = \sqcup \{\pi(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S + \dim S_x^3 - 3 \leq i \text{ with } x \in S\}$ , where  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/S^3$  denotes the canonical projection. The filtration

$$\emptyset = (M/S^3)_{-1} \subset (M/S^3)_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (M/S^3)_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (M/S^3)_m = M/S^3$$

defines a filtered space.

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a stratum of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Each point  $x \in S$  possesses an open neighborhood  $S^3$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the twisted product  $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$  where the isotropy subgroup  $H = S_x^3$  acts orthogonally on  $\mathbb{R}^a$ . The point  $x$  becomes the class  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . Recall that the isotropy subgroup of a point  $\langle g, u \rangle \in S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$  is  $gH_u g^{-1}$ . So, the trace of  $S$  in tis neighborhood is  $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^b$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^b = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid \dim H_u = \dim H\} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid H_0 \cdot u = u\}$ . The stratum  $S$  is an invariant submanifold with  $\dim S = 3 + b - \dim H$ .

It remains to prove that each  $M_i$  and  $(M/S^3)_i$  is a closed subset. It suffices to verify that the maps  $\dim: M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , defined by  $\dim(x) = \dim S$  and  $i(x) = \dim S - \dim S_x^3 + 3$ , with  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x \in S$ , are lower semi-continuous. Since the problem is a local question then we can suppose that  $M$  is  $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$ . We prove that the functions  $\dim$  and  $i$  are bigger than  $\dim(x)$  and  $i(x)$  respectively. Notice that the map  $\langle g, u \rangle \mapsto -\dim S_{\langle g, u \rangle}^3$

<sup>2</sup>The next Proposition shows that they are manifolds, in fact, these manifolds may have connected components with different dimensions.

is a lower semi-continuous map since  $-\dim S^3_{\langle g,u \rangle} = -\dim H_u \geq -\dim H = -\dim S^3_x$ . So, it remains to the study the function  $\dim$ .

Considering the  $G$ -equivariant covering  $S^3 \times_{H_0} \mathbb{R}^a \rightarrow S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$ , we can suppose that  $H$  is connected. Let  $\mathbb{R}^a = \mathbb{R}^b \times \mathbb{R}^c$  be the  $H$ -equivariant orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^a$ . This gives  $S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a = \mathbb{R}^b \times (S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^c)$ . Given a point  $y = \langle g, u \rangle \in S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^a$  we consider  $Q \in \mathcal{S}$  the stratum containing this point. In fact,  $Q = \mathbb{R}^b \times (S^3 \times_H \mathbb{R}^d)$  where  $\mathbb{R}^d = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^a \mid \dim H_v = \dim H_u\}$ . We have finished since  $\dim(y) = \dim Q = b + 3 + d - \dim H \geq b + 3 - \dim H = \dim S = \dim(x)$ .  $\square$

The dimension  $m$  of the filtered space  $M$  is  $\dim M$ . The dimension  $n$  of the filtered space  $M/S^3$  is  $m - 3$  (resp.  $m - 1$ ) when the action is mobile (resp. semi-mobile).

Brylinski-Goresky-MacPherson showed how to compute intersection cohomology with differential forms (cf. [6]). To this effect, they use the Thom-Mather systems.

TMS

**1.2. Thom-Mather systems.** Since  $F_1, F_3$  are  $S^3$ -invariant sub-manifolds of  $M$  then we can consider  $\tau_k: T_k \rightarrow F_k$  two  $S^3$ -invariant tubular neighborhoods of  $F_k$  in  $M$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Associated to these tubular neighborhoods we have the following maps:

$\rightsquigarrow$  The *radius map*  $\nu_k: T_k \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ , defined fiberwise by  $u \mapsto \|u\|$ . It is an invariant smooth map.

$\rightsquigarrow$  The *dilatation map*  $\partial_k: [0, \infty[ \times T_k \rightarrow T_k$ , defined fiber-wisely by  $(t, u) \mapsto t \cdot u$ . It is a smooth equivariant map.

Given  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  contained in  $F_k$ ,  $k = 1, 3$ , we write  $T_S = \tau_k^{-1}(S)$  and  $\tau_S: T_S \rightarrow S$  the restriction of  $\tau_k$ . The maps  $\nu_S$  and  $\partial_S$  are defined analogously. The *soul* of  $T_S$  is the open subset  $D_S = \nu_S^{-1}([0, 2[)$ .

The family of tubular neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_1, T_3\}$  is a *Thom-Mather system* of  $M$  when:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau_3 = \tau_3 \circ \tau_1 \\ \nu_3 = \nu_3 \circ \tau_1 \end{array} \right\} \text{ on } T_1 \cap T_3 = \tau_1^{-1}(T_3 \cap F_1)$$

We have proved in [15] that there exists an  $S^3$ -invariant Thom-Mather systems of  $M$ .

Consider the induced maps  $\tilde{\tau}_k: T_k/S^3 \rightarrow F_k/S^3$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}_k: \tilde{T}_k \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  and  $\tilde{\partial}_k: [0, \infty[ \times \tilde{T}_k/S^3 \rightarrow \tilde{T}_k/S^3$ . The family of tubular neighborhoods  $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^3} = \{T_1/S^3, T_3/S^3\}$  is a *Thom-Mather system* of  $M/S^3$ .

We need a more precise description of the atlas of the bundle  $\tau_3$ . First of all, the open  $T_3$  can be chosen as a disjoint union  $T_3 = \sqcup \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_3\}$  with  $T_S \cap T_{S'} = \emptyset$  if  $S \neq S'$ . There exists an  $S^3$ -equivariant atlas  $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi: \tau_S^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1}\}$  relatively to an orthogonal action  $\Phi_S: S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{b+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{b+1}$ , having the origin as the only fixed point.

13

**1.3. Intersection differential forms on  $M$ .** The *perverse degree* of a differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  relatively to a singular stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  is the number

$\|\omega\|_S = \min \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \mid \omega(v_0, \dots, v_\ell, -) = 0 \text{ where } v_0, \dots, v_\ell \text{ are vectors tangent to the fibers of } \tau_S: D_S \rightarrow S\}$   
if  $\omega \neq 0$  on  $D_S$ . If  $\omega = 0$  on  $D_S$  we define  $\|\omega\|_S = -\infty$ .

We shall need the following properties of the perverse degree:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{lll} \|\omega\|_S \leq |\omega|, \text{ degree of } \omega, & \|d\omega\|_S \leq \|\omega\|_S + 1 & \|g^*\omega\|_S = \|\omega\|_S \text{ for each } g \in S^3. \\ \|\omega + \eta\|_S \leq \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|\eta\|_S) & \|\omega \wedge \eta\|_S \leq \|\omega\|_S + \|\eta\|_S & \|i_X\omega\|_S \leq \|\omega\|_S \text{ or each vector field } X \end{array}$$

These properties come directly from the definition of perverse degree. We have also used the fact that the Thom-Mather system is  $S^3$ -invariant.

A *perversity* is a map  $\bar{p}: \mathcal{S}^{sing} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ . The *constant perversity* is  $\bar{\ell}(S) = \ell$ , with  $\ell \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ , for any singular stratum. The *top perversity* is defined by  $\bar{i}(S) = \text{codim } S - 2$  for any singular stratum  $\mathcal{S}$ .

The complex of *intersection differential forms* of  $M$ , relatively to the perversity  $\bar{p}$  is defined by

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \mid \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|d\omega\|_S) \leq \bar{p}(S) \forall S \in \mathcal{S} \right\}.$$

adPer

MR3119667

MR4197827

The complex  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  computes the cohomology  $H^*(M)$  for Goresky-MacPherson perversities [6] or for perversities verifying  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  [1, 18]. The cohomology of this complex is  $H^*(M, \Sigma)$  (resp.  $H^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ ) when  $\bar{p} < \bar{0}$  (resp.  $\bar{p} > \bar{1}$ ).

The intersection cohomology of the orbit space  $M/S^3$  can be computed by using differential forms defined on  $M \setminus \Sigma$ . Let us see that. First, we note that the natural projection  $\pi$  establishes a bijection between the strata of  $M$  and those of  $M/S^3$ . So, a perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M/S^3$  determines a perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$ , still denoted by  $\bar{p}$ , by the formula  $\bar{p}(S) = \bar{p}(S/S^3)$ . And vice-versa. In the sequel, all the perversities will be considered on  $M$ . We set a such perversity  $\bar{p}$ . Its *dual perversity* is  $D\bar{p} = \bar{1} - \bar{p}$ .

A differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  is a *basic form* if it verifies the following condition:  $\omega(v, -) = d\omega(v, -) = 0$  for each vector tangent  $v$  to the fibers of  $\pi: M \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow (M \setminus \Sigma)/S^3$ . It has been proved in [19] that the sub-complex  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$  of basic forms of  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  computes the intersection cohomology  $H_{D\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$ . Notice that this cohomology does not depend on the Thom-Mather system we have chosen.

Given two perversities  $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$  on  $M$  the *step complex*  $\Omega_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}^*(M/S^3)$  is the quotient  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)/\Omega_{\bar{q}}^*(M/S^3)$  and its cohomology is denoted by  $H_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}^*(M/S^3)$  (cf. [13]).

**1.4. Closure of a stratum.** The exotic term of the Gysin braid we construct in this work uses a particular filtered space we describe now. Consider a non-closed stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . The closure of  $S$  is of the form  $\bar{S} = S \sqcup_{\ell \in J} Q_\ell$  where  $\{Q_\ell \in \mathcal{S}_3 \mid \ell \in J\} = \{Q \in \mathcal{S}_3 \mid Q \subset \bar{S}\}$ . It is a filtered space whose regular stratum is  $S$ . Any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  induces a perversity on  $\bar{S}$ , still denoted by  $\bar{p}$ , which is defined by the numbers  $\bar{p}(Q_\ell)$ ,  $\ell \in J$ . The Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_M$  of  $M$  induces the Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_{\bar{S}} = \{T_{Q_\ell} \cap \bar{S} \mid \ell \in J\}$ .

In fact, we need to go a step further and consider the space  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$  which is the union  $S^{S^1} \sqcup_{\ell \in J} Q_\ell$ . It is a filtered space whose regular part is  $S^{S^1}$ . Any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  induces a perversity on  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$ , still denoted by  $\bar{p}$ , which is defined by the numbers  $\bar{p}(Q_\ell)$ ,  $\ell \in J$ . The Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_M$  of  $M$  induces the Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_{\bar{S}^{S^1}} = \{T_{Q_\ell} \cap \bar{S}^{S^1} \mid \ell \in J\}$ .

The complex of *intersection differential forms* of  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$ , relatively to the perversity  $\bar{p}$ , can be defined as in the previous Section. It computes the intersection cohomology  $H_{\bar{p}}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1})$ . Since  $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$ , the group<sup>3</sup>  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts on  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$  by  $g \cdot x = j(x)$ . Then the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  also acts on this cohomology. We shall use the notation

$$H_{\bar{p}}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\{ \omega \in H_{\bar{p}}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1}) \mid g \cdot \omega = -\omega \right\}.$$

In fact, we are going to use a particular perversity on this space. Associated to any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  we have the perversity  $\bar{P}_S$  on  $\bar{S}^{S^1}$  defined by

$$\bar{P}_S(Q) = \begin{cases} \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2 & \text{if } Q = Q_\ell \text{ for some } \ell \in J. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $p_S$  is the integer part of  $\bar{p}(S)/2$ .

**1.5.  $S^1$ -actions.** A similar study can be done for an effective smooth action  $\Psi: S^1 \times M \rightarrow M$ . In this case the orbit stratification type is  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_1$  where

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S_x^3 = 0, x \in S\} \quad \mathcal{S}_1 = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim S_x^3 = 1, x \in S\}$$

*Mobile strata (regular strata)                      Fixed strata (singular strata)*

The family of singular strata is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^{sing}$  and its union is  $\Sigma$ . The manifold  $M$  and the orbit space  $M/S^1$  are filtered spaces. Thom-Mather systems also exist. In this case  $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  where each  $\tau_S: T_S \rightarrow S$  is a  $S^1$ -fiber bundle. The elements of  $\mathfrak{T}_M$  can be chosen to be disjoint.

<sup>3</sup>The generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is denoted by  $g$ .

