

The ring of twisted tilting modules of quantum GL_n

M. S. Datt and Rubayya

School of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India-500046.

e-mail: msdatt@uohyd.ac.in

Abstract: Let G be the quantum GL_n over a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$. In this paper we define the ring of twisted tilting modules of G . We give generators and relations for the ring of twisted tilting modules of quantum $GL_2(k)$. We also show that this is a reduced ring.

Key words: Quantum groups, Tilting modules, Twisted tilting modules, Representation ring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 20G05.

1 Introduction

Let k be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and q be a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity. Let G be the quantum GL_n over k at q as described in [1]. We know that the coordinate ring $k[G]$ is a Hopf algebra. Let $c_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be the coordinate functions of G . Let T be a maximal torus and B be a Borel subgroup of G containing T . Therefore the Hopf ideal corresponding to T is given by $\{c_{ij} \mid i \neq j\}$ and the Hopf ideal corresponding to B is given by $\{c_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

Let $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n$. The group $X(T)$ is called the character group of T . The integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}X(T)$ with basis $\{e(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X(T)\}$ is a group algebra with multiplication given by the rule $e(\lambda)e(\mu) = e(\lambda + \mu)$ for $\lambda, \mu \in X(T)$. Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in X(T)$, we have a 1-dimensional T -module k_λ with the comodule structure map sending a to $a \otimes c_{11}^{\lambda_1} c_{22}^{\lambda_2} \dots c_{nn}^{\lambda_n} \in k_\lambda \otimes k[T]$. We know that the set $\{k_\lambda \mid \lambda \in X(T)\}$ is a complete set of mutually non-isomorphic irreducible T -modules. For any quantum group H , we denote the set of all finite dimensional H -modules by $\text{mod}(H)$. Given $V \in \text{mod}(T)$ and $\lambda \in X(T)$, let V_λ denote the sum of all submodules of V isomorphic to k_λ . We call the submodule V_λ the λ -weight subspace of V . Any module $V \in \text{mod}(G)$ is completely reducible as a T -module i.e., $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X(T)} V_\lambda$. The formal character of V is given by $\text{ch}V = \sum_{\lambda \in X(T)} \dim(V_\lambda)e(\lambda)$.

Let $\epsilon_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where 1 is in the i^{th} position. The set $\Phi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$ is called the set of all

roots of G . We say that a root $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ is a positive root if $i < j$ and is a negative root if $i > j$. Let Φ^+ and Φ^- be the set of all positive and negative roots respectively. The subset $S = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n\}$ of Φ is called the set of all simple roots. We have a \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form $(\ , \)$ on $X(T)$ such that $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We define a partial order on $X(T)$ as follows: for $\lambda, \mu \in X(T)$, let $\lambda \leq \mu$ if $\mu - \lambda$ is a sum of positive roots. Now for each $\lambda \in X(T)$, we have induced G -modules $\nabla(\lambda) = \text{Ind}_B^G k_\lambda$. Let $X^+(T) = \{\lambda \in X(T) \mid \nabla(\lambda) \neq 0\}$ and it is called the set of all dominant weights. For $\lambda \in X^+(T)$, the module $\nabla(\lambda)$ is called a costandard module. For $\lambda \in X^+(T)$, we have a Weyl or standard module $\Delta(\lambda) = (\nabla(-w_0\lambda))^*$ where w_0 is the element of S_n with maximum length. For $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, a filtration $(0) = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$ of G -submodules of V is called a costandard filtration (or a good filtration) if $V_i/V_{i-1} \cong \nabla(\lambda_i)$ for some $\lambda_i \in X^+(T)$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For a module $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, we write $V \in \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ if V admits a good filtration. Similarly for $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, a filtration $(0) = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$ of G -submodules of V is called a standard filtration (or a Weyl filtration) if $V_i/V_{i+1} \cong \Delta(\lambda_i)$ for some $\lambda_i \in X^+(T)$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We write $V \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ if V admits a standard filtration. A module V is said to be a tilting module if V admits both costandard and standard filtration. By [[3],p.no. 262], for each $\lambda \in X^+(T)$ there exists a unique indecomposable tilting module $T(\lambda)$ of maximum weight λ . Also the set $\{T(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X^+(T)\}$ forms a complete set of mutually non-isomorphic indecomposable tilting modules. Any tilting G -module is a direct sum of copies of $T(\lambda)$'s.

We denote the general linear group $GL_n(k)$ over the field k by \bar{G} . Let x_{ij} be the coordinate functions of \bar{G} and d be the determinant (ordinary). Let \bar{T} be a maximal torus and \bar{B} be a Borel subgroup containing \bar{T} . Let $X(\bar{T})$ be the group of all characters and $\mathbb{Z}X(\bar{T})$ be the integral group ring of $X(\bar{T})$. The coordinate ring $k[\bar{G}]$ of \bar{G} is a Hopf algebra. We fix a Hopf \mathbb{F}_p -form of $k[\bar{G}]$ and let \bar{F} be the corresponding Frobenius morphism. We write \bar{F}^i for the i^{th} iterated Frobenius morphism. If V is a \bar{G} -module, then we denote the induced module via \bar{F} by $V^{\bar{F}}$. Let $X^+(\bar{T})$ be the set of all dominant weights of \bar{G} . Then $\{\bar{L}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})\}$ be the complete set of mutually inequivalent simple \bar{G} -modules. By [2], we also have a complete set $\{\bar{T}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})\}$ of mutually non-isomorphic indecomposable tilting \bar{G} -modules. Any tilting \bar{G} -module is a direct sum of $\bar{T}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})$ and we call them as partial tilting modules.

