

ON ALGEBRAIC AND NON-ALGEBRAIC NEIGHBORHOODS OF RATIONAL CURVES

SERGE LVOVSKI

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any $d > 0$ there exists an embedding of the Riemann sphere \mathbb{P}^1 in a smooth complex surface, with self-intersection d , such that the germ of this embedding cannot be extended to an embedding in an algebraic surface but the field of germs of meromorphic functions along C has transcendence degree 2 over \mathbb{C} . We give two different constructions of such neighborhoods, either as blowdowns of a neighborhood of the smooth plane conic, or as ramified coverings of a neighborhood of a hyperplane section of a surface of minimal degree.

The proofs of non-algebraicity of these neighborhoods are based on a classification, up to isomorphism, of algebraic germs of embeddings of \mathbb{P}^1 , which is also obtained in the paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study germs of embeddings of the Riemann sphere aka \mathbb{P}^1 in smooth complex surfaces (see precise definitions in Section 1.1 below). The structure of such germs for which the degree of the normal bundle, which is equal to the self-intersection index of the curve is question, is non-positive, is well known and simple (see [6] for the negative degree case and [10] for the zero degree case). Germs of embeddings (C, U) , where $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, U is a smooth complex surface, and $(C \cdot C) > 0$, are way more diverse.

Let us say that a germ of neighborhood of $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is algebraic if it is isomorphic to the germ of embedding of C in a smooth algebraic surface. M. Mishustin, in his paper [9], showed that the space of isomorphism classes of germs of embeddings of $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $(C \cdot C) > 0$, in smooth surfaces, is infinite-dimensional, so one would expect that “most” germs of such embeddings are not algebraic. (It is well known that if $(C \cdot C) = d \leq 0$, then for any such d there exists only one germ up to isomorphism, and these germs are algebraic.) In this paper we will construct explicit examples of non-algebraic germs of embeddings of \mathbb{P}^1 .

An interesting series of such examples was constructed in a recent paper by M. Falla Luza and F. Loray [4]. For each $d > 0$, they construct an embedding

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H99, 14J26.

Key words and phrases. Neighborhoods of rational curves, surfaces of minimal degree, blowup.

This study was partially supported by the HSE University Basic Research Program and by SRISA research project FNEF-2022-0007 (Reg. No 1021060909180-7-1.2.1).

of $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a smooth surface such that $(C \cdot C) = d$ and the field of germs of meromorphic functions along C consists only of constants. For curves on an algebraic surface this is impossible, so the germs of neighborhoods constructed in [4] are examples of non-algebraic germs.

In Section 5.3 of the paper [5] the same authors give an example of a non-algebraic germ of neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 , with self-intersection 1, for which the field of germs of meromorphic functions is as big as possible: it has transcendence degree 2 over \mathbb{C} .

The aim of this paper is to construct two series of explicit examples of non-algebraic germs of neighborhoods of \mathbb{P}^1 for which the field of germs of meromorphic functions is as big as possible.

The first of these series is constructed in Section 4. Specifically, for any integer $m \geq 5$ we construct a non-algebraic germ of neighborhood (C, U) such that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $(C \cdot C) = m$, and one can blow up $m - 4$ points on C to obtain the germ of neighborhood of the conic in the plane. The field of germs of meromorphic functions along C has transcendence degree 2 over \mathbb{C} (Construction 4.10 and Proposition 4.11).

The second series of examples is constructed in Section 5. For any positive integer n we construct a non-algebraic germ of neighborhood (C, U) such that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $(C \cdot C) = n$, and the field of germs of meromorphic functions along C has transcendence degree 2 over \mathbb{C} , as a ramified two-sheeted covering of the germ of a neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 with self-intersection $2n$. This construction is a generalisation of that from [5, Section 5.3] (and coincides with the latter for $n = 1$), but the method of proof if non-algebraicity is different. See Construction 5.3 and Proposition 5.4.

I do not know whether the germs of neighborhoods constructed in Sections 4 and 5 are isomorphic.

The proofs of non-algebraicity of the neighborhoods constructed in Sections 4 and 5 is based on the classification of algebraic germs of neighborhoods of \mathbb{P}^1 . It turns out that any such germ with self-intersection $d > 0$ is isomorphic to the germ of a hyperplane section of a surface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+1} ; moreover, any isomorphism of germs of such embeddings (C_1, F_1) and (C_2, F_2) (where F_j , $j = 1, 2$, are surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+1} and C_j a hyperplane section of F_j) is induced by a linear isomorphism between the surfaces $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ (Propositions 3.3 and 3.4). The key role in the proofs is played by Lemma 3.6.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the properties of surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+1} . In Section 3 we obtain a classification of algebraic neighborhoods of \mathbb{P}^1 . Finally, in Sections 4 and 5 we construct two series of examples of non-algebraic neighborhoods.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Frank Loray and Grigory Merzon for useful discussions.

1.1. Notation and conventions. All algebraic varieties are varieties over \mathbb{C} . All topological terminology pertains to the classical (complex) topology.

Suppose we are given the pairs (C_1, S_1) and (C_2, S_2) , where C_j , $j = 1, 2$, are projective algebraic curves contained in smooth complex analytic surfaces S_j . We will say that these two pairs are *isomorphic as germs of neighborhoods* if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, where $C_1 \subset V_1 \subset S_1$, $C_2 \subset V_2 \subset S_2$, V_1 and V_2 are open, such that $\varphi(C_1) = C_2$. In this paper we are mostly concerned with germs of neighborhoods, but sometimes, abusing the language, we will write “neighborhood” instead of “germ of neighborhoods”; this should not lead to confusion.

