

COMMUTANT LIFTING, INTERPOLATION, AND PERTURBATIONS ON THE POLYDISC

DEEPAK K. D. AND JAYDEB SARKAR

ABSTRACT. Sarason’s one variable commutant lifting theorem is a key result in the theory of linear operators, complex analysis, and Hilbert function space theory, which does not hold in the setting of polydisc in general. Comprehending the subtleties of the lifting theorem on the polydisc is considered to be one of the challenging problems. In this paper, we settle this by classifying bounded linear operators acting on backward shift invariant subspaces of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $n > 1$, over the polydisc, which admits lifting without compromising the norm. One of our solutions reduces the lifting problem to a nonnegative real number, or more specifically, to a distance function. Another classification associates it with contractivity of a linear functionals on a subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We solve the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem for Schur functions on the polydisc. We also contribute to the interpolation problem for $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ functions in terms of harmonic extensions to the polydisc. Our method produces more results for various flavors. For instance, given $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, there exists $g \in \{f\}^\perp$ such that

$$f + g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n),$$

and $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2}, \mathcal{L}_n\right) \geq 1,$$

where \mathcal{L}_n is an explicit subspace (independent of f) of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	9
3. Homogeneous quotient modules	12
4. Classifications of commutant lifting	14
5. Perturbations of analytic functions	20
6. Interpolation	24
7. Recovering Sarason’s lifting theorem	31
8. Other results	34
8.1. Carathódory-Fejér interpolation	34
8.2. Weak interpolation	36
8.3. Bergman space and lifting	37
8.4. Example verification	39
8.5. Decompositions of polynomials	41
8.6. Concluding remarks	42
References	42

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A38, 47A57, 30E05, 47A13, 46J15, 30D55, 93C73, 15B05.

Key words and phrases. Commutant lifting, Hardy space, polydisc, perturbations, bounded analytic functions, interpolation, invariant subspaces, L^p -spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper's main theme is a generalization of Sarason's classical commutant lifting theorem, solutions to the interpolation problem for both bounded analytic functions and bounded measurable functions, and a perturbation problem for bounded analytic functions on the polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n . Sarason's commutant lifting theorem is fundamental, with significant applications to virtually every aspect of Hilbert function space theory. One of them is the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem, which we will quickly review before moving on to the lifting theorem. Denote by $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ the commutative Banach algebra of all bounded analytic function on the open unit disc \mathbb{D} in \mathbb{C} under the sup norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. The Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem states that given m distinct points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ (interpolating nodes) and m points $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ (target data), there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ (interpolating function) such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if the $m \times m$ Pick matrix $P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ is positive semi-definite (in short, $P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) \geq 0$), where

$$P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) := \left(\frac{1 - w_i \bar{w}_j}{1 - z_i \bar{z}_j} \right)_{i,j=1}^m.$$

This was independently observed by R. Nevanlinna [40] and G. Pick [43] more than a century ago. Nevanlinna's conclusion was however different than the positivity of the Pick matrix. Additionally, the methods of Pick and Nevanlinna are different, interesting on their own, and still relevant.

Four decades later, D. Sarason [48] provided a solid Hilbert function space theoretical foundation for Nevanlinna and Pick's analytic and algebraic methods to the solution of the interpolation problem. Sarason's elegant result, known under the name of the *commutant lifting theorem*, essentially represents the commutators of the so-called model operators without sacrificing the norm. To be more specific, let us denote by $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} [31]. In view of radial limits, $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ can be identified with $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, the space of square-summable analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . We will not distinguish between these two and will use the same notation $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ for both. Denote by T_z the multiplication operator by the coordinate function z on $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. The commutator of T_z is given by

$$\{T_z\}' = \{T_\varphi : \varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

Note that T_φ denotes the Toeplitz operator with symbol $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. It is well known that

$$\|T_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty \quad (\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})).$$

Let \mathcal{Q} be a T_z co-invariant closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$, and let X be a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{Q} (in short, $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$). Sarason's commutant lifting theorem states the following: Suppose X commutes with the *model operator* $P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_z|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, that is

$$X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_z|_{\mathcal{Q}}) = (P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_z|_{\mathcal{Q}})X.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$X = P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}},$$

and

$$\|X\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Here (and in what follows) $P_{\mathcal{Q}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection from $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto \mathcal{Q} . In other words, along with $\|X\| = \|T_\varphi\|$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^2(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\quad T_\varphi \quad} & H^2(\mathbb{T}) \\
 \uparrow i_{\mathcal{Q}} & & \downarrow P_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
 \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{\quad X \quad} & \mathcal{Q}
 \end{array}$$

where $i_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{Q} \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the inclusion map. The Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem then easily follows from this applied to certain zero-based finite-dimensional T_z^* invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ (cf. Subsection 8.2). The most important aspect of Sarason’s lifting theorem, however, is the lifting of commutators of model operators to the commutator of T_z without tampering with the norms.

Once again we mention that Sarason’s commutant lifting theorem has a stellar reputation in its application to classical operator and function theoretic results like the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem, Nehari interpolation problem, von Neumann inequality, isometric dilations, and the Ando dilation, just to name a few. The expanded list easily includes control theory and electrical engineering [29, 30, 35]. When dealing with several variables, however, each analogue question poses a unique set of challenges and frequently offers less opportunity for a comprehensive theory (however, see [8, 9, 14, 15, 23, 25, 32]). In fact, it is known that Sarason’s commutant lifting theorem does not hold true in general in several variables (see Section 3). Understanding the obstacle of commutant lifting in several variables is thus one of the most important problems in Hilbert function space theory.

In this paper, we solve the commutant lifting problem on the Hardy space over the open unit polydisc \mathbb{D}^n , $n \geq 1$. Although several attempts have been made to solve this problem, they appear to be fairly abstract and only work for a small class of operators. The most notable one is perhaps the work of Ball, Li, Timotin, and Trent [14]. The class of functions considered in [14] is the so-called Schur-Agler class functions. When $n > 2$, this class is significantly smaller than Schur class functions (see the definition below) and even the polydisc algebra, and when $n = 2$, it is the same as the Schur class. Even in the $n = 2$ case, however, the results are abstract and difficult to apply to concrete examples. In the context of interpolation for the $n = 2$ case, we refer the reader to the seminal papers by Agler [5, 6] (also see Agler and McCarthy [9]).

Our approach and solution to the commutant lifting problem are both concrete and function-theoretic. As part of the application, we solve interpolation problems for Schur functions as well as bounded harmonic functions on \mathbb{D}^n . In the context of Schur functions on \mathbb{D}^n , we also solve a perturbation problem. Like our commutant lifting theorem, all results are concrete and sometimes transfer the complexity to nonnegative real numbers or certain distance functions. This trend is similar to the classical one variable Nehari theorem, which we discuss in greater detail later in this paper (see the paragraph following Theorem 1.3 as well as Section 5).

Now we provide a more thorough summary of this paper’s key contribution. Denote by $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ the Hardy space of square-summable analytic functions on \mathbb{D}^n . Note that

$\mathbb{T}^n = \partial\mathbb{D}^n$ is the Šilov boundary of \mathbb{D}^n . We denote the von Neumann algebra of scalar-valued essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{T}^n by $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The analytic counterpart of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the commutative Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D}^n . The class of functions we will be most interested in is the closed unit ball of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n) = \{\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n) : \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

The members of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ are known as *Schur functions* [7]. Recall also that a function $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is called *inner* if φ is unimodular a.e. on \mathbb{T}^n (in the sense of radial limits). Given a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote by $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all contractive linear operators on \mathcal{H} :

$$\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \|T\| \leq 1\}.$$

Given a nonempty subset $S \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define the corresponding conjugate space X^{conj} as

$$S^{\text{conj}} = \{\bar{f} : f \in S\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a closed subspace. We say that \mathcal{S} is a *shift invariant subspace* if

$$z_i \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that \mathcal{S} is a *backward shift invariant subspace* if \mathcal{S}^\perp is a shift invariant subspace, or equivalently,

$$T_{z_i}^* \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Here T_{z_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, denotes the multiplication operator by coordinate function z_i on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In general, given $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the (analytic) Toeplitz operator T_φ is defined by

$$T_\varphi f = \varphi f,$$

for all $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. As in the case of a single variable, $\|T_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for all $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Given a backward shift invariant subspace $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define the model operator S_{z_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$S_{z_i} = P_{\mathcal{Q}} T_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

It is now time to define lifting on backward shift invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (see Definition 2.1).

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a backward shift invariant subspace, $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$, and suppose $X S_{z_i} = S_{z_i} X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that X has a lift, or X is liftable, if there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$X = P_{\mathcal{Q}} T_\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

We also define the closed subspace of “mixed functions” of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as (see Section 4)

$$\mathcal{M}_n = L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus (H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)^{\text{conj}} + H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a backward shift invariant subspace. Set

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)), \quad (1.1)$$

where $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus \{1\}$ the space of square-summable analytic functions on \mathbb{D}^n vanishing at the origin. Note that $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}} = \{\bar{f} : f \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. In what follows, we treat $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ as

a subspace of the classical Banach space $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and denote it by $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$. Let

$$\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1), \tag{1.2}$$

and define $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}}f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f \, d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}),$$

where $d\mu$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^n . Theorem 4.1 provides the first classification of lifting in higher dimensions: Suppose $\|X\| \leq 1$, and $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then X is liftable if and only if $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a contractive functional on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$.

Now we turn to our second classification of lifting. Given a backward shift invariant subspace $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and a map $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$, throughout this discussion, we set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X} = (\bar{\mathcal{Q}} \ominus \{\bar{\psi}\}) \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)),$$

where $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$ (as defined in (1.2)). In Theorem 4.3, as a corollary to the above (that is, Theorem 4.1), we further prove: Suppose $\|X\| \leq 1$, and $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then X is liftable if and only if

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{\psi}}{\|\psi\|_2^2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}\right) \geq 1.$$

The association between the existence of lifting and the set of nonnegative numbers is new even in the case of $n = 1$ and is of independent interest.

Summarizing all the above results, we have the following characterizations of commutant lifting for operators on backward shift invariant subspaces of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n \geq 1$ (see Theorem 4.4):

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a backward shift invariant subspace, $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$ a contraction, and suppose $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$. Assume that $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is liftable.
- (2) $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contractive functional, where

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}}f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f \, d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}).$$

- (3) $\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{\psi}}{\|\psi\|_2^2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}\right) \geq 1$.

This solves the long-standing commutant lifting problem for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n > 1$. We believe that the technique used to prove our lifting theorem is interesting on its own. In fact, within the framework of the application to a different flavor, we solve a perturbation problem. More specifically, we are interested in the following natural question: Given a nonzero function $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, does there exist $g \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$f + g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)?$$

Of course, to avoid triviality (that $g = -f$), we must assume that $g \in \{f\}^{\perp}$. Set

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{M}_n \oplus H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

and treat it as a subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In Theorem 5.1, we present a complete answer to this question:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a nonzero function. Then there exists $g \in \{f\}^\perp$ such that*

$$f + g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n),$$

if and only if

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2}, \mathcal{L}_n\right) \geq 1.$$

It is noteworthy that the solution to natural questions, as in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, has a distance formulae-based connection to the set of nonnegative real numbers. This could be a coincidence, but this is a common and classical occurrence. The classical one variable Nehari theorem [41], for example, establishes a direct link with such a distance function. Another instance is the celebrated Adamyan-Arov-Krein formulae [2, 3, 4]. We will comment some more at the end of Section 5.

