

# HANKEL OPERATORS ON $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ AND THEIR $p$ -COMPLETELY BOUNDED MULTIPLIERS

LORIS ARNOLD, CHRISTIAN LE MERDY, AND SAFOURA ZADEH

ABSTRACT. We show that for any  $1 < p < \infty$ , the space  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  of all Hankel operators on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is equal to the  $w^*$ -closure of the linear span of the operators  $\theta_u: L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  defined by  $\theta_u f = f(u - \cdot)$ , for  $u > 0$ . We deduce that  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is the dual space of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , a half-line analogue of the Figa-Talamanca-Herz algebra  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we show that a function  $m: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of a  $p$ -completely bounded multiplier  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  if and only if there exist  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\Omega))$  and  $\beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{p'}(\Omega))$  such that  $m(s+t) = \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle$  for a.e.  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}$ . We also give analogues of these results in the (easier) discrete case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For any  $u > 0$  and for any function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\tau_u f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the shifted function defined by  $\tau_u f = f(\cdot - u)$ . Let  $1 < p, p' < \infty$  be two conjugate indices. We say that a bounded operator  $T: L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is Hankelian if  $\langle T\tau_u f, g \rangle = \langle Tf, \tau_u g \rangle$  for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  denote the Banach space of all bounded operators on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . The main object of this paper is the subspace  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  of all Hankel operators on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

The case  $p = 2$  has received a lot of attention, see [9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 18] and the references therein. The most important result in this case is that  $Hank_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space  $\frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}{H^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ , where  $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is the classical Hardy space of essentially bounded functions whose Fourier transform has support in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (see [10, Section IV.5.3] or [12, Theorem I.8.1]). This result is the real line analogue of Nehari's classical theorem describing Hankel operators on  $\ell^2$  (see [10, Theorem II.2.2.4], [12, Theorem I.1.1] or [15, Theorem 1.3]). An equivalent formulation of the above result is that

$$(1) \quad Hank_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{R})^*,$$

where  $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is the Hardy space of all integrable functions whose Fourier transform vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}_-$ .

The first main result of this paper is that for any  $1 < p < \infty$ , the Banach space  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  coincides with  $\overline{\text{Span}}^{w^*} \{\theta_u : u > 0\} \subset B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  where, for any  $u > 0$ ,  $\theta_u: L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is the Hankel operator defined by  $\theta_u f = f(u - \cdot)$ . As a consequence, we show that

$$(2) \quad Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \simeq A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)^*,$$

where  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a half-line analogue of the Figa-Talamanca-Herz algebra  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$  (see e.g. [6, Chapter 3]). We will see in Remark 4.2 (a) that  $A_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, the duality result (2), established in Theorem 4.1, is an  $L^p$ -version of (1).

By a multiplier of  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we mean a  $w^*$ -continuous operator  $T: Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $T(\theta_u) = m(u)\theta_u$  for all  $u > 0$ , for some function  $m: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In this case, we set  $T = T_m$  and it turns out that  $m$  is necessarily bounded and continuous, see Lemma 4.5. The second main

result of this paper is a characterization of  $p$ -completely bounded multipliers  $T_m$ . We refer to Section 2 for some background on  $p$ -complete boundedness, whose definition goes back to [13] (see also [3, 8, 14]). We prove in Theorem 4.6 that  $T_m: Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a  $p$ -completely bounded multiplier if and only if there exist a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and two essentially bounded measurable functions  $\alpha: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$  and  $\beta: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow L^{p'}(\Omega)$  such that  $m(s+t) = \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle$  for almost every  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}$ . This is a generalisation of [1, Theorem 3.1]. Indeed, the result in [1] provides a characterization of  $S^1$ -bounded multipliers on  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Using (1), this yields a characterization of completely bounded multipliers on  $Hank_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , which is nothing but the case  $p = 2$  of Theorem 4.6. See Remark 4.7 for more on this.

Let us briefly explain the plan of the paper. Section 2 contains some preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to  $Hank_p(\mathbb{N}) \subset B(\ell^p)$ , the space of Hankel operators on  $\ell^p$ . We establish analogues of the aforementioned results in the discrete setting. Results for  $Hank_p(\mathbb{N})$  are easier than those concerning  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and Section 3 can be considered as a warm up. The main results are stated and proved in Section 4.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All our Banach spaces are complex ones. For any Banach spaces  $X, Z$ , we let  $B(X, Z)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded operators from  $X$  into  $Z$  and we write  $B(X)$  instead of  $B(X, X)$  when  $Z = X$ . For any  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , the duality action  $x^*(x)$  is denoted by  $\langle x^*, x \rangle_{X^*, X}$ , or simply by  $\langle x^*, x \rangle$  if there is no risk of confusion.

We start with duality on tensor products. Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. Let  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$  denote their projective tensor product [5, Section VIII.1]. We will use the classical isometric identification

$$(3) \quad (X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^* \simeq B(X, Y^*)$$

provided e.g. by [5, Corollary VIII.2.2]. More precisely, for any  $\xi \in (X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^*$ , there exists a necessarily unique  $R_\xi \in B(X, Y^*)$  such that  $\xi(x \otimes y) = \langle R_\xi(x), y \rangle$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Moreover  $\|R_\xi\| = \|\xi\|$  and the mapping  $\xi \mapsto R_\xi$  is onto.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$  such that  $\text{Span}\{A\}$  is dense in  $X$  and  $\text{Span}\{B\}$  is dense in  $Y$ . Assume that  $(R_\iota)_\iota$  is a bounded net of  $B(X, Y^*)$ . Then  $R_\iota$  converges to some  $R \in B(X, Y^*)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology if and only if  $\langle R_\iota(x), y \rangle \rightarrow \langle R(x), y \rangle$ , for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

*Proof.* Assume the latter property. Since the algebraic tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  is dense in  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$ , it implies that  $\langle R_\iota, z \rangle \rightarrow \langle R, z \rangle$ , for all  $z$  belonging to a dense subspace of  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$ . Next, the boundedness of  $(R_\iota)_\iota$  implies that  $\langle R_\iota, z \rangle \rightarrow \langle R, z \rangle$ , for all  $z$  belonging to  $X \widehat{\otimes} Y$ . The equivalence follows.  $\square$

We will use the above duality principles in the case when  $X = Y^*$  is an  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(\Omega)$ , for some index  $1 < p < \infty$ .

We now give a brief background on  $p$ -completely bounded maps, following [13] (see also [3, 8, 14]). Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $SQ_p$  denote the collection of quotients of subspaces of  $L^p$ -spaces, where we identify spaces which are isometrically isomorphic. Let  $E$  be an  $SQ_p$ -space. Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $[T_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_n \otimes B(E)$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries  $T_{ij}$  in  $B(E)$ . We equip  $M_n \otimes B(E)$  with the norm defined by

$$(4) \quad \|[T_{ij}]\| = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(x_j) \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x_1, \dots, x_n \in E, \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If  $S \subset B(E)$  is any subspace, then we let  $M_n(S)$  denote  $M_n \otimes S$  equipped with the induced norm.

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be subspaces of  $B(E_1)$  and  $B(E_2)$ , respectively, for some  $SQ_p$ -spaces  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . Let  $w: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  be a linear map. For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $w_n: M_n(S_1) \rightarrow M_n(S_2)$  be defined by  $w_n([T_{ij}]) = [w(T_{ij})]$ , for any  $[T_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_n(S_1)$ . By definition,  $w$  is called  $p$ -completely bounded if the maps  $w_n$  are uniformly bounded. In this case, the  $p$ -cb norm of  $w$  is defined by  $\|w\|_{p\text{-cb}} = \sup_n \|w_n\|$ . We further say that  $w$  is  $p$ -completely contractive if  $\|w\|_{p\text{-cb}} \leq 1$  and that  $w$  is a  $p$ -complete isometry if  $w_n$  is an isometry for all  $n \geq 1$ . Note that the case  $p = 2$  corresponds to the classical notion of completely bounded maps (see e.g. [11, 14]).

