

# ALGEBRAIC AUTOMATIC CONTINUED FRACTIONS IN CHARACTERISTIC 2 II

YINING HU

ABSTRACT. We present two families of automatic sequences that define algebraic continued fractions in characteristic 2. The period-doubling sequence belongs to the first family  $\mathcal{P}$ ; and its sum, the Thue-Morse sequence, belongs to the second family  $\mathcal{G}$ . The family  $\mathcal{G}$  contains all the iterated sums of sequences from the  $\mathcal{P}$  and more.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], the author and Han considered continued fractions defined by the Thue-Morse and the period-doubling sequence and proved their algebraicity in characteristic 2 for some cases. In [1], Bugeaud and Han proved the algebraicity of Thue-Morse continued fractions. In [4], the author and Lasjaunias proved the algebraicity of period-doubling continued fractions. In [2], the author generalized [4]. In this article we give a generalization of all these results.

Let  $\sigma$  denote the operator that maps a binary sequence  $(u_n)_n$  to  $(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j \pmod{2})_n$ . Consider the period-doubling sequence

$$\mathbf{p} = 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots$$

defined as the fixed point of the substitution  $1 \mapsto 10, 0 \mapsto 11$ , then

$$\sigma(\mathbf{p}) = 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \dots$$

is the Thue-Morse sequence  $\mathbf{t}$ . Therefore one way to generalize [3], [1] and [4] is to consider continued fractions defined by  $\sigma^n(\mathbf{p})$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

On the other hand, in [2], the author considered the following generalization of the period-doubling sequence: Given an ultimately periodic sequence  $\varepsilon$ , starting from the empty word  $W_0$ , define the sequence of words  $(W_n)_{n \geq 0}$  by setting  $W_{n+1} = W_n, \varepsilon_n, W_n$  (note the comma is for concatenation and it will be omitted when it is suitable). It is proved in [2] that  $\lim_n W_n$  then defines an algebraic continued fraction in characteristic 2. Here we consider a more general case by allowing  $W_0$  to be a non-empty finite word. We may then assume  $\varepsilon$  to be periodic, because the preperiodic part can be absorbed by  $W_0$ . We let  $\mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)$  denote  $\lim_n W_n$  and let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the family of such sequences. The period-doubling sequence  $\mathbf{p}$  is generated in this way by the empty word and  $\varepsilon = (10)^\infty$ .

Naturally we also want to consider sequences of the form  $\sigma^n(\mathbf{u})$  where  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}$ . It turns out more convenient to consider yet a larger family  $\mathcal{G}$  defined as follows: Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a periodic  $(0, 1)$ -sequence. Let  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  be two finite words. For  $n \geq 0$ , define

$$u_{n+1} = u_n, u_n$$

---

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant 12001216.

$$v_{n+1} = v_n, v_n$$

if  $\Upsilon_n = 0$ , and

$$u_{n+1} = u_n, v_n$$

$$v_{n+1} = v_n, u_n$$

otherwise. We let  $\mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)$  denote  $\lim_n u_n$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the family of such sequences.

In Section 2 we prove the algebraicity of continued fractions defined by sequences from  $\mathcal{P}$ . A simpler proof is given in [2] for the case where  $W_0$  is the empty word. In Section 3 we first give two examples, then prove the algebraicity of continued fractions defined by sequences from  $\mathcal{G}$ . Finally we prove that for all  $n \geq 1$  and all binary sequences  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\sigma^n(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{G}$ .

**1.1. Continued Fractions in Power Series Rings.** We adopt the definition of continued fractions and notion of convergence as in [2], which we recall in this subsection for convenience.

Let  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_k\}$  be a finite alphabet. We treat  $a_j$  as formal variables and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_2[A] &:= \mathbb{F}_2[a_0, \dots, a_k] \\ \mathbb{F}_2(A) &:= \mathbb{F}_2(a_0, \dots, a_k) \\ \mathbb{F}_2((A)) &:= \mathbb{F}_2\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_k}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathbb{F}_2\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_0}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_k}\right)\right)$  denotes the ring of power series of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi = \sum_{n_0, \dots, n_k \geq N} c_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k} a_0^{-n_0} \cdots a_k^{-n_k},$$

where  $N$  is an integer and  $c_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence taking values in  $A$ . It defines a formal power series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} u_n z^n$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[A][[z]]$ .

We define a norm on  $\mathbb{F}_2((A))$  by assigning to a series of the form (1.1) the number  $2^{-m}$ , where  $m = \min\{n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_k \mid c_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k} \neq 0\}$  (with the convention that  $\min \emptyset = \infty$ ) is the *valuation* of the series  $\varphi$  and is denoted by  $\text{val}(\varphi)$ . This norm makes  $\mathbb{F}_2((A))$  an ultrametric space.

The continued fraction  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{u}) = [u_0, u_1, \dots]$  is defined as the limit of the sequence  $([u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n])_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [u_0] &= u_0, \\ [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n] &= u_0 + \frac{1}{[u_1, \dots, u_n]} \in \mathbb{F}_2((A)), \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} [u_0, u_1, u_2] &= u_0 + \frac{1}{u_1 + \frac{1}{u_2}} \\ &= u_0 + \frac{u_1^{-1}}{1 + (u_1 u_2)^{-1}} \\ &= u_0 + u_1^{-1} + u_1^{-2} u_2^{-1} + u_1^{-3} u_2^{-2} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(1.2) \quad M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_n} \\ \frac{1}{u_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_{n-1}} \\ \frac{1}{u_{n-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_0} \\ \frac{1}{u_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$(1.3) \quad [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n] = \frac{M_{n,0,1}}{M_{n,0,0}}.$$

In general, we do not have the convergence of  $(M_{n,0,1})_n$  and  $(M_{n,0,0})_n$ , but we do have the convergence of  $\left(\frac{M_{n,0,1}}{M_{n,0,0}}\right)_n$ , which is proved in the same way as in the case of classical continued fraction for real numbers.