Let $F : G \longrightarrow \bar{G}$ be the morphism whose comorphism takes x_{ij} to c_{ij}^ℓ where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Thus any \bar{G} -module V is also a G -module via F and we

denote this G -module by V^F . The morphism F is called the quantum Frobenius morphism. Let $X_1 = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in X^+(T) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n \leq \ell - 1\}$. By the Steinberg's tensor product theorem [[4],p.no.65]: for $\lambda \in X_1$ and $\mu \in X^+(\bar{T})$, we have $L(\lambda + \ell\mu) \cong L(\lambda) \otimes \bar{L}(\mu)^F$. It has been shown in [8] that the tensor product of two simple quantum $GL_2(k)$ -modules can be expressed as a finite direct sum of indecomposable quantum twisted tilting modules of the form $T_{-1} \otimes (\bar{T}_0 \otimes \bar{T}_1^{\bar{F}} \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{T}_r^{\bar{F}^r})^F$, where T_{-1} is an indecomposable tilting G -module and \bar{T}_i 's are indecomposable tilting \bar{G} -modules, for all $i \geq 0$.

Let $\text{rep}(G)$ denote the set of all isomorphism classes of $\text{mod}(G)$. For $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, we denote the class in $\text{rep}(G)$ through V by $[V]$. The set $\text{rep}(G)$ is a ring with respect to the binary operations $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$, for $V, W \in \text{mod}(G)$. We call the ring $\text{rep}(G)$ the representation ring of G . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{V_i \mid i \in I\} \subset \text{mod}(G)$ be a complete set of mutually non-isomorphic indecomposable G -modules. Then $\{[V] \mid V \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a basis for $\text{rep}(G)$. Similarly we also have the Grothendieck ring $\text{Grot}G$ of $\text{mod}(G)$. For $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, we denote the class in $\text{Grot}G$ through V by $\langle V \rangle$. Let $V \in \text{mod}(G)$, we have $\langle V \rangle = \sum_i a_i \langle L_i \rangle$ where L_i 's are simple G -modules and a_i 's are their composition multiplicities in V . For $V, W \in \text{mod}(G)$, define the multiplication $\langle V \rangle \langle W \rangle = \langle V \otimes W \rangle$. Then the ring $\text{Grot}G$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with a basis $\{\langle L(\lambda) \rangle \mid \lambda \in X^+(T)\}$. By [[4],69,14(iii)], we know that, if V, W are tilting modules, then $V \otimes W$ and $V \oplus W$ are also tilting modules. Hence the span of classes of tilting modules forms a subring of $\text{rep}(G)$ and we denote it by $\text{rep}_{\text{tilt}}(G)$. Let ch denote the map from $\text{rep}(G)$ to $(\mathbb{Z}X(T))^W$, which takes the class $[V]$ to $\text{ch}V$. This induces an isomorphism from $\text{Grot}G$ to $(\mathbb{Z}X(T))^W$. By [[2],(1.3)] we have an isomorphism between $\text{rep}_{\text{tilt}}(G)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}X(T))^W$.

Let $A(G)$ be the subring of $\text{rep}(G)$ generated by all tilting G -modules and quantum Frobenius twist of twisted tilting modules of \bar{G} . In [6], Doty and Henke has proved that the tensor product $L \otimes L'$ of irreducible rational modules L, L' of $SL_2(k)$ can be expressed as a direct sum of $GL_2(k)$ -modules of the form $\bar{T}_0 \otimes \bar{T}_1^{\bar{F}} \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{T}_r^{\bar{F}^r}$, where each \bar{T}_i is an indecomposable tilting module of $GL_2(k)$. In a paper by [7], it has been shown that the ring of twisted tilting modules of $SL_2(k)$ is a reduced ring. In [8], it has been proved that the tensor product of any two simple quantum $GL_2(k)$ -modules can be expressed as a finite direct sum of twisted tilting modules.

In this paper, we give generators and relations for the ring $A(G)$. We show that the ring of twisted tilting modules for quantum $GL_2(k)$ is a reduced ring.