We recall the following factorisation theorem of Pisier (see [8, Theorem 1.4] and [13, Theorem 2.1]), which extends Wittstock's factorization theorem [11, Theorem 8.4].

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$  be measure spaces and let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $S \subseteq B(L^p(\Omega_1))$  be a unital subalgebra. Let  $w: S \rightarrow B(L^p(\Omega_2))$  be a linear map and let  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The map  $w$  is  $p$ -completely bounded and  $\|w\|_{p\text{-cb}} \leq C$ .
- (ii) There exist an  $SQ_p$ -space  $E$ , a unital, non degenerate  $p$ -completely contractive homomorphism  $\pi: S \rightarrow B(E)$  as well as operators  $V: L^p(\Omega_2) \rightarrow E$  and  $W: E \rightarrow L^p(\Omega_2)$  such that  $\|V\| \|W\| \leq C$  and for any  $x \in S$ ,  $w(x) = W\pi(x)V$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $p'$  be its conjugate index. Let  $E$  be an  $SQ_p$ -space. Then by assumption, there exist a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and two closed subspaces  $E_2 \subseteq E_1 \subseteq L^p(\Omega)$  such that  $E = \frac{E_1}{E_2}$ . Then  $E_1^\perp \subseteq E_2^\perp \subseteq L^{p'}(\Omega)$  and we have an isometric identification

$$(5) \quad E^* \simeq \frac{E_2^\perp}{E_1^\perp},$$

by the classical duality between subspaces and quotients of Banach spaces. More explicitly, let  $f \in E_1$  and let  $g \in E_2^\perp$ . Let  $\dot{f} \in E$  denote the class of  $f$  modulo  $E_2$  and let  $\dot{g} \in E^*$  denote the element associated to the class of  $g$  modulo  $E_1^\perp$  through the identification (5). Then we have

$$(6) \quad \langle \dot{g}, \dot{f} \rangle_{E^*, E} = \langle g, f \rangle_{L^{p'}, L^p}.$$

We now turn to Bochner spaces. Let  $(\Sigma, \nu)$  be a measure space and let  $X$  be a Banach space. For any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , we let  $L^p(\Sigma; X)$  denote the space of all measurable functions  $\phi: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  (defined up to almost everywhere zero functions) such that the norm function  $t \mapsto \|\phi(t)\|$  belongs to  $L^p(\Sigma)$ . This is a Banach space for the norm  $\|\phi\|_p$ , defined as the  $L^p(\Sigma)$ -norm of  $\|\phi(\cdot)\|$  (see e.g. [5, Chapters I and II]).

Assume that  $p$  is finite and note that in this case,  $L^p(\Sigma) \otimes X$  is dense in  $L^p(\Sigma; X)$ . Let  $p'$  be the conjugate index of  $p$ . For all  $\phi \in L^p(\Sigma; X)$  and  $\psi \in L^{p'}(\Sigma; X^*)$ , the function  $t \mapsto \langle \psi(t), \phi(t) \rangle_{X^*, X}$  belongs to  $L^1(\Sigma)$  and the resulting duality pairing  $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle := \int_\Sigma \langle \psi(t), \phi(t) \rangle d\nu(t)$  extends to an isometric embedding  $L^{p'}(\Sigma; X^*) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Sigma; X)^*$ . Furthermore, this embedding is onto if  $X$  is reflexive, that is,

$$(7) \quad L^{p'}(\Sigma; X^*) \simeq L^p(\Sigma; X)^* \quad \text{if } X \text{ is reflexive.}$$

We refer to [5, Corollary III.2.13 & Section IV.1] for these results and complements.

Let  $(\Sigma, \nu)$  and  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be two measure spaces. Then we have an isometric identification

$$L^p(\Sigma; L^p(\Omega)) \simeq L^p(\Sigma \times \Omega),$$

from which it follows that for any  $T \in B(L^p(\Sigma))$ , the tensor extension  $T \otimes I_{L^p(\Omega)}: L^p(\Sigma) \otimes L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma) \otimes L^p(\Omega)$  extends to a bounded operator  $T \overline{\otimes} I_{L^p(\Omega)}$  on  $L^p(\Sigma \times \Omega)$ , whose norm is equal to the norm of  $T$ . The following is elementary.

**Lemma 2.4.** The mapping  $\pi: B(L^p(\Sigma)) \rightarrow B(L^p(\Sigma \times \Omega))$  defined by  $\pi(T) = T \overline{\otimes} I_{L^p(\Omega)}$  is a  $p$ -complete isometry.

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $J_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It follows from (4) that  $M_n(B(L^p(\Sigma))) = B(\ell_n^p(L^p(\Sigma)))$  and hence  $M_n(B(L^p(\Sigma))) = B(L^p(J_n \times \Sigma))$  isometrically. Likewise, we have  $M_n(B(L^p(\Sigma \times \Omega))) = B(L^p(J_n \times \Sigma \times \Omega))$  isometrically. Through these identifications,

$$[T_{ij} \overline{\otimes} I_{L^p(\Omega)}] = [T_{ij}] \overline{\otimes} I_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

for all  $[T_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  in  $M_n(B(L^p(\Sigma)))$ . The result follows at once.  $\square$

We finally state an important result concerning Schur products on  $B(\ell_I^p)$ -spaces. Let  $I$  be an index set, let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $\ell_I^p$  denote the discrete  $L^p$ -space over  $I$ . Let  $(e_t)_{t \in I}$  be its canonical basis. To any  $T \in B(\ell_I^p)$ , we associate a matrix of complex numbers,  $[a_{st}]_{s, t \in I}$ , defined by  $a_{st} = \langle T(e_t), e_s \rangle$ , for all  $s, t \in I$ . Following [14, Chapter 5], we say that a bounded family  $\{\varphi(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in I^2}$  of complex numbers is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell_I^p)$  if for all  $T \in B(\ell_I^p)$ , with matrix  $[a_{st}]_{s, t \in I}$ , the matrix  $[\varphi(s, t)a_{st}]_{s, t \in I}$  represents an element of  $B(\ell_I^p)$ . In this case, the mapping  $[a_{st}] \rightarrow [\varphi(s, t)a_{st}]$  is a bounded operator from  $B(\ell_I^p)$  into itself. We note that  $\{\varphi(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in I^2}$  is a bounded Schur multiplier with norm  $\leq C$  if and only if for all  $n \geq 1$ , for all  $[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  in  $M_n$  and for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n$  in  $I$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \|[\varphi(s_i, t_j)a_{ij}]\|_{B(\ell_n^p)} \leq C \| [a_{ij}] \|_{B(\ell_n^p)}.$$

In the sequel, we apply the above definitions to the case when  $I = \mathbb{R}_+^*$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous bounded function. Let  $1 < p, p' < \infty$  be conjugate indices and let  $C \geq 0$  be a constant. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (i) The family  $\{\varphi(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}}$  is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}^p)$ , with norm  $\leq C$ .
- (ii) There exist a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  as well as two functions  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\Omega))$  and  $\beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{p'}(\Omega))$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_\infty \|\beta\|_\infty \leq C$  and  $\varphi(s, t) = \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle_{L^p, L^{p'}}$  for almost every  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}$ .

*Proof.* According to [2, Section 4.1], (ii) is equivalent to the fact that as an element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ ,

- (ii')  $\varphi$  is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ .

It further follows from [7, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2] that since  $\varphi$  is continuous, (ii') is equivalent to (i). The result follows.  $\square$

### 3. HANKEL OPERATORS ON $\ell^p$ AND THEIR MULTIPLIERS

In this section we work on the sequence spaces  $\ell^p = \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p$ , where  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . For any  $1 < p < \infty$ , we let  $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$  denote the classical basis of  $\ell^p$ . For any  $T \in B(\ell^p)$ , the associated matrix  $[t_{ij}]_{i, j \geq 0}$  is given by  $t_{ij} = \langle T(e_j), e_i \rangle$ , for all  $i, j \geq 0$ .

