

ON CIRCLE PATTERNS AND SPHERICAL CONICAL METRICS

XIN NIE

ABSTRACT. The Koebe-Andreev-Thurston circle packing theorem, as well as its generalization to circle patterns due to Bobenko and Springborn, holds for Euclidean and hyperbolic metrics possibly with conical singularities, but fails for spherical metrics because of the nonuniqueness coming from Möbius transformations. In this paper, we show that a unique existence result for circle pattern with spherical conical metric holds if one prescribes the total geodesic curvature of each circle instead of the cone angles.

1. INTRODUCTION

On a closed surface Σ equipped with a spherical, Euclidean or hyperbolic metric, a (Delaunay) circle pattern is a finite set \mathcal{D} of open round disks that looks typically as in Figure 1. One associates to \mathcal{D} a weighted




FIGURE 1. A local picture of a circle pattern.

graph $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ on Σ as shown on the right, whose vertices $V_{\mathcal{D}}$, edges $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ and faces $F_{\mathcal{D}}$ are:

- $V_{\mathcal{D}} = \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$;
- each $f \in F_{\mathcal{D}}$ corresponds to a disk $D_f \in \mathcal{D}$;
- each $e \in E_{\mathcal{D}}$ corresponds to a bigon where certain two disks meet, and is weighted by the interior angle $\theta_e \in (0, \pi)$ of that bigon.

In this paper, we assume that the above bigons are acute or right angled, namely $\theta_e \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, but allow Σ to have conical singularities at the vertices $V_{\mathcal{D}}$ or the centers of the disks. See §2 for details. In this setting, the bigons are disjoint from each other (unlike in Figure 1) and do not contain any singularity. The cone angle α_v of Σ at a vertex $v \in V_{\mathcal{D}}$ is determined by the weights (θ_e) via the relation

$$\alpha_v = \sum_{e: \text{edge from } v} (\pi - \theta_e);$$

while the cone angle α_f at the center of D_f also satisfies certain condition in terms of (θ_e) , which in the hyperbolic case reads

$$(1) \quad \sum_{f \in F'} \alpha_f < \sum_{\substack{e: \text{edge incident} \\ \text{with any face in } F'}} 2\theta_e \quad \text{for any subset of faces } F' \subset F.$$

Improving on Colin de Verdière's proof [2] of Koebe-Andreev-Thurston Circle Packing Theorem, Bobenko and Springborn [1] showed that there exists a unique hyperbolic (Σ, \mathcal{D}) realizing a prescribed weighted graph and with prescribed cone angles, as long as the prescription fulfills the above constraints:

Theorem ([1]). *Let S be a closed topological surface and G be a graph on S with the sets of edges and faces denoted by E and F , such that each $e \in E$ is weighted by some $\theta_e \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and every $f \in F$ is simply connected. Then $(\alpha_f)_{f \in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F$ satisfies (1) if and only if there exists a hyperbolic metric σ on S with conical singularities, along with a circle pattern \mathcal{D} on (S, σ) , such that $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ coincides with G (up to isotopy) as weighted graphs and σ has cone angle α_f at the center of the disk $D_f \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $f \in F$. Moreover, (σ, \mathcal{D}) is unique up to isotopy if it exists.*

A similar result also holds for Euclidean metrics. When $\alpha_f = 2\pi$ for all f , we get nonsingular metrics and this implies the Koebe-Andreev-Thurston theorem. For general (α_f) , the result can be viewed as a discrete analogue of the McOwen-Troyanov uniformization theorem [5, 8].

In the case of spherical metrics, just as the McOwen-Troyanov theorem, such a cone angle prescription result fails because of the nonuniqueness coming from Möbius transformations, which preserve the angle but distort the metric. The purpose of this paper is to provide the following unique existence result for spherical metrics, whose statement is almost identical to the above theorem except that we now prescribe a more metric related quantity – the total geodesic curvature $T(\partial D_f)$ of each circle ∂D_f – instead of the angle α_f . Note that we have $T(\partial D_f) = \alpha_f - \text{Area}(D_f)$ by Gauss-Bonnet.