2 The ring of twisted tilting modules for quantum GL_n

Let R be the free k -algebra generated by the elements $\{X_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. Then R with comultiplication $\delta : R \rightarrow R \otimes R, \delta(X_{ij}) = \sum_{r=1}^n X_{ir} \otimes X_{rj}$ and counit $\epsilon : R \rightarrow k, \epsilon(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ is a bialgebra. Let I be the ideal of R generated by elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ir}X_{is} - X_{is}X_{ir} & \quad \text{for all } i, r, s, \\ X_{jr}X_{is} - qX_{is}X_{jr} & \quad \text{for all } i < j \text{ and } r \leq s, \\ X_{jr}X_{is} - X_{is}X_{jr} - (q-1)X_{ir}X_{js} & \quad \text{for all } i < j \text{ and } r > s, \end{aligned}$$

where $i \leq i, j, r, s \leq n$. By assigning degree as $\deg X_{ij} = 1$ (for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$), the ideal I is a homogeneous ideal. Let $A_q(n) = R/I$ and $c_{ij} = X_{ij} + I$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We denote the quantum determinant $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) c_{1,1\sigma} c_{2,2\sigma} \cdots c_{n,n\sigma}$ by d_q . The localisation of $A_q(n)$ with respect to d_q is a Hopf algebra $k[G]$. We denote the corresponding quantum general linear group by G . The Hopf algebra $k[G]$ is also called the coordinate ring of G . Let E be an n -dimensional vector space over k with standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. The map $e_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes c_{ji}$ from E to $E \otimes k[G]$ defines a left G -module structure on E . We call the left G -module E , the natural left G -module.

Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\psi^+ = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\}$ and $\psi^- = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Then the k -span I_{ψ^+} of all $c_{11}^{a_{11}} c_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots c_{nn}^{a_{nn}}$, where $(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n^2}$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $(i, j) \in \psi^+$, is a Hopf ideal of $k[G]$. The quantum group B^+ corresponding to the Hopf algebra H/I_{ψ^+} is called the positive Borel subgroup of G . Similarly the quantum subgroup corresponding to the Hopf algebra $k[G]/I_{\psi^-}$ is called the negative Borel subgroup of G . Let $\psi_0 = \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j\}$. Let I_{ψ_0} be the k -span of $c_{11}^{a_{11}} c_{12}^{a_{12}} \cdots c_{nn}^{a_{nn}}$, where $(a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{nn}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n^2}$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $(i, j) \in \psi_0$. Then the quantum subgroup T of G corresponding to the Hopf algebra $k[G]/I_{\psi_0}$ is called a torus of the group G . Let G_1 be the subgroup of G whose defining ideal is the ideal of $k[G]$ generated by $c_{ij}^\ell - \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $B_1 = G_1 \cap B, B_1^+ = G_1 \cap B^+$ and $T_1 = G_1 \cap T$. We let $X_1 = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in X^+(T) \mid 0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n, \lambda_n \leq \ell - 1\}$. Then $\{L(\lambda)_{G_1} \mid \lambda \in X_1\}$ is a complete set of mutually non-isomorphic simple G_1 -modules.

Let $\rho = (n, n-1, \dots, 1) \in X^+(T)$. We have $L((\ell-1)\rho) = \nabla((\ell-1)\rho) = T((\ell-1)\rho)$ and $\bar{L}((\rho-1)\rho) = \bar{\nabla}((\rho-1)\rho)$. We denote $\nabla((\ell-1)\rho)$ by St and $\bar{\nabla}((\rho-1)\rho)$ by $\bar{\text{St}}$ and we call them quantum Steinberg and Steinberg (ordinary) modules respectively. More generally, we have the ordinary r^{th}

Steinberg module $\bar{S}t_r$, for $r \geq 1$.

Let $X^+(\bar{T})_\infty$ be the set of all sequences $(\lambda) = (\lambda(0), \lambda(1), \dots)$ of dominant weights of \bar{G} with $\lambda(i) = 0$ for sufficiently large i . We let $X^+(T)_\infty = X^+(T) \times X^+(\bar{T})_\infty$. Let $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, -1\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$, we let $X^+(T)_n = \{(\lambda) = (\lambda(-1), \lambda(0), \dots) \in X^+(T)_\infty \mid \lambda(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i > n\}$.

Let $\phi : \text{rep}(\bar{G}) \rightarrow \text{rep}(G)$ be the endomorphism which sends the class $[V]$ to $[V^F]$. We denote $\phi(a)$ by a^ϕ , for $a \in \text{rep}(\bar{G})$. Similarly let $\bar{\phi} : \text{rep}(\bar{G}) \rightarrow \text{rep}(\bar{G})$ be the endomorphism which sends $[V]$ to $[V^{\bar{F}}]$. As earlier for ϕ , we denote $\bar{\phi}(a)$ by $a^{\bar{\phi}}$, for $a \in \text{rep}(\bar{G})$. We write $\bar{a}^{\psi^{r+1}}$ for $(\bar{a}^{\bar{F}^r})^{\bar{F}}$, where $r \geq 0$. The ring $\text{rep}(G)$ has a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{[T(\lambda)] \mid \lambda \in X^+(T)\}$, by [[4], 3.3]. Let us denote the class $[T(\lambda)]$ by $t(\lambda)$. Similarly for $\lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})$, we denote the class $[\bar{T}(\lambda)]$ by $\bar{t}(\lambda)$. For $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_\infty$, we let $T((\lambda)) = T(\lambda(-1)) \otimes (\bar{T}(\lambda(0))) \otimes (\bar{T}(\lambda(1))^{\bar{F}} \otimes \bar{T}(\lambda(2))^{\bar{F}^2} \otimes \dots)^F$. Therefore, we have $t((\lambda)) = t(\lambda(-1))\bar{t}(\lambda(0))^{\psi}\bar{t}(\lambda(1))^{\psi^2} \dots \bar{t}(\lambda(r))^{\psi^r} \dots$. Now the ring $A = A(G)$ is the subring of $\text{rep}(G)$ spanned by all elements $t((\lambda))$, with $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_\infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}$, we write $A_n = A(G)_n$ for the subring of $\text{rep}(G)$ spanned by all elements $t((\lambda))$ with $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_n$ and $A = \cup_{n=-1}^\infty A_n$.