We may also choose to specialize the letters in  $A$  to non-constant polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$  (this gives a continuous map from  $\mathbb{F}_2((A))$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2((1/z))$ ), and then  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{u})$  can be seen as formal power series in  $\mathbb{F}_2((1/z))$ . For basic information on continued fractions, particularly in power series rings, the reader is referred to [5].

**1.2. Main results.** Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a sequence taking values in a finite alphabet  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  has period  $n$ , then  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{u})$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)$  of degree at most  $2^n$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\Upsilon$  has period  $k$ , and contains an even number of 1's in one period, then  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{u})$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)$  of degree at most  $2^k$ .*

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)$  be a binary sequence in  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  has period  $n$ . Then for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\text{CF}(\sigma^k(\mathbf{u}))$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)$  of degree at most  $2^n$ .*

**Remark 1.1.** When we apply  $\sigma$  to a binary sequence, we regard its terms as 0's and 1's; when we consider the continued fraction that it defines, we regard its terms as formal variables.

**Remark 1.2.** In inverse of  $\sigma$  maps a sequence  $(u_n)_n$  to  $(u_{n+1} - u_n)_n$ . Then  $\mathbf{p} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{t})$ . We could continue to consider

$$\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{p}) = 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots$$

However, the continued fraction defined by  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{p})$  does not seem to be algebraic. The sequence  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{p})$  can also be obtained by replacing 1 by 11 and 0 by 00 in  $\mathbf{p}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 1.2, if we replace 0 and 1 in the Thue-Morse sequence by finite words  $u_0$  and  $v_0$ , we always obtain a sequence that defines an algebraic continued fraction.

## 2. THE FAMILY $\mathcal{P}$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1.

Let  $W_0$  be a finite word and let  $\varepsilon$  be a periodic sequence of period  $n$ , both taking values in a finite alphabet  $A$ .

Let  $l$  be the length of  $W_0$ . By (1.2) and (1.3), if we define

$$(2.1) \quad m_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{W_{l-1}} \\ \frac{1}{W_{l-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{W_{l-2}} \\ \frac{1}{W_{l-2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{W_0} \\ \frac{1}{W_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$m_{n+1} = m_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\varepsilon_n \\ 1/\varepsilon_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} m_n,$$

Then

$$\text{CF}(\mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)) = \lim_n \frac{m_{n,0,1}}{m_{n,0,0}}.$$

Define for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$b_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/\varepsilon_n \\ 1/\varepsilon_n & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$d_n = \det(m_n),$$

$$l_n = (m_{n,0,1} + m_{n,1,0})/\varepsilon_n + m_{n,0,0}.$$

Define  $L_0 = 1$ , and  $L_{n+1} = L_n \cdot l_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 does not use the structure of  $m_0$ , and is true for any generic  $2 \times 2$  matrix in place of  $m_0$ . Lemma 2.1 does not use the periodicity of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad m_n = L_n \cdot (m_0 + d_0 b_0/L_1 + d_1 b_1/L_2 \cdots + d_{n-1} b_{n-1}/L_n)$$

*Proof.* By induction. The formula is true for  $n = 1$ :

$$m_1 = L_1 m_0 + d_0 b_0.$$

Suppose the formula is true for  $n$ . Let  $m'_0 = m_1$ ,  $\varepsilon'_n = \varepsilon_{n+1}$ , and define  $m'_n$ , etc. Then  $l'_n = l_{n+1}$ ,  $d'_n = d_{n+1}$ ,  $b'_n = b_{n+1}$ , and  $L'_n = L_n \cdot l_n/l_0 = L_{n+1}/l_0 = L_{n+1}/L_1$ . By the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n+1} &= m'_n \\ &= L'_n \cdot (m'_0 + d'_0 b'_0/L'_1 + d'_1 b'_1/L'_2 \cdots + d'_{n-1} b'_{n-1}/L'_n) \\ &= L'_n \cdot (m_1 + d_1 b_1/L'_1 + d_2 b_2/L'_2 \cdots + d_n b_n/L'_n) \\ &= L'_n \cdot (L_1 \cdot m_0 + d_0 b_0 + d_1 b_1/L'_1 + d_2 b_2/L'_2 \cdots + d_n b_n/L'_n) \\ &= L_{n+1} \cdot (m_0 + d_0 b_0/L_1 + d_1 b_1/L_2 + d_2 b_2/L_3 \cdots + d_n b_n/L_{n+1}) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** i) For all  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$l_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} l_j.$$

ii) For all  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$L_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} L_j.$$

*Proof.* i) By induction. In (2.2), the only term that contributes to  $l_n$  is  $L_n \cdot m_0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} l_n &= (m_{n,0,1} + m_{n,1,0})/\varepsilon_n + m_{n,0,0} \\ &= L_n(m_{1,0,1} + m_{1,1,0})/\varepsilon_0 + L_n m_{0,0,0} \\ &= L_n l_0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$l_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} l_j.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, let  $m'_0 = m_1$  and  $\varepsilon'_n = \varepsilon_{n+1}$ , then

$$l_{n+j+1} = l'_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} l'_j = (L_n \cdot l_n/l_0)^{2^j} l_{j+1} = (L_n \cdot L_n l_0/l_0)^{2^j} l_{j+1} = L_n^{2^{j+1}} l_{j+1}.$$

ii) By induction. For  $j = 0$ , the identity

$$L_n = L_n^{2^0} L_0$$

holds trivially.