Theorem 1. *Let S and G be as in the above theorem. Then $(T_f)_{f \in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F$ satisfies (1) (with α_f replaced by T_f) if and only if there exists a spherical metric σ on S with conical singularities, along with a circle pattern \mathcal{D} on (S, σ) , such that $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ coincides with G (up to isotopy) as weighted graphs and ∂D_f has total geodesic curvature $T(\partial D_f) = T_f$ for all $f \in F$. Moreover, (σ, \mathcal{D}) is unique up to isotopy if it exists.*

The reason why (T_f) and (α_f) in the two theorems satisfy exactly the same condition is that for a bigon of angle θ in \mathbb{S}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 formed by two circle arcs (see Figure 5), the constraint on the total geodesic curvatures T_1, T_2 of the arcs in the spherical case is the same as the constraint on the angles α_1, α_2 of the arcs in the hyperbolic case, namely $T_1, T_2 > 0, T_1 + T_2 < 2\theta$ (see Lemma 11 and Remark 12).

The rest of the paper is devoted to a proof of Theorem 1 through the standard variational method [1, 2]. The original method for the hyperbolic cone angle prescription problem is based on a convex function in the variables $u_f = -\log \tanh \frac{r_f}{2}$ ($f \in F, r_f$ is the radius of D_f) whose Legendre dual variables are the cone angles α_f . We use below the counterpart of this function in the spherical setting and show that it is convex in the variables $K_f = \log \cot r_f$, whose Legendre dual variables are the total geodesic curvatures $T(\partial D_f)$.

2. CIRCLE PATTERNS ON SPHERICAL SURFACES

Although circle patterns have been extensively studied, it is somewhat technical to give a precise definition of them on surfaces, and in particular to make the relevant notion of “self-intersection part of a circle” rigorous. Schlenker and Yarmola [7] gave a definition for closed $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -surfaces of genus ≥ 1 by lifting to the universal cover. In this section, we define a restricted version of circle patterns on closed spherical surfaces of any genus with conical singularities. Everything works for Euclidean or hyperbolic surfaces as well.

A surface with spherical conical metric, or a spherical surface for short, is a surface locally modeled on the metric spaces $\mathbb{S}_\alpha^2, \alpha > 0$ shown in Figure 2. Each \mathbb{S}_α^2 is obtained by gluing together spherical lunes of total




FIGURE 2. A disk $D_\alpha(o, r) \subset \mathbb{S}_\alpha^2$ and a bigon of angle θ .

angle α . Let $D_\alpha(o, r)$ denote the open metric disk of radius r in \mathbb{S}_α^2 centered at the north pole $o \in \mathbb{S}_\alpha^2$. We will only consider such disks with $r < \frac{\pi}{2}$, or equivalently, the strictly convex ones. By a *bigon* in a spherical surface, we mean an open set isometric to the intersection of two disks of radii less than $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in \mathbb{S}^2 not containing each other. In particular, a bigon does not contain any cone point. The *angle* of a bigon refers to the interior angle θ form by the two sides (see Figure 2).

Proposition 2. Take a number of disks $D_i = D_{\alpha_i}(o, r_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ as above, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $r_i \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, and let ι be a local isometry from the disjoint union $D_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup D_n$ to a closed spherical surface Σ such that

- the image of ι only misses finitely many points of Σ ;
- ι is at most 2-to-1;
- the $\iota(D_i)$'s do not contain each other.

Put $B := \{p \in \Sigma \mid \iota^{-1}(p) \text{ has two points}\}$. Then $\iota^{-1}(B)$ is a disjoint union of bigons as shown in Figure 3, which fills up the boundary of the D_i 's, whereas the equivalence relation " \sim " on $\iota^{-1}(B)$ defined by $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \iota(x) = \iota(y)$ is an isometric pairing of these bigons.




FIGURE 3. An example of Prop. 2 with a torus as Σ (on the right is the universal cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$). The pairing of bigons in $\iota^{-1}(B)$ is indicated by labels.

We omit the proof, which consists of lengthy but elementary arguments using the strict convexity of the disks. We take such ι as the definition for the restricted version of circle patterns that we study in this paper:

Definition 3. A local isometry $\iota : D_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup D_n \rightarrow \Sigma$ as in Proposition 2 is called a *circle pattern* on Σ , and each connected component of the set $B \subset \Sigma$ is called a *bigon* of the circle pattern. Abusing the notation, we also understand D_i as an immersed disk in Σ , and also refer to the set of immersed disks $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ as a circle pattern (so that each bigon is either an intersection component of two such disk D_i, D_j or a "self-intersection component" of a single D_i). Let $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the weighted graph on Σ such that

- the vertices are the finitely many points $V_{\mathcal{D}} := \Sigma \setminus D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n$ missed by ι ;
- the edges $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ are in 1-to-1 correspondence with the bigons: given a bigon b , the corresponding $e \in E_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a curve in b joining the two vertices of b ;
- each $e \in E_{\mathcal{D}}$ is weighted by the angle $\theta_e \in (0, \pi)$ of the corresponding bigon.