Let $X_s^+(T)$ be the set of all elements $\lambda \in X^+(T)$ such that either $(\lambda, \check{\alpha}) < \ell - 1$ for some simple root α or $\ell - 1 \leq (\lambda, \check{\beta}) \leq 2(\ell - 1)$ for all simple roots β of the quantum group G , where $\check{\alpha} = 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$ and $\check{\beta} = 2\beta/(\beta, \beta)$. Similarly let $X_s^+(\bar{T})$ be the set of all elements $\lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})$ such that either $(\lambda, \check{\alpha}) < p - 1$ for some simple root α of \bar{G} or $p - 1 \leq (\lambda, \check{\beta}) \leq 2p - 2$ for all simple roots β of \bar{G} . We say that an element $(\lambda) = (\lambda(-1), \lambda(0), \dots, \lambda(r), \dots) \in X^+(T)_\infty$ is n -special if $\lambda(-1) \in X_s^+(T)$ and $\lambda(i) \in X_s^+(\bar{T})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $\lambda(j) = 0$ for all $j > n$. We let $X_s^+(T)_n$ for the subset of $X^+(T)_\infty$ consisting of all special n elements. We say that an element $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_\infty$ is special if it is n -special for sufficiently large n . We write $X_s^+(T)_\infty$ for the subset of $X^+(T)_\infty$ consisting of all special elements.

For $\lambda \in X^+(T)$, we set $\text{ln}(\lambda) = \sum(\lambda, \check{\beta})$ for all simple roots β of the quantum group G . We call $\text{ln}(\lambda)$ the length of λ . Note that $\text{ln}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq \lambda \in X^+(T)$. Similarly for $\lambda \in X^+(\bar{T})$, we have $\text{ln}(\lambda) = \sum(\lambda, \check{\beta})$ where sum is over all the simple roots β of \bar{G} . For each positive root α of quantum GL_2 (resp. for positive root α of \bar{G}), we have $\text{ln}(\lambda) > 0$ (resp. $\text{ln}(\lambda) > 0$) if $\lambda \neq 0$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1

(i) A_n is spanned by $\{t((\lambda)) \mid (\lambda) \in X_s^+(T)_n\}$.

(ii) A is spanned by $\{t((\lambda)) \mid (\lambda) \in X_s^+(T)_\infty\}$.

Proof. We follow the proof of proposition in [7]. For an element $(\lambda) = (\lambda(-1), \lambda(0), \dots) \in X^+(T)_n$, we define $\ln((\lambda)) = \ln(\lambda(-1)) + \ell(\sum_{i=0}^\infty p^i \ln(\lambda(i)))$. We prove the proposition by induction on the length of $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_n$. For $n = -1$, A_{-1} is spanned by all $t(\lambda), \lambda \in X_s^+(T)$ as tensor product of two tilting modules is again tilting. Assume now that $n > -1$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $A_{n,m}$ be the subgroup of A_n generated by all elements $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_n$ such that $\ln((\lambda)) = m$. If $m = 0$, then $A_{n,m} = \mathbb{Z}[t(0)]$ and hence we are through. So assume the induction hypothesis upto $m - 1$ and that $m > 0$.

Let Z be the subgroup of A_n spanned by all $t((\lambda)), (\lambda) \in X_s^+(T)_n$. We want to show that $Z = A_n$. Suppose that $(\lambda) \in X^+(T)_n$ such that $\ln((\lambda)) < m$. Then by induction we have $t((\lambda)) \in Z$. Hence we assume that $(\lambda) = (\lambda(-1), \lambda(0), \lambda(1), \dots) \in X^+(T)_n$ such that $\ln((\lambda)) = m$.

