

Abstract

Several terms in an asymptotic estimate for the renewal mass function in a discrete random walk which has positive mean and regularly varying right-hand tail are given. Similar results are given for the renewal density in the absolutely continuous case.

Local behaviour of the remainder in Renewal theory

Doney, Ron

January 24, 2023

1 Introduction

The context is that $S = (S_n, n \geq 0)$ is a random walk on the line, with $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_1^n X_r$ for $n \geq 1$, where X_r are i.i.d. with mass function p or density function f . The object of our study is the renewal mass function, (respectively density function), which we denote by u , viz

$$u_m = \sum_0^\infty p_n(m), \text{ with } p_n(m) = P(S_n = m), \text{ } m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2,$$

or $u(x) = \sum_0^\infty f_n(x)$, with f_n the density function of S_n .

We assume that $\mu = ES_1 \in (0, \infty)$, $ES_1^2 = \infty$ and that for some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$

$$\overline{F}(n) := P(S_1 > n) \in RV(-\alpha). \quad (1)$$

For the most part we will assume also that the lefthand tail is negligible in comparison with the righthand tail, viz

$$F(-n) := P(S_1 \leq -n) = o(\overline{F}(n)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Our objective is to give the most detailed possible description of the asymptotic behaviour of the remainder

$$d_n := u_n - \frac{1}{\mu} \text{ or } d(x) := u(x) - \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad (3)$$

as $n, x \rightarrow \infty$, without making any additional restrictions. The most relevant known results are in [5]; in the context of an integer-valued renewal process but with (1) replaced by the assumption that $\overline{F}(n)$ is either $O(r_n)$ or $o(r_n)$, where $r_n \in RV(-\alpha)$, first order O and o estimates for d_n were given. The method used therein involves Banach Algebra, and cannot be used to deal with exact asymptotics. The method we use is technically simpler, and could be adapted to give essentially all of the results in [5].

2 The lattice case

Assume that we are not in the renewal situation, so that $\mu_- := \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} mp_m < 0$, and with $\mu_+ := \sum_1^{\infty} mp_m$ introduce two probability mass functions

$$\phi_n^+ = \begin{cases} \mu_+^{-1} \bar{F}(n) & \text{for } n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_n^- = \begin{cases} -\mu_-^{-1} F(n) & \text{for } n < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

then we put

$$\phi_n = \frac{\mu_+ \phi_n^+}{\mu} + \frac{\mu_- \phi_n^-}{\mu}, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Note that ϕ is negative on the negative axis, but $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n = 1$. Moreover, a careful summation by parts shows that

$$\hat{\phi}(t) := \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n e^{int} = \frac{1 - \hat{p}(t)}{\mu(1 - e^{it})}. \quad (4)$$

So we can formally write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(t) &: = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_n e^{int} = \frac{1}{1 - \hat{p}(t)} = \frac{1}{\mu(1 - e^{it}) \hat{\phi}(t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} + \frac{1 - \hat{\phi}(t)}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} + \frac{(1 - \hat{\phi}(t))^2}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and undoing the transform suggests the expansion

$$u_n = \frac{1}{\mu} (\mathbf{1}_{\{n \geq 0\}} + \bar{\Phi}_{1,n} + \bar{\Phi}_{2,n} + \dots), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{\Phi}_{1,n} = \begin{cases} \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \phi_r & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ -\sum_{-\infty}^n \phi_r & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \bar{\Phi}_{k+1,n} = \bar{\Phi}_{k,n} - (\bar{\Phi} * \phi)_n, \quad k \geq 1.$$

(The fact that $\frac{1 - \varphi(t)}{1 - e^{it}} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\Phi}_{1,n} e^{int}$ can be checked by summation by parts.) We will investigate how far the expansion (5) is valid and give the asymptotic behaviour of its terms under the standing assumptions that $ES_1 = \mu \in (0, \infty)$, $ES_1^2 = \infty$, and (1) and (2) hold. Clearly then $\bar{\Phi}_{1,n} \sim n \bar{F}(n) / (\beta \mu_+)$ where $\beta = \alpha - 1$.

Theorem 1 (i) *Under these assumptions if $k \geq 2$ and $k\beta < \alpha$ it holds that as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{k,n} \sim c(k, \beta) (\bar{\Phi}_{1,n})^k, \quad (6)$$

where

$$c(k, \beta) = \frac{(1 - k\beta)\Gamma(1 - \beta)^k}{\Gamma(2 - k\beta)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)^k}{\Gamma(1-k\beta)} & \text{if } k\beta \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k\beta = 1. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

(ii) If $r^* = \max(k : k\beta < \alpha)$ write

$$u_n = \frac{1}{\mu} (\mathbf{1}_{\{n \geq 0\}} + \bar{\Phi}_{1,n} + \cdots + \bar{\Phi}_{r^*,n} + E^*).$$

Then

$$E^* = o((\bar{\Phi}_{1,n})^{r^*}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

(iii) If $\alpha = 2$ define for $n \geq 1$ a slowly varying function by $\mu_2(n) = \sum_1^n r\phi_r$: then

$$u_n - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{1,n}}{\mu} \sim \frac{-\phi_n \mu_2(n)}{\mu} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\psi_k(t) := E(e^{it\bar{\Phi}_{k,n}}) = (1 - e^{it})^k / (1 - e^{it})$ our first step is to note that the asymptotic behaviour of both $1 - \hat{\phi}(t)$ and $\hat{\phi}'(t)$ follow from known results.