It is clear from the construction that the faces of $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ correspond to the disks in \mathcal{D} and are simply connected, hence $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ gives a cellular decomposition of Σ . Conversely, any closed cellular surface can be realized this way by some spherical conical metric and circle pattern. In fact, we show in Proposition 6 below that there is a unique realization with prescribed angles (θ_e) in $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and prescribed radii of disks.

Remark 4. Definition 3 is more restrictive than the usual notion of Delaunay circle patterns in that the latter allows the bigons to overlap as in Figure 1. See [7] for a definition on nonsingular $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -surfaces. Meanwhile, allowing cone points gives our definition more flexibility than the nonsingular setting: since there is no restriction on our $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ other than simply connectedness of faces, $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ can have a vertex where only one edge is issued, or a face bounded by only one edge, which are both impossible without cone points.

Remark 5. Given a circle pattern \mathcal{D} on Σ , the cone points of Σ can only be at $V_{\mathcal{D}}$ or the centers of the disks. A basic fact, which we do not use explicitly in this paper, is that the cone angle α_v at $v \in V_{\mathcal{D}}$ equals the sum of $\theta'_e := \pi - \theta_e$ over all edges e issuing from v , where θ'_e appears twice if e is a loop (cf. [7, Lemma 1.11]).

From now on, we fix a closed topological surface S and a graph G as in Theorem 1, where the faces F are simply connected and the edges E are weighted by some $(\theta_e)_{e \in E} \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]^E$. Consider the moduli space \mathcal{M} of all spherical conical metrics with circle patterns which realize this weighted graph, namely

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ (\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma \text{ is a spherical conical metric on } S; \\ \mathcal{D} \text{ is a circle pattern on } (S, \sigma) \text{ such that } G_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \text{is isotopic to } G \text{ and their weights match} \end{array} \right. \right\} / \text{Homeo}^0(S).$$

By abuse of notation, we sometimes understand a pair (σ, \mathcal{D}) as the point of \mathcal{M} represented by it. Given (σ, \mathcal{D}) , let $D_f \in \mathcal{D}$ denote the immersed disk in (S, σ) corresponding to a face $f \in F$ and let $r(D_f) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ be the radius of this disk. By the following proposition, the radius system $(r(D_f))_{f \in F} \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^F$ determines (σ, \mathcal{D}) as a point of \mathcal{M} , and hence gives a parametrization $\mathcal{M} \cong (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^F$:

Proposition 6. *The map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^F$ given by $(\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (r(D_f))_{f \in F}$ is bijective.*

Proof. The only nontrivial part is the surjectivity. To show this, we construct (σ, \mathcal{D}) with prescribed radii $(r_f) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^F$ as follows. First, subdivide every face $f \in F$ by picking a point $p_f \in f$ and adding an edge connecting each vertex on ∂f with p_f . This yields a triangulation of S . The set of triangles, denoted by \hat{E} , identifies with the set of oriented edges of G . For any (un-oriented) edge $e \in E$, letting $e^{\pm} \in \hat{E}$ denote the triangles on the two sides of e , we endow the quadrilateral $e^+ \cup e^-$ with the structure of the specific spherical geodesic quadrilateral shown in Figure 4, which is the union of two disk sectors with radii $r_{f(e^+)}$ and $r_{f(e^-)}$ respectively, where $f(t) \in F$ denotes the face containing the triangle $t \in \hat{E}$. These quadrilaterals fit together and yields a pair (σ, \mathcal{D}) with the prescribed radii. \square

Since a disk $D \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ of radius r has circumference $2\pi \sin r$ and area $4\pi \sin^2(r/2) = 2\pi(1 - \cos r)$, by using Gauss-Bonnet, we infer that ∂D has constant geodesic curvature $k(\partial D) = \cot r$. The same holds for any disk D in a circle pattern, regardless of the cone angle at the center. Instead of the above radius parametrization $\mathcal{M} \cong (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^F$, what we will use below is the reparametrization by the logarithm of geodesic curvature:

$$\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^F, \quad (\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto (K_f)_{f \in F} \quad \text{with } K_f := \log k(\partial D_f) = \log \cot r(D_f).$$

Meanwhile, Theorem 1 claims the unique existence of $(\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that the total geodesic curvature $T(\partial D_f)$ of ∂D_f , which is by definition $k(\partial D_f)$ times the length $\ell(\partial D_f)$, has the prescribed value. We will




FIGURE 4. Proof of Prop. 6 (compare Figure 3).

find (σ, \mathcal{D}) as the critical point of a function on \mathcal{M} which is strictly convex in the above coordinates $K = (K_f)$. This involves a convex function on the space of spherical bigons discussed in the next section.