Suppose

$$L_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} L_j.$$

Then

$$L_{n+j+1} = L_{n+j} l_{n+j} = L_n^{2^j} L_j L_n^{2^j} l_j = L_n^{2^{j+1}} L_{j+1}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The sequence  $(m_{kn})_k$  converges.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,

$$m_{kn} = L_{kn} \cdot (m_0 + d_0 b_0 / L_1 + \cdots + d_{kn-1} b_{kn-1} / L_{kn}).$$

To prove the convergence of  $(m_{kn})_k$ , we only need to prove the convergence of both factors on the right. It is easy to prove by induction that for all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\text{val}(m_{k,0,0}) = 0$  and  $\text{val}(m_{k,i,j}) > 0$  for  $(i,j) \neq (0,0)$ . From this we deduce that  $\text{val}(l_k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , and therefore  $\text{val}(L_n) = 0$  and  $\text{val}(1 + L_n) \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.2,

$$L_{(k+1)n} = L_n^{2^{kn}} L_{kn}$$

and therefore

$$\text{val}(L_{(k+1)n} - L_{kn}) = \text{val}\left(\left(1 - L_n^{2^{kn}}\right) \cdot L_{kn}\right) \geq 2^{kn}.$$

This proves the convergence of  $(L_{kn})_k$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to prove that  $\text{val}(d_j) \geq 2^{2^j}$ . Therefore

$$\text{val}(d_j / L_j) \geq 2^{2^j}.$$

This proves the convergence of  $(m_0 + d_0 b_0 / L_1 + \cdots + d_{kn-1} b_{kn-1} / L_{kn})_k$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let

$$f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_{kn} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{2^0 + 2^n + \cdots + 2^{(k-1)n}}.$$

Then  $f$  is algebraic of degree at most  $2^n - 1$ :

$$f^{2^n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{2^n + 2^{2n} + \cdots + 2^{kn}} = f / L_n.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and taking the limit, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{kn} = f \cdot (m_0 + d_0 b_0 / L_1 + d_1 b_1 / L_2 + \cdots).$$

For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , define

$$H_j = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{kn+j} / L_{kn+j+1},$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{kn} = f \cdot (m_0 + b_0 H_0 + b_1 H_1 + \cdots + b_{n-1} H_{n-1})$$

We prove easily by induction that  $d_{(k+1)n} = d_{kn}^{2^n} \cdot \lambda$  where  $\lambda = 1 / (\varepsilon_0^{2^n} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}^{2^1})$ . Using this and Lemma 2.2, we obtain the algebraicity of  $H_0$ :

$$(2.4) \quad H_0^{2^n} \cdot \lambda / L_1 = \frac{d_0^{2^n} \cdot \lambda}{L_1^{2^n} L_1} + \frac{d_n^{2^n} \cdot \lambda}{L_{n+1}^{2^n} L_1} + \cdots = \frac{d_n}{L_{n+1}} + \frac{d_{2n}}{L_{2n+1}} + \cdots = H_0 + \frac{d_0}{L_1}.$$

On the other hand, for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $d_{kn+j}/d_{kn}^{2^j} = d_j/d_0^{2^j}$ . Also, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\frac{L_{n+j+1}}{L_{n+1}^{2^j}} = \frac{L_n^{2^{j+1}} L_{j+1}}{(L_n^2 L_1)^{2^j}} = \frac{L_{j+1}}{L_1^{2^j}},$$

and by induction,

$$\frac{L_{kn+j+1}}{L_{kn+1}^{2^j}} = \frac{L_{j+1}}{L_1^{2^j}}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.5) \quad H_j = H_0^{2^j} \cdot \frac{d_j}{d_0^{2^j}} \cdot \frac{L_{j+1}}{L_1^{2^j}}.$$

By (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), the continued fraction

$$\text{CF}(\mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{kn,0,1}}{m_{kn,0,0}}$$

lives in  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)[H_0]$  and is algebraic of degree at most  $2^n$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE FAMILY $\mathcal{G}$

**3.1. Examples.** We give two examples before proving Theorem 1.2 (we do not need the theorem to prove the algebraicity of each example), so that the reader may get a quick idea of the proof.