If C is a projective algebraic curve on a smooth complex analytic surface S , then a *germ of holomorphic* (resp. *meromorphic*) *function along S* is an equivalence class of pairs (U, f) , where U is a neighborhood of C in S , f is a holomorphic (resp. meromorphic) function on U , and $(U_1, f_1) \sim (U_2, f_2)$ if there exists a neighborhood $V \supset C$, $V \subset U_1 \cap U_2$, on which f_1 and f_2 agree. Germs of holomorphic (resp. meromorphic) functions along $C \subset S$ form a ring (resp. a field). The field of germs of meromorphic functions along $C \subset S$ will be denoted, following the paper [4], by $\mathcal{M}(S, C)$. According to [1], one has $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(S, C) \leq 2$ (here and below, $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}}$ means “transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} ”).

A germ of neighborhood of a projective curve C will be called *algebraic* if it is isomorphic, as a germ, to the germ of neighborhood of C in X , where $X \supset C$ is a smooth projective algebraic surface; since desingularization of algebraic surfaces over \mathbb{C} exists, one may as well say that a germ of neighborhood of C is algebraic if it is isomorphic to the germ of a neighborhood $C \subset X$, where X is an arbitrary smooth algebraic surface, not necessarily projective. In this case, $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(X, C) = 2$ since this field contains the field of rational functions on X .

Remark 1.1. A germ of neighborhood of a projective curve C with positive self-intersection is algebraic if and only if it is isomorphic to the germ of embedding of C into a compact complex surface. Indeed, any smooth complex surface containing a projective curve with positive self-intersection, can be embedded in \mathbb{P}^N for some N (see [2, Chapter IV, Theorem 6.2]).

A projective subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is called *non-degenerate* if it does not lie in a hyperplane, and *linearly normal* if the natural homomorphism from $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1))$ to $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is surjective. If X is non-degenerate, the latter condition holds if and only if X is not an isomorphic projection of a non-degenerate subvariety of \mathbb{P}^{N+1} .

Non-degenerate projective subvarieties $X_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_1}$ and $X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_2}$ will be called *projectively isomorphic* if there exists a linear isomorphism $f: \mathbb{P}^{N_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_2}$ such that $f(X_1) = X_2$ (in particular, it is implied in this definition that $N_1 = N_2$).

If C_1 and C_2 are two projective algebraic curves on a smooth complex surface, then $(C_1 \cdot C_2)$ is their intersection index.

The terms “vector bundle” and “locally free sheaf” will be used interchangeably.

If C is a smooth curve on a smooth complex surface X , then $\mathcal{N}_{X|C}$ is the normal bundle to C in X .

If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on an algebraic variety, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ (the projectivisation of \mathcal{E}) is the algebraic variety such that its points are lines in the fibers of \mathcal{E} .

If \mathcal{F} is a coherent sheaf on a complex space X , we will sometimes write $h^i(\mathcal{F})$ instead of $\dim H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$.

By definition, a projective surface X has *rational singularities* if $p_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = 0$ for some (hence, any) desingularization $p: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$.

If $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a dominant morphism of smooth projective algebraic surfaces, we will say that its *critical locus* is the set

$$R = f(\{x \in S: df_x \text{ is degenerate}\}) \subset T,$$

and that its *branch divisor* $B \subset T$ is the union of one-dimensional components of R .

2. RECAP ON SURFACES OF MINIMAL DEGREE

In this section we will recall, without proofs, some well-known results. Most of the details can be found in [3].

If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a non-degenerate irreducible projective variety, then

$$(1) \quad \deg X \geq \text{codim } X + 1,$$

and there exists a classification of the varieties for which the lower bound (1) is attained. We reproduce this classification for the case $\dim X = 2$.

Notation 2.1. For any integer $n \geq 0$, put $\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))$.

The surface \mathbb{F}_0 is just the quadric $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$; if $n > 0$, then the natural projection $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has a unique section $E \subset \mathbb{F}_n$ such that $(E \cdot E) = -n$. The section E will be called *the exceptional section* of \mathbb{F}_n . The divisor class group of \mathbb{F}_n is generated by the class e of the exceptional section and the class f of the fiber (if $n = 0$, we denote by e and f the classes of lines of two rulings on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$). One has

$$(2) \quad (e \cdot e) = -n, \quad (e \cdot f) = 1, \quad (f \cdot f) = 0.$$

If $r \geq 0$ is an integer and $(n, r) \neq (0, 0)$, then the complete linear system $|e + (n+r)f|$ on \mathbb{F}_n has no basepoints and defines a mapping $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1}$.

Notation 2.2. If n, r are non-negative integers and $(n, r) \neq (0, 0)$, then by $F_{n,r} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1}$ we will denote the image of the mapping $\mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1}$ defined by the complete linear system $|e + (n+r)f|$.