Case(i) Suppose that $\lambda(-1) \in X_s^+(T)$. Let $(\mu) = (\lambda(0), \lambda(1), \dots)$. If $(\mu) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$, then $T((\lambda)) = T(\lambda(-1))$. Now by [[4], 72(40)], we have $t((\lambda)) = t(\lambda(-1)) \in Z$. If $(\mu) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$, then $\ln((\mu)) < m$. Hence by the induction we have $\bar{t}(\mu) = \sum_{(\xi) \in \Lambda} r(\xi) \bar{t}(\xi)$, where Λ is a finite subset of $X_s^+(T)_{n-1}$ consisting of elements of length at most $\ln((\mu))$, and $r(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $(\xi) \in \Lambda$. Therefore we have $t((\lambda)) = t(\lambda(-1)) \bar{t}(\mu)^\phi$. Then $t((\lambda)) = \sum_{(\xi) \in \Lambda} r(\xi) t((\xi^+))$, where $(\xi^+) = (\lambda(-1), \xi(0), \xi(1), \dots) \in X_s^+(T)_n$ and of length $\ln(\lambda(-1)) + \ell \ln((\xi)) \leq \ln(\lambda(-1)) + \ell \ln((\xi)) = \ln((\lambda)) = m$, as required.

Case(ii) Suppose that $\lambda(-1) \notin X_s^+(T)$. Then we can write $\lambda(-1) = (\ell - 1)\rho + \nu + \ell\tau$ with $\nu \in X_1(T)$ and $\tau \in X^+(\bar{T})$. Now consider the module $\text{St} \otimes T(\nu) \otimes (T((\ell - 1)\rho) + \ell\tau)$, which is a tilting module. By [[4], p.no. 72], we have $T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu)$ is a direct summand of the tilting module $\text{St} \otimes T(\nu)$ by and it occurs exactly once as a composition factor. Then again by [[4], p.no. 73], we have $T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F$ is a tilting module with the highest weight $\lambda(-1)$. Hence we have $[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes T(\tau)^F] = [T(\lambda(-1))] + \sum_{(\xi) \in \Lambda} r(\xi) [T(\xi)]$, where Λ is a subset of $X^+(T)$ such that $\ln((\xi)) < \ln(\lambda(-1))$. This implies that $[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F] [\bar{T}(\mu)^F] = [T(\lambda(-1))] + \sum_{(\xi) \in \Lambda} r(\xi) [T(\xi)] [T(\mu)]^F$. Hence $[T(\lambda)] = [T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F] [\bar{T}(\mu)^F] - \sum_{(\xi) \in \Lambda} r(\xi) [T(\xi)] [T(\mu)]^F$. For $(\xi) \in \Lambda$, let $(\eta) = (\xi, \lambda(0), \lambda(1), \dots)$. Now $(\eta) \in X^+(T)_n$ and

$$\ln((\eta)) = \ln((\xi)) + \ln((\mu)) < \ln(\lambda(-1)) + \ell \ln((\mu)) = \ln((\lambda)) = m.$$

Hence by induction we have $t((\xi)) t((\mu))^F = t((\eta)) \in Z$. Therefore to show that $t((\lambda)) \in Z$, it is enough to show that $[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F] [\bar{T}(\mu)^F] \in Z$.

Now by [[7], section 1, Prop.], we have $t(\tau)t(\mu) = \Sigma_{(\theta) \in \Omega} s_{\theta} t((\theta))$, where $s_{\theta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Ω is a finite subset of $X_s^+(T)_n$ consisting of elements (θ) such that $\theta(-1) = 0$. Hence we have

$$[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F][\bar{T}(\mu)^F] = \Sigma_{(\theta) \in \Omega} s_{\theta} [T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\theta)^F].$$

As each $[\bar{T}(\theta)] \in Z$ and $[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu)] \in Z$ we have $[T((\ell - 1)\rho + \nu) \otimes \bar{T}(\tau)^F][\bar{T}(\mu)^F] \in Z$ as required. \square

3 The structure of the tensor product of two simple modules of quantum GL_2

In this section, we consider the quantum GL_2 at the primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity q in k . Then $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $X^+(T) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2\}$ and $W = S_2$. We let $X_1 = \{(a, b) \in X^+(T) \mid a - b \leq \ell - 1\}$ and $\bar{X}_1 = \{(a, b) \in X^+(\bar{T}) \mid a - b \leq 2p - 2\}$. Let $\pi = \{(a, b) \in X^+(T) \mid a - b \leq 2(\ell - 1)\}$ and $\bar{\pi} = \{(a, b) \in X^+(\bar{T}) \mid a - b \leq 2p - 2\}$. Then π and $\bar{\pi}$ are saturated subsets of $X^+(T)$ and $X^+(\bar{T})$ respectively. We write $\chi(\lambda)$ for the character of $\nabla(\lambda)$. Then we have the following results from [8]:

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \pi$. If $\lambda \in X_1$, then we have $L(\lambda) = T(\lambda) = \nabla(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda)$. If $\lambda \in \pi \setminus X_1$, then we can write $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \ell + r$, $0 \leq r \leq \ell - 2$. In this case $L(\lambda), L(\lambda - (r + 1)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2))$ are the composition factors of $\nabla(\lambda)$. Further $\chi(\lambda) + \chi(\lambda - (r + 1)(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2))$ is the character of $T(\lambda)$.*

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) \in X_1$. We can write $\lambda = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\epsilon_1 + \lambda_2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ and $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2)\epsilon_1 + \lambda'_2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$. We let $d_q = L(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2), a = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, b = \lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2$ and $r = \lambda_2 + \lambda'_2$. Then $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda')$ is $d_q^{\otimes r}$ times the direct sum of $T(u)$, where u varies over the set $S(-1)$ which can be computed as follows:*