**Example 1.** Let  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  be single letters  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $\Upsilon = 1^\infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)$  is the Thue-Morse sequence  $\mathbf{t} = abbabaab\dots$ . This example was first proved in [1]. Define

$$m_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/a \\ 1/a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/b \\ 1/b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$m_{k+1} = w_k m_k \quad w_{k+1} = m_k w_k.$$

By (1.2) and (1.3),

$$\text{CF}(\mathbf{t}) = \lim_k \frac{m_{2k+1,0,1}}{m_{2k+1,0,0}}.$$

We will show that every entry of  $(m_{2k+1})_k$  converges, and the limit is algebraic. The key to the proof is the relation

$$(\star) \quad m_3 = (m_1 + d/co + d^2/r/co^2) \cdot l,$$

where

$$d = \det(m_1), \quad r = \text{tr}(m_1), \quad co = m_1 + w_1 + r, \quad l = r \cdot co^2.$$

In fact, the relation  $(\star)$  holds regardless of the particular form of  $m_0$  and  $w_0$ ; it remains true if we had taken  $m_0$  and  $w_0$  to be any  $2 \times 2$  matrix in characteristic 2. Therefore, it actually gives us the relation between  $m_{2k+1}$  and  $m_{2k+3}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . For example, if we define  $m'_0 = m_2$ , and  $w'_0 = w_2$ , and define  $m'_k, w'_k, d', r', co', l'$  accordingly, then by  $(\star)$ ,

$$m'_3 = (m'_1 + d'/co' + d'^2/r'/co'^2) \cdot l'.$$

We verify directly

$$d' = d^4, \quad r' = r \cdot l, \quad co' = co \cdot l, \quad l' = l^4.$$

Therefore

$$m_5 = m'_3 = (m_3 + d^4/co/l + d^8/r/co^2/l^3) \cdot l^4$$

$$= l^{1+4} \cdot (m_1 + (d + d^4/l^2)/co + (d^2 + d^8/l^4)/r/co^2)$$

We continue in this way to find

$$m_7 = l^{1+4+16} \cdot (m_1 + (d + d^4/l^2 + d^4/l^{2+8})/co + (d^2 + d^8/l^4 + d^{32}/l^{4+16})/r/co^2),$$

etc. Define

$$f = l^{1+4+16+64+\dots}$$

$$H = d + d^4/l^2 + d^{16}/l^{2+8} + d^{64}/l^{2+8+32} + \dots$$

It is easy to prove by induction that

$$\lim_k m_{2k+1} = f \cdot (m_1 + H/co + H^2/r/co^2).$$

Both  $f$  and  $H$  are algebraic:

$$f^4 = f/l$$

$$H^4/l^2 = H + d.$$

Therefore  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{F}_2(a, b)[H]$ , and is algebraic of degree at most 4.

**Example 2.** Let  $u_0 = a$ ,  $v_0 = b$ ,  $\Upsilon = (011)^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)$ . Define

$$m_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/a \\ 1/a & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2, \quad w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/b \\ 1/b & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2,$$

and for  $k \geq 0$ ,

$$m_{3k+1} = w_{3k}m_{3k} \quad w_{3k+1} = m_{3k}w_{3k}$$

$$m_{3k+2} = w_{3k+1}m_{3k+1} \quad w_{3k+2} = m_{3k+1}w_{3k+1}$$

$$m_{3k+3} = m_{3k+2}^2 \quad w_{3k+3} = w_{3k+2}^2.$$

By (1.2) and (1.3),

$$\text{CF}(\mathbf{u}) = \lim_k \frac{m_{3k+1,0,1}}{m_{3k+1,0,0}}.$$

We will show that every entry of  $(m_{3k+1})_k$  converges, and the limit is algebraic. The key to the proof is the relation

$$(\diamond) \quad m_4 = (m_1 + d/co + d^2/co^3 + d^4/r/co^6) \cdot l.$$

where

$$d = \det(m_1), \quad r = \text{tr}(m_1), \quad co = m_1 + w_1 + r, \quad l = r \cdot co^6.$$

As in the previous example, the relation  $(\diamond)$  holds regardless of the particular form of  $m_0$  and  $w_0$ . In particular, if we define  $m'_0 = m_3$ , and  $w'_0 = w_3$ , and define  $m'_k$ ,  $w'_k$ ,  $d'$ ,  $r'$ ,  $co'$ ,  $l'$  accordingly, then by  $(\diamond)$ ,

$$m'_4 = (m'_1 + d'/co' + d'^2/co'^3 + d'^4/r'/co'^6) \cdot l'.$$

We verify directly

$$d' = d^8, \quad r' = r \cdot l, \quad co' = co \cdot l, \quad l' = l^8.$$

Therefore

$$m_7 = m'_4 = (m_4 + d^8/co/l + d^{16}/co^3/l^3 + d^{32}/r/co^6/l^7) \cdot l^8$$

$$= l^{1+8} \cdot (m_1 + (d + d^8/l^2)/co + (d^2 + d^{16}/l^4)/co^3 + (d^4 + d^{32}/l^8)/r/co^6).$$

Define

$$f = l^{1+8+64+512+\dots}$$

$$H = d + d^8/l^2 + d^{64}/l^{2+16} + d^{512}/l^{2+16+128} + \dots$$

It is easy to prove by induction that

$$\lim_k m_{3k+1} = f \cdot (m_1 + H/co + H^2/co^3 + H^4/r/co^6).$$

Both  $f$  and  $H$  are algebraic:

$$\begin{aligned} f^8 &= f/l \\ H^8/l^2 &= H + d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\text{CF}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{F}_2(a, b)[H]$ , and is algebraic of degree at most 8.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.2. In the examples, we found the relations  $(\star)$  and  $(\diamond)$  by hand and verified them by direct computation. The key of the proof is to find and prove such relations for all sequences in  $\mathcal{G}$  (this is done in Proposition 3.1); the other steps follow naturally.