We need a more precise description of the atlas of the bundle  $\tau_S$ . There exists an  $S^1$ -equivariant atlas  $\mathcal{A} = \{\varphi: \tau_S^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}\}$  relatively to an orthogonal action  $\Phi_S: S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2b} + 2, b \geq 0$ , having the origin as the only fixed point.

A perversity is a map  $\bar{p}: \mathcal{S}^{sing} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The *top perversity* is defined by  $\bar{i}(S) = \text{codim } S - 2$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . The *dual perversity* of  $\bar{p}$  is the perversity  $D\bar{p} = \bar{i} - \bar{p}$ .

The *perverse degree*  $\| - \|_S, S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , is defined relatively to this Thom-Mather system. The complex

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \mid \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|d\omega\|_S) \leq \bar{p}(S)\}$$

computes the cohomology  $H^*(M)$ , where  $\bar{p}$  is a perversity verifying  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{i}$  (cf. [18]). On the other hand, the complex

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1) \mid \max(\|\omega\|_S, \|d\omega\|_S) \leq \bar{p}(S)\},$$

where  $\Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1) = \{\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \mid \omega(v, -) = \omega(v, -) = 0 \text{ for each vector tangent } v \text{ to the fibers of } \pi: M \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow (M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1\}$ , *basic forms*, computes the intersection cohomology  $H_{D\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)$ . Notice that this cohomology does not depend on the Thom-Mather system chosen.

Given two perversities  $\bar{q} \leq \bar{p}$  on  $M$  the *step complex*  $\Omega_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}^*(M/S^1)$  is the quotient  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)/\Omega_{\bar{q}}^*(M/S^1)$  and its cohomology is denoted by  $H_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}^*(M/S^1)$  (cf. [13]). This cohomology fits into the long exact sequence

$$(7) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H_{\bar{q}}^{\ell}(M/S^1) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^{\ell}(M/S^1) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}/\bar{q}}^{\ell}(M/S^1) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{q}}^{\ell+1}(M/S^1) \longrightarrow \dots$$

**1.6.  $N$ -actions.** A smooth action  $\Theta: N \times M \rightarrow M$  induces a circle action  $\Psi: S^1 \times M \rightarrow M$ . Since the stratification  $\mathcal{S}_1$  of this last action is  $N$ -invariant then we can choose an  $N$ -invariant Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$ , that is, the map  $g: T_S \rightarrow T_{g(S)}$  is an  $S^1$ -morphism bundle for each  $g \in N$ .

Since  $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$ , the singular part of the  $S^1$ -action  $\Sigma = \sqcup \{S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -invariant relatively to the action  $g \cdot x = j(x)$ . Also, the union  $S \cup j(S)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -invariant for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Notice that we have two possibilities  $j(S) = S$  or  $j(S) \cap S = \emptyset$ .

The induced family  $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^1} = \{T_S/S^1 \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  is a Thom-Mather system on the orbit space  $M/S^1$ . The element  $j \in S^3$  induces the map  $j: M/S^1 \rightarrow M/S^1$  preserving  $\mathfrak{T}_{M/S^1}$ . So, it induces the map  $j^*: \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)$ . Since  $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$  then  $j^*$  is the identity. So, the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts on  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)$  by  $g \cdot \omega = j^* \omega$ . We shall write

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{\omega \in \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \mid g \cdot \omega = -\omega\}.$$

The space  $H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is defined in a similar way.

## 2. INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

A key ingredient in this paper is the complex of  $S^3$ -invariant forms of  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . It is a simpler sub-complex computing the same cohomology.

For the rest of this Section we suppose that the action  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  is a mobile action. In particular, the action of  $S^3$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is *almost free*, that is, the isotropy subgroup of any point of  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is finite.

**2.1. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ .** We shall consider  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  an orthogonal basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  of  $S^3$ , relatively to a bi-invariant metric  $\kappa$  of  $S^3$ , with

- $u_1$  is the Lie algebra of the subgroup  $S^1$ .
- $[u_1, u_2] = u_3, [u_2, u_3] = u_1, [u_3, u_1] = u_2$  and
- $\text{Ad}(j) u_1 = -u_1, \text{Ad}(j) u_2 = u_2, \text{Ad}(j) u_3 = -u_3$ .

Consider the action  $\Psi: S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ , defined by  $\Psi(g, k) = k \cdot g^{-1}$ . We have on  $S^3$  the fundamental vector field  $s Y_i$  associated to  $u_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . They are left invariant vector field verifying  $j_* Y_1 = -Y_1, j_* Y_2 = Y_2$  and  $j_* Y_3 = -Y_3$ .

We shall write  $\gamma_i \in \Omega^1(S^3)$  the dual form of  $Y_i$  relatively to the metric  $\kappa$ :  $\gamma_i = i_{Y_i}\kappa$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . They are left invariant differential forms verifying  $j^*\gamma_1 = -\gamma_1$ ,  $j^*\gamma_2 = \gamma_2$  and  $j^*\gamma_3 = -\gamma_3$ . The differentials verify  $d\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3$ ,  $d\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3$  and  $d\gamma_3 = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2$ .

**2.2. Fundamental vector field s and characteristic forms.** The *fundamental vector field* associated to  $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  is  $X_u$ . For the sake of simplicity, we shall write  $X_i = X_{u_i}$  with  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . This vector field is defined on  $M$  but we are going to work with its restriction to  $M \setminus \Sigma$ . Since the action is mobile then these vector field s are non-vanishing on  $M \setminus \Sigma$ . Moreover, the family  $\{X_1(x), X_2(x), X_3(x)\}$  is a basis of the tangent space of the orbit  $S^3(x)$  for any  $x \in M \setminus \Sigma$ . We have the equalities:  $j_*X_1 = j_*X_{u_1} = X_{\text{Ad}(j)u_1} = -X_{u_1} = -X_1$  and  $j_*X_2 = X_2$ ,  $j_*X_3 = -X_3$  in the same way.

An *adapted metric* on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is a  $S^3$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  verifying

$$(8) \quad \mu(X_{v_1}(x), X_{v_2}(x)) = \kappa(v_1, v_2) \quad \forall x \in M \setminus \Sigma \text{ and } v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

It always exists since the fundamental vector field s are non-vanishing.

We denote by  $\chi_u = i_{X_u}\mu \in \Omega^1(M \setminus \Sigma)$  the *characteristic form* associated to  $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Notice that, for each  $g \in S^3$ , we have

$$(9) \quad g^*\chi_u = \chi_{\text{Ad}(g^{-1})u}.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we shall write  $\chi_i = \chi_{u_i}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since  $L_{X_i}\chi_j = \chi_{[u_i, u_j]}$ , for each  $u, v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , then we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{X_1}\chi_1 &= L_{X_2}\chi_2 = L_{X_3}\chi_3 = 0, & L_{X_1}\chi_2 &= -L_{X_2}\chi_1 = -\chi_3 \\ L_{X_1}\chi_3 &= -L_{X_3}\chi_1 = \chi_2, & L_{X_2}\chi_3 &= -L_{X_3}\chi_2 = -\chi_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi_k(X_\ell) = \mu(X_\ell, X_k) = \delta_{\ell k}$ , each differential form  $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  possesses a unique writing,

$$(11) \quad \omega = \omega_0 + \chi_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \chi_3 \wedge \omega_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{12} + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{13} + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{23} + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{123},$$

where the coefficients  $\omega_\bullet \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  are *horizontal forms*, that is, they verify  $i_{X_\ell}\omega_\bullet = 0$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ . This is the *canonical decomposition* of  $\omega$ .

The canonical decomposition of the differential of a characteristic form is

$$(12) \quad d\chi_1 = e_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \quad d\chi_2 = e_2 - \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3 \quad d\chi_3 = e_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2$$

for some horizontal forms  $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Omega^2(M \setminus \Sigma)$ , called the *Euler forms*. Notice that

$$(13) \quad j^*e_1 = -e_1 \quad j^*e_2 = e_2 \quad j^*e_3 = -e_3.$$

**2.3. Invariant differential forms.** A differential form  $\omega$  of  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is an *invariant form* when  $g^*\omega = \omega$  for each  $g \in S^3$  or, equivalently,  $L_{X_\ell}\omega = 0$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ . In fact, invariant differential forms are characterized by the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 \text{ and } \omega_{123} \text{ are basic forms, } & L_{X_\ell}\omega_\ell = 0, \ell = 1, 2, 3 & L_{X_1}\omega_{23} &= L_{X_2}\omega_{13} = L_{X_3}\omega_{12} = 0 \\ L_{X_1}\omega_2 &= -L_{X_2}\omega_1 = -\omega_3 & L_{X_1}\omega_3 &= -L_{X_3}\omega_1 = \omega_2, & L_{X_2}\omega_3 &= -L_{X_3}\omega_2 = -\omega_1, \\ L_{X_1}\omega_{13} &= L_{X_2}\omega_{23} = \omega_{12} & L_{X_1}\omega_{12} &= -L_{X_3}\omega_{23} = -\omega_{13} & L_{X_2}\omega_{12} &= L_{X_3}\omega_{13} = -\omega_{23} \end{aligned}$$

(see (10)).

The complex of invariant forms is denoted by  $\underline{\Omega}^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$ . The complex of invariant intersection differential forms is  $\underline{\Omega}_p^*(M) = \Omega_p^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \cap \underline{\Omega}_p^*(M)$ . This complex simplifies some cohomological calculations done in this work and replaces the whole one  $\Omega_p^*(M)$  since, proceeding as in [11, Theorem I, pag. 151], we have

**Proposition 2.1.** *The inclusion  $\underline{\Omega}_p^*(M) \hookrightarrow \Omega_p^*(M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism for any perversity  $\bar{p}$ .*

Notice that  $\underline{\Omega}_p^*(M/S^3) = \{\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_p^*(M) \mid i_{X_\ell}\omega = 0 \text{ for each } \ell = 1, 2, 3\}$ .



2.4. **Perverse degree of characteristic forms.** Notice that, for any singular stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{sing}$ , we have:

$$(14) \quad \|\omega\|_S = \max \{ \|\omega_0\|_S, \|\chi_\ell \wedge \omega_i\|_S, \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \omega_{\ell k}\|_S, \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{123}\|_S \mid 1 \leq \ell < k \leq 3 \}$$

for any  $\omega \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  (cf. (6)). When  $S \in \mathcal{S}_3$  is a fixed stratum then the orbits of the action are tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_S : D_S \rightarrow S$ . So, we have

$$(15) \quad \|\chi_\ell \wedge \alpha\|_S - 1 = \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \alpha\|_S - 2 = \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S - 3 = \|\alpha\|_S,$$

for each  $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  and each  $1 \leq \ell < k \leq 3$ .

In order to control the perverse degree of characteristic forms relatively to mobile strata we need richer metrics than adapted metrics.

**Definition 2.2.** An adapted metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is an adjusted metric if

$$(16) \quad \mu(X_v(x), W) = 0$$

where

- $x \in D_S \setminus \Sigma$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ ,
- $W$  is a vector tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_S : D_S \setminus \Sigma \rightarrow S$  at  $x$ , and
- $v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  belongs to the  $\kappa$ -orthogonal of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_y$ , Lie algebra of  $S_y^3$  with  $y = \tau_S(x)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Adjusted metrics exist.

*Proof.* A convex combination of adapted metrics is an adapted metric. So, by using partitions of unity, we can reduce the problem to the following two cases:

- $M = T_S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . In this case,  $\Sigma = S$ . We set  $\mu'$  an adapted metric on  $T_S \setminus S$ .

We put  $\mathcal{K}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) the sub-bundle of  $T_S \setminus S$  tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_S$  (resp. the orbits of the action). The bundle  $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{K}$  is of constant rank equal to one. In fact, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_x \cap \mathcal{K}_x = \{X_v(x) \mid v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y\}$$

for each  $x \in T_S \setminus \Sigma$  with  $y = \tau_S(x)$ . Let us consider the  $S^3$ -invariant decomposition

$$T(T_S \setminus \Sigma) = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus (\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{K})^{\perp \mu'}$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{K})^{\perp \mu'} \cap \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mu' = \kappa$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  (cf. (8)) then we have  $\mathcal{D}_x = \{X_v(x) \mid v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^\perp\}$  for each  $x \in T_S \setminus \Sigma$  with  $y = \tau_S(x)$ . The Riemannian metric  $\mu$  defined by:

$$\mu = \mu' + \mu' + \mu'$$

is an adapted metric. It also verifies (16) since  $W \in \mathcal{K}_x$  and  $X_v(x) \in \mathcal{D}_x$ .