It follows from (2) that the variety $F_{n,r} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1}$ is a surface of degree $n + 2r$. If $r > 0$, the surface $F_{n,r}$ is smooth and isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_n . The surface $F_{n,0}$ with $n \geq 2$ is the cone over the normal rational curve of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n (this cone is obtained by contracting the exceptional section on \mathbb{F}_n), and the surface $F_{1,0}$ is just the plane; the surfaces $F_{n,0}$ are normal and, moreover, have rational singularities. If $n > 0$ and $r > 0$, then the exceptional section on $F_{n,r}$ is a rational curve of degree r . Finally, the surface $F_{0,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the smooth quadric.

Recall that the quadratic Veronese surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is the image of the mapping $v: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ defined by the formula

$$(3) \quad v: (x_0 : x_1 : x_2) \mapsto (x_0^2 : x_1^2 : x_2^2 : x_0x_1 : x_0x_2 : x_1x_2);$$

one has $\deg V = 4$. The mapping v induces an isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^2 to V ; hyperplane sections of V are images of conics in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Proposition 2.3. *If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a non-degenerate irreducible projective surface, then $\deg X \geq N - 1$ and the bound is attained if and only if either $X = F_{n,r}$, where $(n,r) \neq (0,0)$, or $X = V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, where V is the quadratic Veronese surface.*

If $(n,r) \neq (n',r')$, then the surfaces $F_{n,r}$ and $F_{n',r'}$ are *not* projectively isomorphic, and none of them is projectively isomorphic to the Veronese surface V . (Indeed, if $n \neq n'$ then $F_{n,r}$ and $F_{n',r'}$ are not isomorphic even as abstract varieties, and if $n = n'$ but $r \neq r'$ then their degrees are different; speaking of the Veronese surface, it does not contain lines while each $F_{n,r}$ is swept by lines.)

Suppose now that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$, $N \geq 2$, is a surface of minimal degree (i.e., of degree N) and $p \in F$ is a smooth point (i.e., either $X \neq F_{n,0}$ and p is arbitrary or $X = F_{n,0}$ and p is not the vertex of the cone). Let π_p denote the projection from \mathbb{P}^{N+1} to \mathbb{P}^N with the center p .

Proposition 2.4. *In the above setting, the projection π_p induces a birational mapping from X onto its image. If $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is (the closure of) the image of $\pi_p: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, then X' is also a surface of minimal degree.*

If $X = F_{n,r}$, where $n > 0$ and $r > 0$, then $X' = F_{n+1,r-1}$ if p lies on the exceptional section and $X' = F_{n-1,r}$ otherwise.

If $X = F_{0,r}$, $r > 0$, then $X' = F_{1,r-1}$.

If $X = F_{n,0}$, $n \geq 2$, then $X' = F_{n-1,0}$.

Finally, if $X = V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, then $X' = F_{1,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.

These projections for surfaces of minimal degree ≤ 6 are depicted in Figure 1. Observe that no arrow points at the surface V ; this fact will play a crucial role in the sequel.

The birational transformations induced by the projections from Proposition 2.4 can be described explicitly.

degree




FIGURE 1. Projections of surfaces of minimal degree

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that $F \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a surface of minimal degree, $p \in F$ is a non-singular point, and $\pi_p: F \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ is the projection from p . The projection π_p acts on the surface F as follows.*

- (1) *If $F = F_{n,r} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1}$, where $r > 1$, then the projection π_p blows up the point p and blows down the strict transform of the only line on F passing through p .*
- (2) *If $F = F_{n,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+3}$, where $n > 0$ (in this case the exceptional section of $F \cong \mathbb{F}_n$ is a line), then two cases are possible.*

If the point p does not lie on the exceptional section, then the projection π_p blows up the point p and blows down the strict transform of the only line passing through p , and the image of the projection is the surface $F_{n-1,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$. If, on the other hand, p lies on the exceptional section, then the projection π_p blows up the point p and blows down both the strict transform of the fiber passing through p and the strict transform of the exceptional section; in this latter case the image of the projection is the cone $F_{n+1,0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ (the strict transform of the exceptional section is blown down to the vertex of the cone).

- (3) *If $F = F_{0,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ (that is, if $F \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is the smooth quadric), then the projection π_p blows up the point p and blows down strict transforms*

of the two lines passing through p ; the image of the projection is, of course, just the plane.

- (4) If $F = F_{n,0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, where $n > 1$ (that is, if F is a cone over the rational normal curve of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n), then the projection π_p blows up the point p and blows down the strict transform of the generatrix of the cone passing through p ; the image of this projection is the cone $F_{n-1,0} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (if $n = 2$, this cone is just the plane).
- (5) Finally, if $F = V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is the Veronese surface, then the projection π_p just blows up the point p , and the image of this projection is $F_{1,1} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.

3. RATIONAL CURVES WITH POSITIVE SELF-INTERSECTION AND ALGEBRAIC GERMS

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective surface and $C \subset X$ is a curve isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . If $(C \cdot C) = m > 0$, then the complete linear system $|C|$ has no basepoints, $\dim |C| = m + 1$, the morphism $\varphi_{|C|}$ is a birational isomorphism between X and $\varphi(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$, and $\varphi(X)$ is a surface in \mathbb{P}^{m+1} of minimal degree m .*