We also recover Sarason's lifting theorem as an application to our lifting theorem on \mathbb{D}^n . Our approach provides yet another proof of his lifting theorem (see Theorem 7.2):

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T})$ be a backward shift invariant subspace, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$. If*

$$XS_z = S_zX,$$

then X is liftable.

In the proof of the above theorem, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ (defined as in (1.1)) admits a more compact form, namely

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \bar{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T})),$$

for some inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, we employ all the standard one variable types of machinery like Beurling theorem, inner-outer factorizations [16, 31], etc. On the one hand, this is to be expected given that Sarason uses similar tools (but not Beurling theorem, explicitly) for his lifting theorem. This, on the other hand, explains both the challenges associated with the commutant lifting theorem and the potential for extensions of relevant function theoretic results on the polydisc.

On the way to our lifting theorem, we obtain a number of results in one and several variables operator theory as well as function theory. For instance, in Lemma 7.1, we prove that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \oplus zH^2(\mathbb{T}) = \bar{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T})),$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\theta = (\theta H^2(\mathbb{T}))^\perp$ is a backward shift invariant subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D})$ is an inner function.

Now we turn to the interpolation problem. The *Szegő kernel* of \mathbb{D}^n is the function $\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{S}(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - z_i \bar{w}_i} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

For a set of distinct points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subset \mathbb{D}^n$, we define the m -dimensional *zero-based* backward shift invariant subspace $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) : j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

The following is our solution to the interpolation problem (see Theorem 6.5):

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be m distinct points (interpolation nodes), and let $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$ be m scalars (target data). Define*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} f d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}),$$

defines a contraction $\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i),$$

and the scalar coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_m) \\ \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_m) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

This yields a solvability criterion of the interpolation problem in several variables. Note that the above matrix is the Gram matrix of the Szegő kernel functions $\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i)\}_{i=1}^m$.

In addition, we also present a solution to the interpolation problem for bounded harmonic functions. The interpolant functions in this case will be $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ functions bounded by 1. This class of functions extends harmonically from \mathbb{T}^n to all of \mathbb{D}^n . We use some classical notions. For each $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$, we define $k_z : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$k_z(\zeta) = \frac{C(z, \zeta)}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}} \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^n),$$

where C denotes the Cauchy kernel on \mathbb{D}^n . It follows that

$$\{|k_z|^2 : z \in \mathbb{D}^n\} \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

For $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, the harmonic extension of φ is given by

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi(\zeta) k_z(\zeta) \overline{k_z(\zeta)} d\mu(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

equivalently

$$\varphi(z) = \left\langle \varphi k_z, k_z \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

We are interested in the following interpolation problem: Given interpolation nodes $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ and target data $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, we will be looking for interpolating function $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$, whose subharmonic extension to \mathbb{D}^n will interpolate the data $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m$.

The following result provides the answer to this interpolation problem (see Theorem 6.6):

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be a set of distinct points and let $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$. Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \{|k_{z_i}|^2 : i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}} : (\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction, where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(|k_{z_i}|^2) = w_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

The proof of the preceding theorem follows the lines of the Hahn-Banach theorem. However, the classical machinery, as outlined above, plays an important role in such precise descriptions.

Here are some more thoughts on the multivariable interpolation problem and the background of our approach to commutant lifting. If the solution to the interpolation problem on \mathbb{D}^n , $n \geq 1$, is sought in terms of the positive semi-definiteness of the Pick matrix, then the interpolation problem becomes equivalent to the commutant lifting theorem on finite-dimensional zero-based subspaces (see Proposition 8.5). As a result, the commutant lifting property and the Pick positivity appear to be inseparable from the solution to the interpolation problem in one variable as in this case, the commutant lifting property is automatic, thanks to Sarason. In higher variables, however, because the commutant lifting property is rather erratic (cf. Section 3), it is perhaps necessary to disencumber the positivity of the Pick matrix from the interpolation problem. Another important aspect of Pick positivity is that, even while an analytical problem like interpolation can be tied to a problem in linear algebra thanks to the Pick matrix's positivity, verifying the positivity of matrices of higher order can be just as difficult as the original analytic problem. In some ways, these observations seek a different perspective on the several variables interpolation problem, one that is not as similar to the classical case of positivity of the Pick matrix (nor even positivity of a family of Pick matrices as in [1, 25, 34]). We hope that Theorem 1.5 represents a fresh approach to the general interpolation problem. On the other hand, even when $n = 1$, the interpolation problem addressed in Theorem 1.6 is new.

It is worthwhile to recall that Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş in [39] generalized the Sarason lifting theorem to vector-valued Hardy spaces. Also, see [12, 26, 47] for different proofs. For different versions of the commutant lifting theorem and its applications, we refer to the monograph [30]. The central method used in all of these one variable cases is dilation theory (pioneered by Halmos [33] and advanced by Sz.-Nagy [38]), which is powerful enough to negate the heavy use of function theoretic tools. And, Sarason is the only one who uses all of the sophisticated function theoretic tools for his lifting theorem.

Now returning to several variables, the earlier approach to the lifting theorem also appears to be dilation theoretic in nature (or under the assumption of von Neumann inequality), where dilation theory (as well as von Neumann inequality) for commuting contractions is a complex subject in and of itself. This restricts the breadth and strength of the multivariable lifting theorem obtained in other papers. As a result, we approach the problem from an entirely different perspective. In fact, we rely more on the function theoretic approach that Sarason pioneered. The difficulty here, of course, is dealing with the sensitivity of several complex variables as well as the lack of all standard one variable tools.

Finally, a few words about this paper's methodology. We heavily use the duality of classical Banach spaces, namely

$$(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Other common tools used in this paper include the classical Hahn-Banach theorem, geometry of Banach spaces, and Hilbert space theory. The results reported here, we think, will be also helpful in building related theories like isometric dilations for commuting contractions, several variables von Neumann inequality, Nehari problem on \mathbb{D}^n , etc., similar to Sarason's classic result.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces some preliminary concepts and lay the necessary foundation. Section 3 outlines explicit examples of non-liftable maps on backward shift invariant subspaces. Section 4 contains the proofs for classifications of the commutant lifting. Section 5 solves the perturbation problem of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -functions that can be perturbed by $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -functions, orthogonal to the given one, to produce a function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Section 6 deals with interpolation results in terms of two types of interpolant functions: Schur functions on \mathbb{D}^n , and then harmonic extensions of bounded measurable functions on \mathbb{T}^n . As an application to our main commutant lifting theorem, Section 7 provides new proof for the classical lifting theorem. Finally, in Section 8 we draw some general observations like the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem, the notion of weak lifting, decomposing a polynomial as a sum of bounded analytic functions in terms of Beurling-type orthogonal decomposition, and then verify our main results in terms of concrete examples.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will introduce some necessary Hilbert function space theoretic preliminaries. These include Hardy space, submodules, quotient modules, and a formal definition of lifting. We begin by looking at the Hardy space.

Throughout the paper, n will denote a natural number. We denote as usual by $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ the space of Lebesgue measurable, square-integrable functions on the n -torus \mathbb{T}^n . Recall that \mathbb{T}^n is the Šilov boundary of \mathbb{D}^n . The *Hardy space* $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ consisting of those functions whose Fourier coefficients vanish off \mathbb{Z}_+^n . More specifically, consider $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with Fourier series representation

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{T}^n),$$

where $z^k = z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_n^{k_n}$ for all $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. Then $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ if and only if $a_k = 0$ whenever at least one of the k_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, in $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ is negative. The usage of radial limits is another popular way to represent the Hardy space (see Rudin [45]). In other words, we will identify $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the Hilbert space analytic functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\| := \left(\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |f(rz)|^2 d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where $d\mu$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^n , and $rz = (rz_1, \dots, rz_n)$. The identification is canonical, that is, given $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the radial limit

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(rz),$$

exists for almost every $z \in \mathbb{T}^n$, and $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and vice-versa. In what follows (and unless otherwise stated) we will not distinguish between $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ satisfying the above condition and its radial limit representation $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

It is frequently useful to represent $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as the Hilbert space of square-summable analytic functions on \mathbb{D}^n , that is

$$H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} a_k z^k \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}^n) : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |a_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is equipped with the tuple of multiplication operators by coordinate functions $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, which we denote by $(T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$. Therefore, by definition, we have

$$(T_{z_i} f)(w) = w_i f(w) \quad (f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n), w \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is easy to see that $(T_{z_1}, \dots, T_{z_n})$ is an n -tuple of commuting isometries, that is

$$T_{z_i}^* T_{z_i} = I_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \text{ and } T_{z_i} T_{z_j} = T_{z_j} T_{z_i},$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We will also need to use the doubly commutativity property:

$$T_{z_i}^* T_{z_j} = T_{z_j} T_{z_i}^* \quad (i \neq j).$$

From the analytic function space perspective, recall that $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D}^n . The kernel of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is commonly known as the *Szegő kernel*, denoted by \mathbb{S} , where $\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{S}(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - z_i \bar{w}_i} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

For each $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$, the kernel function $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$(\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w))(z) = \mathbb{S}(z, w) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

generates the joint eigenspace of the backward shifts, that is

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(T_{z_i} - w_i I_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)})^* = \mathbb{C} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, w). \quad (2.1)$$

The above equality essentially follows from the fact that

$$T_{z_i}^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) = \bar{w}_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, w), \quad (2.2)$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, the set of kernel functions $\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) : w \in \mathbb{D}^n\}$ forms a total set in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and satisfy the *reproducing property*

$$f(w) = \left\langle f, \mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \quad (2.3)$$

for all $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$.

A closed subspace $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is called a *quotient module* if $T_{z_i}^* \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. A closed subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is said to be a *submodule* if $z_i \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{S}^\perp \cong H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)/\mathcal{S}$ is a quotient module. In summary, we have the following:

submodules \longleftrightarrow shift invariant subspaces,

and

quotient modules \longleftrightarrow backward shift invariant subspaces.

The classical *Laurent operator* L_φ with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ defined by $L_\varphi f = \varphi f$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. The *Toeplitz operator* T_φ with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the compression of L_φ to $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, that is

$$T_\varphi f = P_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}(\varphi f) \quad (f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)),$$

where $P_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}$ denotes the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ onto $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore

$$T_\varphi = P_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} L_\varphi|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

Recall that

$$\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))} = \|L_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))} = \|\varphi\|_\infty, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. It is useful to point out that the Toeplitz operator with symbol $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is given by

$$T_\varphi = L_\varphi|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

In general, if \mathcal{S} is a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $\varphi\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ for all $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Finally, given a quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define the compression of T_{z_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, as

$$S_{z_i} = P_{\mathcal{Q}} T_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

In general, given a quotient module \mathcal{Q} of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define the compression operator S_φ on \mathcal{Q} by

$$S_\varphi = P_{\mathcal{Q}} T_\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Clearly, $S_\varphi S_{z_i} = S_{z_i} S_\varphi$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. From this point of view, we also call that S_φ a *module map*. Another common name for module maps is *truncated Toeplitz operators* (even for symbols with $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$). On truncated Toeplitz operators, we again refer to Sarason [46] (and also compare with Brown and Halmos [17]).