- $M = T_Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$ . The open subset  $T_Q \setminus \Sigma$  is  $S^3$ -equivariant diffeomorphic to  $(D_Q \setminus \Sigma) \times ]0, \infty[$ . The action of  $S^3$  on  $D_Q \setminus \Sigma$  has no fixed points. The previous step gives an adjusted metric  $\mu$  on  $D_Q \setminus \Sigma$ . The tubular neighborhood  $T_S$  of a stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$  is the product of  $T_{S \cap (D_Q \setminus \Sigma)} \times ]0, \infty[$ . Then the metric  $\mu + dr^2$  is an adjusted metric on  $T_Q \setminus \Sigma$ .  $\square$

For a such metric we can compute the terms appearing in formula (14).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let us suppose that  $M \setminus \Sigma$  is endowed with an adjusted metric. Given a stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$  and a horizontal form  $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  we have

$$(17) \quad \|\chi_\ell \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S = \|\alpha\|_S + 1,$$

for each  $1 \leq \ell < k \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can suppose  $M = T_S$  and  $\Sigma = S$ . We proceed in two steps.

*Step  $\leq$ .* Following (6) it suffices to prove that  $\|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k\|_S \leq 1$  and  $\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3\|_S \leq 1$ . We treat the first inequality, the second one can be approached in the same way. If  $\|\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k\|_S = 2$  then there exists  $x \in T_S \setminus S$  and  $V, W \in \mathcal{K}_x$  with  $\chi_\ell \wedge \chi_k(V, W) \neq 0$ . Since  $\dim[u_\ell, u_k]^4 = 2 = \dim \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^\perp$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{su}(2) = 3$  then there exist  $v_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)_y^\perp$  and  $v_2 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  with  $\chi_{v_1} \wedge \chi_{v_2}(V, W) \neq 0$ . This is impossible since  $\chi_{v_1}(V) = \chi_{v_1}(W) = 0$  (cf. (16)).

*Step  $\geq$ .* Since the result is clear if  $\alpha = 0$ , then we can suppose  $\|\alpha\|_S = a \geq 0$ . So, there exist  $x \in T_S \setminus S$  and  $\{W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}\} \subset \mathcal{K}_x$ , defined on the proof with  $\alpha(W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}) \neq 0$ . Here,  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the sub-bundle of  $T(T_S \setminus S)$  tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_S$  as defined on the proof of the previous Proposition. Since the perverse degree is  $S^3$ -invariant then we can suppose that  $S^3_{y=\tau_S(x)} \supset S^1$  (cf. (6)). This gives  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_y = [u_1]$  and therefore  $X_1(x) \in \mathcal{K}_x$ .

The adjoint map associated to the group  $S^3$  is the covering  $S^3 \rightarrow SO(3)$ . Since there exists a rotation sending  $u_1$  to  $u_\ell$  then there exists  $g \in S^3$  with  $\text{Ad}(g)(u_1) = u_\ell$ . Since the perverse degree is  $S^3$ -invariant then it suffices to prove  $\|\chi_1 \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a + 1$ ,  $\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a + 1$  and  $\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \chi_v \wedge \alpha\|_S \geq a + 1$ , where  $u, v \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  (cf. (6) and (9)). Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $u, v \in [u_1]^\perp$ . The inequality comes from:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \alpha(W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}, -) = (\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \chi_v \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), X_u(x), X_v(x), W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}, -) \\ &= (\chi_1 \wedge \chi_u \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), X_u(x), W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}, -) = (\chi_1 \wedge \alpha)(X_1(x), W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}, -). \end{aligned}$$

since  $\{W_0, \dots, W_{a-1}, X_1(x)\} \subset \mathcal{K}_x$ . □

**2.5. Circle actions.** A similar study can be done for any effective smooth action  $\Psi: S^1 \times M \rightarrow M$ . Here, we just have a fundamental vector field  $X$  and a characteristic form  $\chi$  relatively to an adapted metric (the notion of adjusted metric does not apply here). This form is  $S^1$ -invariant and verifies  $\|\chi\|_S = 1$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . Its differential  $e = d\chi$ , the *Euler form*, belongs to  $\Omega_{\bar{e}}^2(M/S^1)$  where the *Euler perversity*  $\bar{e}$  is defined by  $\bar{e}(S) = 2$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . We also use the *characteristic perversity*  $\bar{\chi}$  defined by  $\bar{\chi}(S) = 1$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1$ .

For any perversity  $\bar{p}$ , the complex  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  is

$$(18) \quad \left\{ \alpha + \chi \wedge \beta \mid \alpha \in \Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1), \beta \in \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1) \text{ with } \begin{cases} \|\alpha\| \leq \bar{p}(S), \text{ and} \\ \|d\alpha + e \wedge \beta\|_S \leq \bar{p}(S) \end{cases} \forall S \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\}.$$

The *integration operator* is the differential operator  $f: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}^*(M/S^3)$  defined by  $f\omega = i_X\omega$ , that is,  $f(\alpha + \chi \wedge \beta) = \beta$ . Associated to this operator, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the following Gysin sequence (see [12]).

**Proposition 2.5.** *For each perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  we have the long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \rightarrow H^*(M) \rightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-1}(M/S^1) \rightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(M/S^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

*Proof.* For each  $\beta \in \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^1)$  we have  $\chi \wedge \beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . Since  $f(\chi \wedge \beta) = \beta$  then it suffices to prove that the inclusion  $I: \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^1) \hookrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice that

$$I_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \{\beta \in \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}^*(M/S^1) \mid \exists \alpha \in \Omega^*(M \setminus \Sigma) \text{ with } \|\alpha\| \leq \bar{p}(S), \text{ and } \|d\alpha + e \wedge \beta\|_S \leq \bar{p}(S) \forall S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}.$$

We proceed in three steps.

• *Step 1:*  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \emptyset$ . The action is almost-free. In this case  $\Sigma = \emptyset$  and therefore  $\Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \subset I_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \subset \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}^*(M/S^1) = \Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1)$ . In other words, the map  $I$  itself is an isomorphism.

<sup>4</sup>The notation  $[-]$  denotes "vector espace generated by".

• *Step 2:*  $M = T_S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . Recall that  $\tau_S : T_S \rightarrow S$  is an  $S^1$ -invariant smooth bundle whose fiber is  $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$  for some  $b \geq 0$ . In fact, the group  $S^1$  acts trivially on  $S$  and orthogonally on the fiber  $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$  having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of  $S^1$  on the unit sphere  $S^{2b+1}$  is almost-free.

Consider a good covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $S$  and  $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. The family  $\{\tau_S^{-1}(U), \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open covering of  $T_S$  having  $\{f_U \circ \tau_S \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. These maps are  $S^1$ -invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of  $\tau_S$ . This last property implies that  $\|f_U \circ \tau_S\|_S = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_S)\|_S = 0$ . So, the covering  $\mathcal{U}$  possesses a subordinated partition of unity living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(M)$ .

Using Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] one reduces the problem to the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^{\dim S} \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ , where  $\tau_S$  becomes the projection on the first factor. The action of the group  $S^1$  is trivial on the first factor.

Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} = \mathring{c}S^{2b+1} = (S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) / (S^{2b+1} \times \{0\})$ . Here, the stratum  $S$  is the apex of the cone. We have  $\bar{\chi}(S) = 1$  and  $\bar{e}(S) = 2$ . The number  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by  $\bar{p}(S) = p$ . We need to prove that the inclusion

$$(19) \quad I: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1) \hookrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) = \{i_Z \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})\}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice first that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* < p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \underline{\Omega}^{* < p-2}((S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \left\{ \beta \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-2}((S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, \infty[) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } (S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, 2[ \right\}, \\ \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* > p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1) &= \underline{\Omega}^{* > p-2}((S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, \infty[, (S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, 2[), \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{* < p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) &= \left\{ i_X \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1 < p}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) \right\} =_{(1)} \underline{\Omega}^{* < p-1}((S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, \infty[) \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) &= \left\{ i_X \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) \mid d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[ \right\}, \text{ and} \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{* > p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) &= \left\{ i_X \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1 > p}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[, S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[) \right\} \\ &=_{(2)} \underline{\Omega}^{* > p-1}((S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, \infty[, (S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, 2[), \end{aligned}$$

where  $=_{(1)}$  is given by the previous step and  $=_{(2)}$  comes from the fact that  $\beta \equiv 0$  on  $(S^{2b+1}/S^1) \times ]0, 2[$  implies  $\omega = \chi \wedge \beta \equiv 0$  on  $S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[$ . Since  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1) \cap d^{-1}(0) = I_{\bar{p}}^{p-2}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) \cap d^{-1}(0)$  then it suffices to study the degrees  $* \geq p-1$ .

\* = p - 1 Since  $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^3) = 0$  then we need to prove  $H^{p-1}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})) = 0$ , that is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \text{with } d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[ \text{ and } di_X \omega = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \eta \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \text{with } i_X \omega = di_X \eta. \end{array} \right.$$

If  $p = 0$  then we can consider  $\eta = 0$  since  $\omega$  is constant. Let us suppose  $p \geq 1$ . Consider  $\eta' = \int_1^- \omega$ . It is an element of  $\underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[)$  since the action of  $S^1$  on the  $]0, \infty[$ -factor is trivial. A straightforward calculation gives  $\omega = \text{pr}^* \omega(1) + d\eta' + \int_1^- d\omega$ . Here,  $\omega(1)$  is the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $S^{2b+1} \times \{1\}$  and  $\text{pr} : S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[ \rightarrow S^{2b+1} \times \{1\}$  is the map defined by  $\text{pr}(x, t) = (x, 1)$ . A straightforward calculation gives

$$i_X \omega = i_X \text{pr}^* \omega(1) + i_X d\eta' + i_X \int_1^- d\omega = i_X \text{pr}^* \omega(1) - di_X \eta' - \int_1^- di_X \omega = i_X \text{pr}^* \omega(1) - di_X \eta'.$$

By hypothesis the differential form  $\text{pr}^* \omega(1)$  is a cycle of  $\underline{\Omega}^p(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[)$ . Condition  $\bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  implies  $p \leq \text{codim } S - 2 = 2b$ . Since  $p \geq 1$ , this gives the existence of  $\eta'' \in \underline{\Omega}^{p-1}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[)$  with  $\text{pr}^* \omega(1) = d\eta''$ . We end the proof taking  $\eta = -\eta' - \eta''$ .

$\boxed{* \geq p}$  Since  $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*\geq p}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^3) = 0$  then we need to prove  $H_{\bar{p}}^{*\geq p}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})) = 0$ , that is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega \in \underline{\Omega}^{*+1 \geq p+1}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[, S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[) \\ \text{with } di_X \omega = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \eta \in \underline{\Omega}^{*\geq p}(S^{2b+1} \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \text{with } d\eta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^{2b+1} \times ]0, 2[ \text{ and } i_X \omega = di_X \eta. \end{array} \right.$$

Same proof as before with  $\omega(1) = 0$ .

• *Final Step.* Consider the invariant open covering  $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_3\} \sqcup \{M \setminus \Sigma\}$  of  $M$ . We fix a smooth map  $\lambda: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  verifying  $\lambda = 1$  on  $[0, 2]$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $[3, \infty[$ . The map  $f_S: T_S \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is defined by  $f_S(x) = \lambda(v_S(x))$ . It is an  $S^1$ -invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of  $\tau_S: D_S \rightarrow S$ , which gives  $\|f_S\|_S = \|df_S\|_S = 0$ . So, the family  $\{f_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\} \sqcup \{1 - \sum f_S\}$  is a partition of unity, subordinated to  $\mathcal{V}$ , living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(M)$ . Now, it suffices to apply Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] and the previous cases.  $\square$

$\boxed{\text{rem}}$  **Remark 2.6.** In fact, we have proved that the operator

$$f: (\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-1}(M/S^1), D) \longrightarrow (\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M), d),$$

defined by  $f(\tau, \lambda) = \tau + \chi \wedge \lambda$ , where  $D(\tau, \lambda) = (d\tau + e \wedge \lambda, -d\lambda)$ , is a quasi-isomorphism.