Lemma 2 Assume that for some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ we have $\bar{F} \in RV(-\alpha)$ and $F(-x)/\bar{F}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{1,n} \sim \frac{n\bar{F}(n)}{\beta\mu} \text{ and } \bar{\Phi}_{1,-n} = o(\bar{\Phi}_{1,n}).$$

Define $r(t) = \bar{\Phi}_{1,1/t}$, then as $t \downarrow 0$,

$$(i) \quad 1 - \hat{\phi}(t) \sim \Gamma(1 - \beta)e^{-i\pi\beta/2}r(t), \quad (9)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \hat{\phi}'(t) \sim \beta\Gamma(1 - \beta)e^{-i\pi\beta/2}t^{-1}r(t). \quad (10)$$

Proof. Results in [4] tell us that as $t \downarrow 0$

$$1 - \hat{p}(t) + i\mu t \sim \frac{\pi e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}\bar{F}(1/t)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\sin\alpha\pi} \text{ and } \hat{p}'(t) - i\mu \sim \alpha \frac{\pi e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}\bar{F}(1/t)}{t\Gamma(\alpha)\sin\alpha\pi}.$$

Then (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \hat{\phi}(t) &= \frac{\hat{p}(t) - 1 + \mu(1 - e^{it})}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} \\ &= \frac{\hat{p}(t) - 1 - it\mu + O(t^2)}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} \sim \frac{-i\pi e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}\bar{F}(1/t)}{\mu\Gamma(\alpha)t\sin\alpha\pi} \\ &= \frac{i\pi e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}\bar{F}(1/t)}{\mu\beta\Gamma(\beta)t\sin\beta\pi} = \frac{\pi e^{-i\pi\beta/2}\bar{F}(1/t)}{\mu\beta\Gamma(\beta)t\sin\beta\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta)e^{-i\pi\beta/2}r(t)}{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we here we have used the identity

$$\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\beta) \sin \pi \beta} = \Gamma(1 - \beta). \quad (11)$$

Differentiating (4) and using the second estimate gives (ii). ■

We split the proof into 2 cases

Proposition 3 (A) *Suppose that $k \geq 2$ and $k\beta \leq 1$: then it holds that as $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{k,n} \sim c(k, \beta) (\bar{\Phi}_{1,n})^k. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Note first that the asymptotic behaviour of ψ_k and ψ'_k follow from (9) and (10), and we see that if we set $\rho(t) = r(t)^k/t$ then $\rho \in RV(-\gamma)$ at zero, with $\gamma = 1 - k\beta$ and as $t \downarrow 0$

$$\psi_k(t) \sim i(c_1 + ic_2)\rho(t), \text{ and } \psi'_k(t) \sim -i(c_1 + ic_2)\gamma\rho(t)/t, \quad (13)$$

where, from (9)

$$c_1 + ic_2 = \Gamma(1 - \beta)^k e^{-i\pi k\beta/2}.$$

Note that $\text{Re } \psi_k$ is even and $\text{Im } \psi_k$ is odd, so that

$$\bar{\Phi}_{k,n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (\text{Re } \psi_k(u) \cos nu + \text{Im } \psi_k(u) \sin nu) du$$

Writing $n^{-1}\pi = \varepsilon$ we see that for any fixed K , as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re } \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{K\varepsilon} \psi_k(u) e^{-inu} du \\ &= \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^{K\pi} (\text{Re } \psi_k(v/n) \cos v\pi + \text{Im } \psi_k(v/n) \sin v\pi) dv \\ &\sim \frac{\Gamma(1 - \beta)^k}{n\pi} \rho\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_0^{K\pi} v^{-\gamma} (\cos v\pi \sin \pi k\beta/2 + \sin v\pi \cos \pi k\beta/2) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Also integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|u|>K\varepsilon} \psi_k(u) e^{-inu} du \\ &= \frac{1}{-in} \left\{ \psi_k(K\varepsilon) e^{-iK\pi} - \psi_k(-K\varepsilon) e^{iK\pi} - \int_{|u|>K\varepsilon} \psi'_k(u) e^{-inu} du \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{-in} \left\{ \psi_k(K\pi/n) e^{-iK\pi} - \psi_k(-K\pi/n) e^{iK\pi} + \frac{1}{in} \int_{|u|>K\pi} \psi'_k(v/n) e^{-iv} dv \right\} \\ &\sim \frac{\psi_k(1/n)}{-in} O \left(\int_{|u|>K\pi} v^{\gamma-2} e^{-iv} dv + (K\pi)^{\gamma-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $K \rightarrow \infty$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Phi}_{k,n} &\sim \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)^k}{\pi n} \rho\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_0^\infty v^{-\gamma} (\cos v\pi \sin \pi k\beta/2 + \sin v\pi \cos \pi k\beta/2) dv \\
&= \frac{2\Gamma(1-\beta)^k}{\pi n} \rho\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \Gamma(k\beta) \Gamma(1-\beta)^k \cos \frac{k\beta\pi}{2} \sin \frac{k\beta\pi}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi n} \rho\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \Gamma(k\beta) \Gamma(1-\beta)^k \sin k\beta\pi \\
&= \begin{cases} (\bar{\Phi}_{1,n})^k \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)^k}{\Gamma(1-k\beta)} & \text{if } k\beta \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k\beta = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(We have used the identity (11) with β replaced by $k\beta$.) ■

