

FINITE-DIMENSIONAL QUANTUM GROUPS OF TYPE SUPER A AND NON-SEMISIMPLE MODULAR CATEGORIES

ROBERT LAUGWITZ AND GUILLERMO SANMARCO

ABSTRACT. We construct a series of finite-dimensional quantum groups as braided Drinfeld doubles of Nichols algebras of type Super A, for an even root of unity, and classify ribbon structures for these quantum groups. Ribbon structures exist if and only if the rank is even and all simple roots are odd. In this case, the quantum groups have a unique ribbon structure which comes from a non-semisimple spherical structure on the negative Borel Hopf subalgebra. Hence, the categories of finite-dimensional modules over these quantum groups provide examples of non-semisimple modular categories. In the rank-two case, we explicitly describe all simple modules of these quantum groups. We finish by computing link invariants, based on generalized traces, associated to a four-dimensional simple module of the rank-two quantum group. These knot invariants distinguish certain knots indistinguishable by the Jones or HOMFLYPT polynomials and are related to a specialization of the Links-Gould invariant.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Statement of results	3
1.3. Summary	5
1.4. Acknowledgements	6
2. Modular tensor categories	6
2.1. Ribbon and non-semisimple spherical tensor categories	6
2.2. Modular tensor categories	8
2.3. Relative Drinfeld centers	9
3. Nichols algebras and their braided Drinfeld doubles	9
3.1. Nichols algebras of diagonal type	10
3.1.1. Defining relations	10
3.1.2. Realizations	11
3.1.3. Weyl equivalence	13
3.1.4. Nichols algebras of type Super A	13
3.1.5. Our setup	15
3.2. The braided Drinfeld double of a Nichols algebra	15
4. Classification of spherical and ribbon structures	19
4.1. Non-degeneracy of the base category	19

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18M15, 18M20, 17B37; Secondary 57K14, 57K16.

Key words and phrases. braided Drinfeld double, modular tensor category, Nichols algebra, relative monoidal center, relative Drinfeld center, super-type quantum group, highest weight category, link invariant.

4.2.	Characterization of unimodular Nichols algebras of type Super A	22
4.3.	Classification of non-semisimple spherical structures for the bosonization	26
4.4.	Classification of ribbon structures for the Drinfeld double	27
5.	Quantum groups of type Super A	32
5.1.	Definition and presentations	32
5.2.	Non-semisimple modular categories from quantum groups of type Super A	35
5.3.	A basis of divided powers and the universal R-matrix in the rank-two case	37
6.	Representation Theory	40
6.1.	Classification of simple modules	40
6.2.	The category of graded modules over $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$	41
6.3.	The rank-two case	42
6.3.1.	Simple modules	42
6.3.2.	Composition series of standard modules	47
6.3.3.	Some tensor product decompositions	48
6.3.4.	Some Grothendieck ring calculations	49
6.4.	Some remarks on the semisimplification	51
7.	Application to link invariants	53
7.1.	An ambidextrous trace for the four-dimensional simple module	54
7.2.	An invariant of links associated to the four-dimensional simple module	55
	References	58

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** Modular categories are tensor categories of special interest to quantum algebra, low-dimensional topology, and quantum field theory. Modular tensor categories are usually assumed to be semisimple, i.e., they are modular fusion categories; in this case, they provide invariants of framed links and 3-manifold through topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) of surgery or Reshetikhin–Turaev type [RT91]. Notable examples are obtained using the semisimple quotient of the representation category of a finite-dimensional quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated to a semisimple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a root of unity q .

The nonsemisimple category of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules is a finite non-degenerate ribbon category and, thus, possesses all features of a modular fusion category besides semisimplicity. Moreover, the non-semisimple category of $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules possesses richer structure due to non-split extensions and projective objects of quantum dimension zero which vanish in the semisimple quotient. It was already observed by V. Lyubashenko [Lyu95, KL01] that invariants of 3-manifolds, and representations of mapping class groups, can be obtained from a non-semisimple modular category. Lyubashenko’s constructions were later renormalized and extended to a 3-dimensional TQFT [DRGG⁺22, DRGG⁺23]; see also [LMSS20, SW21] for extensions of Lyubashenko’s construction of mapping class group actions to cochain complexes. More recently, 3-dimensional quantum field theories were constructed from non-semisimple categories of quantum group representations [CDGG21].

Examples of nonsemisimple modular categories arising from different sources can be found in the literature. These include examples obtained from the representation theory of quantum groups [LO17, GLO18, CGR20, Neg21], categorical constructions of Drinfeld centers [Shi19] or

Müger centralizers and relative centers [LW22], and categories of modules over logarithmic vertex operator algebras (see [GSTF06, FT10, FS17, CGR20, Len21, TC21, TG21] and references therein). Compared to the more established modular fusion categories, there is still a lack of examples of non-semisimple modular categories, especially, examples related to Cartan data of super-type. However, we note that a finite-dimensional restricted super quantum group of type $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$ was constructed in [AGPS18, Section 4] and shown to be ribbon and factorizable.

This paper contributes a new series of non-semisimple modular categories obtained as modules over finite-dimensional Hopf algebras $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ constructed from generalized Lie-theoretic data of type Super A and an even root of unity q . These Hopf algebras $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ are defined as braided Drinfeld doubles of Nichols algebras which have root systems associated to those of Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|r+1-m)$ where m is either $\frac{r}{2}$ when r is even or $\frac{r+1}{2}$ when r is odd [AA17]. However, only the case when r is even, related to $\mathfrak{sl}(\frac{r}{2}|\frac{r}{2}+1)$ leads to modular categories. Such general series of non-semisimple modular categories associated to Cartan data of super-type have, to our knowledge, not previously appeared in the literature.

We interpret the $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ as analogues of small quantum groups of type Super A. Unlike other approaches to quantum groups of super-type [KT91, Yam94], which are infinite dimensional Hopf superalgebras, our quantum groups are finite-dimensional Hopf algebras over \mathbb{k} . We argue that considering braided Drinfeld doubles of finite Nichols algebras, drawing from their strong connections to Lie theory [Hec09, AA17], gives a suitable general framework for constructing quantum groups of non-Cartan type. In type Super A and for q an even root of unity, the examples we found are characterized as the only examples obtained this way that have a modular category of representations.

In non-semisimple ribbon categories, invariants of (framed) links are extended to objects of zero quantum dimension using *generalized traces* [GKPM11]. These invariants often carry interesting topological information not accessible through the semisimple Reshetikhin–Turaev invariants [RT90]. Examples of link invariants obtained from quantum dimension zero objects include the multivariable Alexander polynomial, Kashaev’s invariant and the ADO invariant [CGPM14]. The present paper provides a new supply of such link invariants (valued in $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$) and investigates an example of such an invariant which is shown to distinguish certain knots that are not distinguished by the Jones and HOMFLYPT polynomials.

1.2. Statement of results. We will now summarize the main results of the paper. The classification of Nichols algebras of diagonal type in [Hec06] includes a class of finite-dimensional braided Hopf algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ with generalized Dynkin diagrams of type Super A [AA17, Section 5.1]. These Nichols algebras are determined by a matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ of size $r \times r$, where $r \geq 2$, with parameters q_{ij} in \mathbb{k}^\times based on a single root of unity q , and a non-empty subset $\mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathbb{I} = \{1, \dots, r\}$ of *odd* simple roots, see Definition 3.8 for a precise definition.

If q is a primitive *even* root of unity of order $N = 2n$, we define the braided monoidal category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of comodules over the abelian group $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_1^N = \dots = g_r^N = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_N^r$ with braiding given by the dual quasitriangular structure

$$r^{\mathbf{q}}(g_i, g_j) = q_{ji}.$$

The Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a Hopf algebra object in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$. Thus, the bosonization $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ with the dual group algebra $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} . We prove the following characterization of its unimodularity.

Proposition 4.10. *The bosonization $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ of the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of type Super A is unimodular if and only if $q_{ii} = -1$ for all i (i.e. $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$) and $r \geq 2$ is even.*

Thus, the only cases of Nichols algebras of type Super A that can admit a spherical structure (in the sense of [DSPS20]) have only odd simple roots and a generalized Dynkin diagram of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 & \cdots & -1 & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \cdots & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$$

This root data is different from usual approaches in the literature [Yam94] where only one of the simple roots is odd. Generalized root systems of the above form are, in a certain sense, related to Cartan data associated with the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(\frac{r}{2} | \frac{r}{2} + 1)$, cf. Remark 3.11.

Using the characterization of ribbon structures on Drinfeld doubles of [KR93] and a combinatorial argument, we prove that the above unimodular Hopf algebras are precisely those admitting a spherical structure.

Theorem 4.11. *The category $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}) = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]\text{-mod}$ admits a spherical structure if and only if $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ is unimodular if and only if $q_{ii} = -1$ for all i (i.e. $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$) and $r \geq 2$ is even. In this case, the spherical structure is determined by the pivotal element*

$$a = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_r} \delta_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{k}[G] \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G].$$

Given the existence of such a spherical structure, the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ is a non-degenerate finite ribbon category (i.e., a modular category) by [Shi18, Theorem 5.11]. We further prove that these are the only instances in which ribbon structures exist on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$.

Theorem 4.15. *The category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ admits a ribbon structure if and only if $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ is unimodular if and only if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ and $r \geq 2$ is even. In this case, there exist precisely 2^r ribbon structures only one of which corresponds to the spherical structure from Theorem 4.11.*

It was shown in [LW22], see also Theorem 2.10 below, that if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a non-degenerate braided tensor category, there is an equivalence of ribbon categories

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{rev}}.$$

Here, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ is the *relative* Drinfeld center studied in [Lau20, LW22]. The relative Drinfeld center is a braided tensor category equivalent to that of finite-dimensional modules over a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra

$$u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}}) = \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*),$$

a *braided Drinfeld double* (or *double bosonization* of [Maj99]). A presentation for the quasi-triangular Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}})$ is given in Definition 5.1. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let q be a primitive root of unity of order $N = 2n$. The following statements are equivalent for the braided tensor category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})) \simeq u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}$:*

- (i) \mathcal{C} is a ribbon category.
- (ii) \mathcal{C} is a modular category.
- (iii) r is even and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$.

In case the equivalent statements hold, the ribbon category structure on \mathcal{C} is uniquely determined.

The category $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of *graded* $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -modules is a highest weight category by results of Bellamy–Thiel [BT18]. The Grothendieck ring $K_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is isomorphic to the subring of $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}]$ with basis given by the symbols of all (shifts of) simple module, where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_N^r$, see Section 6.3.4. In the rank-two case, we obtain explicit results, including tensor product decompositions of standard modules in Proposition 6.17.

Already in the case of minimal rank $r = 2$, with $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$, which is build from super Cartan data related to $\mathfrak{sl}(1|2)$, the Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ displays a rich representation theory. The simple modules fall into two classes. First, for $i = 0, \dots, N - 1$, there are simple modules $L(i, 0)$ and their duals $L(0, i)$ of dimension $2i + 1$ and quantum dimension $(-1)^i$. Second, we find simple modules $L(i, j)$ with $0 < i, j < N$ of dimension $4(i + j)$ if $i + j \leq N$ and $4(i + j - N)$ otherwise, which have quantum dimension zero, see Theorem 6.9. This list of simple modules can be matched with a subset of integral weight simple typical or atypical modules over the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(1|2)$, see [Kac77, Kac78, FSS00].

As an application, we study the link invariant associated to the four-dimensional simple $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -module $W = L(n, n + 1)$. As the quantum dimension of W is zero, these link invariants are obtained via the unique generalized trace on its endomorphism ring and take values $I_W(\mathcal{L})$ in $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ for any link \mathcal{L} . The braiding Ψ on this module W is a 16×16 -matrix with minimal polynomial

$$\Psi^3 + (2 + q^{-1})\Psi^2 + (1 + 2q^{-1})\Psi + q^{-1}\text{Id} = 0,$$

akin to a skein relation. This recovers the partial skein relation of a specialization of the two-variable Links–Gould polynomial [GLZ96, GLO18]. With the aid of a computer, we find the knot invariant $I_W(\mathcal{L})$ for all knots \mathcal{L} with at most 7 crossing from the Rolfsen table, showing that I_W distinguishes these knots and their mirror images. Moreover, we provide a closed formula for the invariants associated with torus links $\mathcal{T}_{2,a}$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, we show that I_W distinguishes the knots 5_1 and 10_{132} which have the same HOMFLYPT polynomials, and the link $LL_2(2)$ which has the same Jones polynomial as the two-component unlink.

1.3. Summary. We start with the necessary background definitions on results on ribbon and modular categories in Section 2. The next section, Section 3, contains necessary background material on Nichols algebras. We use the approach of realizing diagonal type Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ as Hopf algebra objects in braided categories $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of comodules over abelian groups in order to define their braided Drinfeld doubles. The general structural results on classification of spherical structures for the bosonizations $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ and ribbon structures for their doubles are obtained in Section 4, which contains the technical core of the paper.

In Section 5, we give presentations for braided Drinfeld doubles of Nichols algebras of type Super A, which define the finite-dimensional quantum groups $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$. Section 5.2 contains the main result of the paper, which characterizes which quantum groups $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ of type Super A give modular tensor categories of representations. In order to give a closed formula for the universal R -matrix and to aid the study of the representation theory of the rank-two examples $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$, we introduce a basis of divided powers. The representation theory of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$, with particular focus on the rank-two case, is studied in Section 6. Here, we give bases for the simple modules in the rank-two case and compute composition series and tensor product decompositions for the standard modules in the highest weight category of graded modules. We conclude Section 6 with some partial results

on the semisimplification of the category of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -modules. We conclude the paper with the above-mentioned applications to knot theory in Section 7.

1.4. Acknowledgements. The authors thank Iván Angiono, Azat Gainutdinov, and Simon Lentner for helpful discussions. R. Laugwitz was supported by a Nottingham Research Fellowship. G. Sanmarco is partially supported by an AMS-Simons Travel Grant and kindly acknowledges the warm hospitality of the University of Nottingham, where part of this work was carried out during a research visit.

2. MODULAR TENSOR CATEGORIES

Throughout the paper, we work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero. The group of invertible elements is \mathbb{k}^\times ; the order of an element q is $\text{ord}(q)$. The monoidal categories considered here are finite tensor categories, i.e. finite abelian \mathbb{k} -linear categories with a monoidal structure exact in both variables such that every object has a left and right dual, unless otherwise stated. We refer the reader to [EGNO15] for background material on this class of categories.

2.1. Ribbon and non-semisimple spherical tensor categories. A braided tensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, c)$ is *ribbon* (or *tortile*) if it comes equipped with a *twist* (or *ribbon structure*), i.e., a natural isomorphism $\theta_X: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ which satisfies

$$(2.1.1) \quad \theta_{X \otimes Y} = (\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y) \circ c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_X)^* = \theta_{X^*}$$

for all objects X, Y in \mathcal{C} . A *functor of ribbon categories* is a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of braided tensor categories such that $F(\theta_X^{\mathcal{C}}) = \theta_{F(X)}^{\mathcal{D}}$, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. We refer to [BK01, Chapter 2], [EGNO15, Sections 8.9–8.11], or [TV17, Section 3.3] for more details.

For a ribbon category \mathcal{C} , consider the *Drinfeld isomorphism*:

$$(2.1.2) \quad \phi_X = (\text{Id}_{X^{**}} \otimes \text{ev}_X)(c_{X^*, X^{**}} \otimes \text{Id}_X)(\text{coev}_{X^*} \otimes \text{Id}_X): X \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{**}.$$

It can be used to define a pivotal structure on \mathcal{C} via

$$(2.1.3) \quad j_X := \phi_X \theta_X: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{**}.$$

The category $H\text{-mod}$ for a finite-dimensional quasitriangular \mathbb{k} -Hopf algebra $H = (H, R)$ is a ribbon category if and only if H is a ribbon Hopf algebra [Maj00, Corollary 9.3.4]. Here, H is a *ribbon Hopf algebra* if there exists a central invertible element $v \in H$ satisfying

$$(2.1.4) \quad \Delta(v) = (R_{21}R)^{-1}(v \otimes v), \quad \varepsilon(v) = 1, \quad S(v) = v.$$

In this case, v is a *ribbon element*, and the ribbon twist in $H\text{-mod}$ is given by the action of v^{-1} .

In fact, ribbon elements for a Hopf algebra can be classified using certain grouplike elements. Given a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra H , denote by $u = S(R^{(2)})R^{(1)}$ the *Drinfeld element*. Note that for $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$, the Drinfeld isomorphism ϕ_X from Equation (2.1.2) is given by the action of u followed by the canonical pivotal structure $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{**}$ of $\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([Rad12, Theorem 12.3.6]). *Let $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$ for H a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra. Then there is a bijection between the set of ribbon structures on \mathcal{C} and the set of elements*

$$\text{Piv}(\sqrt{g}) = \{l \in G(H) \mid l^2 = g, S^2(h) = lhl^{-1}, \forall h \in H\},$$

where $g = uS(u^{-1})$.

We note that the set of grouplike elements of H is a linearly independent subset of H . Hence, the set $\text{Piv}(\sqrt{g})$ is linearly independent. In this paper, we will identify this set $\text{Piv}(\sqrt{g})$ corresponding to the set of ribbon structures for certain Hopf algebras (see Theorem 4.15 and Theorem 5.8).

Next, we recall a theorem of Kauffman–Radford describing the set of ribbon elements for the Drinfeld double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H . For this, fix a non-zero left integral Λ for H and a non-zero right integral λ of H^* . We recall the *distinguished grouplike elements* $g_H \in G(H)$ and $\alpha_H \in G(H^*)$ which are uniquely determined by the equations

$$(2.1.5) \quad p\lambda = \text{ev}(p \otimes g_H)\lambda, \quad \text{for all } p \in H^*, \quad \alpha_H(h)\Lambda = \Lambda h, \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([KR93, Theorem 3]). *Let $g_H \in H$ and $\alpha_H \in H^*$ be the distinguished grouplike elements. Then there is a bijection between the set*

$$\{(\zeta, a) \in G(H^*) \times G(H) \mid \zeta^2 = \alpha_H, a^2 = g_H, S^2(h) = \zeta^{-1}(h_{(1)})ah_{(2)}a^{-1}\zeta(h_{(3)}), \forall h \in H\},$$

and the set of ribbon elements of the Drinfeld double, $\text{Drin}(H)$, cf. (2.1.4). The bijection is given by sending a pair (ζ, a) to $u(\zeta^{-1} \otimes a^{-1})$.

Given a ribbon element v of H , then, by [Rad12, Theorem 12.3.6], any other ribbon element is of the form zv for z an element of

$$(2.1.6) \quad Z = \{z \in Z(H) \cap G(H) \mid z^2 = 1\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 was generalized to the center of any finite tensor category by Shimizu. In any finite tensor category \mathcal{C} there is a distinguished invertible object D equipped with a natural isomorphism

$$(2.1.7) \quad \xi = \{\xi_X : D \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{4*} \otimes D\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}},$$

see [EGNO15, Sections 6.4, 7.18, 7.19]. If $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$ then $D = \mathbb{k}v$ with $h \cdot v = \alpha_H^{-1}(h)v$ for the distinguished grouplike element $\alpha_H \in H^*$ from Equation (2.1.5), and ξ is given by acting with g_H .

Definition 2.3 ([LW22, Definition 3.3]). For a finite tensor category \mathcal{C} and the pair (D, ξ) from (2.1.7), we define $\text{Sqrt}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, \xi)$ as the set of equivalence classes of pairs (V, σ) where $V \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\sigma = \{\sigma_X : V \otimes X \rightarrow X^{**} \otimes V\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$$

is a natural isomorphism, in X , compatible with the tensor product, for which there exist an isomorphism $\nu : V^{**} \otimes V \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V^{**} \otimes V \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{V^{**}} \otimes \sigma_X} & V^{**} \otimes X^{**} \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_X^{**} \otimes \text{Id}_V} & X^{4*} \otimes V^{**} \otimes V \\ \nu \otimes \text{Id}_X \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \text{Id}_{X^{4*}} \otimes \nu \\ D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\xi_X} & & & X^{4*} \otimes D. \end{array}$$

In this setup, Shimizu’s generalization of Theorem 2.2 takes the following form.

Theorem 2.4. [Shi18] *If \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category, then there is a bijection between the set $\text{Sqrt}(D, \xi)$ and the set of ribbon structures on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$.*

We recall the following definition of sphericity adapted to allowing non-semisimple tensor categories from [DSPS20, Definition 3.5.2]. In case when \mathcal{C} is semisimple this recovers the definition from [BW99] in terms of left and right traces being equal, see [DSPS20, Proposition 3.5.4].

Definition 2.5. A pivotal finite tensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, j)$ is *spherical* if there is an isomorphism $\nu: \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ making the following diagram commute:

$$(2.1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{j_X^{**} j_X} & X^{4*} \\ \nu \otimes \text{Id}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Id}_{X^{4*}} \otimes \nu \\ D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\xi_X} & X^{4*} \otimes D. \end{array}$$

The results of [KR93] recalled in Theorem 2.2 imply the following parametrization of spherical structures for representation categories over a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H .

Proposition 2.6. *The tensor category $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$ is spherical if and only if $\alpha_H = \varepsilon$ and*

$$\text{SPiv}(H) := \{a \in G(H) \mid a^2 = g_H, S^2(h) = aha^{-1}, \text{ for all } h \in H\} \neq \emptyset.$$

In this case, there is a bijection between the set of pivotal structures j on \mathcal{C} giving spherical structures and $\text{SPiv}(H)$.

In this paper, we will construct new examples of spherical categories in Theorem 4.11. We recall that \mathcal{C} is unimodular if $D = \mathbb{1}$ and that any unimodular ribbon tensor category is spherical by [LW22, Proposition 3.13]. Note that [Rad12, Proposition 12.3.3] shows that, indeed, if H is unimodular, then $g_H = uS(u^{-1})$.

We conclude this section by including results on ribbon structures on Deligne tensor products required later in the paper. Given ribbon structures $\theta^{\mathcal{C}}, \theta^{\mathcal{D}}$ on finite braided tensor categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , it follows that

$$\theta_{X \boxtimes Y} := \theta_X^{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \theta_Y^{\mathcal{D}}$$

extends, by the universal property of the Deligne tensor product, cf. [DSPA19, Definition 3.2], to a ribbon structure on $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be finite braided tensor categories. Then every ribbon structure on $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ is obtained from a unique pair of ribbon structures on \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} as above.*

Proof. Given a ribbon structure θ on $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$, we can evaluate it on the object $X \boxtimes \mathbb{1}$ to define $\theta_X^{\mathcal{C}}$ to be the unique element in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X)$ such that $\theta_{X \boxtimes \mathbb{1}} = \theta_X^{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{1}}$. This produces a ribbon structure $\theta^{\mathcal{C}}$ on \mathcal{C} . Proceeding similarly, we find a ribbon structure $\theta^{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{D} .

Now, $X \boxtimes \mathbb{1}$ centralizes $\mathbb{1} \boxtimes Y$, i.e. $\Psi_{X \boxtimes \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \boxtimes Y}^2 = \text{Id}$. Thus,

$$\theta_{X \boxtimes Y} = \theta_{X \boxtimes \mathbb{1}} \otimes \theta_{\mathbb{1} \boxtimes Y} = \theta_X^{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \theta_Y^{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Note that $\theta^{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\theta^{\mathcal{D}}$ uniquely determine θ from the requirement that $\theta_{X \boxtimes Y} = \theta_X^{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \theta_Y^{\mathcal{D}}$. \square

2.2. Modular tensor categories. A generalization of the definition of a modular category to not necessarily semisimple categories can be found in Kerler–Lyubashenko [KL01], see also Shimizu [Shi19] for equivalent conditions. Here, non-degeneracy of the S -matrix, as required for semisimple modular categories is replaced by the condition that the Müger center \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$ as a tensor category [EGNO15, Definition 8.19.2 and Theorem 8.20.7]. In this case, we say that \mathcal{C} is *non-degenerate*. A braided finite tensor category \mathcal{C} with braiding c is non-degenerate if and only if it is factorizable, i.e. the natural functor

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \quad X \boxtimes Y \mapsto (X, c_{X,-}) \otimes (Y, c_{-,Y}^{-1})$$

gives an equivalence of braided tensor categories [Shi19, Theorem 4.2] to the *Drinfeld center* (or, *monoidal center*) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Here, \mathcal{C}^{rev} denotes the braided opposite $(\mathcal{C}, c_{Y,X}^{-1}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X)$.

Next, we recall the definition of modularity for not necessarily semisimple categories.

Definition 2.8. A braided finite tensor category is called *modular* if it is non-degenerate and ribbon.

In particular, the category $H\text{-mod}$ for H a finite-dimensional quasi-triangular Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} is modular if and only if H is ribbon and factorizable [EGNO15, Proposition 8.11.2 and Example 8.6.4].

If \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is factorizable [EGNO15, Proposition 8.6.3] and hence non-degenerate. Thus, the conditions in [Shi18, Theorem 5.4] ensuring that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a ribbon category imply that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a modular category. In particular, the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of a spherical finite tensor category \mathcal{C} (cf. Definition 2.5) is modular by [Shi18, Theorem 5.10]. In the semisimple case, this is due to [Müg03, Theorem 2]. These results were extended to *relative* monoidal centers in [LW22, Theorem 4.14]. We briefly discuss these results in the following section.

2.3. Relative Drinfeld centers. Modularity of relative centers, and more generally of Müger centralizers, was investigated in [LW22]. Here, we briefly recall the general results related to this paper's content.

Consider a braided monoidal category \mathcal{A} with braiding $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$. Recall that an \mathcal{A} -central structure on a monoidal category \mathcal{C} is a faithful braided monoidal functor $G: \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, $A \mapsto (A, c^{G(A)})$. The *relative center* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as the full subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ that centralizes the image of the central structure.