We list the weights $(a + b, 0), (a + b - 1, 1), \dots, (a + b - i, i), \dots, (a + b - s, s)$ of $L(a, 0) \otimes L(b, 0)$, where $s = a + b - \ell, 0 \leq s \leq (\ell - 2)$. For each $j, 0 \leq j \leq s$, if $a + b - 2j = \ell + u_j, 0 \leq u_j \leq (\ell - 2)$ strike out $(a + b - j - u_j - 1, j + u_j + 1)$ from the list.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) \in X^+(\bar{T})$ be such that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2 < p$ and $d = L(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$. Then $\bar{L}(\lambda) \otimes \bar{L}(\lambda')$ is $d^{\otimes (\lambda_2 + \lambda'_2)}$ times the direct sum of $\bar{T}(u)$, where u varies over the set S which can be computed as follows: Let $a = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, b = \lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2$ and $a + b = p + r, 0 \leq r \leq (p - 2)$. Let $A = \{(a + b, 0), (a + b - 1, 1), \dots, (a + b - r, r)\}$. Let $S(0) = A \setminus \{(a +$*

$b-i-u_i-1, i+u_i+1) \mid (a+b-i, i) \in A, a+b-2i = p+u_i, 0 \leq u_i \leq (p-2)\}$.

Lemma 3.4 For $M \in \text{mod}(G)$ and $N \in \text{mod}(\bar{G})$, the map $\phi : M \otimes N^F \longrightarrow N^F \otimes M$ given by $\phi(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ is a G -module isomorphism.

The following theorem can be proved using lemma 3.2, lemma 3.3 and lemma 3.4.

Theorem 3.5 [8] The tensor product of two simple G -modules is $d_q^{r'}(d^{r''})^F$ times a finite direct sum of indecomposable modules of the form $T(u_{-1}) \otimes (\bar{T}(u_0) \otimes \bar{T}(u_1)^{\bar{F}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{T}(u_m)^{\bar{F}^m})^F$, where $u_{-1} \in X_1$ and $u_i \in \bar{\pi}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$.

Theorem 3.6 [8] The indecomposable summand $T(u_{-1}) \otimes (\bar{T}(u_0) \otimes \bar{T}(u_1)^{\bar{F}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{T}(u_m)^{\bar{F}^m})^F$ in the preceding theorem is tilting if and only if $\ell - 1 \leq a_{-1} - b_{-1} \leq 2(\ell - 1)$ and $p - 1 \leq a_i - b_i \leq 2p - 2$, where $u_i = (a_i, b_i)$ for $i = -1, 0, \dots, m - 1$. Also $T(u)$ is simple if $u_i \in \{(a, b) \in X^+(\bar{T}) \mid a - b \leq p - 1\}, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

4 Generators and Relations.

Now we consider the ring A of twisted tilting modules of the quantum $GL_2(k)$. We give a set of generators and relations for this ring A . As in Section 3, we identify $X^+(T)_n, X^+(T)_\infty, X_s^+(T)_n, X_s^+(T)_\infty$ as subsets of $X^+(T) \times X^+(\bar{T})_\infty$ in the usual way. By [[4], p.no 72 (5)] and [[4],3.4 (1), p. no. 75], $\{T(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in X^+(T), \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq 2l - 2\}$ is a set of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable G_1 -modules.

Proposition 4.1

- (i) For $a \in X_s^+(T)_n$, the twisted tilting module $T(a)$ is indecomposable.
- (ii) For $a, b \in X_s^+(T)_n$ the twisted tilting modules $T(a)$ and $T(b)$ are isomorphic if and only $a = b$.
- (iii) Any indecomposable twisted tilting modules of height at most n is isomorphic to $T(a)$, for some $a \in X_s^+(T)_n$.
- (iv) The ring A_n is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with \mathbb{Z} basis $\{T(a) \mid a \in X_s^+(T)_n\}$.
- (v) The \mathbb{Z} -module A_n is free of rank $(2l - 2)(2p - 2)^n$ as a module over $\psi^{n+1}(\bar{A}_0)$.
- (vi) The ring A is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{t(a) \mid a \in X_s^+(T)_\infty\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a \in X_s^+(T)_n$. If $n = -1$, then $T(a)$ is an indecomposable tilting module. So, let us assume that $n \geq 0$. Let $\bar{a} = (a(0), a(1), \dots, a(n)) \in$

$X_s^+(\bar{T})_n$ and $a(i) = (a(i)_1, a(i)_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $i \geq -1$. Then by the [[7], Section 2, Prop], we have $\bar{T}(\bar{a})$ is indecomposable. As $0 \leq a(-1)_1 - a(-1)_2 \leq 2(l-1)$, by [[4], p.no.72,(5)], we have $T(a) = T(a(-1)) \otimes (\bar{T}(\bar{a}))^F$ is indecomposable.

