

# Note on the conjugacy classes of elements and their centralizers for the free product of two groups

Dan Burghilea \*

## Abstract

We describe the conjugacy classes of the elements of the free product of two groups and their centralizers and, as a consequence, we correct the calculation of the cyclic and periodic cyclic homology of the group ring of the free product of two groups given in a previous paper.

## 1 Introduction

This Note was prompted by a mistake pointed out by Markus Land about the cyclic and periodic cyclic homology of the group ring of the free product, precisely Propositions II and IIp in the paper **The cyclic homology of the group rings** published in Comment. Math. Helv., 60 (1985) no 3, 354-365 . The mistake was the result of a miscalculation of the centralizers of the conjugacy classes of elements of the free product of two groups. In this note we provide a correct description of them and, as a consequence, correct the statements of Proposition II and IIp in [1].

In consistency with the notation in [1] for a group  $G$  and an element  $x \in G$  one denotes by  $G_x := \{y \in G \mid y \cdot x = x \cdot y\}$  the centralizer of  $x$ , by  $\langle x \rangle$  the subgroup generated by the element  $x$  and by  $N_x$  the quotient group  $N_x := G_x / \langle x \rangle$ . These groups remain isomorphic for all  $x$  in the same conjugacy class. Denote by  $\langle G \rangle$  the set of conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$  and for  $x \in G$  write  $\hat{x}$  for the conjugacy class of  $x$ . For the groups  $H$  and  $G$  one denotes the nonzero elements by  $h$  and  $g$  and the neuter elements by  $e_H$  and  $e_G$ .

Consider the free product  $P = H * G$ . Any element of  $x \in P$  is representable (not uniquely) by a *word*  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$  with  $s_i \in H \sqcup G$ . The product of the elements  $x$  and  $x'$ , represented by the words  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$  and  $s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_{r'}$  is representable by the concatenation  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_{r'}$ . Modifying a word representation of an element  $x \in P$  by

- i) removing all elements  $s_i$  of the form  $e_H$  and  $e_G$ ,
- ii) replacing consecutive elements  $\cdots s_i s_{i+1} \cdots$  by their product when in either  $H$  or  $G$ ,

leads to a smaller word representations of  $x \in P$ , the *reduced word* representation, which is unique. (The empty word is the reduced representation of  $e_P$ ).

The reduced word representation  $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_r$  for the element  $x \in P$  is characterized by

- a)  $s_i \in (H \setminus e_H) \sqcup (G \setminus e_G)$
- b) consecutive  $s_i$  and  $s_{i+1}$  belong to different groups.

Consequently, a nontrivial elements of  $x \in P$  has an unique *reduced word* representation of one of the following seven types:

- Type 1:  $w = h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \cdots h_k g_k, \quad k \geq 1,$
- Type 2:  $w = h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \cdots h_k g_k h, \quad k \geq 1,$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210,USA. Email: burghilea@math.ohio-state.edu

- Type 3:  $w = g h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \cdots h_k g_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  
Type 4:  $w = g h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \cdots h_k g_k h$ ,  $k \geq 1$ ,  
Type 5:  $w = g$ ,  
Type 6:  $w = h$ ,  
Type 7:  $w = gh$ .

Because of the unicity of the reduced word representation the following facts hold true:

1. if two nontrivial elements commute then they belong to the same type; as a consequence if  $x$  is represented by a reduced word of type 5 or type 6 then  $P_x = G_x$  or  $P_x = H_x$ .
2. any nontrivial element  $x \in P$  of type different from type 5 and type 6 is conjugate to an element of type 1.
3. the following proposition holds true

**Proposition 1.1** *Suppose  $w' = h_1 g_1 h_2 g_2 \cdots h_r g_r$  and  $w'' = h'_1 g'_1 h'_2 g'_2 \cdots h'_{r'} g'_{r'}$  are two reduced words of type 1, representing elements  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $P$  s.t.  $x \cdot x' = x' \cdot x$  and suppose  $c$  is the greatest common divisor of  $r$  and  $r'$ . Then there exists a reduced word of type 1,  $w_0 = h''_1 g''_1 h''_2 g''_2 \cdots h''_c g''_c$ , such that  $w$  is the concatenation of  $r/c$  copies of  $w_0$  and  $w'$  is the concatenation of  $r'/c$  copies of  $w_0$ .*

### Observation 1.2

Items 1 and 2 above imply that  $\langle P \rangle = e_P \sqcup (\langle H \rangle \setminus e_H) \sqcup (\langle G \rangle \setminus e_G) \sqcup U$  with  $U = \{\hat{x} \in \langle P \rangle \mid \hat{x} \cap (e_H * G) = \emptyset, \hat{x} \cap (H * e_G) = \emptyset\}$  and the centralizers in  $P$  of the elements in  $e_H * G \subset P$  resp. in  $H * e_G \subset P$  remain the same as the centralizers in  $G$  and  $H$  resp..