Definition 2.9. The relative center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$ is the monoidal full subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ containing all objects $(V, c^V: V \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes V)$ such that the half braiding c^V is compatible with the \mathcal{A} -central structure, i.e., $c_V^{G(A)} \circ c_{G(A)}^V = \text{Id}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$.

The braiding of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ restricts to a braiding on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is a finite braided tensor category, \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category and the central functor G is a tensor functor, then $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a finite braided tensor category. The next result gives sufficient conditions for modularity of the relative center. Recall the distinguished invertible element and the Radford isomorphism (D, ξ) from (2.1.7) and the set $\text{Sqrt}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, \xi)$ from Definition 2.3.

Theorem 2.10 ([LW22, Theorems 1.4, 1.5]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a finite tensor category with \mathcal{A} -central structure $G: \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Assume further that \mathcal{A} is non-degenerate and that $G(\mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}})$ is closed under subquotients and finite direct sums. If $\text{Sqrt}_{\mathcal{C}}(D, \xi_D) \neq \emptyset$, then the relative center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$ is modular and we have an equivalence of ribbon categories $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{rev}}$.*

The above result justifies working with relative centers as they appears as a factor in a Deligne tensor product decomposition of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, omitting the extra copy of \mathcal{A}^{rev} . This way, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{C})$ might be a *prime* modular category (cf. [LW22, Section 4.4]), i.e., not decomposable as a Deligne tensor product of proper modular tensor subcategories, while $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is not prime.

3. NICHOLS ALGEBRAS AND THEIR BRAIDED DRINFELD DOUBLES

In this section, we include the necessary definitions from the theory of Nichols algebras with focus on type Super A, and fix the setup to be used throughout the paper. We then realize

such Nichols algebras in base braided categories of comodules over an abelian group of the same rank in Proposition 3.7. Next, we define braided Drinfeld doubles of Nichols algebras, whose representation categories are equivalent to the relative Drinfeld center of the category of modules over the bosonization of the Nichols algebra (see Proposition 3.13).

The following notation will be used in this and the following sections. If $k < r$ are non-negative integers, put $\mathbb{I}_{k,r} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq n \leq r\}$, and $\mathbb{I}_r = \mathbb{I}_{1,r}$. We use $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_r$ when there is no possible confusion. The canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^r is denoted by $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_r}$.

3.1. Nichols algebras of diagonal type. Nichols algebras constitute a large class of examples of Hopf algebras in braided tensor categories and are a central tool in the classification of pointed Hopf algebras, see e.g. [Hec06, AA17, HS20]. Most notably, the nilpotent parts $u_q(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ of small quantum groups appear as examples of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal Cartan type. In this section, we recall basic definitions of the theory of Nichols algebras of diagonal type, with focus on type Super A. The notation used throughout this paper for Nichols algebras of type Super A is detailed in Section 3.1.5.

The *Nichols algebra* $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of a matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ -graded braided Hopf algebra [AS02, HS20, Tak00]. The bialgebra structure of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ can be constructed starting from a braided vector space $V^{\mathbf{q}}$ with basis $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ and braiding $c^{\mathbf{q}}(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i$. The algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ admits a PBW-type basis with $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ -homogeneous generators. The set of *positive roots* of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the collection $\Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}}$ consisting on the $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ -degrees of these PBW generators, counted with multiplicities. The matrix \mathbf{q} is *arithmetic* if $\Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}}$ is finite; in such case $\Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}}$ does not depend on the choice of the PBW generators and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has finite Gelfand–Kirillov dimension.

A fundamental step in the theory of Nichols algebras (and their role in the classification of pointed Hopf algebras) was achieved in [Hec09], where Dynkin diagrams of arithmetic matrices \mathbf{q} were classified. Remember that the (*generalized*) *Dynkin diagram* of $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}}$ is the decorated graph with vertices $i \in \mathbb{I}$ labelled by q_{ii} , and there is an edge between distinct vertices i and j if $\tilde{q}_{ij} := q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$; such edge is labelled by \tilde{q}_{ij} . Later on, this classification was organized in Lie-theoretic terms in [AA17], to which we refer for generalities on Nichols algebras of diagonal type.

Let us fix some notation associated to a matrix \mathbf{q} . We have a bilinear form

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathbf{q}: \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times, \quad \mathbf{q}(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = q_{ij},$$

where (α_i) is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$. Given $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ write $N_\beta := \text{ord } \mathbf{q}(\beta, \beta) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

If \mathbf{q} is arithmetic then $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has a PBW basis of the form $\left\{ \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}}} x_\beta^{n_\beta} \mid 0 \leq n_\beta < N_\beta \right\}$, where each x_β is a *root vector*, and the product is taken according to a *convex* order on the set of positive roots. Assume moreover that $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is finite dimensional. In this case the top \mathbb{Z} -degree $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{top}}$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^i$ is one dimensional, it coincides with the subspace of left (and right) integrals, and is $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ -homogeneous of degree $\sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}}} (N_\beta - 1)\beta$.

3.1.1. Defining relations. Once the classification of arithmetic braiding matrices was achieved, the next crucial problem in the theory was to find an explicit presentation of the corresponding Nichols algebras by generators and relations. Indeed, $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a braided Hopf quotient of the tensor algebra of the vector space $V^{\mathbf{q}}$ with basis vectors $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ by an ideal $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathbf{q}}$ for which Angiono found a set of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ -homogeneous generators in [Ang13, Ang15].

We recall the \mathbf{q} -commutators, which are employed to exhibit both root vectors and defining relations of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$. For elements x, y in the tensor algebra of degrees α and β , respectively, we denote

$$(3.1.2) \quad [x, y]_{\mathbf{q}} = xy - \mathbf{q}(\alpha, \beta)yx,$$

where $\mathbf{q}(\alpha, \beta)$ was defined in (3.1.1). For indexes i_1, \dots, i_k we define recursively $x_{i_1 \dots i_k} = [x_{i_1}, x_{i_2 \dots i_k}]_{\mathbf{q}}$ and for $i < j$ put $x_{(ij)} = x_{ii+1 \dots j}$. To illustrate, we compute

$$(3.1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{ij} &= x_i x_j - q_{ij} x_j x_i, \\ x_{iij} &= x_i x_{ij} - q_{ii} q_{ij} x_{ij} x_i = x_i^2 x_j - q_{ij} (1 + q_{ii}) x_i x_j x_i + q_{ij}^2 q_{ii} x_j x_i^2, \end{aligned} \quad i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

3.1.2. Realizations. Fix a finite abelian group G and $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{k}^\times)^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}}$. A *realization* of \mathbf{q} over G is a family of generators $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ of G and a family of characters $(\chi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ such that $\chi_i(g_j) = q_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$. In this case $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a Hopf algebra in the braided category ${}_{\mathbb{k}G}^{\mathbb{k}G} \text{YD}$ of Yetter–Drinfeld modules over the group algebra $\mathbb{k}G$ (these are just G -graded G -modules as G is abelian). Via bosonization we get a Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}G$. By [AA17, Proposition 2.42] the distinguished group-like element of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}G$ is the image g_ℓ of $\sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{q}}^+} (N_\beta - 1)\beta$ under the group map $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \rightarrow G$ determined by $\alpha_i \mapsto g_i$.

For the purpose of defining braided Drinfeld doubles of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ later, let us realize \mathbf{q} in the category of $\mathbb{k}G$ -comodules, which embeds into the larger category of Yetter–Drinfeld modules to recover the above realization. For this, consider the braiding Ψ on the category $\mathbb{k}G\text{-comod}$ via the dual R -matrix

$$(3.1.4) \quad r_{\mathbf{q}}: \mathbb{k}G \otimes \mathbb{k}G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times, \quad r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_i \otimes g_j) := q_{ji}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

In particular, the braiding on $\mathbb{k}G\text{-comod}$ is given by

$$(3.1.5) \quad \Psi_{V,W}(v \otimes w) = r_{\mathbf{q}}(w^{(-1)} \otimes v^{(-1)})w^{(0)} \otimes v^{(0)}.$$

For instance, if v_i has degree g_i and w_j has degree g_j ,

$$\Psi_{V,W}(v_i \otimes w_j) = q_{ij} w_j \otimes v_i.$$

Definition 3.1. We use $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ to denote the pointed braided fusion category $\mathbb{k}G\text{-comod}$ with braiding given by $r_{\mathbf{q}}$.

Consider the symmetric pairing

$$(3.1.6) \quad b_{\mathbf{q}}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times, \quad b_{\mathbf{q}}(g_i, g_j) := r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_i \otimes g_j)r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_j \otimes g_i), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([EGNO15, Example 8.13.5]). *The S -matrix of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is $(b_{\mathbf{q}}(g, h))_{g, h \in G}$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the symmetric pairing $b_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate. \square*

Remark 3.3. We note that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ depends on the concrete choice of the matrix \mathbf{q} rather than the generalized Dynkin diagram of the Nichols algebra (which determines the Nichols algebra as an algebra). For example, let q be a root of unity of even order N and $q^{1/2}$ be a square root of q , which is necessarily a primitive $2N$ -th root of unity. Then the matrices

$$\mathbf{q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & q \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & q^{1/2} \\ q^{1/2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

lead to non-equivalent braided tensor categories $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}_1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}_2}$ of comodules over $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2N}^2$, which are both degenerate. The dual r -matrix $r_{\mathbf{q}_1}$ can be defined over the quotient $G' = \mathbb{Z}_N^2$ and gives a non-degenerate braiding on G' -comodules. This is not possible for $r_{\mathbf{q}_2}$.

Recall the definition of quadratic forms over abelian groups and their associated bilinear forms from, e.g. [EGNO15, Section 8.4].

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be an abelian group together with a bilinear form $\mathbf{q}: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$. Then \mathbf{q} determines a braided monoidal category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$. The set of ribbon structures for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is parametrized by the set of quadratic forms $\vartheta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ such*

$$(3.1.7) \quad b_{\mathbf{q}}(g, h) = \frac{\vartheta(gh)}{\vartheta(g)\vartheta(h)}.$$

Proof. Given a ribbon structure θ on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$, then $\theta_{\mathbb{k}_g}$ is a multiple of the identity, define $\vartheta(g)$ via

$$\theta_{\mathbb{k}_g} = \vartheta(g)\text{Id}_{\mathbb{k}_g}.$$

Now the defining axioms of a ribbon structure, see Equation (2.1.1) imply Equation (3.1.7) and $\vartheta(g) = \vartheta(g^{-1})$. \square

Remark 3.5. Let G be a finite abelian group with a bilinear form r which defines a braiding on $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{k}G\text{-comod}$. By [EGNO15, Remark 8.10.4], $\theta(g) = b(g, g)$ defines a quadratic form and a ribbon structure on \mathcal{C} . Now, by [Rad12, Theorem 12.3.6], see Equation (2.1.6), the number of ribbon structures on \mathcal{C} is given by

$$|Z| = |\{g \in G \mid g^2 = 1\}|.$$

Remark 3.6. Two quadratic forms ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 are equivalent if there exists a group automorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\vartheta_2(g) = \vartheta_1(\phi(g)).$$

In other words, ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 are in the same orbit under the action of $\text{Aut}(G)$. Such an automorphism ϕ induces an equivalence of monoidal categories

$$F_\phi: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathbb{k}_g \mapsto \mathbb{k}_{\phi(g)},$$

together with a structural isomorphism $\mu^{F_\phi}: F_\phi(V) \otimes F_\phi(W) \rightarrow F_\phi(V \otimes W)$ which is determined by a group 2-cocycle $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}^\times$ such that

$$\mu_{\mathbb{k}_g, \mathbb{k}_h}^{F_\phi} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{k}_{\phi(gh)}} \mu_{g, h}.$$

The equivalence F_ϕ is one of braided categories if and only if ϕ leaves the (fixed) braiding invariant, i.e.,

$$\mu_{g, h} r_{\mathbf{q}}(g, h) = \mu_{\phi(g), \phi(h)} r_{\mathbf{q}}(\phi(g), \phi(h)), \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

In this case, F_ϕ is an equivalence of ribbon categories between $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ with ribbon structures ϑ_1 , respectively, ϑ_2 .

If $\phi = \text{Id}_G$, the 2-cocycle $\mu = \{\mu_{g, h}\}$ is symmetric and hence trivial by [EGNO15, 8.4.13], thus we may disregard this additional data which does not impact the ribbon structure.

Using the dual R -matrix from (3.1.4), we may realize the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ as a braided Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$. Indeed, there is a full and faithful functor of braided monoidal categories

$$\Phi: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{k}G}{\mathbb{k}G} \text{YD},$$

which sends a $\mathbb{k}G$ -comodule V to itself, preserving the coaction, and using the $\mathbb{k}G$ -action given by

$$(3.1.8) \quad g \cdot v = r_{\mathbf{q}}(|v| \otimes g)v,$$

if v is homogeneous of degree $|v|$. This functor is a right inverse to the forgetful functor.

Proposition 3.7 ([LW22, Lemma 5.7]). *The Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a braided Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$, where the generator x_i has degree $g_i \in G$. \square*

We can apply Φ to $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ to obtain the usual realization of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ as a braided Hopf algebra in ${}_{\mathbb{k}G}^{\mathbb{k}G}\mathbf{YD}$. The action of the group G is given on generators by

$$(3.1.9) \quad g_i \cdot x_j = r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_j \otimes q_i)x_j = q_{ij}x_j.$$

3.1.3. *Weyl equivalence.* The action of the Weyl group on (the positive part of) the quantum group can be generalized to the setting of Nichols algebras, but a subtle difference needs to be taken into consideration. For details in the following construction, we refer to [Hec10].

Given a braiding matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ as above with finite dimensional Nichols algebra, one obtains a *generalized* Cartan matrix $C^{\mathbf{q}}$ by setting $c_{ii} = 2$ and

$$(3.1.10) \quad c_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\min \{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid (m+1)_{q_{ii}}(q_{ii}^m q_{ij}q_{ji} - 1) = 0\}, \quad i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{I}$, one can now define a reflection $s_i^{\mathbf{q}}: \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$ given by $s_i^{\mathbf{q}}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - c_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}\alpha_i$ for $j \in \mathbb{I}$. This reflection in turn gives rise to a new braiding matrix $\rho_i(\mathbf{q})$ with entries

$$(3.1.11) \quad \rho_i(\mathbf{q})_{kj} = \mathbf{q}(s_i^{\mathbf{q}}(\alpha_k), s_i^{\mathbf{q}}(\alpha_j)), \quad k, j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

In general, $\rho_i(\mathbf{q})$ will differ from \mathbf{q} ; however, we have an algebra isomorphism

$$T_i: \text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}) \rightarrow \text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\rho_i(\mathbf{q})} \rtimes \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}).$$

The fact that these two braiding matrices might be different is the main reason why, for Nichols algebras, one needs to consider Weyl *groupoids* rather than just groups.

The *Weyl class* of \mathbf{q} is then defined as the set of reflections $\rho_{i_1} \dots \rho_{i_k}(\mathbf{q})$ for arbitrary $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{I}$. Note that, even though the Drinfeld doubles of the Nichols algebras for \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' in the same Weyl class are isomorphic as algebras, there are, in general, non-isomorphic as Hopf algebras.

3.1.4. *Nichols algebras of type Super A.* We introduce a family of Nichols algebras related to Lie superalgebras of type A . Recall that $r \geq 2$ and $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_r = \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Definition 3.8. Consider a non-empty subset $\mathbb{J} \subset \mathbb{I}$ and $q \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$ with $q^2 \neq 1$. We say that $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ is of super-type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ if it satisfies:

- (1) $q_{rr}^2 \tilde{q}_{r-1r} = q$.
- (2) If $|i - j| \geq 2$ then $\tilde{q}_{ij} = 1$.
- (3) If $i \in \mathbb{J}$ then $q_{ii} = -1$ and $\tilde{q}_{i-1i} = \tilde{q}_{ii+1}^{-1}$.
- (4) If $i \notin \mathbb{J}$ then $q_{ii} = q^{\pm 1}$ and $\tilde{q}_{i-1i} = q_{ii}^{-1} = \tilde{q}_{ii+1}$.

Remark 3.9. Note that the Dynkin diagram of any \mathbf{q} of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ is determined by q and \mathbb{J} . Indeed, one first determines q_{rr} and \tilde{q}_{r-1r} : if $r \in \mathbb{J}$ then $\tilde{q}_{r-1r} = q$ by (1); if $r \notin \mathbb{J}$ then $q_{rr} = q = \tilde{q}_{r-1r}^{-1}$ by (4) and (1). Now we can use (3) and (4) to determine q_{r-1r-1} and \tilde{q}_{r-2r-1} . In this way the entire diagram is computed. In particular, we get $\tilde{q}_{ii+1} = q^{\pm 1}$ for all $i < r$.

The set of positive roots of this Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is

$$(3.1.12) \quad \Delta_+^{\mathbf{q}} = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid i \leq j \in \mathbb{I}\}, \quad \alpha_{ij} := \sum_{k=i}^j \alpha_k.$$

The *parity* map associated to \mathbb{J} is the group homomorphism

$$(3.1.13) \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}: \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{J}} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}(\alpha_i) = -1 \iff i \in \mathbb{J}.$$

An element $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{J}}$ is *even* if $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}(\beta) = 1$, and it is *odd* otherwise. It is easy to see that $N_{\beta} = \text{ord } q$ if β is even and $N_{\beta} = 2$ if β is odd. So the PBW basis of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is of the form

$$(3.1.14) \quad \left\{ \prod_{i \leq j} x_{(ij)}^{n_{ij}} \mid 0 \leq n_{ij} < \text{ord } q \text{ if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is even, } 0 \leq n_{ij} < 2 \text{ if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is odd} \right\}.$$

By [AA17, §5.1.9], the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ for $\text{ord } q = N$ is presented by generators x_1, \dots, x_r subject to the relations

$$(3.1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{ij} &= 0 \quad (i < j - 1), & x_{iii+1} &= 0 \quad (i \notin \mathbb{J}), & x_i^2 &= 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}), \\ [x_{(i-1i+1)}, x_i]_{\mathbf{q}} &= 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}), & x_{(ij)}^N &= 0 \quad (\alpha_{ij} \text{ even root}). \end{aligned}$$

For example, using the definition of the braided commutators (3.1.2), for $i \in \mathbb{J}$ we have

$$(3.1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} [x_{(i-1i+1)}, x_i]_{\mathbf{q}} &= x_{i-1}x_i x_{i+1}x_i - q_{i-1,i}(1 + \tilde{q}_{i,i+1})x_i x_{i-1}x_{i+1}x_i + q_{i-1,i}q_{i+1,i}x_i x_{i-1}x_i x_{i+1} \\ &\quad + q_{i-1,i}q_{i-1,i+1}q_{i,i+1}x_{i+1}x_i x_{i-1}x_i + q_{i-1,i}^2 q_{i-1,i+1} \tilde{q}_{i,i+1} x_i x_{i+1}x_i x_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The collection of generalized Cartan matrices $C^{\mathbf{q}}$ from (3.1.10) remains constant as \mathbf{q} specializes to any diagram of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$. Actually, $C^{\mathbf{q}}$ is the usual $r \times r$ Cartan matrix of type A, and the corresponding $s_i^{\mathbf{q}}$ are the usual reflections of \mathbb{Z}^r . This simplifies the computation of the Weyl classes in type Super A, which we briefly summarize next.

If $\mathbb{J} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, we consider the quantity $S_{\mathbb{J}} = \left| \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j i_j \right|$. Weyl classes of Nichols algebras of type Super A of rank r based on a root of unity q are parametrized by integers j with $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \rfloor$. In case $j < \frac{r+1}{2}$, the class associated to j contains all the Dynkin diagrams $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ with $S_{\mathbb{J}} = j$, and all the $\mathbf{A}_r(q^{-1}|\mathbb{J})$ with $S_{\mathbb{J}} = r + 1 - j$. See [AA17, Section 5.1] for the class of $j = \frac{r+1}{2}$ when r is odd.

Example 3.10. In rank $r = 2$, for a fixed root of unity q there is a unique Weyl class, corresponding to $j = 1$. This class contains the diagrams $\mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1, 2\})$, $\mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1\})$ and $\mathbf{A}_2(q^{-1}|\{2\})$. The following picture illustrates the action of the reflections ρ_1, ρ_2 from (3.1.11) on each of these diagrams.

$$\rho_2 \curvearrowright \mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1\}) \xleftarrow{\rho_1} \mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1, 2\}) \xleftarrow{\rho_2} \mathbf{A}_2(q^{-1}|\{2\}) \curvearrowleft \rho_1$$

Remark 3.11. In contrast with the theory of simple Lie algebras, to a single simple Lie superalgebra one can associate a collection of distinct Dynkin diagrams, due to the existence of so-called odd reflections. However, there is a distinguished choice. For the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, the distinguished Dynkin diagram has $m + n - 1$ vertices, with a unique odd vertex in the m -th position, see e.g. [Kac77] and [Mus12, Section 3.4.5]. The same choice is usually adopted in the study of quantized enveloping algebras [KT91, Yam94].

Among all Nichols algebras of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$, later on we will become particularly interested in the case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$, i.e., all simple roots are odd. In this situation, $S_{\mathbb{I}} = \frac{r}{2}$ if r is even, and $S_{\mathbb{I}} = \frac{r+1}{2}$ when r is odd. This means that $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$ is Weyl equivalent to $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\{S_{\mathbb{I}}\})$, a Nichols algebra of type Super A with a unique odd simple root in the position $S_{\mathbb{I}}$. Thus, we may say that the examples $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$, with r even are of super-type $\mathfrak{sl}(\frac{r}{2}|\frac{r}{2} + 1)$.

3.1.5. *Our setup.* Here we establish the assumption to be used throughout the rest of the paper:

$$(3.1.17) \quad \mathbf{q} = (q_{ij}) \text{ is of type } \mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J}), \quad 2 < 2n = N := \text{ord } q \text{ is even,} \quad q_{ij} = 1 \text{ for all } j \neq i, i+1.$$

Hence $\dim \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} < \infty$ and \mathbf{q} admits a realization over the finite abelian group

$$(3.1.18) \quad G = G_{r,N} := \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^N = 1 \ \forall i \rangle.$$

Since q has even order $N = 2n > 2$, we can define the matrix $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}} = (u_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}}$ by

$$(3.1.19) \quad u_{ii}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{J}, \\ 1, & \text{if } q_{ii} = q, \\ -1, & \text{if } q_{ii} = q^{-1}; \end{cases} \quad u_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \neq i+1, \\ 1, & \text{if } j = i+1 \text{ and } \tilde{q}_{ii+1} = q, \\ -1, & \text{if } j = i+1 \text{ and } \tilde{q}_{ii+1} = q^{-1}; \end{cases} \quad i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

We note that $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is upper triangular and, by (3.1.17), it contains all information about \mathbf{q} as

$$q_{ij} = q^{u_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ the symmetrization of $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}}$, i.e. $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}})^t \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}}$. Explicitly, $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (t_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}}$ is given by

$$(3.1.20) \quad t_{ii}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{J}, \\ 2, & \text{if } q_{ii} = q, \\ -2, & \text{if } q_{ii} = q^{-1}; \end{cases} \quad t_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \neq i \pm 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } j = i \pm 1 \text{ and } \tilde{q}_{ij} = q, \\ -1, & \text{if } j = i \pm 1 \text{ and } \tilde{q}_{ii+1} = q^{-1}; \end{cases} \quad i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

The importance of this (symmetric, tridiagonal) matrix resides in the following:

$$b_{\mathbf{q}}(g_i, g_j) = q_{ij} q_{ji} = q^{t_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}}}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{I}.$$

3.2. The braided Drinfeld double of a Nichols algebra. In this section, we describe how to construct quasitriangular Hopf algebras, called *braided Drinfeld doubles*, from Nichols algebras of diagonal type (cf. [Lau19, Lau20, LW21, LW22]). We display the braided Drinfeld double as a quotient of the Drinfeld double of the bosonization in Proposition 3.16. We note that Drinfeld double of bosonization of Nichols algebras were studied in [Hec10, AY15, Vay19] and other papers. We choose to work with braided Drinfeld doubles in order to capture the smaller relative Drinfeld centers through their representations, justified by Section 2.3.

To fix notation, let $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})$ denote a matrix of non-zero scalars such that the associated Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is finite-dimensional and admits a realization over a finite abelian group $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^{n_i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, r \rangle$ as in Section 3.1.2.

On one hand, consider the category ${}_{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}}^{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}}\text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})$ of Yetter–Drinfeld modules over $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ in the braided category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$. This category is equivalent to the relative center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]\text{-mod})$, see [Lau20, Section 4.2], [LW22, Proposition 5.11], and versions of it already appeared in [Bes97, Maj99].

On the other hand, we consider $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$, a copy of G written additively, with generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ (we keep the notation used for the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^r). We can use tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_r) = i_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + i_r \alpha_r$ of Λ to enumerate the elements $g_{\mathbf{i}} = g_1^{i_1} \dots g_r^{i_r}$ of G . Thus, $\{g_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \Lambda\}$ is the usual basis for the group algebra $\mathbb{k}G$. Further, we use the dual basis $\{\delta_{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathbf{i} \in \Lambda\}$ for $\mathbb{k}[G] = (\mathbb{k}G)^*$ and fix notation for the following group-like elements in $\mathbb{k}[G]$:

$$(3.2.1) \quad \gamma_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{i}}) \delta_{\mathbf{j}}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} r_{\mathbf{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{j}}) \delta_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Let $V = \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$, $c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i$, denote the braided vector space determined by \mathbf{q} . By [HS20, Section 7.2] both V and the dual braided vector space $V^* = \mathbb{k}\langle y_1, \dots, y_r \rangle$, $c(y_i \otimes y_j) = q_{ij}y_j \otimes y_i$, are objects in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ in such a way that the categorical braidings coincide with the given vector space braidings. The associated Nichols algebras are denoted by $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, as in Section 3.1, and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$. Moreover, the evaluation map $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ extends uniquely to a non-degenerate pairing

$$(-, -): \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

of Hopf algebras in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$.