Let  $u_0, v_0$  be two finite non-empty words. Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a periodic  $(0, 1)$ -sequence of period  $k$  and containing an even number of 1's in one period. By replacing  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\mathbf{Y}$  begins with 1 (except for the trivial case where  $\mathbf{Y} = 0^\infty$ )

To simplify notation, we choose to identify scalar matrices with scalars. Let  $m_0, w_0$  be two  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in a field of characteristic 2. Let  $m_1 = w_0 m_0$ ,  $w_1 = m_0 w_0$ . We define  $m_{1s}$  and  $w_{1s}$  for all binary word  $s$  inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1s0} &= m_{1s}^2 \\ m_{1s1} &= w_{1s} m_{1s} \\ w_{1s0} &= w_{1s}^2 \\ w_{1s1} &= m_{1s} w_{1s}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $d = \det(m_1)$ ,  $r = \text{tr}(m_1)$  (the trace of  $m_1$ ), and  $co = m_1 + w_1 + r$ . We verify directly the following relations that will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(m_1) &= \det(w_1) \\ \text{tr}(m_1) &= \text{tr}(w_1) \\ co^2 &= \text{tr}(m_{11}) \quad (co^2 \text{ is a scalar matrix}) \\ co \cdot m_1 + m_1 \cdot co &= co \cdot (co + r) \\ co \cdot w_1 + w_1 \cdot co &= co \cdot (co + r) \\ co \cdot m_1 &= w_1 \cdot co \\ co \cdot w_1 &= m_1 \cdot co \\ m_1^2 &= r \cdot m_1 + d \\ w_1^2 &= r \cdot w_1 + d \\ w_1 \cdot m_1 &= w_1 \cdot co + d \\ m_1 \cdot w_1 &= m_1 \cdot co + d. \end{aligned}$$

For all binary word  $s$ , define  $t(s)$  as the sum of digits of  $s$  modulo 2.

Let  $s$  be an arbitrary non-empty binary word. For  $j < |s|$ , define  $s(j)$  to be  $s_0 \dots s_{j-1}$ , the prefix of length  $j$  of  $s$ . Define  $e(s)$  inductively as follows: If  $s$  is the empty word, then  $e(s) = 0$ ; define  $e(s0) = 2e(s) + t(s0)$ ,  $e(s1) = 2e(s) + t(s1)$ . Define and  $c_j(s) = d^{2^{j-1}}/r^{2^j-1-e(s(j))}/co^{e(s(j))}$ . We write  $c_j$  for short when there is no ambiguity.



**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $s$  be a binary word of length  $k \geq 1$ . If  $t(s) = 0$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad m_{1s} = (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}} / c_k,$$

$$(3.2) \quad w_{1s} = (w_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}} / c_k;$$

if  $t(s) = 1$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad m_{1s} = (w_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}} / c_k,$$

$$(3.4) \quad w_{1s} = (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}} / c_k.$$

*Proof.* We prove (3.3) by induction on the length of  $s$ , and obtain (3.4) by symmetry. The proofs of (3.1) and (3.2) are similar. The cases  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  are verified directly.

Suppose the (3.1) to (3.4) are true for  $s$  with  $k = |s| \geq 1$  and  $t(s) = 1$ . In the proof, as in the statement of the lemma,  $c_j$  alone stands for  $c_j(s)$ .

Define  $\delta_j = t(s(j))$ . Define  $\bar{\delta}_j = 1 - \delta_j$ , then

$$c_1 = \delta_1 d / co + \bar{\delta}_1 d / r$$

and

$$c_{j+1} = c_j^2 (\delta_{j+1} / co + \bar{\delta}_{j+1} / r)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ .

As  $c_j(s)$  only depends on the first  $j$  bits of  $s$ . We have  $c_j(s0) = c_j(s1) = c_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . We assume  $t(s) = 1$ , so that  $c_{k+1}(s0) = c_k^2 / co$  and  $c_{k+1}(s1) = c_k^2 / r$ , and therefore we need to prove that

$$m_{1s0} = (w_1 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_k + c_k^2 / co) \cdot d^{2^k} / (c_k^2 / co)$$

and

$$m_{1s1} = (m_1 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_k + c_k^2 / r) \cdot d^{2^k} / (c_k^2 / r).$$

We now write down the deduction, then we make some remarks on how we get from one step to the next. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1s0} &= m_{1s}^2 \\ &= (w_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{j-1}} / c_k \cdot 1 / c_k \cdot (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) / d^{2^{j-1}} \\ &= (w_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (w_1 \cdot m_1 + (c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 + (c_1 \bar{\delta}_1 + \cdots + c_k \bar{\delta}_k) \cdot (co + r)) \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (w_1 \cdot co + t + (c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 + (c_1 \bar{\delta}_1 + \cdots + c_k \bar{\delta}_k) \cdot (co + r)) \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (w_1 + t / co + c_1^2 / co + \cdots + c_k^2 / co + (c_1 \bar{\delta}_1 + \cdots + c_k \bar{\delta}_k) \cdot (1 + r / co)) \cdot d^{2^j} / (c_k^2 / co) \\ &= (w_1 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_k + c_k^2 / co) \cdot d^{2^j} / (c_k^2 / co). \end{aligned}$$

To get from the first line to the second, we notice that, since we are assuming  $t(s) = 1$ , the exponent of  $co$  in  $c_k$  is odd. Therefore the two factors in the expression of  $m_{1s}$  (and  $w_{1s}$ ) do not commute. But we can use the identity

$$co \cdot m_1 = w_1 \cdot co$$

to obtain

$$m_{1s} = 1 / c_k \cdot (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}},$$

$$w_{1s} = 1/c_k \cdot (w_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^{k-1}}.$$

Then we notice that, if the exponent of  $co$  in  $c_j$  is even, then  $c_j m_1 + w_1 c_j$  is equal to  $c_j(co + r)$  by definition of  $co$ , and  $c_j m_1 + w_1 c_j = 0$  otherwise. That is how we get from the third line to the fourth.