Proof. Since the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{X|C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$, where $m > 0$, one has $h^0(\mathcal{N}_{X|C}) = m + 1$, $h^1(\mathcal{N}_{X|C}) = 0$, so the Hilbert scheme of the curve $C \subset X$ is smooth and has dimension $m + 1$ at the point corresponding to the curve C . A general curve from this $(m + 1)$ -dimensional family is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 ; since $m > 0$, through a general point $p \in X$ there passes a positive-dimensional family of rational curves. Therefore, the Albanese mapping of X is constant, whence $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Now it follows from the exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(C) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_C(C) \rightarrow 0$$

that the homomorphism $\alpha_*: H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(C))$ is surjective. Since the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_C(C)| = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)|$ has no basepoints, the linear system $|C|$ has no basepoints either, and it follows from (4) and the vanishing of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ that $\dim |C| = m + 1$. If $\varphi = \varphi_{|C|}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ and $Y = \varphi(X)$, then $\dim Y = 2$ and $\deg Y \cdot \deg \varphi = (C \cdot C) = m$. Since $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ is non-degenerate, one has $\deg Y \geq m$ (see (1)), whence $\deg Y = m$ and $\deg \varphi = 1$, so φ is birational onto its image. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If X is a projective surface with rational singularities and $C \subset X_{\text{sm}}$ is a curve that is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and such that $(C \cdot C) > 0$, then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = (C \cdot C) + 1$.*

Proof. Let \bar{X} be a desingularization of X . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we conclude that through a general point of \bar{X} there passes a positive-dimensional family of rational curves, whence $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) = 0$. Since the singularities of the surface X are rational, $H^1(\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}) \cong$

$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, so $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. Now the result follows from the exact sequence (4). \square

Proposition 3.1 implies the following characterisation of algebraic neighborhoods of rational curves.

Proposition 3.3. *If (C, U) is an algebraic neighborhood of the curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and if $(C \cdot C) = d > 0$, then the germ of this neighborhood is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a smooth hyperplane section in a surface of minimal degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+1} .*

Proof. Passing to desingularization, one may without loss of generality assume that the neighborhood in question is a neighborhood of a curve $C \subset X$, where $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, X is a smooth projective surface, and $(C \cdot C) = d > 0$. If $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a surface of minimal degree, is the birational morphism the existence of which is asserted by Proposition 3.1, then φ is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of C and $\varphi(C)$ is a hyperplane section of Y , whence the result. \square

Proposition 3.3 may be regarded as a generalization of Proposition 4.7 from [8], which asserts that any algebraic germ of neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 with self-intersection 1 is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a line in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Now we show that not only all germs of algebraic neighborhoods of \mathbb{P}^1 can be obtained from surfaces of minimal degree, but that their isomorphisms are induced by isomorphisms of surfaces of minimal degree.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that $X_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_1}$ and $X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_2}$ are linearly normal projective surfaces with rational singularities, $C_1 \subset X_1$ and $C_2 \subset X_2$ are their smooth hyperplane sections, and that C_1 and C_2 are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 .*

If there exist analytic neighborhoods $U_j \supset C_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and a holomorphic isomorphism $\varphi: U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ such that $\varphi(C_1) = C_2$, then φ extends to a projective isomorphism $\Phi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$.

To prove this proposition we need two lemmas. The first of them is well known.

Lemma 3.5. *If X is a projective surface with isolated singularities and $C \subset X_{\text{sm}}$ is an ample irreducible curve, then the ring of germs of holomorphic functions along C coincides with \mathbb{C} .*

Sketch of proof. This follows immediately from the fact that $H^0(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}) = \mathbb{C}$, where \hat{X} is the formal completion of X along C (see [7, Chapter V, Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 2.3]).

Here is a more elementary argument. Since C is an ample divisor in X , there exists an embedding of X in \mathbb{P}^N such that rC , for some $r > 0$, is a hyperplane section of X . Suppose that $U \supset C$, $U \subset X$ is a connected neighborhood of C . There exists a family of hyperplane sections $\{H_\alpha\}$, close to the one corresponding to rC , such that $H_\alpha \subset U$ for each α and $\bigcup_\alpha H_\alpha$

contains a non-empty open subset $V \subset U$. If f is a holomorphic function on U , then f is constant on each H_α ; since $H_\alpha \cap H_{\alpha'} \neq \emptyset$ for each α and α' , f is constant on the union of all the H_α 's, hence on V , hence on U . \square

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that X is a projective surface such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, $D \subset X_{\text{sm}}$ is an ample irreducible projective curve, and r is a positive integer. Then any germ of meromorphic function along C , with possibly a pole of order $\leq r$ along C and no other poles, is induced by a rational function on X with possibly a pole of order $\leq r$ along C and no other poles.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_C \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal sheaf of C ; put $\mathcal{I}_{rC} = \mathcal{I}_C^m$, $\mathcal{O}_{rC} = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}_C^m$, and

$$\mathcal{N}_{X|rC} = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_{rC}}(\mathcal{I}_{rC}/\mathcal{I}_{rC}^2, \mathcal{O}_{rC}) = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{I}_{rC}, \mathcal{O}_{rC}).$$

Identifying $\mathcal{O}_X(rC)$ with the sheaf of meromorphic functions having at worst a pole of order $\leq r$ along C , one has the exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(rC) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{N}_{X|rC} \rightarrow 0,$$

in which the homomorphism α has the form

$$g \mapsto (s \mapsto gs \text{ mod } \mathcal{I}_{rC}),$$

where g is a meromorphic function on an open subset of $V \subset X$, with at worst a pole of order $\leq r$ along C and s is a section of \mathcal{I}_{rC} over V . In particular, if $U \supset C$ is a neighborhood, then any section of $g \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(rC))$ induces a global section of $\mathcal{N}_{X|rC}$. Since $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, the homomorphism α from (5) induces a surjection on global sections, so there exists a section $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(rC))$ such that f and g induce the same global section of $\mathcal{N}_{X|rC}$. Hence, the meromorphic function $(f|_U) - g$ has no pole in U , so by virtue of Lemma 3.5 this function is equal to a constant c on a (possibly smaller) neighborhood of C . Thus, the germ of $f - c$ along C equals that of g . \square