There is a set of homogeneous generators for the defining ideals $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, respectively, the ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ of relations of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$. We refer to those generators as the *Nichols relations*, see Section 3.1.1.

Definition 3.12 (Braided Drinfeld double). The braided Drinfeld double $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ is the Hopf algebra generated as an algebra x_i, y_j , and $\delta_{\mathbf{i}}$, for $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r$, subject to the relations

$$(3.2.2) \quad \delta_{\mathbf{i}}\delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\delta_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{i}}x_j = x_j\delta_{\mathbf{i}-\alpha_j}, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{i}}y_j = y_j\delta_{\mathbf{i}+\alpha_j},$$

$$(3.2.3) \quad y_i x_j - q_{ji} x_j y_i = \delta_{i,j}(1 - \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i),$$

and the Nichols relations in $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$.

The coproduct, counit, and antipode of $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ is given on generators by

$$(3.2.4) \quad \Delta(\delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{i}} \delta_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{b}}, \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + \gamma_i \otimes x_i, \quad \Delta(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + \bar{\gamma}_i \otimes y_i,$$

$$(3.2.5) \quad \varepsilon(\delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i},0}, \quad \varepsilon(x_i) = \varepsilon(y_i) = 0,$$

$$(3.2.6) \quad S(\delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \delta_{-\mathbf{i}}, \quad S(x_i) = -\bar{\gamma}_i^{-1}x_i, \quad S(y_i) = -\gamma_i^{-1}y_i.$$

In particular, we derive the additional relations

$$(3.2.7) \quad \gamma_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j \gamma_i, \quad \gamma_i y_j = q_{ij}^{-1} y_j \gamma_i,$$

$$(3.2.8) \quad \bar{\gamma}_i x_j = q_{ji} x_j \bar{\gamma}_i, \quad \bar{\gamma}_i y_j = q_{ji}^{-1} y_j \bar{\gamma}_i.$$

A presentation of $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ as above was given in [LW22, Proposition 5.9]¹. Note that Equation (3.2.3) implies that $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ has a triangular decomposition as a vector space as the tensor product $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$. We do not prove directly that $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ is a Hopf algebra. This statement will be a consequence of the following proposition and [Maj00, Section 9.4].

Proposition 3.13. *There is an equivalence of braided monoidal categories between $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{q}}\text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})$ and the category of left modules over $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$.*

Proof. The category $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{q}}\text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})$ consists of objects W in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ with a left action $a: B \otimes W \rightarrow W$ and coaction $\delta: W \rightarrow B \otimes W$ by $B = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, which are compatible through the YD condition

$$(3.2.9) \quad (m \otimes a)(\text{Id}_B \otimes \Psi_{B,B} \text{Id}_W)(\Delta \otimes \delta) = (m \text{Id}_W)(\text{Id}_B \otimes \Psi_{W,B})(\delta a \text{Id}_W)(\text{Id}_B \otimes \Psi_{B,W})(\Delta \text{Id}_W),$$

cf. [Lau19, Definition 2.1], [Bes97, Section 3.3]. The left $\mathbb{k}G$ -coaction becomes a left $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -action via $\delta_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot w := \delta_{\mathbf{i},|w|}w$, where $|w|$ is the G -degree of w . The assignment $\text{ev}(y_i \otimes x_j) := \delta_{i,j}$ extends to a non-degenerate pairing of Hopf algebras in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$, $\text{ev}: \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ is the Nichols

¹Note the present paper corrects the presentation to use $y_i x_j - q_{ji} x_j y_i$ instead of $y_i x_j - q_{ji}^{-1} x_j y_i$ as in [LW22].

algebra of the comodule dual to \mathfrak{q} [HS20, Theorem 7.2.3] with basis y_1, \dots, y_r . The left B -coaction δ induces a left action of $(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)^{\text{cop}}$, the braided Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\text{rev}}$ with braided opposite coproduct $\Delta^{\text{cop}} = c^{-1}\Delta$, via

$$(3.2.10) \quad y \cdot w = \text{ev}(y \otimes w^{(-1)})w^{(0)}, \quad y \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, w \in W, \quad \text{where } \delta(w) = w^{(-1)} \otimes w^{(0)}.$$

The proof now proceeds as in [Lau19, Proposition 3.6] to show that the obtained actions of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$, $\mathbb{k}[G]$, and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ define an action of the algebra $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)$ (denoted by $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ in [Lau19]) on W which gives an equivalence of monoidal categories

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\text{q}}\text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)\text{-mod}.$$

It remains to specify the relations derived in [Lau19] to the case of Nichols algebras over groups. The universal R -matrix for $\mathbb{k}[G]$ obtained by dualizing the dual R -matrix of $\mathbb{k}G$ is given by

$$(3.2.11) \quad R = r_* = \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{j}}, g_{\mathbf{i}}) \delta_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \delta_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

With this notation, [Lau19, Equation (3.18)] specializes to

$$\begin{aligned} y_i x_j - q_{ji} x_j y_i &= \text{ev}(y_i \otimes x_j) \left(1 - \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1})^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{i}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{k}}) \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \\ &= \delta_{i,j} \left(1 - \sum_{\mathbf{i}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{i}}) r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{i}}) \delta_{\mathbf{i}} \right) = \delta_{i,j} (1 - \gamma_i \bar{\gamma}_i), \end{aligned}$$

recovering (3.2.3). As $|x_i| = g_i$ and $|y_i| = g_i^{-1}$, (3.2.2) holds.

The coproducts of the Nichols algebra generators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_i) &= x_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{\mathbf{i}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{j}}) \delta_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes x_i = x_i \otimes 1 + \gamma_i \otimes x_i, \\ \Delta(y_i) &= y_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{\mathbf{i}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{i}}^{-1})^{-1} \delta_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes y_i = y_i \otimes 1 + \bar{\gamma}_i \otimes y_i, \end{aligned}$$

verifying (3.2.4). The remaining relations (3.2.5)–(3.2.6) are direct consequences of the coproduct formulas on the generators, using that $\gamma_i, \bar{\gamma}_i$ are grouplike elements and $\mathbb{k}[G]$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)$. \square

As another consequence of Proposition 3.13, we obtain an R -matrix for this braided double.

Corollary 3.14. *If $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is finite-dimensional, then the Hopf algebra $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)$ is quasi-triangular, with universal R -matrix given by*

$$(3.2.12) \quad R_{\text{Drin}} = \sum_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} r_{\mathfrak{q}}(g_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes g_{\mathbf{j}}) \delta_{\mathbf{i}} y_{\alpha} \otimes x_{\alpha} \delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\alpha, \mathbf{j}} \bar{\gamma}_{\mathbf{j}} y_{\alpha} \otimes x_{\alpha} \delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\alpha, \mathbf{i}} \delta_{\mathbf{i}} y_{\alpha} \otimes x_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mathbf{i}},$$

where $\{y_{\alpha}\}$ is the basis for $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ which is dual to a basis $\{x_{\alpha}\}$ for $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Since $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\text{q}}\text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}})$ is also equivalent to the relative center $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]\text{-mod})$, see [Lau20, Section 4.2], [LW22, Proposition 5.11], we can later use Theorem 2.10 to investigate modularity of the braided Drinfeld double, as done in [LW22, Proposition 5.15].

In the remainder of this section, we will display the braided Drinfeld double $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)$ as a Hopf algebra quotient of the Drinfeld double of the bosonization $H := \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$. In order to construct this quotient homomorphism, we employ the following functor [LW21, Example 3.11].

Lemma 3.15. *There is a fully faithful functor of braided tensor categories*

$$I: \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}) \rightarrow \mathop{H\!/\!H}\text{YD}(\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}).$$

Proof. The functor I sends a $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -Yetter–Drinfeld module $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$, described by a left $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -action a_V and coaction δ_V ,

$$a_V: \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes V \rightarrow V, \quad x \otimes v \mapsto xv \quad \delta_V: V \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes V, \quad v \mapsto v^{(-1)} \otimes v^{(0)},$$

to the the H -Yetter–Drinfeld module V together with left H -action and coaction given by

$$(x \otimes \delta_g) \otimes v_h = \delta_{g,h} x v_h, \quad \delta^H(v_h) = (v^{(-1)} \otimes \bar{\gamma}_h) \otimes v_h,$$

for all $v_h \in V_h$. On morphisms, I is given by the identity which is clearly faithful. Any morphism of H -Yetter–Drinfeld modules preserves the G -grading and commutes with the $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -action and coaction. Thus, I is full. \square

The Hopf algebra dual H^* is defined on the vector space $\mathbb{k}G \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ with duality pairing given by

$$(-, -): (\mathbb{k}G \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*) \otimes (\mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, \quad (g \otimes y, f \otimes x) = f(g)(y, x),$$

where (y, x) is the value of $x \otimes y$ under the pairing $(-, -): \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ of braided Hopf algebras described in Section 3.2.

Proposition 3.16. *There is a surjective homomorphism of Hopf algebras over \mathbb{k}*

$$\varphi: \text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*),$$

given by sending $g_i \in G$ to $\bar{\gamma}_i \in \mathbb{k}[G] \subset \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ and by identities on $\mathbb{k}[G]$, $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$.

Proof. By reconstruction theory (see e.g. [Maj00, Chapter 9]) functor I from Lemma 3.15, which is compatible with the respective forgetful functors to $\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$, induces a surjective morphism of \mathbb{k} -Hopf algebras φ as stated. This uses the equivalences of categories from Proposition 3.13 appearing as vertical arrows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \text{YD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}) & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathop{H\!/\!H}\text{YD}(\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\varphi}} & \text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G])\text{-mod}, \end{array}$$

where Res_{φ} is the restriction functor along φ . Tracing the image of a $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -YD module V in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ through both paths of the diagram, we see that elements $x \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, $y \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$, and $\delta_g \in \mathbb{k}[G]$ act the same way whether regarded as an element of $\text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G])$ or $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$. Namely, x acts via the given $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ action, y acts by dualizing the $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -coaction, i.e., $y \cdot v = (y, v^{(-1)})v^{(0)}$, and $\delta_g \cdot v = \delta_{g,|v|}v$.

Starting with an element $g_i \in G$, we can regard g_i as an element of $\text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G])$; as such, it acts on $I(V)$ via

$$g_i \cdot v = r(g_i, |v|)v = \bar{\gamma}_i \cdot v.$$

The right hand side describes the action of $\bar{\gamma}_i \in \text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ on V . As this equality holds for a general $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ -YD module V in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$, it follows that $\varphi(g_i) = \bar{\gamma}_i$. \square

4. CLASSIFICATION OF SPHERICAL AND RIBBON STRUCTURES

We now restrict to considering Nichols algebras associated to parameters \mathbf{q} of type Super A, using the setup from Section 3.1.5. In this section, we first establish conditions for non-degeneracy of the base category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ in Section 4.1 before characterizing when the bosonizations $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ of the Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of super-type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ are unimodular and admit a non-semisimple spherical structure in Sections 4.2 and 4.3. Finally, we classify ribbon structures for the Drinfeld double of these bosonizations in Section 4.4.

4.1. Non-degeneracy of the base category. In this section, we establish criteria for the base category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ to be non-degenerate. We use the notation introduced in Section 3.1.5. In particular, \mathbf{q} is of super-type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$, where q is a root of unity of even order $N = 2n > 2$.

Proposition 4.1. *The braided category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate if and only if the determinant of $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is relative prime to N .*

Proof. We know from [EGNO15, Example 8.13.5] that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate if and only if so is the pairing $b_{\mathbf{q}}$. Notice that a generic element $g = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{m_i}$ of G is in the radical of $b_{\mathbf{q}}$ if and only if $b_{\mathbf{q}}(g, g_j) = 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. But

$$b_{\mathbf{q}}(g, g_j) = q^{-\sum_i t_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}} m_i} = q^{\sum_i t_{ji}^{\mathbf{q}} m_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

This means that $g = \prod_{i=1}^r g_i^{m_i}$ is in the radical if and only if $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ annihilates the vector $[m_1 \dots m_r]^t$ modulo $\text{ord } q = N$. Hence the radical is trivial precisely when the reduction modulo N of $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is invertible in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{r \times r}$. \square

Next, we derive an explicit formula for the determinant of $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$. We start with a very particular case that will be revisited in Section 4.2.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathbf{q} of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I}_r)$ (i.e. $q_{ii} = -1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_r$). Then the determinant of $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is 0 if r is odd and $(-1)^{r/2}$ otherwise. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate if and only if r is even.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathbf{t}^{(r)}$ the matrix associated to $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I}_r)$. It is easy to see that $\det \mathbf{t}^{(r)} = -\det \mathbf{t}^{(r-2)}$. Since $\det \mathbf{t}^{(2)} = -1$ and $\det \mathbf{t}^{(3)} = 0$, the claim follows inductively. \square

To attack the general case, we will need more vocabulary. Recall that the *companion matrix* Comp_{α} of a monic polynomial $\alpha(x) = x^r + a_{r-1}x^{r-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ is

$$\text{Comp}_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{r-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the characteristic polynomial of Comp_{α} is α .

Given \mathbf{q} of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$, using the parity morphism $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}$ from (3.1.13), we define a family of signs

$$(4.1.1) \quad \varepsilon^{\mathbf{q}} = (\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}, \dots, \varepsilon_r^{\mathbf{q}}) \in \{\pm 1\}^r, \quad \varepsilon_j^{\mathbf{q}} := \mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}(\alpha_{jr}) = \mathbf{p}_{\mathbb{J}}(\alpha_j + \dots + \alpha_r), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

Associated to them we have an upper triangular matrix $E^{\mathbf{q}}$ and a monic polynomial $\alpha^{\mathbf{q}}$ given by

$$(4.1.2) \quad E^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} & \cdots & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}} & \cdots & \varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varepsilon_r^{\mathbf{q}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^{\mathbf{q}}(x) = x^r + \varepsilon_r^{\mathbf{q}}x^{r-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}}x + \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}.$$

Next we establish relations between some entries of $E^{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ defined in (3.1.20).

Lemma 4.3. *These signs satisfy $\varepsilon_j^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j-1,j}^{\mathbf{q}}$ for $2 \leq j \leq r$ and $\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}}$.*

Proof. We verify the first equality by induction on j , starting with $j = r$ and going all the way back to $j = 2$. For the base case we need to show that $\varepsilon_r^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{r-1,r}^{\mathbf{q}}$, which becomes evident when considering separately the cases $r \in \mathbb{J}$ and $r \notin \mathbb{J}$. Assume now that $\varepsilon_{j+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j,j+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$ for some $2 \leq j < r$. If $j \in \mathbb{J}$ then $\mathbf{t}_{j-1,j}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j,j+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$ by condition (3) of Definition 3.8, so we have $\varepsilon_j^{\mathbf{q}} = -\varepsilon_{j+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{j,j+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j-1,j}^{\mathbf{q}}$. On the other hand, if $j \notin \mathbb{J}$ then $\mathbf{t}_{j-1,j}^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{j,j+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$ by condition (4), and now we have $\varepsilon_j^{\mathbf{q}} = \varepsilon_{j+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j,j+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{j-1,j}^{\mathbf{q}}$.

Finally, we use the equality above for $j = 2$ to show that $\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}}$. If $1 \in \mathbb{J}$, since $\mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ we get $\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} = -\varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}}$. For the case $1 \notin \mathbb{J}$ we have $\mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} = -2\mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}}$ by (4) of Definition 3.8, thus $\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} = \varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}} = -\mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{t}_{1,1}^{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{t}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{q}}$, as claimed. \square

The determinant of $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ can be computed using the following.

Proposition 4.4. *We have $E^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = \text{Id} - \text{Comp}_{\alpha^{\mathbf{q}}}$. In particular $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (\det E^{\mathbf{q}})\alpha^{\mathbf{q}}(1) = \pm\alpha^{\mathbf{q}}(1)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $r \geq 2$. For $r = 2$ we verify this case by case:

- $\mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1\})$: $E^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id} - \text{Comp}_{x^2+x-1}$;
- $\mathbf{A}_2(q|\{2\})$: $E^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id} - \text{Comp}_{x^2-x-1}$;
- $\mathbf{A}_2(q|\{1,2\})$: $E^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \text{Id} - \text{Comp}_{x^2-x+1}$.

Now let $r > 2$. Denote by $\mathbf{q}_{>1}$ the matrix obtained from \mathbf{q} by erasing the first row and column, which is of super-type $\mathbf{A}_{r-1}(q|\mathbb{J} - \{1\})$. Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbf{q}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} & \cdots & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}} \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & E^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{11}^{\mathbf{q}} & t_{12}^{\mathbf{q}} & \cdots & 0 \\ t_{21}^{\mathbf{q}} & & & \\ \vdots & & \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}(t_{11}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{21}^{\mathbf{q}}) & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}(t_{12}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{22}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{32}^{\mathbf{q}}) & \cdots & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}(t_{r-2r-1}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{r-1r-1}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{rr-1}^{\mathbf{q}}) & \varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}(t_{r-1r}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{rr}^{\mathbf{q}}) \\ \varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}}t_{21}^{\mathbf{q}} & & & & \\ \vdots & & E^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}} & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we have $E^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}}\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}} = \text{Id} - \text{Comp}_{\alpha^{\mathbf{q}_{>1}}}$. From the conditions (3) and (4) in Definition 3.8 it follows that $t_{i-1,i}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{ii}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{i+1,i}^{\mathbf{q}} = 0$ for all $1 < i < r$, and condition (1) implies that $t_{r-1r}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{rr}^{\mathbf{q}} = 1$. Now Lemma 4.3 warrants that $\varepsilon_2^{\mathbf{q}}t_{21}^{\mathbf{q}} = -1$ and $\varepsilon_1^{\mathbf{q}}(t_{11}^{\mathbf{q}} + t_{21}^{\mathbf{q}}) = 1$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let \mathbf{q} be of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ and consider the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ from Definition 3.1.*

- (1) *If r is even, $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is a non-zero odd integer. In particular, there exist a root of unity q such that the braiding on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate.*
- (2) *If r is odd, $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is an even integer. In particular, the resulting braiding on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is degenerate for all q .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.4 it follows that $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = \pm(1 + k - h)$ where $k + h = r$ is a decomposition of natural numbers. If r is even, then so is $k - h$ and hence $\pm(1 + k - h)$ is an odd integer. However, if r is odd, then $k - h$ is odd so $\pm(1 + k - h)$ is even. In the case of r even, this implies that $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is invertible modulo $N = \text{ord } q$ (or $2N$ if N is odd) as long as $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is coprime to N . Similarly, if r is odd, $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ is never coprime to the even number N . \square

Note that $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ might not be coprime to the order of q causing the braiding on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ to be degenerate. For example, if q is a $3m$ -th root of unity, then braidings of type $\mathbf{A}_4(q|\{1\})$ give a degenerate braiding on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ (see Table 3).

Example 4.6. For $r = 1$, there are no generalized Dynkin diagrams of type Super A. In Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3 we collect the generalized Dynkin diagrams and values of $\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$ for all \mathbf{q} of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ with $r = 2, 3$, and 4, respectively, omitting symmetries of inverting the vertex order and interchanging q with q^{-1} .

Type	Generalized Dynkin diagram	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$
$\mathbf{A}_2(q \{1\})$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q^{-1} \quad q \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 - 1) = -1$
$\mathbf{A}_2(q \mathbb{I}_2)$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^1(1 - 1 + 1) = -1$

TABLE 1. Generalized Dynkin diagrams of type Super A and rank $r = 2$

Type	Generalized Dynkin diagram	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}}$
$\mathbf{A}_3(q \{1\})$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q^{-1} \quad q \quad q^{-1} \quad q \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 + 1 - 1) = -2$
$\mathbf{A}_3(q \{2\})$	$\begin{array}{c} q^{-1} \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} \quad q \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^2(1 + 1 - 1 - 1) = 0$
$\mathbf{A}_3(q \{1, 2\})$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad -1 \quad q^{-1} \quad q \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 - 1 + 1) = -2$
$\mathbf{A}_3(q \{1, 3\})$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q \quad q^{-1} \quad q \quad -1 \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^2(1 - 1 - 1 + 1) = 0$
$\mathbf{A}_3(q \mathbb{I}_3)$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \quad q^{-1} \quad -1 \quad q \quad -1 \\ \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \quad \text{---} \quad \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{q}} = (-1)^2(1 - 1 + 1 - 1) = 0$

TABLE 2. Generalized Dynkin diagrams of type Super A and rank $r = 3$

Type	Generalized Dynkin diagram	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}}$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 + 1 + 1 - 1) = -3$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{2\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} q^{-1} & q & -1 & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^2(1 + 1 + 1 - 1 - 1) = 1$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1, 2\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q & -1 & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 + 1 - 1 + 1) = -3$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1, 3\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q & q^{-1} & q & -1 & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^2(1 + 1 - 1 - 1 + 1) = 1$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{2, 3\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} q & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^1(1 + 1 - 1 + 1 + 1) = -3$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1, 4\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & q & -1 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^3(+1 - 1 - 1 - 1 + 1) = 1$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1, 2, 3\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 & q^{-1} & q \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^2(+1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1) = 1$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \{1, 3, 4\})$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q^{-1} & q & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^2(+1 - 1 + 1 + 1 - 1) = 1$
$\mathbf{A}_4(q \mathbb{I}_4)$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1 & q & -1 & q^{-1} & -1 & q & -1 \\ \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \end{array}$	$\det \mathbf{t}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (-1)^2(+1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1) = 1$

TABLE 3. Generalized Dynkin diagrams of type Super A and rank $r = 4$

4.2. **Characterization of unimodular Nichols algebras of type Super A.** Let \mathfrak{q} be of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ as in (3.1.17). Here we assume that $\text{ord}(q) = N = 2n$ is even.²

We first recall a general observation about the distinguished grouplike elements of the bosonization $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ of a Nichols algebra due to [Bur08]. Here, we use the statement in the form of [LW22, Lemma 5.14]. Recall that g_{ℓ} denotes the G -degree of the top \mathbb{Z} -degree element x_{ℓ} of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Let us denote by $\delta_{\mathbf{i}_{\ell}} \in \mathbb{k}[G]$ the dual element to g_{ℓ} , with $\mathbf{i}_{\ell} \in \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_N^{\times r}$.

Lemma 4.7. *The distinguished grouplike elements of $H = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ are given by*

$$g_H = 1 \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{i}_{\ell}}, \quad \alpha_H(x \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \varepsilon(x) \delta_{\mathbf{i}, -\mathbf{i}_{\ell}}.$$

In particular, H -mod is unimodular if and only if $\mathbf{i}_{\ell} = 0$, which then implies that $g_H = 1$.

Lemma 4.8. *The group degree g_{ℓ} of the top \mathbb{Z} -degree element x_{ℓ} of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is given by*

$$(4.2.1) \quad g_{\ell} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{J}} g_j \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I} \setminus \mathbb{J}} g_i^{N-1} \prod_{i < j \in \mathbb{I}} (g_i \dots g_j)^{n_{ij}}, \quad \text{for} \quad n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\mathbb{I}_{i,j} \cap \mathbb{J}| \text{ is odd,} \\ -1 & \text{if } |\mathbb{I}_{i,j} \cap \mathbb{J}| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The PBW basis from (3.1.14) implies that, up to reordering the factors, we have

$$x_{\ell} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{J}} x_j \prod_{i \in \mathbb{I} \setminus \mathbb{J}} x_i^{N-1} \prod_{i < j \in \mathbb{I}} x_{(ij)}^{n_{ij}},$$

²If N is odd, we can realize $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ over $(\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z})^r$ but then we cannot achieve non-degeneracy of the base category. Thus, we do not consider this case here.

where

$$n_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_{(ij)} \text{ is an odd root} \\ N-1 & \text{if } \alpha_{(ij)} \text{ is an even root} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\mathbb{I}_{ij} \cap \mathbb{J}| \text{ is odd} \\ N-1 & \text{if } |\mathbb{I}_{ij} \cap \mathbb{J}| \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This implies the claimed formula, using $g_i^N = 1$. \square

Now we study unimodularity of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$. As in Section 4.1, we start with a particular case.

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{J}$ and $\text{ord}(q) = 2n, n > 1$. Then the bosonization $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ is unimodular if and only if r is even.*

Proof. Under use of Lemma 4.7, we prove the claim by investigating when $g_\ell = 1$. In order to verify the claim, we count the number of *connected* subsets of \mathbb{I} (i.e, of the form $\mathbb{I}_{k,j}$ with $1 \leq k \leq j \leq r$) which contain a fixed vertex $i \in \mathbb{I}$ of prescribed length l . These subsets correspond to root vectors of \mathbb{Z} -degree l whose G -degrees contribute a power of g_i to g_ℓ .

Consider the case when $i \leq \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$.

- (1) For $l = 1, \dots, i$ there exist l such subsets, namely, the subsets $(i-a, \dots, i-a+l-1)$ for any $a = 0, \dots, l-1$.
- (2) For $l = i+1, \dots, r-i+1$, there are i such subsets, namely, the subsets $(i-a, \dots, i-a+l-1)$ for any $a = 0, \dots, i-1$.
- (3) For $l = r-j+1$, with $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, there are j such subsets, namely, the subsets $(i-a, \dots, i-a+l-1)$ for any $i-j \leq a \leq i-1$.

Hence, there are equally many subsets of \mathbb{I} containing i of length l as of length $r-l+1$. The same symmetry holds for the subsets containing a fixed vertex $i > \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$.