$\boxed{\text{twist}}$  **2.6. Twisted product.** The model of the tubular neighborhood of a semi-mobile stratum is given by twisted products. We present this notion. First of all, we consider a smooth action  $\Theta: N \times E \rightarrow E$  of the normalizer  $N$  on a manifold  $E$ . It induces the action  $\Phi: S^3 \times (S^3 \times_N E) \rightarrow (S^3 \times_N E)$  defined by  $g \cdot \langle k, x \rangle = \langle g \cdot k, x \rangle$ .

This action is a mobile action and the strata are of the form  $S^3 \times_N S$  with  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , stratification induced by  $\Theta$ . A perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $E$  determines a perversity on the twisted product, still denoted  $\bar{p}$ , defined by  $\bar{p}(S^3 \times_N S) = \bar{p}(S)$ .

Given an  $N$ -invariant Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_E = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  on  $E$  we can consider the following Thom-Mather systems

- $\mathfrak{T}_{S^3 \times E} = \{(S^3 \times T_S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  on the product, and
- $\mathfrak{T}_{S^3 \times_N E} = \{(S^3 \times_N T_S) \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\}$  on the twisted product.

Relatively to these Thom-Mather systems we have the equality

$$\|\Pi^* \omega\|_{S^3 \times S} = \|\omega\|_{S^3 \times_N S}$$

where  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times_N (E \setminus \Sigma)_E)$  and  $\Pi: S^3 \times E \rightarrow S^3 \times_N E$  is the canonical projection. This map is an  $N$ -bundle and verifies  $\pi(g, x) = \pi(g \cdot h^{-1}, h \cdot x)$  where  $(g, x) \in S^3 \times E$  and  $h \in N$ .

The goal of this Section is to write the  $S^3$ -invariant intersection forms of the twisted product  $S^3 \times_N E$  in terms of the intersection forms of  $E$ .

First we establish some notation.

- (a)  $Y_u \in \mathfrak{X}(S^3)$  is the fundamental vector field associated to  $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$  relatively to the right action:  $S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3; (g, k) \mapsto k \cdot g^{-1}$ . It is a left invariant vector field. For the sake of simplicity we shall write  $Y_{u_\ell} = Y_\ell$  for  $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .
- (b)  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$  is the fundamental vector field of the action:  $\Psi: S^1 \times E \rightarrow E$ , induced by  $\Theta$ . It verifies  $j_* Z = -Z$ . Let  $\rho$  be an  $N$ -invariant metric on  $E$ . The characteristic form  $\zeta = \iota_Z \rho$  verifies  $j^* \zeta = -\zeta$  and the associated Euler form  $e = d\zeta$  verifies  $j^* e = e$ .
- (c) Let  $\gamma_u \in \Omega^1(S^3)$  be the dual form of  $Y_u$ , that is,  $\gamma_u = i_{Y_u} \kappa$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ . Notice that  $\kappa(u, v) = \gamma_u(Y_v)$ . These forms are invariant by the left action of  $S^3$ . For the sake of simplicity we shall write  $\gamma_{u_k} = \gamma_k$  for  $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . They verify  $L_{Y_1} \gamma_1 = 0, L_{Y_1} \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3, L_{Y_1} \gamma_3 = \gamma_2, d\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3, d\gamma_2 = -\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3$  and  $d\gamma_3 = \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2$  (cf. (10)).
- (d) The group  $N$  acts on the complex of differential forms  $\Omega^*(S^3)$  by the left. So, the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = N/S^1$  acts on the complex of  $S^1$ -left invariant forms of  $S^3$ , which is  $\bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ . This action is given by

$$\boxed{j} \quad (20) \quad g \cdot \gamma_\ell = (-1)^\ell \gamma_\ell,$$

for  $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ .

wist2

**Proposition 2.7.** *Using the natural projection  $\Pi$  we get the identification*

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^3 \times_N E) = \left\{ \omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(E) \mid \begin{array}{l} i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega \\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega \end{array} \right\}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

*Proof.* Since the map  $\Pi$  is  $S^3$ -invariant then  $\Pi^*$  induces a monomorphism between

$$\Pi^*: \underline{\Omega}^*(S^3 \times E) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times E) \mid g^*\omega = \omega \ \forall g \in S^3 \} = \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E)$$

and

$$\underline{\Omega}^*(S^3 \times_N E) = \{ \omega \in \Omega^*(S^3 \times_N E) \mid g^*\omega = \omega \ \forall g \in S^3 \}.$$

So, we can identify  $\underline{\Omega}^*(S^3_N \times E)$  with with

$$\left\{ \omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E) \mid \begin{array}{l} i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega \\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega \\ g^*\omega = \omega \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \omega \in \bigwedge^*(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \otimes \Omega^*(E) \mid \begin{array}{l} i_{Y_1}\omega = -i_Z\omega \\ L_{Y_1}\omega = -L_Z\omega \end{array} \right\}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

A similar identification is obtained for  $E \setminus \Sigma_E$  instead  $E$ . Using (2.6) we get (2.7).  $\square$

impli

**Corollary 2.8.** *We have the identification*

impli

$$(21) \quad \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^3 \times_N E) = \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \{ \xi \in \Omega_{\bar{p}}^{*-1}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi \}.$$

where the differential becomes  $D'(\alpha, \beta, \xi) = (d\alpha, d\beta - i_Z\alpha, -d\xi)$ . The third term of this direct sum is acyclic.

*Proof.* The equality (2.7) gives that an element of  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^3 \times_N E)$  is of the form

$\alpha - \gamma_1 \wedge i_Z\alpha + \gamma_2 \wedge L_Z\xi + \gamma_3 \wedge \xi + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge i_Z L_Z\xi + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z\xi + \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \beta - \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z\beta$ .  
with  $\alpha \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E)$ ,  $\beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E)$ ,  $\xi \in \Omega_{\bar{p}}^{*-1}(E)$ ,  $g \cdot \alpha = \alpha$ ,  $g \cdot \xi = -\xi$ ,  $g \cdot \beta = -\beta$ , and  $L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi$ . For the calculation of  $D$  we compute the differential of the previous expression:

$$d\alpha - \gamma_1 \wedge i_Z d\alpha - \gamma_2 \wedge L_Z d\xi - \gamma_3 \wedge d\xi - \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge (i_Z L_Z d\xi + \xi) + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge (L_Z \xi - i_Z d\xi) + \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge (d\beta - i_Z \alpha) + \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge i_Z d\beta.$$

We verify the the acyclicity property. Let  $\xi \in \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$  be a cycle. The differential form  $\eta = i_Z L_Z \xi \in \Omega^*(E \setminus \Sigma)$  verifies

- $g \cdot \eta = j^* \eta = j^* i_Z L_Z \xi = -i_Z j^* L_Z \xi = i_Z L_Z j^* \xi = -i_Z L_Z \xi = -\eta$ .
- $L_Z L_Z \eta = L_Z L_Z i_Z L_Z \xi = i_Z L_Z L_Z L_Z \xi = -i_Z L_Z \xi = -\eta$ .
- $d\eta = di_Z L_Z \xi = L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi$ , since  $d\xi = 0$ .
- If  $Q$  is a singular stratum of  $E_s$  we have  $\|\eta\|_Q = \|i_Z L_Z \xi\|_Q \stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \|\xi\|_Q \leq \bar{p}(Q)$ .

So, the complex  $\{ \xi \in \Omega_{\bar{p}}^{*-1}(E)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi \}$  is acyclic.  $\square$

The following calculations will be used in the next Section. We use the  $N$ -action presented in Section 1.6.

lema

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $\Theta: N \times M \rightarrow M$  be a smooth action. We have*

$$H_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{q}}^*(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-2q}(\Sigma)^{-(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

where

- $\bar{p}$  is a constant perversity  $p$  on  $M/S^1$  verifying  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$ ,
- $q$  denotes the integer part of  $p/2$ , and
- $\Sigma$  is the singular part of the induced  $S^1$ -action,

*Proof.* Consider an  $N$ -invariant Thom-Mather system (cf. Section 1.6). Using Mayer-Vietoris, we can suppose  $M = T_S \cup T_{j(S)}$ , for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . We write  $\tau = \tau_S \cup \tau_{j(S)}$ . Since  $\Sigma = S \cup j(S)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -invariant the we can consider the operator

$$J: \Omega^{*-2q}(\Sigma)^{-(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

the operator defined by  $J(\alpha) = \langle \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge e^q \rangle$ , where  $e$  is the Euler form of the induced  $S^1$ -action relatively to an  $N$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M$ . This metric always exists since the group  $N$  is compact. It is a well defined differential operator since

- $\|\tau^* \alpha \wedge e^q\|_S \leq \|\tau^* \alpha\|_S + \|e^q\|_S \leq 0 + \|e^q\|_S \leq 2^{b+1} 2q \leq \bar{p}(S)$ , and similarly  $\|\tau^* \alpha \wedge e^q\|_S \leq \bar{p}(j(S))$ ,
- $d(\tau^* d\alpha \wedge e^q) = \tau^* d\alpha \wedge e^q$  and the operator is a differential operator,
- $i_X(\tau^* \alpha \wedge e^q) = i_X d(\tau^* \alpha \wedge e^q) = 0$ , which gives  $\tau^* \alpha \wedge e^q \in \Omega^*((M \setminus S)/S^1)$ , and
- $j^* e = -e$ .

This last property comes from  $j_* X = -X$ , where  $X$  is the fundamental vector field of the action  $\Psi$ .

We claim that this operator is a quasi-isomorphism. Proceeding as in the proof of the Proposition 2.5 we can reduce the question to the case where the stratum  $S$  (resp.  $j(S)$ ) is the apex  $\mathbf{v}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{w}$ ) of the cone  $T_S = \dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2b+1}$  (resp.  $T_{j(S)} = \dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{w}} S^{2b+1}$ ) where the circle  $S^1$  acts orthogonally and almost freely on the sphere  $S^{2b+1}$ . We also have  $H^*(S^{2b+1}/S^1) = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^b)$ . Recall that  $\bar{e}(S) = 2$  and  $\bar{p}(S) = p$ . We distinguish two cases.

$\boxed{* \leq p - 2 \text{ or } * > p}$ . We have the equality  $\Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1)$ , similarly for  $\mathbf{w}$ , and therefore  $\Omega_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*((T_S \cup T_{j(S)})/S^1) = 0 = \Omega^{*-2q}(\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\})^{(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2} = \Omega^{*-2q}(S \cup j(S))^{(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

$\boxed{* = p - 1 \text{ or } p}$ . This gives

$$H^{*-2q}(S \cup j(S))^{(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2} = \begin{cases} H^0(S)^{-(-1)^q \mathbb{Z}_2} = 0 \text{ (if } q \text{ even) or } \mathbb{R} \text{ (if } q \text{ odd)} & \text{if } j(S) = S \\ H^0(S) = \mathbb{R} & \text{if } j(S) \cap S = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

In the  $\mathbb{R}$ -case the cohomology is generated by 1 in each term. On the other hand, the typical conical calculations of the intersection cohomology (see for example [7]) and the sequence (7) give  $H_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2b+1}/S^1) = H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b)$  generated by  $e^q$ , since  $0 \leq p = \bar{p}(S) \leq \bar{t}(S) = 2b$ . And similarly for  $\mathbf{w}$ . So,

$$H_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*((\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{v}} S^{2b+1} \cup \dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{w}} S^{2b+1})/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = \begin{cases} H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0 \text{ (if } q \text{ even) or } \mathbb{R} \text{ (if } q \text{ odd)} & \text{si } j(S) = S \\ H^{2q}(\mathbb{C}P^b) = \mathbb{R} & \text{si } j(S) \cap S = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

We get that  $J$  is a quasi-isomorphism. □

### 3. THE INTEGRATION OPERATOR $\mathfrak{f}$ .

The main tool we use in this work is the integration operator

$$\mathfrak{f}: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{\chi}}^{*-3}(M/S^3),$$

defined by  $\mathfrak{f}_3 \omega = (-1)^{\deg \omega} i_{x_3} i_{x_2} i_{x_1} \omega$ , where  $\bar{\chi}$  is the *characteristic perversity* defined by  $\bar{\chi}(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si } S \in \mathcal{S}_1 \\ 3 & \text{si } S \in \mathcal{S}_3 \end{cases}$ .