To get from the sixth line to the last line, we make use of the following relations

$$d/co + c_1 \bar{\delta}_1 (1 + r/co) = c_1,$$

and for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ ,

$$c_j^2/co + c_{j+1} \bar{\delta}_{j+1} (1 + r/co) = c_{j+1};$$

the first one, for example, is proved in this way:

$$\begin{aligned} & d/co + c_1 \bar{\delta}_1 (1 + r/co) \\ &= d/co + (\delta_1 d/co + \bar{\delta}_1 d/r) \bar{\delta}_1 (1 + r/co) \\ &= d/co + \bar{\delta}_1 t/r (1 + r/co) \\ &= \delta_1 d/co + \bar{\delta}_1 d/r \\ &= c_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the following relations are used in the proof of the formula involving  $m_{1s1}$ :

$$d/r + c_1 \delta_1 (co/r + 1) = c_1,$$

and for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ ,

$$c_j^2/r + c_{j+1} \delta_{j+1} (co/r + 1) = c_{j+1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1s1} &= w_{1s} m_{1s} \\ &= (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) \cdot d^{2^j-1} / c_k \cdot 1/c_k \cdot (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k) / d^{2^j-1} \\ &= (m_1 + c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (m_1^2 + (c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 + (c_1 \delta_1 + \cdots + c_k \delta_k) \cdot (co + r)) \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (m_1 \cdot r + d + (c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 + (c_1 \delta_1 + \cdots + c_k \delta_k) \cdot (co + r)) \cdot d^{2^j} / c_k^2 \\ &= (m_1 + d/r + (c_1 + \cdots + c_k)^2 / r + (c_1 \delta_1 + \cdots + c_k \delta_k) \cdot (co/r + 1)) \cdot d^{2^j} / (c_k^2 / r) \\ &= (m_1 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_k + c_k^2 / r) \cdot d^{2^j} / (c_k^2 / r). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From this point on, let  $s$  be a binary word of length  $k$  that contains an even number of 1's and ends with 1. (This is the case if we set  $s = \Upsilon_1 \dots \Upsilon_n$ .)

Define

$$l = d^{2^{k-1}} / c_k = r^{2^k-1-e(s)} \cdot co^{e(s)}.$$

Since  $s$  contains an even number of 1's,  $e(s)$  is even and  $l$  is a scalar. Define  $m'_0 = m_{1s(k-1)}$ , and define  $m'_1$ ,  $m'_{1s}$  and  $r'$ ,  $d'$ ,  $co'$ ,  $c'_j$ ,  $l'$  accordingly. Because  $s$  ends with 1, we have  $m'_1 = m_{1s}$  and

$$m_{1ss} = m'_{1s} = (m'_1 + c'_1 + \cdots + c'_k) \cdot l'.$$

We verify immediately the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} d' &= d^{2^k} \\ r' &= \text{tr}(m_{1s}) = l \cdot r \quad (\text{tr}(co) = 0, \text{ therefore } \text{tr}(c_j) = 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
co' &= m'_1 + w'_1 + r' = l \cdot co \\
l' &= (r')^{2^k - 1 - e(s)} \cdot (co')^{e(s)} = l^{2^k} \\
c'_j &= (d')^{2^{j-1}} / (r')^{2^j - 1 - e(s(j))} / (co')^{e(s(j))} = d^{(2^k - 1)(2^{j-1})} / l^{2^j - 1} \cdot c_j
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $l^{(0)} = l$ ,  $L_0 = 1$ ,  $c_j^{(0)} = c_j$ , and  $l^{(i+1)} = (l^{(i)})'$ ,  $L_{i+1} = L_i \cdot l^{(i)}$ ,  $c_j^{(i+1)} = (c_j^{(i)})'$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $L_{i+1} = L_i^{2^k} \cdot l$ . We also define  $L'_i$  in the obvious way. We have  $L'_i = L_{i+1}/l$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $s$  be a binary string of length  $k$  that contains an even number of 1's and ends with 1. For  $j = 2, \dots, k$ , for all  $q \geq 0$ ,*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{c_j^{(q)} / L_q}{(c_1^{(q)} / L_q)^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j}{c_1^{2^{j-1}}}$$

*Proof.*

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{c'_j / l}{(c'_1 / l)^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{d^{(2^k - 1)(2^{j-1})} / l^{2^j} \cdot c_j}{(d^{(2^k - 1)} / l^2 \cdot c_1)^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j}{c_1^{2^{j-1}}}.$$

Therefore for  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{c_j^{(q+1)} / l^{(q)}}{(c_1^{(q+1)} / l^{(q)})^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j^{(q)}}{(c_1^{(q)})^{2^{j-1}}}.$$

We prove (3.5) by induction. The case  $q = 0$  is just (3.6). Suppose (3.5) holds for  $q$ . Using  $L_{q+1} = L_q l^{(q)}$ , (3.7), and the induction hypothesis, we find

$$\frac{c_j^{(q+1)} / L_{q+1}}{(c_1^{(q+1)} / L_{q+1})^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j^{(q+1)} / L_q / l^{(q)}}{(c_1^{(q+1)} / L_q / l^{(q)})^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j^{(q)} / L_q}{(c_1^{(q)} / L_q)^{2^{j-1}}} = \frac{c_j}{c_1^{2^{j-1}}}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $s$  be a binary string of length  $k$  that contains an even number of 1's and ends with 1. For all  $q \geq 0$ ,*