Using Lemma 4.8, we can now compute the power a_i of g_i in g_ℓ . If r is even, then for any $1 \leq l \leq r/2$, l is even if and only if $r-l+1$ is odd. Thus, as there is an equal number of subsets of size l and $r-l+1$ contributed by the above list, the power $g_i^{\pm 1}$ contributed by a subset of length l cancels with the power contributed by a subset of length $r-l+1$. Thus, $a_i = 0$. However, if r is odd, then

$$a_1 = \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^j = -1.$$

and hence $g_\ell \neq 1$. Thus, $g_\ell = 1$ if and only if r is even. \square

Proposition 4.10. *Let \mathbf{q} as in (3.1.17). The bosonization $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ of the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ of type Super A is unimodular if and only if $q_{ii} = -1$ for all i (i.e. $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$) and r is even.*

Proof. We first establish the forward implication using a series of steps. For this, assume that $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ is unimodular. Then $g_\ell = 1$ for the group degree

$$g_\ell = g_1^{a_1} \dots g_r^{a_r}$$

of the integral x_ℓ of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$.

Step 0: We consider the collection of all connected subsets of \mathbb{I} which contain a fixed element $j \in \mathbb{I}$, denoted by $\text{Subsets}_j(\mathbb{I})$. We can decompose this collection of subsets into j subcollections that are all in bijection. Namely, consider for $1 \leq k \leq j$ the subcollection

$$\text{Subsets}_j^{\geq k}(\mathbb{I}) = \{\mathbb{I}_{k,t} | k \leq j \leq t \leq r\},$$

i.e. all connected subsets of \mathbb{I} with minimum k that contain j . For $k < j$, the bijection

$$(4.2.2) \quad \text{Subsets}_j^{\geq k}(\mathbb{I}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Subsets}_j^{\geq k+1}(\mathbb{I})$$

is given by removing k from the subset. The union

$$(4.2.3) \quad \text{Subsets}_j(\mathbb{I}) = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^j \text{Subsets}_j^{\geq k}(\mathbb{I})$$

gives all connected subsets of \mathbb{I} that contain j .

By Lemma 4.8, each subset S in Subsets_j contributes a factor $g_j^{\pm 1}$ to g_ℓ , and the power a_j of g_j in g_ℓ is the product of these factors. Thus, using the partition in (4.2.3) we can decompose *this power* a_j as

$$g_j^{a_j} = \prod_{k=1}^j g_j^{a_j^{\geq k}} = g_j^{\sum_{k=1}^j a_j^{\geq k}},$$

where $g_j^{a_j^{\geq k}}$ summarizes the factors contributed by subsets $S \in \text{Subsets}_j^{\geq k}(\mathbb{I})$.

The proof of the forward implication will proceed by induction on the rank r of \mathbf{q} . Assume given a generalized Dynkin diagram of type Super A and rank r such that $g_\ell = 1$. We enumerate the odd vertices by $\mathbb{J} = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$.

Step 1: Consider the power a_1 of g_1 in g_ℓ . The subsets of \mathbb{I} containing 1 are the sets $\mathbb{I}_{1,j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Using Lemma 4.8, we thus have

$$a_1 = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j),$$

where $i_0 := 1$ and $i_{k+1} := r + 1$.

Step 2: Consider the power a_2 of g_2 in g_ℓ . In Step 0, we have seen that

$$\text{Subsets}_2(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subsets}_2^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{I}) \sqcup \text{Subsets}_2^{\geq 2}(\mathbb{I}).$$

Thus, $a_2 = a_2^{\geq 1} + a_2^{\geq 2} \pmod N$. Hence, $a_2 = 0 \pmod N$ if and only if $a_2^{\geq 1} = -a_2^{\geq 2} \pmod N$. Assume for a contradiction that $1 \notin \mathbb{J}$. Then for any j , we have

$$|\mathbb{I}_{1,j} \cap \mathbb{J}| = |\mathbb{I}_{2,j} \cap \mathbb{J}|$$

which implies that $a_2^{\geq 1} = a_2^{\geq 2}$. Thus, $2a_2^{\geq 1} = 0 \pmod N = 2n$. Now, observe the equality

$$\text{Subsets}_2^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subsets}_1(\mathbb{I}) \setminus \{\mathbb{I}_{1,1}\}.$$

This gives $a_1 \pm 1 = a_2^{\geq 1} \pmod N$, thus $2a_1 \pm 2 = 2a_2^{\geq 1} \pmod N$. As both $2a_1$ and $2a_2^{\geq 1}$ vanish modulo N , we find that $2 = 0 \pmod N$, which contradicts $N > 2$. Hence, $1 \in \mathbb{J}$.

Step 3: Consider the power a_3 of g_3 in g_ℓ . In Step 0, we have seen that

$$\text{Subsets}_3(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subsets}_3^{\geq 1}(\mathbb{I}) \sqcup \text{Subsets}_3^{\geq 2}(\mathbb{I}) \sqcup \text{Subsets}_3^{\geq 3}(\mathbb{I}).$$

Hence $a_3 = a_3^{\geq 1} + a_3^{\geq 2} + a_3^{\geq 3} \pmod N$. Since $1 \in \mathbb{J}$, we know that $a_3^{\geq 1} + a_3^{\geq 2} = 0 \pmod N$. Thus, $a_3 = 0 \pmod N$ implies that $a_3^{\geq 3} = 0 \pmod N$.

With the notation from Step 1, we concluded in Step 2 that $i_1 = 1$, and if $2 \notin \mathbb{J}$, then $i_2 \geq 3$. We can determine $a_3^{\geq 3}$, using the notation of Step 1 but restricting to the subdiagram $\mathbb{I}_{3,r}$. Thus, we get

$$0 = a_3^{\geq 3} = \sum_{j=2}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)(i_2 - 3) \pmod{N}.$$

Together with the calculation in Step 1 this gives that

$$\sum_{j=2}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)(i_2 - 3) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) \pmod{N}.$$

This in turn implies

$$(-1)(i_2 - 3) = i_1 - i_0 + (-1)(i_2 - i_1) = 1 - 1 + (-1)(i_2 - 1) = (-1)(i_2 - 1) \pmod{N}.$$

Thus, $i_2 = i_2 + 2 \pmod{N}$ which contradicts $N > 2$. Thus, $2 \in \mathbb{J}$.

Step 4: We now claim that for any $2 \leq s < r$ if $1, \dots, s-1 \in \mathbb{J}$, then $s \in \mathbb{J}$, and prove this claim by induction on s . The induction base was established in Steps 1–3.

For the induction step, consider the coefficient a_{s+1} of g_{s+1} in g_ℓ . Using the partition of $\text{Subsets}_{s+1}(\mathbb{I})$ from Step 0, we see that

$$a_{s+1} = a_{s+1}^{\geq 1} + \dots + a_{s+1}^{\geq s+1} \pmod{N}.$$

Since $1, \dots, s-1 \in \mathbb{J}$, we get that

$$a_{s+1}^{\geq j} + a_{s+1}^{\geq j+1} = 0 \pmod{N}, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, s-1.$$

Step 4.1: Assume that s is even. Then we have that $a_{s+1} = 0 \pmod{N}$ if and only if $a_{s+1}^{\geq s+1} = 0 \pmod{N}$. As $1, \dots, s-1 \in \mathbb{J}$, we have that $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_{s-1} = s-1$ using the notation of Step 1. Assume that $s \notin \mathbb{J}$. Then $i_s \geq s+1$ and as in Step 3, we find that

$$\sum_{j=s}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)(i_s - (s+1)) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) \pmod{N}$$

Using $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_{s-1} = s-1$, the right hand side simplifies to

$$\sum_{j=s}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)(i_s - (s-1)).$$

Thus, $i_s = i_s + 2 \pmod{N}$ which contradicts that $N > 2$. Hence, $s \in \mathbb{J}$.

Step 4.2: Assume that s is odd. Then we have that $a_{s+1} = 0 \pmod{N}$ if and only if $a_{s+1}^{\geq s} + a_{s+1}^{\geq s+1} = 0 \pmod{N}$. Arguing as in Step 2, we find that $2a_{s+1}^{\geq s} = 0 \pmod{N}$. Assume for a contraction that $s \notin \mathbb{J}$ so that $i_s > s$. We compute

$$a_{s+1}^{\geq s} = \sum_{j=s}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (i_s - (s+1)) = 0 \pmod{N}.$$

This implies that

$$2 \left(\sum_{j=s}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (i_s - (s+1)) \right) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) \pmod{N}.$$

The right-hand side simplifies to

$$2 \sum_{j=s}^k (-1)^j (i_{j+1} - i_j) + 2(i_s - (s-1)) - 2.$$

It follows that $2i_s - 2(s+1) = 2i_s - 2(s-1) - 2 \pmod{N}$, which implies the contradiction that $2 = 0 \pmod{N}$. Hence, $s \in \mathbb{J}$. This completes the induction step.

Step 5: It remains to show that if $1, \dots, r-1 \in \mathbb{J}$, then $r \in \mathbb{J}$ (since the case $s = r$ was excluded in Step 4). Since $r > 1$, we use the same argument as in Step 2 but with reversed coefficient order, considering the coefficient of g_{r-1} instead of g_2 , to show that $r \in \mathbb{J}$. (Note that the case of rank $r = 1$ gives a Nichols algebra of Cartan type A_1 , which is not unimodular; thus $g_\ell = 1$ is not possible in this situation.)

Hence, we have shown that $g_\ell = 1$ implies that $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$. The conclusion that, in this case, r is even and the converse implication both follow from Lemma 4.9. Indeed, if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ and r is even, then $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ is unimodular for any $\text{ord}(q) = 2n > 2$. \square

4.3. Classification of non-semisimple spherical structures for the bosonization. In this section, we characterize when categories of modules over the bosonizations of Nichols algebras of type Super A admit a spherical structure in the sense of [DSPA20]. We again let $N = 2n > 2$ be an even integer and consider the group $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_1^N = \dots = g_r^N = 1 \rangle$. Fixing a primitive N -th root of unity q , we can construct an isomorphism of Hopf algebra

$$(4.3.1) \quad \kappa: \mathbb{k}G \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[G], \quad g_i \longmapsto \kappa_i := \sum_{\mathbf{j}=(j_1, \dots, j_r)} q^{j_i} \delta_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

For $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$ we denote $\kappa_{\mathbf{i}} := \kappa_{i_1}^{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_r}^{i_r}$ and all grouplike elements in $\mathbb{k}[G]$ are of this form.

Theorem 4.11. *Let \mathbf{q} as in (3.1.17). The category $H\text{-mod} \simeq \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}})$, for $H := \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$, admits a spherical structure if and only if $H\text{-mod}$ is unimodular if and only if \mathbf{q} is of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$ for $r \geq 2$ even. In this case, the spherical structure is determined by the pivotal element*

$$a = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_r} \delta_{\mathbf{j}} = \kappa_{\mathbf{1}}^n \cdots \kappa_{\mathbf{r}}^n \in \mathbb{k}[G].$$

Proof. By [LW22, Proposition 3.12], $H\text{-mod}$ being spherical implies that $\alpha_H = \varepsilon$ (i.e. $H\text{-mod}$ is unimodular) and in this case spherical structures on $H\text{-mod}$ are in bijection with grouplike elements $a \in G(H)$ such that $a^2 = g_H$ and

$$S^2(h) = aha^{-1}, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

By Proposition 4.10, $H\text{-mod}$ is unimodular if and only if $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ and r is even. Thus, it remains to classify spherical structures in the case that \mathbf{q} is of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$. In this case, we further observe that $g_H = 1$, cf. Lemma 4.7. Thus, assume given an element $a = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$ such that $a^2 = 1$ and $S^2(h) = aha^{-1}$, for all $h \in H$. The latter condition is equivalent to $S^2(x_i) = ax_i a^{-1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Now observe the following equivalences, using that $N = 2n$:

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 = 1 & \iff \forall i \in \mathbb{I} : 2a_i = 0 \pmod{2n}, \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{I} : S^2(x_i) = ax_i a^{-1}, & \iff \forall i \in \mathbb{I} : q_{ii}^{-1} x_i = -x_i = q^{a_i} x_i \\ & \iff \forall i \in \mathbb{I} : -1 = q^n = q^{a_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\iff \forall i \in \mathbb{I} : a_i = n \pmod{2n}.$$

Here, we apply that $q_{ii} = -1$ for all i and the equation

$$\kappa_i x_j = \begin{cases} x_j \kappa_i, & i \neq j, \\ q x_j \kappa_i, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the unique spherical structure for H of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$ is given by $a = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}$, with $\mathbf{a} = (n, \dots, n) \in \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{2n}^r = \mathbb{Z}_N^r$. \square

In the case $r = 2$ we recover the spherical structure found in [LW22, Example 5.18].

Corollary 4.12. *If $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ and r is even, the categories $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ are modular categories.*

Proof. These results are consequences of Theorem 4.11 by [Shi18, Theorem 5.11] for the Drinfeld center, and by [LW22, Corollar 4.16] and Proposition 4.2 for the relative center. \square

4.4. Classification of ribbon structures for the Drinfeld double. Again assuming $N = 2n > 2$, q a primitive N -th root of unity, and $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r | g_i^N = 1 \rangle$, we now classify ribbon structures for the braided category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}G\text{-mod})$, where $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a Nichols algebra of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$, with $\mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$. Recall the distinguished grouplike elements g_H and α_H of $H := \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}G$ from Lemma 4.7.

Proposition 4.13. *Regard the matrix $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}} = (u_{ij})$ defined in (3.1.19) as having coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and denote $\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} = (u_{11}, \dots, u_{rr})^t$. Then the Hopf algebra $\text{Drin}(H)$ admits a ribbon structure if and only if the top degree \mathbf{i}_{ℓ} of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has even entries and satisfies*

$$(4.4.1) \quad (\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{q}})\mathbf{i}_{\ell} = -2\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} \pmod{N}.$$

Proof. By [KR93], ribbon structures of H are classified by the set of pairs (a, ζ) of group-like elements in $G(H), G(H^*)$ satisfying that

$$(4.4.2) \quad a^2 = g_H, \quad \zeta^2 = \alpha_H,$$

$$(4.4.3) \quad S^2(h) = \zeta^{-1}(h_{(1)})ah_{(2)}a^{-1}\zeta(h_{(3)}), \quad \text{for all } h \in H,$$

cf. also [LW22, Theorem 3.6]. A general group-like element is of the form

$$a = \kappa_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \Lambda,$$

using the elements $\kappa_{\mathbf{i}}$ defined in (4.3.1). The element $\zeta \in H^*$ is of the form

$$\zeta(x \otimes \delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \varepsilon(x)\delta_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}, \quad \text{with } \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r) \in \Lambda.$$

Thus, (4.4.2) is equivalent to

$$(4.4.4) \quad 2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{i}_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad 2\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{i}_{\ell}.$$

Similarly to [LW22, Proposition 5.15(ii)] one computes that (4.4.3) is equivalent to

$$(4.4.5) \quad q_{ii}^{-1} = r_{\mathbf{q}}^{-1}(g_j, g_i)q^{a_i}, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

The latter equations are equivalent to

$$(4.4.6) \quad q_{ii}^{-1} = q_{i,1}^{-j_1} \cdots q_{i,r}^{-j_r} q^{a_i} = \begin{cases} q_{i,i}^{-j_i} q_{i,i+1}^{-j_{i+1}} q^{a_i}, & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ q_{r,r}^{-j_r} q^{a_r}, & \text{if } i = r, \end{cases}$$

following the conventions of (3.1.17). Now, regarding $\mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}} = (u_{ij})$ as a matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, the conditions of (4.4.6) are equivalent to the following system of linear equations modulo N

$$(4.4.7) \quad u_{ii} + a_i - \sum_{k=1}^r u_{ik} j_k = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Denoting $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)^t$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_r)^t$, this system of linear equations can be rewritten as the matrix equation

$$(4.4.8) \quad \mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} + \mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{j} \pmod{N}.$$

Thus, \mathbf{a} is uniquely determined by \mathbf{j} .

Equation (4.4.8) implies the condition given in Equation (4.4.1) for the existence of ribbon structures. Assume that $2\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{i}_{\ell} \pmod{N}$. Then $2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{i}_{\ell} \pmod{N}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i}_{\ell} = 2\mathbf{a} &= 2(-\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} + \mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{j}) = -2\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} + 2\mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{j} = -2\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} - \mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}} \mathbf{i}_{\ell} \\ &\iff (\mathbf{Id} + \mathbf{u}^{\mathfrak{q}}) \mathbf{i}_{\ell} = -2\mathbf{u}_{\Delta} \pmod{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if Equation (4.4.1) holds and all entries of \mathbf{i}_{ℓ} are even, then Equation (4.4.8) implies that any choice of $\mathbf{a} \in \Lambda$ which solves $2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{i}_{\ell}$ gives an element \mathbf{j} satisfying $2\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{i}_{\ell}$, and thus a ribbon element for the Drinfeld double. \square

The above proposition shows that for a given \mathfrak{q} of type Super A, to find ribbon structures on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{q}})) \simeq \text{Drin}(H)\text{-mod}$ we need to check when \mathbf{i}_{ℓ} has even entries and Equation (4.4.1) holds. We denote $\mathbf{i}_{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)$ and observe the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.14. *Let \mathfrak{q} be of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$, and fix an index $0 \leq l < r$.*

(i) *Assume that $r - i \notin \mathbb{J}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq l$. Then*

$$\ell_{r-i} = (i+1)(\ell_r + i) \pmod{N}, \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq l+1.$$

(ii) *Assume that $r - i \in \mathbb{J}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq l$. Then*

$$\ell_{r-i} = \begin{cases} \ell_r \pmod{N} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 \pmod{N} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall the notation $\text{Subset}_j(\mathbb{I})$ of all connected subsets of \mathbb{I} containing j from the proof of Proposition 4.10 and denote, for $j \leq i$,

$$\text{Subset}_j^{\leq i} = \{\mathbb{I}_{a,i} \mid a \leq j \leq i\},$$

i.e., the connected subsets that contain j with i as maximum. For any $i \leq l+1$, we have a partition

$$\text{Subset}_{r-i}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-i} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r}.$$

Recall the proof of Lemma 4.8 which explains how $g_{r-1}^{\ell_{r-i}}$, and hence ℓ_{r-i} , is computed by contributions from the subsets contained in $\text{Subset}_{r-i}(\mathbb{I})$. The above partition gives that $\ell_{r-i} = \sum_{j=0}^i \ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j}$, where the term $\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j}$ accounts for the contribution of subsets in $\text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j}$. For later use, note that we have bijections

$$\text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j} \cong \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j+1}, \quad \mathbb{I}_{a,r-j} \mapsto \mathbb{I}_{a,r-j+1},$$

given by adding the element $r - j + 1$ to a subset of the form $\mathbb{I}_{a,r-j}$.

Under the assumptions of Part (i), for $0 \leq j \leq i$, all of the $\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j}$ are equal. This follows from the bijections $\text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j} \cong \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j+1}$ and the observation $|\mathbb{I}_{a,r-j} \cap \mathbb{J}| = |\mathbb{I}_{a,r-j+1} \cap \mathbb{J}|$ since $r-j+1 \notin \mathbb{J}$. Thus,

$$\ell_{r-i} = (i+1)\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r} = (i+1)(\ell_r + i) \pmod{N},$$

where the last equality uses that

$$(4.4.9) \quad \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r} = \text{Subset}_r \setminus \{\mathbb{I}_{r,r}, \dots, \mathbb{I}_{r-i+1,r}\},$$

and that $|\mathbb{I}_{r-j+1,r} \cap \mathbb{J}| = 0$ for $j \leq l+1$ by assumption.

Under the assumptions of Part (ii), we still have the same bijections $\text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j} \cong \text{Subset}_{r-i}^{\leq r-j+1}$ but now $\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j} = -\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j+1}$ since $|\mathbb{I}_{a,r-j} \cap \mathbb{J}| + 1 = |\mathbb{I}_{a,r-j+1} \cap \mathbb{J}|$. Thus, if i is odd, we have that

$$\ell_{r-i} = \sum_{j=0}^i \ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-j} = (\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-i} + \ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-i+1}) + \dots + (\ell_{r-i}^{\leq r-1} + \ell_{r-i}^{\leq r}) = 0 \pmod{N}.$$

If i is even, we have that

$$\ell_{r-i} = \ell_{r-i}^{\leq r} = \ell_r.$$

The last equality uses Equation (4.4.9) and the fact that there is an even number of sets

$$\mathbb{I}_{r,r}, \dots, \mathbb{I}_{r-i+1,r}$$

as i is even whose contributions to ℓ_r cancel out. \square

For the main theorem of this section, we use Lemma 4.14 to characterize which Nichols algebras of type Super A satisfy the conditions for ribbonality of the Drinfeld double found in Proposition 4.13.

Theorem 4.15. *Let \mathbf{q} as in (3.1.17). The category $\mathcal{Z}(H\text{-mod}) \simeq \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$, for $H := \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$, admits a ribbon structure if and only if $H\text{-mod}$ is unimodular if and only if \mathbf{q} is of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$ for $r \geq 2$ even. In this case, there exist precisely 2^r ribbon structures only one of which corresponds to the spherical structure from Theorem 4.11.*

Proof. We first prove the forward inclusion, assuming that the Drinfeld double of $H = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ associated with \mathbf{q} admits a ribbon structure and conclude that this is only possible in the unimodular case. Denoting $\mathbf{i}_{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r)$, Proposition 4.13 implies that for the existence of a ribbon structure, ℓ_i is even for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Further, Equation (4.4.1) implies the necessary conditions

$$(4.4.10) \quad (u_{rr} + 1)\ell_r = -2u_{rr} \pmod{N},$$

$$(4.4.11) \quad (u_{ii} + 1)\ell_i + u_{i,i+1}\ell_{i+1} = -2u_{ii} \pmod{N}$$

for the existence of a ribbon structure. Recall from Remark 3.9 and (3.1.19) that $u_{ii} = n$ if $r \in \mathbb{J}$, and $u_{ii} = \pm 1$ otherwise.

Next, we use these conditions (4.4.10), (4.4.11) to show that the existence of ribbon structures implies that $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{J}$. We will start by considering the r -th vertex of \mathbb{I} in a generalized Dynkin diagram of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ and proceed with vertices $r-1, r-2, \dots$ in order to rule out cases in which ribbon structures cannot exist.

(1) *The case $r \notin \mathbb{J}$:* If $r \notin \mathbb{J}$, then we have $u_{rr} = \pm 1$, thus (4.4.10) becomes

$$(\pm 1 + 1)\ell_r = \mp 2 \pmod{N}.$$

If $u_{rr} = -1$, this equation has no solution as the left-hand side is zero and $N > 2$. Thus, we may assume that $u_{rr} = 1$ and Equation (4.4.10) implies that

$$2\ell_r = -2 \pmod{N}.$$

In this case, there are two subcases, using that $r > 1$.

(1.1) *The case $r, r-1 \notin \mathbb{J}$:* In this case, we derive from Equation (4.4.1) that

$$2\ell_{r-1} - \ell_r = -2 \pmod{N},$$

and hence

$$(4.4.12) \quad \ell_r = 2\ell_{r-1} + 2 \pmod{N}.$$

However, we can also compare ℓ_r and ℓ_{r-1} more directly. For this, denote by

$$\text{Subset}_j^{\leq k}(\mathbb{I}) = \{\mathbb{I}_{l,k} \mid l \leq j \leq k\},$$

i.e. the connected subsets of \mathbb{I} containing l with maximum k . With this notation we have the following stratification of the connected subsets of \mathbb{I} containing $r-1$:

$$\text{Subset}_{r-1}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subset}_{r-1}^{\leq r}(\mathbb{I}) \sqcup \text{Subset}_{r-1}^{\leq r-1}(\mathbb{I}).$$

Further, appending $\{r\}$ to a subset with maximum $r-1$ shows that $\text{Subset}_{r-1}^{\leq r-1}(\mathbb{I})$ and $\text{Subset}_{r-1}^{\leq r}(\mathbb{I})$ are in bijection. This implies that

$$\ell_{r-1} = \ell_{r-1}^{\leq r} + \ell_{r-1}^{\leq r-1} = 2\ell_{r-1}^{\leq r} \pmod{N},$$

where $\ell_{r-1}^{\leq r-i}$ denotes the contribution in ℓ_r coming from subsets with maximum $r-i$, for $i = 0, 1$. Moreover, there is an evident equality of subsets of \mathbb{I}

$$\text{Subset}_{r-1}^{\leq r}(\mathbb{I}) = \text{Subset}_r(\mathbb{I}) \setminus \{\mathbb{I}_{r,r}\},$$

from which we obtain that

$$\ell_{r-1}^{\leq r} = \ell_r + 1 \pmod{N}.$$

Combining these equations we derive that

$$\ell_{r-1} = 2\ell_r + 2 \pmod{N}.$$

Substituting into Equation (4.4.12) this yields that

$$\ell_r = 4\ell_r + 6 \pmod{N}.$$

Thus, $3\ell_r = -6 \pmod{N}$. We also know that $2\ell_r = -2 \pmod{N}$. Hence,

$$\ell_r = -4 \pmod{N}.$$

On the other hand, $\ell_r = -1 \pmod{n}$ which can only be solved with even ℓ_r if n is odd. But then $\ell_r = n-1 \pmod{N}$ since $\ell_r = N-1 \pmod{N}$ is impossible for even ℓ_r . In particular, we obtain that $n-1 = -4 \pmod{N}$ whence $n = N-3 \pmod{N}$. Thus, $6 = 0 \pmod{N}$ and $N = 6$.

Thus, we distinguish further subcases:

(1.1.1) *The case $r - i \notin \mathbb{J}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$:* We know from Case (1.1) that $N = 6$ and $\ell_r = n - 1 = 2 \pmod 6$. Thus, we derive from Lemma 4.14(i) that

$$\ell_{r-1} = \ell_{r-2} = 0 \pmod 6.$$

Since $r - 2 \notin \mathbb{J}$ in this subcase, we also have, from Equation (4.4.1), that

$$0 = 2\ell_{r-2} - \ell_{r-1} = -2 \pmod 6,$$

a contradiction.