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a quotient module and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$. Suppose

$$X S_{z_i} = S_{z_i} X \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

We say that X has a lift (or X is liftable) if there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$X = S_\varphi.$$

We also say that φ is a lift of X .

Also, given $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$, if $X S_{z_i} = S_{z_i} X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we sometimes refer to X as a *module map* on \mathcal{Q} . As pointed out above, S_φ , $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, is a module map.

In the case of $n = 1$, Sarason's result states that contractive module maps are always liftable. In the following section, we demonstrate that such a statement is no longer true whenever $n > 1$.

3. HOMOGENEOUS QUOTIENT MODULES

The purpose of this section is to outline explicit and basic examples of non-liftable module maps on quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n > 1$. Our quotient modules are as simple as homogenous quotient modules and the module maps are compressions of homogeneous polynomials. We begin with a (probably known) classification of inner polynomials on the polydisc.

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be a nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Then p is inner if and only if*

$$p = \text{unimodular constant} \times \text{monomial}.$$

Proof. By definition, p is inner if and only if $|p| = 1$ on \mathbb{T}^n . The sufficient part is now trivial. For the reverse direction, assume that p is inner. If p is a constant multiple of a monomial, then passing to the boundary value, the assertion will follow immediately. Therefore, assume that p has more than one term. There exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$p = \sum_{j=0}^{N_1} z_1^j p_j,$$

where $p_j \in \mathbb{C}[z_2, \dots, z_n]$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_1$, and

$$p_{N_1} \neq 0.$$

Here we are assuming without loss of generality that p has a monomial term with z_1 as a factor (otherwise, we pass to the same but with respect to z_2 and so on). Since p is inner, on \mathbb{T}^n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= p\bar{p} \\ &= \bar{z}_1^{N_1} (p_0\bar{p}_{N_1} + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$p_0 p_{N_1} = 0,$$

and hence $p_0 = 0$. Continuing exactly in the same way, we obtain that

$$p = z_1^{N_1} p_{N_1},$$

for some $p_{N_1} \in \mathbb{C}[z_2, \dots, z_n]$. Applying the above recipe to p_{N_1} , we get $p_{N_1} = z_2^{N_2} p_{N_2}$ for some $N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $p_{N_2} \in \mathbb{C}[z_3, \dots, z_n]$. Hence

$$p = z_1^{N_1} z_2^{N_2} p_{N_2}.$$

Therefore, applying this method repeatedly, we finally deduce that p is a unimodular constant multiple of some monomial. \square

Now we turn to the construction of the quotient modules of interest. As is well known (and also evident from the definition of the Hardy space), the polynomials are dense in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, that is

$$H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]}^{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

Therefore, the standard grading on $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ induces a graded structure on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We are essentially going to exploit this simple property in our construction of module

maps. For each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by $H_t \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ the complex vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree t . Therefore, we have the vector space direct sum

$$\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+} H_t.$$

We consider from now on the finite-dimensional subspace \mathcal{H}_t as a closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Also, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_m = \bigoplus_{t=0}^m H_t.$$

Since $T_{z_i}^* \mathcal{Q}_m \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_m$ for all $m \geq 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is a finite-dimensional quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and $\deg f \leq m$ for all $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m$. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix a homogeneous polynomial of degree m as

$$p = \sum_{|k|=m} a_k z^k \in H_m.$$

Suppose that $\|p\|_2 = 1$. By the definition of the norm on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we have

$$\sum_{|k|=m} |a_k|^2 = 1.$$

We aim at investigating the lifting of

$$S_p = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} T_p|_{\mathcal{Q}_m}.$$

As pointed out earlier, $T_p T_{z_i} = T_{z_i} T_p$ implies that $S_{z_i} S_p = S_p S_{z_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, that is, S_p is a module map. By $S_p f = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(pf)$, $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, we have on one hand $S_p 1 = p$, and on the other hand

$$S_p f = 0,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Therefore, $\ker S_p = \mathcal{Q}_m \ominus \mathbb{C}$ or, equivalently

$$\ker S_p = \bigoplus_{t=1}^m H_t.$$

This allows us to conclude that

$$\|S_p\| = 1. \tag{3.1}$$

We recall in passing that $\|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))} = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (cf. (2.4)).

Theorem 3.2. *S_p is liftable if and only if p is a unimodular constant multiple of a monomial.*

Proof. Suppose S_p is liftable. There exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $S_p = S_\varphi$. Then

$$S_p = S_\varphi = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} T_\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}_m},$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Note that $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_m$. Since $S_p 1 = p$, it is clear that $P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} \varphi = p$, and hence there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that

$$\varphi = p \oplus \psi \in \mathcal{Q}_m \oplus \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp.$$

It is well known that $\|\varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$. In fact, in our present situation, we simply have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_2 &= \|T_\varphi 1\|_2 \\ &\leq \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))} \|1\|_2 \\ &= \|\varphi\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using $\|p\|_2 = 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \|\psi\|_2^2 &= \|\varphi\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\psi = 0$. Therefore

$$\varphi = p \in \mathcal{Q}_m.$$

By using the same computation (or norm equality) as above, we have

$$1 = \|p\|_2 \leq \|p\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_\infty = 1,$$

which implies that $\|p\|_\infty = 1$. This combined with

$$\|T_p 1\|_2 = \|p\|_2 = 1,$$

imply that the Toeplitz operator T_p is norm attaining. Consequently [24, Corollary 2.3], p is inner (as $p \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$). Then by Lemma 3.1 we conclude that p is a unimodular constant multiple of a monomial. The converse is obvious. \square

The following corollary is now straight:

Corollary 3.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let p be a homogeneous polynomial of degree m . Suppose*

$$p = \sum_{|k|=m} a_k z^k \in H_m,$$

and assume that $\|p\|_2 = 1$. If $a_k, a_l \neq 0$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, then S_p on \mathcal{Q}_m is not liftable.

In particular, non-monomial homogeneous polynomials cannot be lifted. In the proof of the above theorem, we have essentially used the following fact [24, Corollary 2.3.]: For $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$, if the Toeplitz operator T_φ is norm attaining, then the symbol φ is inner.

4. CLASSIFICATIONS OF COMMUTANT LIFTING

In view of the examples in the preceding section, it is now clear that module maps on quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, may not lift. In this section, we classify liftable module maps defined on quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n \geq 1$. We begin with the well known duality of classical Banach spaces. Recall that $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a Banach space predual of the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. More specifically, we have

$$(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

via the isometrically isomorphic and onto map $\chi : L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow (L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^*$ defined by $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n) \mapsto \chi_\varphi$. Therefore

$$\chi_\varphi f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi f \, d\mu, \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where $d\mu$ is the usual normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^n , and

$$\|\chi_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty,$$

for all $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. For a nonempty $X \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we define

$$X^{\text{conj}} = \{\bar{f} : f \in X\}.$$

We also define the subspace of ‘‘mixed functions’’ of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_n = L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus (H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)^{\text{conj}} + H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

This is the closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ generated by monomials that are neither analytic nor coanalytic. Set $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. The following easy-to-see equality explains the terminology of ‘‘mixed functions’’:

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_A^{k_A} \bar{z}_B^{k_B} : A, B \subseteq I_n, A \cap B = \emptyset, A, B \neq \emptyset, k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|A|}, k_B \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|B|}\}, \quad (4.2)$$

where for a nonempty subset $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|A|}$, we define

$$z_A^{k_A} := z_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdots z_{i_m}^{k_m}.$$

Note that \mathcal{M}_n is self-adjoint, that is

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\text{conj}} = \mathcal{M}_n. \quad (4.3)$$

It is also crucial to observe that if $n = 1$, then \mathcal{M}_n is trivial:

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \{0\}.$$

Given a quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, as per our convention, we have $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}} := \{\bar{f} : f \in \mathcal{Q}\}$, and hence $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}}$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}} \perp H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

where $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus \{1\}$. It is easy to check that (for instance, by using $1 = \mathbb{S}(\cdot, 0)$)

$$H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) : f(0) = 0\},$$

the closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of functions vanishing at the origin. Finally, given a quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we set

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{Q}^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

The skew sums in the above definition are in fact Hilbert space orthogonal direct sums in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. However, in what follows, we will represent $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ as a Banach space linear subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and denote it by

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1).$$

We are now ready for our first lifting theorem. Again, recall that a bounded linear operator X on a quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is a module map if

$$XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. And, a module map $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ is liftable if there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $T = S_\varphi$ (see Definition 2.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a quotient module, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ be a module map. Let $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$, and define $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}}f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Then X is liftable if and only if $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a contractive functional on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be a lift of X , that is, $X = S_{\varphi}$, where, by definition, $S_{\varphi} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ (that is, $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$), it follows that the map $\chi_{\varphi} : L^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\chi_{\varphi}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f\varphi d\mu,$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, is a contraction (see (4.1)). In view of the fact that $\varphi\mathcal{Q}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^{\perp}$ (as submodules are invariant under $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n)$), we have $P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_{\varphi}P_{\mathcal{Q}} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_{\varphi}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\varphi}P_{\mathcal{Q}}1 &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{Q}}P_{\mathcal{Q}}1 \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_{\varphi}1 \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $X = S_{\varphi}$ implies $\psi = S_{\varphi}P_{\mathcal{Q}}1$. This combined with $S_{\varphi}P_{\mathcal{Q}}1 = P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi$ yields

$$\psi = P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi.$$

We now prove that $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a contractive functional. First we consider $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on $\mathcal{Q}^{conj} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Let $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{Q}^{conj}$. Then $h \in \mathcal{Q}$ or, equivalently, $P_{\mathcal{Q}}h = h$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi \bar{h} d\mu &= \langle \varphi, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle \varphi, P_{\mathcal{Q}}h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle \psi, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi \bar{h} d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi \bar{h} d\mu,$$

for all $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{Q}^{conj}$, equivalently

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}} = \chi_{\varphi} \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}^{conj}.$$

Next, we consider $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ on \mathcal{M}_n . Since

$$\mathcal{M}_n \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus (H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) + H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)^{conj}),$$

is self-adjoint (see (4.3)), we have

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{M}_n^{conj} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{M}_n = \{0\}.$$

By using the identity $\psi = P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi$ and following the computation as in the previous case, for each $h \in \mathcal{M}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi h \, d\mu &= \langle \psi, \bar{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi, \bar{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \langle \varphi, P_{\mathcal{Q}}\bar{h} \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $P_{\mathcal{Q}}h = P_{\mathcal{Q}}\bar{h} = 0$. This proves that

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}} = \chi_{\varphi} = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{M}_n.$$

Finally, if $h \in H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $h(0) = 0$, and hence

$$\langle \bar{h}, \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0.$$

Therefore, again

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi h \, d\mu &= \langle \psi, \bar{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\psi \in \mathcal{Q} \subset H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This implies, again, that

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}} = \chi_{\varphi} = 0 \text{ on } H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Thus we conclude that $X_{\mathcal{Q}} = \chi_{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. On the other hand, $\chi_{\varphi} : L^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction. In particular, $\chi_{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}}$ is a contraction, which proves our claim that $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is contractive.