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{c_1^{(q+1)} / L_{q+1}}{(c_1^{(q)} / L_q)^{2^k}} = \frac{c'_1 / l}{(c_1)^{2^k}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $e_1 = e(s(1))$ .

$$\frac{c'_1}{c_1^{2^k}} = \frac{d^{2^k} / r^{1-e_1} / co^{e_1} / l}{(d / r^{1-e_1} / co^{e_1})^{2^k}} = r^{(2^k - 1)(1-e_1)} co^{(2^k - 1)e_1} / l.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{c''_1}{(c'_1)^{2^k}} = (r')^{(2^k - 1)(1-e_1)} (co')^{(2^k - 1)e_1} / l' = r^{(2^k - 1)(1-e_1)} co^{(2^k - 1)e_1} / l = \frac{c'_1}{c_1^{2^k}},$$

and by induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_1^{(q+1)}}{(c_1^{(q)})^{2^k}} &= \frac{c'_1}{c_1^{2^k}} \\
\frac{c_1^{(q+1)} / L_{q+1}}{(c_1^{(q)} / L_q)^{2^k}} &= \frac{c_1^{(q+1)}}{(c_1^{(q)})^{2^k}} \cdot \frac{L_q^{2^k}}{L_{q+1}} = \frac{c'_1}{c_1^{2^k}} \cdot \frac{1}{l}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $s$  be a binary string of length  $k$  that contains an even number of 1's and ends with 1. For all  $i \geq 1$ ,*

$$(3.9) \quad m_{1s^i} = L_i \cdot (m_1 + \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^{(q)} / L_q).$$

*Proof.* When  $i = 1$ , this is just Proposition 3.1. Suppose (3.9) is true for  $i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1s^{i+1}} &= m'_{1s^i} \\ &= L'_i \cdot (m'_1 + \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (c_j^{(q)})' / L'_q) \\ &= L_{i+1}/l \cdot ((m_1 + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j) \cdot l + \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^{(q+1)} / (L_{q+1}/l)) \\ &= L_{i+1} \cdot ((m_1 + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j + \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^{(q+1)} / (L_{q+1})) \\ &= L_{i+1} \cdot ((m_1 + \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^{(q)} / (L_q)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Up to now, we only assumed  $m_0$  and  $w_0$  to be any  $2 \times 2$  matrix. From this point on, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_{|u|-1}} \\ \frac{1}{u_{|u|-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_{|u|-2}} \\ \frac{1}{u_{|u|-2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{u_0} \\ \frac{1}{u_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{v_{|u|-1}} \\ \frac{1}{v_{|u|-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{v_{|u|-2}} \\ \frac{1}{v_{|u|-2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{v_0} \\ \frac{1}{v_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$s = \Upsilon_1 \cdots \Upsilon_k.$$

By (1.2) and (1.3),

$$\text{CF}(\mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)) = \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{1s^q, 0, 1}}{m_{1s^q, 0, 0}}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *With the above definition of  $m_0$ ,  $w_0$ , and  $s$ , the sequence  $(m_{1s^q})_q$  is convergent.*

*Proof.* We use Lemma 3.4. We only need to prove the convergence of both factors on the right of (3.9). The following facts are easy to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val}(m_{1,0,0}) &= 0 \\ \text{val}(m_{1,0,1}), \text{val}(m_{1,1,0}), \text{val}(m_{1,1,1}) &> 0 \\ \text{val}(co_{0,0}) &= \text{val}(co_{1,1}) = 0 \\ \text{val}(co_{0,1}), \text{val}(co_{1,0}) &> 0 \\ \text{val}(r) &= 0 \\ \text{val}(co^2) &= 0 \\ \text{val}(d) &> 0 \\ \text{val}((1/co)_{0,0}) &= \text{val}((1/co)_{1,1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{val}((1/co)_{0,1}), \text{val}((1/co)_{1,0}) > 0.$$

Therefore  $\text{val}(l) = 0$ , and  $\text{val}(l^{(i)}) = 0$  and  $\text{val}(L_i) = 0$  by induction. And

$$(3.10) \quad \text{val}(L_{i+1} - L_i) = \text{val}(L_i(1 + l^{(i)})) = \text{val}(l^{(i)} - 1) = \text{val}(l^{2^{ik}} - 1) \geq 2^{ik}.$$

Therefore  $(L_i)_i$  converges. On the other hand, from Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, using the facts listed above, we deduce that the valuation of each entry of  $c_j^{(q)}/L_q$  tends to infinity as  $q$  goes up to infinity.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $f = \lim_i L_i$ . Then  $f$  is algebraic of degree at most  $2^k$ :

$$f^{2^k} = \lim_i (l^{(0)} \dots l^{(i-1)})^{2^k} = \lim_i (l^{(1)} \dots l^{(i)}) = f/l.$$

Let  $H_j = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} c_j^{(q)}/L_q$ . Then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_{1s^i} = f \cdot (m_1 + \sum_{j=1}^k H_j).$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$H_j = H_1^{2^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{c_j}{c_1^{2^{j-1}}}$$

For  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_{1s^i} = f \cdot (m_1 + \sum_{j=1}^k H_1^{2^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{c_j}{c_1^{2^{j-1}}}).$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$(3.11) \quad H_1^{2^k} \cdot \frac{c'_1/l}{(c_1)^{2^k}} = c_1 + H_1.$$

If  $e(s(1)) = 0$ , then  $H_1$  is a scalar matrix, and

$$\text{CF}(\mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \mathbf{\Upsilon})) \in \mathbb{F}_2(A)[H_1],$$

and is algebraic of degree at most  $2^k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)$ .