Proof of Proposition 3.4. It follows from the hypothesis that $(C_1 \cdot C_1) = (C_2 \cdot C_2)$. If we denote these intersection indices by m , then Corollary 3.2 implies that $\dim |C_1| = \dim |C_2| = m + 1$. Let f_0, \dots, f_{m+1} be a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(C_1))$ (i.e., the basis of space of meromorphic functions on X_1 with at worst a simple pole along C_1), and similarly let (g_0, \dots, g_{m+1}) be a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_2}(C_2))$. Embed X_1 (resp. X_2) into \mathbb{P}^{m+1} with the linear system $|C_1|$ (resp. $|C_2|$), that is, with the mappings

$$x \mapsto (f_0(x) : \dots : f_{m+1}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto (g_0(y) : \dots : g_{m+1}(y)).$$

If γ_i , $0 \leq i \leq m+1$, is the germ along C_1 of the meromorphic function $g_i \circ \varphi$, then by virtue of Lemma 3.6, which we apply in the case $r = 1$, each γ_i is the germ along C_1 of a meromorphic function $h_i \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(C_1))$. If $h_i = \sum a_{ij} f_j$, then the matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$ defines a linear automorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ such that its restriction to a neighborhood of C_1 coincides with Φ . Hence, Φ maps X_1 isomorphically onto X_2 . \square

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that $F \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ (resp. $F' \subset \mathbb{P}^{m'}$) is a surface of minimal degree and C (resp. C') is its smooth hyperplane section. Then the germs of neighborhoods of C in F and of C' in F' are isomorphic if and only if there exists a linear isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m'}$ such that $\Phi(F) = F'$ and $\Phi(C) = C'$.*

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 3.4 if one takes into account that all surfaces of minimal degree have rational singularities. \square

Remark 3.8. We see that any algebraic neighborhood of $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ has one more discrete invariant, besides the self-intersection $d = (C \cdot C)$: if this neighborhood is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of the minimal surface $F_{n,r}$, where $d = n + 2r$, this is the integer $n \geq 0$ (and if the surface is not $F_{n,r}$ but the Veronese surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, we assign to our neighborhood the tag V instead). It should be noted however that, as a rule, the pair (d, n) does *not* determine the germ of neighborhood up to isomorphism. Indeed, the dimension of the group of automorphisms of the surface F_n is $n + 5$ if $n > 0$ and 6 if $n = 0$. In most cases this is less than the dimension of the space of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^{n+2r+1} , in which $F_{n,r}$ is embedded. On the other hand, linear automorphisms of $F_{n,0} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ act transitively on the set of smooth hyperplane sections of $F_{n,0}$, and ditto for $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Thus, tags $(n, 0)$ or V do determine an algebraic germ of neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 up to isomorphism.

4. BLOWUPS AND BLOWDOWNS

Suppose that a smooth projective curve C lies on a smooth complex analytic surface S and that $p \in C$. Let $\sigma: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup of S at p , and let $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{S}$ be the strict transform of C . It is clear that the germ of neighborhood $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{S}$ depends only on the germ of neighborhood $C \subset S$ and on the point $p \in C$.

Definition 4.1. In the above setting, the germ of neighborhood (\tilde{C}, \tilde{U}) will be called *the blowup* of the germ (C, U) at the point p .

For future reference we state the following obvious properties of blowups of neighborhoods.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the germ of neighborhoods (\tilde{C}, \tilde{U}) is a blowup of (C, U) . Then*

- (1) \tilde{C} is isomorphic to C ;
- (2) $(\tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{C}) = (C \cdot C) - 1$;
- (3) if (C, U) is algebraic then (\tilde{C}, \tilde{U}) is algebraic.

For algebraic neighborhoods of \mathbb{P}^1 the assertion (3) of Proposition 4.2 can be made more explicit.

Proposition 4.3. *If an algebraic germ (C, U) is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a hyperplane section of a surface of minimal degree $F \subset \mathbb{P}^N$,*

then the blowup of this germ at a point $p \in C$ is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a hyperplane section of the surface $F' \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ that is obtained from F by projection from the point p .

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 2.5. \square

Remark 4.4. Even though \mathbb{P}^1 is homogeneous, which means that its points are indistinguishable, germs of blowups of a given neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 at different points are not necessarily isomorphic. Indeed, suppose that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a smooth hyperplane section of the surface $F_{n,r}$, where $n > 0$ and $r > 0$. If $p \in C$ does not lie on the exceptional section $E \subset F_{n,r}$, then Proposition 2.4 implies that the blowup at p of the germ of neighborhood of C is isomorphic to a neighborhood of a hyperplane section of $F_{n-1,r}$, and if p does lie on E , Proposition 2.4 implies that the blowup in question is isomorphic to a neighborhood of a hyperplane section of $F_{n+1,r-1}$ (observe that $C \neq E$ and $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$, so points of both kinds are present). If the blowups at such points were isomorphic as germs of neighborhoods, then, by virtue of Proposition 3.4, this isomorphism would be induced by a linear isomorphism between $F_{n-1,r}$ and $F_{n+1,r-1}$, which does not exist.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that a curve $D \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is embedded in a surface U . If, blowing up $s > 0$ points of the germ of neighborhood (D, U) , one obtains a germ of neighborhood (C, W) that is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a non-degenerate conic in \mathbb{P}^2 , then the original germ (D, U) is not algebraic.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may and will assume that C is a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 and $W \supset C$ is an open subset of \mathbb{P}^2 . The Veronese mapping $v: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow V$ (see (3)) identifies \mathbb{P}^2 with the Veronese surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ with a smooth hyperplane section of V .

