

# Minimum vertex degree conditions for loose spanning trees in 3-graphs

Yanitsa Pehova\*

Kalina Petrova †

## Abstract

In 1995, Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi showed that every large  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree at least  $(1/2 + \gamma)n$  contains all spanning trees of bounded degree. We consider a generalization of this result to loose spanning hypertrees in 3-graphs, that is, linear hypergraphs obtained by successively appending edges sharing a single vertex with a previous edge. We show that for all  $\gamma$  and  $\Delta$ , and  $n$  large, every  $n$ -vertex 3-uniform hypergraph of minimum vertex degree  $(5/9 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  contains every loose spanning tree  $T$  with maximum vertex degree  $\Delta$ . This bound is asymptotically tight, since some loose trees contain perfect matchings.

## 1 Introduction

A classical result of Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi [9] states that for large  $n$ , any  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree  $(1/2 + \varepsilon)n$  contains every spanning tree of bounded degree. Since a Hamilton path is a tree of bounded degree, the constant  $1/2$  is best possible by any construction showing that Dirac’s theorem is best possible. This result was later generalised in multiple directions: the same set of authors showed that the same holds for spanning trees of maximum degree  $cn/\log n$  for a small constant  $c$  [10], and Csaba, Levitt, Nagy-György, and Szemerédi [3] showed that the minimum degree condition can be relaxed to  $n/2 + C \log n$  for a large constant  $C$ . This question has also been studied in the directed setting [14, 13, 8].

In this paper we consider the corresponding problem for hypergraphs. There is no single “correct” way to define a hypertree. An early definition due to Kalai [7] (also see [12])

---

\*Department of Mathematics, London School of Economics, WC2A 2AE London, United Kingdom. Email: [y.pehova@lse.ac.uk](mailto:y.pehova@lse.ac.uk)

†Department of Computer Science, ETH, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland. Email: [kalina.petrova@inf.ethz.ch](mailto:kalina.petrova@inf.ethz.ch)

is in terms of simplicial homology. A  $d$ -hypertree is defined as a  $d$ -dimensional simplicial complex with a full  $(d - 1)$ -skeleton whose  $d$ -th and  $(d - 1)$ -st reduced rational homology groups vanish. A (2-uniform) tree is then a 1-hypertree. For  $d \geq 2$ , such hypertrees are very dense and do not necessarily admit a construction by successively appending leaves – a crucial property for many tree embedding results in extremal combinatorics. Motivated by this, we work with a combinatorial definition of a  $k$ -uniform  $\ell$ -tree – a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph admitting an edge ordering  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  such that each  $e_i$  shares exactly  $\ell$  vertices with exactly one previous edge in the ordering. Such orderings we call *valid*, and the edges which can be last in a valid ordering we call *leaves*. This notion is closely related to the notion of a collapsible  $d$ -hypertree (see [12]).

There are other notions of a hypertree for which considering minimum degree conditions would be interesting, such as Berge trees, which are more general than  $\ell$ -trees, and  $k$ -expansion trees, which are a special case of 1-trees (see e.g. [17]). However, in this work, we choose to focus on  $\ell$ -trees as defined above.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we refer to a 1-tree as a *loose tree* (also known in the literature as a *linear tree*). Similarly, a  $(k - 1)$ -tree is also known as a *tight tree*. Not much is known about extensions of Komlós, Sárközy and Szemerédi’s result to general  $k$ -uniform  $\ell$ -trees, apart from a recent result of Pavez-Signé, Sanhueza-Matamala and Stein [15] which shows that minimum codegree  $(1/2 + \gamma)n$  forces the existence of any tight spanning tree of bounded vertex degree. The *minimum  $\ell$ -degree* of a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph is the minimum number of edges any set of  $\ell$  vertices is contained in. Minimum  $(k - 1)$ -degree is also known as *codegree*, and minimum 1-degree is known as *vertex degree*. Maximum degree is defined analogously.

In the current work, we investigate minimum vertex degree conditions that guarantee the existence of loose spanning trees. Note that a hypergraph is a loose tree if and only if it is connected and has no Berge cycles, that is, cyclic sequences of alternating distinct vertices and edges  $e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k, e_{k+1} = e_1$  such that  $v_i \in e_i \cap e_{i+1}$  for every  $i \in [k]$ . This can be seen as an analogue of the acyclicity and connectedness conditions that define a 2-tree, making loose trees a natural generalization of trees in hypergraphs.

What minimum degree conditions in a 3-uniform hypergraph (also referred to as 3-graph from now on) force it to contain every 3-uniform loose spanning tree of bounded vertex degree? How are they related to thresholds for containment of Hamilton cycles and paths

As in the graph case, a loose path is a special case of a bounded-degree loose tree. Buß, Hàn and Schacht [1] showed that if every vertex in a 3-graph is contained in at least  $(7/16 + \varepsilon)\binom{n}{2}$  edges, then it contains a loose Hamilton cycle – a cycle whose adjacent edges




Figure 1: The complete binary loose tree with 4 levels. A perfect matching is shown in red.

share exactly one vertex. The constant  $7/16$  is best possible, and in a later paper Han and Zhao [6] gave the exact threshold. A loose Hamilton path is not a subgraph of a loose Hamilton cycle, but adding a dummy vertex to a 3-graph with the same minimum degree condition shows that  $7/16$  is also the correct asymptotic threshold for loose Hamilton paths.

In light of this, one may conjecture that, like in the graph case, 3-graphs with minimum vertex degree  $(7/16 + \varepsilon)\binom{n}{2}$  also contain every loose tree of bounded degree. However, this is not the case. Consider the *complete binary loose tree* as shown in Figure 1. A complete binary loose tree  $T_b$  with an even number of levels contains a perfect matching, so any 3-graph without a perfect matching will also not contain  $T_b$ . The asymptotic minimum degree threshold for perfect matchings in 3-graphs was shown to be  $5/9$  by Hàn, Person and Schacht [5]. Their asymptotic bound was later made exact by Treglown, Kühn and Osthus [19]. This is tight as witnessed by the hypergraph on vertex set  $A \cup B$  with  $|A| = n/3 - 1$  and  $|B| = 2n/3 + 1$  consisting of all edges with at least one vertex in  $A$ . We show that the same degree condition suffices to find any spanning loose tree of bounded degree.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For all  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any 3-graph  $H$  on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with  $n$  odd and  $\delta_1(H) \geq (5/9 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  contains every  $n$ -vertex loose tree  $T$  with  $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$ .*

Again, this is asymptotically tight because a complete binary loose tree with an even number of levels contains a perfect matching and the threshold for perfect matchings is tight. This answers a loosely posed question by Maya Stein in [17, Section 9], which calls loose trees *linear trees*. Note that every loose tree can be made tight by adding edges, so the corresponding minimum codegree condition for loose trees is asymptotically equal to  $n/2$  by the result in [15].

Our proof employs a classic recipe prescribed by the absorbing method. In particular, we first find a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of small flexible *absorbing* substructures in our host graph. Their flexibility

consists in that some vertices in them can be exchanged for other vertices of the host graph. We embed a small proportion of our tree  $T$  in a way that covers the relevant vertices of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Next, we extend this embedding into an embedding of almost our entire tree  $T$ , apart from a small fraction of it. This step is done with the help of the hypergraph regularity method, and leverages the existence of a tight Hamilton cycle in the reduced graph. Finally, the absorbing properties of  $\mathcal{A}$  are used to finish the embedding of  $T$ .