Let  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq B(\ell^p)$  be the subspace of all  $T \in B(\ell^p)$  whose matrix is Hankelian, i.e. has the form  $[c_{i+j}]_{i, j \geq 0}$  for some sequence  $(c_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of complex numbers.

Let  $p'$  be the conjugate index of  $p$  and regard  $\ell^p \otimes \ell^{p'} \subset B(\ell^p)$  in the usual way. We set

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{i+j=k} e_i \otimes e_j$$

for any  $k \geq 0$ . Then each  $\gamma_k$  belongs to  $Hank_p(\mathbb{N})$ , and  $\|\gamma_k\| = 1$ . Indeed, the matrix of  $\gamma_k$  is  $[c_{i+j}]_{i,j \geq 0}$  with  $c_k = 1$  and  $c_l = 0$  for all  $l \neq k$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $1 < p < \infty$ , the space  $Hank_p(\mathbb{N})$  is the  $w^*$ -closure of the linear span of  $\{\gamma_k : k \geq 0\}$ .

*Proof.* It is plain that  $Hank_p(\mathbb{N})$  is a  $w^*$ -closed subspace of  $B(\ell^p)$ , hence one inclusion is straightforward.

To check the other one, consider  $T \in Hank_p(\mathbb{N})$ . By the definition of this space, there is a sequence  $(c_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\langle T(e_j), e_i \rangle = c_{i+j}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq 0.$$

For any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $K_n$  be the Fejer kernel defined by

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) e^{int}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then let  $T_n \in B(\ell^p)$  be the finite rank operator whose matrix is  $[\widehat{K}_n(i+j)c_{i+j}]_{i,j \geq 0}$ . Note that

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) c_k \gamma_k \in \text{Span}\{\gamma_k : k \geq 0\}.$$

We show that  $\|T_n\| \leq \|T\|$ . To see this, let  $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j \geq 0} \in \ell^p$  and  $(\beta_m)_{m \geq 0} \in \ell^{p'}$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_n(\alpha), \beta \rangle &= \sum_{m,j \geq 0} \widehat{K}_n(m+j) c_{m+j} \alpha_j \beta_m \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \sum_{m,j \geq 0} c_{m+j} \alpha_j \beta_m e^{-i(m+j)t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_n \geq 0$ , we deduce

$$|\langle T_n(\alpha), \beta \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \left| \sum_{m,j \geq 0} c_{m+j} \alpha_j \beta_m e^{-i(m+j)t} \right| dt.$$

Now for all  $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{m,j \geq 0} c_{m+j} \alpha_j \beta_m e^{-i(m+j)t} \right| &= \left| \sum_{m,j \geq 0} c_{m+j} (e^{-ijt} \alpha_j) (e^{-imt} \beta_m) \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle T \left( (e^{-ijt} \alpha_j)_{j \geq 0} \right), (e^{-imt} \beta_m)_{m \geq 0} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|T\| \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} |e^{-ijt} \alpha_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} |e^{-imt} \beta_m|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \|T\| \|\alpha\|_p \|\beta\|_{p'}, \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt = 1$ , we therefore obtain that  $|\langle T_n(\alpha), \beta \rangle| \leq \|T\| \|\alpha\|_p \|\beta\|_{p'}$ . This proves that  $\|T_n\| \leq \|T\|$ , as requested.

For all  $i, j \geq 0$ ,

$$\langle T_n(e_j), e_i \rangle = \widehat{K_n}(i+j) \langle Te_j, e_i \rangle \longrightarrow \langle Te_j, e_i \rangle,$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $T_n \rightarrow T$  in the  $w^*$ -topology, by Lemma 2.1. Consequently,  $T$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -closure of  $\text{Span}\{\gamma_k : k \geq 0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.**

(a) Nehari's celebrated theorem (see e.g. [10, Theorem II.2.2.4], [12, Theorem I.1.1] or [15, Theorem 1.3]) asserts that

$$(9) \quad \text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{N}) \simeq \frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})}{H^\infty(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Here  $\mathbb{T}$  stands for the unit circle of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  is the Hardy space of functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. The isometric isomorphism  $J: \frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})}{H^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow \text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{N})$  providing (9) is defined as follows. Given any  $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , let  $\hat{F}$  denote its class modulo  $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . Then  $J(\hat{F})$  is the operator whose matrix is equal to  $[\hat{F}(-i-j-1)]_{i,j \geq 0}$ .

(b) We remark that  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{N})$ . To see this, note that if  $T \in \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$ , then because of the symmetry in its matrix representation due to being a Hankelian matrix,  $T$  has the same matrix representation as  $T^*$ , and therefore  $T$  extends to a bounded operator on  $\ell^{p'}$ . By interpolation,  $T$  extends to a bounded operator on  $\ell^2$ , which is represented by the same matrix as  $T$ . Hence,  $T$  belongs to  $\text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{N})$ .

However for  $1 < p \neq 2 < \infty$ , there is no description of  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  similar to Nehari's theorem.

(c) The definition of  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  readily extends to the case  $p = 1$ .

$$\text{Hank}_1(\mathbb{N}) \simeq \ell^1.$$

isometrically. Indeed, let  $J_1: \ell^1 \rightarrow \text{Hank}_1(\mathbb{N})$  be defined by

$$J_1(c) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \gamma_k, \quad c = (c_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \ell^1.$$

Next, let  $J_2: \text{Hank}_1(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^1$  be defined by  $J_2(T) = T(e_0)$ . Then  $J_1, J_2$  are contractions and it is easy to check that they are inverse to each other. Hence  $J_1$  is an isometric isomorphism.

We say that a sequence  $m = (m_k)_{k \geq 0}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of a multiplier on  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  if there is a  $w^*$ -continuous operator  $T_m: \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  such that

$$T_m(\gamma_k) = m_k \gamma_k, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Note that such an operator is uniquely defined. In this case,  $m \in \ell^\infty$  and  $\|m\|_\infty \leq \|T_m\|$ .

The following is a simple extension of [14, Theorems 6.1 & 6.2].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $C \geq 0$  be a constant and let  $m = (m_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The following assertions are equivalent.

(i)  $m$  is the symbol of a  $p$ -completely bounded multiplier on  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$ , and

$$\|T_m: \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})\|_{p\text{-cb}} \leq C.$$

(ii) There exist a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$ , and bounded sequences  $(\alpha_i)_{i \geq 0}$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $(\beta_j)_{j \geq 0}$  in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  such that  $m_{i+j} = \langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle$ , for every  $i, j \geq 0$ , and

$$\sup_{i \geq 0} \|\alpha_i\|_p \sup_{j \geq 0} \|\beta_j\|_{p'} \leq C.$$

*Proof.* By homogeneity, we may assume that  $C = 1$  throughout this proof.

Assume (i). Let  $\kappa: \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \rightarrow \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p$  be defined by  $\kappa((a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (a_{-k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , let  $J: \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p \rightarrow \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p$  be the canonical embedding and let  $Q: \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \rightarrow \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p$  be the canonical projection. Define  $q: B(\ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \rightarrow B(\ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p)$  by  $q(T) = Q\kappa T J$ . According to the easy implication “(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)” of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $q$  is  $p$ -completely contractive. We note that if  $[t_{i,j}]_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$  is the matrix of some  $T \in B(\ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ , then the matrix of  $q(T)$  is equal to  $[t_{-i,j}]_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq B(\ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$  be the space of all bounded Fourier multipliers on  $\ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ ; this is a unital subalgebra. Let  $T \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  denote its symbol. Then the matrix of  $T$  is equal to  $[\widehat{\phi}(i-j)]_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ , hence the matrix of  $q(T)$  is equal to  $[\widehat{\phi}(-i-j)]_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ . Hence,  $q(T)$  is Hankelian. We can therefore consider the restriction map

$$q|_{\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})}: \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}).$$