(1.1.2) *The case $r, r - 1 \notin \mathbb{J}$ and $r - 2 \in \mathbb{J}$:* We claim first that in this case all further vertices $r - i$, with $i \geq 2$, will be in \mathbb{J} . Assume for a contradiction that $r - l \notin \mathbb{J}$ but all $r - i$ with $2 \leq i < l$ are in \mathbb{J} . Then Equation (4.4.1) gives that

$$(1 + n)\ell_{r-i} \pm \ell_{r-i+1} = \ell_{r-i} \pm \ell_{r-i+1} = 0 \pmod N,$$

using in the second equality that ℓ_{r-i} is even. Thus, as we have seen that $\ell_{r-1} = 0 \pmod 6$ we see inductively that $\ell_{r-i} = 0 \pmod N$. Now, we find that

$$(1 \pm 1)\ell_{r-l} \mp \ell_{r-l+1} = \mp 2 \pmod 6,$$

since $r - l \notin \mathbb{J}$. This contradicts $0 \neq 2 \pmod 6$.

Thus, $r - i \in \mathbb{J}$ for all $i \geq 2$, and the only diagrams left to consider are of the form



For such a diagram we can compute ℓ_r explicitly as

$$\ell_r = -2 + \sum_{i=3}^r (-1)^{r-1} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ -2 & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

This contradicts $\ell_r = 2 \pmod 6$.

(1.2) *The case $r \notin \mathbb{J}$ and $r - 1 \in \mathbb{J}$:* Similarly as before, Equation (4.4.1) implies that

$$2\ell_r = -2 \pmod N, \quad (1 + n)\ell_{r-1} - \ell_r = 0 \pmod N,$$

and hence $\ell_{r-1} = \ell_r = n - 1 \pmod N$. As $r \notin \mathbb{J}$ we find by Lemma 4.14(i) that

$$\ell_{r-1} = 2(\ell_r + 1) \pmod N.$$

Combining these equations we see that $n - 1 = 2n = 0 \pmod N$, contradicting $N > 2$.

Thus, if $r \notin \mathbb{J}$, there are no ribbon structures.

(2) *The case $r \in \mathbb{J}$:* If $r \in \mathbb{J}$, then $u_{rr} = n$ and (4.4.10) simplifies to $\ell_r = 0 \pmod N$. By induction on l , we show that if $r - i \in \mathbb{J}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j$, then $u_{r-i, r-i} = 0 \pmod N$ for all $0 \leq i \leq j$. This follows as Equation (4.4.11) gives that

$$(n + 1)\ell_{r-i} \pm \ell_{r-i+1} = \ell_{r-i} \pm \ell_{r-i+1} = 0 \pmod N.$$

Assume for a contradiction that $r - l - 1 \notin \mathbb{J}$. Then

$$(1 + \epsilon)\ell_{r-l-1} - \epsilon\ell_{r-l} = (1 + \epsilon)\ell_{r-l-1} = -2\epsilon \pmod N,$$

where $\epsilon = u_{r-l-1, r-l-1} = \pm 1$. If $\epsilon = -1$ we derive that $0 = 2 \pmod N$, contradicting $N > 2$.

On the other hand, if $\epsilon = 1$ we find that $2\ell_{r-l-1} = -2 \pmod N$. This implies that ℓ_{r-l-1} , as it is even, has to equal $n - 1 \pmod N$. However, the case $u_{r-l-1, r-l-1} = \epsilon = 1$ requires

that there is an even number of vertices $r, r-1, \dots, r-l$ in \mathbb{J} . Thus, $l+1$ is even. In this case, Lemma 4.14(ii) implies that

$$\ell_{r-l-1} = \ell_{r-l-1}^{\leq r} = l_r = 0 \pmod{N},$$

a contradiction to $N > 2$.

In particular, we have now seen that the only \mathbf{q} of type Super A for which ribbon structures could exist are of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$. In this case, we saw that $\ell_i = 0 \pmod{N}$ for all i which shows that $\mathbf{i}_\ell = 0 \pmod{N}$ and, hence, the bosonization H is unimodular. This implies by Proposition 4.10 that r is even.

At this point, we have shown that if $\mathbb{J} \neq \mathbb{I}$ or r odd, then ribbon structures can not exist. It remains to classify the ribbon structures for \mathbf{q} of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{I})$, r even. These are found by solving Equation (4.4.8) for \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{j} satisfying $2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{N}$ and $2\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0} \pmod{N}$. Hence, there exist tuples

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r), \quad \eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$$

satisfying

$$a_i = n\varepsilon_i, \quad j_i = n\eta_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r.$$

But since $\mathbf{u}_\Delta = (n, \dots, n)$, it follows that if \mathbf{j} is given, then \mathbf{a} is uniquely determined and satisfies $2\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ as all of its entries are divisible by n (modulo N). Hence, any choice of η uniquely determines ε and hence a solution \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{j} to Equation 4.4.8 and all solutions are of this form.

Explicitly, these ribbon structures are determined by a tuple $\eta \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$, from which ε is computed by

$$(4.4.13) \quad \varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} 1 + n\eta_i + \eta_{i+1} \pmod{2}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ 1 + n\eta_i \pmod{2}, & \text{for } i = r, \end{cases}$$

As verified in the proof of Theorem 4.11, the only ribbon structure induced from a spherical structure for $H = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}[G]$ corresponds to $\mathbf{a} = (n, \dots, n)$. This is obtained from $\mathbf{j} = (0, \dots, 0)$. \square

Theorem 4.15 implies that the categories $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}))$ are modular *if and only if* $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$. The same conclusion holds for the relative centers as discussed in Theorem 5.8 below, where we will show that the relative center has a unique ribbon structure.

5. QUANTUM GROUPS OF TYPE SUPER A

In this section, we construct quasitriangular Hopf algebras $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$, which we regard as quantum groups associated to Cartan data of type Super A. We note that these are Hopf algebras over \mathbb{k} rather than Hopf superalgebras.

5.1. Definition and presentations. For the rest of this section, we fix $q \in \mathbb{k}$ an N -th root of unity, for $N = 2n > 2$ an even order. We assume the setup from (3.1.17) and denote the associated Nichols algebras of type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$ by $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$. Similarly to [LW22, Section 5], a presentation of the braided Drinfeld double of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ can be given. These braided Drinfeld doubles are analogues of quantum groups of super-type $\mathbf{A}_r(q|\mathbb{J})$.

As in Section 3.1.4, the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a quotient of the tensor algebra in generators e_1, \dots, e_r by a \mathbb{Z}^r -homogeneous ideal, where the \mathbb{Z}^r -grading of the generator e_i is the i -th element of the canonical basis $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of \mathbb{Z}^r .

The following definition is based on the braided Drinfeld double $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*)$ from Section 3.2, using the isomorphism of Hopf algebras (4.3.1). We use the braided commutators defined in (3.1.2).

Definition 5.1 ($u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}})$). We define $u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}})$ to be the Hopf algebra generated as a \mathbb{k} -algebra by x_i , y_i , and κ_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$, subject to the relations

$$(5.1.1) \quad \kappa_i x_i = q x_i \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_i x_j = x_j \kappa_i \quad (i \neq j), \quad \kappa_i y_i = q^{-1} y_i \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_i y_j = y_j \kappa_i \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$(5.1.2) \quad \kappa_i \kappa_j = \kappa_j \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_i^N = 1,$$

$$(5.1.3) \quad y_i x_j - q^{u_{ij}} x_j y_i = \delta_{ij} (1 - \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i),$$

$$(5.1.4) \quad x_{ij} = 0 \quad (i < j - 1), \quad x_{iii\pm 1} = 0 \quad (i \notin \mathbb{J}), \quad x_i^2 = 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}),$$

$$(5.1.5) \quad [x_{(i-1i+1)}, x_i]_{\mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}), \quad x_{(ij)}^N = 0 \quad (\alpha_{ij} \text{ even root}),$$

$$(5.1.6) \quad y_{ij} = 0 \quad (i < j - 1), \quad y_{iii\pm 1} = 0 \quad (i \notin \mathbb{J}), \quad y_i^2 = 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}),$$

$$(5.1.7) \quad [y_{(i-1i+1)}, y_i]_{\mathbf{q}} = 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{J}), \quad y_{(ij)}^N = 0 \quad (\alpha_{ij} \text{ even root}).$$

The coproduct, counit, and antipode of $u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{J}})$ are given on generators by

$$(5.1.8) \quad \Delta(\kappa_i) = \kappa_i \otimes \kappa_i, \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + \gamma_i \otimes x_i, \quad \Delta(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + \bar{\gamma}_i \otimes y_i,$$

$$(5.1.9) \quad \varepsilon(\delta_{\mathbf{i}}) = \delta_{\mathbf{i}, 0}, \quad \varepsilon(x_i) = \varepsilon(y_i) = 0,$$

$$(5.1.10) \quad S(\kappa_i) = \kappa_i^{-1}, \quad S(x_i) = -\gamma_i^{-1} x_i, \quad S(y_i) = -\bar{\gamma}_i^{-1} y_i,$$

where we use the matrix $(u_{ij}) = (u_{ij}^{\mathbf{q}})$ from (3.1.19) to define

$$(5.1.11) \quad \gamma_i = \kappa_i^{u_{i,i}} \kappa_{i+1}^{u_{i,i+1}}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_i = \kappa_{i-1}^{u_{i-1,i}} \kappa_i^{u_{i,i}}.$$

In particular, we have that

$$(5.1.12) \quad \gamma_i x_j = q^{u_{ij}} x_j \gamma_i, \quad \gamma_i y_j = q^{-u_{ij}} y_j \gamma_i, \quad \bar{\gamma}_i x_j = q^{u_{ji}} x_j \bar{\gamma}_i, \quad \bar{\gamma}_i y_j = q^{-u_{ji}} y_j \bar{\gamma}_i.$$

The relations $x_{iii\pm 1} = 0$ in (5.1.4) also hold for $i \in \mathbb{J}$; this follows directly from (3.1.3). One can also show that $x_{(ij)}^2 = 0$ if α_{ij} is an odd root.

The following example describes the case $u_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{r, \mathbb{I}})$, where $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{J}$, which is of most interest in this paper due to the existence of ribbon structures, see Theorem 4.15.

Example 5.2. If $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{J}$ and r is even, relation (5.1.3) specializes to

$$y_i x_i + x_i y_i = 1 - \bar{\gamma}_i \gamma_i, \quad y_{i+1} x_i = q^{(-1)^{i+1}} x_i y_{i+1}, \quad y_i x_j = x_j y_i \quad (j \neq i, i-1).$$

Since α_{ij} is an even root if and only if $j - i$ is odd, the Nichols relations (5.1.4)–(5.1.7) specify to

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j &= x_j x_i \quad (|i - j| > 1), & x_i^2 &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r), & x_{(ij)}^N &= 0 \quad (j - i \text{ odd}), \\ x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} x_i - (1 + q^{(-1)^i}) x_i x_{i-1} x_{i+1} x_i + q^{(-1)^i} x_i x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} + x_{i+1} x_i x_{i-1} x_i + q^{(-1)^i} x_i x_{i+1} x_i x_{i-1} &= 0, \\ y_i y_j &= y_j y_i \quad (|i - j| > 1), & y_i^2 &= 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r), & y_{(ij)}^N &= 0 \quad (j - i \text{ odd}), \\ y_{i-1} y_i y_{i+1} - q^{(-1)^i} y_i y_{i+1} y_{i-1} - q^{(-1)^{i+1}} y_{i-1} y_{i+1} y_i + y_{i+1} y_i y_{i-1} &= 0 \quad (2 \leq i \leq r-1), \\ y_{i-1} y_i y_{i+1} y_i - (1 + q^{(-1)^i}) y_i y_{i-1} y_{i+1} y_i + q^{(-1)^i} y_i y_{i-1} y_i y_{i+1} + y_{i+1} y_i y_{i-1} y_i + q^{(-1)^i} y_i y_{i+1} y_i y_{i-1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $0 = x_{(ij)}^N = (x_i x_{(i+1,j)})^N + (x_{(i+1,j)} x_i)^N$ for any $i < j$, and one can show that $x_{(ij)}^2 = 0$ if $j - i$ is even.

Example 5.3 ($u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$). The smallest case is given when $r = 2$. Then, the Nichols relations are given by

$$x_1^2 = x_2^2 = 0, \quad (x_1x_2)^N = -(x_2x_1)^N, \quad y_1^2 = y_2^2 = 0 \quad (y_1y_2)^N = -(y_2y_1)^N,$$

Note that in this case $\bar{\gamma}_1\gamma_1 = \kappa_2$ and $\bar{\gamma}_2\gamma_2 = \kappa_1$, thus relation (5.1.3) specializes to

$$y_1x_1 + x_1y_1 = 1 - \kappa_2, \quad y_2x_2 + x_2y_2 = 1 - \kappa_1, \quad y_2x_1 = qx_1y_2, \quad y_1x_2 = x_2y_1.$$

The coproduct is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1) &= x_1 \otimes 1 + \kappa_1^n \kappa_2 \otimes x_1, & \Delta(x_2) &= x_2 \otimes 1 + \kappa_2^n \otimes x_2, \\ \Delta(y_1) &= y_1 \otimes 1 + \kappa_1^n \otimes y_1, & \Delta(y_2) &= y_2 \otimes 1 + \kappa_1 \kappa_2^n \otimes y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.4 (PBW theorem). *The Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ has a triangular decomposition as a \mathbb{k} -vector space as $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathbb{k}G \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$. It has a PBW basis given by the set*

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \leq j} x_{(ij)}^{n_{ij}} \prod_{l=1}^r \kappa_l^{a_l} \prod_{s \leq t} y_{(st)}^{m_{st}} \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq n_{ij}, m_{ij} < N \quad \text{if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is even,} \\ 0 \leq n_{ij}, m_{ij} < 2 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is odd,} \end{array} 0 \leq a_l < N \right\}.$$

Here, we use the convention that $x_{(ii)} = x_i$, $y_{(ii)} = y_i$.

Proof. The triangular decomposition of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ follows from its definition as a braided Drinfeld double, see Section 3.2 and the isomorphism $\mathbb{k}G \cong \mathbb{k}[G]$ in Equation (4.3.1). The PBW bases for $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ and its dual are obtained from (3.1.14) and assemble into the stated basis for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$. \square

Note that the Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded, where

$$(5.1.13) \quad \deg x_i = 1, \quad \deg \kappa_i = 0, \quad \deg y_i = -1,$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Remark 5.5. If r is even and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ we have that the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is non-degenerate. This is equivalent to the subgroup $\langle \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}_1, \dots, \gamma_r \bar{\gamma}_r \rangle$ being equal to G . An alternative presentation of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ can be obtained using the grouplike elements

$$(5.1.14) \quad k_i = \gamma_i \bar{\gamma}_i = \begin{cases} \kappa_2, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \kappa_{i-1} \kappa_{i+1}^{-1}, & \text{if } 1 < i < r \text{ and } i \text{ is even,} \\ \kappa_{i-1}^{-1} \kappa_{i+1}, & \text{if } 1 < i < r \text{ and } i \text{ is odd,} \\ \kappa_{r-1}, & \text{if } i = r. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(5.1.15) \quad \kappa_{2i} = \prod_{j=1}^i k_{2j-1}, \quad \kappa_{r-2i-1} = \prod_{j=0}^i k_{r-2j},$$

and hence for $j = 1, \dots, r/2$

$$(5.1.16) \quad \gamma_i = \begin{cases} k_r^n k_{r-2}^n \dots k_{2j}^n k_1 k_3 \dots k_{2j-1}, & \text{if } i = 2j - 1, \\ k_1^n k_3^n \dots k_{2j-1}^n k_{2j+2}^{-1} k_{2j+4}^{-1} \dots k_r^{-1}, & \text{if } i = 2j, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.1.17) \quad \bar{\gamma}_i = \begin{cases} k_r k_{r-2} \dots k_{2j} k_1^n k_3^n \dots k_{2j-1}^n, & \text{if } i = 2j, \\ k_1^{-1} k_3^{-1} \dots k_{2j-3}^{-1} k_r^n k_{r-2}^n \dots k_{2j}^n, & \text{if } i = 2j - 1. \end{cases}$$

With this alternative set of grouplike elements, we derive the following alternative presentation.

Proposition 5.6. *The Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra generated by x_i, y_i, k_i subject to the algebra relations*

$$(5.1.18) \quad k_i x_i = x_i k_i, \quad k_i x_j = x_j k_i \quad (|i - j| > 1),$$

$$(5.1.19) \quad k_i x_{i+1} = q^{(-1)^{i+1}} x_{i+1} k_i \quad k_i x_{i-1} = q^{(-1)^i} x_{i-1} k_i$$

$$(5.1.20) \quad k_i y_i = y_i k_i, \quad k_i y_j = y_j k_i \quad (|i - j| > 1),$$

$$(5.1.21) \quad k_i y_{i+1} = q^{(-1)^i} y_{i+1} k_i \quad k_i y_{i-1} = q^{(-1)^{i+1}} y_{i-1} k_i$$

$$(5.1.22) \quad \kappa_i \kappa_j = \kappa_j \kappa_i, \quad \kappa_i^N = 1,$$

$$(5.1.23) \quad y_i x_i + x_i y_i = 1 - k_i, \quad y_{i+1} x_i = q^{(-1)^{i+1}} x_i y_{i+1}, \quad y_i x_j = x_j y_i \quad (i \neq j, j + 1).$$

The coproduct, counit, and antipode are determined on the generators by

$$(5.1.24) \quad \Delta(k_i) = k_i \otimes k_i, \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + \gamma_i \otimes x_i, \quad \Delta(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + \bar{\gamma}_i \otimes y_i,$$

$$(5.1.25) \quad \varepsilon(k_i) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(x_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(y_i) = 0,$$

$$(5.1.26) \quad S(k_i) = k_i^{-1}, \quad S(x_i) = -\gamma_i^{-1} x_i, \quad S(y_i) = -\bar{\gamma}_i^{-1} y_i,$$

where γ_i and $\bar{\gamma}_i$ are defined in (5.1.16) and (5.1.17).

5.2. Non-semisimple modular categories from quantum groups of type Super A. Recall the classification of ribbon structures for $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes \mathbb{k}G\text{-mod})$ from Theorem 4.15. There, we found 2^r distinct ribbon structures parametrized by pairs of elements

$$(5.2.1) \quad a = \kappa_1^{n\varepsilon_1} \dots \kappa_r^{n\varepsilon_r} \in \mathbb{k}[G], \quad \zeta = g_1^{-n\eta_1} \dots g_r^{-n\eta_r} \in \mathbb{k}G.$$

Here, $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r) \in \{\pm 1\}^{\times r}$ determines $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_r)$ by the equations

$$(5.2.2) \quad \varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} 1 + n\eta_i + \eta_{i+1} \pmod{2}, & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ 1 + n\eta_i \pmod{2}, & \text{for } i = r, \end{cases}$$

This follows from Equation (4.4.8) using that $2n = 0 \pmod{N}$ whence we can ignore the signs.

Proposition 5.7. *All ribbon structures from Theorem 4.15 induce the same ribbon structure on $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})$. The ribbon element making $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})$ a ribbon Hopf algebra is given by the element $u\nu$, where*

$$(5.2.3) \quad \nu = \kappa_1^n \dots \kappa_r^n,$$

and u is the Drinfeld element, see Section 2.1.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, the ribbon element of $\text{Drin}(H)$ is given by $u(\zeta^{-1} \otimes a^{-1})$ for a and ζ as in Equation (5.2.1). To compute the ribbon element of the braided Drinfeld double $\text{Drin}_{\mathbb{k}[G]}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*) = u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$ we use the surjective Hopf algebra homomorphism from Proposition 3.16. Under this homomorphism, $g_i \mapsto \bar{\gamma}_i$. Thus, ζ acts by

$$\bar{\gamma}_1^{-n\eta_1} \dots \bar{\gamma}_r^{-n\eta_r} = \kappa_1^{n^2\eta_1 + n\eta_2} \dots \kappa_{r-1}^{n^2\eta_{r-1} + n\eta_r} \kappa_r^{n^2\eta_r}$$

on each module over $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{I}})$. Hence, $\zeta \otimes a$ acts by

$$\kappa_1^{n\varepsilon_1 + n^2\eta_1 + n\eta_2} \dots \kappa_{r-1}^{n\varepsilon_{r-1} + n^2\eta_{r-1} + n\eta_r} \kappa_r^{n\varepsilon_r + n^2\eta_r} = \kappa_1^n \dots \kappa_r^n = \nu.$$

by Equation (5.2.2). Thus, as recalled in Section 2.1, the ribbon twist is given by the action of the inverse of the ribbon element $u\nu^{-1} = u\nu$. \square

The results of this paper amount to the following cumulative statement.

Theorem 5.8. *Let q be a primitive root of unity of order $N = 2n$. The following statements are equivalent for the braided tensor category $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}$:*

- (i) \mathcal{C} is a ribbon category.
- (ii) \mathcal{C} is a modular category.
- (iii) r is even and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$.

In case the equivalent statements hold, the ribbon category structure on \mathcal{C} is uniquely determined by the element ν from Equation (5.2.3) via

$$\theta_W: W \rightarrow W, \quad w \mapsto \nu u^{-1} \cdot w.$$

Proof. We know that (iii) implies (ii) by Theorem 4.15 and Theorem 2.10 as in this case \mathcal{A}_q is non-degenerate by Corollary 4.5. Further, (ii) implies (i) by definition.

By [LW22, Example 4.18] we have an equivalence of braided monoidal categories

$$(5.2.4) \quad \text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_q \rtimes \mathbb{k}G)\text{-mod} \simeq \mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}.$$

Since N is even, the category $\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rev}}$ has 2^r ribbon structures, corresponding to the quadratic forms on \mathbb{Z}_N^r , which are determined by choosing $\vartheta(g_i) \in \{\pm 1\}$, see Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.5. Hence, if \mathcal{C} is a ribbon category, then $\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$ is a ribbon category by Lemma 2.7. Then, by Theorem 4.15, (iii) follows, which, in turn, implies (ii). This shows the equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii).

It remains to prove uniqueness of the ribbon structure in case that $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$. For this, we again use the decomposition from Equation (5.2.4), where all categories are finite \mathbb{k} -tensor categories so a ribbon structure on $\text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_q \rtimes \mathbb{k}G)\text{-mod}$ is uniquely determined by a pair of ribbon structures on $\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rev}}$ and \mathcal{C} by Lemma 2.7. There exists a ribbon structure on \mathcal{C} , see Proposition 5.7. Then, as there are 2^r ribbon structures on $\mathcal{A}_q^{\text{rev}}$, for each ribbon structure on \mathcal{C} , we obtain 2^r ribbon structures on $\text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_q \rtimes \mathbb{k}G)\text{-mod}$. Note that these ribbon structures are all distinct by Lemma 2.7. However, according to Theorem 4.15, there are only 2^r distinct ribbon structures on $\text{Drin}(\mathfrak{B}_q \rtimes \mathbb{k}G)\text{-mod}$, hence, there can be at most one ribbon structure on \mathcal{C} . This completes the proof. \square

Note that by (2.1.6), since it is non-empty, the set of ribbon elements for $H = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})$ is in bijection with the set $Z = \{z \in Z(H) \cap G(H) \mid z^2 = 1\}$. Using the coradical filtration one sees that $G(H) = \mathbb{k}G = \langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r \rangle$ and since $\kappa_i x_i = q x_i \kappa_i$, $\kappa_i x_j = x_j \kappa_i$, if $i \neq j$, by Equation (5.1.1) and q is a primitive N -th root of unity, it follows that $Z = \{1\}$. This gives an alternative argument that the ribbon structure on $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}$ is unique.

The formula for ν from Proposition 5.7 makes it easy to compute quantum dimensions of modules over $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})$. Given such a module V , the \mathbb{Z}_N^r -graded structure induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $V = V_{\underline{0}} \oplus V_{\underline{1}}$, where $V_{\underline{0}}$ is spanned as a vector space by elements that are \mathbb{Z}_N^r -homogeneous of degree (i_1, \dots, i_r) such that $\sum_{j=1}^r i_j$ is even, and $V_{\underline{1}}$ is the complement consisting of elements with odd sum of \mathbb{Z}_N^r -degrees.

Corollary 5.9. *The quantum dimension of a $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})$ -module V is given by*

$$(5.2.5) \quad \dim_q V = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} V_{\underline{0}} - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} V_{\underline{1}}.$$

Proof. The quantum dimension is given by

$$\dim_q(V) = \text{ev}_{V^*}(j_V \otimes \text{Id}_{V^*}) \text{coev}_V,$$

where $j_V = \phi_V \theta_V$ is the pivotal structure associated to the ribbon twist θ_V , see Equation (2.1.3). As explained in Section 2.1 and Theorem 5.8, $\theta_V(v) = \nu u^{-1} \cdot v$, and one checks that the Drinfeld isomorphism ϕ_V is given by the action of the Drinfeld element $u = S(R^{(2)})R^{(1)}$ followed by the isomorphism $V \cong V^{**}$ of vector spaces (the canonical pivotal structure of $\text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$). Thus,

$$\dim_q(V) = \sum_i \langle f_i, \nu \cdot v_i \rangle,$$

where $\{v_i\}_i$ is a \mathbb{Z}^r -homogeneous basis for V and $\{f_i\}_i$ the dual basis. We note that $\nu = \kappa_1^{n_1} \dots \kappa_r^{n_r}$ acts by the eigenvalue 1 on V_0 and by -1 on $V_{\underline{1}}$. This implies the claim. \square

5.3. A basis of divided powers and the universal R-matrix in the rank-two case. In this subsection, we provide a favourable basis for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ that will ease computations, especially in view of studying the representation theory of these Hopf algebras in Section 6, e.g., by providing a formula for the universal R -matrix. We define divided powers for the negative part of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ by setting

$$(5.3.1) \quad y_{12}^{(k)} := \frac{y_{12}^k}{(1-q)^k [k]_q!}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-1,$$

recalling that $y_{12} = y_1 y_2 - q y_2 y_1$. Then it follows from Corollary 5.4 (PBW theorem) that the set

$$\left\{ x_1^{a_1} x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \kappa_1^{n_1} \kappa_2^{n_2} y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1} \mid 0 \leq a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \leq 1, 0 \leq a_{21}, n_1, n_2, b_{12} \leq N-1 \right\}$$

forms a basis for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$.