Item 3 (i.e. Proposition (1.1)) shows that for  $x \in \hat{x} \in U$ , the pair group-subgroup  $(P_x, \{x\})$  is isomorphic to the pair  $(\mathbb{Z}, k(x)\mathbb{Z})$ , hence  $N_x \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}$ . Here  $k(x)$  is the largest integer  $k$  s.t.  $x = y^k$ .

## 2 Proof of Proposition 1.1

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a set of symbols. Let  $\mathcal{S} := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r \cdots, s_n\}$  be an ordered set of symbols with  $s_i \in \mathbb{S}$  (i.e. an word with letters in  $\mathbb{S}$ ),  $p < n$  and  $d = n - p$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *Suppose that the collection  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies:*

(i)  $s_i = s_{d+i}$  for  $i \leq p$ ,

(ii)  $s_i = s_{i+p}$  for  $i \leq d$ .

1. If  $n$  and  $p$  are relatively prime then all  $s_i$  are equal.

2. If  $c$  is the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $p$  and  $\mathcal{S}' = s_1, s_2, \dots, s_c$  is the ordered set of the first  $c$  symbols of  $\mathcal{S}$  then  $\mathcal{S}$  is the concatenation of  $n/c$  copies of  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

*Proof:* For any  $r = 1, 2, \dots, d$  define the subsets of  $\mathcal{S}(r) \subset \mathcal{S}$ , consisting of all elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  indexed by  $r + kd$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , namely

$$\mathcal{S}(r) := \{s_r, s_{r+d}, s_{r+2d}, \dots, s_{r+kd} \cdots\}.$$

Note that the sets  $\mathcal{S}(r)$  are disjoint and their union is  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Proof of item 1: For each  $\mathcal{S}(r)$  let  $k_r$  be the unique integer such that  $r + (k_r - 1)d \leq p < r + k_r d$ . The first inequality guaranties that

$$r + k_r d - p \leq d. \tag{1}$$

In view of the hypothesis (i) all elements of  $\mathcal{S}(r)$  are equal and in view of the hypothesis (ii) the elements of the collections  $\mathcal{S}(r)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(r + k_r d - p)$  are equal;

Consider the pairs of integers  $(r_i, \kappa_i)$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$  defined inductively by:

a)  $r_1 = 1$  and  $\kappa_1 := k_1$

b)  $r_{i+1} = 1 + (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \cdots + \kappa_i)d - ip$  and  $\kappa_{i+1} = k_{r_{i+1}}$

Note that in view of inequality (1) all  $r_i \leq d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_i =: \mathcal{S}(r_i)$ . Since  $p$  and  $d$  are relatively prime  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $\mathcal{S}_j$  for  $i \neq j$  can never be the same since  $d$  can not divide  $i - j$ . Then the sets  $\mathcal{S}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, d$  provide a permutation of the sets  $\mathcal{S}(r), r = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Hypothesis (ii) implies that the elements of  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{i+1}$  are equal for any  $i$  hence all elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are equal.

Proof of item 2: Consider the set of symbols  $\mathbb{S}' = \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}$ , the  $c$ -fold cartesian product of  $\mathbb{S}$ ; clearly  $\mathcal{S}' \in \mathbb{S}'$ . Interpret  $\mathcal{S}$  as an ordered set of  $n/c$  symbols of  $\mathbb{S}'$ . Clearly item 1. implies item 2. ■

To prove Proposition 1.1 consider the set of symbols  $\mathbb{S} = (H \setminus e_H) \times (G \setminus e_G)$  and write  $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ , and  $w' = s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_p$ , Since the concatenation  $ww'$  and  $w'w$  are the same one has

1.  $s'_i = s_i$  for  $i \leq p$

2.  $s'_i = s_{d+i}$  for  $i \leq p$

3.  $s_i = s_{i+p}$  for  $i \leq n - p = d$

which implies

$$s_i = s_{d+i} \text{ for all } i \leq p \text{ and}$$

$$s_i = s_{p+i} \text{ for } i \leq d,$$

which in view of Lemma (2.1) implies that  $w$  is the concatenation exactly  $n/c$  times of  $w_0 = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_c$  and in view of the equality  $s_i = s'_i$  for  $i \leq d$ ,  $w'$  is the concatenation of exactly  $p/c$  copies of  $w_0$ .