Let  $\mathbf{s}: \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \rightarrow \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}^p$  be the shift operator defined by  $\mathbf{s}(e_j) = e_{j+1}$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We observe (left to the reader) that

$$(10) \quad q(\mathbf{s}^{-k}) = \gamma_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We assume that  $T_m: \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  is  $p$ -completely contractive. Consider  $w: \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq B(\ell^p)$  defined by  $w := T_m \circ q|_{\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z})}$ . Then  $w$  is  $p$ -completely contractive. Applying Theorem 2.2 to  $w$ , we obtain an  $SQ_p$ -space  $E$ , a contractive homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B(E)$  and contractive maps  $V: \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p \rightarrow E$  and  $W: E \rightarrow \ell_{\mathbb{N}}^p$  such that

$$(11) \quad w(T) = W\pi(T)V, \quad T \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let  $i, j \geq 0$ . By (10), we have

$$w(\mathbf{s}^{-(i+j)}) = T_m(q(\mathbf{s}^{-(i+j)})) = T_m(\gamma_{i+j}) = m_{i+j}\gamma_{i+j},$$

hence  $\langle w(\mathbf{s}^{-(i+j)})e_i, e_j \rangle = m_{i+j}$ . Consequently, from (11), we obtain that

$$m_{i+j} = \langle \pi(\mathbf{s}^{-(i+j)})V(e_i), W^*(e_j) \rangle_{E, E^*}.$$

The mapping  $\pi$  is multiplicative, hence this implies that

$$m_{i+j} = \langle \pi(\mathbf{s}^{-i})V(e_i), \pi(\mathbf{s}^{-j})^*W^*(e_j) \rangle_{E, E^*}.$$

Set  $x_i := \pi(\mathbf{s}^{-i})V(e_i) \in E$  and  $y_j := \pi(\mathbf{s}^{-j})^*W^*(e_j) \in E^*$ . Then, for all  $i, j \geq 0$  we have  $\|x_i\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|y_j\| \leq 1$  and  $m_{i+j} = \langle x_i, y_j \rangle_{E, E^*}$ .

Let us now apply Remark 2.3. As in the latter, consider a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and closed subspaces  $E_2 \subset E_1 \subset L^p(\Omega)$  such that  $E = \frac{E_1}{E_2}$ . Recall (5). For any  $i \geq 0$ , pick  $\alpha_i \in E_1$  such that  $\|\alpha_i\|_p = \|x_i\|$  and  $\dot{\alpha}_i = x_i$ . Likewise, for any  $j \geq 0$ , pick  $\beta_j \in E_2^\perp$  such that  $\|\beta_j\|_{p'} = \|y_j\|$  and  $\dot{\beta}_j = y_j$ . Then for all  $i, j \geq 0$ , we both have  $\|\alpha_i\|_p \leq 1$ ,  $\|\beta_j\|_{p'} \leq 1$  and  $m_{i+j} = \langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle_{L^p, L^{p'}}$ . This proves (ii).

Conversely, assume (ii). By [14, Corollary 8.2], the family  $\{m_{i+j}\}_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  induces a  $p$ -completely contractive Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell^p)$ . It is clear that the restriction of this Schur multiplier maps  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$  into itself. More precisely, it maps  $\gamma_k$  to  $m_k\gamma_k$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Hence  $m$  is the symbol of a  $p$ -completely contractive multiplier on  $\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{N})$ .  $\square$

4. HANKEL OPERATORS ON  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ 

Throughout we let  $1 < p < \infty$  and we let  $p'$  denote its conjugate index. For any  $u > 0$ , we set  $\tau_u f := f(\cdot - u)$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Let

$$\text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$$

be the space of Hankelian operators on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , consisting of all bounded operators  $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$\langle T\tau_u f, g \rangle = \langle T f, \tau_u g \rangle,$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $u > 0$ .

For any  $u > 0$ , let  $\theta_u : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be defined by  $\theta_u f = f(u - \cdot)$ . Note that  $\theta_u$  is a Hankelian operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Indeed, for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $v > 0$ , we have

$$\langle \theta_u \tau_v f, g \rangle = \int_v^u f(u-s)g(s-v) ds = \langle \theta_u f, \tau_v g \rangle$$

if  $v < u$ , and  $\langle \theta_u \tau_v f, g \rangle = \langle \theta_u f, \tau_v g \rangle = 0$  if  $v \geq u$ . The operators  $\theta_u$  are the continuous counterparts of the operators  $\gamma_k$  from Section 3. From this point of view, part (1) of Theorem 4.1 below is an analogue of Lemma 3.1. However its proof is more delicate.

We introduce a new space  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  by

$$A_p(\mathbb{R}_+) := \left\{ F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * g_n : f_n \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+), g_n \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} < \infty \right\},$$

and we equip it with the norm

$$(12) \quad \|F\|_{A_p} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} \right\},$$

where the infimum runs over all possible representations of  $F$  as above. The space  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a half-line analogue of the classical Figa-Talamanca-Herz algebra  $A_p(\mathbb{R})$ , see e.g. [6]. The classical arguments showing that the latter is a Banach space show as well that (12) is a norm on  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and that  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a Banach space.

It follows from the above definitions that there exists a (necessarily unique) contractive map

$$Q_p : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \widehat{\otimes} L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+) \longrightarrow A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

such that  $Q_p(f \otimes g) = f * g$ , for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Moreover  $Q_p$  is a quotient map. Hence the adjoint

$$Q_p^* : A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)^* \longrightarrow B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$$

of  $Q_p$  is an isometry. This yields an isometric identification  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)^* \simeq \ker(Q_p)^\perp (= \text{ran}(Q_p^*))$ .

We observe that

$$(13) \quad \ker(Q_p)^\perp = \overline{\text{Span}^{w^*} \{ \theta_u : u > 0 \}}.$$

To prove this, we note that

$$(14) \quad \langle \theta_u, f \otimes g \rangle = \langle \theta_u(f), g \rangle = (f * g)(u),$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $u > 0$ . Hence,

$$\left\langle \theta_u, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n \right\rangle = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * g_n \right)(u)$$



for all sequences  $(f_n)_n$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $(g_n)_n$  in  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} < \infty$ , and all  $u > 0$ . This implies that  $\text{Span}\{\theta_u : u > 0\}_\perp = \ker(Q_p)$ , and (13) follows.

**Theorem 4.1.**

- (1) The space  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is equal to the  $w^*$ -closure of the linear span of  $\{\theta_u : u > 0\}$ .
- (2) We have an isometric identification

$$Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \simeq A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)^*.$$

*Proof.* Part (2) follows from part (1) and the discussion preceding the statement of Theorem 4.1. For any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $u > 0$ , the functionals  $T \mapsto \langle T\tau_u f, g \rangle$  and  $T \mapsto \langle T f, \tau_u g \rangle$  are  $w^*$ -continuous on  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . Consequently,  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is  $w^*$ -closed. Hence  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  contains the  $w^*$ -closure of  $\text{Span}\{\theta_u : u > 0\}$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, it suffices to show, by (13), that

$$Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \ker(Q_p)^\perp.$$

We will use a double approximation process. First, let  $k, l$  in  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , the space of continuous functions with compact support. To any  $T \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ , we associate  $T_{k,l} \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  defined by

$$\langle T_{k,l}(f), g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle T(\tau_u k \cdot f), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g \rangle du, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+), g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \langle T(\tau_u k \cdot f), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g \rangle \right| du &\leq \|T\|_p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_u k f\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_{-u} l g\|_{p'}^{p'} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= \|T\|_p \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'} \|k\|_p \|l\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T_{k,l}$  is well-defined and  $\|T_{k,l}\| \leq \|T\| \|k\|_p \|l\|_{p'}$ . We are going to show that

$$(15) \quad T \in Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \implies T_{k,l} \in \ker(Q_p)^\perp.$$

Let  $\alpha \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)^+$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_1 = 1$ . Let  $R_\alpha \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  be defined by

$$R_\alpha(f) = \alpha * f, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

We show that  $(TR_\alpha)_{k,l}$  belongs to  $\ker(Q_p)^\perp$  if  $T \in Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , and we use these auxiliary operators to establish (15).