We introduce the modified Sweedler's notation

$$\underline{\Delta}(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}, \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{B}_q \text{ or } h \in \mathfrak{B}_q^*,$$

where the summation is implicit, to distinguish the braided coproduct from the coproduct of h as an element of the \mathbb{k} -Hopf algebra $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$. On this basis, the braided coproduct $\underline{\Delta}$ of the Nichols algebras \mathfrak{B}_q^* is given by the following formulas with integer coefficients.

Lemma 5.10. *For any $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}(y_{12}^{(k)}) &= \sum_{i=0}^k y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)}, \\ \underline{\Delta}(y_{12}^{(k)} y_1) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left(y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} y_1 + (-1)^{k-i} y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} y_1, \\ \underline{\Delta}(y_2 y_{12}^{(k)}) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left(y_2 y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + (-1)^i y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_2 y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)}, \\ \underline{\Delta}(y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left((-1)^{(k-i)} y_2 y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + y_2 y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} y_1 + (-1)^k y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^i y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} y_1 \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_2 y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We verify the first formula inductively on k . The case $k = 0$ is clear. Next we use that $y_{12}^{(1)} y_{12}^{(j)} = [j + 1]_q y_{12}^{(j+1)}$ for all j , and since the coproduct $\underline{\Delta}$ is a map of braided algebras we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\Delta} \left(y_{12}^{(1)} y_{12}^{(k)} \right) &= \left(y_{12}^{(1)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_{12}^{(1)} + y_1 \otimes y_2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^k y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \left(y_{12}^{(1)} y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + q^i y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(1)} y_{12}^{(k-i)} + (-1)^i y_1 y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(y_{12}^{(1)} y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} - q^i y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_{12}^{(1)} y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^k \left([i + 1]_q y_{12}^{(i+1)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k-i)} + q^i [k + 1 - i]_q y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k+1-i)} \right) + \sum_{i=0}^k q^i y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left([i + 1]_q y_{12}^{(i+1)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i-1)} + q^{i+1} [k - i]_q y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right) \\
&= [k + 1]_q \left(y_{12}^{(k+1)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_{12}^{(k+1)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^k \left([i]_q + q^i [k + 1 - i]_q \right) y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k+1-i)} \\
&\quad + [k + 1]_q \left(y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} + y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 \otimes y_2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(q^i [i]_q + q^{i+1} [k - i]_q \right) y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \\
&= [k + 1]_q \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} y_{12}^{(i)} \otimes y_{12}^{(k+1-i)} + \sum_{i=0}^k y_{12}^{(i)} y_1 \otimes y_2 y_{12}^{(k-i)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality uses that $y_1 y_{12}^{(j)} = (-q)^j y_{12}^{(j)} y_1$ and $y_{12}^{(j)} y_2 = (-q)^j y_2 y_{12}^{(j)}$ for any j .

The other formulas now follow directly using that $\underline{\Delta}$ is a map of braided algebras. \square

Next we show that the basis $\left\{ y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1} \right\}$ of \mathfrak{B}_q^* is the dual basis to the PBW basis $\{x_1^{a_1} x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2}\}$ with respect to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathfrak{B}_q^* \otimes \mathfrak{B}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ extending the evaluation, see Section 3.2.

Lemma 5.11. *We have $\langle y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1}, x_1^{a_1} x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle = \delta_{a_1, b_1} \delta_{a_{21}, b_{12}} \delta_{a_2, b_2}$.*

Proof. Since $\langle y, x \rangle = 0$ whenever x and $-y$ have different \mathbb{Z}^2 -degrees, the statement holds trivially for any pair of basis elements such that $b_1 + b_{12} \neq a_1 + a_{21}$ or $b_2 + b_{12} \neq a_2 + a_{21}$. We proceed by induction on $k := b_1 + b_{12} + b_2$. The case $k = 1$ contains only two non-trivial cases, both of them coming from $b_{12} = 1$. Since $\underline{\Delta} \left(y_{12}^{(1)} \right) = y_{12}^{(1)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_{12}^{(1)} + y_1 \otimes y_2$, we have

$$\langle y_{12}^{(1)}, x_1 x_2 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle y_{12}^{(1)}, x_{21} \rangle = \langle y_2, x_2 \rangle \langle y_1, x_1 \rangle = 1.$$

Assume $b_1 + b_{12} + b_2 > 0$. In case $a_1 = 1$, using Lemma 5.10 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1}, x_1 x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle &= \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(2)}, x_1 \rangle \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(1)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle \\
&= \delta_{1, b_1} \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(1)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle = \delta_{1, b_1} \langle y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by induction. Similarly, for the case $a_1 = 0$, using Lemma 5.10 we see that

$$\langle y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{a_2} \rangle = \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(2)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} \rangle \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(1)}, x_2^{a_2} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1})_{(2)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} \rangle \delta_{0,b_1} \delta_{a_2,b_2} = \langle (y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})})_{(2)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} \rangle \delta_{0,b_1} \delta_{a_2,b_2} \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{b_{12}} y_{12}^{(i)}, x_{21}^{a_{21}} \right\rangle \delta_{0,b_1} \delta_{a_2,b_2},
\end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by induction. \square

Using the above lemma, we can now compute the universal R -matrix in the rank-two case from Equation (3.2.11) and Corollary 3.14.

Corollary 5.12. *The universal R -matrix for $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ is given by*

$$R = \sum_{(b_1, b_{12}, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_2} \sum_{(i_1, i_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_N^2} \kappa_1^{ni_1 + j_2} \kappa_2^{ni_2} y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1} \otimes x_1^{b_1} x_{21}^{a_{21}} x_2^{b_2} \delta_{(i_1, i_2)}.$$

Next we give formulas for the braided coproduct of the Nichols algebra \mathfrak{B}_q .

Lemma 5.13. *For any $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x_{21}^k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i}_q x_{21}^i \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i}_q (1 - q^{k-i}) x_{21}^i x_1 \otimes x_2 x_{21}^{k-i-1}, \\
\Delta(x_1 x_{21}^k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i}_q (x_1 x_{21}^i \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} + (-q)^i x_{21}^i \otimes x_1 x_{21}^{k-i}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i}_q (-1)^i (1 - q)^{k-i} q^{i+1} x_1 x_{21}^i x_1 \otimes x_1 x_{21}^{k-i-1}, \\
\Delta(x_{21}^k x_2) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i}_q ((-q)^{k-i} x_{21}^i x_2 \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} + x_{21}^i \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} x_2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i}_q (1 - q^{k-1}) x_{21}^i x_1 \otimes x_2 x_{21}^{k-i-1} x_1, \\
\Delta(x_1 x_{21}^k x_2) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i}_q ((-q)^{k-i} x_1 x_{21}^i x_2 \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} + (-1)^k q^{k+1} x_{21}^i x_2 \otimes x_1 x_{21}^{k-i} + x_1 x_{21}^i \otimes x_{21}^{k-i} x_2 \\
&\quad + (-q)^i x_{21}^i \otimes x_1 x_{21}^{k-i} x_2) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i}_q (1 - q^{k-i}) x_1 x_{21}^i x_2 \otimes x_1 x_{21}^{k-i-1} x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The above formulas help to compute the braided commutator of the elements of the divided power basis by means of the cross relation

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3.2) \quad q(|x_{(2)}| \otimes |y_{(1)}|)^{-1} x_{(2)} y_{(1)} \langle y_{(2)}, x_{(1)} \rangle &= \bar{\gamma}_{|x_{(2)}|} y_{(2)} x_{(1)} \gamma_{|x_{(2)}|} \langle y_{(1)}, x_{(2)} \rangle \\
&= q(|y_{(2)}| |x_{(1)}|, |x_{(2)}|) y_{(2)} x_{(1)} k_{|x_{(2)}|} \langle y_{(1)}, x_{(2)} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we assume that the coproduct sum is given in terms of G -homogeneous elements and denote by $|z|$ the G -degree of a homogeneous element using the G -grading introduced in Proposition 3.7. Thus, we obtain the following formulas commuting the elements x_1 and x_2 past divided powers of the y_i which will be used in representation theoretic computations in the next section.

Lemma 5.14. *For any $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, we have, with the notation $k_i := \gamma_i \overline{\gamma_i}$, that*

$$\begin{aligned} y_{12}^{(k)} x_1 - (-q)^k x_1 y_{12}^{(k)} &= (-1)^k y_2 y_{12}^{(k-1)} k_1, & y_{12}^{(k)} x_2 - (-1)^k x_2 y_{12}^{(k)} &= y_{12}^{(k-1)} y_1, \\ y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 x_1 + (-q)^k x_1 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 &= y_{12}^{(k)} (1 - k_1) - (-1)^k y_2 y_{12}^{(k-1)} y_1 k_1, & y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 x_2 &= (-1)^k x_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1, \\ y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} x_1 &= (-1)^k q^{k+1} x_1 y_2 y_{12}^{(k)}, & y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} x_2 + (-1)^k x_2 y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} &= (-1)^k y_{12}^{(k)} (1 - q^{-k} k_2) + y_2 y_{12}^{(k-1)} y_1, \\ y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 x_1 + (-1)^k q^{k+1} x_1 y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 &= y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} (1 - k_1), \\ y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 x_2 + (-1)^k x_2 y_2 y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 &= (-1)^k y_{12}^{(k)} y_1 (1 - q^{-k-1} k_2), \end{aligned}$$

where terms involving $(k-1)$ are omitted if $k = 0$.

6. REPRESENTATION THEORY

In this section, we study the representation theory of the Hopf algebras $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$. We are able to employ the general framework of graded Hopf algebras with triangular decomposition provided in [HN91, BT18, Vay19], which produces and classifies simple modules as simple heads of standard modules. In fact, the categories of graded finite-dimensional modules are highest weight categories. In the case $r = 2$ and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$ we computed the simple modules explicitly in Section 6.3. At the end of the section, we discuss the semisimplification of this category of modules.

6.1. Classification of simple modules. In this section, we classify the simple modules over $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$, where $r \geq 2$ and q is a root of unity of order $N = 2n$. We consider $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ as a graded algebra with the \mathbb{Z} -grading given in Equation (5.1.13). We first observe that since $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ is finite-dimensional, both simple and projective modules admit a grading [GG82, Section 3].

We start by establishing some terminology. Let V be a $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -module with action $x \cdot v = xv$, for $x \in u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ on $v \in V$. Then V has a direct sum decomposition as a $\mathbb{k}K$ -module, for the abelian group $K = \langle \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r \rangle$ from Equation (4.3.1),

$$(6.1.1) \quad V = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda} V_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad V_{\mathbf{i}} = \{v \in V \mid \kappa_j v = q^{i_j} v, \forall j = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

This decomposition gives a grading over $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times r}$. If $v \in V_{\mathbf{i}}$, we say that v is Λ -homogeneous of Λ -degree \mathbf{i} . Note that the set Λ also classifies all simple $\mathbb{k}K$ -modules. An element $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$ corresponds to the one-dimensional simple $\mathbb{k}K$ -module $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}$ with the action of κ_j given by multiplication with q^{i_j} .

A *highest weight vector* is $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ such that v is Λ -homogeneous and $x_i \cdot v = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. In this case, we say that the Λ -degree of v is a *highest weight* of V . If V is generated by a highest weight vector of degree $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$, then we say that V is a *highest weight module* of weight \mathbf{i} . It can be shown that every finite-dimensional module contains a highest weight vector.

Recall the triangular decomposition

$$u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}}) = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes \mathbb{k}K \otimes \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$$

from Corollary 5.4, where $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is the subalgebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_r and $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^*$ is the subalgebra generated by y_1, \dots, y_r . We define the *positive* and *negative Borel parts* $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^+$ and $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^-$ to be the subalgebras of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ generated by the x_i and κ_i , respectively, the y_i and κ_i . We note that

$$(6.1.2) \quad u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^+ = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}} \rtimes K, \quad u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^- = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{q}}^* \rtimes K,$$

are Hopf subalgebras of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ which are bosonizations (Radford–Majid biproducts) of the corresponding Nichols algebras.

The simple $\mathbb{k}K$ -module $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}$ corresponding to $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$ extends to a $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^+$ -module via inflation, i.e. by letting all x_i act by zero. The *standard module* of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ associated to $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$ is defined by

$$(6.1.3) \quad M(\mathbf{i}) := \text{Ind}_{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^+}^{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})}(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}).$$

By construction, $M(\mathbf{i})$ admits a \mathbb{Z} -grading and is a highest weight module of weight \mathbf{i} . The module $M(\mathbf{i})$ contains a unique maximal submodule and thus a simple head, denoted by $L(\mathbf{i})$. The following theorem is due to [HN91, BT18] and can be found in [Vay19, Theorem 2.1(i)–(ii)] in this form.

Theorem 6.1. *The set $\{L(\mathbf{i}) \mid \mathbf{i} \in \Lambda\}$ uniquely represents all isomorphism classes of simple $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -modules.*

Further, the projective covers of $L(\mathbf{i})$ have filtrations by standard modules, and Brauer and BGG reciprocity hold for the algebras $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ [Vay19, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 5.11].

Next, we include a general result that will be used in Section 6.3 to study decomposition of some tensor products for the special case $r = 2$ and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Every $V \in u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}$ has a Λ -homogeneous basis v_1, \dots, v_d , such that for any $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$, the module $V \otimes M(\mathbf{i})$ admits a filtration with factors $M(\deg v_k + \mathbf{i})$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$, where $\deg v_k$ denotes the Λ -degree of v_k .*

Proof. Denote $u = u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ and $u^+ = u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})^+$. As the $x_i \in \text{rad } u^+$, every simple u^+ -module is one-dimensional and has a Λ -homogeneous basis. Thus, there exists a basis v_1, \dots, v_d of V such that, as a u^+ -module, $V \otimes \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}$ has a composition series $V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_d$, where V_k is linearly spanned by $\{v_1 \otimes 1, \dots, v_k \otimes 1\}$, and the quotient V_k/V_{k-1} is one-dimensional of weight $\deg v_k + \mathbf{i}$.

Now, since V is finite-dimensional, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_u(V \otimes M(\mathbf{i}), -) &\simeq \text{Hom}_u(\text{Ind}_{u^+}^u(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}), V^* \otimes -) \simeq \text{Hom}_{u^+}(\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}, V^* \otimes -) \simeq \text{Hom}_{u^+}(V \otimes \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}, -) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_u(\text{Ind}_{u^+}^u(V \otimes \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}), -). \end{aligned}$$

By the PBW decomposition Corollary 5.4, u is free over u^+ , so the functor $\text{Ind}_{u^+}^u$ is exact; applying it to the filtration built for the u^+ -module $V \otimes \mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{i}}$, gives the desired filtration for $V \otimes M(\mathbf{i}) \in u\text{-mod}$. \square

6.2. The category of graded modules over $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$. Denote by $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the category of finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded left $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -modules. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ a graded $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -module, we write $V[d]$ for the shift by d of V , i.e. $(V[d])_i = V_{i-d}$. We use the convention that the simple modules $L(\mathbf{i})$ are generated by a highest weight vector of degree zero. The following theorem is a consequence of [BT18, Theorem 1.1] (see also [Vay19, Theorem 5.1]).

Theorem 6.3 (Bellamy–Thiel). *The category $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a highest weight category. Its set of weights is given by $\Lambda \times \mathbb{Z}$, with standard modules $\{M(\mathbf{i})[d] \mid \mathbf{i} \in \Lambda, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and simple modules $\{L(\mathbf{i})[d] \mid \mathbf{i} \in \Lambda, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Given a graded $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -module $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$, we follow [Vay19, Section 2.1.1] to define the *graded character* of V as

$$\text{ch}^\bullet V = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ch } V_i t^i \in \mathbb{N}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}],$$

where $\text{ch } V_i = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda} \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(V_{i,\mathbf{j}}) \mathbf{j}$, for a $\mathbb{k}K$ -module decomposition $V_i = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda} V_{i,\mathbf{j}}$ as in (6.1.1).

Proposition 6.4 ([BT18, Proposition 3.19], [Vay19, Proposition 2.6]). *The assignment $V \mapsto \text{ch}^\bullet V$ defines an injective morphism of $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -algebras from $K_0(\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ to $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}]$.*

Here, $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is the Grothendieck ring of an abelian category \mathcal{C} , which is generated by the symbols $[V]$ for V an object in \mathcal{C} , modulo to the relation that $[B] = [A] + [C]$ if B is an extension of C by A . If \mathcal{C} is a tensor category, then $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is a ring, see e.g. [EGNO15, Section 4.5]. Note that if V is a graded $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -module with filtration

$$0 \leq W_1 \leq W_2 \leq \dots \leq W_m = V,$$

with simple subquotients $W_i/W_{i-1} \cong L(\mathbf{j}^i)[d_i]$, then

$$\text{ch}^\bullet(V) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{ch}^\bullet L(\mathbf{j}^i) t^{d_i}.$$

The graded characters $\text{ch}^\bullet L(\mathbf{j})$ generate the image of $K_0(\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ in $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}]$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module. Thus, we can recover the decomposition numbers of V (i.e., how many copies of $L(\mathbf{j})[d]$ appear as filtration factors) from $\text{ch}^\bullet V$. These observations about graded characters of $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$ -modules help us, for instance, to compute tensor product decompositions in Section 6.3.

In [Vay19, Section 5.1], several questions are posted for a class of Hopf algebras similar to $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$, including the question to describe $\text{ch}^\bullet L(\mathbf{i})$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \Lambda$. In Section 6.3, we answer this and other questions posted by C. Vay in the case $r = 2$ and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}$.

6.3. The rank-two case. In this section, we include detailed results on the representations of $\mathfrak{u}_q = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{r,\mathbb{J}})$, for $r = 2$ and $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I} = \{1, 2\}$. In particular, we give an explicit description of the simple modules, compute composition series of the standard modules in Section 6.3.2, and include some computations in the Grothendieck ring in Section 6.3.4.

6.3.1. Simple modules. We now describe the simple modules of $\mathfrak{u}_q = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{J}})$ as quotients of standard modules. In this section, $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$.

Let $(i, j) \in \Lambda$ be a weight. We can use the divided power basis from Section 5.3 to obtain that

$$\left\{ v_{ij}^{(b_2, b_{12}, b_1)} := y_2^{b_2} y_{12}^{(b_{12})} y_1^{b_1} v_{ij} \mid 0 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq 1, 0 \leq b_{12} \leq N-1 \right\}$$

is a Λ -homogeneous basis for the standard module $M(i, j)$, where v_{ij} is a highest weight vector. The Λ -grading is given by

$$M(i, j)_{(i-k, j-k)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{k} \langle v_{i,j} \rangle, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{k} \langle v_{i,j}^{(1, k-1, 1)}, v_{ij}^{(0, k, 0)} \rangle, & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \mathbb{k} \langle v_{i,j}^{(1, N-1, 1)} \rangle, & \text{if } k = N \end{cases}$$

$$M(i, j)_{(i-k, j-k+1)} = \mathbb{k} \langle v_{i,j}^{(0, k-1, 1)} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1$$

$$M(i, j)_{(i-k+1, j-k)} = \mathbb{k} \langle v_{i,j}^{(1, k-1, 0)} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1.$$

Next, from a direct application of the commutation relations obtained in Lemma 5.14, we compute the action of the generators x_i, y_i on these basis elements. Note that, in the rank 2 case, the group-like elements k_i defined in Equation (5.1.14) specialize to $k_1 = \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}_1 = \kappa_2$ and $k_2 = \gamma_2 \bar{\gamma}_2 = \kappa_1$.

Lemma 6.5. *The u_q -action on the above basis for $M(i, j)$ is determined by the following formulas.*

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} &= (-1)^k q^k v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)}, & y_2 v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} &= v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)}, \\
y_1 v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)} &= 0, & y_2 v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)} &= v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)}, \\
y_1 v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)} &= (1-q)[k+1]_q v_{ij}^{(0,k+1,0)} + (-1)^k q^{k+1} v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)}, & y_2 v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)} &= 0, \\
y_1 v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)} &= (1-q)[k+1]_q v_{ij}^{(0,k+1,1)}, & y_2 v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)} &= 0, \\
x_1 v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} &= -q^{j-k} v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,0)}, & x_2 v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} &= (-1)^{k-1} v_{ij}^{(0,k-1,1)}, \\
x_1 v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)} &= (-q)^{-k} (1-q^j) v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} - q^{j-k} v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,1)}, & x_2 v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)} &= 0, \\
x_1 v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)} &= 0, & x_2 v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)} &= (1-q^{i-k}) v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} + (-1)^k v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,1)}, \\
x_1 v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)} &= (-1)^k q^{-k-1} (1-q^j) v_{ij}^{(1,k,0)}, & x_2 v_{ij}^{(1,k,1)} &= (1-q^{i-k-1}) v_{ij}^{(0,k,1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where, for $k = 0$, the terms of the form $v_{ij}^{(b_2, k-1, b_1)}$ are omitted.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $0 \leq i, j < N$ and $1 \leq k < N$; consider $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k}$ not both zero. Then the vector*

$$w = \lambda v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} + \mu v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,1)} \in M(i, j)$$

is highest weight if and only if $k = i + j \pmod N$ and $\lambda = (-1)^k (1 - q^{-j}) \mu$.

Proof. Using Lemma 6.5, we compute

$$x_1 w = \left(\lambda (-q^{j-k}) + \mu (-1)^{k-1} q^{-k} (1 - q^j) \right) v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,0)}, \quad x_2 w = \left(\lambda (-1)^{k-1} + \mu (1 - q^{i-k}) \right) v_{ij}^{(0,k-1,1)}.$$

Thus $x_1 w = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu (-1)^k (1 - q^{-j})$, and $x_2 w = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu (-1)^k (1 - q^{i-k})$. Now, since λ, μ are not both zero, it is evident that w is a highest weight vector if and only if $q^k = q^{i+j}$ and $\lambda = \mu (-1)^k (1 - q^{-j})$. \square

The following Lemma computes generators for the maximal submodule of a standard module $M(i, j)$. This, in turn, directly provides an explicit description of the simple modules.

Lemma 6.7. *We distinguish four cases for $0 \leq i, j < N$.*

- (1) *The maximal submodule of $M(0, 0)$ is generated by $v_{00}^{(1,0,0)}, v_{00}^{(0,0,1)}$.*
- (2) *If $i \neq 0 \pmod N$, the maximal submodule of $M(i, 0)$ is generated by $v_{i0}^{(0,0,1)}, v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)}$.*
- (3) *If $j \neq 0 \pmod N$, the maximal submodule of $M(0, j)$ is generated by $v_{0j}^{(1,0,0)}, v_{0j}^{(0,j,1)}$.*
- (4) *Assume that $i, j \neq 0 \pmod N$, and $i + j \neq N$. Let $0 < k < N$ such that $k = i + j \pmod N$.*

Then

$$w = (-1)^k (1 - q^{-j}) v_{ij}^{(0,k,0)} + v_{ij}^{(1,k-1,1)}$$

generates the maximal submodule of $M(i, j)$.

- (5) *Assume that $i, j \neq 0 \pmod N$ and $i + j = N$. Then $M(i, j)$ is simple.*

Proof. For (1), it is clear from Lemma 6.5 that $v_{00}^{(1,0,0)}$ and $v_{00}^{(0,0,1)}$ are highest weight vectors. Also, the quotient of $M(0, 0)$ by the ideal generated by these vectors is 1-dimensional spanned by v_{00} .

(2) It follows directly from Lemma 6.5 that $v_{i0}^{(0,0,1)}$ is a highest weight vector in $M(i, 0)$. Next, we show that (the image of) $v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)}$ is a highest weight vector in $M'(i, 0) = M(i, 0) / \langle v_{i0}^{(0,0,1)} \rangle$. Indeed,

x_1 vanishes $v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)}$ already in $M(i, 0)$, and $x_2 v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)} = (-1)^i v_{i0}^{(1,i-1,1)}$, which vanishes in $M'(i, 0)$. Finally, in the quotient $M(i, 0) / \langle v_{i0}^{(0,0,1)}, v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)} \rangle$ the unique highest weight vector is v_{i0} .

(3) In this case $v_{0j}^{(1,0,0)}$ is automatically a highest weight vector in $M(0, j)$. The next step is to show that the vector $v_{0j}^{(0,j,1)}$ is highest weight in $M(0, j)' = M(0, j) / \langle v_{0j}^{(1,0,0)} \rangle$. We have $x_2 v_{0j}^{(0,j,1)} = 0$ already in $M(0, j)$, and computing in $M'(0, j)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 v_{0j}^{(0,j,1)} &= (-q)^{-j} (1 - q^j) v_{0j}^{(0,j,0)} - v_{0j}^{(1,j-1,1)} = (-q)^{-j} (1 - q^j) y_{12}^{(j)} v_{0j} - y_2 y_{12}^{(j-1)} y_1 v_{0j} \\ &= \frac{(-q)^{-j} (1 - q^j) (-q)^j}{(1 - q)^j [j]_q!} (y_2 y_1)^j v_{0j} - \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{j-1} [j-1]_q!} (y_2 y_1)^j v_{0j} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{j-1} [j-1]_q!} \left(\frac{1 - q^j}{(1 - q) [j]_q} - 1 \right) (y_2 y_1)^j v_{0j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim follows because v_{0j} is the unique highest weight vector in $M(0, j) / \langle v_{0j}^{(1,0,0)}, v_{0j}^{(0,j,1)} \rangle$.