Proposition 4 *If now $k\beta \in (1, \alpha)$ the result (12) also holds.*

Proof. We start with an integration by parts to get

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi_k(u) e^{-inu} du = \operatorname{Re} \frac{i}{n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi'_k(u) e^{-inu} du,$$

the contribution from the end-points vanishing due to periodicity. Since $\psi'_k(u) \sim (k\beta - 1)\psi_k(u)/u \in RV(\gamma - 1)$ where now $\gamma = k\beta - 1 \in (0, 1)$, we can repeat the previous proof to get, with $\rho(t) = r(t)^k/t$

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{2\pi \rho(1/n)} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon K} \psi'_k(v) e^{-inv} dv = (1 - k\beta) \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta)^k}{\Gamma(2-k\beta)},$$

and we will now show that

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{\rho(1/n)} \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \psi'_k(u) e^{-inu} du = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu \psi'_k(u) &= \frac{k(1 - \hat{\phi}(u)^{k-1} \hat{\phi}'(u) + ie^{iu}(1 - \hat{\phi}(u)^k)/(1 - e^{iu}))}{1 - e^{iu}} = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(u), \text{ where} \\
\varphi_1(u) &= \frac{k(1 - \hat{\phi}(u)^{k-1} \hat{\phi}'(u))}{1 - e^{iu}}, \quad \varphi_2(u) = \frac{ie^{iu}(1 - \hat{\phi}(u)^k)}{(1 - e^{iu})^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that φ_2 is differentiable and periodic, and as $u \downarrow 0$, $\varphi_2(u) \sim -\psi_k(u)/u \in RV(-\gamma)$, so we can repeat the previous proof to get

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{\rho(1/n)} \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \varphi_2(u) e^{-inu} du = 0.$$

Recalling that $\varepsilon = \pi/n$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \varphi_1(u + \varepsilon) e^{-inu} du &= e^{i\pi} \int_{(K-1)\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \varphi_1(v) e^{-inv} dv + e^{i\pi} \int_{-\pi-\varepsilon\varphi_1}^{-\varepsilon(K+1)} e^{-inv} dv \\ &= - \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \varphi_1(v) e^{-inv} dv - \int_{-K\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon(K+1)} \varphi_1(v) e^{-inv} dv, \\ &: = - \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \varphi_1(v) e^{-inv} dv - E_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so that } \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} \varphi_1(v) e^{-inv} dv = \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} (\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u + \varepsilon)) e^{-inu} du + 2E_\varepsilon \quad (14)$$

We know that $|\varphi_1(u)| \sim c\rho(u)$ as $u \downarrow 0$, so

$$|E_\varepsilon| \leq \int_{(K-1)\varepsilon}^{(K+1)\varepsilon} |\varphi_1(v)| dv \sim 2\varepsilon |\varphi_1(K\varepsilon)| \sim cK^{k\beta-2} \rho(\varepsilon),$$

and we see that $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{|E_\varepsilon|}{\rho(\varepsilon)} = 0$. We will finish the proof by showing that

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho(\varepsilon)} \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \pi} (\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u + \varepsilon)) e^{-inu} du = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note first that a small calculation shows that it suffices to deal with the contribution from $(K\varepsilon, \pi]$ in (15). First we show that for any fixed $\delta > 0$ the contribution from $\delta < u \leq \pi$ to the integral in (15) is negligible. Note that ϕ_1 and ϕ_1' are each bounded in modulus by a constant on this interval. Moreover we easily see from the following Lemma 6 that on the range of integration

$$|\hat{\phi}'(u) - \hat{\phi}'(u + \varepsilon)| \leq c \left(|\hat{p}'(u) - \hat{p}'(u + \varepsilon)| + |\hat{\phi}(u) - \hat{\phi}(u + \varepsilon)| \right) \leq c\varepsilon,$$

so that

$$\left| \int_{\delta < |u| \leq \pi} (\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u + \varepsilon)) e^{-inu} du \right| = O(\varepsilon),$$

so we are left to show that for sufficiently small δ

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{|J_\varepsilon|}{\rho(\varepsilon)} = 0, \text{ where}$$

$$J_\varepsilon := \int_{u \in (K\varepsilon, \delta)} |\varphi_1(u) - \varphi_1(u + \varepsilon)| du.$$