We fix some  $T \in Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $z \in \ker(Q_p)$ . Since  $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is both dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , it follows e.g. from [6, Chapter 3, Proposition 6] that there exist sequences  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} < \infty$  and  $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n$ . Since  $z \in \ker(Q_p)$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * g_n = 0$ , pointwise.

We write  $R_\alpha f = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(s) \tau_s \alpha ds$  as a Bochner integral, for all  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . A simple application of Fubini's theorem leads to

$$k * l \cdot f_n * g_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\tau_u k \cdot f_n)(s) \tau_s (\tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n) ds du,$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle (TR_{\alpha})_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle TR_{\alpha}(\tau_u k \cdot f_n), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n \rangle du \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle T((\tau_u k \cdot f_n) * \alpha), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n \rangle du \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\tau_u k \cdot f_n)(s) \langle T(\tau_s \alpha), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n \rangle ds du \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \langle T(\alpha), (\tau_u k \cdot f_n)(s) \tau_s (\tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n) \rangle ds du \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T(\alpha), k * l \cdot f_n * g_n \rangle \\
&= \left\langle T(\alpha), k * l \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * g_n \right\rangle = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(TR_{\alpha})_{k,l}$  belongs to  $\ker(Q_p)^{\perp}$ .

For  $z, f_n, g_n$  as above, write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle (TR_{\alpha})_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \langle T(\tau_u k \cdot f_n - (\tau_u k \cdot f_n) * \alpha), \tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n \rangle \right| du \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_u k \cdot f_n - (\tau_u k \cdot f_n) * \alpha\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_{-u} l \cdot g_n\|_{p'}^{p'} du \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_{p'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_u k \cdot f_n - (\tau_u k \cdot f_n) * \alpha\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that by assumption,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) ds = 1$ . Then we deduce from above that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle \right| &\leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_{p'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) (\tau_u k \cdot f_n - \tau_s (\tau_u k \cdot f_n)) ds \right\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_{p'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_u k \cdot f_n - \tau_s (\tau_u k \cdot f_n)\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The integral in the right hand-side satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_u k \cdot f_n - \tau_s(\tau_u k \cdot f_n)\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_u k \cdot f_n - \tau_{s+u} k \cdot f_n\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_{s+u} k \cdot f_n - \tau_s(\tau_u k \cdot f_n)\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_u((k - \tau_s k) \cdot f_n)\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \alpha(s) \|\tau_{s+u} k \cdot (f_n - \tau_s f_n)\|_p^p ds du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_u(k - \tau_s k) \cdot f_n\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tau_{s+u} k \cdot (f_n - \tau_s f_n)\|_p^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& = \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k - \tau_s k\|_p \|f_n\|_p + \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k\|_p \|f_n - \tau_s f_n\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle \right| \leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_{p'} \left( \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k - \tau_s k\|_p \|f_n\|_p + \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k\|_p \|f_n - \tau_s f_n\|_p \right).$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $M$  such that

$$\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} < \epsilon.$$

We may find  $s_0 > 0$  such that for all  $s \in (0, s_0)$  and for all  $1 \leq n \leq M$ , we have that

$$\|k - \tau_s k\|_p \leq \frac{\epsilon \|k\|_p}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_n - \tau_s f_n\|_p \leq \frac{\epsilon}{M \|g_n\|_{p'}}.$$

We may now choose  $\alpha$  so that  $\text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq (0, t_0)$ . Then we obtain from above that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle \right| & \leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \left( \epsilon \|k\|_p + \sum_{n=1}^M \|g_n\|_{p'} \cdot \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k\|_p \|f_n - \tau_s f_n\|_p \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_{p'} \cdot \sup_{s \in \text{supp}(\alpha)} \|k\|_p \|f_n - \tau_s f_n\|_p \right) \\
& \leq \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \left( 2\epsilon \|k\|_p + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} 2\|k\|_p \|g_n\|_{p'} \|f_n\|_p \right) \\
& \leq 4\epsilon \|T\| \|l\|_{p'} \|k\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, this shows that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{k,l}(f_n), g_n \rangle = 0$ . Since  $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \otimes g_n$  was an arbitrary element of  $\ker(Q_p)$ , we obtain (15).

Next, we construct a sequence  $(T_{k_n, l_n})_n$  which tends to  $T$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . In the sequel, we assume that  $k, l$  in  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  are such that

$$(16) \quad \|k\|_p = 1, \quad \|l\|_{p'} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(-s)l(s) ds = 1.$$

Consider any  $f, g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \langle T(f), g \rangle - \langle T_{k,l}(f), g \rangle \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle T(k(-s)f), l(s)g \rangle - \langle T(\tau_s k \cdot f), \tau_{-s} l \cdot g \rangle ds \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \langle T((k(-s) - \tau_s k) f), l(s)g \rangle \right| ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \langle T(\tau_s k \cdot f), (l(s) - \tau_{-s} l) g \rangle \right| ds \\
&\leq \|T\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (k(-s) - \tau_s k) f \|_p^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| l(s)g \|_{p'}^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\quad + \|T\| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| \tau_s k \cdot f \|_p^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \| (l(s) - \tau_{-s} l) g \|_{p'}^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \|T\| \|g\|_{p'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)|^p \| \tau_t \check{k} - \check{k} \|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad + \|T\| \|f\|_p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g(t)|^{p'} \| \tau_{-t} l - l \|_{p'}^{p'} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\check{k}$  denotes the function  $s \mapsto k(-s)$ .

Now for  $n \geq 1$ , set  $k_n := \frac{\chi_{[-n,n]}}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$  and  $l_n := \frac{\chi_{[-n,n]}}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$ , where  $\chi_{[-n,n]}$  is the indicator function of the interval  $[-n, n]$ . Then  $\|k_n\|_p = \|l_n\|_{p'} = 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} k_n(-s)l_n(s) ds = 1$  as in (16). Let  $K = \text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)$  and let  $r = \sup(K)$ . Note that  $\check{k}_n = k_n$  and that we have

$$\sup_{t \in K} \| \tau_t k_n - k_n \|_p \leq \left( \frac{r}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in K} \| \tau_{-t} l_n - l_n \|_{p'} \leq \left( \frac{r}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \langle T(f), g \rangle - \langle T_{k_n, l_n}(f), g \rangle \right| \leq \frac{2r}{n} \|T\| \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'},$$

hence  $\langle T_{k_n, l_n}(f), g \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(f), g \rangle$ . Since  $\|T_{k_n, l_n}\| \leq \|T\|$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , this implies, by Lemma 2.1, that  $T_{k_n, l_n} \rightarrow T$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . Consequently,  $T \in \ker(Q_p)^\perp$  as expected.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.**

(a) For any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $H^p(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R})$  be the subspace of all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  whose Fourier transform has support in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Recall the factorisation property

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

More precisely, the product  $h_1 h_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|h_1 h_2\|_1 \leq \|h_1\|_2 \|h_2\|_2$  for all  $h_1, h_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  and conversely, for all  $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $h = h_1 h_2$  and  $\|h\|_1 = \|h_1\|_2 \|h_2\|_2$ .