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we start with some preliminaries on loose trees, and the weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs. In Section 3 we show how to embed all but an arbitrarily small proportion of a bounded-degree loose tree in a graph with minimum vertex degree  $(5/9 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ . In Section 4 we give an absorption strategy for completing the embedding from Section 3. In Section 5, we put these two ingredients together and prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 6 we give some concluding remarks and open problems.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Notation.** Given a 3-graph  $G$ , we write  $deg_G(v; A, B)$  for the number of pairs  $a \in A, b \in B$  such that  $\{v, a, b\} \in E(G)$ . Given three disjoint sets  $A, B, C$ , we write  $d_G(A, B, C)$  for the number of edges with one vertex in each of  $A, B, C$  normalised by  $|A||B||C|$ . In particular,  $deg_G(v; A, B) = d_G(\{v\}, A, B)|A||B|$ . Usually the host graph is clear from the context and we omit the subscript. We sometimes refer to loose 3-trees as loose trees or just trees.

We call an injective mapping  $\phi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$  an *embedding* of  $H$  into  $G$  if for any  $\{x, y, z\} \in E(H)$ , we have that  $\{\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)\} \in E(G)$ . We denote by  $\text{Im}(\phi) = \{\phi(x) | x \in V(H)\}$  the image of  $\phi$ . For some  $S \subseteq V(H)$ , we also denote  $\phi(S) = \{\phi(v) | v \in S\}$ .

We use standard notation for the hierarchy of positive constants: we say that a statement holds for  $\alpha \ll \beta$  if there exists a non-decreasing function  $f$  such that the statement holds if  $\alpha < f(\beta)$ .

### 2.1 Tight Hamiltonicity in 3-graphs

Since our proof relies on a certain reduced graph having a tight Hamilton cycle, we make use of the following result. A  $k$ -uniform tight cycle on  $n$  vertices has edges of the form  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, i + k - 1\}$  taken mod  $n$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([16]). *For every  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every 3-graph  $G$  on  $n > n_0$  vertices with minimum degree  $\delta_1(G) \geq (\frac{5}{9} + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  contains a tight Hamilton cycle.*

## 2.2 The structure of loose trees

**Definition 2.2.** A *layering* of a rooted loose tree  $T$  with root  $r$  is a partition of its vertex set into layers  $L_1, \dots, L_\ell$  such that  $L_1 = \{r\}$  and for each  $e = \{u, v, w\} \in E(T)$  such that  $u$  is the parent of  $v$  and  $w$  in  $T$ , there is some  $i \in [\ell - 2]$  such that  $u \in L_i$ ,  $v \in L_{i+1}$ , and  $w \in L_{i+2}$ .

Note that each rooted tree has at least one layering, but may have more than one.

## 2.3 The hypergraph regularity method

In this subsection, we introduce the weak regularity lemma for hypergraphs, a generalization of Szemerédi's regularity lemma [18]. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we say that a tripartite 3-graph  $G$  on sets  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular if for every  $X_i \subseteq V_i$  of size at least  $\varepsilon|V_i|$ , we have

$$|d_G(X_1, X_2, X_3) - d_G(V_1, V_2, V_3)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

A partition  $(V_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, t\}}$  of the vertex set of an  $n$ -vertex 3-graph  $G$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular if  $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon n$ , all other  $V_i$ s have equal size, and the graph induced by all but at most  $\varepsilon \binom{t}{3}$  triples  $V_i, V_j, V_k$  with  $i < j < k$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular.

**Theorem 2.3** (Hypergraph regularity lemma [2, 4]). *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $T_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every 3-graph  $G$  with at least  $n_0$  vertices admits an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $(V_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, t\}}$ , where  $t_0 \leq t \leq T_0$ .*

Given an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $\mathcal{Q} = (V_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, t\}}$  of the vertex set of a 3-graph  $G$ , we define the *reduced graph*  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon, \alpha)$  on vertex set  $\{1, \dots, t\}$  corresponding to the sets  $V_i$ . The edges of  $\mathcal{R}$  are all  $\varepsilon$ -regular triples of density at least  $\alpha$ . A special case of the following by now standard degree inheritance lemma was first shown in [1, Proposition 15], and later generalized in [6, Corollary 2.5].

**Lemma 2.4** (Hypergraph regularity lemma — degree version). *For every  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha < \delta$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $T_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following holds. Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-graph on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with minimum degree  $\delta_1(H) \geq \delta \binom{n}{2}$ . Then  $G$  admits an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $\mathcal{Q} = (V_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, t\}}$  such that  $t_0 < t < T_0$  and the reduced graph  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon, \alpha)$  has minimum degree  $\delta_1(\mathcal{R}) \geq (\delta - \varepsilon - \alpha) \binom{t}{2}$ .*

Given disjoint vertex sets  $X, Y$  in a 3-graph, we say that a vertex  $v \notin X \cup Y$  *d-expands into*  $\{X, Y\}$  if  $\deg(v; X, Y) \geq d|X||Y|$ . Embedding trees in regular triples, we will aim to choose edges consisting of expanding vertices. The following lemma will be a key tool allowing us to maintain this property throughout the embedding.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a 7-partite 3-graph on sets  $V_1, \dots, V_7$  such that all triples of consecutive sets are  $\varepsilon$ -regular of density at least  $d \gg \varepsilon$ . Let  $X_i \subseteq V_i$  with  $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ , and  $Y_i, Z_i \subseteq V_i$  with  $i \in [7]$  (not necessarily distinct) each have size at least  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}|V_i|$ , and suppose that  $x \in X_j$  is a vertex which  $d/8$ -expands into  $\{X_k, X_\ell\}$ , where  $\{j, k, \ell\} = \{3, 4, 5\}$ . Then, there exist vertices  $y \in X_k, z \in X_\ell$ , such that  $xyz$  is an edge,  $y$  is  $d/4$ -expanding into  $\{Y_{k-2}, Y_{k-1}\}, \{Y_{k-1}, Y_{k+1}\}$  and  $\{Y_{k+1}, Y_{k+2}\}$ , and  $z$  is  $d/4$ -expanding into  $\{Z_{\ell-2}, Z_{\ell-1}\}, \{Z_{\ell-1}, Z_{\ell+1}\}$  and  $\{Z_{\ell+1}, Z_{\ell+2}\}$ .*

*Proof.* The vertex  $x \in X_j$  is  $d/8$ -expanding into  $\{X_k, X_\ell\}$ , so by averaging,  $d|X_k|/16$  vertices  $y \in X_k$  satisfy  $\deg(x, y; X_\ell) \geq d|X_\ell|/16$ . Denote the set of such vertices by  $A$ . Since  $|A| = d|X_k|/16 \gg \varepsilon|V_k|$ , by regularity  $d(Y_{k-2}, Y_{k-1}, A) \geq d/2$  and again by averaging at least  $d|A|/4$  of vertices  $a \in A$  satisfy  $\deg(a; Y_{k-2}, Y_{k-1}) \geq \frac{d}{4}|Y_{k-2}||Y_{k-1}|$ , i.e. they are  $d/4$ -expanding into  $\{Y_{k-2}, Y_{k-1}\}$ . Denote the set of such vertices by  $A'$ . Similarly, a  $d/4$ -fraction of the vertices in  $A'$  are  $d/4$ -expanding into  $\{Y_{k-1}, Y_{k+1}\}$  and a  $d/4$ -fraction of those vertices are  $d/4$ -expanding in  $\{Y_{k+1}, Y_{k+2}\}$ . This yields a set of at least  $d^3|A|/64 > 0$  vertices in  $X_k$  which are  $d/4$ -expanding into all three triples needed for  $y$ . Pick  $y$  to be one of these vertices.