■

Lemma 5 (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t |\hat{p}(t) - \hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - i\mu\varepsilon| &= O(\varepsilon r(\varepsilon)) \text{ and} \\ \sup_t |\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon)| &= O(r(\varepsilon)). \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For any fixed $\delta > 0$

$$\sup_{\delta < t \leq \pi} |\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon)| = O(\varepsilon) \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

(iii) As $\varepsilon, t \downarrow 0$ with $t \geq 2\varepsilon$,

$$|\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon r(t)}{t}\right)$$

Proof. (i) Just write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t |\hat{p}(t) - \hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - i\mu\varepsilon| &\leq \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n |1 - e^{in\varepsilon} - in\varepsilon| \\ &\leq c \left(\varepsilon^2 \sum_{|n| \leq 1/\varepsilon} n^2 p_n + \varepsilon \sum_{|n| > 1/\varepsilon} np_n \right) \sim c\bar{F}(1/\varepsilon) \sim c\varepsilon r(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_t |\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon)| &\leq \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n |1 - e^{in\varepsilon}| \\ &\leq c \left(\varepsilon \sum_{|n| \leq 1/\varepsilon} n\phi_n + \sum_{|n| > 1/\varepsilon} \phi_n \right) \sim c\bar{\Phi}_{1,1/\varepsilon} \sim c\varepsilon r(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon) &= 1 - \hat{\phi}(t + \varepsilon) - (1 - \hat{\phi}(t)) \\ &= \frac{\hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - 1 - \mu(1 - e^{i(t+\varepsilon)})}{\mu(1 - e^{i(t+\varepsilon)})} - \frac{\hat{p}(t) - 1 - \mu(1 - e^{it})}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} \\ &= \left(\hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - 1 - \mu(1 - e^{i(t+\varepsilon)}) \right) \frac{e^{it}(1 - e^{i\varepsilon})}{\mu(1 - e^{it})(1 - e^{i(t+\varepsilon)})} \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - \hat{p}(t) + \mu(e^{it} - e^{i(t+\varepsilon)})}{\mu(1 - e^{it})} := T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|1 - e^{it}|$ is bounded away from 0 on the range, it is clear that $T_1 = O(\varepsilon)$, and writing

$$T_2 = \frac{\hat{p}(t) - \hat{p}(t + \varepsilon) - i\mu\varepsilon}{1 - e^{it}} + \frac{(i\varepsilon + e^{it}(1 - e^{i\varepsilon}))}{(1 - e^{it})}$$

it follows from part (i) that $T_2 = O(\varepsilon)$.

(iii) Just note that

$$T_1 \sim (\varepsilon + t)r(\varepsilon + t) \cdot \frac{i\varepsilon}{t(\varepsilon + t)} \leq c \frac{\varepsilon r(t)}{t},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon r(\varepsilon)}{|1 - e^{it}|} + \frac{|e^{it}(1 - e^{i\varepsilon} + i\varepsilon) + i\varepsilon(1 - e^{it})|}{|1 - e^{it}|} \\ &\sim \frac{\varepsilon r(\varepsilon)}{t} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon t}{t} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon r(t)}{t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting $\varphi_1(u) = \frac{g_1(u)\phi'(u)}{1 - e^{iu}}$ we show first that replacing e^{iu} by $e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}$ has a negligible effect. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{1 - e^{iu}} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} \right| &= \left| \frac{e^{iu}(1 - e^{i\varepsilon})}{(1 - e^{iu})(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{|(1 - e^{iu})(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})|} \sim \frac{C\varepsilon}{u(u+\varepsilon)} \text{ as } u, \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We know $g_1(u)\phi'(u) \sim c\rho(u)$ as $u \downarrow 0$, so for sufficiently small δ

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \delta} \left| \frac{g_1(u)\phi'(u)}{1 - e^{iu}} - \frac{g_1(u)\phi'(u)}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} \right| du \\ &\leq c \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \delta} \frac{|g_1(u)\phi'(u)| du}{|u(u+\varepsilon)|} = c \int_{K < |v| \leq \delta/\varepsilon} \frac{|g_1(\varepsilon v)\phi'(\varepsilon v)| dv}{|v(v+1)|} \\ &\sim c\rho(\varepsilon) \int_{K < |v| \leq \delta/\varepsilon} \frac{|v|^{k\beta-1} dv}{|v(v+1)|} \text{ as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Potter's bounds to bound the integrand. Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ gives the required result. If we put $d_\varepsilon(u)$ for $\hat{\phi}(u) - \hat{\phi}(u+\varepsilon)$, we have

$$(1 - \hat{\phi}(u))^{k-1} - (1 - \hat{\phi}(u+\varepsilon))^{k-1} = d_\varepsilon(u) \sum_0^{k-2} (1 - \hat{\phi}(u))^j (1 - \hat{\phi}(u+\varepsilon))^{k-2-j} \quad (16)$$

and Lemma 6 gives $d_\varepsilon(u) = O(\varepsilon r(u)/u)$ on the range of integration. So for each fixed j