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the germ (D, U) is algebraic. Then Proposition 3.3 implies that this germ is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a hyperplane section of a surface of minimal degree $m = 4 + s > 4$. Hence, this surface is of the form $F_{n,r}$, where $n + 2r = m$ (see Proposition 2.3).

By construction, the germ of (C, W) can be obtained from the germ (D, U) by blowing up s points on D . Hence, by Proposition 4.3, the germ of (C, W) is isomorphic to the germ of a hyperplane section of a surface that can be obtained from $F_{n,r}$ by s consecutive projections. However, Proposition 2.4 shows that the resulting surface cannot be projectively isomorphic to $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ (cf. Figure 1). On the other hand, Proposition 3.4 implies that if germs of hyperplane sections of two surfaces of minimal degree are isomorphic then the surfaces are projectively isomorphic. This contradiction shows that the germ (D, U) is not algebraic. \square

Now we can construct our first series of non-algebraic examples.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a non-degenerate conic and s is a positive integer. Then there exist s lines $L_1, \dots, L_s \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ neighborhoods $W \supset C$, $W_j \supset L_j$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, in \mathbb{P}^2 having the following properties.*

- (1) *each L_j intersects the conic C at precisely two points, p_j and q_j ;*
- (2) *all the points p_1, \dots, p_s are distinct, all the points q_1, \dots, q_s are distinct, and $p_i \neq q_j$ for any i, j .*
- (3) *$W_j \cap W \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_s\} = \{p_j, q_j\}$ for each j .*
- (4) *The open subset $W_j \cap W$ has precisely two connected components $P_j \ni p_j$ and $Q_j \ni q_j$.*
- (5) *$P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$, $Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$, and $P_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset$ for any i, j .*

Proof. Only the assertions (3) and (4) deserve a sketch of proof. To justify them choose a Hermitian metric on \mathbb{P}^2 and let W be a small enough tubular neighborhood of C and W_1, \dots, W_s be small enough tubular neighborhoods of L_1, \dots, L_s . \square

The proof of the following lemma is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are Hausdorff topological spaces, $U_1 \subset X_1$ and $U_2 \subset X_2$ are open subsets, and X is the topological space obtained by gluing X_1 and X_2 by a homeomorphism between U_1 and U_2 .*

Then X is Hausdorff if and only if

$$\pi(\text{bd}(U_1)) \cap \text{pr}(\text{bd}(U_2)) = \emptyset,$$

where $\pi: X_1 \sqcup X_2 \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection and bd means “boundary”. \square

Construction 4.8. Suppose that $C, L_1, \dots, L_s, W_1, \dots, W_s$, and W are as in Lemma 4.6.

Put

$$U_0 = W \sqcup W_1 \cdots \sqcup W_s$$

(disjoint sum), and let $\pi_0: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the natural projection. Define the equivalence relation \sim on U_0 as follows: if $x, y \in U_0$ and $x \neq y$, then $x \sim y$ if and only if $\pi_0(x) = \pi_0(y) \in P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_s$.

Let U_1 be the quotient of U_0 by the equivalence relation \sim , and let $\pi_1: U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the natural projection.

Denote the images of $C \subset W$ and $L_j \subset W_j$ in U_1 by C_1 and L'_j .

Lemma 4.9. *In the above setting, U_1 is a Hausdorff and connected complex surface with respect and $\pi_1: U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a local holomorphic isomorphism.*

The curves C_1 and L'_j are isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and that $(L'_j \cdot L'_j) = (L_j \cdot L_j) = 1$, $(L'_j \cdot C_1) = 1$ for each j , and $(C_1 \cdot C_1) = (C \cdot C) = 4$.

Proof. If we put, in Lemma 4.7, $X_1 = W$, $X_2 = W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_s$, $U_1 = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_s \subset W$, $U_2 = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_s \subset W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_s$, then the hypothesis

of this lemma is satisfied if and only if, putting $P = P_1 \cup \cdots \cup P_s$ and $Q = Q_1 \cup \cdots \cup Q_s$, one has

$$(6) \quad ((\bar{P} \cap W) \setminus P) \cap (\bar{P} \cap (W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_s) \setminus P) = \emptyset.$$

The left-hand side of (6) is equal to

$$(\bar{P} \cap W \cap (W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_s)) \setminus P = (\bar{P} \setminus P) \cap (P \cup Q) \subset \bar{P} \cap Q,$$

which is empty by Lemma 4.6(5). Hence, U_1 is Hausdorff.