(4) We know that w is a highest weight vector by Lemma 6.6. Again, one can show that v_{ij} is the unique highest weight vector in $M(i, j) / \langle w \rangle$.

(5) In this case Lemma 6.6 implies that v_{ij} is the unique highest weight vector in $M(i, j)$. \square

As a direct consequence of Lemma 6.7 and [Vay19, Corollary 5.12], we get.


Corollary 6.8. *For $0 \leq i, j < N$, the module $M(i, j)$ is projective if and only if $i + j = 0 \pmod N$.*

Hence, there are exactly $N - 1$ projective standard modules, namely $M(i, N - i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$.

The above Lemmas allow us to fully describe the simple module of u_q . For this, we use labeled directed graphs with vertices corresponding to vectors forming a Λ -homogeneous basis of the simple module, and edges of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} (a, b) \quad \xrightarrow{1} \quad (a-1, b) \\ \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} (a, b) \quad \xrightarrow{2} \quad (a, b-1) \\ \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \end{array},$$

where the label $i = 1, 2$ in the edge indicate that the vector corresponding to the left vertex, of weight $(a, b) \in \Lambda$, is mapped by y_i to a nonzero vector of Λ -degree given by the label of the right vertex. We will use horizontal concatenation of these pictures, where the left-most vertex corresponds to a highest-weight generator and the right-most vector is annihilated by y_1 and y_2 . Such diagrams can be used to display the graded dimensions of the standard modules $M(i, j)$:




Below, we will use such diagrams to represent the simple modules $L(i, j)$. The vertices of these diagrams do not necessarily correspond to the basis $v_{ij}^{(b_2, b_{12}, b_1)}$ above.

Theorem 6.9. *The following is a complete list of non-isomorphic simple u_q -modules.*


(1) $L(0, 0) = \mathbb{1}$ is the tensor unit, the unique simple 1-dimensional \mathfrak{u}_q -module given by




(2) For any $0 < j < N$, $L(0, j)$ is given by



(3) For any $0 < i < N$, $L(i, 0)$ is given by



(4) For $0 < i, j < N$, $L(i, j)$ is given by



Here, we choose the unique representative $1 \leq k \leq N$ of $i + j$ modulo N . In particular, if $i + j = N$, then $L(i, j) = M(i, j)$.

We observe that Theorem 6.9 answers [Vay19, Question 5.4] since we have determined the graded characters $\text{ch}^\bullet L(i, j)$ for all simple \mathfrak{u}_q -modules. Note that the Hilbert series of all of these simple modules are symmetric answering [Vay19, Question 5.5] in the affirmative for this Hopf algebra.

As a direct consequence of the above computations, and Corollary 5.9, we can find the dimensions and quantum dimensions of all simple \mathfrak{u}_q -modules.

Corollary 6.10. *The dimensions of the simple \mathfrak{u}_q -modules are given by*

$$(6.3.1) \quad \dim L(i, j) = \begin{cases} 2i + 1, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 2j + 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 4(i + j), & \text{if } i, j \neq 0, 1 < i + j \leq N, \\ 4(i + j - N), & \text{if } i, j \neq 0, N < i + j < 2N. \end{cases}$$

The quantum dimensions are given by

$$(6.3.2) \quad \dim_q L(i, j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ (-1)^j, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i, j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The dimensions observed match those of certain typical modules, which are $4j$ -dimensional, and atypical modules, which are $(2j + 1)$ -dimensional, for j a natural number, of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(1|2)$ [FSS00, Section 2.53].

Corollary 6.8 implies that the projective standard modules $M(i, N - i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$, are precisely the simple projective modules of \mathfrak{u}_q . We note the other simple modules are not projective.

Corollary 6.11. *The following are equivalent for $i, j = 0, \dots, N - 1$.*

- (i) *The simple module $L(i, j)$ is projective.*
- (ii) *$L(i, j) = M(i, j)$.*
- (iii) *The standard module $M(i, j)$ is projective.*
- (iv) *$i + j = N$.*

Proof. With the above observations, it remains to show that $L(i, j)$ is not projective if $i + j \neq N$. This follows from [Vay19, Theorem 2.1] which states that the projective covers of the $L(i, j)$ are filtered by standard modules. All standard modules have dimension $4N$, but the simple module $L(i, j)$ with $i + j \neq N$ has strictly smaller dimension and hence cannot have a standard filtration. \square

Corollary 6.12. *We obtain the following duality relation for simple u_q -modules*

$$L(i, j)^* \cong L(j, i).$$

For Hopf algebras similar to u_q , [Vay19, Question 5.6] asks whether the bijection between highest weights and lowest weights (i.e., highest weights of the dual) of simple modules corresponds to an autoequivalence of the category of $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -modules. In the case of u_q considered in this section, the question can be answered in the affirmative through the equivalence of $\mathbb{k}\Lambda$ -modules induced by the Hopf algebra involution

$$\iota: \mathbb{k}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[G], \quad \delta_{(i,j)} \mapsto \delta_{(j,i)},$$

which is induced by the group involution $g_1^i g_2^j \mapsto g_1^j g_2^i$.

Example 6.13. The smallest-dimensional non-trivial $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{R}})$ -module is $V = L(1, 0)$, which is 3-dimensional. To examine its braiding, let v be a highest weight vector for V and consider the basis

$$v_0 = v, \quad v_1 = y_2 \cdot v, \quad v_2 = y_1 \cdot v_1.$$

Then, it follows that

$$x_2 \cdot v_1 = (1 - q)v_0, \quad x_1 \cdot v_2 = (1 - q^{-1})v_1.$$

Using the lexicographic order on the basis $\{v_i \otimes v_j\}$ of $V \otimes V$, the braiding is given by the 9×9 -matrix

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} - 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} - 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The module V is *not* self-dual and $V^* \cong L(0, 1)$. Thus, we also need to consider the braiding

$$\Psi': V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V^* \otimes V.$$

This braiding is given by the 9×9 -matrix

$$\Psi' = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} - 1 & 0 & -1 + q & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-1} - 1 & 0 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One computes that the twist θ_V is given by the identity.

Lemma 6.14. *The braiding Ψ and Ψ' on $V \otimes V$, respectively, $V \otimes V^*$, satisfy the Skein relations*

$$(6.3.3) \quad q\Psi - \Psi^{-1} = (1 - q)\text{Id}_{V \otimes V},$$

$$(6.3.4) \quad (\Psi')^*\Psi' + q((\Psi')^*\Psi')^{-1} = (1 + q)\text{Id}_{V \otimes V^*},$$

$$(6.3.5) \quad \Psi'(\Psi')^* + q(\Psi'(\Psi')^*)^{-1} = (1 + q)\text{Id}_{V^* \otimes V}.$$

We conclude this section with an open question, answered in the case $i + j = N$ by Corollary 6.8.

Question 6.15. What are the projective covers of the simple modules $L(i, j)$?

6.3.2. *Composition series of standard modules.*

Proposition 6.16. *Consider the standard modules $M(i, j)$, where $0 \leq i, j < N$.*

(1) *The module $M(0, 0)$ has series and factors*

$$0 \leq M_1 = \left\langle v_{00}^{(1, N-1, 1)} \right\rangle_{\dim 1} \leq M_2 = \left\langle v_{00}^{(0, 0, 1)} \right\rangle_{\dim 2N} \leq M_3 = \left\langle v_{00}^{(0, 0, 1)}, v_{00}^{(1, 0, 0)} \right\rangle_{\dim 4N-1} \leq M_4 = M(0, 0)_{\dim 4N}$$

$$M_1 \cong L(0, 0)_{\dim 1}, \quad M_2/M_1 \cong L(N-1, 0)_{\dim 2N-1}, \quad M_3/M_2 \cong L(0, N-1)_{\dim 2N-1}, \quad M_4/M_3 \cong L(0, 0)_{\dim 1}.$$

(2) *For any $0 < i < N$, $M(i, 0)$ has series and factors*

$$0 \leq M_1 = \left\langle v_{i0}^{(1, i-1, 1)} \right\rangle_{\dim 2(N-i)+1} \leq M_2 = \left\langle v_{i0}^{(0, 0, 1)} \right\rangle_{\dim 2N} \leq M_3 = \left\langle v_{i0}^{(0, 0, 1)}, v_{i0}^{(1, i, 0)} \right\rangle_{\dim 4N-2i-1} \leq M_4 = M(i, 0)_{\dim 4N}$$

$$M_1 \cong L(0, N-i)_{\dim 2(N-i)+1}, \quad M_2/M_1 \cong L(i-1, 0)_{\dim 2i-1}, \quad M_3/M_2 \cong L(0, N-i-1)_{\dim 2(N-i)-1}, \quad M_4/M_3 \cong L(i, 0)_{\dim 2i+1}.$$

(3) *For any $0 < j < N$, $M(0, j)$ has series and factors*

$$0 \leq M_1 = \langle (y_1 y_2)^j v_{0j} \rangle_{\dim 2(N-j)+1} \leq M_2 = \langle v_{0j}^{(1, 0, 0)} \rangle_{\dim 2N} \leq M_3 = \langle v_{0j}^{(1, 0, 0)}, v_{0j}^{(10, j, 1)} \rangle_{\dim 4N-2j-1} \leq M_4 = M(0, j)_{\dim 4N}$$

$$M_1 \cong L(N-j, 0)_{\dim 2(N-j)+1}, \quad M_2/M_1 \cong L(0, j-1)_{\dim 2j-1}, \quad M_3/M_2 \cong L(N-j-1, 0)_{\dim 2(N-j)-1}, \quad M_4/M_3 \cong L(j, 0)_{\dim 2j+1}.$$

(4) *If $0 < i + j \neq N$, let w as in Lemma 6.7. Then $M(i, j)$ has series and factors*

$$0 \leq M_1 = \langle w \rangle_{\dim 4(N-j-i)} \leq M_2 = M(i, j)_{\dim 4N}, \quad M_1 \cong L(N-j, N-i)_{\dim 4(N-j-i)+1}, \quad M_2/M_1 \cong L(i, j)_{\dim 4(i+j)}.$$

(5) *If $0 < i + j = N$, then $M(i, j) = L(i, j)$ is simple.*

Proof. (1) The submodule M_2 generated by the highest weight vector $v_{00}^{(0,0,1)}$ of Λ -degree $(N-1, 0)$ is clearly $2N$ -dimensional and contains $M_1 = \langle v_{00}^{(1,N-1,1)} \rangle$, which is 1-dimensional of Λ -degree $(0, 0)$. Hence M_2/M_1 is a highest weight module generated in degree $(N-1, 0)$, and by Λ -degree limitations the quotient must be isomorphic to $L(N-1, 0)$. Similarly, M_3/M_2 is generated by the highest weight vector $v_{00}^{(1,0,0)}$ of Λ -degree $(0, N-1)$, which by dimension restrictions must be isomorphic to $L(0, N-1)$. Finally, it is clear that M_4/M_3 is isomorphic to $L(0, 0)$.

(2) Note first that $v_{i0}^{(1,i-1,1)}$ is a highest weight vector:

$$x_1 v_{i0}^{(1,i-1,1)} = (-1)^k (1 - q^0) v_{i0}^{(1,i-1,0)} = 0, \quad x_2 v_{i0}^{(1,i-1,1)} = (q^i - q^i) v_{i0}^{(0,i-1,1)} = 0.$$

Hence M_1 is a highest weight module generated in Λ -degree $(0, N-i)$, and it clearly has dimension $2(N-i) + 1$. Thus $M_1 \simeq L(0, N-i)$. Next, the submodule M_2 is clearly $2N$ -dimensional, generated by the highest weight vector $v_{i0}^{(0,0,1)}$ of Λ -degree $(i-1, 0)$. Thus M_2/M_1 has dimension $2N - (2(N-i) + 1) = 2i - 1$, and it must be isomorphic to $L(i-1, 0)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.7, M_3 is the maximal submodule of $M_4 = M(i, 0)$ and $M_4/M_3 \simeq L(i, 0)$ by definition. Thus the dimension of M_3 is $4N - 2i - i$. Finally, M_3/M_2 is generated by the vector $v_{i0}^{(1,i,0)}$ of highest weight $(0, N-i-1)$, and since the dimension of M_3/M_2 is $2(N-i) - 1$, we have $M_3/M_2 \simeq L(0, N-i-1)$. The proof of (3) is analogous.

(4) Assume $i+j < N$. By Corollary 6.10 we have $\dim M = 4(i+j) = \dim L(N-j, N-i)$. Since M is generated by a highest weight vector of Λ -degree $(N-j, N-i)$, we have $M \simeq L(N-j, N-i)$. The case $i+j > N$ follows similarly. Finally, (5) is a direct consequence of Lemma 6.7. \square

6.3.3. *Some tensor product decompositions.* For tensor products of standard modules, we obtain:

Proposition 6.17. *For any $0 \leq a, b, c, d < N$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M(a, b) \otimes M(c, d) \simeq & M(a+c, b+d) \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^N M(a+c-l, b+d-l+1) \oplus M(a+c-l+1, b+d-l) \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{N-1} M(a+c-l, b+d-l)^{\oplus 2} \oplus M(a+c-N, b+d-N). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We denote $M = M(a, b)$, $M' = M(c, d)$. We first claim that the different \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous components of $M \otimes M'$ contain the following highest weight vectors:

- $(M \otimes M')_0$ contains a highest weight in Λ -degree $(a+c, b+d)$.
- For $0 < 2l < 2N$, $(M \otimes M')_{-2l}$ contains two linearly independent highest weights in Λ -degree $(a+c-l, b+d-l)$.
- For $0 < 2l-1 < 2N$, $(M \otimes M')_{-2l+1}$ contains two linearly independent highest weights in Λ -degrees $(a+c-l, b+d-l+1)$ and $(a+c-l+1, b+d-l)$.

Next, we claim that in $M \otimes M'$, linearly independent highest weight vectors generate linearly independent standard submodules. Indeed, given a highest weight vector v , one can use the commutation relations in u_q to show that $u_q^- v$ is actually an u_q^- -submodule. Thus $u_q^- v = u_q^- v$, which is isomorphic to $M(|v|)$, where $|v| \in \Lambda^2$ is the degree of v . Now, by Lemma 6.2, we know that $M \otimes M'$ has a composition series where the factors correspond to the standard modules alluded to above. Since standard modules are projective as u_q^- -modules, this composition series splits, and we


have a direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes M'$ as above, only as an u_q^- -module. However, this implies that standard modules generated by independent highest weight vectors are pairwise disjoint. \square

For tensor products of simple modules, it is harder to compute composition series. We include here an example. For other examples, see Section 6.4.

Example 6.18. Let $N = 10$. We find the following composition series for the tensor product:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \leq M_1 \leq M_2 \leq M_3 \leq M_4 &= L(0, 3) \otimes L(0, 4) \\
 &\quad \text{dim } 7 \quad \text{dim } 9 \\
 M_1 \cong L(0, 7), \quad M_2/M_1 \cong L(9, 7), \quad M_3/M_2 \cong L(8, 6), \quad M_4/M_3 \cong L(7, 5). \\
 &\quad \text{dim } 15 \quad \text{dim } 24 \quad \text{dim } 16 \quad \text{dim } 8
 \end{aligned}$$

The simple modules are graded. Without loss of generality, their highest weight vectors are in \mathbb{Z} -degree 0. Their tensor product filtration is computed as follows: We start with the highest weight vector of top degree, namely $v_{03} \otimes v_{04}$, which has Λ -degree $(0, 7)$ and consider the submodule this vector generates. The graded dimension limitations imply that this highest weight vector generates a submodule isomorphic to $L(0, 7)$. Now, the quotient $(L(0, 3) \otimes L(0, 4))/L(0, 7)$ has a 1-dimensional highest \mathbb{Z} -graded dimension of -1 which has Λ -degree $(-1, 7)$. Thus, this quotient contains a highest weight vector of degree $(-1, 7) = (9, 7)$ which, again, using limitations on the Λ -graded dimensions, generates a submodule of dimension $24 = 4 \cdot 6 = 4(9 + 7 - 10)$ which must be isomorphic to $L(9, 7)$. We continue identifying the highest \mathbb{Z} -graded dimension of the subsequent quotient by $L(9, 7)$ to find another unique highest weight vector (up to scalar) in this quotient. This procedure can be illustrated by the following diagram.



Note that, in general, the method used in this example does not reveal if the tensor product decomposes as a direct sum. In this example, we obtain a direct sum decomposition.

$$L(0, 3) \otimes L(0, 4) \cong L(7, 5) \oplus L(8, 6) \oplus L(9, 7) \oplus L(0, 7).$$

6.3.4. *Some Grothendieck ring calculations.* Proposition 6.4 enables us to compute the graded Grothendieck ring

$$R_q^{\mathbb{Z}} := K_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ in terms of a subring of the ring $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda$. In this section, $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_N$ and we will denote its elements multiplicatively by $g_1^i g_2^j$, $i, j = 0, \dots, N$. This ring is the Grothendieck ring of the category of graded Λ -comodules.

In order to carry out such computations, we require the graded dimensions of the simple modules. These are derived from Theorem 6.9. We normalize the simple modules $L(i, j)$ so that their highest weight vector is concentrated in \mathbb{Z} -degree zero. Recall that for any graded modules $M = (M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the grading shift is defined by $M[i]_j = M_{i-j}$ and hence for the symbol in R_q we have

$$[M[i]] = [M]t^i.$$

Corollary 6.19. *The simple u_q -modules $L(i, j)$ have the following symbols $l_{i,j} := [L(i, j)]$ in the graded Grothendieck ring $R_q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, for $i, j = 0, \dots, N-1$ such that $i \neq j$:*

$$\begin{aligned} l_{i,0} &= \sum_{a=0}^i g_1^{i-a} g_2^{-a} t^{2a} + \sum_{a=0}^{i-1} g_1^{i-a} g_2^{-a-1} t^{2a+1}, \\ l_{0,i} &= \sum_{a=0}^i g_1^{-a} g_2^{i-a} t^{2a} + \sum_{a=0}^{i-1} g_1^{-a-1} g_2^{i-a} t^{2a+1}, \\ l_{i,j} &= g_1^i g_2^j + \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} (2g_1^{i-a} g_2^{j-a} t^{2a} + (g_1^{i-a+1} g_2^{j-a} + g_1^{i-a} g_2^{j-a+1}) t^{2a-1}) + g_1^{-j} g_2^{-i} t^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, k is the unique representative $1 \leq k \leq N$ of $i + j$ modulo N .

Proposition 6.4 implies that any product of the $l_{i,j}$ is uniquely a sum of the shifts of the same polynomials. This reduces finding the composition factors of tensor products to decomposing products of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}\Lambda[t, t^{-1}]$ as \mathbb{Z}_+ -linear combinations. Further, such computations in $R_q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ help us to compute the fusion rules of the Grothendieck ring $R_q = K_0(u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod})$ by simply setting $t \mapsto 1$. This uses that every simple module has a unique \mathbb{Z} -grading up to shift [Vay19, Theorem 5.1].

The algebra R_q is an N^2 -dimensional commutative fusion ring in the sense of [EGNO15, Section 3.1] with basis $\{l_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$. By [EGNO15, Example 6.1.9.], its Frobenius–Perron dimension equals $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}}) = 4N^4$. Its involution is given by the map $l_{i,j} \mapsto l_{j,i}$.

Example 6.20. The easiest example is the the 16-dimensional fusion ring $R_{\mathbf{i}}$ (or, the corresponding filtered ring $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$), corresponding to the case $N = 4$, with $q = \mathbf{i}$. The following relations hold in $R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{10}^2 &= l_{20} + l_{23}t, & l_{10}l_{20} &= l_{30} + l_{33}t, \\ l_{10}l_{30} &= l_{00} + 2l_{03}t^2 + l_{02}t^2 + l_{00}t^8, & l_{20}^2 &= l_{00} + 2l_{03}t + l_{02}t^2 + l_{32}t^3 + l_{00}t^8, \\ l_{20}l_{30} &= l_{10} + l_{13}t + 2l_{02}t^3 + l_{01}t^4 + l_{10}t^8, \\ l_{30}^2 &= l_{20} - l_{23}t - 2l_{12}t^3 - 2l_{01}t^5 - l_{00}t^6 - l_{20}t^8 - l_{23}t^9, \\ l_{10}l_{01} &= l_{11} + l_{00}t^2, & l_{10}l_{02} &= l_{12} + l_{01}t^2, \\ l_{10}l_{03} &= l_{13} + l_{02}t^2, & l_{20}l_{02} &= l_{22} + l_{11}t^2 + l_{00}t^4, \\ l_{20}l_{03} &= l_{23} + 2l_{12}t^2 + l_{01}t^4 + l_{23}t^8, & l_{30}l_{03} &= l_{33} + l_{22}t^2 + 2l_{11}t^4 + l_{00}t^6 + l_{33}t^8. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $t \mapsto 1$ gives the fusion rules in $R_{\mathbf{i}}$. Further calculations reveal, e.g., that $R_{\mathbf{i}}$ is generated by the $l_{i,j}$ with either $i = 0$ or $j = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{11} &= l_{10}l_{01} - l_{00}, & l_{12} &= l_{10}l_{02} - l_{01}, \\ l_{13} &= l_{10}l_{03} - l_{02}, & l_{22} &= l_{20}l_{02} - l_{11} - l_{00}, \\ l_{23} &= l_{10}^2 - l_{20}, & l_{31} &= l_{02}l_{03} - l_{01} - 2l_{20} - l_{10} - l_{01}, \\ l_{32} &= l_{20}l_{20} - l_{00} - 2l_{03} - l_{02} - l_{00}, & l_{33} &= l_{10}l_{20} - l_{30}. \end{aligned}$$

6.4. Some remarks on the semisimplification. By [EO22, Theorem 2.6, Remark 2.9], for a finite-dimensional pivotal Hopf algebra H , the quotient of $\mathcal{C} = H\text{-mod}$ by the ideal of negligible morphisms $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ yields a semisimple tensor category $\overline{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ called the *semisimplification* of \mathcal{C} . The semisimplification inherits several properties from \mathcal{C} such as being monoidal, braided and ribbon. The simple objects of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are given by those indecomposable objects of \mathcal{C} which have non-zero quantum dimension. This raises the following question.

Question 6.21. What are the simple objects in $\overline{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}}$? What are the fusion rules for their tensor products?

We note that already in the case of $u_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$, with $N = 4$ and $q = \mathbf{i}$, this is a non-trivial question as illustrated by the examples below. Recall that for any even N and q a primitive root of unity, a full list of simple $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -modules with non-zero quantum dimension is given by $L(i, 0)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, and their duals $L(0, i)$.

Lemma 6.22. *For any even N , q a primitive N -th root of unity, the object $L(1, 0)$ becomes invertible in the semisimplification of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}$ with inverse $L(0, 1)$.*

Proof. The result follows from a decomposition of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -modules

$$L(1, 0) \otimes L(0, 1) \cong L(1, 1) \oplus L(0, 0).$$

Indeed, one checks that in $L(1, 0) \otimes L(0, 1)$ the vector

$$v = y_1 y_2 v_{10} \otimes v_{01} - q v_{10} \otimes y_2 y_1 v_{01} - y_2 v_{10} \otimes y_1 v_{01}$$

is both a highest and lowest weight vector. Thus, as $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} L(1, 1) = 8$ and $v_{10} \otimes v_{01}$ defines an 8-dimensional submodule which cannot contain v , the direct sum decomposition follows. \square

In particular, all modules $L_i := L(1, 0)^{\otimes n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are non-zero simple objects in $\overline{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}}$ of quantum dimension $(-1)^i$. These objects admit isomorphisms

$$L_i \otimes L_j \cong L_{i+j}.$$

Lemma 6.23. *There is an isomorphism $L_2 \cong L(2, 0)$ in the semisimplification.*

Proof. This follows from a direct sum decomposition

$$L(1, 0) \otimes L(1, 0) \cong L(2, 0) \oplus L(2, N - 1).$$

Such decomposition follows from the existence of a highest weight vector of weight $(2, N - 1)$, which necessarily generates a 4-dimensional direct summand $L(2, N - 1)$ due to a dimension argument. \square

Consider the braided category $\mathbf{vect}_{-1}^{\mathbb{k}C_m}$ of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}C_m$ -comodules, for C_m a cyclic group ($m = \infty$ denotes the infinite case), but with braiding given by $\Psi_{V,W}(v, w) = (-1)^{\deg v \cdot \deg w} w \otimes v$ for $v \in V, w \in W$ homogeneous elements. This category is symmetric monoidal.

From the above discussion, we know that the tensor subcategory generated by L_1 in $\overline{\mathbf{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})\text{-mod}}$ is braided equivalent $\mathbf{vect}_{-1}^{\mathbb{k}C_m}$ for some m , possibly infinite. Indeed, after passing to semisimplification, the braiding Ψ_{L_1, L_1} is necessarily a scalar multiple of the identity on L_2 . It follows from Equation (6.3.3) that the braiding is given either by $(-1)\mathbf{Id}_{L_1 \otimes L_1}$ or by $q^{-1}\mathbf{Id}_{L_1 \otimes L_1}$. Computing the Hopf link invariant, one sees that the braiding is given by

$$(6.4.1) \quad \Psi_{L_1, L_1} = (-1)\mathbf{Id}_{L_1 \otimes L_1}.$$

This is the same as the braiding of the (1-dimensional) simple object in $\mathbf{vect}_{-1}^{\mathbb{k}C_m}$ concentrated in degree 1. It remains unclear whether $m = \infty$ or a certain even natural number $m \geq 4$. Below, we demonstrate that $m \geq 6$ in the case $q = \mathbf{i}$ is a 4-th root of unity.