Recall that by definition,

$$A_2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ \sum f_n * g_n : f_n, g_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \sum \|f_n\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 < \infty \right\}.$$

It therefore follows from the above factorisation property and the identification of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $H^2(\mathbb{R})$  via the Fourier transform that

$$A_2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{ \hat{h} : h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \},$$

with  $\|\hat{h}\|_{A_2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ . Therefore, we have an isometric identification

$$A_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cong H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $H^1(\mathbb{R})^\perp = H^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $H_1(\mathbb{R})^* \cong \frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}{H^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$ . Applying Theorem 4.1 (2), we recover the well-known fact (see [10, Section IV.5.3] or [12, Theorem I.8.1]) that

$$\mathit{Hank}_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cong \frac{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}{H^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

**(b)** We remark that  $\mathit{Hank}_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq \mathit{Hank}_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Indeed, suppose that  $T \in \mathit{Hank}_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and note that the adjoint mapping  $T^* \in B(L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+))$  coincides with  $T$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . To see this, take  $f, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and observe that  $f \otimes g - g \otimes f$  belongs to  $\ker(Q_p)$ . This implies that  $\langle T(f), g \rangle = \langle T(g), f \rangle$ . Therefore,  $T$  coincides with  $T^*$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . It then follows by interpolation that  $T$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , say  $\tilde{T}$ . Since  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$  coincide on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $T$  is Hankelian, it follows from the definition of Hankel operators that  $\tilde{T}$  is also a Hankel operator and hence belongs to  $\mathit{Hank}_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

**(c)** The definition of  $\mathit{Hank}_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  extends to the case  $p = 1$ . In analogy with Remark 3.2 (c), we have an isometric identification

$$\mathit{Hank}_1(\mathbb{R}_+) \simeq M(\mathbb{R}_+^*),$$

where  $M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  denotes the space of all bounded Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ . To establish this, we first note that for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , the function  $u \mapsto \theta_u(f)$  is bounded and continuous from  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  into  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Hence for all  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ , we may define  $H_\nu \in B(L^1(\mathbb{R}_+))$  by

$$(17) \quad H_\nu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \theta_u(f) d\nu(u), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

It is clear that  $H_\nu$  is Hankelian. It follows from (14) that

$$\langle H_\nu(f), g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} (f * g)(u) d\nu(u), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+), g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

We note that the mapping  $\nu \mapsto H_\nu$  is a 1-1 contraction from  $M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  into  $\mathit{Hank}_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We shall now prove that this mapping is an onto isometry.

We use the isometric identification  $M(\mathbb{R}_+^*) \simeq C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^*)^*$  provided by the Riesz theorem and we regard  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \subseteq M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  in the obvious way. Let  $T \in \mathit{Hank}_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We observe that for all  $h, f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ , we have

$$(18) \quad \langle T(h * f), g \rangle = \langle T(h), f * g \rangle$$

Indeed, write  $h * f = \int_0^\infty f(s)\tau_s h ds$ . This implies that  $T(h * f) = \int_0^\infty f(s)T(\tau_s h) ds$ , hence

$$\langle T(h * f), g \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(s)\langle T\tau_s h, g \rangle ds = \int_0^\infty f(s)\langle Th, \tau_s g \rangle ds = \left\langle Th, \int_0^\infty f(s)\tau_s g ds \right\rangle = \langle T(h), f * g \rangle.$$

Let  $(h_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a norm one approximate unit of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then  $(T(h_n))_{n \geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Hence it admits a cluster point  $\nu \in M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $M(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ . Thus, for all  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ , the complex number  $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} g(u) d\nu(u)$  is a cluster point of the sequence  $(\langle T(h_n), g \rangle)_{n \geq 1}$ . Furthermore, we have  $\| \nu \| \leq \| T \|$ . Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and let  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ . Since  $h_n * f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we have that  $\langle T(h_n * f), g \rangle \rightarrow \langle T(f), g \rangle$ . By (18), we may write  $\langle T(h_n * f), g \rangle = \langle T(h_n), f * g \rangle$ . We deduce that

$$\langle T(f), g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} (f * g)(u) d\nu(u).$$

This implies that  $T = H_\nu$ , see (17), which concludes the proof.

**Definition 4.3.** We say that a function  $m : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of a multiplier on  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  if there exist a  $w^*$ -continuous operator  $T_m : Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that for every  $u > 0$ ,  $T_m(\theta_u) = m(u)\theta_u$ . (Note that such an operator  $T_m$  is necessarily unique.)

**Remark 4.4.** Suppose that  $T_m : Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a multiplier as defined above. Using Theorem 4.1 (2), let  $S_m : A_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the operator such that  $S_m^* = T_m$ . For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we have, by (14),

$$\begin{aligned} [S_m(f * g)](u) &= \langle \theta_u, S_m(f * g) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_m(\theta_u), f * g \rangle \\ &= m(u) \langle \theta_u, f * g \rangle \\ &= m(u) (f * g)(u). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $S_m(F) = m \cdot F$ , for every  $F \in A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Conversely, if  $m : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is such that  $S_m : A_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  given by  $S_m(F) = m \cdot F$  is well-defined and bounded, then  $S_m^*$  is a multiplier on  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** If  $m : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of a multiplier on  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $m$  is continuous and bounded.

*Proof.* For all  $u > 0$ , we have  $m(u)\theta_u = T_m(\theta_u)$ , hence  $|m(u)| \leq \|T_m\|$ . Thus,  $m$  is bounded. For any  $a > 0$ , let  $\chi_{(0,a)}$  be the indicator function of the interval  $(0, a)$ . Then  $m \cdot \chi_{(0,a)} * \chi_{(0,a)}$  belongs to  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , hence to  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ , by Remark 4.4. Since  $\chi_{(0,a)} * \chi_{(0,a)} > 0$  on  $(0, 2a)$ , it follows that  $m$  is continuous on  $(0, 2a)$ . Thus,  $m$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $C \geq 0$  be a constant and let  $m : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function. The following assertions are equivalent.

(i)  $m$  is the symbol of a  $p$ -completely bounded multiplier on  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , and

$$\|T_m : Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)\|_{p-cb} \leq C.$$

(ii)  $m$  is continuous and there exist a measure space  $(\Omega, \mu)$  and two functions  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\Omega))$  and  $\beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^{p'}(\Omega))$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_\infty \|\beta\|_\infty \leq C$  and  $m(s+t) = \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle$ , for almost every  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ .

*Proof.* By homogeneity, we may assume that  $C = 1$  throughout this proof.

Assume (i). The continuity of  $m$  follows from Lemma 4.5. Let  $T_m : Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the  $p$ -completely contractive multiplier associated with  $m$ . Let  $\kappa : L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})$  be defined by  $(\kappa f)(t) = f(-t)$ , for all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $J : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})$  be the canonical embedding and let  $Q : L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the canonical projection defined by  $Qf = f|_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ . Let  $q : B(L^p(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  be given by  $q(T) = Q\kappa T J$ , for all  $T \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}))$ . Applying the easy implication "(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)" of Theorem 2.2 we obtain that  $q$  is  $p$ -completely contractive.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq B(L^p(\mathbb{R}))$  denote the subalgebra of bounded Fourier multipliers. Let us show that if  $T \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $q(T) \in Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , recall  $\tau_s \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}))$  given by  $\tau_s(f) = f(\cdot - s)$ . Note that  $\tau_s \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) = \overline{\text{Span}}^{w^*} \{\tau_s : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . For all  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , we have

$$q(\tau_s)f = Q\tau(f(\cdot - s)) = Q(f(-(\cdot + s))) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto f(-t - s)\}.$$

Hence, if  $s \geq 0$ , then  $q(\tau_s) = 0$  and if  $s < 0$ , then  $q(\tau_s) = \theta_{-s}$ . It is plain that  $q$  is  $w^*$ -continuous. Since  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is  $w^*$ -closed, we deduce that  $q$  maps  $\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$  into  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

Consider the mapping  $q_0 := q|_{\mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hank}_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and set  $\Gamma := T_m \circ q_0 : \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . It follows from above that

$$(19) \quad \Gamma(\tau_{-s}) = m(s)\theta_s, \quad s > 0.$$

Since  $q$  is  $p$ -completely contractive,  $\Gamma$  is also  $p$ -completely contractive. Applying Theorem 2.2 to  $\Gamma$ , we obtain the existence of an  $SQ_p$ -space  $E$ , a unital  $p$ -completely contractive, non-degenerate homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(E)$  as well as operators  $V : L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow E$  and  $W : E \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\|V\|\|W\| \leq 1$  and for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\Gamma(x) = W\pi(x)V$ .