The rest is obvious. \square

Construction 4.10. In the above setting, for each j , $1 \leq j \leq s$, choose two different points $u_j, v_j \in L'_j$, different from the intersection point of L'_j and C_1 . Let U_2 be the blowup of the surface U_1 at the points $u_1, \dots, u_s, v_1, \dots, v_s$. If $\sigma: U_2 \rightarrow U_1$ is the natural morphism, put $C_2 = \sigma^{-1}(C_1) \subset U_2$; it is clear that σ is an isomorphism on a neighborhood of C_2 ; in particular, $C_2 \cong C_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $(C_2 \cdot C_2) = 4$.

For each j , let $\tilde{L}_j \subset U_2$ be the strict transform of L'_j with respect to the blowup $\sigma: U_2 \rightarrow U_1$. By construction, $\tilde{L}_j \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $(\tilde{L}_j \cdot \tilde{L}_j) = -1$ for each j , and the curves \tilde{L}_j are pairwise disjoint. Hence, one can blow down the curves $\tilde{L}_1, \dots, \tilde{L}_s$ to obtain a smooth complex surface U_3 and a curve $C_3 \subset U_3$, which is the image of C_2 ; one has $C_3 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $(C_3 \cdot C_3) = (C_2 \cdot C_2) + s = 4 + s > 4$.

Proposition 4.11. *If (C_3, U_3) is the germ of neighborhood from Construction 4.10, then this germ is not algebraic and $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(U_3, C_3) = 2$.*

Proof. It follows from the construction that the blowup of the germ of neighborhood of C_3 in U_3 at the s points to which $\tilde{L}_1, \dots, \tilde{L}_s$ were blown down, is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of C_2 in U_2 , which is isomorphic to the of neighborhood of the conic C in \mathbb{P}^2 . Now Proposition 4.5 implies that the germ (C_3, U_3) is not algebraic.

Since $\pi_1: U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a local isomorphism, the field of meromorphic functions on \mathbb{P}^2 , which is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$ of rational functions in two variables, can be embedded in the field of meromorphic functions on U_1 . Since the surface U_3 is obtained from U_1 by a sequence of blowups and blow-downs, the fields of meromorphic functions on U_1 and U_3 are isomorphic. Hence, $\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$ can be embedded in the field of meromorphic functions on U_3 , which can be embedded in $\mathcal{M}(U_3, C_3)$. Thus, $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(U_3, C_3) \geq 2$, whence $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(U_3, C_3) = 2$. This completes the proof. \square

5. RAMIFIED COVERINGS

In this section we construct another series of examples of non-algebraic neighborhoods (C, U) , where $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(U, C) = 2$. In these examples, the self-intersection $(C \cdot C)$ may be an arbitrary positive integer. We begin with two simple lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that X is a smooth complex surface, $C \subset X$ is a projective curve, $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and $U \subset X$ is a tubular neighborhood of C . Then $\pi_1(U \setminus C) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m = (C \cdot C)$.*

Proof. Immediate from the homotopy exact sequence of the fiber bundle $U \setminus C \rightarrow C$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a dominant morphism of smooth projective algebraic surfaces and that $B \subset T$ is the branch divisor of f (see the definition in Section 1.1). If $T \setminus B$ is simply connected, then $\deg f = 1$.*

Proof. The critical locus $R \subset T$ of f is of the form $B \cup E$, where B is the branch divisor and E is a finite set. The mapping

$$f|_{S \setminus f^{-1}(R)}: S \setminus f^{-1}(R) \rightarrow T \setminus R$$

is a topological covering. Since the subset $E \subset T \setminus B$ is finite and $T \setminus B$ is smooth, fundamental groups of $T \setminus R$ and $T \setminus B$ are isomorphic, so $T \setminus R$ is also simply connected, whence the result. \square

Construction 5.3. Fix an integer $n > 0$. We are going to construct a certain neighborhood of \mathbb{P}^1 with self-intersection n .

To that end, suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ is a non-degenerate surface of degree $2n$ which is not the cone $F_{2n,0}$ and, if $n = 2$, not the Veronese surface V . Let $C \subset X$ be a smooth hyperplane section, and let $U \supset C$, $U \subset X$ be a tubular neighborhood of C . By virtue of Lemma 5.1 one has $\pi_1(U \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, there exists a two-sheeted ramified covering $\pi: V \rightarrow U$ that is ramified along C with index 2. If $C' = \pi^{-1}(C)$, then $C' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $(C' \cdot C') = n$.

Proposition 5.4. *If (C', V) is the neighborhood from Construction 5.3, then $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(V, C') = 2$ and the neighborhood (C', V) is not algebraic.*

Proof. The neighborhood (C, U) is algebraic, so $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(U, C) = 2$, and the morphism $\pi: V \rightarrow U$ induces an embedding of $\mathcal{M}(U, C)$ in $\mathcal{M}(V, C')$, hence $\text{tr. deg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}(V, C') \geq 2$, hence this transcendence degree equals 2.

To prove the non-algebraicity of (C', V) , assume the converse. Then, by virtue of Proposition 3.3, the germ of the neighborhood (C', V) is isomorphic to the germ of neighborhood of a smooth hyperplane section of a non-degenerate surface $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $\deg X' = n$; we will identify C' with this hyperplane section and V with a neighborhood of C' in X' .