By construction, we have  $\deg(x, y; X_\ell) \geq d|X_\ell|/16 \gg \varepsilon|V_i|$ . We can now repeat the above procedure to obtain a set of at least  $d^4|X_\ell|/1024 > 0$  vertices in the co-neighbourhood of  $x$  and  $y$  which are  $d/4$ -expanding into all three triples needed for  $z$ . Take  $z$  to be one of these vertices.  $\square$

### 3 Covering almost all vertices

In this section we show that Theorem 1.1 holds for almost-spanning trees. The main result of this section is Lemma 3.2, in which we embed an almost-spanning tree using a weak regularity partition of the host graph. We start with a helper lemma which tells us which cluster of the partition each vertex of the tree will be embedded in.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $n^{-1} \ll t^{-1} \ll \varepsilon \ll \zeta \ll \nu \ll \gamma, 1/\Delta$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices with an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $(V_i)_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, t\}}$  such that  $t$  is not divisible by 3. Suppose further that the reduced graph  $\mathcal{R}((V_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, t\}}, \varepsilon, \gamma)$  contains a tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $T^1, \dots, T^s$  be loose trees of maximum vertex degree  $\Delta$  rooted at  $r_1, \dots, r_s$ , where  $1 \leq s \leq 2\Delta$  and  $\sum_{i \in [s]} v(T^i) \leq (1 - \nu)n$ . Let  $a' : \{r_1, \dots, r_s\} \rightarrow [t - 2]$  be an assignment of the roots to distinct sets from the regular partition. Then  $a'$  can be extended to a full assignment  $a : \bigcup_{i \in [s]} V(T^i) \rightarrow [t]$  such that the total number of vertices assigned to each  $V_j$  does not exceed  $(1 - \zeta)|V_j|$  and all triples forming an edge in some tree are assigned to triples of sets that form an edge in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\beta \ll t^{-1}$ . For each  $i \in [s]$  and  $v \in T^i$ , denote by  $T^i(v)$  the subtree of  $T^i$  rooted at  $v$ .

Firstly, we decompose each tree  $T^i$  into a number of subtrees  $T_1^i, \dots, T_{k_i}^i$  in the following way. Start with  $T' := T^i$ . Find a vertex  $v \in T'$  such that the subtree  $T^i(v)$  has at least  $\beta n$  vertices, but for each  $u$  among  $v$ 's children, the subtree  $T^i(u)$  has fewer than  $\beta n$  vertices. Set  $T_1^i := T^i(v)$  and modify  $T'$  by replacing  $T^i(v)$  with a leaf. Continue iteratively, constructing  $T_2^i, T_3^i, \dots$  in the same way, until at some point the tree  $T'$  has at most  $2\Delta\beta n$  vertices, then add  $T'$  as the last tree in the decomposition. Note that all subtrees have size at most  $2\Delta\beta n$  and all but perhaps  $T_{k_i}^i$  have size at least  $\beta n$ . Rename the sequence of trees  $T_1^1, T_1^2, \dots, T_1^s, T_2^1, \dots, T_{k_1}^1, \dots, T_2^s, \dots, T_{k_s}^s$  as  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  where  $k := \sum_{i=1}^s k_i$  and  $k \leq 1/\beta + s \leq 1/\beta + 2\Delta$ .

Assume without loss of generality that  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t$  is the order in which the clusters appear on the tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $M = \{E_1, \dots, E_{\lfloor t/3 \rfloor}\}$  be an almost perfect matching in  $\mathcal{R}$  obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  by taking every third edge, that is,  $E_i = \{V_{3i-2}, V_{3i-1}, V_{3i}\}$ . Note that there are one or two clusters that do not belong to any edge in this matching.

For each  $T_i$ , pick an arbitrary layering  $L_1, L_2, \dots$  and colour its vertices in 3 colours 1, 2, 3 according to the layering, that is, colour layer  $L_i$  in colour  $i - 1 \pmod{3} + 1$ . This yields a proper colouring of  $T_i$ , that is, each edge of  $T_i$  contains precisely one vertex of each colour. Let  $C_1(T_i), C_2(T_i), C_3(T_i)$  be the colour classes that correspond to each colour.

We will assign the vertices of  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  in order to clusters, and for each  $T_i$  most of the vertices of  $T_i$  will be assigned to the three clusters in a single edge  $E_z \in M$ .

At first, we set  $a := a'$  and we will gradually extend it. For each  $V_h$ , we define the *leftover*  $\ell(V_h) := |V_h| - |a^{-1}(h)|$ . Whenever we extend the assignment by setting  $a(v) = h$ , we decrease  $\ell(V_h)$  by 1. Since  $\sum_{i \in [s]} v(T^i) \leq (1 - \nu)n$ , all leftovers must sum to at least  $\nu n$ .

Throughout, we maintain the following *balance invariant*: for each  $z$ , after assigning trees  $T_1, \dots, T_i$  to clusters, the differences between the leftovers in  $V_{3z-2}, V_{3z-1}, V_{3z}$  are all at most  $6\Delta\beta n + i \times 6t(2\Delta)^{6t}$ .

For each  $j \in [s]$ , assign the colour classes of  $T_1^j$  to  $V_{a'(r_j)}$  and the other two clusters in  $E_{\lfloor a'(r_j)/3 \rfloor}$  in such a way that  $r_j$  is assigned to  $V_{a'(r_j)}$ , as required. Note that since each  $T_i$  has size at most  $2\Delta\beta n$ , and all  $a'(r_j)$  are distinct, this satisfies the balance invariant in a strong sense — that is, after assigning all  $T_1^j$ s, we have that for every  $z$  the differences between leftovers in  $V_{3z-2}, V_{3z-1}, V_{3z}$  are all at most  $6\Delta\beta n$ .

Suppose we have assigned trees  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{i-1}$  and we now consider the unassigned  $T_i$ . We first find an edge  $E_z$  such that each of  $V_{3z-2}, V_{3z-1}, V_{3z}$  has leftover at least  $2\zeta m$ , where  $m \leq n/t \leq \varepsilon n$  is the size of all partition sets. Such an edge exists, for otherwise the total

leftover is less than

$$|V_0| + |V_t| + |V_{t-1}| + \lfloor t/3 \rfloor (6\zeta m + 12\Delta\beta n + 2k \times 6t(2\Delta)^{6t}) \leq \nu n.$$

We will assign most of  $T_i$  to  $E_z$ . Let  $\pi$  be the permutation of  $[3]$  such that  $|C_{\pi(1)}(T_i)| \leq |C_{\pi(2)}(T_i)| \leq |C_{\pi(3)}(T_i)|$ . Let  $E_z = \{W_1, W_2, W_3\}$  with  $W_1 = V_{3z-2}, W_2 = V_{3z-1}, W_3 = V_{3z}$ , and let  $\rho$  be the permutation such that  $\ell(W_{\rho(1)}) \leq \ell(W_{\rho(2)}) \leq \ell(W_{\rho(3)})$ . Then for a balanced assignment we aim to assign most of  $C_{\eta(h)}(T_i)$  to  $W_h$ , for  $h \in [3]$ , where  $\eta = \pi \circ \rho^{-1}$ .

Let  $r$  be the root of  $T_i$ , and suppose that  $a(r) = j$ . Let  $L_1, L_2, \dots$  be the layering of  $T_i$  that we chose. If  $V_j = W_h$  with  $\eta(h) = 1$ , then  $r$  is where it should be, so we simply assign all of  $C_{\eta(h)}(T_i)$  to  $W_h$ , for each  $h \in [3]$ .