(ii) Let $a, b \in X_s^+(T)_n$. Suppose that $T(a) \cong T(b)$. We want to show that $a = b$. Let $a = (a(-1), a(0), \dots, a(n))$ and $b = (b(-1), b(0), \dots, b(n))$. As G_1 -module, we have $T(a(-1)) = T(a)|_{G_1} \cong T(b)|_{G_1} = T(b(-1))$. The highest weight $a(-1)$ of $T(a(-1))$ is same as the highest weight $b(-1)$ of $T(b(-1))$. Let $L = \text{soc}(T(a(-1))) = \text{soc}(T(b(-1)))$, which is simple. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{G_1}(L, T(a(-1)) \otimes \bar{T}(\bar{a})^F) &\cong \text{Hom}_{G_1}(L, T(b(-1)) \otimes \bar{T}(\bar{b})^F) \\ \text{End}_{G_1}(L) \otimes \bar{T}(\bar{a})^F &\cong \text{End}_{G_1}(L) \otimes \bar{T}(\bar{b})^F \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\bar{T}(\bar{a}) \cong \bar{T}(\bar{b})$$

Again by [[7], Section 2, Prop], we have $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$. Therefore $a = b$.

(iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) follows from Proposition of Section 1 of [7]. \square

Let $J = \mathbb{Z}[d_q, d]$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have Laurent polynomials $P_r(t) \in J[t, t^{-1}]$ defined recursively as follows: $P_0(t) = 1$, $P_1(t) = t + t^{-1}$ and

$$(t + t^{-1})P_r(t) = P_{r+1}(t) + d_q P_{r-1}(t)$$

Similarly, we also consider the following polynomials $Q_r(s)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, recursively in the ring $J[s, s^{-1}]$ as follows: We let $Q_0 = 1$, $Q_1 = s + s^{-1}$ and for $r \geq 2$,

$$(s + s^{-1})Q_r = Q_{r+1}(s) + dQ_{r-1}(s)$$

Let $\omega = t + t^{-1}$ and $v = s + s^{-1}$. If a Laurent polynomial $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i t^i \in J[t, t^{-1}]$ (resp. $J[s, s^{-1}]$) is such that the coefficient of t^i is same as the coefficient of t^{-i} for all i , then it can be expressed as a polynomial in $J[\omega]$ (resp. as a polynomial in $J[v]$).

Let $P_r(\omega) = P_r(t)$ and $Q_r(v) = Q_r(s)$ for $r \geq 0$. Then we have $P_0(\omega) = 1$, $P_1(\omega) = \omega$ and $\omega P_r(\omega) = P_{r+1}(\omega) + d_q P_{r-1}(\omega)$. Similarly we have $Q_0(v) = 1$, $Q_1(v) = v$ and for $r \geq 2$, we have $v Q_r(v) = Q_{r+1}(v) + d Q_{r-1}(v)$. We let $g(t) = t^l + t^{-l}$ and $h(s) = s^p + s^{-p}$. Now we have $g'(\omega) = l P_{l-1}(\omega)$ and $h'(v) = p Q_{p-1}(v)$ and also $P_{2l-1}(\omega) = g(\omega) P_{l-1}(\omega)$ and $Q_{2p-1}(v) = h(v) Q_{p-1}(v)$.

For any Laurent polynomial $f(t) \in J[t, t^{-1}]$, we simply write $f(E)$ for the element $f([E])$ of A . Now we identify the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}X(T)$ with a

subring of $J[t, t^{-1}]$ by $\epsilon_1 \mapsto t$ and $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \mapsto d_q$. Now for any $(r, 0) \in X^+(T)$, we have $\text{ch}\nabla(r, 0) = P_r(t)$, $r \geq 0$. Therefore the element $P_r(E)$ has same character as the G -module $\nabla(r, 0)$. By [[4],(7), p.no.73], we know that

$$T(2l - 1, 0) \cong T((l - 1, 0) \otimes \bar{E}^F)$$

And similarly by the [[4], 3.4(1), p.no. 75], we have $\bar{T}(2p - 1, 0) \cong \bar{T}(p - 1, 0) \otimes \bar{E}^{\bar{F}}$ and hence we have $\bar{T}(2p - 1, 0)^F \cong \bar{T}(p - 1, 0)^F \otimes (\bar{E}^{\bar{F}})^F$.

Let $\tilde{J} = \mathbb{Z}[d_q, d, d_q^{-1}, d^{-1}]$ and K be its field of fractions. Here we consider the free polynomial ring $\tilde{J}[X_{-1}, X_0, X_1, \dots]$. We define a map $\Phi : \tilde{J}[X_{-1}, X_0, \dots] \rightarrow A$ by $\Phi(X_{-1}) = [E]$, for $i \geq 0$, $\Phi(X_i) = [(\bar{E}^{\bar{F}})^F]$ and $\Phi(d_q) = D_q, \Phi(d) = D$. Now by the above discussion, we have $D_q^l - D^l, P_{l-1}(X_0 - g(X_{-1}))$ and $Q_{p-1}(X_i)(X_{i+1} - h(X_i)) \in \text{Ker}\Phi$ for all $i \geq 0$. Let Φ_n be the restriction of Φ to the ring $\tilde{J}[X_{-1}, X_0, \dots, X_n]$, then by [Prop.4.1 (iv)], we have the following.