If  $e(s(1)) = 1$ , then for all  $q$ , the exponent of  $co$  in  $c_1^{(q)}$  is odd, and  $H := H_1/co$  is a scalar matrix. Insert  $H_1 = H \cdot co$  into (3.11) and we get

$$H^{2^k} \cdot co^{2^k} \cdot \frac{c'_1/l}{(c_1)^{2^k}} = c_1 + H \cdot co.$$

Dividing both sides by  $co$ , we get an equation where the coefficients of  $H^{2^k}$ ,  $H$ , and  $H^0$  are all scalars.

$$H^{2^k} \cdot co^{2^k} \cdot \frac{c'_1/co/l}{(c_1)^{2^k}} = c_1/co + H.$$

Therefore

$$\text{CF}(\mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \mathbf{\Upsilon})) \in \mathbb{F}_2(A)[H],$$

and is algebraic of degree at most  $2^k$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2(A)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3. Sums of sequences from $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{s} = \mathcal{P}(W_0, \varepsilon)$  be a binary sequence in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $u_0 = \sigma(W_1)$ ,  $v_0 = \overline{u_0}$  (the bitwise negation of  $u_0$ ), then*

$$\sigma(\mathbf{s}) = \mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, (1 - \delta_{\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}})_k).$$

*Proof.* The sequence  $\mathbf{s}$  is the limit of  $(W_k)_k$  defined by

$$W_{k+1} = W_k \varepsilon_k W_k.$$

If the last bit of  $\sigma(W_k)$  and  $\varepsilon_k$  have the same parity, then

$$\sigma(W_{k+1}) = \sigma(W_k), \sigma(W_k);$$

otherwise

$$\sigma(W_{k+1}) = \sigma(W_k), \overline{\sigma(W_k)}.$$

The last bit of  $\sigma(W_{k+1})$  is always the parity of  $\varepsilon_k$ . Therefore

$$\sigma(W_{k+2}) = \sigma(W_{k+1}), \sigma(W_{k+1})$$

if  $\varepsilon_{k+1} = \varepsilon_k$ , and

$$\sigma(W_{k+2}) = \sigma(W_{k+1}), \overline{\sigma(W_{k+1})}$$

otherwise. □

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{G}(u_0, v_0, \Upsilon)$  be a binary sequence in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \mathcal{G}(u_2, v_2, (\Upsilon_{2+k})_k)$ .*

*Proof.* For a non-empty word  $a$ , let  $a^*$  denote the word obtained from  $a$  by deleting the last bit. For two binary words  $a$  and  $b$ , we have

$$\sigma(a, b)^* = \sigma(a)^*, \sigma(b)^*$$

if the last bit of  $\sigma(a)$  is 0, that is, if  $a$  contains an even number of 1's, and

$$\sigma(a, b)^* = \sigma(a)^*, \overline{\sigma(b)^*}$$

otherwise.

Let  $N$  be an integer for which both  $u_N$  and  $v_N$  contains an even number of 1's (for example  $N = 2$ ). Then for all  $k \geq 0$ , both  $u_{N+k}$  and  $v_{N+k}$  contains an even number of 1's. Define  $u'_k = \sigma(u_{k+N})^*$ ,  $v'_k = \sigma(v_{k+N})^*$ . If  $\Upsilon_{k+N} = 0$ ,

$$u'_{k+1} = \sigma(u_{k+N+1})^* = \sigma(u_{k+N}, u_{k+N})^* = \sigma(u_{k+N})^*, \sigma(u_{k+N})^* = u'_k, u'_k,$$

similarly  $v'_{k+1} = v'_k, v'_k$ ; if  $\Upsilon_{k+N} = 1$ ,  $u'_{k+1} = u'_k, v'_k$ , and  $v'_{k+1} = v'_k, u'_k$ . □

Corollary 1.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2, Proposition 3.6 and 3.7, noticing that if  $\varepsilon$  is a binary periodic sequence of period  $n$  that contains 1, then  $(\delta_{\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}})_k$  is periodic of period  $n$  and contains an even number of 1's in a period.

## 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Jean-Paul Allouche, Alain Lasjaunias and Zhi-Ying Wen for helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Yann Bugeaud and Guo-Niu Han. The Thue-Morse continued fractions in characteristic 2 are algebraic. *Acta Arith.*, 2022+.
- [2] Yining Hu. Algebraic automatic continued fractions in characteristic 2.
- [3] Yining Hu and Guo-Niu Han. On the algebraicity of Thue-Morse and period-doubling continued fractions. *Acta Arith.*, 203(4):353–381, 2022.
- [4] Yining Hu and Alain Lasjaunias. Period-doubling continued fractions are algebraic in characteristic 2. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, forthcoming.
- [5] Alain Lasjaunias. Continued fractions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.11276*, 2017.

(Yining Hu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN, PR CHINA

*Email address:* `huyining@protonmail.com`