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{K\varepsilon < u \leq \delta} \frac{d_\varepsilon(u)(1 - \hat{\phi}(u))^j}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} \hat{\phi}'(u) du \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon \int_{K\varepsilon < u \leq \delta} \frac{r(u)(1 - \hat{\phi}(u))^j (1 - \hat{\phi}(u+\varepsilon))^{k-2-j} \hat{\phi}'(u)}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} du\right) \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon^2 \int_{K\varepsilon < u \leq \delta} \frac{r(\varepsilon u)(1 - \hat{\phi}(\varepsilon u))^j (1 - \hat{\phi}(\varepsilon(u+1)))^{k-2-j} \hat{\phi}'(\varepsilon u)}{1 - e^{i\varepsilon(u+1)}} du\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{r(\varepsilon)^k}{\varepsilon} \int_K^\infty \frac{u^{\beta(j+1)}(u+1)^{\beta(k-2-j)}}{u^2(u+1)} du\right) \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho(\varepsilon)} \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \delta} \frac{(g_1(u) - g_2(u+\varepsilon))}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} du = 0.$$

Finally we deal with

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \delta} \frac{(1 - \hat{\phi}(u + \varepsilon))^{k-1}}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} \left(\hat{\phi}'(u) - \hat{\phi}'(u + \varepsilon) \right) e^{-inu} du \right| \\ & \leq c \int_{K\varepsilon < |u| \leq \delta} \frac{r(u + \varepsilon)^{k-1}}{u + \varepsilon} |\hat{\phi}'(u) - \hat{\phi}'(u + \varepsilon)| du \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We have

$$\mu \hat{\phi}'(u) = \frac{-\hat{p}'(u)}{1 - e^{iu}} + \frac{ie^{iu}(1 - \hat{p}(u))}{(1 - e^{iu})^2}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\hat{\phi}'(u) - \hat{\phi}'(u + \varepsilon)) &= \left(\frac{\hat{p}'(u + \varepsilon)}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} - \frac{\hat{p}'(u)}{1 - e^{iu}} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{ie^{iu}(1 - \hat{p}(u))}{(1 - e^{iu})^2} - \frac{ie^{i(u+\varepsilon)}(1 - \hat{p}(u + \varepsilon))}{(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We estimate the first term in (18), as $u, \varepsilon \downarrow 0$, by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\hat{p}'(u + \varepsilon) - \hat{p}'(u)}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} + \hat{p}'(u) \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)}} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{iu}} \right) \\ & \sim \frac{ir(\varepsilon)}{u + \varepsilon} + i\mu \frac{i\varepsilon}{(u + \varepsilon)u} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and the second by

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \hat{p}(u)) \left(\frac{ie^{iu}}{(1 - e^{iu})^2} - \frac{ie^{i(u+\varepsilon)}}{(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})^2} \right) + \frac{ie^{i(u+\varepsilon)}(\hat{p}(u + \varepsilon) - \hat{p}(u))}{(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})^2} \\ & \sim i\mu u \frac{\varepsilon(2u + \varepsilon)}{u^2(u + \varepsilon)^2} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(u + \varepsilon)^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Of the 4 terms in (20) and (21), all except the first can be dealt with by previous arguments, but $\frac{r(\varepsilon)}{u + \varepsilon}$ requires care. Since $k\beta < \alpha$ implies $(k - 1)\beta < 1$ we see that

$$r(\varepsilon) \left| \int_{K\varepsilon < u \leq \delta} \frac{(1 - \hat{\phi}(u))^{k-1} du}{(1 - e^{i(u+\varepsilon)})(u + \varepsilon)} \right| \sim \frac{r(\varepsilon)^k}{\varepsilon} \int_K^\infty \frac{u^{(k-1)\beta}}{(u + 1)^2} du,$$

and the conclusion follows by letting $K \rightarrow \infty$. This proves (i), and we start the proof of (ii) by getting a good asymptotic bound for the difference $u_{n-1} - u_n$. The first step is \blacksquare

Proposition 6 *With $\Delta_n = u_{n-1} - u_n, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} n\Delta_n = 0. \quad (22)$$