Let  $c : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$  be defined by  $[c(g)](f) = g * f$ , for all  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\lambda : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(E)$  be given by  $\lambda = \pi \circ c$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a contractive, non-degenerate homomorphism. By [4, Remark 2.5], there exists  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B(E)$ , a bounded strongly continuous representation such that for all  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lambda(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t)\sigma(t) dt$  (defined in the strong sense). Let us show that

$$(20) \quad \Gamma(\tau_{-s}) = W\sigma(-s)V, \quad s > 0.$$

Let  $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})_+$  be such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t) dt = 1$ . For any  $r > 0$ , let  $\eta_r(t) = r\eta(rt)$ . Since  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B(E)$  is strongly continuous, the function  $t \mapsto \langle \sigma(t)x, x^* \rangle$  is continuous and we have

$$(21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_r(-s-t) \langle \sigma(t)x, x^* \rangle dt \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma(-s)x, x^* \rangle,$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $x^* \in E^*$ . Since the left-hand side in (21) is equal to  $\langle \pi(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)))x, x^* \rangle$ , we obtain, by Lemma 2.1, that  $\pi(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot))) \rightarrow \sigma(-s)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(E)$ . This implies that  $W\pi(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)))V \rightarrow W\sigma(-s)V$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . We next show that  $W\pi(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)))V \rightarrow \Gamma(\tau_{-s})$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ , which will complete the proof of (20). Since

$$W\pi(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)))V = \Gamma(c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)))$$

and  $\Gamma$  is  $w^*$ -continuous, it suffices to show that  $c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)) \rightarrow \tau_{-s}$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}))$ . To see this, let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot))f, g \rangle &= \langle \eta_r(-s-\cdot) * f, g \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta_{-s} * \eta_r * f, g \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle \delta_{-s} * f, g \rangle = \langle \tau_{-s}f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 again, this proves that  $c(\eta_r(-s-\cdot)) \rightarrow \tau_{-s}$  in the  $w^*$ -topology, as expected.

Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $m_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by

$$m_\epsilon(t) = m(t + \epsilon), \quad t > 0.$$

Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be given by  $f = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}\chi_{(0,\epsilon)}$  and let  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be given by  $g = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p'}}\chi_{(0,\epsilon)}$ . For any  $s, t > 0$ , set

$$\alpha_\epsilon(s) := \sigma(-s - \frac{\epsilon}{2})V(\tau_s f) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_\epsilon(t) := \sigma(-t - \frac{\epsilon}{2})^*W^*(\tau_t g).$$

Since  $\sigma$  is strongly continuous,  $\alpha_\epsilon$  and  $\beta_\epsilon$  are continuous. By (19) and (20), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \alpha_\epsilon(s), \beta_\epsilon(t) \rangle_{E, E^*} &= \langle \sigma(-s - \frac{\epsilon}{2})V(\tau_s f), \sigma(-t - \frac{\epsilon}{2})^*W^*(\tau_t g) \rangle \\
&= \langle W\sigma(-s - t - \epsilon)V(\tau_s f), \tau_t g \rangle \\
&= \langle (\Gamma(\tau_{-s-t-\epsilon}))(\tau_s f), \tau_t g \rangle \\
&= m(s + t + \epsilon) \langle \theta_{s+t+\epsilon}(\tau_s f), \tau_t g \rangle \\
&= m_\epsilon(s + t) \langle \epsilon^{-1/p} \chi_{(t, t+\epsilon)}, \epsilon^{-1/p'} \chi_{(t, t+\epsilon)} \rangle \\
&= m_\epsilon(s + t),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $s, t > 0$ . Moreover,  $\|\alpha_\epsilon(s)\| \leq \|V\|$  and  $\|\beta_\epsilon(t)\| \leq \|W\|$  for all  $t, s > 0$ . Since  $\alpha_\epsilon$  and  $\beta_\epsilon$  are continuous, this implies that  $\alpha_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E)$ ,  $\beta_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E^*)$  and  $\|\alpha_\epsilon\|_\infty \|\beta_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \|V\| \|W\| \leq 1$ .

We now show that the  $SQ_p$ -space  $E$  can be replaced by an  $L^p$ -space in the above factorization property of  $m_\epsilon$ . Following Remark 2.3, assume that  $E = \frac{E_1}{E_2}$ , with  $E_2 \subseteq E_1 \subseteq L^p(\Omega)$ , and for all  $f \in E_1$ , let  $\dot{f} \in E$  denote the class of  $f$ . Recall (5) and for all  $g \in E_2^\perp$ , let  $\dot{g} \in E^*$  denote the class of  $g$ . Since  $E$  is a quotient of  $E_1$ , we have an isometric embedding  $E^* \subseteq E_1^*$ . More precisely,

$$E^* = \frac{E_2^\perp}{E_1^\perp} \hookrightarrow \frac{L^{p'}(\Omega)}{E_1^\perp} = E_1^*.$$

This induces an isometric embedding  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E^*) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E_1^*)$ . Since  $E^*$  and  $E_1^*$  are reflexive, we may apply the identifications  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E^*)^* \simeq L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E)$  and  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E_1^*)^* \simeq L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E_1)$  provided by (7). By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we deduce the existence of  $\widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E_1)$  such that  $\|\widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon\|_\infty = \|\alpha_\epsilon\|_\infty$  and the functional  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E_1^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induced by  $\widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon$  extends the functional  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; E^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induced by  $\alpha_\epsilon$ . It is easy to check that the latter means that  $\widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon(s) = \alpha_\epsilon(s)$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Likewise, there exist  $\widetilde{\beta}_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; E_2^\perp)$  such that  $\|\widetilde{\beta}_\epsilon\|_\infty = \|\beta_\epsilon\|_\infty$  and  $\widetilde{\beta}_\epsilon(t) = \beta_\epsilon(t)$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Regard  $\widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon$  as an element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\Omega))$  and  $\widetilde{\beta}_\epsilon$  as an element of  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, L^{p'}(\Omega))$ . By (6), we then have

$$\langle \alpha_\epsilon(s), \beta_\epsilon(t) \rangle_{E, E^*} = \langle \widetilde{\alpha}_\epsilon(s), \widetilde{\beta}_\epsilon(t) \rangle_{L^p, L^{p'}},$$

for almost every  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}$ .

We therefore obtain that  $m_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies condition (ii) of the theorem (with  $C = 1$ ).

Define  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\varphi(s, t) = m(s + t)$ . Likewise, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , define  $\varphi_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}_+^{*2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\varphi_\epsilon(s, t) = m_\epsilon(s + t)$ . Since  $m$  is continuous, the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_\epsilon$  are continuous. It follows from above that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\varphi_\epsilon$  satisfies condition (ii) in Theorem 2.5, with  $C = 1$ . The latter theorem therefore implies that the family  $\{\varphi_\epsilon(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}}$  is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}^p)$ , with norm less than one. Thus for all  $[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  in  $M_n$  and for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ , we have  $\|[\varphi_\epsilon(s_i, t_j) a_{ij}]\|_{B(\ell_n^p)} \leq \| [a_{ij}] \|_{B(\ell_n^p)}$ . Since  $m$  is continuous,  $\varphi_\epsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  pointwise when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We deduce that  $\varphi$  satisfies (8) with  $C = 1$  for all  $[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  in  $M_n$  and all  $t_1, \dots, t_n, s_1, \dots, s_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ . Consequently, the family  $\{\varphi(s, t)\}_{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}}$  is a bounded Schur multiplier on  $B(\ell_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}^p)$ , with norm less than one. Applying the implication "(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)" in Theorem 2.5, we deduce the assertion (ii) of Theorem 4.6.

Conversely, assume (ii). Following Lemma 2.4, let  $\pi : B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega))$  be the  $p$ -completely isometric homomorphism defined by  $\pi(T) = T \overline{\otimes} I_{L^p(\Omega)}$ . This map is  $w^*$ -continuous.