Otherwise, we start assigning  $T_i$  to clusters layer by layer. We already know that  $L_1 = \{r\}$  is assigned to  $V_j$ . Suppose layer  $L_b$  was assigned to cluster  $V_h$  for some  $h \in [t]$ . If  $(\eta(1), \eta(2), \eta(3)) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)\}$ , then we assign layer  $L_{b+1}$  to cluster  $V_{h \bmod t+1}$ ; otherwise, we assign layer  $L_{b+1}$  to cluster  $V_{h-1}$  (where 0 is identified with  $t$ ). We stop as soon as we assign some layer  $L_b$  to  $V_h$  where  $h \in \{3z-2, 3z-1, 3z\}$  and  $V_h = W_g$  with  $L_b \subseteq C_{\eta(g)}(T_i)$ . This means  $L_b$  has now been assigned to its intended cluster. Note that this will happen for some  $b \leq 6t$  because for each  $V_h$ , if  $L_w$  is some layer assigned to  $V_h$ , then the next two layers assigned to it will be  $L_{w+t}$  and  $L_{w+2t}$  and since  $t$  is not divisible by 3, these three layers  $L_w, L_{w+t}, L_{w+2t}$  will have different colours.

We consider two cases. If  $(\eta(1), \eta(2), \eta(3)) \in \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)\}$ , then note that if we last assigned  $L_b$  to  $V_h$ , it must be the case that  $h = 3z-2$ . Thus, for each  $j \geq 1$ , we assign layer  $L_{b+j}$  to  $V_{h+(j \bmod 3)}$ . Otherwise, we have  $(\eta(1), \eta(2), \eta(3)) \notin \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2)\}$ , in which case if we last assigned  $L_b$  to  $V_h$ , it must be the case that  $h = 3z$ . Then for each  $j \geq 1$ , we assign layer  $L_{b+j}$  to  $V_{h-(j \bmod 3)}$ .

In both cases, we assign all edges of  $T_i$  to edges of the tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Note that only constantly many vertices are assigned to clusters outside of  $E_z$  and all other vertices are assigned to  $E_z$ . This is because  $T_i$  has maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ , so the number of vertices at layers  $1, \dots, 6t$  is at most  $6t(2\Delta)^{6t}$ .

From the balance invariant, before defining the assignment for  $T_i$ , we had  $0 \leq \ell(W_{\rho(3)}) - \ell(W_{\rho(1)}) \leq 6\Delta\beta n + (i-1) \times 6t(2\Delta)^{6t}$ . After assigning the vertices of  $T_i$  we have that the new leftovers still satisfy the balance invariant since

$$\begin{aligned} -2\Delta\beta n - 6t(2\Delta)^{6t} &\leq \ell_{new}(W_{\rho(3)}) - \ell_{new}(W_{\rho(1)}) \\ &\leq \ell(W_{\rho(3)}) - \ell(W_{\rho(1)}) + \underbrace{|C_{\pi(1)}(T_i)| - |C_{\pi(3)}(T_i)|}_{\leq 0} + 6t(2\Delta)^{6t} \\ &\leq 6\Delta\beta n + i \times 6t(2\Delta)^{6t}, \end{aligned}$$



and similarly for  $W_{\rho(1)}, W_{\rho(2)}$  and  $W_{\rho(2)}, W_{\rho(3)}$ . For any  $E_{z'}$  with  $z' \neq z$ , the difference between the leftovers of any two clusters in  $E_{z'}$  increases by at most  $6t(2\Delta)^{6t}$ .

We thus assign the vertices of all trees  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k$  to clusters. At the end, by our choice of matching edges with leftovers at least  $2\zeta m$ , we have that each cluster  $V_i$  has  $\ell(V_i) \geq 2\zeta m - 2\Delta\beta n - k \times 6t(2\Delta)^{6t} \geq \zeta m$ .  $\square$

We now state and prove the main lemma of this section, showing that every almost-spanning tree can be embedded into our host graph, even with a prescribed embedding of its root.

**Lemma 3.2.** *(An almost embedding) Let  $0 < n^{-1} \ll \nu \ll \gamma, 1/\Delta$ , and let  $G$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\delta_1(G) \geq (\frac{5}{9} + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ . Let  $T$  be a loose tree of maximum degree  $\Delta$  on  $(1 - \nu)n$  vertices. Then for every  $r \in V(T)$  and  $x \in V(G)$ , there exists an embedding of  $T$  into  $G$  that maps  $r$  to  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $n^{-1} \ll 1/t_0 \ll \varepsilon \ll \zeta \ll \nu$ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $\mathcal{Q} = (V_i)_{i \in \{0, \dots, t\}}$  with  $t_0 < t < T_0$  such that the reduced graph  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon, \gamma/2)$  has minimum degree  $\delta_1(\mathcal{R}) \geq (\frac{5}{9} + \gamma/3)\binom{t}{2}$ . If  $t$  is divisible by 3, we set  $V_0 := V_0 \cup V_t$  and decrease  $t$  by 1 (note that the modified reduced graph  $\mathcal{R}$  still has minimum degree  $\delta_1(\mathcal{R}) \geq (\frac{5}{9} + \gamma/4)\binom{t}{2}$ ). By Theorem 2.1, the reduced graph  $\mathcal{R}$  contains a tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$ . Recall that every edge of  $\mathcal{C}$  corresponds to an  $\varepsilon$ -regular triple with density at least  $\gamma/2$ . Rename the vertices of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  gives the correct order for the tight Hamilton cycle.

We build an embedding  $\phi : T \rightarrow G$  vertex by vertex, starting by defining  $\phi(r) = x$ . For each  $i \in [t]$ , let  $R_i \subseteq V_i$  be a set of size  $2\sqrt{\varepsilon}|V_i|$  (the sets  $R_i$  are reservoirs of vertices). At each step of the embedding, we will keep track of the sets of available *reservoir* vertices  $V_i^r := R_i \setminus \text{Im}(\phi)$  and available *main* vertices  $V_i^m := (V_i \setminus R_i) \setminus \text{Im}(\phi)$ . Note that these sets get updated as we extend  $\phi$ , but we won't describe this explicitly to avoid cumbersome notation.

We would like to embed the rest of  $T$  using vertices in  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  which expand inside the regular triples of  $\mathcal{C}$ , but the fixed vertex  $x$  could fall in  $V_0$ , or it could have low degree in the triples of  $\mathcal{C}$  containing it. To get around this, we will embed the first layer of  $T$  manually. Let  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  be the vertices in  $T$  that share an edge with  $r$ , and let  $T_1, \dots, T_s$  be the respective subtrees in  $T$  rooted at  $r_1, \dots, r_s$ .

**Claim 3.2.1.** There are distinct sets  $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_s}$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq t - 2$  such that for all  $j \in [s/2]$ , we have that  $\deg_G(x; V_{i_{2j-1}}, V_{i_{2j}}) \geq (5/9 + \gamma/2)|V_{i_{2j-1}}||V_{i_{2j}}|$ .