Proof. We have the inversion formula

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_n &= \sum_0^\infty P(S_m = n-1) - P(S_m = n) = \\ &= \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \hat{p}(t)^m e^{-itn} (e^{it} - 1) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{(e^{it} - 1)e^{-itn}}{1 - \hat{p}(t)} dt. \quad (23)\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and noting that since everything is periodic with period 2π the contribution from the end points cancel, gives

$$2\pi\Delta_n = \frac{1}{n} \int_{-\pi}^\pi e^{-itn} f_1(t) dt, \quad \text{with} \quad (24)$$

$$f_1(t) = \left(\frac{e^{it}(1 - \hat{p}(t)) - i(e^{it} - 1)\hat{p}'(t)}{(1 - \hat{p}(t))^2} \right). \quad (25)$$

Under our assumptions $\exists \delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $E|X|^{1+\delta} < \infty$, and this implies that

$$1 - \hat{p}(t) + i\mu t = o(|t|^{1+\delta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}'(t) - i\mu = o(|t|^\delta) \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow 0,$$

so that

$$f_1(t) = o(|t|^{\delta-1}) \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow 0,$$

and it follows that f_1 is integrable in a neighbourhood of zero. Since $1 - \hat{p}(t)$ is bounded away from 0 for $|t| \in (\delta, \pi)$, we see that the function f_1 in (25) is integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$, so the result follows by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. ■

Recall from (4) that the Fourier transform of ϕ is given by

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty \phi_n e^{int} = \frac{1 - \hat{p}(t)}{\mu(1 - e^{it})},$$

so we see that

$$\hat{\Delta}(t) := \sum_{-\infty}^\infty \Delta_n e^{int} = \frac{e^{it} - 1}{1 - \hat{p}(t)} = -\frac{1}{\mu\hat{\phi}(t)}. \quad (26)$$

Differentiating this gives

$$\hat{\Delta}'(t) = \frac{\hat{\phi}'(t)}{\mu\hat{\phi}(t)^2} = \mu\hat{\phi}'(t)\hat{\Delta}(t)^2 \quad (27)$$

and comparing coefficients we get

$$n\Delta_n/\mu = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty m\phi_m \Delta_{n-m}^{(2)} \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_n^{(2)} := \sum_{-\infty}^\infty \Delta_m \Delta_{n-m}. \quad (28)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{-\infty}^\infty \Delta_n^{(2)} = \left(\sum_{-\infty}^\infty \Delta_n \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\mu^2}.$$

We will exploit Proposition 6 and (28) to get

Proposition 7 *If (1) and (2) hold we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_n}{\phi_n^+} = \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\Delta_n}{\phi_n^+} = 0. \quad (29)$$

Proof. From $n\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$ we have $\sup |n\Delta_n| < \infty$ so for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_n^{(2)}| &\leq c\{|\Delta_0||\Delta_n| + \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{1}{m(n-m)} + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m-n)} + \sum_{-\infty}^{-n-1} \frac{1}{|m|(n-m)}\} \\ &\leq \frac{c \log n}{n} \leq cn^{\delta-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$, and a similar bound holds for $|\Delta_{-n}^{(2)}|$, $n \geq 0$. Taking $\delta < \beta/2$ and using this bound in (28) together with the fact that $|\phi_m| \leq c|m|^{\delta-\alpha}$ for all $m \neq 0$ we see that

$$\left| \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} m\phi_m \Delta_{n-m}^{(2)} \right| \leq c \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |m|^{\delta-\beta} |n-m|^{\delta-1} \sim c|n|^{2\delta-\beta} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

so that (28) gives $|\Delta_n| \leq c|n|^{\delta-\alpha}$, and it follows easily that $|\Delta_n^{(2)}| \leq c|n|^{\delta-\alpha}$. Finally, with $\psi_n := n\phi_n^+ \in RV(-\beta)$ we write

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{\mu\phi_n^+} = \sum_{|m| < |n|/2} \frac{m\phi_m}{\psi_n} \Delta_{n-m}^{(2)} + \sum_{|m| \geq |n|/2} \frac{m\phi_m}{\psi_n} \Delta_{n-m}^{(2)} := \sigma_1 + \sigma_2. \quad (30)$$

First,

$$|\sigma_1| \leq \frac{c|n|^{\delta-\alpha}}{\psi_n} \sum_{|m| < |n|/2} |m|\phi_m \sim c|n|^{\delta-\beta} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

and by dominated convergence, which applies because $|\frac{\psi_{n-m}}{\psi_n} \Delta_m^{(2)}| \leq c|\Delta_m^{(2)}|$ on the range,

$$\sigma_2 = \sum_{|n-m| \geq |n|/2} \frac{(n-m)\phi_{n-m}}{\psi_n} \Delta_m^{(2)} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_m^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\mu^2} & \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ 0 & \text{as } n \rightarrow -\infty, \end{cases}$$