The operator  $\mathfrak{f}$  is a well defined differential operator since

- $L_A i_B = i_B L_A + [i_{[A,B]}$  when  $A, B$  are vector field s of  $M \setminus \Sigma$  and
- $\bar{p}(S) \geq \|\omega\|_S \stackrel{(11),(14)}{\geq} \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge i_{x_3} i_{x_2} i_{x_1} \omega\|_S \stackrel{(15),(17)}{=} \|i_{x_3} i_{x_2} i_{x_1} \omega\|_S + \bar{\chi}(S) = \|\mathfrak{f} \omega\|_S + \bar{\chi}(S)$  for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

where we have considered an adjusted metric  $\mu$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$ .

The goal of this Section is the computation of the cohomology of the complexes  $I_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  and  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . For the first one, we need to introduce the *Euler perversity*  $\bar{e}$ , defined by  $\bar{e}(S) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{si } S \in \mathcal{S}_1 \\ 4 & \text{si } S \in \mathcal{S}_3. \end{cases}$

For the sake of simplicity we shall write

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \mathcal{f} &= K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \left\{ \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \mid i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega = 0 \right\} \\ \text{Im } \mathcal{f} &= I_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \left\{ i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(M) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**31 Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\bar{p} \leq \bar{i}$  be a perversity on  $M$ . The natural inclusion  $I: \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^3) \hookrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism*

*Proof.* We get the inclusion if we prove that  $\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-3}(M/S^3)$ . This comes from

$$L_{X_\ell}(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha) = 0 \text{ for each } \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ (cf. (10)),}$$

and for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{S}_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha\|_S &\stackrel{(15),(17)}{\leq} \|\alpha\|_S + \bar{\chi}(S) \leq \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \bar{\chi}(S) \leq \bar{p}(S) \\ \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \alpha)\|_S &\stackrel{(11),(14)}{\leq} \max(\|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge d\alpha\|_S, \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3) \wedge \alpha\|_S) \leq \max(\bar{p}(S), \|\alpha\|_S \\ &\quad + \|d(\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3)\|_S) \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} \max(\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \|\chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3\|_S + 1) \\ &\stackrel{(15),(17)}{\leq} \max(\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(S) - \bar{e}(S) + \bar{e}(S)) = \bar{p}(S) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (6)).

In order to prove that  $I$  is a quasi-isomorphism, we proceed in several steps. We use the  $S^3$ -invariant Thom-Mather system of Section 1.2.

• *Step 1:*  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ . The action is almost-free. In this case  $\Sigma = \emptyset$  and therefore  $\Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^1) \subset I_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M) \subset \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^1) = \Omega^*((M \setminus \Sigma)/S^1)$ . In other words, the map  $I$  itself is an isomorphism.

• *Step 2:*  $M = T_S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . We have seen that  $S = S^3 \times_N S^{S^1}$ . The restriction  $\tau_S: E_S = \tau_S^{-1}(S^{S^1}) \rightarrow S^{S^1}$  is a  $N$ -invariant bundle. Notice that  $E_S$  is a filtered space whose singular strata are the connected component of  $S^{S^1}$ . The fiber of this bundle is an  $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ , for some  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ . The group  $S^1$  acts trivially on  $S^{S^1}$  and  $S^1$  orthogonally on  $\mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$  having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of  $S^1$  on the unit sphere  $S^{2b+1}$  is almost-free.

We identify  $T_S$  with the twisted product  $S^3 \times_N E_S$  and we use the calculations of Section 2.6. We have

$$(22) \quad \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/N) = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

for any perversity  $\bar{p}$ . The integration  $\mathcal{f}$  becomes the map

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{f}: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^3 \times_N E_S) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-3}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

defined by  $(\alpha, \beta, \xi) \mapsto -i_Z \beta$  (cf. Corollary 2.8). The map  $I$  becomes the inclusion

$$(24) \quad I: \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^3) = \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \left\{ i_Z \beta \mid \beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \right\}$$

since for each  $\lambda \in \Omega_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-3}(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  we have  $\zeta \wedge \lambda \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$  and  $i_Z(\zeta \wedge \lambda) = \lambda$ .

Consider now a good covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $S^{S^1}$  and  $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. The family  $\{\tau_S^{-1}(U), \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open covering of  $E_S$  having  $\{\tau_S \circ f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. These

maps are  $N$ -invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of  $\tau_S$ . This last property implies that  $\|f_U \circ \tau_S\|_S = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_S)\|_S = 0$ . So, the covering  $\mathcal{U}$  possesses a subordinated partition of unity living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(E_S)$ .

Using Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] one reduces the problem to the case  $E_S = \mathbb{R}^{\dim S^{S^1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{2b+2}$ , where  $\tau_S$  becomes the projection on the first factor. The action of the group  $S^1$  is trivial on the first factor.

Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case  $E_S = \mathbb{R}^{2b+2} = \mathring{c}S^{2b+1} = (S^{2b+1} \times [0, \infty[)/(S^{2b+1} \times \{0\})$  as filtered space. Here,  $S$  is the apex of the cone. We have  $\bar{\chi}(P) = 1, \bar{e}(P) = 2$  and  $\bar{p}(P) = \bar{p}(S) = p$ , for any connected component  $P$  of  $S^{S^1}$  and  $p \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We need to prove that the inclusion

$$I: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \hookrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1}) = \left\{ i_Z \beta \mid \beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(\mathring{c}S^{2b+1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \right\},$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. This comes directly from (19) with the equality  $j_*Z = -Z$  (cf. Section 2.6 (b)).

• *Step 3:*  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ . Consider the invariant open covering  $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\} \sqcup \{M \setminus F_1\}$  of  $M$ . We fix a smooth map  $\lambda: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  verifying  $\lambda = 1$  on  $[0, 2]$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $[3, \infty[$ . The map  $f_S: T_S \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is defined by  $f_S(x) = \lambda(v_S(x))$ . It is an  $S^3$ -invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of  $\tau_S: D_S \rightarrow S$ , which gives  $\|f_S\|_S = \|df_S\|_S = 0$ . So, the family  $\{f_S \mid S \in \mathcal{S}_1\} \sqcup \{1 - \sum f_S\}$  is a partition of unity, subordinated to  $\mathcal{V}$ , living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(M)$ . Now, it suffices to apply the Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] and the previous cases.

• *Step 4:*  $M = T_Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$ . Recall that  $\tau_Q: T_S \rightarrow Q$  is an  $S^3$ -invariant smooth bundle whose fiber is  $\mathbb{R}^{f+1}$  for some  $f \geq 3$ . In fact, the group  $S^3$  acts trivially on  $S$  and orthogonally on the fiber  $\mathbb{R}^{f+1}$  having the origin as the only fixed point. Notice that the action of  $S^3$  on the sphere  $S^f$  is a mobile action.

Consider now a good covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Q$  and  $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. The family  $\{\tau_Q^{-1}(U), \mid u \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open covering of  $T_Q$  having  $\{f_U \circ \tau_Q \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  a subordinated partition of unity. These maps are  $S^3$ -invariant smooth maps constant on the fibers of  $\tau_Q$ . This last property implies that  $\|f_U \circ \tau_Q\|_S = \|d(f_U \circ \tau_Q)\|_S = 0$  for each singular stratum  $S$  (cf. (1.2)).

Using the Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] one reduces the problem to the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^{\dim Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{f+1}$ . The action of the group  $S^3$  is trivial on the first factor.

Contracting this factor to a point, we reduce the problem to the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^{f+1} = \mathring{c}S^f = (S^f \times [0, \infty[)/(S^f \times \{0\})$ . Here, the stratum  $Q$  is the apex of the cone. We have  $\bar{\chi}(Q) = 3$  and  $\bar{e}(Q) = 4$ . The number  $p \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by  $\bar{p}(Q) = p$ . We need to prove that the inclusion

$$I: \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \hookrightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^*(\mathring{c}S^f) = \left\{ i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+3}(\mathring{c}S^f) \right\}.$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Notice first that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* < p-4}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* < p-4}((S^f/S^3) \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-4}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \left\{ \beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-4}((S^f/S^3) \times ]0, \infty[) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } (S^f/S^3) \times ]0, 2[ \right\}, \\ \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* > p-2}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) &= \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{* > p-2}((S^f/S^3) \times ]0, \infty[, (S^f/S^3) \times ]0, 2[), \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{* < p-3}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{ i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+3 < p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \right\} \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{p-3}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{ i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^p(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \mid d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times ]0, 2[ \right\}, \text{ and} \\ I_{\bar{p}}^{* > p-3}(\mathring{c}S^f) &= \left\{ i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega \mid \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+3 > p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[, S^f \times ]0, 2[) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-4}(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \cap d^{-1}(0) = \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{p-4}((S^f/S^3) \times ]0, \infty[) \cap d^{-1}(0)$  then Step 3 gives that  $I^*: H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(\mathring{c}S^f/S^3) \rightarrow H^*(I_{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c}S^f))$  is an isomorphism for  $* \geq p-3$ .



$\boxed{* = p - 3}$  Since  $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{t}}^{p-3}(\dot{c}S^f/S^3) = 0$  (cf. [18]) then we need to prove  $H_{\bar{p}}^{p-3}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\dot{c}S^f)) = 0$ , that is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^p(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \text{with } d\omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times ]0, 2[ \text{ and } di_X \omega = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \eta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{p-1}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \text{with } i_X \omega = di_X \eta. \end{array} \right.$$

If  $p = 0$  then we can consider  $\eta = 0$  since  $\omega$  is constant. Let us suppose  $p \geq 1$ . Consider  $\eta' = \int_1^- \omega$ . It is an element of  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{p-1}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$  since the action of  $S^3$  on the  $]0, \infty[$ -factor is trivial. A straightforward calculation gives

$$\omega = \text{pr}^* \omega(1) + d\eta' + \int_1^- d\omega.$$

Here,  $\omega(1)$  is the restriction of  $\omega$  to  $S^f \times \{1\}$  and  $\text{pr} : S^f \times ]0, \infty[ \rightarrow S^f \times \{1\}$  is the map defined by  $\text{pr}(x, t) = (x, 1)$ . A well known calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega &= i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \text{pr}^* \omega(1) + i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} d\eta' + i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \int_1^- d\omega \\ &= i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \text{pr}^* \omega(1) - di_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \eta' - \int_1^- di_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega = i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \text{pr}^* \omega(1) - di_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \eta'. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis the differential form  $\text{pr}^* \omega(1)$  is a cycle of  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^p(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$ . Condition  $\bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  implies  $p \leq \text{codim } Q - 2 = f - 1$ . This gives the existence of  $\eta'' \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{p-1}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$  with  $\text{pr}^* \omega(1) = d\eta''$ . We need the proof taking  $\eta = -\eta' - \eta''$ .

$\boxed{* \geq p - 2}$ . Since  $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{t}}^{* \geq p-2}(\dot{c}S^f/S^3) = 0$  (cf. [18]) then we need to prove  $H_{\bar{p}}^{* \geq p-2}(I_{\bar{p}}^*(\dot{c}S^f)) = 0$ , that is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+3 \geq p+1}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \text{ with } di_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega = 0 \\ \text{and } \omega \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times ]0, 2[ \end{array} \right\} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \eta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+2 \geq p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \text{ with } i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \omega = di_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \eta \\ \text{and } d\eta \equiv 0 \text{ on } S^f \times ]0, 2[. \end{array} \right.$$

Same proof as before with  $\omega(1) = 0$ .