In the converse direction, if $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction, then by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is a linear functional $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{Q}} : L^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}} = X_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

and

$$\|\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}\| = \|X_{\mathcal{Q}}\| \leq 1.$$

By the duality $(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, as outlined in (4.1), there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$\chi_{\varphi} = \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{Q}},$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1.$$

In particular, $\chi_{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}} = \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}} = X_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Since

$$\chi_{\varphi}h = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi h \, d\mu,$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi h \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi h \, d\mu, \tag{4.4}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$. We consider a typical monomial f from $\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore

$$f = z^k,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$, or

$$f = z_A^{k_A} \bar{z}_B^{k_B},$$

for some $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|A|}$ and $k_B \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|B|}$, where $A, B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $A, B \neq \emptyset$ (see the definition of \mathcal{M}_n in (4.2)). As $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \in \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}^n)$, it follows that $\langle \psi, \bar{f} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0$ and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu = 0.$$

Consequently, the identity in (4.4) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi z^k \bar{z}^k d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi z_A^{k_A} \bar{z}_B^{k_B} d\mu = 0,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as well as for all $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|A|}$ and $k_B \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|B|}$ as described above. This implies φ is analytic, and hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that $X = S_\varphi$. Note, by (4.4) again, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi \bar{h} d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi \bar{h} d\mu,$$

for all $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{Q}^{conj}$. Equivalently, for each $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{Q}^{conj}$, we have $\langle \varphi, h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \langle \psi, h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}$, and hence

$$\langle P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = \langle \psi, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)},$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{Q}$, from which we conclude that

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi = \psi.$$

As before, we write $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with respect to $\mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^\perp = H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as

$$\varphi = \psi \oplus \rho \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^\perp.$$

Since $P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi = P_{\mathcal{Q}}T_\varphi P_{\mathcal{Q}}1 = S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$ and $P_{\mathcal{Q}}\varphi = \psi$, we have

$$\psi = S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1).$$

This combined with $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$ yields

$$S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1).$$

Finally, let us fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and observe

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \\ &= S_z^k(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S_\varphi S_z^k = S_z^k S_\varphi$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k) &= S_\varphi S_z^k(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \\ &= S_z^k S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \\ &= S_z^k X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \\ &= X S_z^k(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1) \\ &= X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k). \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of the fact that $\mathcal{Q} = \overline{\text{span}\{P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}}$, the equality $X = S_\varphi$ is immediate. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The proof of the above theorem says more than what it states. In fact, we have the identity

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{\mathcal{M}_n + H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \equiv 0,$$

and hence

$$\ker X_{\mathcal{Q}} \supseteq \mathcal{M}_n + H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

In other words, \mathcal{Q}^{conj} is the supporting space of $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Another way to put it is that there is a contractive extension of $X_{\mathcal{Q}}|_{\mathcal{Q}^{conj}}$ to the entire $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ that vanishes on the completely analytic and completely co-analytic parts.

Remark 4.2. It is clear from the construction that the subspace $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is independent of X .

Our second lifting theorem is a consequence of the first, and it appears to be in a more compact form. By using distance functions, we essentially establish a relationship between lifting and the set of nonnegative numbers. Given a quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and a module map $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$, we define a subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q}, X} = (\mathcal{Q}^{conj} \ominus \{\bar{\psi}\}) + (\mathcal{M}_n + H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

Recall that $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus \{1\}$ and $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$. Also keep in mind, in contrast to Remark 4.2, that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q}, X}$ is dependent on both \mathcal{Q} and X .

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a quotient module, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ be a module map. Suppose $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)$. Then X is liftable if and only if*

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{\psi}}{\|\psi\|_2^2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q}, X}\right) \geq 1.$$

Proof. In view of $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{Q}^{conj}$, we set $\mathcal{L}_{\psi} := \mathcal{Q}^{conj} \ominus \mathbb{C}\bar{\psi}$, and write the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{Q}^{conj} = \mathbb{C}\bar{\psi} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{\psi}.$$

Suppose X is liftable. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu \right| \leq \|f\|_1 \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}), \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathbb{C}\psi + \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q}, X}$. Pick $g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, and write

$$g = \bar{\psi} + \tilde{g},$$

for some $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q}, X}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi(\bar{\psi} + \tilde{g}) d\mu &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi\bar{\psi} d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi\tilde{g} d\mu \\ &= \|\psi\|_2^2 + \langle \psi, \bar{\tilde{g}} \rangle \\ &= \|\psi\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

as

$$\langle \psi, \bar{\tilde{g}} \rangle = 0,$$

which follows from the definition of \tilde{g} and the fact that ψ is analytic. Then (4.5) implies

$$\|\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|\bar{\psi} + \tilde{g}\|_1,$$

or equivalently

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\psi}}{\|\bar{\psi}\|_2^2} + \tilde{g} \right\|_1 \geq 1,$$

for all $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}$, and completes the proof of the forward direction. To prove the reverse direction, let the above inequality holds for all $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}$. Equivalently

$$\|\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|\bar{\psi} + \tilde{g}\|_1 \quad (\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}).$$

Fix $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}$, and write $f = \bar{\psi} + \tilde{f}$ for some $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}$. Following the proof of the forward direction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi(\bar{\psi} + \tilde{f}) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (4.5). Theorem 4.1 now completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Combining Theorems 4.1 and Theorem 4.3, we have the following:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a quotient module, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ be a module map. Set*

$$\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1),$$

and suppose

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}} = (\mathcal{Q}^{conj} \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_n) \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X} = (\mathcal{Q}^{conj} \ominus \{\bar{\psi}\}) \dot{+} \mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is liftable.
- (2) $X_{\mathcal{Q}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contractive functional, where

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}}f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}}).$$

- (3) $dist_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{\psi}}{\|\bar{\psi}\|_2^2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathcal{Q},X}\right) \geq 1$.

The techniques involved in the association of the existence of commutant lifting with the distance formula are far-reaching. In the following section, we will apply some of the concepts introduced here to solve a perturbation problem.

5. PERTURBATIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, we discuss classifications of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -functions that can be perturbed by $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ -functions so that the resultant functions are in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Our perturbation result is of independent interest and not directly related to the commutant lifting theorem. However, the technique involved here is motivated by the one used in the proof of our main results. Throughout the sequel, we denote

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{M}_n \oplus H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Recall that $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus \{1\}$ is the closed subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of functions vanishing at the origin. Recall also that \mathcal{M}_n is the closed subspace generated by all the trigonometric

monomials that are neither analytic nor co-analytic, that is, $\mathcal{M}_n = L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus \overline{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} + H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In particular, we have the following crucial property:

$$f(0) = 0 \quad (f \in \mathcal{L}_n).$$

We also recall a standard fact from the theory of Banach spaces: Let x be a vector in a Banach space B . Then

$$\|x\|_B = \sup\{|x^*(x)| : x^* \in B^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1\}.$$

Now we are ready for the perturbation theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a nonzero function. There exists $g \in \{f\}^\perp$ such that $f + g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ if and only if*

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2}, \mathcal{L}_n\right) \geq 1.$$

Proof. We start by recalling the definition of distance function (in the present case):

$$\text{dist}_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}\left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2}, \mathcal{L}_n\right) = \inf \left\{ \left\| \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \right\|_1 : h \in \mathcal{L}_n \right\}.$$

Suppose $g \in \{f\}^\perp$ be such that $\psi := f + g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. It is enough to prove that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \right\|_1 \geq 1 \quad (h \in \mathcal{L}_n).$$

Fix $h \in \mathcal{L}_n$. Since $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is a subset of the closed unit ball of $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we have $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $\|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1$. By the duality (see (4.1))

$$(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

it follows that $\chi_\psi \in (L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^*$ and

$$\|\psi\|_\infty = \|\chi_\psi\| \leq 1,$$

where

$$\chi_\psi g = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi g \, d\mu \quad (g \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

In particular, for

$$g = \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n),$$

we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \right) d\mu &= \left\langle f + g, \frac{f}{\|f\|_2^2} + \bar{h} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= 1 + \left\langle g, \frac{f}{\|f\|_2^2} + \bar{h} \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last but one equality follows from the fact that

$$\langle f, \bar{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0,$$

and the last equality is due to the fact that $g \in \{f\}^\perp$ and

$$\langle g, \bar{h} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} = 0,$$

similar reason as in the preceding equality. We also have used the fact that f is analytic and $h(0) = 0$. Therefore, $\chi_\psi \in (L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^*$ with $\|\chi_\psi\| \leq 1$ and

$$\left| \chi_\psi \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \right) \right| = 1.$$

The norm identity for Banach spaces stated preceding the statement of this theorem immediately implies that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} + h \right\|_1 \geq 1.$$

For the reverse direction, suppose the above inequality holds for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_n$. Equivalently

$$\|\lambda \bar{f} + h\|_1 \geq |\lambda| \|f\|_2^2,$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Define \mathcal{S} a closed subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as

$$\mathcal{S} := \text{span}\{\bar{f}, \mathcal{L}_n\},$$

and then define a linear functional $\zeta_f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\zeta_f(\lambda \bar{f} + h) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (\lambda \bar{f} + h) f d\mu,$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. As in the proof of the forward direction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f h d\mu &= \langle h, \bar{f} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f \bar{f} d\mu = \|f\|_2^2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_f(\lambda \bar{f} + h)| &= |\lambda| \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \|\lambda \bar{f} + h\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This ensures that ζ_f is a contractive functional on \mathcal{S} ; hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $\zeta \in (L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n))^*$ such that $\|\zeta\| \leq 1$ and

$$\zeta|_{\mathcal{S}} = \zeta_f.$$

Again, by the duality (4.1), there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\chi_\varphi|_{\mathcal{S}} = \zeta|_{\mathcal{S}} = \zeta_f.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (\lambda \bar{f} + h) f d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} (\lambda \bar{f} + h) \varphi d\mu, \quad (5.1)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We now claim that φ is analytic (which would imply that $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$). As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we consider a typical monomial F from $\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore

$$F = z^k,$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$, or

$$F = z_A^{k_A} \bar{z}_B^{k_B},$$

for some $k_A \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|A|}$ and $k_B \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{|B|}$, where $A, B \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $A, B \neq \emptyset$ (see the definition of \mathcal{M}_n in (4.2)). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle f, \bar{F} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f F \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi F \, d\mu \\ &= \langle \varphi, \bar{F} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. Since $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$, we conclude that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Using the containment $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, first we conclude $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, and then write

$$\varphi = cf \oplus g,$$

for some scalar c and function $g \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $g \in \{f\}^\perp$. It remains to show that $c = 1$. Observe, if $h = 0$, and

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\|f\|_2^2},$$

then (5.1) along with the fact that $\langle g, f \rangle = 0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi \frac{\bar{f}}{\|f\|_2^2} \, d\mu \\ &= \left\langle \varphi, \frac{f}{\|f\|_2^2} \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \left\langle cf \oplus g, \frac{f}{\|f\|_2^2} \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= c, \end{aligned}$$

and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

It may appear to be a coincidence that the distance recipe in the above theorem as well as in Theorem 4.3 is similar to the well known Nehari theorem [41] for Hankel operators. Indeed, some of the motivation of our approach comes from the classical Nehari theorem as well as Sarason's original commutant lifting theorem. Recall that Hankel operators are the solutions to the intertwiners from $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ to $H_-^2(\mathbb{T})$, where $H_-^2(\mathbb{T}) = L^2(\mathbb{T}) \ominus H^2(\mathbb{T})$, and a Hankel operator with symbol $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is given by

$$H_\varphi = P_{H_-^2(\mathbb{T})} L_\varphi|_{H^2(\mathbb{T})}.$$

The Nehari theorem states:

$$\|H_\varphi\| = \text{dist}(\varphi, H^\infty(\mathbb{D})) = \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

It is moreover well known that for rational functions, the Nehari problem is related to the classical Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem. In this context, also see the celebrated Adamyan, Arov, and Krein theorem [2, 3, 4], which is popularly known as the AAK step-by-step extension (see [42, Chapter 2] for more details and [10] for several variables

results). Another important distance formula is due to Adamyan-Arov-Krein [4], which determines the essential norm of Hankel operators: For $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_\varphi\|_{ess} &= \text{dist}(\varphi, C(\mathbb{T}) + H^\infty(\mathbb{D})) \\ &= \inf\{\|H_\varphi - K\| : K \text{ is a compact Hankel operator}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Like all function theoretic problems, Hankel operators are also complex objects in several variables. Our formulation of lifting and interpolation problems (see Section 6) and their solutions in several variables may have some potential to shed some light on the delicacy of the multivariable Hankel operators. We refer the reader to Coifman, Rochberg, and Weiss [19] for some progress to the theory of Hankel operators, and Chang and Fefferman [18] for BMO machinery and many key observations (also see [28]). We recommend the paper by Rochberg for Hankel operator in several variables [44].