3. A CONVEX FUNCTION ON THE SPACE OF BIGONS

Fix $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ throughout this section and let \mathcal{B}_θ denote the moduli space of spherical bigons of angle θ with the two sides labeled by 1 and 2. Consider the following geometric quantities of such a bigon:

- r_i radius of the disk $D_i \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ whose boundary contains the side i (here and below, $i = 1, 2$)
- k_i geodesic curvature of the side i ($= \cot r_i$)
- $K_i := \log k_i$
- α_i angle of the sector of D_i spanned by the side i
- ℓ_i length of the side i ($= \alpha_i \sin r_i$)
- T_i total geodesic curvature of the side i ($= \ell_i k_i$)
- A area ($= 2\theta - T_1 - T_2$ by Gauss-Bonnet)




FIGURE 5. A spherical bigon.

Lemma 7. For any $r_1, r_2 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, there exists, up to isometry, a unique bigon of given angle θ such that the disk $D_i \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ whose boundary contains the side i has radius r_i .

Proof. An equivalent statement is: for any $0 < r_2 \leq r_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}$, there exists a unique $r_3 \in (r_1 - r_2, r_1 + r_2)$ with the property that if $D_1, D_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ are disks with radii r_1, r_2 and their centers have distance r_3 , then the bigon $D_1 \cap D_2$ has angle θ . But this follows immediately from the relation

$$\cos r_3 = \cos r_1 \cos r_2 + \sin r_1 \sin r_2 \cos(\pi - \theta),$$

which is the cosine law (see [9]) applied to the triangle $o_1 v o_2$ in Figure 5. \square

We now view the above quantities as functions on \mathcal{B}_θ . Then Lemma 7 means that (r_1, r_2) is a parametrization of \mathcal{B}_θ by $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})^2$. Therefore, (k_1, k_2) and (K_1, K_2) give reparametrizations by \mathbb{R}_+^2 and \mathbb{R}^2 , respectively.

Lemma 8. *The 1-form $\omega_\theta := \ell_1 dk_1 + \ell_2 dk_2 = T_1 dK_1 + T_2 dK_2$ on \mathcal{B}_θ is closed.*

Proof. Viewing (k_1, k_2) as coordinates of \mathcal{B}_θ , we only need to show $\frac{\partial \ell_1}{\partial k_2} = \frac{\partial \ell_2}{\partial k_1}$. To this end, look again at the triangle $o_1 v o_2$ in Figure 5, which has angles $\alpha'_1 := \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$, $\alpha'_2 := \frac{\alpha_2}{2}$, $\theta' := \pi - \theta$ and side lengths r_1, r_2, r_3 . The cotangent 4-part formula (see [9]) gives $\cos r_1 \cos \theta' = \cot r_2 \sin r_1 - \cot \alpha'_1 \sin \theta'$, hence

$$\cot \alpha'_1 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta'} (\cot r_2 \sin r_1 - \cos r_1 \cos \theta').$$

Since $\theta' = \text{constant}$, $\ell_1 = 2\alpha'_1 \sin r_1$ and $\cot r_i = k_i$ (in particular, $\frac{\partial r_1}{\partial k_2} = \frac{\partial r_2}{\partial k_1} = 0$), by taking the partial derivative in k_2 of the above equality, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \ell_1}{\partial k_2} = -\frac{2 \sin^2 r_1 \sin^2 \alpha'_1}{\sin \theta'}.$$

It follows by symmetry that

$$\frac{\partial \ell_2}{\partial k_1} = -\frac{2 \sin^2 r_2 \sin^2 \alpha'_2}{\sin \theta'}.$$

By virtue of the sine law $\frac{\sin \alpha'_1}{\sin r_2} = \frac{\sin \alpha'_2}{\sin r_1}$, we concluded that $\frac{\partial \ell_1}{\partial k_2} = \frac{\partial \ell_2}{\partial k_1}$, as required. \square

Lemma 9. *Consider (K_1, K_2) as coordinates of \mathcal{B}_θ . Then the matrix-valued function*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_1} & \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} \\ \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial K_1} & \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial K_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

(which is symmetric by Lemma 8) is positively definition.