• *Final Step.* Consider the invariant open covering  $\mathcal{V} = \{T_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{S}_3\} \sqcup \{M \setminus F_3\}$  of  $M$ . We fix a smooth map  $\lambda : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  verifying  $\lambda = 1$  on  $[0, 2]$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $[3, \infty[$ . The map  $f_Q : T_Q \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is defined by  $f_Q(x) = \lambda(\nu_Q(x))$ . It is an  $S^3$ -invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of  $\tau_Q : D_Q \rightarrow Q$ , which gives  $\|f_Q\|_S = \|df_Q\|_S = 0$  for each singular stratum  $S$  (cf. (1.2)). So, the family  $\{f_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{S}_3\} \sqcup \{1 - \sum f_Q\}$  is a partition of unity, subordinated to  $\mathcal{V}$ , living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(M)$ . Now, it suffices to apply the Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] and the previous cases.  $\square$

Let us study the complex  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . Since the complex  $\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$  is included in  $\ker f$  we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \hookrightarrow K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow \frac{K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)}{\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)} \rightarrow 0.$$

This allows us to compute the the cohomology of  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  in terms of the intersection cohomology  $H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$  and the cohomology of the complex  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \frac{K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)}{\Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)}$ . In fact, we are going to prove that this cohomology is residual relatively to the strata of  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . The calculation of  $H^*(C_{\bar{p}}^*(M))$  is carried out in several steps through the following restrictions

$$M \rightsquigarrow T_Q \sqcup T_S \rightsquigarrow Q \sqcup T_S \rightsquigarrow Q \sqcup S^{S^1} = \bar{S}^{S^1}$$

where  $S$  ranges over the strata of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$  with  $\bar{Q} \subset S$ . We proceed in several steps.

Lemma 2

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action with  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ . For any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  with  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  we have*

$$(25) \quad H^* \left( C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \right) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H^{*-2p_S-2} \left( S^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2},$$

where the number  $p_S$  denotes the integer part of  $\bar{p}(S)/2$ .

*Proof.* We proceed in several steps.

• *Step 1:*  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \emptyset$ . We do not have any singular stratum. We need to prove that the LHS of the equality (25) is 0. Let  $\langle \omega \rangle$  be a cycle of  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ . The canonical decomposition of  $\omega$  is

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \chi_1 \wedge \omega_1 + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_2 + \chi_3 \wedge \omega_3 + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{12} + \chi_1 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{13} + \chi_2 \wedge \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{23}$$

(cf. (11)). The differential form  $\eta = -\chi_1 \wedge \omega_{23} + \chi_2 \wedge \omega_{13} - \chi_3 \wedge \omega_{12}$  belongs to  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  since  $\eta \in \underline{\Omega}^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  (cf. (10) and (2.3)), and  $i_{X_3} i_{X_2} i_{X_1} \eta = 0$ .

The differential form  $\omega' = \omega - d\eta$  verifies  $\omega'_{12} = \omega'_{13} = \omega'_{23} = \omega'_{123} = 0$ . Since  $d\omega' = d\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$  then  $\omega'_1 = \omega'_2 = \omega'_3 = 0$  and therefore  $\omega' = \omega'_0 \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)$ . So,  $[\langle \omega \rangle] = [\langle \omega' \rangle] = [0] = 0$ .

• *Step 2:*  $M = T_S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . In this case  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S\}$  where  $S$  is a closed stratum. Using (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) &= \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \left\{ \beta \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid i_Z \beta = 0 \right\} \oplus \left\{ \xi \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-1}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(18)}{=} \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \left\{ \xi \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-1}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \mid L_Z L_Z \xi = -\xi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \stackrel{(22)}{=} \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . Following Proposition 2.8 it suffices to compute the cohomology of the quotient

$$\frac{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}}{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}},$$

relatively to the differential  $D'' \langle (\alpha, \beta) \rangle = \langle (d\alpha, d\beta - i_Z \alpha) \rangle$ . Following Remark 2.6 (a) this complex is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\frac{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-1}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}}{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S/S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} = \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-1}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

endowed with the differential  $D''(\lambda, \beta) = (-d\lambda, d\beta - \lambda)$ . This complex is quasi-isomorphic to  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

The induced action  $\Theta: N \times E_S \rightarrow E_S$  and the induced perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $E_S$  verify the conditions of Corollary 2.9, with  $\Sigma = S^{S^1}$  and  $q = p_S$ . So, we get  $H_{\bar{p}/\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-2}(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-2p_S-2} \left( S^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

• *Final step.* Using Mayer-Vietoris as in the Step 3 of the proof of the Proposition 3.1. □

The first step of (3) comes from the following result.

Lemma 22

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  with  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  the restriction induces the quasi-isomorphism  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_1} \cup T_{\Sigma_3})$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the invariant open covering  $\{U = T_{\Sigma_1} \cup T_{\Sigma_3}, V = M \setminus (\nu_1^{-1}([0, 3/4]) \cup \nu_3^{-1}([0, 3/4]))\}$  of  $M$ . We have the Gysin sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \longrightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(U) \oplus C_{\bar{p}}^*(V) \longrightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(U \cap V) \longrightarrow 0$$

Following Lemma 3.2 we know that the complexes  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(V)$  and  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(U \cap V)$  are acyclic. So, the restriction  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(U)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.  $\square$

The term  $Q \sqcup T_S$  appearing in (3) is not a manifold, but it is possible to define the complex  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(-)$  on it using the following notion.

def35

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. We consider a perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$ . For each open subset  $U \subset M$  we define

$$\Xi_{\bar{p}}^*(U) = \{\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(U \setminus \Sigma_3) \mid \omega \text{ and } d\omega \text{ verify condition (26)}\},$$

where this condition is

zarra

$$(26) \quad \omega(v_0, \dots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)}, -) = 0 \text{ where } v_0, \dots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)} \text{ are vectors tangent to the fibers of } \tau_Q: (D_Q \cap U) \rightarrow Q,$$

for each  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$ . We analogously define  $\Xi_{\bar{p}}^*(U/S^3)$  if  $U \subset M$  is an  $S^3$ -invariant open subset.

We define

$$\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S) = \frac{\widehat{K}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S) = K_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S) \cap \Xi_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S)}{\Xi_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S/S^3)}.$$

We clearly have  $\Xi_{\bar{p}}^*(U) = \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(U)$  and  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(U) = C_{\bar{p}}^*(U)$  if  $U \supset T_{\Sigma_3}$  or if  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ . In particular, we have

gorro

$$(27) \quad C_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_1} \cup T_{\Sigma_3}) = \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_1} \cup T_{\Sigma_3}).$$

lema3

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{p}$  on  $M$  with  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  the restriction induces the quasi-isomorphism  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_3} \cup T_{\Sigma_1}) \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_1})$ .

*Proof.* Using Mayer-Vietoris, it suffices to prove that the restriction  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_3}) \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_3} \cap T_{\Sigma_1})$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Proceeding as in the Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can suppose that  $T_{\Sigma_3} = \mathring{c}S^f$  where  $S^3$  acts orthogonally without fixed points on the sphere  $S^f$  and trivially on the radius of the cone. The subset  $\Sigma_3$  is the apex  $v$  of the cone. Moreover, we have  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_3}) = \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$  and  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_3} \cap T_{\Sigma_1}) = \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(]0, \infty[ \times (S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1}))$ . In this context, condition (26) becomes

$$\omega(v_0, \dots, v_p, -) = 0 \text{ where } v_0, \dots, v_p \text{ are vectors of } (S^f \setminus \Sigma_1) \times ]0, 2[ \text{ (resp. } ((S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1}) \times ]0, 2[) \setminus \Sigma_1).$$

Here,  $p = \bar{p}(v)$ . In order to prove that the restriction  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*((S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1}) \times ]0, \infty[)$  is a quasi-isomorphism we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^{* < p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) &= C_{\bar{p}}^{* < p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \\ \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^p(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) &= \left\{ \langle \beta \rangle \in C_{\bar{p}}^{* < p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) \mid d\beta \equiv 0 \text{ on } (S^f/S^3) \times ]0, 2[ \right\}, \\ \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^{* > p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[) &= C_{\bar{p}}^{* > p}(S^f \times ]0, \infty[, S^f \times ]0, 2[), \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the operator  $\text{pr} : C_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f) \rightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$  induced by the canonical projection. Following (3) we get  $\langle \omega \rangle = \text{pr} \langle \omega(1) \rangle + d \langle \int_{-}^1 \omega \rangle + \langle \int_{-}^1 d\omega \rangle$ . We get that the operator  $\text{pr} : \tau_p C_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f) = C_{\bar{p}}^{< p}(S^f) \oplus (C_{\bar{p}}^p(S^f) \cap d^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \times ]0, \infty[)$ , induced by the canonical projection, is a quasi-isomorphism. In a similar way we prove that the operator

dabis

$$(28) \quad \text{pr} : \tau_p C_{\bar{p}}^*((S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1})) \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*((S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1}) \times ]0, \infty[),$$

induced by the canonical projection, is a quasi-isomorphism. So, the question becomes to prove that the restriction  $\tau_p C_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f) \rightarrow \tau_p \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \cap T_{\Sigma_1})$  induces a quasi-isomorphism, which is granted by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

By the definition of the complex  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(-)$  we have the equality  $\widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_{\Sigma_1}) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S)$ .

355

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  on  $M$  and any stratum  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$  we have*

$$H^* \left( \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S) \right) = H_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \overline{S}^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the family  $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q \in \mathcal{S}_3 \mid Q \subset \overline{S}\}$ . Recall that  $\overline{S}^{S^1}$  (cf. Section 1.4) is a filtered space. The regular part (resp. singular strata) of  $\overline{S}^{S^1}$  is  $S^{S^1}$  (resp. are  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ ). So,

$$\Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^* \left( \overline{S}^{S^1} \right) = \left\{ \alpha \in \Omega^* \left( S^{S^1} \right) \mid \alpha \text{ and } d\alpha \text{ verify condition (26) for } \overline{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(Q) - 2p_S - 2 \text{ where } Q \in \mathcal{Q} \right\}.$$

Let us define the operator

$$J_S : \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \overline{S}^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S)$$

by

JJS

$$(29) \quad J_S(\alpha) = \left\langle \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\zeta_S \in \Omega_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(E_S/S^1)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$  the Euler form of the  $S^1$ -action on  $E_S$  relatively to an  $N$ -invariant metric on  $T_S$  (cf. Section 2.6).

• *Step 1: The operator  $J_S$  is well defined.* Since  $\tau_S: T_S \rightarrow S^{S^1}$  is an  $S^3$ -equivariant map then we have  $\tau_S^* \alpha \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2p_S-2}(E_S)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \overline{S}^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}$  and therefore  $\tau_S^* \alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}^{*-2}(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . For the perverse degree, we have

$$\|\tau_S^* \alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S}\|_S \leq \|\zeta_S^{p_S}\|_S \leq 2p_S \leq \bar{p}(S),$$

and similarly for the differential  $d(\tau_S^* \alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S}) = \tau_S^* d\alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S}$ . We conclude that  $\tau_S^* \alpha \wedge \zeta_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(E_S)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . Applying (21) we get that  $\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(T_S = S^3 \times_N E_S)$  with  $\int \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge e_S^{p_S} \wedge \tau_S^* \alpha = 0$ .

It remains to prove that the differential form  $\eta = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}$  and its differential  $d\eta = \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^* d\alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S}$  verify condition (26) for  $\bar{p}(Q)$ , where  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Consider a family  $v_0, \dots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)}$  of vectors tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_Q: (D_Q \cap (T_S \setminus S)) \rightarrow Q$ . Up to a reordering we get that  $\eta(v_0, \dots, v_{\bar{p}(Q)})$  is a multiple of  $\alpha(\tau_{S,*}(v_0), \dots, \tau_{S,*}(v_{\bar{p}(Q)-2p_S-2}))$ . Condition (1.2) implies that the vectors  $\tau_{S,*}(v_\bullet)$  are tangent to the fibers of  $\tau_Q: D_Q \cap S \rightarrow Q$ . Since  $\alpha$  verifies condition (26) for  $\overline{P}_S(Q)$  the we get  $\alpha(\tau_{S,*}(v_0), \dots, \tau_{S,*}(v_{\bar{p}(Q)-2p_S-2})) = 0$ . So,  $\eta$  verifies condition (26) for  $\bar{p}(Q)$ . Same argument applies to  $d\eta$ .

We conclude that  $\gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \tau_S^* \alpha \wedge e_S^{p_S} \in \ker \int$ . The operator  $J_S$  is well defined.

• *Step 2: The operator  $J_S$  is a quasi-isomorphism when  $M = T_Q$  for some  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$  with  $Q \subset \overline{S}$ .* Proceeding as in the Step 4 of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we can suppose that  $M = T_Q = \mathring{c}S^f$  where  $S^3$  acts orthogonally without fixed points on the sphere  $S^f$  and trivially on the radius of the cone. Notice that the action of  $S^3$  on the sphere  $S^f$  is a mobile action. The stratum  $Q$  is the apex  $v$  of the cone.