*Proof of claim.* Take a maximum such set of distinct sets  $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_{s'}}$ , and suppose that  $s' < s$ . This implies that the degree of  $x$  in  $G$  is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & |V_0 \cup V_{t-1} \cup V_t|n + \left| \bigcup_{j \in [s']} V_j \right|n + \sum_{i \in [t]} \binom{|V_i|}{2} + \sum_{i, j \in [t] \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{s'}\}, i < j} (5/9 + \gamma/2)|V_i||V_j| \\ & \leq \nu n^2 + \frac{2\Delta}{t}n^2 + t \binom{\frac{n}{t}}{2} + \binom{t}{2} (5/9 + \gamma/2) \frac{n^2}{t^2} < (5/9 + 3\gamma/4) \binom{n}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the minimum degree condition  $\delta_1(G) \geq (5/9 + \gamma) \binom{n}{2}$ .  $\square$

This in turn implies that for every  $j \in [s/2]$ , we have

$$\deg_G(x; V_{i_{2j-1}}^m, V_{i_{2j}}^m) \geq \deg_G(x; V_{i_{2j-1}}, V_{i_{2j}}) - 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}|V_{i_{2j}}| \geq (5/9 + \gamma/4)|V_{i_{2j-1}}^m||V_{i_{2j}}^m|.$$

For each  $j \in [s/2]$ , we set  $\phi(r_{2j}) = v_{2j}$  and  $\phi(r_{2j-1}) = v_{2j-1}$  where  $\{x, v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}\} \in E(G)$  and for  $h \in \{2j-1, 2j\}$ , vertex  $v_h \in V_{i_h}^m$  is a  $1/4$ -expanding vertex in  $\{V_{i_h-2}^r, V_{i_h-1}^r\}$ ,  $\{V_{i_h-1}^r, V_{i_h+1}^r\}$ ,  $\{V_{i_h+1}^r, V_{i_h+2}^r\}$ . This is possible by (a small modification of) Lemma 2.5 since  $x$  is not in any  $\varepsilon$ -regular triples but we just showed that it has good degree to all pairs  $V_{i_{2j-1}}^m, V_{i_{2j}}^m$ .

Next, we apply Lemma 3.1 to assign vertices to sets  $V_1, \dots, V_t$ , where they will later be embedded. We do so with partition  $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_t$ , parameters  $\varepsilon := \varepsilon$ ,  $\nu := \nu$ ,  $\Delta := \Delta$ ,  $t := t$ ,  $\zeta := \zeta$ ,  $\gamma := \gamma/2$ , and trees  $T_1, \dots, T_s$  rooted at  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  assigned to  $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_s}$  as specified above. Let  $a : V(T) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$  be the resulting assignment of the vertices, where vertex  $v$  is assigned to cluster  $V_{a(v)}$ , and for each  $v \in V(T)$  with  $v \neq r$ , we have  $a(v) > 0$ . Furthermore, for any edge  $\{u, v, w\} \in E(T)$ , apart from possibly the ones that  $x$  is in if  $x \in V_0$ , we have that  $V_{a(u)}, V_{a(v)}, V_{a(w)}$  is an edge in the tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Finally, for each  $j \in [t]$ , the number of vertices assigned to  $V_j$  is at most  $(1 - \zeta)|V_j|$ .

We start by splitting each tree  $T_j$  into pieces of size between  $\beta n$  and  $2\Delta\beta n$  for  $\beta \ll t^{-1}$  as we did in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.1. Define a set of *special vertices* consisting of the roots of all pieces. Further, take a valid ordering of the edges of each piece, and concatenate them in a breadth-first manner to obtain a valid ordering of each edge set  $E(T_j)$ . Concatenate all orderings of  $E(T_j)$  in any order. The resulting ordering of edges has the important property that any two edges which intersect in a non-special vertex are at a distance at most  $2\Delta\beta n$  in the ordering.

We proceed to define the rest of the embedding  $\phi$  one edge at a time, according to the edge ordering given above. The children of special vertices will be embedded in the reservoir sets  $V_\star^r$ , and all other vertices in their complements  $V_\star^m$  within the clusters of the regular partition. To keep track of this, for a vertex  $v \in V(T)$ , we define  $\sigma(v) = "r"$  if  $v$  is special

and "m" otherwise and  $\tau(v) = "r"$  if  $v$  is the child of a special vertex and "m" otherwise. Intuitively,  $\tau(v)$  says whether  $v$  will be embedded in a reservoir, and  $\sigma(v)$  says whether the children of  $v$  will be embedded in a reservoir. Note that at most  $1/\beta + 2\Delta$  vertices are mapped to  $r$  by  $\sigma$  and at most  $2\Delta/\beta + 4\Delta^2$  vertices are mapped to  $r$  by  $\tau$ . Throughout the embedding, we will maintain the following *expansion property*: each vertex  $v$  is mapped to a  $\gamma/8$ -expanding vertex in  $\{V_{a(v)-2}^{\sigma(v)}, V_{a(v)-1}^{\sigma(v)}\}, \{V_{a(v)-1}^{\sigma(v)}, V_{a(v)+1}^{\sigma(v)}\}, \{V_{a(v)+1}^{\sigma(v)}, V_{a(v)+2}^{\sigma(v)}\}$ . Note that in our manual embedding we made sure that this property holds for  $r_1, \dots, r_s$ .

Suppose that we are looking to embed some edge  $\{y, z, q\} \in E(T)$  such that  $i = a(y), j = a(z), h = a(q)$  and  $y' := \phi(y) \in V_i$  is already defined. Note that  $i, j, h$  in some order are consecutive integers, where we identify  $t$  with 0 and  $t - 1$  with  $-1$ .

By the expansion property, at the time of embedding  $y$ , its image  $y'$  was  $\gamma/8$ -expanding in  $\{V_{i-2}^{\sigma(y)}, V_{i-1}^{\sigma(y)}\}, \{V_{i-1}^{\sigma(y)}, V_{i+1}^{\sigma(y)}\}, \{V_{i+1}^{\sigma(y)}, V_{i+2}^{\sigma(y)}\}$ . If  $y$  is special, i.e. when  $\sigma(y) = r$ , since embedding  $y$ , we removed at most  $2\Delta/\beta < \frac{\gamma}{64}|V_\star^r|$  vertices from  $V_{i-2}^r, \dots, V_{i+2}^r$ . If  $y$  is not special, i.e. when  $\sigma(y) = m$ , since embedding  $y$ , we removed at most  $4\Delta\beta n < \frac{\gamma}{64} \times \frac{\xi}{2}|V_\star^m| < \frac{\gamma}{64}|V_\star^m|$  vertices from  $V_{i-2}^m, \dots, V_{i+2}^m$ . In both cases,  $y'$  remains at least  $\gamma/16$ -expanding. Now, by Lemma 2.5 (setting  $d := \gamma/2$ ) applied to the seven sets  $V_{\min\{i,j,h\}-2}, V_{\min\{i,j,h\}-1}, V_i, V_j, V_h, V_{\max\{i,j,h\}+1}, V_{\max\{i,j,h\}+2}$ , with the  $X$ -sets being  $V_i^{\tau(y)}, V_j^{\sigma(y)}, V_h^{\sigma(y)}$ , the  $Y$ -sets being  $V_g^{\sigma(z)}$  and the  $Z$ -sets being  $V_g^{\sigma(q)}$  for each  $g \in [7]$ , we obtain  $z' \in V_j^{\sigma(y)}$  (noting that  $\sigma(y) = \tau(z)$  because  $z$  is a child of  $y$ ) and  $q' \in V_h^{\sigma(y)}$  (noting that  $\sigma(y) = \tau(q)$  because  $q$  is a child of  $y$ ) which satisfy the expansion property. Set  $\phi(z) = z'$  and  $\phi(q) = q'$  to complete the embedding of  $\{y, z, q\}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Completing the embedding

To finish the embedding, we use an absorption technique inspired by the one in [15]. We start with some definitions.