Proposition 4.2. *For $n \geq -1$, the restriction $\Phi_n : \tilde{J}[X_{-1}, X_0, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A_n$ be the restriction of the ring homomorphism. Then Φ_n is surjective and $\text{Ker}(\Phi_n)$ is generated by the elements $(P_{l-1}(X_{-1})(X_0 - g(X_{-1})))$ and $(Q_{p-1}(X_i)(X_{i+1} - h(X_i)))$, for $0 \leq i < n$. \square*

Now we show that the ring is reduced. Let $\Phi(X_i) = x_i, i \geq -1$. Let \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers. We let $A_{\mathbb{C}}(n) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_n$. By [7], we know that the polynomials $Q_{p-1}(X_{i+1} - h(X_i)), i \geq 0$, has no repeated roots. Hence to show that the ring $A_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ is reduced, it is enough to show that $(P_{l-1}(X_{-1})(X_0 - g(X_{-1})))$ has no repeated roots.

First we note the ring $A_{-1} = \tilde{J}[x_{-1}]$ is a reduced ring. Any element $F \in A_{\mathbb{C}}(0)$ is uniquely expressible in the form $F = \sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} a_i P_i, a_i \in J[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Theorem 4.3 *The ring A is reduced.*

The theorem follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *For any $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(x_{-1} - b)$ is not a zero-divisor in $A_K(0)$.*

Proof. Suppose $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(x_{-1} - b)F = 0$. Then we have

$$x_{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} a_i P_i \right) = bF$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2l-2} a_i x_{-1} P_i = bF$$

We know that $x_{-1} P_i = D_q P_{i-1} + P_{i+1}$, for $i \geq 2$

Hence we have

$$a_0 x_{-1} P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{2l-3} a_i x_{-1} P_i + a_{2l-2} x_{-1} P_{2l-2} = bF$$

It follows that

$$a_0 P_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2l-3} a_i (P_{i+1} + dP_{i-1}) + a_{2l-2} (P_{2l-1} + dP_{2l-3}) = bF$$

Therefore we have

$$a_0 P_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{2l-2} a_{i-1} P_i + d \sum_{i=0}^{2l-4} a_{i+1} dP_i + a_{2l-2} (P_{2l-1} + dP_{2l-3}) = bF$$

And hence we have

$$a_0 P_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{2l-2} a_{i-1} P_i + d \sum_{i=0}^{2l-4} a_{i+1} dP_i + a_{2l-2} x_0 P_{l-1} + da_{2l-2} P_{2l-3} = bF$$

By comparing the coefficients, we have

$$d^i a_i = P_i(b) a_0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq l-1 \quad (\star)$$

$$a_{2l-2-i} = P_i(b) a_{2l-2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq l-1 \quad (\star\star)$$

$$a_{l-2} + da_l + a_{2l-2} x_0 = ba_{l-1}$$

Now by (\star) and $(\star\star)$, we have

$$P_{l-2}(b) a_{2l-2} + x_0 a_{2l-2} = bP_l(b) a_{2l-2}.$$

Hence we have

$$(x_0 - (P_l(b) - P_{l-2}(b))) \frac{a_0}{d^{l-1}} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(x_0 - (P_l(b) - P_{l-2}(b))) a_0 = 0.$$

If $a_0 = 0$, then $a_{2l-2} = 0$ and hence $F = 0$. If $a_0 \neq 0$, then $(x_0 - (P_l(b) - P_{l-2}(b)))$ is a zero divisor in $A_K(0)$. Now by [[7], Section 3, Prop.], for $d = 1$ and $q = 1$ and $b = 2$, we have $(x_0 - 2)$ is a zero divisor which is not true. Therefore $x_0 \pm 2d$ are not zero divisor in $A_K(0)$. \square

Statement of Conflict of Interest

The authors do not have any conflict of interest with any of the content of this article.

References

- [1] R. Dipper and S. Donkin, Quantum GL_n , Proc. London Math. Soc., s3-63, 165-211 (1991).
- [2] S. Donkin, On tilting modules for algebraic groups, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 212, 39-60 (1993).
- [3] S. Donkin, Standard homological properties for quantum GL_n , J. Algebra, 181, 235-266 (1996).
- [4] S. Donkin, The q-Schur Algebra, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [5] J.C. Jantzen, Representations of Algebraic Groups, second ed., Math. Surveys Monogr., 107, Amer. Math. Soc. (2003).
- [6] S.R. Doty, A. Henke, Decomposition of tensor products of modular irreducibles for SL_2 , Q. J. Math. 56, 189-207 (2005).
- [7] M. Sumanth Datt, S. Donkin, Some remarks on the ring of twisted tilting modules for algebraic groups, J. Algebra, 321(11), 3128-3144 (2009).
- [8] M. Sumanth Datt, Structure of the tensor product of two simple modules of quantum GL_2 , arXiv:2105.06615 (2021).