Since  $\overline{S} = \mathring{c}(S \cap S^f)$  and  $\overline{S}^{S^1} = \mathring{c}(S^{S^1} \cap S^f)$  then  $J_S$  becomes

$$J_S : \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \mathring{c}(S^{S^1} \cap S^f) \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{\bar{p}}^*((S^f \cap T_S) \times ]0, \infty[)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. We know from [18] that the operator  $\text{pr} : \tau_Q \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^*(S^{S^1} \cap S^f) \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^*(\mathring{c}(S^{S^1} \cap S^f))$ , induced by the canonical projection, is a quasi-isomorphism. Here,  $q = \overline{P}_S(Q) = \bar{p}(v) - 2p_S - 2 = p - 2p_S - 2$ . Using (28) we conclude that it suffices to prove that

$$J_S : \Omega_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( S^{S^1} \cap S^f \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(S^f \cap T_S)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Following Lemma 3.2, we get the claim.

• *Final step.* Consider the invariant open covering  $\mathcal{V} = \{T_S \cap T_3, T_S \setminus \nu_3^{-1}([0, 2])\}$  of  $T_S$ . We fix a smooth map  $\lambda: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  verifying  $\lambda = 1$  on  $[0, 3]$  and  $\lambda = 0$  on  $[4, \infty[$ . The map  $f: T_S \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is defined by  $f(x) = \lambda(\nu_3(x))$ . It is an  $S^3$ -invariant smooth map, constant on the fibers of  $\tau_3: D_3 \rightarrow \Sigma_3$ , which gives  $\|f\|_S = \|df\|_S = \|f\|_Q = \|df\|_Q = 0$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{S}_3$  with  $Q \subset \bar{S}$ . So, the family  $\{f, 1 - f\}$  is a partition of unity, subordinated to  $\mathcal{V}$ , living in  $\underline{\Omega}_0^*(T_S)$ . Now, it suffices to apply the Bredon's trick [5, pag. 289] and the previous cases.  $\square$

36 **Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  on  $M$  we have*

$$H^* \left( C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \right) = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \bar{S}^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider Lemma 3.3, (27), Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. GYSIN BRAID FOR A MOBILE ACTION

We construct two Gysin sequences associated to a mobile action  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ . These sequences relate the cohomology of the manifold  $M$  with the intersection cohomology of the orbit space  $M/S^3$ . We have two sequences since there are two different ways to approach the cohomology of  $M$  by the intersection cohomology of  $M/S^3$ : by the left or by the right.

The first version, the left one, uses the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow \frac{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)}{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\bar{p}$  is a perversity on  $M$ . The quotient  $G_{\bar{p}}^*(M) = \frac{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)}{\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3)}$  is the *Gysin term*.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  on  $M$  we have the long exact sequence, the Gysin Sequence,*

gys1 (30)  $\dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-1} \left( G_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \right) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$

The cohomology of the Gysin term is determined by the long exact sequence

gys2 (31)  $\dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1} \left( G_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \right) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-4}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{p}_S}^{*-2p_S-2} \left( \bar{S}^{S^1} \right)^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow H^* \left( G_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \right) \longrightarrow \dots$

*Proof.* The first long exact sequence comes from (4) and from the fact that the complex  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  computes the cohomology of  $M$  since  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  (cf. Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1). We now consider the the short exact sequence

otra (32)  $0 \longrightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \longrightarrow G_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} I_{\bar{p}}^{*-3}(M) \longrightarrow 0,$

where  $\bar{f}\langle \omega \rangle = f\omega$ . The second long exact sequence comes from the fact that the cohomology of  $I_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  is  $H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^3)$  (cf. Proposition 3.1) and from Proposition 3.7.  $\square$

The second version, the right one, uses the short exact sequence

$$(33) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow I_{\bar{p}}^{*-3}(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

By symmetry, we say that the complex  $K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  is the *co-Gysin term* of the action.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  on  $M$  we have the long exact sequence, the Gysin Sequence,*

$$(34) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-4}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

The cohomology of the co-Gysin term is determined by the long exact sequence

$$(35) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{p_S}^{*-3-2p_S}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(K_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) \longrightarrow \dots$$


*Proof.* The first long sequence comes from (33) and from the fact that the complex  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  computes the cohomology of  $M$  since  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  (cf. Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1). The second long exact sequence comes from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \rightarrow K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow C_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \rightarrow 0$$

and Proposition 3.7. □

Four previous long exact sequences (30), (31), (34) and (35) can be gathered through in an exact braid diagram.

**Definition 4.1.** *Let us consider six chain complexes  $A^*, B^*, C^*, D^*, E^*$  and  $F^*$ . A braid is a diagram of chain maps of the form*



It is a commutative braid when all the triangles and diamonds are commutative. If the long sequences ①, ②, ③ and ④ are exact we say that braid is an *exact braid*.

An exact and commutative braid possesses the two following properties.


B1- The following long sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow E^* \xrightarrow{(\textcircled{3}, \textcircled{4})} B^* \oplus D^* \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2}} F^* \xrightarrow{\textcircled{3}, \textcircled{2}} E^{*+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$


is exact (see for example [9, pag. 39-41]).

B2- The top and bottom sequences of the braid are semi-exact sequences and both have the same exactness defaults:  $\ker \textcircled{3} / \text{Im } \textcircled{1} = \ker \textcircled{4} / \text{Im } \textcircled{2}, \dots$  (see for example [14, pag.148]).

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{t}$  on  $M$  we have the exact commutative braid, the Gysin braid:*




*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram



where the short sequences are exact. In fact, the two horizontal short sequences (resp. vertical short sequences) generate the long exact sequences ① and ③ (resp. ④ and ②). Here, the maps  $\iota$  are inclusions and maps  $\pi$  are projections. Recall that the cohomologies of the complexes  $C_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  and  $I_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  are respectively

$$\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{p}S}^{*-2p_S-2}(\overline{S}^{S^1})^{-(1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} \text{ and } H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^*(M/S^3) \text{ (cf. Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.1).}$$

Considering the complexes  $A^* = \Omega_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \subset D^* = K_{\bar{p}}^*(M) \subset B^* = \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$ , the previous diagram becomes



where the involved maps are inclusions and natural projections. A straightforward diagram chasing gives the commutative and exact braid

which is the Gysin braid.  $\square$

In some cases, the sequence (31) splits and the sequence (30) is closer to the classical Gysin sequence. In particular, we find the Gysin sequence (3) of [15].

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. When  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$  the long exact sequence (31) splits on the connecting homomorphism and we have the long exact sequence*

$$(36) \quad \dots \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(M) \longrightarrow H^{*-4}(M/S^3, \Sigma/S^3) \oplus H^{*-3}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow H^*(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^*(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$  then  $p_S = 0$  and  $\bar{P}_S = -2$  for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$ . We have,

$$(37) \quad \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{P}_S}^{*-2p_S-3}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{-2}^{*-3}(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{[7, \text{Prop. } 13.5]}{=} \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H^{*-3}(\bar{S}^{S^1}, \bar{S}^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} \\ = H^{*-3}(M^{S^1}, M^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^{*-3}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

since  $H^{*-3}(M^{S^3})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$ .

Consider a cycle  $\omega \in I_{\bar{0}}^*(M)$  and compute the connecting homomorphism  $\delta[\omega]$  of the sequence (31). We have seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1 that  $\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^{*+3}(M)$  with  $f(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega) = \omega$ . Using (12) we get

$$d(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega = (e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) \wedge \omega - d((e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega).$$

Since  $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{0}-\bar{x}}^*(M/S^3)$  then  $\omega$  vanishes on  $D_1 \sqcup D_3$  and therefore, so does any multiple of  $\omega$ . So,  $(e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) \wedge \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{0}-\bar{x}}^*(M/S^3)$  and  $(e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega \in \underline{\Omega}_{\bar{0}}^*(M)$ . This gives

$$d\langle \chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1 \wedge \omega \rangle = \langle d(\chi_3 \wedge \chi_2 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega \rangle = \langle (e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2) \wedge \omega - d((e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega) \rangle \\ = -\langle d(e_3 \wedge \chi_3 + e_2 \wedge \chi_2 + e_1 \wedge \chi_1) \wedge \omega \rangle$$

which gives  $\delta[\omega] = 0$ . Now, the sequence (36) comes from (30) (cf. [7, Proposition 13.4]), (32) and (37).  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.**

(a) The exotic term  $\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{P}_S}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . When the exotic terms vanishes the Gysin Braid becomes the long exact sequence (4). This happens when  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \emptyset$ , for example, when the acion  $\Phi$  is almost-free (i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ ) or semi-free.

We have seen in the proof of the above Corollary that the exotic term can be simplified when  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ . In this case we have  $\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{\bar{P}_S}^*(\bar{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S}\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ .



The isotropy subgroup of a point of  $M^{S^1} \setminus M^{S^3}$  is conjugated to  $S^1$  or  $N$ . Let us suppose that the first situation does not appear, that is, the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts trivially on  $M^{S^1}$ . This implies that  $H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$ .

Equality (37) is still true when  $\bar{p} = 4p, 4p + 1 \pmod 4$  on  $\mathcal{S}_1$ ,  $\bar{p} = q$  on  $\mathcal{S}_3$  and  $q - 4p \leq 1$ . We have

$$H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1})/H^*(M/S^3),$$

since  $H^*(M^{S^1}) = H^*(M^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M^{S^1}/\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^*(M/S^3) \oplus H^*(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

Notice that  $\bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H_{p_S}^*(\overline{S}^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2} = \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} H^*(S^{S^1})^{-(-1)^{p_S} \mathbb{Z}_2}$  when  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ .

(b) Property B1 gives three long exact sequences of this type

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^*(K_{\bar{p}}(M)) \longrightarrow H^*(G_{\bar{p}}(M)) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{p}-\bar{e}}^{*-3}(M/S^3) \oplus H_{\bar{p}}^{*+1}(M/S^3) \longrightarrow H^{*+1}(K_{\bar{p}}(M)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

relating the cohomologies of the Gysin and co-Gysin terms.

(c) Consider the case  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ . Since the bottom map ② of the Gysin braid vanishes (cf. Corollary 4.2) a diagram chasing gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Im } \textcircled{1}_{top} \hookrightarrow \ker \textcircled{3}_{top} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}^{-1} \circ \textcircled{1}} \ker \textcircled{4}_{bottom} \longrightarrow 0,$$

(cf. B2).

(d) The splitting property given by this Corollary is not a general one as the following example shows.

Let us consider the manifold  $M = S^a \star S^2 \star S^3 = S^{a+7}$  with  $a \geq 1$ . The action  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  is defined in each factor:

- $S^3$  acts trivially on  $S^a$ .
- $S^3$  acts on the left of the homogeneous space  $S^2 = S^3/S^1$ .
- $S^3$  acts on the left of  $S^3$  by multiplication on  $S^3$ .

We put  $\{b_1, b_2\}$  the two points of  $S^2$  whose isotropy subgroup is  $S^1$ .

We have  $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{Q = S^a\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{S = (S^a \star S^2) \setminus S^a\}$ . Notice that

$$M^{S^1} = \overline{S}^{S^1} = (S^a \star S^2)^{S^1} = S^a \star (S^2)^{S^1} = S^a \star \{b_1, b_2\},$$

the action of  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  interchanges both points.

The orbit space  $M/S^3$  is the filtered space  $S^a \star (S^2 \star S^3)/S^3 = S^a \star \Sigma S^2 = S^{a+4}$  endowed with the filtration  $S^a \subset S^a \star \{P\} \subset S^a \star \Sigma S^2 = S^{a+4}$  where  $P$  is one of the two apices of  $\Sigma S^2$ .

The perversity  $\bar{p}$  is given by two numbers  $(p_1, p_3) = (\bar{p}(S), \bar{p}(Q))$ . Since  $\dim M = a + 7$ ,  $\dim Q = a$  and  $\dim S = a + 3$  then the condition  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  becomes  $(0, 0) \leq (p_1, p_3) \leq (2, 5)$ . In particular, the perversities  $\bar{0} = (0, 0)$  and  $\bar{e} = (2, 4)$  satisfy this condition. If  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$  (resp.  $\bar{e}$ ) then  $\bar{p}_S = -2$  (resp.  $\bar{0}$ ).