Indeed, let  $(T_l)_l$  be a bounded net of  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  converging to some  $T \in B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. For any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega)$  and  $\psi \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\langle \pi(T_l), (f \otimes \varphi) \otimes (g \otimes \psi) \rangle = \langle T_l f, g \rangle_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^p(\Omega), L^{p'}(\Omega)},$$

where the duality pairing in the left hand-side refers to the identification

$$(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega) \widehat{\otimes} L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega))^* \simeq B((L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega))).$$

Since  $\langle T_l f, g \rangle \rightarrow \langle T f, g \rangle$ , we deduce that  $\langle \pi(T_l), (f \otimes \varphi) \otimes (g \otimes \psi) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \pi(T), (f \otimes \varphi) \otimes (g \otimes \psi) \rangle$ . Since  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes L^p(\Omega)$  and  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes L^{p'}(\Omega)$  are dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega)$  and  $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega)$ , respectively, we deduce that  $\pi(T_l) \rightarrow \pi(T)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology, by Lemma 2.1. This proves that  $\pi$  is  $w^*$ -continuous.

Let  $V: L^p(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+; L^p(\Omega)) \simeq L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega)$  be defined by

$$V(f) = f\alpha, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

This is a well-defined contraction. Likewise we define a contraction  $W: L^p(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  by setting

$$W^*(g) = g\beta, \quad g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

It follows from above and from the implication “(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)” of Theorem 2.2 that the mapping

$$w: B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)) \longrightarrow B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)), \quad w(T) = W\pi(T)V,$$

is a  $w^*$ -continuous  $p$ -complete contraction.

We claim that for all  $u > 0$ , we have

$$(22) \quad w(\theta_u) = m(u)\theta_u.$$

To prove this, consider  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . For all  $u > 0$ , we have

$$\langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle = \langle \pi(\theta_u)V(f), W^*(g) \rangle = \langle \pi(\theta_u)(f\alpha), (g\beta) \rangle.$$

By the definitions of  $\pi$  and  $\theta_u$ , we have  $\pi(\theta_u)(f\alpha) = (f\alpha)(u - \cdot)$ . Consequently,

$$\langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle = \int_0^u f(u-t)g(t)\langle \alpha(u-t), \beta(t) \rangle dt, \quad u > 0.$$

Let  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be an auxiliary function. Then using Fubini's theorem and setting  $s = u - t$  in due place, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle h(u) du &= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty h(u)f(u-t)g(t)\langle \alpha(u-t), \beta(t) \rangle dudt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(s+t)f(s)g(t)\langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle dsdt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the a.e. equality  $m(s+t) = \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle$  and reversing this computation, we deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty \langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle h(u) du = \int_0^\infty m(u)(f * g)(u)h(u) du.$$

Since  $h$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $\langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle = m(u)(f * g)(u)$  for a.e.  $u > 0$ . Equivalently,  $\langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle = m(u)\langle \theta_u f, g \rangle$  for a.e.  $u > 0$ . It is plain that  $u \mapsto \theta_u$  is  $w^*$ -continuous on  $B(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+))$ . Since  $w$  is  $w^*$ -continuous, the function  $u \mapsto \langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle$  is continuous as well. Since  $m$  is assumed continuous, we deduce that  $\langle w(\theta_u)f, g \rangle = m(u)\langle \theta_u f, g \rangle$  for all  $u > 0$ . This yields (22), for all  $u > 0$ .

By part (1) of Theorem 4.1 and the  $w^*$ -continuity of  $w$ , the identity (22) implies that  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is an invariant subspace of  $w$ . Further the restriction of  $w$  to  $Hank_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is the multiplier associated to  $m$ . The assertion (i) follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** We proved in [1, Theorem 3.1] that a continuous function  $m: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the symbol of an  $S^1$ -bounded Fourier multiplier on  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $S^1$ -bounded norm  $\leq C$ , if and only if there exist a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and two functions  $\alpha, \beta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{H})$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_\infty \|\beta\|_\infty \leq C$  and  $m(s+t) = \langle \alpha(t), \beta(s) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  for almost every  $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*2}$ . It turns out that using (1), a mapping  $S: H^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is an  $S^1$ -bounded Fourier multiplier with  $S^1$ -bounded norm  $\leq C$  if and only if  $S^*: \text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \text{Hank}_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a completely bounded multiplier with completely bounded norm  $\leq C$ . See [1, Remark 3.4] for more on this. Thus the statement in [1, Theorem 3.1] is equivalent to the case  $p = 2$  of Theorem 4.6. In this regard, Theorem 4.6 can be regarded as a  $p$ -analogue of [1, Theorem 3.1].

**Remark 4.8.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . For any  $s, t > 0$ , we may write

$$(f * g)(s+t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s+r)g(t-r) dr.$$

Equivalently,

$$(f * g)(s+t) = \langle \tau_{-s}f, \tau_t \check{g} \rangle_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+), L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

According to the implication “(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)” of Theorem 4.6 and Remark 4.4,  $f * g$  is therefore a pointwise multiplier of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , with norm less than or equal to  $\|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'}$ . We deduce that every  $F \in A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a pointwise multiplier of  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , with norm less than or equal to  $\|F\|_{A_p}$ . This means that  $A_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is a Banach algebra for the pointwise product.

**Acknowledgement.** CL was supported by the ANR project *Noncommutative analysis on groups and quantum groups* (No./ANR-19-CE40-0002). SZ was supported by Projet I-SITE MultiStructure *Harmonic Analysis of noncommutative Fourier and Schur multipliers over operator spaces*. The authors gratefully thank the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research and the UKRI/EPSRC Additional Funding Program for Mathematical Sciences for the financial support through Heilbronn Grant R102688-101.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Arnold, C. Le Merdy and S. Zadeh,  $S^1$ -bounded Fourier multipliers on  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and functional calculus for semigroups, Preprint 2022, arXiv:2203.16829.
- [2] C. Coine, Schur multipliers on  $B(L^p, L^q)$ , *J. Operator Theory* 79 (2018), no. 2, 301–326.
- [3] M. Daws,  $p$ -operator spaces and Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 63 (2010), no. 1, 47–83.
- [4] B. de Pagter and W.J. Ricker,  $R$ -bounded representations of  $L^1(G)$ , *Positivity* 12 (2008), 151–166.
- [5] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, Vector measures, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977, xiii+322 pp.
- [6] A. Derighetti, Convolution operators on groups, *Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana* 11, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, xii+171 pp.
- [7] C. Herz, Une généralisation de la notion de transformée de Fourier-Stieltjes, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 24 (1974), no. 3, 145–157.
- [8] C. Le Merdy, Factorization of  $p$ -completely bounded multilinear maps, *Pacific J. Math* 172 (1996), no. 1, 187–213.
- [9] N. K. Nikolski, Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading, Vol. 1, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xiv+461 pp.
- [10] N.K. Nikolski, Toeplitz matrices and operators, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 182. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020. xxii+430 pp.
- [11] V.I. Paulsen, Completely bounded maps and operator algebras, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. xii+300 pp.
- [12] V.V. Peller, Hankel operators and their applications, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003. xvi+784 pp.

- [13] G. Pisier, Completely bounded maps between sets of Banach space operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 39 (1990), no. 1, 249–277.
- [14] G. Pisier, Similarity problems and completely bounded maps, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1618, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [15] S.C. Power, Hankel operators on Hilbert space, *Research Notes in Mathematics* 64, Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1982. vii+87 pp.
- [16] D. Yafaev, Quasi-diagonalization of Hankel operators, *J. Anal. Math.* 133 (2017), 133–182.
- [17] D. Yafaev, On finite rank Hankel operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* 268 (2015), no. 7, 1808–1839.
- [18] D. Yafaev, Hankel and Toeplitz operators: continuous and discrete representations, *Opuscula Math.* 37 (2017), no. 1, 189–218.

*Email address:* `larnold@impan.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, WARSZAWA, POLAND

*Email address:* `clemerdy@univ-fcomte.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BESANÇON, UMR CNRS 6623, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25000 BESANÇON, FRANCE

*Email address:* `jsafoora@gmail.com`

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES BLAISE PASCAL, UMR 6620, UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, FRANCE

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL BS8 1UG, UNITED KINGDOM

INSTITUT DES HAUTES ÉTUDES SCIENTIFIQUES, BURES-SUR-YVETTE 91440, FRANCE