6. INTERPOLATION

The goal of this section is to provide solutions to interpolation problems. We prove two interpolation theorems in terms of two different types of interpolating functions: Schur functions on \mathbb{D}^n , followed by harmonic extensions of bounded measurable functions on \mathbb{T}^n .

As previously mentioned, Sarason's commuting lifting theorem recovers the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation with an elegant proof. However, Sarason only needed to use his lifting theorem for some special finite-dimensional quotient modules. These quotient modules are in fact generated by finitely many kernel functions and hence are also closed subspaces of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. First, we point out that Sarason type quotient modules in several variables always admit weak lifting. The following is the formal definition of weak lifting:

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be a quotient module, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$. Suppose $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that X has a weak lift, or X is weakly liftable, if there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$X = S_\varphi.$$

To put it another way, a weak lifting is a lifting that lacks control over the norm. Before going into the main content of this section, we introduce some standard notions. A quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is said to be *zero-based* if there exists $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ such that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_\Lambda$, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Lambda = \overline{\text{span}}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) : w \in \Lambda\}.$$

For a zero-based quotient module \mathcal{Q}_Λ , by using the reproducing property (2.3), we have the following representation of the corresponding submodule (hence the name zero-based)

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Lambda^\perp = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) : f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \Lambda\}.$$

Since $\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) : w \in \Lambda\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors, a zero-based quotient module \mathcal{Q}_Λ is finite-dimensional if and only if

$$\#\Lambda = \dim \mathcal{Q}_\Lambda < \infty.$$

Given $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\pi_j(z) = z_j,$$

the projection of z onto its j -th coordinate. The following easy-to-see lemma will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be a set of distinct points, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Then X is module map if and only if there exists $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$X^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = w_j \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j),$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ and suppose $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $X^*S_{z_i}^* = S_{z_i}^*X^*$, using the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a quotient module, we find

$$T_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}X^* = X^*T_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In view of (2.2), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}X^*)\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) &= (X^*T_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}})\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \\ &= X^*T_{z_i}^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \\ &= \overline{\pi_i(z_j)}X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(T_{z_i}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}X^*)\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = T_{z_i}^*(X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j))$, it follows that

$$T_{z_i}^*(X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j)) = \overline{\pi_i(z_j)}(X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j)),$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Equivalently

$$X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(T_{z_i} - \pi_i(z_j)I_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)})^*.$$

Now, in view of the joint eigenspace property (2.1), the right side of the above is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j)$, and hence, there exists a scalar w_j such that

$$X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = w_j \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j),$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. The converse direction is easy and follows again from (2.2) and the fact that $\mathcal{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$. \square

Recall that a function $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_k z^k \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is in $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ if and only if $a_k = 0$ whenever at least one of the k_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, in $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ is negative. The proposition that follows will be used in what follows.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ be a quotient module. Let*

$$\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}1.$$

If $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, then $S_{\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}} = I_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

Proof. Since $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}1 \in \mathcal{Q} \cap H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, in view of the decomposition $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^\perp$, there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$1 = \theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \oplus \varphi \in \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q}^\perp.$$

Fix $f \in \mathcal{Q}$. In particular, since $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, there exists a sequence $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ such that

$$p_j \longrightarrow f \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Since $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n) \cap \mathcal{Q}^\perp$ is a multiplier, the above implies

$$\varphi p_j \longrightarrow \varphi f \quad \text{in } H^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Moreover, $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}^\perp$ implies that $\{p_j \varphi\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^\perp$ (as \mathcal{Q}^\perp is a submodule), and hence $\varphi f \in \mathcal{Q}^\perp$. Equivalently, we have $P_{\mathcal{Q}}(\varphi f) = 0$. Finally, in view of $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}, \varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \theta_{\mathcal{Q}} f + \varphi f \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}(\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} f + \varphi f) \quad (\text{as } f \in \mathcal{Q}) \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}(\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} f) + 0 \\ &= (P_{\mathcal{Q}}(P_{\mathcal{Q}}1)|_{\mathcal{Q}})f, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $S_{\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}}f = f$, and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We are now ready for the weak lifting result. It essentially says that a module map on a finite-dimensional zero-based quotient module always has a weak lift.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be m distinct points, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Then*

$$XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $X = S_\varphi$. Moreover, the function φ is given by

$$\varphi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}1) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n) \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Proof. The sufficient part is trivial. We prove the necessary part. For simplicity of notation, we set $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$ and suppose that $XS_{z_i} = S_{z_i}X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. As in Proposition 6.3, set $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} = P_{\mathcal{Q}}1$. Observe that $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, w) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$, implies that $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. In particular, $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. By Proposition 6.3, we have

$$S_{\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}} = I_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Since $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$, it follows that

$$\varphi := X\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}(\varphi\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}) \\ &= S_{\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}}\varphi \\ &= \varphi \\ &= X\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof is based on the standard property of the module maps. Indeed, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, since $XS_z^k = S_z^kX$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(P_{\mathcal{Q}}(z^k\theta_{\mathcal{Q}})) &= X(S_z^k\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}) \\ &= S_z^kX\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^k\varphi \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}}z^kS_\varphi\theta_{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &= S_\varphi(P_{\mathcal{Q}}(z^k\theta_{\mathcal{Q}})). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the fact that $X = S_\varphi$. The final assertion follows from the definition of $\theta_{\mathcal{Q}}$. \square

As already pointed out, the weak lifting does not touch the delicate structure of the Schur functions on \mathbb{D}^n , $n \geq 1$.

We are now ready for interpolation problem in the setting of Schur functions. Once again, given m distinct points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$, the corresponding m -dimensional zero-based quotient module $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where Lemma 6.2 characterizes the construction of module maps on $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as: A linear map X on $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a module map if and only if there exists $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$X^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = w_j\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j),$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Using this point of view, given interpolation nodes $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ and target data $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$, we consider the module map $X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}$ on the m -dimensional zero-based quotient module $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as

$$X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = \bar{w}_j\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j),$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. Finally, define

$$\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} = X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}(P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}1).$$

We recall the crucial fact that

$$\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n).$$

In view of Theorem 4.1, we furthermore recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)).$$

We claim that a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ interpolates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, that is

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

if and only if

$$S_\varphi = X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}.$$

Indeed, since $\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, it follows that $P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) = \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}T_\varphi^*\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) \\ &= \overline{\varphi(z_i)}\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. The definition of $X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}$ now supports the claim. Of course, φ is a lift of $X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}$. Then, by Theorem 4.1, it follows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ interpolates $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m$ if and only if

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}f \, d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}),$$

defines a contraction $\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This is a solution to the interpolation problem for Schur class functions over \mathbb{D}^n . However, we can say a little more about $\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}$. Indeed, Corollary 6.4 further says that

$$X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} = S_\varphi = S_{\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}}.$$

Since $\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, there exists scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$ such that

$$\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i).$$

The aim is to explicitly compute the coefficients of the above expansion. We employ both reproducing kernel Hilbert space methods and conventional linear algebra. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) &= S_{\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}}^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \\ &= \overline{\psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}(z_j)} \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j), \end{aligned}$$

where, on the other hand, $X_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = \bar{w}_j \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j)$. Therefore

$$w_j = \psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}(z_j),$$

and hence, by the reproducing property of kernel functions (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w_j &= \psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}(z_j) \\ &= \left\langle \psi_{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}}, \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i), \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \right\rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{S}(z_j, z_i), \end{aligned}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. In other words, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_m) \\ \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix},$$

equivalently

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_m) \\ \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_m) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above $m \times m$ matrix is nothing but the Gram matrix of the linearly independent kernel functions $\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$. The invertibility is now immediate. Recalling the definition of the Szegö kernel function

$$\mathbb{S}(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - z_i \bar{w}_i} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

we finally have the desired interpolation result for Schur functions:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be m distinct points (interpolation nodes), and let $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$ be m scalars (target data). Set*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if

$$\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_z} f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi_{z, \mathcal{W}} f d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_z}),$$

defines a contraction $\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_z} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_z}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\psi_{z, \mathcal{W}} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i),$$

and the scalar coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are given by the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_1, z_m) \\ \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_2, z_m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_1) & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_2) & \cdots & \mathbb{S}(z_m, z_m) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we turn to interpolation problem for subharmonic functions. Recall that integrable functions on \mathbb{T}^n can be extended harmonically to all of \mathbb{D}^n [45]. To be more specific, we recall that the *Poisson kernel* on \mathbb{D}^n is the function $P : \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$P(z, \zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - |z_i|^2}{|1 - z_i \bar{\zeta}_i|^2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^n).$$

For $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we consider the harmonic extension of f (and denote by f again) to \mathbb{D}^n as the Poisson integral of f . It follows that the harmonic extension of f on \mathbb{D}^n is given by

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} f(\zeta) P(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

We define the *Cauchy kernel* $C : \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$C(z, \zeta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - z_i \bar{\zeta}_i},$$

for all $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Since

$$\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z)\| = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - |z_i|^2}},$$

it follows that

$$P(z, \zeta) = \frac{|C(z, \zeta)|^2}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z)\|^2} \quad ((z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n).$$

For each fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$, we further define $k_z : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$k_z(\zeta) = \frac{C(z, \zeta)}{\|\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z)\|} \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{T}^n).$$

Clearly

$$\{k_z : z \in \mathbb{D}^n\} \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T}^n), \quad (6.1)$$

and for $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, the harmonic extension of φ is given by

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi(\zeta) k_z(\zeta) \overline{k_z(\zeta)} d\mu(\zeta) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n),$$

equivalently

$$\varphi(z) = \langle \varphi k_z, k_z \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

Finally, given a set of m distinct points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$, we define the m -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{|k_{z_i}|^2 : i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

In view of (6.1), it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

We are now ready for the interpolation result:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be a set of distinct points and let $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a set of scalars. There exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ such that*

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}} : (\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction, where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(|k_{z_i}|^2) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and suppose $\varphi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Assume that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$. Define $\chi : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\chi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi f d\mu,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then $\chi = \chi_\varphi|_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}}$ (see (4.1)), and hence by the norm estimate $\|\chi_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$, it follows that χ is a contraction on $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Also, by the harmonic extension property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(|k_{z_i}|^2) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi |k_{z_i}|^2 d\mu \\ &= \langle \varphi k_{z_i}, k_{z_i} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \varphi(z_i) \\ &= w_i, \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}} = \chi$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}}$ is a contraction on $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$. For the reverse direction, suppose that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}}$ is a contraction on $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \|\cdot\|_1)$. By Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}} f = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi f d\mu,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. In particular, for $f = |k_{z_i}|^2$, following the computation of the forward direction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}}(|k_{z_i}|^2) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi |k_{z_i}|^2 d\mu \\ &= \langle \varphi k_{z_i}, k_{z_i} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= \varphi(z_i), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Unfolding the contractivity condition in the above theorem, we immediately have the following:

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be a set of distinct points and let $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a set of scalars. There exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$ such that*

$$\varphi(z_i) = w_i,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, if and only if

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i w_i \right| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m c_i |k_{z_i}|^2 \right\|_1,$$

for all $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Except for some key ideas used in previous results, the proof for the subharmonic interpolation problem is fairly independent and uses less machinery. Actually, one may consider this to be an application of the Hahn-Banach theorem (of course, with the harmonic analysis tools and Banach space duality in mind).