A straightforward calculation using [7, Proposition 13.5] gives

$$\begin{aligned}
+ H^i(M) &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = 0, a + 7, \\
+ H_{-\bar{e}}^i(M/S^3) = H^i(S^{a+4}, S^a \star \{P\}) &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = a + 4 \\
+ H_0^i(M/S^3) = H^i(S^{a+4}) &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = 0, a + 4, \\
+ H_{\bar{e}}^i(M/S^3) = H^i(S^{a+4} \setminus (S^a \star \{P\})) &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = 0, \\
+ H_0^i(\overline{S}^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^i(S^{a+1} \setminus S^a)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2} &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = 0 \\
+ H_{-\bar{e}}^i(\overline{S}^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = H^i(S^{a+1}, S^a)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= \mathbb{R} \text{ if } i = a + 1
\end{aligned}$$

and 0 for the other values of  $i$ .

One easily checks that the sequence the long exact sequence (31) does not split on the connecting homomorphism when  $\bar{p} = \bar{e}$ . Also, this example shows that the long exact sequence (35) does not split on the connecting homomorphism, even in the case  $\bar{p} = \bar{0}$ .

(e) We can deduce the Gysin sequence (1) from the Smith-Gysin sequence (2) as follows.

Let  $G = S^k$  act semifreely on  $M$  and denote by  $F$  the fixed points set (where  $k$  is either 1 or 3). The quotient map  $\pi: M \rightarrow B$  is continuous and its restriction to  $M \setminus F$  is a  $G$ -principal bundle over  $B \setminus F$ , where we have harmlessly identified  $F$  with  $\pi(F)$ . That restriction induces the pullback  $\pi^*: \Omega_v^*(B) \rightarrow \Omega_v^*(M)$  in the Verona complexes, which eventually induces, by isomorphism in cohomology, a map in cohomology that we denote in the same way  $\pi^*: H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(M)$ . We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^i(B, F) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & H^i(B) & \xrightarrow{\rho_B} & H^i(F) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^{i+1}(B, F) \\
\downarrow (1, \iota) & & \downarrow (\pi^*, 1_B) & & \downarrow (0, 1_F) & & \downarrow (1, \iota) \\
H^i(B, F) \oplus H^i(B) & \xrightarrow{(\pi^* \circ \iota, 1_B)} & H^i(M) \oplus H^i(B) & \xrightarrow{(\int, \pi^* \circ \rho_M)} & H^{i-k}(B, F) \oplus H^i(F) & \xrightarrow{(\wedge [e] + \delta, 0)} & H^{i+1}(B, F) \oplus H^{i+1}(B) \\
\downarrow \iota - 1_B & & \downarrow 1_M - \pi^* & & \downarrow proj_1 & & \downarrow \iota - 1_B \\
H^i(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H^i(M) & \xrightarrow{\int} & H^{i-k}(B, F) & \xrightarrow{\wedge [e]} & H^{i+1}(B) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$


where the top horizontal sequence is the long exact sequence of the pair  $(B, F)$ . The middle sequence is just the direct sum of the Smith-Gysin sequence of [3] and the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^i(B) \xrightarrow{1_B} H^i(B) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^{i+1}(B) \xrightarrow{1_B} H^{i+1}(B) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$


thus exact. It is straightforwardly checked that the diagram above is commutative. A diagram chase only using the commutativity of the diagram, the exactness of the two horizontal sequences mentioned above and the exactness of the vertical short sequences shows that the bottom long sequence (the Gysin sequence) is also exact.

We end the Section by studying the behaviour of the Gysin and co-Gysin terms when the perversity changes.


**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a mobile action. For any perversities  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{q} \leq \bar{t}$  on  $M$  we have the exact commutative braids,



and




*Proof.* Let us consider the exact and commutative diagrams



where the short sequences are exact, maps  $\iota_\bullet$  are inclusions and maps  $\pi_\bullet$  are projections. We know from [1, 18] that  $\iota_2$  is a quasi-isomorphism. So, the bottom exact sequences give  $H^*(\text{Coker } \iota_3) = H_{q/p}^{*+1}(M/S^3)$  and  $H^{*+4}(\text{Coker } \iota_4) = H^*(\text{Coker } \iota_6) = H_{(q-p)/(p-p)}^*(M/S^3)$  (cf. Proposition 3.1).

Since  $\underline{\Omega}_p^*(M) \cap \underline{\Omega}_q^*(M/S^3) = \underline{\Omega}_{p-bar}^*(M/S^3)$  and  $\underline{\Omega}_p^*(M) \cap K_q^*(M) = K_p^*(M)$  then both diagrams fit in the following pattern



where  $A^*, B^*, D^*, E^*$  are complexes and involved maps are inclusions and natural projections. We also know that  $E^*/B^*$  is an acyclic complex. This gives the isomorphisms  $H^*(B^*) = H^*(E^*)$  induced by  $[b] \mapsto [b]$ , and  $H^*(E^*/(B^* + D^*)) = H^{*+1}(D^*/(B^* \cap D^*))$ , defined by  $[\bar{x}] \mapsto [\bar{z}]$ , where  $dx = y + z \in B^* + D^*$ . A straightforward diagram chase gives the commutative and exact braid

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \textcircled{1} & & \textcircled{3} & & \textcircled{2} \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \\
 H^*(B \cap D) & & & H^*(B) & & H^*(E/D) & & H^{*+1}(D/B \cap D) \\
 & \searrow \textcircled{4} & & \searrow \textcircled{1} & & \searrow \textcircled{3} & & \searrow \textcircled{4} \\
 & & H^*(D) & & H^*(B/B \cap D) & & H^{*+1}(D) & \\
 & \nearrow \textcircled{3} & & \nearrow \textcircled{2} & & \nearrow \textcircled{4} & & \nearrow \textcircled{3} \\
 H^{*-1}(E/D) & & & H^*(D/B \cap D) & & H^{*+1}(B \cap D) & & H^{*+1}(B) \\
 & \searrow \textcircled{2} & & \searrow \textcircled{4} & & \searrow \textcircled{1} & & \searrow \textcircled{1} \\
 & & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

This braid gives the two braids of the statement of the Proposition.  $\square$

### 5. GYSIN SEQUENCE FOR A NON-MOBILE ACTION

In this section we deal with a non-mobile action  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$ . The family of regular strata (resp. singular strata) is  $\mathcal{S}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_3$ ). A perversity is a map  $\bar{p}: \mathcal{S}_3 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ , that is, a family of numbers  $\{\bar{p}(Q) \mid Q \in \mathcal{S}_3\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ . We consider on  $M/S^3, M^{S^1}$  the induced filtered space structure. Notice that the family of singular strata is still  $\mathcal{S}_3$ .

ThD

**Theorem D.** *Let  $\Phi: S^3 \times M \rightarrow M$  be a non-mobile action. For any perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  on  $M$  we have*

$$(38) \quad H^*(M) = H_{\bar{p}}^*(M/S^3) \oplus H_{\bar{p}-2}^{*-2}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

*Proof.* Since the action of  $S^3$  on  $M \setminus \Sigma$  has no fixed points, the assignment  $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$ , establishes an  $S^3$ -equivariant diffeomorphism between the twisted product  $S^3 \times_N (M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)$  and  $M \setminus \Sigma$ . Here,  $\Sigma = M^{S^3}$  is the union of singular strata. Following Corollary 21 we have

$$\underline{\Omega}^*(M \setminus \Sigma) = \Omega^*(M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}(M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

since  $Z = 0$ . A differential form  $\omega \in \underline{\Omega}^*(M \setminus \Sigma)$  is  $\alpha + \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \beta$  with  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Omega^*(M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus \Omega^{*-2}(M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)^{-\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . It remains to compute the perverse degree  $\|\omega\|_Q$ .

We consider an  $S^3$ -invariant Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_M = \{T_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{S}_3\}$ . It induces the  $N$ -invariant Thom-Mather system  $\mathfrak{T}_{M^{S^1}} = \{T_Q \cap M^{S^1} \mid Q \in \mathcal{S}_3\}$  on  $M^{S^1}$  (cf. Section 1.6). Notice that  $T_Q \setminus Q = S^3 \times_N ((T_Q \cap M^{S^1}) \setminus Q)$ . The map  $\tau_Q: T_Q \setminus Q \rightarrow Q$  becomes  $\langle g, x \rangle \mapsto \tau_Q(x)$ . So, the fiber of  $\tau_Q$  over a point  $y \in Q$  is  $S^3 \times_N ((\tau_Q^{-1}(y) \cap M^{S^1}) \setminus Q)$ . This gives

$$\|\omega\|_Q = \max\{\|\alpha\|_Q, 2 + \|\beta\|_Q\}.$$

and therefore

$$H^*(M) = H^*(\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)) = H_{\bar{p}}^*(M^{S^1})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \oplus H_{\bar{p}-2}^{*-2}(M^{S^1})^{-\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

since the complex  $\underline{\Omega}_{\bar{p}}^*(M)$  computes the cohomology of  $M$  for the perversity  $\bar{0} \leq \bar{p} \leq \bar{1}$  (cf. Section 1.3 and Proposition 2.1). Finally, we get (38) from  $(M^{S^1} \setminus \Sigma)/\mathbb{Z}_2 = (M \setminus \Sigma)/S^3$ .  $\square$

obile

final

## REFERENCES

- 43404 [1] J.-P. Brasselet, G. Hector, and M. Saralegi. Théorème de de Rham pour les variétés stratifiées. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 9(3):211–243, 1991.
- Gysin [2] G. E. Bredon. Cohomology fibre spaces, the Smith-Gysin sequence, and orientation in generalized manifolds. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 10(4):321 – 333, 1963.
- gysin [3] G. E. Bredon. Cohomology fibre spaces, the Smith-Gysin sequence, and orientation in generalized manifolds. *Michigan Math. J.*, 10:321–333, 1963.
- 13144 [4] G. E. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46.
- 00700 [5] G. E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. Corrected third printing of the 1993 original.
- 97827 [6] J.-L. Brylinski. Equivariant intersection cohomology. In *Kazhdan-Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989)*, volume 139 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 5–32. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- CST5 [7] D. Chataur, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and D. Tanré. Blown-up intersection cohomology. In *An Alpine Bouquet of Algebraic Topology*, volume 708 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 45–102. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- CST1 [8] D. Chataur, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, and D. Tanré. Intersection cohomology, simplicial blow-up and rational homotopy. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 254(1214):viii+108, 2018.
- 78036 [9] S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore. Foundations of relative homological algebra. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No.*, 55:39, 1965.
- 72580 [10] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology*, 19(2):135–162, 1980.
- 36651 [11] W. Greub, S. Halperin, and R. Vanstone. *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Vol. II: Lie groups, principal bundles, and characteristic classes*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1973. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 47-II.
- 16314 [12] G. Hector and M. Saralegi. Intersection cohomology of  $S^1$ -actions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 338(1):263–288, 1993.
- 42001 [13] H. C. King. Intersection homology and homology manifolds. *Topology*, 21(2):229–234, 1982.
- 55006 [14] J. R. Munkres. *Elements of algebraic topology*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- 19667 [15] J. Royo Prieto and M. Saralegi Aranguren. The Gysin sequence for  $S^3$ -actions on manifolds. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 83(3):275–289, 2013.
- 84085 [16] M. Saralegi. A Gysin sequence for semifree actions of  $S^3$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118(4):1335–1345, 1993.
- 21397 [17] M. Saralegi-Aranguren. Cohomologie d’intersection des actions toriques simples. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 7(3):389–417, 1996.
- 10257 [18] M. Saralegi-Aranguren. de Rham intersection cohomology for general perversities. *Illinois J. Math.*, 49(3):737–758 (electronic), 2005.
- onFib [19] M. Saralegi-Aranguren and R. Wolak. Basic intersection cohomology of conical fibrations. *Mathematical Notes*, 77(1):213–231, Jan 2005.

MATEMATIKA SAILA, ZIENTZIA ETA TEKNOLOGIA FAKULTATEA, UNIVERSITY OF THE BASQUE COUNTRY UPV/EHU, BARRIO SARRIENA S/N, 48940 LEIOA, SPAIN.

Email address: joseignacio.royo@ehu.eus

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LENS, EA 2462, UNIVERSITÉ D’ARTOIS, SP18, RUE JEAN SOUVRAZ, 62307 LENS CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: martin.saraleguiaranguren@univ-artois.fr