### 3 Cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology of the group-ring $R[P]$ ,

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit and  $G$  a group. Recall that the reduced cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology,  $\tilde{H}C_*(R[G])$  resp.  $P\tilde{H}C_*(R[G])$ , of the group ring  $R[G]$  is the co-kernel of the split injective map  $HC_*(R[e_g]) = HC_*(R) \rightarrow HC_*(R[G])$  resp.  $PHC_*(R[e_G]) = PHC_*(R) \rightarrow PHC_*(R[G])$  induced by the inclusion of the trivial subgroup  $e_G$  to  $G$ . One refers to the cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology of the group ring  $R[G]$  as the *unreduced* version of these homologies. Clearly, the unreduced version is the direct sum of the reduced version with one copy of the cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology of  $R$ .

As shown in [1] all these homologies, reduced or unreduced, say  $\mathcal{H}_*(R[G])$ , are graded  $R$ -modules which are direct sums of graded  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}_*(R[G])_{\hat{x}}$  indexed by the conjugacy classes  $\hat{x} \in \langle G \rangle$ , referred to as the contribution of  $\hat{x}$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_*(R[G]) = \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \langle G \rangle} \mathcal{H}(R[G])_{\hat{x}}.$$

For each  $\hat{x} \neq e_G$  the contribution to the reduced and unreduced version are the same but for  $e_G$  the unreduced version is equal to the reduced version direct sum  $\mathcal{H}_*(R)$ .

For each conjugacy class  $\hat{x}$  one defines  $n(\hat{x}) := n(x)$  the order of the element  $x$  and  $k(\hat{x}) := \kappa(x)$  the largest  $k$  s.t.  $x = y^k$ ; clearly  $n(x)$  and  $\kappa(x)$  are the same for all  $x$  in the same conjugacy class.

Recall from [1] the notations:

1.

$$K_*(R[G]) := \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{2n}(BG; R) & \text{if } * = \text{even} \\ \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{2n+1}(BG; R) & \text{if } * = \text{odd} \end{cases} \quad \tilde{K}_*(R[G]) := \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{n > 0} H_{2n}(BG; R) & \text{if } * = \text{even} \\ \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{2n+1}(BG; R) & \text{if } * = \text{odd} \end{cases}$$

2. for  $x \in G$  with  $n(x) = \infty$

$$T_*(\hat{x}; R) = T_*(x; R) := \lim(\cdots \longrightarrow H_{*+2n}(BN_x; R) \xrightarrow{S} H_{*+2n-2}(BN_x; R) \longrightarrow \cdots)$$

with  $S$  the Gysin homomorphism of the fibration  $B\{x\} = S^1 \rightarrow BG_x \rightarrow BN_x$  where  $N_x = G_x/\{x\}$ , which up to isomorphism depends only on the conjugacy class of  $x$  and then denoted by  $T(\hat{x}; R)$ .

Recall from [1] that the contribution of  $\hat{x}$  when  $0 \neq n(\hat{x}) < \infty$  is

$$HC_*(R[G])_{\hat{x}} = H_*(B(N_{\hat{x}}) \times BS^1 \times K(\mathbb{Z}_{n(\hat{x})}, 1); R), \quad PHC_*(R[G])_{\hat{x}} = K_*(R[N_{\hat{x}}])$$

and when  $n(\hat{x}) = \infty$  is

$$HC_*(R[G])_{\hat{x}} = H_*(B(N_x); R), \quad PHC_*(R[G])_{\hat{x}} = T_*(\hat{x}; R)$$

while for  $n(\hat{x}) = 0$ , hence  $\hat{x} = e_G$ , is

$$HC_*(R[G])_{e_G} = H_*(B(G) \times BS^1; R), \quad PHC_*(R[G])_{e_G} = K_*(R[G])$$

and

$$\tilde{HC}_*(R[G])_{e_G} = H_*(B(G) \times BS^1 / * \times BS^1; R). \quad P\tilde{HC}_*(R[G])_{e_G} = \tilde{K}_*(R[G])$$

In particular one has

**Proposition 3.1**

$$P\tilde{HC}_*(R[G]) = \tilde{K}_*(R[G]) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in (\langle G \rangle' \setminus e_G)} K_*(BN_{\hat{x}}; R)) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \langle G \rangle''} T_*(\hat{x}; R))$$

where  $\langle G \rangle' := \{\hat{x} \in \langle G \rangle \mid n(\hat{x}) < \infty\}$  and  $\langle G \rangle'' = \{\hat{x} \in \langle G \rangle \mid n(\hat{x}) = \infty\}$ .

An equivalent form of this proposition is stated in [1] for a field of characteristic zero as Theorem 1'.

Let  $H$  and  $G$  be two groups and  $P = H * G$  their free product. Recall that  $B(H * G) = BH \vee BG$  the base point union of the spaces  $BH$  and  $BG$ .