7. RECOVERING SARASON'S LIFTING THEOREM

In this section, we explain how to recover Sarason's commutant lifting theorem from Theorem 4.1. This, in particular, yields yet another proof of Sarason's classical commutant lifting theorem. We will employ several tools (just like Sarason) that are commonly used and are valid only in one variable function theory. We start with the Beurling theorem [16]. Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T})$ be a closed subspace. Then \mathcal{Q} is a quotient module if and only if there exists an inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_\theta$, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta = H^2(\mathbb{T}) \ominus \theta H^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

Observe that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta \cong H^2(\mathbb{T}) / \theta H^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

In other words, quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ are all inner function based - a typical one variable phenomenon (see Rudin [45] for counterexamples in several variables). In the following, we prove a key result. We refer the reader to Section 2 for all the notions used here.

Lemma 7.1. *Let \mathcal{Q}_θ be the quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ corresponding to an inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \oplus zH^2(\mathbb{T}) = \overline{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta$. Then $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}}$, and hence, for each $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta \bar{g}, \bar{z}^m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} &= \overline{\langle \theta g, z^m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}} \\ &= \overline{\langle g, \theta z^m \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T})}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\theta z^m \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta^\perp$. This implies $\theta \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \subseteq zH^2(\mathbb{T})$ and hence $\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \subseteq \overline{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T}))$. Also, for all $h \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, since

$$zh = \overline{\theta}(\theta zh) = \overline{\theta}(z\theta h),$$

it follows that $zH^2(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \bar{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T}))$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \oplus zH^2(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \bar{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

For the reverse inclusion, first we observe that for $f \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta$ and $m \geq 1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\theta}zf, z^m \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= \langle zf, z\theta z^{m-1} \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \langle f, \theta z^{m-1} \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\bar{\theta}z\mathcal{Q}_\theta \perp zH^2(\mathbb{T})$, and hence $\bar{\theta}z\mathcal{Q}_\theta \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T})^{\text{conj}}$. On the other hand, we know

$$H^2(\mathbb{T})^{\text{conj}} = \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \oplus (\theta H^2(\mathbb{T}))^{\text{conj}}.$$

In view of this, for each $f \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta$ and $g \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$, we further compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\theta}zf, \bar{\theta}g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} &= \langle zf, \bar{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \langle f, \bar{z}\bar{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\bar{\theta}z\mathcal{Q}_\theta \perp (\theta H^2(\mathbb{T}))^{\text{conj}}$. As a result, $\bar{\theta}z\mathcal{Q}_\theta \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}}$. Finally

$$zH^2(\mathbb{T}) = z\mathcal{Q}_\theta \oplus z\theta H^2(\mathbb{T}),$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}zH^2(\mathbb{T}) &= \bar{\theta}z\mathcal{Q}_\theta + zH^2(\mathbb{T}) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} + zH^2(\mathbb{T}), \end{aligned}$$

and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now almost ready to prove Sarason's commutant lifting theorem. Just one more result is required with regard to Beurling decompositions and representations of polynomials as the sum of bounded analytic functions. Since this result holds true in several variables and is of independent interest, we prove it in the later part of this paper (see Proposition 8.8).

Theorem 7.2. *Contractive module maps on quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T})$ are liftable.*

Proof. Fix an inner function $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Since we are dealing with a one variable quotient module \mathcal{Q}_θ , in view of $\mathcal{M}_1 = \{0\}$ and $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}) = zH^2(\mathbb{T})$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = \mathcal{Q}_\theta^{\text{conj}} \oplus zH^2(\mathbb{T}),$$

and hence Lemma 7.1 yields a compact form of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} = \bar{\theta}(zH^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ and suppose $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}1)$. In view of the above and Theorem 4.1, it is enough to prove that

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}(\bar{\theta}f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta}f d\mu \quad (f \in zH^2(\mathbb{T})),$$

defines a contraction $X_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. To this end, fix $f \in zH^2(\mathbb{T})$. Then $f \in H^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $f(0) = 0$. There exists a sequence of polynomials $\{p_m\}_{m \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$ such

that $p_m(0) = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$, and $p_m \rightarrow f$ in $H^2(\mathbb{T})$. Using the contractive containment $H^2(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{T})$, we see that $p_m \rightarrow f$ in $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. It also follows that

$$\bar{\theta}p_m \rightarrow \bar{\theta}f \quad \text{in both } L^2(\mathbb{T}) \text{ and } L^1(\mathbb{T}). \quad (7.1)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} p_m d\mu \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} f d\mu \text{ and } \|\bar{\theta} p_m\|_1 \rightarrow \|\bar{\theta} f\|_1,$$

and hence it is enough to prove the following inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} p d\mu \right| \leq \|\bar{\theta} p\|_1,$$

where $p \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and $p(0) = 0$. Fix such a polynomial p . Consider the inner-outer factorization of p as

$$p = \eta h,$$

where η is an inner function and h is outer, and $\eta(0) = 0$. Since $p \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, it follows that $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Using the fact that $\sqrt{h} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T})$, we rewrite p as

$$p = (\eta\sqrt{h})\sqrt{h}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|p\|_1 = \|\sqrt{h}\|_2^2.$$

Moreover, we have a sequence of polynomials $\{q_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that

$$q_t \rightarrow \sqrt{h} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

As $\eta\sqrt{h} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\langle \psi, \overline{\theta q_t \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \psi, \overline{\theta \sqrt{h} \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle,$$

and then, rewriting $\sqrt{h} \eta \sqrt{h} = p$, we conclude that

$$\langle \psi, \overline{\theta q_t \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \psi, \overline{\theta p} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} p d\mu,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(\eta\sqrt{h})(0) = 0$, in view of Lemma 7.1, we have

$$\overline{\theta \eta \sqrt{h}} \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta \oplus \overline{zH^2(\mathbb{T})},$$

and consequently

$$\tilde{h} := P_{H^2(\mathbb{T})}(\overline{\theta \eta \sqrt{h}}) \in \mathcal{Q}_\theta.$$

Then, recalling $\psi = X(P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} 1)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, \overline{\theta q_t \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle &= \langle \psi q_t, \overline{\theta \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle \\ &= \langle P_{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \psi q_t, P_{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \overline{\theta \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle \\ &= \langle P_{H^2(\mathbb{T})} \psi q_t, \tilde{h} \rangle \\ &= \langle P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta} \psi q_t, \tilde{h} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We also observe, for a general polynomial $r \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, that

$$\begin{aligned} XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}r &= Xr(S_z)P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}1 \\ &= r(S_z)XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}1 \\ &= r(S_z)\psi, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}r = P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}r\psi$. It is important to note that (in view of Proposition 8.8)

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}r \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}).$$

Since $\{q_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$, we conclude

$$\langle \psi, \overline{\theta q_t \eta \sqrt{h}} \rangle = \langle XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}q_t, \tilde{h} \rangle,$$

and hence

$$\left| \langle XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}q_t, \tilde{h} \rangle \right| \longrightarrow \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} p d\mu \right|,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But, $\|X\| \leq 1$, and $\|\tilde{h}\| \leq \|\sqrt{h}\|$, and hence

$$\left| \langle XP_{\mathcal{Q}_\theta}q_t, \tilde{h} \rangle \right| \leq \|q_t\|_2 \|\sqrt{h}\|_2.$$

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|q_t\|_2 \|\sqrt{h}\|_2 \rightarrow \|\sqrt{h}\|_2^2 = \|p\|_1 = \|\bar{\theta}p\|_1,$$

and hence

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \psi \bar{\theta} p d\mu \right| \leq \|\bar{\theta}p\|_1,$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

In addition to Lemma 7.1 and the Beurling theorem, inner-outer factorizations of functions in the Hardy space were employed in the above proof. The reader is once more reminded that these typical one variable tools were heavily utilized in Sarason's initial proof.

8. OTHER RESULTS

In this final section, we present a variety of results with varying flavours. We begin with a solution to the Carathóodory-Fejér interpolation problem on \mathbb{D}^n . From the perspective of Pick matrix positivity, the multivariable interpolation issues are discussed in the following subsection. The lifting theorem for the Bergman space over the polydisc is then compared, followed by a result concerning polynomial decompositions in light of Beurling-type quotient modules.

8.1. Carathóodory-Fejér interpolation. We use the notations that were introduced in Section 3. Recall that for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $H_t \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is the complex vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree t . Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the finite-dimensional quotient module \mathcal{Q}_m of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_m := \bigoplus_{t=0}^m H_t.$$

Fix a natural number m . Given $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, it follows that $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ if and only if $\deg p \leq m$. In the context of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$, the Carathóodory-Fejér interpolation problem asks

the following (which is more appropriate for the case of $n > 1$, see [15, page 670]): Given a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, when does there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that

$$p \oplus f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)?$$

We need a classification of Carathóodory-Fejér interpolation problem in terms of the commutant lifting.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$. There exists $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that $p \oplus f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ if and only if S_p is a contraction and admits a lift.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that

$$\varphi := p \oplus f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n).$$

For each $q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\varphi q &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} T_\varphi q \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (p \oplus f) q \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (pq) + P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (fq). \end{aligned}$$

But, \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp is a submodule and q is a polynomial. This implies $fq \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$, and consequently

$$S_\varphi q = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (pq),$$

and hence $S_\varphi q = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (pq)$. On the other hand, $q \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ and

$$p \in \mathcal{Q}_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$$

yield

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} (pq) = P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} T_p|_{\mathcal{Q}_m} q = S_p q,$$

which proves that $S_\varphi = S_p$. The contractivity of S_p also follows from the same of S_φ (recall that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$).

For the reverse direction, suppose $S_p \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q}_m)$ admits a lift. Then there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $S_p = S_\varphi$. Using $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p &= S_p 1 \\ &= S_\varphi 1 \\ &= P_{\mathcal{Q}_m} \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

and hence there exists $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that $\varphi = p \oplus f$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We are now ready for the solution to the Carathóodory-Fejér interpolation problem on \mathbb{D}^n . We will apply our commutant lifting theorem to the above. In view of Theorem 4.1, we set

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m} = \mathcal{Q}_m^{\text{conj}} \dot{+} (\mathcal{M}_n \dot{+} H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_n = L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ominus (H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)^{\text{conj}} + H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)),$$

and $H_0^2(\mathbb{T}^n) = \{f \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) : f(0) = 0\}$.