where we have used (2) in the second part, and the result follows. We continue the proof of (ii) by noting that the Fourier transform of $E_{k,n}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_k(t) &= \hat{u}(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(1-e^{it})} \{1 + (1-\hat{\phi}(t)) + \cdots + (1-\hat{\phi}(t))^k\} \\ &= \frac{(1-\hat{\phi}(t))^k}{\mu(1-e^{it})} \cdot \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}(t)} = -\psi_k(t) \hat{\Delta}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (26). Thus

$$E_{k,n} = - \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta(m) \Psi_{n-m}^{(k+1)}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

and we will show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{r^*-1, n}}{\Phi_n^{r^*-1}} = c(r^*, \beta), \quad (32)$$

which implies our claim. We split the sum at $:= [n/2]$; we know that $\Psi^{(r^*)}$ is regularly varying so for $|m| \leq n^*$

$$\frac{|\Delta_m \Psi_{n-m}^{(r^*)}|}{|\Psi_n^{(r^*)}|} \leq c|\Delta_m| \text{ and, for fixed } m, \frac{\Delta_m \Psi_{n-m}^{(r^*)}}{\Psi_n^{(r^*)}} \rightarrow \Delta_m.$$

Since $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_m| < \infty$ and $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_m = -\mu^{-1}$ we see that

$$\sum_{|m| \in [0, n^*] \cup [3n^*, \infty)} \Delta_m \Psi_{n-m}^{(r^*)} \sim -\frac{\Psi_n^{(r^*)}}{\mu}.$$

But, using Proposition 7

$$\sum_{|m| \in (n^*, 3n^*)} |\Delta_m \Psi_{n-m}^{(r^*)}| \leq c\phi_n^+ \sum_{|m| \in (n^*, 3n^*)} |\Psi_m^{(r^*)}| \leq c\phi_n^+$$

so the result follows.

To establish (iii), we write $\phi(n) = L(n)/n^2$ for $n \geq 1$ and we have that $\mu_2(n) = \sum_1^n m^{-1}L(m)$ is slowly varying with $L(n)/\mu_2(n) \rightarrow 0$, so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\bar{\Phi}(n)^2 \sim \left(\frac{L(n)}{n}\right)^2 = \phi_n L(n) = o(\phi_n \mu_2(n)).$$

With a fixed $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, recalling that $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_m = 1$, we write

$$\bar{\Psi}_n = \bar{\Phi}(n) - (\phi * \bar{\Phi})_n = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_m (\bar{\Phi}(n) - \bar{\Phi}(n-m)). \quad (33)$$

For a fixed $\delta \in (0, 1)$ it is clear that the contribution from $|m| > n\delta$ is $O(\bar{\Phi}(n)^2)$, and as $\delta \downarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{\delta n} \phi_m (\bar{\Phi}(n) - \bar{\Phi}(n-m)) &= \phi_n (1 + o(1)) \sum_1^{\delta n} m \phi_m \sim \phi_n \mu_2(n), \\ \sum_{-\delta n}^0 \phi_m (\bar{\Phi}(n) - \bar{\Phi}(n-m)) &= o(\phi_n \mu_2(n)), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $\bar{\Psi}(n) \sim \phi(n)\mu_2(n)$. So we know that $\bar{\Psi}(n) \in RV(-2)$, and now we can repeat the argument used in the case $\kappa\beta \in (1/2, 1)$ to establish (iii). \blacksquare

3 The absolutely continuous case

Unlike the lattice case, apart from assuming that F has a density f , we need to make assumptions on $\hat{f}(\theta) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)e^{iy\theta} dy$ which allow to use inversion theorems. The appropriate one is:

Assumption

$$\text{For some } p \geq 1 \hat{f} \in L^p. \quad (34)$$

Lemma 8 *Write $N = \lfloor p \rfloor + 2$, and denote the density of S_n by f_n , with $f_1 = f$. Then U has a density u which has the representation*

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)} + \sum_1^N f_n(x) + u_0(x), \quad (35)$$

where u_0 is differentiable and

$$xu'_0(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (36)$$

Proof. In Lemma 2.3 of [3] the representation (35) is given together with the expression

$$u_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ix\theta} \hat{f}(\theta)^{N+1}}{1 - \hat{f}(\theta)} d\theta. \quad (37)$$

Since our assumption implies $\inf_{|\theta| \geq 1} |1 - \hat{f}(\theta)| > 0$, it follows easily that

$$u'_0(x) = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ix\theta} \theta \hat{f}(\theta)^{N+1}}{1 - \hat{f}(\theta)} d\theta, \quad (38)$$

and integration by parts and an appeal to the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma gives (36). ■

In this scenario our aim is to give an asymptotic expansion for $u_0(x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, the analogue of Δ_n is $u'_0(x)$, and (36) is the analogue of Proposition 6.