**Definition 4.1.** A  $d$ -star  $S_v = (v, (u_1^i, u_2^i)_{i \in [d]})$  in a 3-uniform hypergraph consists of  $d$  edges  $\{v, u_1^i, u_2^i\}_{i \in [d]}$ , where all  $v$  and  $u_1^i, u_2^i$  for  $i \in [d]$  are distinct.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $H$  be a 3-graph. For a triple  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , a  $d$ -absorbing tuple consists of 2 vertex-disjoint  $d$ -stars  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  such that  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3\} \in e(H)$  and for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  with  $S_{v_i} = (v_i, (u_1^{i,j}, u_2^{i,j})_{j \in [d]})$ , we have that  $S_{w_i} = (w_i, (u_1^{i,j}, u_2^{i,j})_{j \in [d]})$  is also a  $d$ -star in  $H$ . We denote by  $A_d(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  the set of all  $d$ -absorbing tuples for  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , and by  $A_d$  the union of all  $A_d(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  for all triples  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ .

Let  $T$  be a loose tree and  $\phi : V(T) \rightarrow V(H)$  be an embedding of  $T$  in  $H$ . We say that a star  $S_v$  in  $H$  is *covered* by  $\phi$  if there is  $x \in V(T)$  such that  $\phi(x) = v$  and each edge  $e \in T$

with  $x \in e$  is mapped to a distinct edge of  $S_v$ . We say that a pair of vertex-disjoint  $d$ -stars  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  is covered by  $\phi$  if both  $S_{v_2}$  and  $S_{v_3}$  are covered by  $\phi$ .

The following lemma allows us to complete an embedding of almost all of  $T$  into  $H$ , provided that enough appropriate absorbing tuples exist.

**Lemma 4.3** (Absorbing Lemma). *Let  $1/n \ll \delta < \alpha < 1/\Delta$  with  $n$  odd. Let  $T$  be a 3-tree on  $n$  vertices of maximum degree  $\Delta$  with a valid ordering of the edges  $e_1, \dots, e_{(n-1)/2}$  and let  $T_0 = \{e_1, \dots, e_{(n'-1)/2}\}$  be a subtree of  $T$  on  $n' \geq (1 - \delta)n$  vertices, for  $n'$  odd. Let  $H$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $\phi_0$  be an embedding  $\phi_0 : V(T_0) \rightarrow V(H)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A_\Delta$  is a family of pairwise vertex-disjoint  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuples such that*

1. every tuple in  $\mathcal{A}$  is covered by  $\phi_0$  and
2.  $|A_\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3) \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \alpha n$  for every triple  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  of distinct vertices of  $H$ .

Then there exists an embedding of  $T$  in  $H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V(H) \setminus V(\phi_0(T_0)) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-n'}\}$ . For each even  $i \in [n - n']$ , let  $T_i = \{e_1, \dots, e_{(n'+i-1)/2}\}$  and we define an embedding  $\phi_i : T_i \rightarrow H$  and a subset  $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that

1.  $V(\phi_i(T_i)) = V(\phi_0(T_0)) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ ,
2.  $|\mathcal{A}_i| \leq i$ ,
3. for each  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3}) \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_i$ , we have  $\phi_i^{-1}(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3}) = \phi_0^{-1}(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$ .

The final embedding of  $T$  in  $H$  will then be given by  $\phi_{n-n'}$ .

These conditions clearly hold for  $\phi_0$ . Suppose we have defined some  $\phi_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  for some even  $i \leq n - n' - 2$  such that the conditions above hold. Let  $e_{(n'+i+1)/2} = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \in E(T)$  for some  $z_1 \in V(T_i)$  and  $z_2, z_3 \notin V(T_i)$  be the next edge we are to embed. Set  $w_1 := \phi_i(z_1)$  and  $w_2 := x_{i+1}, w_3 := x_{i+2}$ . Note that since  $|A_\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3) \cap (\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_i)| \geq \alpha n - i \geq (\alpha - \delta)n > 0$ , there exists a  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuple  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3}) \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_i$  for  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . For  $j \in \{2, 3\}$ , let  $S_{v_j} = (v_j, (u_1^{j,h}, u_2^{j,h})_{h \in [\Delta]})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{i+2} := \mathcal{A}_i \cup \{(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})\}$  and define  $\phi_{i+2}$  as follows:

$$\phi_{i+2}(v) = \begin{cases} w_j & v = \phi_i^{-1}(v_j), j \in \{2, 3\} \\ v_j & v = z_j, j \in \{2, 3\} \\ \phi_i(v) & v \in V(T_i) \setminus \{\phi_i^{-1}(v_2), \phi_i^{-1}(v_3)\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\phi_{i+2}$  is injective and conditions 1, 2, and 3 hold by construction.

It remains to check that  $\phi_{i+2}$  is indeed an embedding. The only edge in  $E(T_{i+2}) \setminus E(T_i)$  is  $e_{(n'+i+1)/2} = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ , which maps to  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3\} \in E(H)$  since  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  is a  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuple for  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . For each edge  $e$  of  $T_i$  that does not contain  $\phi_i^{-1}(v_2)$  or  $\phi_i^{-1}(v_3)$ , we have  $\phi_i(e) = \phi_{i+2}(e)$ . Note that no edge of  $T_i$  can contain both  $\phi_i^{-1}(v_2)$  and  $\phi_i^{-1}(v_3)$ , since  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  is covered by  $\phi_i$ . Now suppose  $e \in E(T_i)$  is such that  $\phi_i^{-1}(v_j) \in e$ , for  $j \in \{2, 3\}$ . Observe that  $(w_j, (u_1^{j,h}, u_2^{j,h})_{h \in [\Delta]})$  is a star in  $H$  because  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  is an absorbing tuple for  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . Since  $(S_{v_2}, S_{v_3})$  is covered by  $\phi_i$ , we have that  $\phi_i(e) \in E(S_{v_j})$  and so  $\phi_{i+2}(e) = (\phi_i(e) \setminus \{v_j\}) \cup w_j$  is also an edge in  $H$ .  $\square$

The next lemma is used to find a family  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuples as required by the absorbing lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $1/n \ll \alpha \ll \beta \ll \gamma, 1/\Delta$ . Let  $H$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices with minimum degree  $(1/2 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ . Then there exists a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of at most  $\beta n$  pairwise vertex-disjoint pairs of stars  $\{(S_{v_2^i}, S_{v_3^i})\}$  such that for every triple  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  in  $H$ , we have  $|A_\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3) \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \alpha n$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that for any tuple  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  in  $H$ , there are many  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuples. Fix  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ . For each of the at least  $(1/2 + \gamma/2)\binom{n}{2}$  many edges  $\{w_1, v_2, v_3\}$  disjoint from  $\{w_2, w_3\}$ , we have that for each  $j \in \{2, 3\}$  the common neighbourhood of  $w_j$  and  $v_j$  has size at least  $2\gamma\binom{n}{2}$ . Thus, for each  $v_j$  there are at least  $(2\gamma\binom{n}{2} - 6\Delta n)^\Delta / \Delta!$  many choices for the star  $S_{v_j}$ , even if the other star has been fixed. In total, we get at least  $(1/2 + \gamma/2)\binom{n}{2} (\gamma\binom{n}{2})^{2\Delta} / (\Delta!)^2 \geq \beta n^{4\Delta+2}$  many  $\Delta$ -absorbing tuples for  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ .

Pick a subset  $\mathcal{A}'$  of  $A_\Delta$  by choosing each of its members independently at random with probability  $p = \frac{c}{n^{4\Delta+1}}$ , for some  $\alpha \ll c \ll \beta$ . Note that  $|A_\Delta| \leq n^{4\Delta+2}$ , so by a Chernoff bound, we have that w.h.p.  $|\mathcal{A}'| \leq 2cn \leq \beta n$ . By a Chernoff bound and a union bound, w.h.p. for each  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  we have that  $|A_\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3) \cap \mathcal{A}'| \geq \beta cn/2$ . Let  $X$  count the number of pairs of tuples in  $\mathcal{A}'$  which overlap in at least one vertex. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] \leq 2^{10\Delta} (5\Delta)! n^{8\Delta+3} p^2 \leq 2^{10\Delta} (5\Delta)! c^2 n,$$

and so by Markov's inequality, with probability at least  $1/2$ , we have  $X \leq 2^{10\Delta+1} (5\Delta)! c^2 n$ . Fix an outcome of  $\mathcal{A}'$  for which all these probabilistic events hold. Removing all pairs of overlapping tuples in  $\mathcal{A}'$ , we get a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of at most  $\beta n$  vertex-disjoint tuples such that  $|A_\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3) \cap \mathcal{A}'| \geq \beta cn/2 - 2^{10\Delta+1} (5\Delta)! c^2 n \geq \alpha n$  for each  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ , as desired.  $\square$

To satisfy the conditions of the absorbing lemma, we also need to ensure that the embedding of almost all of  $T$  covers every tuple in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The next lemma takes care of that.

**Lemma 4.5.** (*Covering Lemma*) Let  $1/n \ll \beta \ll \nu \ll \gamma, 1/\Delta$ . Let  $H$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices with minimum degree  $(1/2 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  and let  $T$  be a loose tree on  $\nu n$  vertices with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset A_\Delta$  be a set of at most  $\beta n$  pairwise vertex-disjoint absorbing tuples of  $H$ . Then there is an embedding  $\phi : V(T) \rightarrow V(H)$  such that every absorbing tuple in  $\mathcal{A}$  is covered by  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m := 2|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2\beta n$  and let  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$  be the vertex-disjoint stars from  $\mathcal{A}$ . We consecutively define subtrees  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  of  $T$  and embeddings  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m$  such that  $\phi_i : T_i \rightarrow H$  is an embedding of  $T_i$  in  $H$  and  $S_1, \dots, S_i$  are covered by  $\phi_i$  for each  $i \in [m]$ . In addition, we do this in such a way that  $v(T_i) \leq (2\Delta + 4)i$ . Define  $T_1$  to be an arbitrary vertex  $r \in V(T)$  along with all edges incident to  $r$ . Thus,  $T_1$  is a star of degree at most  $\Delta$ , and for  $S_1 = (v, (u_1^j, u_2^j)_{j \in [\Delta]})$ , set  $\phi_1(r) = v$  and for each  $e \in E(T_1)$ , set  $\phi_1(e)$  to be a distinct edge of  $S_1$ . Note that  $S_1$  is covered by  $\phi_1$  and  $v(T_1) \leq 2\Delta + 1$ .

Suppose  $T_1, \dots, T_i$  and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_i$  have been defined for some  $i \in [m-1]$ . Note that there must be some vertex  $x \in V(T) \setminus V(T_i)$  such that the shortest loose path from  $x$  to  $T_i$  has length 3 (in terms of edges), since otherwise all vertices in  $T$  are reachable by a path of length 2 from  $T_i$ , implying that

$$v(T) \leq v(T_i)(1 + 2\Delta + 4\Delta^2) \leq 8\Delta^2(2\Delta + 4)i \leq 8\Delta^2(2\Delta + 4)2\beta n \ll \nu n = v(T),$$

leading to a contradiction. Let  $T_{i+1}$  be the union of  $T_i$ , the shortest loose path  $P$  from  $T_i$  to  $x$ , and all edges incident to  $x$  in  $T$ . Thus,

$$v(T_{i+1}) \leq v(T_i) + v(P \setminus T_i) + (\Delta - 1)2 \leq (2\Delta + 4)i + 6 + 2\Delta - 2 \leq (2\Delta + 4)(i + 1).$$

We now define  $\phi_{i+1}$  by setting  $\phi_{i+1}(v) = \phi_i(v)$  for each  $v \in V(T_i)$ , and extending it to  $T_{i+1}$  as follows. Let  $S_{i+1} = (w, (u_1^j, u_2^j)_{j \in [\Delta]})$  and suppose  $P = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7 = x)$ , where  $v_1 \in V(T_i)$ . Set  $\phi_{i+1}(x) = w$  and  $\phi_{i+1}(v_5) = u_1^1$  and  $\phi_{i+1}(v_6) = u_2^1$ . Let  $\phi_{i+1}$  map each edge  $e \in E(T_{i+1}) \setminus \{v_5, v_6, x\}$  with  $x \in e$  to a distinct edge in  $E(S_{i+1}) \setminus \{w, u_1^1, u_2^1\}$ . Finally, we find a loose path of length 2 in  $H \setminus (\phi_i(T_i) \cup V(S_{i+1}))$  between  $\phi_{i+1}(v_1)$  and  $\phi_{i+1}(v_5) = u_1^1$ , and we let  $\phi_{i+1}$  map  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$  to that path, thereby finishing the definition of  $\phi_{i+1}$ . It remains to show that such a path exists.

Let  $y := \phi_{i+1}(v_1)$  and  $z := \phi_{i+1}(v_5)$ , and set  $H' := H \setminus (\phi_i(T_i) \cup V(S_{i+1})) \cup \{y, z\}$ . We would like to show that there are edges  $e, f \in E(H')$  with  $y \in e \setminus f$ , and  $z \in f \setminus e$  and such that  $|e \cap f| = 1$ . Note that

$$\delta_1(H') \geq \delta_1(H) - |\phi_i(T_i) \cup V(S_{i+1})|n \geq (1/2 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2} - \nu n^2 - (2\Delta + 1)n \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)\binom{n}{2}.$$

Then there are at least  $\gamma/2\binom{n}{2}$  pairs of vertices in  $H'$  that form an edge with both  $y$  and  $z$ . By averaging, there is a vertex  $b \in H'$  which is in at least  $\gamma n/4 \geq 2$  of these pairs, say  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{b, c\}$ . Then setting  $e = \{y, a, b\}$ ,  $f = \{z, c, b\}$  gives the desired path.

Having finished the definition of  $\phi_{i+1}$ , note that  $\phi_{i+1}$  is an embedding of  $T_{i+1}$  into  $H$ , and  $S_1, \dots, S_{i+1}$  are covered by  $\phi_{i+1}$  by construction. At the end of this process, we have a subtree  $T_m \subset T$  and an embedding  $\phi_m : T_m \rightarrow H$  that covers all tuples in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We then extend  $\phi_m$  to an embedding of all of  $T$  into  $H$  by embedding the extra edges one by one. This is possible because there exists a valid ordering of the edges of  $T$  such that  $T_m$  consists of a prefix of that ordering, so we can follow the ordering until the end. At every step, the minimum degree of any vertex of  $H$  into the set of unoccupied vertices is at least  $(1/2 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2} - \nu n^2 \geq (1/2 + \gamma/2)\binom{n}{2}$ , so an embedding of the next edge can be chosen greedily.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of the main result

We are now ready to prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $1/n \ll \delta \ll \alpha \ll \beta \ll \nu \ll \gamma, 1/\Delta$ , where  $n$  is odd. Let  $H$  be a 3-graph on  $n$  vertices with  $\delta_1(H) \geq (5/9 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ , and let  $T$  be a loose 3-tree with  $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$ . We show that  $T$  can be embedded into  $H$ .

We first apply Lemma 4.4 to get a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of at most  $\beta n$  pairwise vertex-disjoint pairs of stars  $\{(S_{v_2^i}, S_{v_3^i})\}_i$  such that for every triple  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  in  $H$ , we have  $|A_{\Delta(w_1, w_2, w_3)} \cap \mathcal{A}| \geq \alpha n$ .