Corollary 8.2. *Given $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{Q}_m^\perp$ such that $p \oplus f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ if and only if $\chi : (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a contraction, where*

$$\chi(g) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} pg \, d\mu \quad (g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 and the preceding proposition, the assertion is equivalent to the contractivity of the functional $\chi_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}}$ on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}, \|\cdot\|_1)$, where

$$\chi_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}} g = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi g d\mu \quad (g \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}),$$

where $\psi = S_p(P_{\mathcal{Q}_m}1)$. However, $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ implies $P_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(1) = 1$, and $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ implies $S_p(1) = p$. Therefore, $\chi_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}} = \chi$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}$. This completes the proof of the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem. \square

We also refer the reader to Eschmeier, Patton and Putinar [27], and Woerdeman [51] for the Carathéodory interpolation problem in the context of Agler-Herglotz class functions and Agler-Herglotz-Nevalinna formula on the polydisc. Also see the paper by Kalyuzhnyi-Verbovetskii [36].

8.2. Weak interpolation. Given $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, we define the $m \times m$ Pick matrix $P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W})$ as

$$P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) = \left((1 - w_i \bar{w}_j) \mathbb{S}(z_i, z_j) \right)_{i,j=1}^m.$$

Definition 8.3. A set of points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ is said to be a Pick set if for $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $\varphi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, whenever

$$P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) \geq 0.$$

This definition is in view of the classical Pick positivity and the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on \mathbb{D} . Recall that a matrix $(a_{ij})_{m \times m}$ is said to be positive semi-definite (in short $(a_{ij})_{m \times m} \geq 0$) if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\alpha}_i \alpha_j a_{ij} \geq 0,$$

for all scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. We need another definition along the lines of Sarason's commutant lifting theorem:

Definition 8.4. A quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ satisfies the commutant lifting property if X is liftable for all $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$.

In other words, for a module map $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{W})$, there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty = \|X\|$ and $X = S_\varphi$. Now we use Sarason's trick to prove the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation but in the setting of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ for any $n \geq 1$. Of course, the proof concept is standard, likely known to experts, and follows in Sarason's footsteps.

Proposition 8.5. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be m distinct points. Then \mathcal{Z} is a Pick set if and only if $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ satisfies the commutant lifting property, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Proof. We begin with a simple observation. Given $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, we define $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ by (note that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space)

$$X \mathbb{S}_{z_i} = \bar{w}_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

It follows, by Lemma 6.2, that X^* is a module map. Moreover, we have [7, page 60]

$$\left\langle (I_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}} - X^*X) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \right), \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) \right) \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_j \bar{\alpha}_i (1 - w_i \bar{w}_j) \mathbb{S}(z_i, z_j),$$

for all scalars $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$. It follows that X is a contraction if and only if

$$P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) \geq 0.$$

Now suppose that \mathcal{Z} is a Pick set, and suppose $Y \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is a module map. We claim that Y has a lift. If we define $X := Y^*$, then we are precisely in the setting of the above discussion. The contractivity of X (as $\|Y^*\| \leq 1$) then implies that the Pick matrix is positive, that is, $P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) \geq 0$. There exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that $\varphi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$Y^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) = T_{\varphi}^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

and we conclude that $Y^* = T_{\varphi}^*|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}}$, or equivalently, $Y = S_{\varphi}$.

To show the converse, assume that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ satisfies the commutant lifting property. Suppose $\mathcal{W} = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}$, and let $P(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{W}) \geq 0$. Then X , as defined at the beginning of the proof, is a contraction, and hence $X = S_{\varphi}$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. It is now routine to check that $\varphi(z_i) = w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. \square

The classical Nevanlinna Pick interpolation theorem now follows directly from Sarason's lifting theorem in the case of $n = 1$. The above formulation also works verbatim the same way as for multiplier spaces for general reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces over domains in \mathbb{C}^n (including the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n).

In view of the above proposition, we conclude that the solution to the interpolation problem in terms of Pick positivity is simply equivalent to the commutant lifting problem for quotient modules of the form $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ for finite subsets $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{D}^n$. Again, this is true for general multiplier spaces.

8.3. Bergman space and lifting. Although all of the observations in this subsection hold true for weighted Bergman spaces (even for a large class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces) over \mathbb{D}^n along with verbatim proofs, we will stick to the Bergman space only. Denote by $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ the Bergman space over \mathbb{D}^n . Recall that an analytic function f on \mathbb{D}^n is in $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ if and only if

$$\|f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)|^2 d\sigma(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where $d\sigma(z)$ denotes the normalized volume measure on \mathbb{D}^n . We know that $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the Bergman kernel

$$K(z, w) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - z_i \bar{w}_i)^2} \quad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}^n).$$

Recall that the multipliers space of $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is again $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n)$, which for simplicity of notation (or, to avoid confusion), we denote by $\mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)) = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}^n),$$

and for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$, the map $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \mapsto \varphi f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ defines a multiplication operator on $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, which we denote by M_{φ} .

Let $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be a quotient module (that is, \mathcal{Q} is closed and $M_{z_i}^* \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$). For each $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$, set

$$B_\varphi = P_{\mathcal{Q}} M_\varphi|_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Suppose $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q})$ is a module map, that is, $X B_{z_i} = B_{z_i} X$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that X is *liftable* or X has a *lift* if there exists $\varphi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n) = \mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$ such that

$$X = B_\varphi,$$

and

$$\|B_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))} \leq 1. \quad (8.1)$$

We are interested in the commutant lifting for certain finite-dimensional quotient modules of $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$. For a set of distinct points $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$, we define (following Section 6) the m -dimensional zero-based quotient module $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}} \subseteq A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ as

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{K(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\} \subseteq A^2(\mathbb{D}^n).$$

At the same time, keep in mind that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is also a zero-based quotient module of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (again, see Section 6), where

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \text{span}\{\mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) : i = 1, \dots, m\} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

Note that module maps on $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are parameterized by m scalars. To be more precise, let $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Then X is a module map if and only if there exists $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$X^* \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i) = w_i \mathbb{S}(\cdot, z_i),$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. This was observed in Lemma 6.2. The same conclusion and proof apply to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ defined as above. Therefore, a module map $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is associated with $w = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, which further defines a module map $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ as

$$\tilde{X}^* K(\cdot, z_i) = w_i K(\cdot, z_i),$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Evidently, $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \leftrightarrow \tilde{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is a bijective correspondence.

In the case of $n = 1$, the problem of commutant lifting for quotient module $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $A^2(\mathbb{D})$ was studied in the thesis of Sultanic [50]. While she was focused on finite-dimensional quotient modules of $A^2(\mathbb{D})$, but the zero-based quotient modules played the most crucial role. Here we aim at proving the following proposition:

Proposition 8.6. *Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{D}^n$ be a set of distinct points, and let $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ be a module map. Then X on $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is liftable if and only if \tilde{X} on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is liftable.*

Proof. We start by stating a general (and well known) observation: For a multiplier φ in $\mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))$, the operator norm (or multiplier norm) is given by

$$\|M_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))} = \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Indeed, for $f \in A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi f\|_{A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |\varphi f|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 |f|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\|M_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))} \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$. On the other hand, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(w) &= \frac{1}{\|K(\cdot, w)\|^2} \langle K(\cdot, w), \overline{\varphi(w)} K(\cdot, w) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\|K(\cdot, w)\|^2} \langle K(\cdot, w), T_\varphi^* K(\cdot, w) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle T_\varphi \left(\frac{K(\cdot, w)}{\|K(\cdot, w)\|} \right), \frac{K(\cdot, w)}{\|K(\cdot, w)\|} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

implies that $|\varphi(w)| \leq \|M_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))}$, and completes the proof of the claim. Now, suppose that \tilde{X} on \mathcal{B}_Z is liftable, that is $\tilde{X} = B_\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)) = H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$ with $\|M_\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}(A^2(\mathbb{D}^n))} \leq 1$. In view of the above observation, we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$. Suppose $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the scalars corresponding to \tilde{X} , that is

$$\tilde{X}^* K(\cdot, z_i) = w_i K(\cdot, z_i),$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. This and the equality $\tilde{X} = B_\varphi$ imply that

$$\varphi(z_i) = \bar{w}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

and hence $X^* = S_\varphi^*$. Therefore, $X = S_\varphi$, and hence φ is a lift of X . Proof of the reverse direction is similar. \square

In other words, the lifting problem on zero-based quotient modules of $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ is equivalent to the lifting problem on zero-based quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In the case $n = 1$, for a module map $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{B}_Z)$, if $\|X\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q}_Z)} \leq 1$, then \tilde{X} can be lifted (thanks to Sarason). On the other hand, if $X \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{Q}_Z)$ is a module map, then automatically $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{B}_Z)$, and hence X has a lift.

8.4. Example verification. We aim at validating the examples in Section 3 using our commutant lifting theorem. We need a lemma.

Lemma 8.7. *Let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then $\|h\|_1 = \|h\|_2 = 1$ if and only if h is inner.*

Proof. Suppose $\|h\|_1 = \|h\|_2 = 1$. In particular, $h \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^n) \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, there exists $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$ (as $\|h\|_1 = 1$) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h \varphi d\mu = \|h\|_1 = 1.$$

In the above, we are again using the duality $(L^1(\mathbb{T}^n))^* \cong L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We claim that φ is unimodular. Indeed, if

$$|\varphi| < 1 \text{ on } A,$$

for some measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\mu(A) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h\varphi d\mu \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{A^c} h\varphi d\mu \right| + \left| \int_A h\varphi d\mu \right| \\
&\leq \int_{A^c} |h||\varphi| d\mu + \int_A |h||\varphi| d\mu \\
&< \int_{A^c} |h| d\mu + \int_A |h| d\mu \\
&= \|h\|_1,
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $1 < \|h\|_1$, a contradiction. Using that $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^n) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, we find a scalar c and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that

$$\varphi = c\bar{h} \oplus g.$$

Observe that $\langle \bar{h}, g \rangle = \langle h, \bar{g} \rangle = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h\varphi d\mu \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} h(c\bar{h} \oplus g) d\mu \\
&= \langle h, c\bar{h} \oplus \bar{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\
&= c,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, $\varphi = \bar{h} \oplus g$. Then

$$1 + \|g\|_2^2 = \|h\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2 = \|\varphi\|_2^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty = 1,$$

implies that $g = 0$, and hence $\varphi = \bar{h}$. Finally, since the Toeplitz operator $T_\varphi = T_{\bar{h}}$ is norm attaining and attains its norm at 1, it follows that h is inner (see [24, Corollary 2.3]). The converse simply follows from the integral representation of norms on $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and the fact that $|h| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T}^n . \square

Now we turn to Section 3. More specifically, we follow the setting of Corollary 3.3: For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the homogeneous quotient module

$$\mathcal{Q}_m = \bigoplus_{t=0}^m H_t,$$

a homogeneous polynomial $p \in \mathcal{Q}_m$ as

$$p = \sum_{|k|=m} a_k z^k,$$

with $\|p\|_2 = 1$, and assume that $a_k, a_l \neq 0$ for some $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. We know, by Theorem 4.1, that S_p is liftable if and only if

$$X_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \psi f d\mu \quad (f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}),$$

defines a contraction on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}, \|\cdot\|_1)$, where $\psi = S_p(P_{\mathcal{Q}_m}1)$. Since 1 and p are in \mathcal{Q}_m , it follows that $\psi = p$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\mathcal{Q}_m}(\bar{p}) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \bar{p}\psi d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} |p|^2 d\mu \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\|\bar{p}\|_1 = \|p\|_1 < 1.$$

Indeed, since $a_k, a_l \neq 0$, Lemma 3.1 ensures that p is not inner. This, together with the fact that $\|p\|_2 = 1$ and Lemma 8.7 completes the proof of the claim. Therefore, $X_{\mathcal{Q}_m}$ on $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_m}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is not a contraction, and hence S_p is not liftable. As a result, we can recover Corollary 3.3 using Theorem 4.1.