Let f_0, \dots, f_{2n} be a basis of the space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(C))$ (i.e., of the space of meromorphic functions on X with at worst a simple pole along C). For each j , the function $(f_j|_U) \circ \pi$ is a meromorphic function on V with at worst a pole of order 2 along C' . Using Lemma 3.6 (in which one puts $r = 2$), one sees that there exist meromorphic functions $g_0, \dots, g_{2n} \in H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(2C'))$ such that, for each j , the germ of g_j along C is the same as that of $(f_j|_U) \circ \pi$.

Choose a basis h_0, \dots, h_N is of $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(2C'))$, and let $X_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the image of X' under the embedding

$$x \mapsto (h_0(x) : \dots : h_N(x))$$

(this is the embedding defined by the complete linear system $|2C| = |\mathcal{O}_{X'}(2)|$). If $g_j = \sum a_{jk} h_k$ for each j , $0 \leq j \leq 2n$, then the matrix $\|a_{jk}\|$ defines a rational mapping $p: X_1 \dashrightarrow X$, induced by a linear projection $\bar{p}: \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n}$. Hence,

$$(7) \quad \deg X \leq \frac{\deg X_1}{\deg p},$$

and the equality is attained if and only if the rational mapping p is regular.

On the other hand, $\deg X_1 = 4 \deg X' = 4n$, $\deg X = 2n$, and $\deg p \geq 2$ since the restriction of p to $V \subset X' \cong X_1$ coincides with our ramified covering $\pi: V \rightarrow U$. Now it follows from (7) that the projection p is regular (i.e., its center does not intersect X_1) and $\deg p = 2$.

If $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is not a cone (i.e., if $X' \neq F_{n,0}$), put $X_2 = X_1$ and $q = p$, and if X' is the cone $F_{n,0}$, put $X_2 = \mathbb{F}_n$ and $q = p \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow F_{n,0} = F'$ is the standard resolution. So, we have a holomorphic mapping $q: X_2 \rightarrow X$, $\deg q = 2$. One has either $X_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_n$, or $X_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ (the latter case is possible only if $n = 4$ and $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is the Veronese surface).

Since q agrees with π on a neighborhood of the curve $C' \subset X_2$, the curve $C \subset X$ is contained in the branch divisor of q . Let us show that the branch divisor of $q: X_2 \rightarrow X$ coincides with C .

To that end, denote this branch divisor by $B \subset X$. Let $D \subset X$ be a general hyperplane section, and put $D_2 = q^{-1}(D) \subset X_2$. For a general D , one has $D \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, D_2 is a smooth and connected projective curve, and the morphism $q|_{D_2}: D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \cong D$ is ramified over $\deg B$ points.

If $n = 4$ and X' is the Veronese surface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, then $(X_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(D)) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(4))$, so the curve D_2 is isomorphic to a smooth plane quartic. Such a curve does not admit a mapping to \mathbb{P}^1 of degree 2, so this case is impossible.

Thus, whatever be the value of n , $X' = F_{k,l}$, where $k + 2l = n$. In the notation of Section 2, the divisor $D_2 \subset X_2$ is equivalent to $2(e + (k + l)f)$; since the canonical class K_{X_2} of the surface $X_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_k$ is equivalent to $-2e - (k + 2)f$, one has, denoting the genus of D_2 by g ,

$$2g - 2 = (D_2 \cdot D_2 + K_{X_2}) = (2e + 2(k + l)f) \cdot (k + 2l - 2)f = 2(n - 2),$$

whence $g = n - 1$. Applying Riemann–Hurwitz formula to the degree 2 morphism $q|_{D_2}: D_2 \rightarrow D$, one sees that the number of its branch points equals $2n$. So, $\deg B = 2n$. Since $B \supset C$ and $\deg C = 2n$, one has $B = C$.

Observe now that $X \setminus C$ is simply connected since $X \setminus C$, as a topological space, is a fiber bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 with the fiber \mathbb{C} , and the complement $X_2 \setminus q^{-1}(C)$ is connected. Applying Lemma 5.2 to the mapping $q: X_2 \rightarrow X$, one sees that $\deg q = 1$. We arrived at a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

1. Aldo Andreotti, *Théorèmes de dépendance algébrique sur les espaces complexes pseudo-concaves*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963), 1–38. MR 152674
2. Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3.

- Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2030225
3. David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account)*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 3–13. MR 927946
 4. Maycol Falla Luza and Frank Loray, *Neighborhoods of rational curves without functions*, Math. Ann. **382** (2022), no. 3-4, 1047–1058. MR 4403217
 5. ———, *Projective structures, neighborhoods of rational curves and Painlevé equations*, Mosc. Math. J. **23** (2023), no. 1, 59–95.
 6. Hans Grauert, *Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368. MR 137127
 7. Robin Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970, Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili. MR 0282977
 8. J. C. Hurtubise and N. Kamran, *Projective connections, double fibrations, and formal neighbourhoods of lines*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), no. 3, 383–409. MR 1152943
 9. M. B. Mishustin, *Neighborhoods of the Riemann sphere in complex surfaces*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **27** (1993), no. 3, 29–41, 95 (Russian), English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl., **27** (1993), No 3, 176–185. MR 1250979
 10. V. I. Savelyev, *Zero-type imbedding of a sphere into complex surfaces*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1982), no. 4, 28–32, 85 (Russian), English translation: Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. **37**, 34–39 (1982). MR 671883

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
 FEDERAL STATE INSTITUTION "SCIENTIFIC-RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR SYSTEM ANALYSIS
 OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES" (SRISA)

Email address: `lvovski@gmail.com`