Proof. Using the symmetry $\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} = \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial K_1}$, for any $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_1} & \frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} \\ \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial K_1} & \frac{\partial T_2}{\partial K_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} &= -\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} (x_1 - x_2)^2 + \frac{\partial(T_1 + T_2)}{\partial K_1} x_1^2 + \frac{\partial(T_1 + T_2)}{\partial K_2} x_2^2 \\ &= -\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} (x_1 - x_2)^2 - \frac{\partial A}{\partial K_1} x_1^2 - \frac{\partial A}{\partial K_2} x_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 2\theta - T_1 - T_2$ is the area. When K_1 is fixed and K_2 increases (or equivalently, r_1 is fixed and r_2 decreases), the bigon shrinks as in Figure 6, so we have


$$\frac{\partial T_1}{\partial K_2} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial A}{\partial K_2} < 0.$$

It also holds that $\frac{\partial A}{\partial K_1} < 0$ for the same reason. The required statement follows. \square

By Lemmas 8 and 9, any primitive function $\mathcal{B}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the 1-form ω_θ is strictly convex in the coordinates (K_1, K_2) . We henceforth fix such a primitive, say

$$\Omega_\theta(K_1, K_2) := \int_0^{(K_1, K_2)} \omega_\theta,$$

and will use it to construct a function on \mathcal{M} . Note that $\Omega_\theta(K_1, K_2)$ is symmetric in K_1 and K_2 because ω_θ is preserved by the involution $\mathcal{B}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\theta$ switching the labels 1 and 2.

FIGURE 6. Variation of Figure 5 when r_1 is fixed and r_2 decreases.

Remark 10. On the space $\mathcal{B}_\theta^{\mathbb{H}}$ of hyperbolic bigons of angle θ , the quantities listed at the beginning of this section still make sense, except that they are now related by $k_i = \coth r_i$ and $\ell_i = \alpha_i \sinh r_i$. The work of Bobenko and Springborn [1] explained in §1 is based on the primitive function of a 1-form on $\mathcal{B}_\theta^{\mathbb{H}}$ with exactly the same expression as ours, which can also be written as

$$\ell_1 dk_1 + \ell_2 dk_2 = -\frac{\alpha_1 dr_1}{\sinh r_1} - \frac{\alpha_2 dr_2}{\sinh r_2} = \alpha_1 du_1 + \alpha_2 du_2, \quad \text{where } u_i := \int_{r_i}^{+\infty} \frac{dr_i}{\sinh r_i} = -\log \tanh \frac{r_i}{2}.$$

This function is analogous to the one of Colin de Verdière [2] on hyperbolic geodesic triangles and is related to 3-dimensional hyperbolic volumes (see e.g. [4]). A similar proof as that of Lemma 9 shows that the function is strictly convex with respect to the above variables (u_1, u_2) rather than our variables (K_1, K_2) . The current paper is essentially the spherical counterpart of [1] with u_i and α_i replaced by K_i and T_i , respectively.

We will need the following fact on the total curvature parameters T_1 and T_2 :

Lemma 11. *For any $T_1, T_2 > 0$ with $T_1 + T_2 < 2\theta$, there exists, up to isometry, a unique bigon of given angle θ whose two sides have total geodesic curvatures T_1 and T_2 respectively.*

Proof. An equivalent statement is that the map $(T_1, T_2) : \mathcal{B}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$ is a bijection from \mathcal{B}_θ to the triangle $\Delta := \{(T_1, T_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid T_1 + T_2 < 2\theta\}$. By the above discussions, this map is the gradient of the strictly convex function $\Omega_\theta : \mathcal{B}_\theta \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and has image contained in Δ . But it is a basic fact that the gradient of a strictly convex C^1 -function on \mathbb{R}^n is a homeomorphism from \mathbb{R}^n to a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, our map is bijective from \mathcal{B}_θ to some convex subdomain Δ' of Δ . We only need to show that $\Delta' = \Delta$, or equivalently, that the vertices $(0, 0)$, $(2\theta, 0)$ and $(0, 2\theta)$ of Δ are limit points of the map.