8.5. Decompositions of polynomials. We conclude this paper with an observation that is related to Beurling-type quotient modules of $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This result has already been used ($n = 1$ case) to recover Sarason's commutant lifting theorem (see Theorem 7.2).

A quotient module $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is said to be of *Beurling type* if there exist an inner function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ such that

$$\mathcal{Q} = (\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp.$$

Recall that all one variable quotient modules are of Beurling type [16].

Proposition 8.8. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ be an inner function, and let $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Consider the decomposition*

$$p = f \oplus g \in \varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \oplus (\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp.$$

Then $f, g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n)$. It is also enough to consider p as a monomial. Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and suppose

$$z^k = f \oplus g \in \varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \oplus (\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp.$$

Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and suppose $l > k$, that is, $l_i > k_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $T_z^{*l}(z^k) = 0$, it follows that

$$T_z^{*l}f = -T_z^{*l}g.$$

Since g is in the quotient module $(\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp$, we have that

$$T_z^{*l}f \in (\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp.$$

Now there exists $f_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ such that $f = \varphi f_1$. Consequently

$$T_z^{*l}f = T_z^{*l}\varphi f_1 \in (\varphi H^2(\mathbb{T}^n))^\perp,$$

and hence

$$\langle T_z^{*l}\varphi f_1, \varphi h \rangle = 0,$$

for all $h \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Then, $T_\varphi^* T_z^{*l} = T_z^{*l} T_\varphi^*$ and $T_\varphi^* T_\varphi = I$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_z^{*l}f_1, h \rangle &= \langle T_z^{*l}\varphi f_1, \varphi h \rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore

$$f_1 \in \text{span}\{z^p : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, p \leq l\},$$

and hence

$$f \in \text{span}\{z^p \varphi : p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, p \leq l\} \subseteq H^\infty(\mathbb{D}^n).$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

A similar question could be posed for other classes of functions. What about the decomposition of a rational function with respect to a Beurling decomposition, for example? We will not go into further detail because this is not directly related to the main theme of this paper.

8.6. Concluding remarks. We already mentioned in Section 1 that the traditional approach to solving the interpolation problem in terms of the positivity of the Pick matrix (or family of Pick matrices) in higher variables produces only limited results. We hope that Theorem 6.5 offers a fresh look at the interpolation problem in general.

However, there is one exception: interpolation on the bidisc, which Agler pioneered in his seminal papers in the late 80's (see [5, 6]). In this case, the Ando dilation and von Neumann inequality [11] is used to solve the interpolation problem. Ball, Li, Timotin, and Trent refined this further using their commutant lifting theorem [14] (also see [13]). The powerful n -variables von Neumann inequality (which is automatic in the case of $n = 2$ but not so when $n > 2$), like the Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş [39] influential dilation theoretic approach, suppresses many function theoretic dilemmas in higher variables (like $n > 2$). Even for $n = 2$, the known commutant lifting theorem [13] and subsequent interpolation results [5, 6, 9] are rather abstract. And, see Kosiński [37] on three-point Nevanlinna–Pick problem in the polydisc, and Cotlar and Sadosky [20, 21, 22] for more two variables results along the lines of interpolation, Nehari theorem, and lifting problem. However, as previously stated, we followed a complete function theoretic route pioneered by Sarason in his work [48].

Acknowledgement: The research of the second named author is supported in part by the Core Research Grant (CRG/2019/000908), and TARE (TAR/2022/000063) by SERB, Department of Science & Technology (DST), Government of India.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abrahamse, *The Pick interpolation theorem for finitely connected domains*, Michigan Math. J. 26 (1979), 195–203.
- [2] V. Adamyan, D. Arov, and M. Krein, *Analytic properties of the Schmidt pairs of a Hankel operator and the generalized Schur-Takagi problem*, Mat. Sb. 86 (128), 34–75 (1971).
- [3] V. Adamyan, D. Arov, and M. Krein, *Infinite Hankel block matrices and related problems of extension*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Ser. Mat. 6 (1971), 87–112.
- [4] V. Adamyan, D. Arov, and M. Krein, *Infinite Hankel matrices and generalized problems of Carathéodory-Fejér and F. Riesz*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 2 (1968), 1–19.
- [5] J. Agler, *On the representation of certain holomorphic functions defined on a polydisk*, in Topics in Operator Theory: Ernst D. Hellinger Memorial Volume (L. de Branges, I. Gohberg, and J. Rovnyak, Eds.), Operator Theory and Applications, Vol. 48, pp. 47–66, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [6] J. Agler, *Interpolation*, unpublished manuscript (1988).
- [7] J. Agler and J. McCarthy, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, Grad. Stud. Math., 44. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

- [8] J. Agler and J. McCarthy, *Distinguished varieties*, Acta Math. 194 (2005), 133–153.
- [9] J. Agler and J. McCarthy, *Nevanlinna–Pick interpolation on the bidisk*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 506 (1999), 191–204.
- [10] J. Agler and N.J. Young, *A converse to a theorem of Adamyan, Arov and Krein*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 305–333.
- [11] T. Ando, *On a pair of commutative contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 24 (1963), 88–90.
- [12] R. Arocena, *Unitary extensions of isometries and contractive intertwining dilations*, The Gohberg anniversary collection, Vol. II (Calgary, AB, 1988), 13–23, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 41, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.
- [13] J. Ball and T. Trent, *Unitary colligations, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, and Nevanlinna–Pick interpolation in several variables*, J. Funct. Anal. 157 (1998), 1–61.
- [14] J. Ball, W.S. Li, D. Timotin and T. Trent, *A commutant lifting theorem on the polydisc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 653–675.
- [15] S. Barik, B. Das, K. Haria, and J. Sarkar, *Isometric dilations and von Neumann inequality for a class of tuples in the polydisc*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 1429–1450.
- [16] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math., 81 (1949), 239–255.
- [17] A. Brown and P. Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 213 (1963–64), 89–102.
- [18] S.Y.A. Chang and R. Fefferman, *A continuous version of duality of H^1 with BMO on the bidisk*, Ann. of Math. (2) 112 (1980), 179–201.
- [19] R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) 103 (1976), 611–635.
- [20] M. Cotlar and C. Sadosky, *Nehari and Nevanlinna–Pick problems and holomorphic extensions in the polydisc in terms of restricted BMO*, J. Funct. Anal. 124 (1994), 205–210.
- [21] M. Cotlar and C. Sadosky, *Transference of metrics induced by unitary couplings, a Sarason theorem for the bidimensional torus, and a Sz.-Nagy-Foias theorem for two pairs of dilations*, J. Funct. Anal. 111 (1993), 473–488.
- [22] M. Cotlar and C. Sadosky, *Lifting properties, Nehari theorem and Paley lacunary inequality*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 2 (1986), 55–71.
- [23] B. Das and J. Sarkar, *Ando dilations, von Neumann inequality, and distinguished varieties*, J. Funct. Anal. 272 (2017), 2114–2131.
- [24] Deepak K. D., D. Pradhan and J. Sarkar, *Partially isometric Toeplitz operators on the polydisc*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 54 (2022), 1350–1362.
- [25] M. Dritschel, S. Marcantognini and S. McCullough, *Interpolation in semigroupoid algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 606 (2007), 1–40.
- [26] R. Douglas, P. Muhly and C. Pearcy, *Lifting commuting operators*, Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 385–395.
- [27] J. Eschmeier, L. Patton and M. Putinar, *Carathéodory–Fejér interpolation on polydisks*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), 25–34.
- [28] S. Ferguson and C. Sadosky, *Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation on the polydisc in terms of Hankel operators and Carleson measures*, J. Anal. Math. 81 (2000), 239–267.
- [29] C. Foias, A. Frazho, I. Gohberg and M. Kaashoek, *Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 100. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [30] C. Foias and A. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 44. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [31] J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [32] A. Grinshpan, D. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, V. Vinnikov and H. Woerdeman, *Classes of tuples of commuting contractions satisfying the multivariable von Neumann inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 3035–3054.
- [33] P. Halmos, *Normal dilations and extensions of operators*, Summa Brasil. Math. 2 (1950), 125–134.
- [34] R. Hamilton, *Pick interpolation in several variables*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 2097–2103.

- [35] J. W. Helton, *Operator theory, analytic functions, matrices and electrical engineering*, CBMS Regional Conference Series No. 68, AMS, Providence 1987.
- [36] D. Kalyuzhnyi-Verbovetskii, Carathéodory interpolation on the non-commutative polydisk, *J. Funct. Anal.* 229 (2005) 241–276.
- [37] L. Kosiński, *Three-point Nevanlinna–Pick problem in the polydisc*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 111 (2015), 887–910.
- [38] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 15 (1953), 87–92.
- [39] B.Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Dilatation des commutants d'opérateurs*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie A* 266 (1968), 493–495.
- [40] R. Nevanlinna, *Über beschränkte Funktionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschriebene Werte annehmen*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.* 13 (1919), 1–72.
- [41] Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 65 (1957), 153–162.
- [42] N. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 1. Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz. Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [43] G. Pick, *Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Funktionen, welche durch vorgegebene Funktionswerte bewirkt werden*, *Math. Ann.* 77 (1916), 7–23.
- [44] R. Rochberg, *Higher-order Hankel forms and commutators*, *Holomorphic spaces (Berkeley, CA, 1995)*, 155–178, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, 33, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [45] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York–Amsterdam, 1969.
- [46] D. Sarason, *Algebraic properties of truncated Toeplitz operators*, *Oper. Matrices* 1 (2007), 491–526.
- [47] D. Sarason, *New Hilbert spaces from old*, *Paul Halmos Celebrating 50 Years of Mathematics*, edited by J. H. Ewing and F. W. Gehring, Springer, New York, 1991, pp. 195–204.
- [48] D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in H^∞* , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1967), 179–203.
- [49] J. Sarkar, *Submodules of the Hardy module over polydisc*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 205 (2015), 317–336.
- [50] S. Sultanic, *Commutant lifting theorem for the Bergman space*, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Washington University in St. Louis. 2005. 102 pp. ISBN: 978-0542-34513-5.
- [51] H. Woerdeman, *Positive Carathéodory interpolation on the polydisc*, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 42 (2002), no. 2, 229–242.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, 8TH MILE, MYSORE ROAD,
BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA

Email address: dpk.dkd@gmail.com

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS UNIT, 8TH MILE, MYSORE ROAD,
BANGALORE, 560059, INDIA

Email address: jay@isibang.ac.in, jaydeb@gmail.com