Next, root  $T$  arbitrarily at some vertex  $r$  and find a subtree  $T_x \subset T$  of size  $\nu n \leq v(T_x) \leq 2\Delta\nu n$ . This can be done by setting  $x := r$  and, until  $x$  has a child  $y$  whose subtree has at least  $\nu n$  vertices, set  $x := y$ . At some point this process reaches a vertex  $x$  whose subtree  $T_x$  has at least  $\nu n$  vertices, but all its children's subtrees have fewer than  $\nu n$  vertices, implying that  $v(T_x) \leq 2\Delta\nu n$ . Let  $\nu' := v(T_x)/n$  and apply Lemma 4.5 with  $\nu := \nu'$  and  $T := T_x$  to find an embedding  $\phi : V(T_x) \rightarrow V(H)$  such that every pair in  $\mathcal{A}$  is covered by  $\phi$ . Suppose  $\phi(x) = z \in V(H)$ .

Now let  $H' := H \setminus \phi(T_x) \cup \{z\}$  and note that  $\delta_1(H') \geq (5/9 + \gamma/2)\binom{n}{2}$ . Let  $T' := T \setminus (T_x \setminus \{x\})$  and root  $T'$  at  $x$ . Remove leaf edges from  $T'$  repeatedly to get  $T''$  such that  $v(T') - v(T'') = \delta n$ . Apply Lemma 3.2 with  $G := H'$ ,  $T := T''$ ,  $r := x$  and  $x := z$  to find an embedding  $\phi''$  of  $T''$  into  $H'$  with  $\phi''(x) = z$ .

Finally, let  $T_0 := T_x \cup T''$  and note that  $v(T_0) = (1 - \delta)n$ . Combine  $\phi$  and  $\phi''$  into an embedding  $\phi_0$  of  $T_0$  into  $H$ , which can be done since  $\phi(T_x) \cap \phi''(T'') = \phi(x) = \phi''(x)$ . Then

the tree  $T_0$ , the embedding  $\phi_0$ , and the set of absorbing tuples  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4.3, which we can apply to get an embedding of  $T$  in  $H$ .  $\square$

## 6 Concluding remarks

As mentioned in the introduction, the main result in [9] was later generalised by the same authors [10] to trees with maximum degree  $cn/\log n$  for some small constant  $c$ . This is tight up to the constant  $c$ . It is natural to ask whether Theorem 1.1 also holds for trees of maximum degree larger than constant, and if so, how high the maximum degree can be.

In proving Theorem 1.1, we reduced the problem of finding a tree in our 3-graph  $H$  to finding a tight Hamilton cycle  $\mathcal{C}$  in the reduced graph  $\mathcal{R}$  of a regular partition of  $H$ . To do this we applied a known result on the degree threshold for the existence of a tight Hamilton cycle (Theorem 2.1, proved in [16]). However, most vertices of  $T$  were embedded into the edges of a perfect matching  $M \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  obtained by taking every third edge of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This motivates us to ask whether the application of Theorem 2.1 can be replaced with the analogous result for perfect matchings. If this is the case, the following transference principle should hold for hypergraphs of higher uniformity.

**Conjecture 6.1.** *Let  $1 \leq d < k$  and suppose  $\delta_{k,d}^{PM}$  is the minimum  $d$ -degree threshold for the existence of a perfect matching in  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs.*

*Then for all  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that any  $k$ -graph  $H$  on  $n \geq n_0$  vertices with  $\delta_d(H) \geq (\delta_{k,d}^{PM} + \gamma) \binom{n}{k-d}$  contains every  $n$ -vertex loose tree  $T$  with  $\Delta_1(T) \leq \Delta$ .*

The authors in [5] showed that  $\delta_{3,1}^{PM} = 5/9$  and conjectured that  $\delta_{k,1}^{PM} = 1 - \left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{k-1}$ . Note that, as discussed in [11], the minimum  $d$ -degree threshold  $\delta_{k,d}^{THC}$  for tight Hamilton cycles is strictly larger than  $\delta_{k,d}^{PM}$  when  $k-d$  is large. This implies that to prove Conjecture 6.1, one has to move away from relying on tight Hamilton cycles.

It seems that for the special subcase of loose trees referred to as  $k$ -expansion trees [17], obtained from 2-trees by adding  $k-2$  new vertices to each edge, the threshold should be the same as for loose Hamilton cycles, for any  $k$  and any type of degree. We believe that techniques very similar to these in our proof should suffice for showing this.

## References

- [1] E. Buß, H. Hàn, and M. Schacht. Minimum vertex degree conditions for loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103(6):658–678, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2013.07.004.



- [2] F. R. Chung. Regularity lemmas for hypergraphs and quasi-randomness. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 2(2):241–252, 1991.
- [3] B. Csaba, I. Levitt, J. Nagy-György, and E. Szemerédi. Tight bounds for embedding bounded degree trees. In *Fete of combinatorics and computer science*, volume 20 of *Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud.*, pages 95–137. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2010. URL: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13580-4\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-13580-4_5), doi:10.1007/978-3-642-13580-4\_5.
- [4] P. Frankl and V. Rödl. The uniformity lemma for hypergraphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 8(4):309–312, 1992.
- [5] H. Hàn, Y. Person, and M. Schacht. On perfect matchings in uniform hypergraphs with large minimum vertex degree. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23(2):732–748, 2009. doi:10.1137/080729657.
- [6] J. Han and Y. Zhao. Minimum vertex degree threshold for loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 114:70–96, 2015.
- [7] G. Kalai. Enumeration of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -acyclic simplicial complexes. *Israel J. Math.*, 45(4):337–351, 1983. doi:10.1007/BF02804017.
- [8] A. Kathapurkar and R. Montgomery. Spanning trees in dense directed graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 156:223–249, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2022.04.007.
- [9] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Proof of a packing conjecture of Bollobás. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 4(3):241–255, 1995. doi:10.1017/S0963548300001620.
- [10] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, and E. Szemerédi. Spanning trees in dense graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 10(5):397–416, 2001. doi:10.1017/S0963548301004849.
- [11] R. Lang and N. Sanhueza-Matamala. Minimum degree conditions for tight hamilton cycles. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 105(4):2249–2323, 2022.
- [12] N. Linial and Y. Peled. Enumeration and randomized constructions of hypertrees. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 55(3):677–695, 2019. doi:10.1002/rsa.20841.
- [13] R. Mycroft and T. Naia. Spanning trees of dense directed graphs. In *The proceedings of Lagos 2019, the tenth Latin and American Algorithms, Graphs and Optimization Symposium (LAGOS 2019)*, volume 346 of *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.*, pages 645–654. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2019.

- [14] R. Mycroft and T. Naia. Trees and tree-like structures in dense digraphs, 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.09201>, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2012.09201.
- [15] M. Pavez-Signé, N. Sanhueza-Matamala, and M. Stein. Dirac-type conditions for spanning bounded-degree hypertrees. In *Extended Abstracts EuroComb 2021*, pages 586–592. Springer, 2021.
- [16] C. Reiher, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, M. Schacht, and E. Szemerédi. Minimum vertex degree condition for tight Hamiltonian cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 119(2):409–439, 2019.
- [17] M. Stein. Tree containment and degree conditions. In *Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, pages 459–486. Springer, 2020.
- [18] E. Szemerédi. Regular partitions of graphs. Technical report, Stanford Univ Calif Dept of Computer Science, 1975.
- [19] A. Treglown, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus. Matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs of large minimum vertex degree. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 38:813–818, 2011. The Sixth European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications, EuroComb 2011. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1571065311002022>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endm.2011.10.036>.