As an immediate consequence of Observation (1.2) (description of conjugacy classes of elements of  $P$  and of their centralizers) in the previous section one has

**Proposition 3.2**

$$\tilde{HC}_*(R[H * G]) = \tilde{HC}_*(R[H]) \bigoplus \tilde{HC}_*(R[G]) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in U} H_*(B\mathbb{Z}_{k(\hat{x})}; R))$$

$$P\tilde{HC}_*(R[H * G]) = P\tilde{HC}_*(R[H]) \bigoplus P\tilde{HC}_*(R[G]) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in U} T_*(\hat{x}; R))$$

where

$$H_*(B\mathbb{Z}_k; R) = \begin{cases} R & \text{for } * = 0 \\ H_1(B\mathbb{Z}_k; R) & \text{for } * \text{ odd} \\ H_2(B\mathbb{Z}_k; R) & \text{for } * \text{ even } \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_*(\hat{x}; R) = \begin{cases} H_1(B\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}; R) & \text{for } * \text{ odd} \\ H_2(B\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}; R) & \text{for } * \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Note that if  $R$  is an algebra over a field of characteristic zero then  $H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}_k); R)$  is concentrated in degree zero and isomorphic to  $R$  and for any  $\hat{x} \in U$ ,  $T_*(\hat{x}; R)$  vanishes.

To correct all inaccuracies in [1] we insert the following errata to [1]

## 4 Errata to the paper **The cyclic homology of the group rings**, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **60**, 1985, no. 3, 354-365

In the paper **The cyclic homology of the group rings** published in *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60 (1985) no 3, 354-365 Propositions II and IIp, straightforward consequences of the main result, Theorem I, are not true as stated. The statements become correct provided the cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology are replaced by their reduced versions and the ring  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, for instance a field of characteristic zero. The reduced cyclic resp. periodic cyclic homology of  $R[G]$  is quotient of the obvious split injective maps,  $i_G : HC_*(R) \rightarrow HC_*(R[G])$  resp.  $i_G : PHC_*(R) \rightarrow PHC(R[G])$  induced by the inclusion  $e_G \in G$ . Also on line 1 page 363 to make the statement correct one shall replace " $P_x \neq \{x\}$ " by " $N_x \neq \mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}$ " with  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  denoting the finite cyclic group of order  $k$ , and  $k(x)$  the largest integer  $k$  s.t.  $x = y^k$ .

I thank **Markus Land** for bringing this to my attention and suggesting the use of reduced cyclic and periodic cyclic homology for group rings.

For an arbitrary commutative ring with unit  $R$ , Propositions II and IIp should be corrected as follows

1. In Proposition II,  $HC_*$  has to be replaced by the reduced version  $\tilde{H}C_*$  and the sentence " $R_{\hat{\alpha}} = R$  regarded as a graded module concentrated in the degree zero" by " $R_{\hat{\alpha}} = H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}); R)$ ,  $x \in \hat{\alpha}$ ."
2. In Proposition IIp,  $PHC_*$  has to be replaced by its reduced version,  $P\tilde{H}C_*$ , and to the right side of the equality one should add  $\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in U} T_*(\hat{x}; R)$ , with  $U = \{\hat{x} \in \langle H * G \rangle \mid \hat{x} \cap (e_H * G) = \emptyset, \hat{x} \cap (H * e_G) = \emptyset\}$  where  $\langle \Gamma \rangle$  denotes the set of conjugacy classes of elements of the group  $\Gamma$  and  $e_\Gamma$  the neuter element of  $\Gamma$ .

Note that

$$H_*(B(\mathbb{Z}_k); R) = \begin{cases} R & \text{for } * = 0 \\ H_1(B(\mathbb{Z}_k); R) & \text{for } * \text{ odd} \\ H_2(B(\mathbb{Z}_k); R) & \text{for } * \text{ even } \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and for  $\hat{x} \in U$ , and  $x \in \hat{x}$  the pair of group - subgroup  $(G_x, \{x\})$  is isomorphic to the pair  $(\mathbb{Z}, k(x)\mathbb{Z})$ , hence  $N_x = \mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}$ , and

$$T_*(\hat{x}; R) = \begin{cases} H_1(B(\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}); R) & \text{for } * \text{ odd} \\ H_2(B(\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}); R) & \text{for } * \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad 1.$$

## References

- [1] Dan Burghilea, *The cyclic homology of the group rings* *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60 (1985) no 3, 354-365

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that  $T_*(\hat{x}; R) \simeq T_*(x; R) := \varprojlim_n (\cdots \longrightarrow H_{*+2n}(B(\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}); R) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} H_{*+2n-2}(B(\mathbb{Z}_{k(x)}); R) \longrightarrow \cdots)$  where  $\Sigma$  is the isomorphism in the homology Gysin sequence of the fibration  $S^1 = B(k\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{Z}_k)$  which vanishes when  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.