To this end, consider the sequences $a_n := (-n, -n)$ and $b_n := (n, -n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) in $\mathcal{B}_\theta \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ (recall that we are using the (K_1, K_2) -parametrization of \mathcal{B}_θ). For the bigon a_n , since the geodesic curvature $k_1(a_n) = k_2(a_n) = e^{-n}$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the total curvature $T_i(a_n) = \ell_i(a_n)k_i(a_n) \leq \pi k_i(a_n)$ tends to 0 as well, hence $(0, 0)$ is the limit point of the image of a_n . For b_n , we have $T_2(b_n) \rightarrow 0$ for the same reason, whereas $r_1(b_n) \rightarrow 0$ because $\log \cot r_1(b_n) = K_1(b_n) = n \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows that the area $A(b_n)$, which is less than the area of a disk of radius $r_1(b_n)$, tends to 0. By Gauss-Bonnet, we have $T_2(b_n) = 2\theta - T_1(b_n) - A(b_n) \rightarrow 2\theta$. Therefore, $(2\theta, 0)$ is the limit point of the image of b_n . By symmetry, $(0, 2\theta)$ is a limit point as well. \square

Remark 12. For hyperbolic bigons, the same statement holds for the angle parameters α_1 and α_2 in place of T_1 and T_2 . This fits into the analogy between our setting and the hyperbolic one explained in Remark 10.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The rest of the proof is standard. We construct a function on the space $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^F$ from §2 by adding up functions of the form $\Omega_{\theta_e}(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)})$, where $K = (K_f)_{f \in F} \in \mathbb{R}^F$ is the coordinates, $e \in E$ is an edge, and $f(e^\pm) \in F$ are the faces incident with e from the two sides (see the proof of Prop. 6 for notation). In other

words, we add up the values of Ω_θ at all the bigons of a $(\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{M}$. The sum is a strictly convex function because Ω_θ is strictly convex on \mathcal{B}_θ . A linear modification of this function solves the problem:

Proposition 13. *Let S be a closed surface and G be a graph weighted by $(\theta_e)_{e \in E}$ as in Theorem 1. Given $(T_f)_{f \in F} \in \mathbb{R}_+^F$, the following statements hold for the strictly convex function*

$$\Omega : \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Omega(K) := \sum_{e \in E} \Omega_{\theta_e}(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) - \sum_{f \in F} T_f K_f.$$

- (i) K is a critical point of Ω if and only if the corresponding $(\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $T(\partial D_f) = T_f$ for all $f \in F$.
- (ii) Ω is proper if and only if (T_f) satisfies

$$(2) \quad \sum_{f \in F'} T_f < \sum_{\substack{e: \text{edge incident} \\ \text{with any face in } F'}} 2\theta_e \quad \text{for any subset of faces } F' \subset F.$$

Proof. (i) We use the notation from the proof of Prop. 6 and consider the triangulation therein, whose set of triangles \hat{E} identifies with the oriented edges of G . Given $K \in \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^F$, each triangle $t \in \hat{E}$ naturally corresponds to a spherical disk sector: in fact, the quadrilateral in Figure 4 is the union of the two sectors corresponding to the triangles e^+ and e^- , respectively. Let $\hat{T}_t(K)$ denote the total geodesic curvature of the boundary arc of that sector.

By definition of the 1-form $\omega_\theta = d\Omega_\theta$ in §3, we have

$$(3) \quad d \sum_{e \in E} \Omega_{\theta_e}(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(T_1(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) dK_{f(e^+)} + T_2(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) dK_{f(e^-)} \right).$$

But with the above notation, we may write $T_1(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) = \hat{T}_{e^+}(K)$ and $T_2(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) = \hat{T}_{e^-}(K)$. Thus, we can rewrite (3) as a sum over all triangles $t \in \hat{E}$ and get

$$d \sum_{e \in E} \Omega_{\theta_e}(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) = \sum_{t \in \hat{E}} \hat{T}_t(K) dK_{f(t)} = \sum_{f \in F} T(\partial D_f) dK_f,$$

where the last equality is obtained by first summing over those $t \in \hat{E}$ contained in a face f . The required statement follows from the last expression.