A further consequence of $\inf_{|\theta| \geq 1} |1 - \hat{f}(\theta)| > 0$ is that it makes it plausible that $u_0(x)$ can be well approximated for large $|x|$ by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e^{-ix\theta} d\theta}{1 - \hat{f}(\theta)}.$$

This programme has been carried out to some extent in [3]. In Theorem 1.1 therein, assuming that $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ and $E|S_1|^\alpha < \infty$ for some $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$, two terms in this expansion are identified. Their asymptotic behaviours are not discussed, but specialising to the asymptotically stable case would yield the obvious continuous analogues of our results for this range of α : it should be noted that there are only two terms for these values of α .

Although we will not give all details, in fact there are analogues of all our discrete results. To state them we need to introduce probability densities ϕ^\pm by

$$\phi^+(y) = \begin{cases} \mu_+^{-1} \bar{F}(y) & \text{for } y \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } y < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi^-(y) = \begin{cases} -\mu_-^{-1} F(y) & \text{for } y < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } y \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\phi(y) = \frac{\mu_+ \phi^+(y)}{\mu} + \frac{\mu_- \phi^-(y)}{\mu}.$$

Note that ϕ is negative on the negative axis, but $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) dy = 1$. Moreover, a careful summation by parts shows that with

$$\hat{\phi}(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) e^{iyt} dy = \frac{1 - \hat{f}(t)}{it\mu}.$$

If we denote $u'_0(y)$ by $\Delta(y)$ its fourier transform is

$$\hat{\Delta}(t) = -it\hat{u}(t) = \frac{-it}{1 - \hat{f}(t)} = \frac{-1}{\mu\hat{\phi}(t)},$$

and differentiating gives

$$\hat{\Delta}'(t) = \frac{\hat{\phi}'(t)}{\mu\hat{\phi}(t)^2} = \mu\hat{\phi}'(t)\hat{\Delta}(t)^2. \quad (39)$$

This is the analogue of (28), and a very similar argument yields

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta(x)}{\phi^+(x)} = \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\Delta(x)}{\phi^+(x)} = 0. \quad (40)$$

Next we define

$$\bar{\Phi}_{1,t} = \begin{cases} \int_t^{\infty} \phi(s) ds & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ -\int_{-\infty}^t \phi(s) ds & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \bar{\Phi}_{k+1,t} = \bar{\Phi}_{k,t} - (\bar{\Phi} * \phi)_t, \quad k \geq 1,$$

and again we make the standing assumptions that $ES_1 = \mu \in (0, \infty)$, $ES_1^2 = \infty$, and (1) and (2) hold. Clearly $\bar{\Phi}_{1,t} \sim t\bar{F}(t)/(\beta\mu_+) \in RV(-\beta)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\beta = \alpha - 1$. The analogue of Theorem 1 is

Theorem 9 (i) *Under these assumptions if $k \geq 2$ and $k\beta < \alpha$ it holds that as $t \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{k,t} \sim c(k, \beta) (\bar{\Phi}_{1,t})^k, \quad (41)$$

where $c(k, \beta)$ is given by (7).

(ii) If $r^* = \max(k : k\beta < \alpha)$ write

$$u_0(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} (\bar{\Phi}_{1,t} + \cdots + \bar{\Phi}_{r^*,t} + E^*).$$

Then

$$E^* = o((\bar{\Phi}_{1,t})^{r^*}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (42)$$

(iii) If $\alpha = 2$ define for $t \geq 0$ a slowly varying function by $\mu_2(t) = \int_0^t y(y)dy$: then

$$u_0(t) - \frac{\bar{\Phi}(t)}{\mu} \sim \frac{-\phi(t)\mu_2(t)}{\mu} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

4 Other scenarios

All the cases we have considered have a finite positive mean and infinite variance and are such that the tail ratio $\rho(x) := F(-x)/\bar{F}(x)$ goes to 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. An obvious question is what can be said if we retain all other assumptions but have $\rho(x) \rightarrow \rho \in (0, 1)$? The only effect this has is that the constants in the crucial estimate (9) change, and this is reflected in the constant in the conclusion (7).

In the case that $\rho(x) \rightarrow 0$ it is clear that we need assumptions on the left-hand tail, and if we assume $F(-x) \in RV(-\alpha)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ then again a modified version of (7) will hold.

References

- [1] Doney, R. A. The remainder in the Renewal Theorem. *Elect. Comm. Probab.* **25**(5), 1-8, 2020.
- [2] Erratum: The remainder in the Renewal Theorem. *Elect. Comm. Probab.* **27**(17),1- 5, 2022.
- [3] Isozaki, Y. Fractional order estimates for the renewal density. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **68**(1), 31-49, 2016.
- [4] Pitman, E, J. G. On the behaviour of the characteristic function of a probability distribution in the neighbourhood of the origin. *J. Aust. Math. Soc. A* **8**, 422-443, 1968.
- [5] Rogozin, B. A. An estimate of the remainder term in limit theorems of Renewal Theory. *Theor. Probab. App* **XVIII**, 662-667, 1973.