(ii) This is based on the fact, proved in [1, Prop. 4] using linear programming (see also [3, 6]), that the condition “ (T_f) satisfies (2)” is equivalent to the existence of a *coherent angle system*¹ for (T_f) , which is by definition some $(\hat{T}_t)_{t \in \hat{E}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\hat{E}}$ such that for any edge e and any face f of G , we have

$$\hat{T}_{e^+} + \hat{T}_{e^-} < 2\theta_e, \quad \sum_{t: \text{triangle in } f} \hat{T}_t = T_f.$$

By this fact, it suffices to show that Ω is proper if and only if there is a coherent angle system (\hat{T}_t) for (T_f) .

If Ω is proper, then Ω has a critical point $K \in \mathcal{M} \cong \mathbb{R}^F$. By Statement (i), the above defined $(\hat{T}_t(K))_{t \in \hat{E}}$ is a coherent angle system for (T_f) . This proves the “only if” part.

Conversely, given a coherent angle system (\hat{T}_t) for (T_f) , we may rewrite the linear part of $\Omega(K)$ as

$$\sum_{f \in F} T_f K_f = \sum_{e \in E} \left(\hat{T}_{e^+} K_{f(e^+)} + \hat{T}_{e^-} K_{f(e^-)} \right),$$

and thus write

$$(4) \quad \Omega(K) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(\Omega_{\theta_e}(K_{f(e^+)}, K_{f(e^-)}) - \hat{T}_{e^+} K_{f(e^+)} - \hat{T}_{e^-} K_{f(e^-)} \right).$$

¹In [1, 2], such a system represents angles. Here we deal with a system defined by the same conditions, hence keep the name, although it now represents total geodesic curvatures rather angles (cf. Remark 12).

Each summand in (4) can be understood as a function on the bigon space $\mathcal{B}_\theta \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ of the form

$$\Omega_{\theta, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2}(K_1, K_2) := \Omega_\theta(K_1, K_2) - \hat{T}_1 K_1 - \hat{T}_2 K_2$$

for constants $\hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2 > 0$, $\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 < 2\theta$. The differential of this function is

$$d\Omega_{\theta, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2} = \omega_\theta - \hat{T}_1 dK_1 - \hat{T}_2 dK_2 = (T_1 - \hat{T}_1) dK_1 + (T_2 - \hat{T}_2) dK_2.$$

Therefore, $\Omega_{\theta, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2}$ has a critical point at the bigon whose two sides have total geodesic curvatures \hat{T}_1 and \hat{T}_2 , which exists by Lemma 11. Since a strictly convex function defined on the whole \mathbb{R}^n is proper if and only if it has a critical point, we infer that $\Omega_{\theta, \hat{T}_1, \hat{T}_2}$ is proper on \mathcal{B}_θ , and hence the whole sum (4) is proper on \mathcal{M} . This proves the “if” part. \square

To deduce Theorem 1, note that by Proposition 13 (i), we may understand the theorem as saying that $(T_f) \in \mathbb{R}_+^F$ satisfies (2) if and only if Ω has a critical point, and that the critical point is unique if it exists. The last uniqueness follows from the strict convexity, while the “if and only if” statement follows from Proposition 13 (ii) and the fact about strictly convex functions quoted at the end of the above proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. I. BOBENKO AND B. A. SPRINGBORN, *Variational principles for circle patterns and Koebe’s theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356 (2004), pp. 659–689.
- [2] Y. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, *Un principe variationnel pour les empilements de cercles*, Invent. Math., 104 (1991), pp. 655–669.
- [3] R. GUO, *A note on circle patterns on surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata, 125 (2007), pp. 175–190.
- [4] F. LUO, *Rigidity of polyhedral surfaces, I*, J. Differential Geom., 96 (2014), pp. 241–302.
- [5] R. C. MCOWEN, *Point singularities and conformal metrics on Riemann surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 103 (1988), pp. 222–224.
- [6] I. RIVIN, *Combinatorial optimization in geometry*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 31 (2003), pp. 242–271.
- [7] J.-M. SCHLENKER AND A. YARMOLA, *Properness for circle packings and Delaunay circle patterns on complex projective structures*, arXiv:1806.05254 (2018).
- [8] M. TROYANOV, *Prescribing curvature on compact surfaces with conical singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 324 (1991), pp. 793–821.
- [9] WIKIPEDIA, *Spherical trigonometry*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spherical_trigonometry.

SHING-TUNG YAU CENTER OF SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210096, CHINA
 Email address: nie.hsin@gmail.com