Example 6.24. We decompose some tensor products into indecomposable direct summands of $\mathbf{u}_i(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -modules. Here, for $L(i, 0)$, we fix a homogeneous basis $\{v_j^i\}_{j=0, \dots, 2i}$, where

$$v_j^i = \begin{cases} (y_2 y_1)^a y_2 v_0^i, & \text{if } j = 2a + 1, \\ (y_1 y_2)^a v_0^i, & \text{if } j = 2a. \end{cases}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and a highest weight vector v_0^i of $L(i, 0)$.

- (1) The tensor product $L(1, 0) \otimes L(1, 0)$ decomposes as

$$L(1, 0) \otimes L(1, 0) \cong L(2, 0) \oplus L(2, 3).$$

The direct summand $L(2, 0)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_0^1 \otimes v_0^1$ and the direct summand $L(2, 3)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_1^1 \otimes v_0^1 - v_0^1 \otimes v_1^1$.

- (2) The tensor product $L(1, 0) \otimes L(2, 0)$ decomposes as

$$L(1, 0) \otimes L(2, 0) \cong L(3, 3) \oplus L(3, 0).$$

The direct summand $L(3, 0)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_0^1 \otimes v_0^2$ and the direct summand $L(3, 3)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $2v_1^1 \otimes v_0^2 + (i-1)v_0^1 \otimes v_1^2$.

- (3) The tensor product $L(1, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)$ is indecomposable. The highest weight vector $v_0^1 \otimes v_0^3$ generates an 8-dimensional submodule V_1 which is a non-split extension

$$0 \rightarrow L(0, 3) \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow L(0, 0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Its socle $L(0, 3)$ is generated by the highest weight vector $v_1^1 \otimes v_0^3 + i v_0^1 \otimes v_1^3$. As $\dim_q(L(1, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)) = \dim_q L(1, 0) \cdot \dim_q L(3, 0) = (-1)^{1+3} = 1$, V_1 gives a simple object in the semisimplification.

We note that $L(1, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)$ is an indecomposable module *not* generated by highest weight vectors.

- (4) The tensor product $L(2, 0) \otimes L(2, 0)$ decomposes as a direct sum

$$L(2, 0) \otimes L(2, 0) \cong L(3, 2) \oplus V_2,$$

where $L(3, 2)$ is generated by the highest weight vector

$$2v_0^2 \otimes v_3^2 + (1-i)v_1^2 \otimes v_2^2 - (1-i)v_2^2 \otimes v_1^2 - 2iv_2^2 \otimes v_0^2.$$

The indecomposable direct summand V_2 has the same composition series as $L(1, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)$.

The vector $w = v_0^2 \otimes v_1^2$ satisfies that $x_2 w = 2v_0^2 \otimes v_0^2$.

(5) The tensor product $L(2, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)$ is again indecomposable. The two highest weight vectors

$$w_1 = v_0^2 \otimes v_0^3, \quad w_2 = 2v_0^2 \otimes v_2^3 - (1+i)v_2^2 \otimes v_0^3$$

generate submodules V_1 and V_2 . The submodule V_1 is a non-split extension

$$0 \rightarrow L(0, 2) \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow L(1, 0)$$

while $V_2 \cong L(1, 3) = M(1, 3)$ is a 16-dimensional simple.

The quotient by $V_1 \oplus V_2$ is generated by the vector

$$w_3 = v_0^2 \otimes v_3^3.$$

Thus, the quotient $Q = (L(2, 0) \otimes L(3, 0))/(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ is a non-split extension

$$0 \rightarrow L(1, 0) \oplus L(0, 1) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow L(0, 2) \rightarrow 0.$$

In $L(2, 0) \otimes L(3, 0)$ we have that

$$x_2 \cdot w_3 = 2v_0^2 \otimes v_2^3$$

which is a sum of vectors from V_2 and V_3 . Thus, neither V_2 nor V_3 are direct summands of the tensor product. Thus, L_5 is not isomorphic to L_i , $0 \leq i \leq 4$ and gives a new simple object in the tensor subcategory generated by L_1 in the semisimplification.

The following questions about the semisimplified category remain unanswered.

Question 6.25. Does $\overline{\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-mod}}$ contain infinitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects?

Question 6.26. Do $L(1, 0)$ and $L(0, 1)$ form a set of tensor generators for the semisimple tensor category $\overline{\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-mod}}$?

7. APPLICATION TO LINK INVARIANTS

As an application of the non-semisimple ribbon categories constructed in this paper, we compute knot invariants obtained from low-dimensional simple modules over the rank-two super quantum groups $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{1}})$ associated to a primitive N -th root of unity q , where $N = 2n$ is even.

The discussion in Section 6.4 implies that the link invariants associated to any simple object with non-vanishing quantum dimension, i.e., any object of the form $L(i, 0)$ or $L(0, i)$, $i = 0, \dots, N-1$, are not interesting. For example, the (framed) link invariants obtained from the 3-dimensional simple module $L(1, 0)$ and its dual $L(0, 1)$ compute the number of connected components of the link modulo 2.

To obtain interesting invariant of knots, we consider the 4-dimensional simple module $W := L(n, n+1)$. This module has vanishing quantum dimension $\dim_q W = 0$. Thus, the associated Reshetikhin–Turaev link invariants are trivial. To produce non-trivial interesting link invariants, we apply the theory of generalized traces, see [GKPM11].

7.1. An ambidextrous trace for the four-dimensional simple module. Consider the four-dimensional simple $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -module $W = L(n, n+1)$ generated by a highest weight vector w_0 of weight $(n, n+1)$. We fix a \mathbb{Z}_N^2 -homogeneous basis $\{w_0, w_1, w'_1, w_2\}$ with respect to which the action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 w_0 &= w_1, & y_1 w_1 &= 0, & y_1 w'_1 &= 2(1 - q^{-1})^{-1} w_2, & y_1 w_2 &= 0, \\ y_2 w_0 &= w'_1, & y_2 w_1 &= w_2, & y_2 w'_1 &= 0, & y_2 w_2 &= 0, \\ x_1 w_0 &= 0, & x_1 w_1 &= (1 + q)w_0, & x_1 w'_1 &= 0, & x_1 w_2 &= (1 + q^{-1})w'_1, \\ x_2 w_0 &= 0, & x_2 w_1 &= 0, & x_2 w'_1 &= 2w_0, & x_2 w_2 &= (1 + q)w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the braiding on $W \otimes W$ is given, in the lexicographically ordered basis $\{w_i \otimes w_j\}$, by the matrix following matrix Ψ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{q} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2(-1)^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^n \left(3 + \frac{1}{q}\right) & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(-1)^n}{q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{(-1)^n}{q} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + \frac{1}{q} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + \frac{1}{q} & 0 & 0 & (-1)^{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2(-1)^n & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-1)^n \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, we compute the twist with respect to the ribbon structure from Theorem 5.8.

Lemma 7.1. *The twist on $W = L(n, n+1)$ is given by $\theta_W = (-1)^n \text{Id}_W$.*

To obtain link invariants (rather than framed link invariants), we assume, for the rest of this section that n is even. The following results have been obtained computationally (using MAPLETM).

Lemma 7.2. *The endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})}(W \otimes W)$ is three dimensional over \mathbb{k} . A basis is given by $\{\text{Id}, \Psi, \Psi^{-1}\}$, where $\Psi = \Psi_{W,W}$.*

The results of [GKPM11] now imply the following.

Corollary 7.3. *The isomorphism*

$$d_W: \text{End}_{u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, \quad \lambda \text{Id} \mapsto \lambda \in \mathbb{k},$$

defines an ambidextrous trace on W . Thus, the tensor ideal \mathcal{I}_W of $u_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -mod generated by W has a unique ambidextrous trace.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that any endomorphism of $W \otimes W$ commutes with the braiding $\Psi_{W,W}$. Thus, [GKPM11, Lemma 3.3.4, Corollary 3.3.3] imply the claimed statements. \square

The following gives a skein relation for the braiding $\Psi = \Psi_{W,W}$.

Lemma 7.4. *The minimal polynomial of Ψ is given by*

$$(7.1.1) \quad \Psi^3 + (2 + q^{-1})\Psi^2 + (1 + 2q^{-1})\Psi + q^{-1}\text{Id} = 0.$$

Next, we can provide a composition series for the module $W \otimes W$.

Lemma 7.5. *The highest weight vector $w_0 \otimes w_1 - qw_1 \otimes w_0$ generates a direct summand of $W \otimes W$ which is isomorphic to $L(2n - 1, 2)$. Its complement M is an indecomposable module with extension structure*

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L(0, 1) \oplus L(0, 0) \rightarrow 0,$$

with $N = \mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2, \mathbb{I}})(v_0 \otimes v_0)$. Further, the highest weight vector $v'_1 \otimes v_0 - v_0 \otimes v'_1$ generates a submodule isomorphic to $L(2n, 2n + 1) = L(0, 1)$ inside of N with extension structure

$$0 \rightarrow L(0, 1) \rightarrow N \rightarrow L(0, 2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 7.6. *The endomorphism ring $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2, \mathbb{I}})}(W \otimes W)$ has an alternative basis Id, Ψ, e , where*

$$e: W \otimes W \rightarrow L(2n - 1, 2) \hookrightarrow W \otimes W$$

is the idempotent projecting onto the direct summand generated by $w_0 \otimes w_1 - qw_1 \otimes w_0$.

Using the above Lemma, we see that

$$(7.1.2) \quad \Psi + \Psi^{-1} + 2\text{Id} + (q + q^{-1} - 2)e = 0.$$

7.2. An invariant of links associated to the four-dimensional simple module. To conclude this section, we include some knot invariants obtained from the ambidextrous trace on W . These invariants are constructed as in [GPMT09] by cutting a diagram of a link \mathcal{L} at one strand thus producing a tangle diagram $r_{\mathcal{L}}$ with one incoming and one outgoing strand. We label the diagram by the objects W and W^* coherently so that the cut strand was labelled by W . Thus, we can use the Reshetikhin–Turaev functor F_W sending the tensor generator $(+)$ of the ribbon category of (framed) oriented tangles to W and its dual to W^* (cf. [RT90]). Under this functor F_W , the ribbon diagram $r_{\mathcal{L}}$ is evaluated to an endomorphism $F_W(r_{\mathcal{L}})$ of W . The generalized trace d_W produces an invariant of framed links via

$$(7.2.1) \quad I_W(\mathcal{L}) := d_W(F_W(r_{\mathcal{L}})) \in \mathbb{k}.$$

This invariant is well-defined by [GPMT09, Theorem 3], i.e., independent of choice of cutting of the link diagram. The invariant $I_W(\mathcal{L})$ is indeed an invariant of oriented links (no framing required) since $\theta_W = \text{Id}_W$, see Lemma 7.1.

Remark 7.7. This partial skein relation from Lemma 7.4 exactly recovers the skein relation of the Links–Gould invariant, a two-variable polynomial in (p^2, q^2) , obtained from the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(2|1))$ in [GLZ96, DWLK99] when one substitutes $(-1, q^{-1})$ for their parameters (p^2, q^2) . Comparing the knot invariants for $2_1^2, 3_1, 4_1$ with this substitution gives the same results, up to taking mirror image, as in Table 4.

We expect the invariant I_W to recover a specialization of the Links–Gould polynomial Remark 7.7.

Conjecture 7.8. *The invariant I_W is obtained from the Links–Gould invariant by setting $p^2 = -1$ and $q^2 = q^{-1}$.*

The two invariants agree in all examples from the Rolfsen table computed here. Further, the braiding matrices, comparing to σ^{-1} in [DWLK99], are conjugate matrices.

Example 7.9. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}_{a,b}$ denote the (a,b) -torus knot on a strands braided b times with all components oriented in counter-clockwise direction. We label $\mathcal{T}_{a,b}$ in such a way that the left-hand strands are all labelled by copies of W . Consequently, the right-hand strands are labelled by W^* . For example, $\mathcal{T}_{2,-1}$ is just the unknot, using $\theta_W = \text{Id}$, $\mathcal{T}_{2,-2}$ is the Hopf link, and $\mathcal{T}_{2,-3}$ is a left-handed trefoil knot as displayed in Figure 1 together with a choice of ribbon tangle obtained by cutting one strand. Table 4 shows the values of the invariant I_W for certain torus knots. We note that $I_W(\mathcal{T}_{a,-b})$ can be obtained from $I_W(\mathcal{T}_{a,b})$ by replacing q with q^{-1} . Thus, the invariant I_W distinguishes right-handed and left-handed versions of the torus knots.




FIGURE 1. The trefoil knot $\mathcal{T}_{2,-3}$ and a choice of tangle $r_{\mathcal{T}_{2,-3}}$ such that $I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-3}) = d_W(r_{\mathcal{T}_{2,-3}})$.

Example 7.10. Table 4, in particular, contains the invariants associated to all prime knots with up to 7 crossings as listed in the Rolfsen Knot Table [Rol90]. As passing to the mirror image interchanges q and q^{-1} , the table has been normalized in such a way that all invariants of knots from the Rolfsen table appear to start with a highest positive power of q .

Thus, we see that the invariant I_W distinguishes all prime knots with up to 7 crossings. The invariant also distinguishes these knots from their mirror images, besides those which are equivalent to their mirror images (4_1 and 6_3). Note that The Links–Gould invariant distinguishes *all* prime knots with up to 10 crossings [DW00] but fails to distinguish some (non-mutant) knots with 12 crossings [DWL07].

We can give a closed formula for $I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,b})$ using the skein relation (7.1.1).

Lemma 7.11. *For $b \geq 1$, we have*

$$(7.2.2) \quad I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-b}) = (-1)^{b+1} \left(b + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} (b-i)q^{-i} \right)$$

Proof. This holds for $1 \leq b \leq 3$ by Table 4. Let $b \geq 4$ and assume the equality holds for all $1 \leq k < b$. Then, using the skein relation (7.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-b}) &= - \left[(2 + q^{-1})I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-b+1}) + (1 + 2q^{-1})I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-b+2}) + q^{-1}I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,-b+3}) \right] \\ &= (-1)^{b+1} \left[(2 + q^{-1}) \left(b - 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-2} (b-1-i)q^{-i} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 + 2q^{-1}) \left(b - 2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-3} (b-2-i)q^{-i} \right) + q^{-1} \left(b - 3 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-4} (b-3-i)q^{-i} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{b+1} \left[b + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-2} (b-1-i)q^{-i-1} + 4(b-2)q^{-1} - 2(b-3)q^{-1} \right] \\
&= (-1)^{b+1} \left(b + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} (b-i)q^{-i} \right). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.12. For $b \geq 1$, we have

$$(7.2.3) \quad I_W(\mathcal{T}_{2,b}) = (-1)^{b+1} \left(b + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} (b-i)q^i \right) = (-1)^{b+1} \left(\frac{2}{1-q^{-1}} [b]_q + \frac{b}{1-q} [2]_q \right).$$

Question 7.13. Is there a closed formula for $I_W(\mathcal{L}_{a,b})$ in terms of a, b for general torus knots?

Name / Rolfsen table	Torus knot description	$I_W(\mathcal{L})$
Unknot	$\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$	1
Hopf Link 2_1^2	$\mathcal{T}_{2,2}$	$-2q - 2$
(Right-handed) Trefoil 3_1	$\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$	$2q^2 + 4q + 3$
Figure-eight knot 4_1		$6q + 13 + 6q^{-1}$
Solomon link 4_1^2	$\mathcal{T}_{2,4}$	$-2q^3 - 4q^2 - 6q - 4$
Qinquefoil knot 5_1	$\mathcal{T}_{2,5}$	$2q^4 + 4q^3 + 6q^2 + 8q + 5$
Three-twist Gordian 5_2		$2q^3 + 14q^2 + 22q + 11$
Stevedore 6_1		$6q^2 + 26q + 35 + 14q^{-1}$
6_2		$6q^3 + 22q^2 + 40q + 39 + 14q^{-1}$
6_3		$10q^2 + 42q + 65 + 42q^{-1} + 10q^{-2}$
6_1^2	$\mathcal{T}_{2,6}$	$-2q^5 - 4q^4 - 6q^3 - 8q^2 - 10q - 6$
7_1	$\mathcal{T}_{2,7}$	$2q^6 + 4q^5 + 6q^4 + 8q^3 + 10q^2 + 12q + 7$
7_2		$2q^4 + 14q^3 + 40q^2 + 46q + 19$
7_3		$2q^5 + 14q^4 + 32q^3 + 50q^2 + 50q + 21$
7_4		$2q^4 + 24q^3 + 76q^2 + 88q + 35$
7_5		$2q^5 + 20q^4 + 60q^3 + 96q^2 + 82q + 29$
7_6		$16q^3 + 76q^2 + 134q + 105 + 30q^{-1}$
7_7		$18q^2 + 96q + 171 + 124q^{-1} + 32q^{-2}$

TABLE 4. Examples of links invariants obtained from the four-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{u}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\mathbb{I}})$ -module $W = L(n, n+1)$

It is known that the knots 5_1 and 10_{132} have the same Jones, Alexander–Conway, and HOMFLYPT polynomials and several other invariants coincide for these two knots (however, they can be distinguished by the G2 invariant and, indeed, the Links–Gould invariant) [BNM22]. Here, we compute the invariant I_W for this knot.

Example 7.14 (10_{132}). Using MAGMATM we compute that

$$I_W(10_{132}) = 4q^2 + 4q - 3 + 10q^{-2} + 8q^{-3} + 2q^{-4}.$$

Thus, I_W distinguishes the knots 10_{132} and 5_1 . The tangle whose closure gives the knot 10_{132} is displayed in Figure 2(B).

To conclude, we evaluate the invariant I_W on more complex links than the Jones polynomial cannot distinguish from unlinks.

Example 7.15. In [EKT03], the authors provide an infinite family of links $LL_2(l)$, for l a positive integer and show that for even l the Jones polynomial is that of the two-component unlink. The easiest links in this family are the closures of the tangles displayed in Figure 2(c). Again, using MAPLETM we compute the invariants I_W of these links and see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_W(LL_2(1)) &= 2(q^7 + 2q^6 - q^5 - 3q^4 - 2q^3 + 2q + 2 - q^{-2}), \\ I_W(LL_2(2)) &= 2(8q^8 + 30q^7 + 49q^6 + 62q^5 + 84q^4 + 77q^3 - 18q^2 - 105q - 99 - 150q^{-1} \\ &\quad - 213q^{-2} - 113q^{-3} + 40q^{-4} + 129q^{-5} + 134q^{-6} + 70q^{-7} + 15q^{-8}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, I_W distinguishes $LL_2(1)$ and $LL_2(2)$ from the two-component unlink.




FIGURE 2. The tangle used to compute the invariant I_W , with $W = L(n, n + 1)$. The diagrams are read from top to bottom.

REFERENCES

- [AA17] N. Andruskiewitsch and I. E. Angiono, *On finite dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, Bull. Math. Sci. **7** (2017), no. 3, 353–573.
- [AGPS18] N. Aghaei, A. M. Gainutdinov, M. Pawelkiewicz, and V. Schomerus, *Combinatorial Quantisation of $GL(1|1)$ Chern-Simons Theory I: The Torus*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.09123 [math.hep-th] (2018).
- [Ang13] I. E. Angiono, *On Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **683** (2013), 189–251.
- [Ang15] I. E. Angiono, *A presentation by generators and relations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type and convex orders on root systems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **17** (2015), no. 10, 2643–2671.
- [AS02] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, New directions in Hopf algebras, 2002, pp. 1–68.

- [AY15] I. Angiono and H. Yamane, *The R-matrix of quantum doubles of Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 2, 021702, 19.
- [Bes97] Yu. N. Bespalov, *Crossed modules and quantum groups in braided categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures **5** (1997), no. 2, 155–204.
- [BK01] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov Jr., *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, University Lecture Series, vol. 21, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [BNM22] D. Bar-Natan and S. Morrison, *The Knot Atlas*, 2022. Available at <http://katlas.org>, retrieved on December 1, 2022.
- [BT18] G. Bellamy and U. Thiel, *Highest weight theory for finite-dimensional graded algebras with triangular decomposition*, Adv. Math. **330** (2018), 361–419.
- [Bur08] S. Burciu, *A class of Drinfeld doubles that are ribbon algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 5, 2053–2078.
- [BW99] J. W. Barrett and B. W. Westbury, *Spherical categories*, Adv. Math. **143** (1999), no. 2, 357–375.
- [CDGG21] T. Creutzig, T. Dimofte, N. Garner, and N. Geer, *A QFT for non-semisimple TQFT*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.01559 [math.hep-th] (2021).
- [CGPM14] F. Costantino, N. Geer, and B. Patureau-Mirand, *Quantum invariants of 3-manifolds via link surgery presentations and non-semi-simple categories*, J. Topol. **7** (2014), no. 4, 1005–1053.
- [CGR20] T. Creutzig, A. M. Gainutdinov, and I. Runkel, *A quasi-Hopf algebra for the triplet vertex operator algebra*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **22** (2020), no. 3, 1950024, 71.
- [DRGG⁺22] M. De Renzi, A. M. Gainutdinov, N. Geer, B. Patureau-Mirand, and I. Runkel, *3-Dimensional TQFTs from non-semisimple modular categories*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **28** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 42, 60.
- [DRGG⁺23] M. De Renzi, A. M. Gainutdinov, N. Geer, B. Patureau-Mirand, and I. Runkel, *Mapping class group representations from non-semisimple TQFTs*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **25** (2023), no. 01, 2150091.
- [DSPS19] C. L. Douglas, C. Schommer-Pries, and N. Snyder, *The balanced tensor product of module categories*, Kyoto J. Math. **59** (2019), no. 1, 167–179.
- [DSPS20] C. L. Douglas, C. Schommer-Pries, and N. Snyder, *Dualizable tensor categories*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **268** (2020), no. 1308, vii+88.
- [DW00] D. De Wit, *Automatic evaluation of the Links-Gould invariant for all prime knots of up to 10 crossings*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **9** (2000), no. 3, 311–339.
- [DWL07] D. De Wit and J. Links, *Where the Links-Gould invariant first fails to distinguish nonmutant prime knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **16** (2007), no. 8, 1021–1041.
- [DWLK99] D. De Wit, J. R. Links, and L. H. Kauffman, *On the Links-Gould invariant of links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **8** (1999), no. 2, 165–199.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [EKT03] S. Eliahou, L. H. Kauffman, and M. B. Thistlethwaite, *Infinite families of links with trivial Jones polynomial*, Topology **42** (2003), no. 1, 155–169.
- [EO22] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, *On semisimplification of tensor categories*, Representation Theory and Algebraic Geometry: A Conference Celebrating the Birthdays of Sasha Beilinson and Victor Ginzburg, 2022, pp. 3–35.
- [FS17] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, *Consistent systems of correlators in non-semisimple conformal field theory*, Adv. Math. **307** (2017), 598–639.
- [FSS00] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino, and P. Sorba, *Dictionary on Lie algebras and superalgebras*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2000.
- [FT10] B. Feigin and I. Yu. Tipunin, *Logarithmic cfts connected with simple lie algebras*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:1002.5047 [math.QA] (2010).
- [GG82] R. Gordon and E. L. Green, *Graded Artin algebras*, J. Algebra **76** (1982), no. 1, 111–137.
- [GKPM11] N. Geer, J. Kujawa, and B. Patureau-Mirand, *Generalized trace and modified dimension functions on ribbon categories*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **17** (2011), no. 2, 453–504.
- [GLO18] A. M. Gainutdinov, S. Lentner, and T. Ohrmann, *Modularization of small quantum groups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.02116v2 [math.QA] (2018).

- [GLZ96] M. D. Gould, J. R. Links, and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Type-I quantum superalgebras, q -supertrace, and two-variable link polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), no. 2, 987–1003.
- [GPMT09] N. Geer, B. Patureau-Mirand, and V. Turaev, *Modified quantum dimensions and re-normalized link invariants*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 1, 196–212.
- [GSTF06] A. M. Gaĭnutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, I. Yu. Tipunin, and B. L. Feĭgin, *The Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for the representation category of the triplet W -algebra in logarithmic conformal field theories*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **148** (2006), no. 3, 398–427.
- [Hec06] I. Heckenberger, *The Weyl groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type*, Invent. Math. **164** (2006), no. 1, 175–188.
- [Hec09] I. Heckenberger, *Classification of arithmetic root systems*, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), no. 1, 59–124.
- [Hec10] I. Heckenberger, *Lusztig isomorphisms for Drinfel’d doubles of bosonizations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. 8, 2130–2182.
- [HN91] R. R. Holmes and D. K. Nakano, *Brauer-type reciprocity for a class of graded associative algebras*, J. Algebra **144** (1991), no. 1, 117–126.
- [HS20] I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, *Hopf Algebras and Root Systems*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 247, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2020.
- [Kac77] V. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), no. 1, 8–96.
- [Kac78] V. Kac, *Representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, Differential geometrical methods in mathematical physics, II (Proc. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1977), 1978, pp. 597–626.
- [KL01] T. Kerler and V. V. Lyubashenko, *Non-semisimple topological quantum field theories for 3-manifolds with corners*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1765, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [KR93] L. H. Kauffman and D. E. Radford, *A necessary and sufficient condition for a finite-dimensional Drinfel’d double to be a ribbon Hopf algebra*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), no. 1, 98–114.
- [KT91] S. M. Khoroshkin and V. N. Tolstoy, *Universal R -matrix for quantized (super)algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), no. 3, 599–617.
- [Lau19] R. Laugwitz, *Comodule algebras and 2-cocycles over the (braided) Drinfeld double*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **21** (2019), no. 4, 1850045, 46.
- [Lau20] R. Laugwitz, *The relative monoidal center and tensor products of monoidal categories*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **22** (2020), no. 8, 1950068, 53.
- [Len21] S. D. Lentner, *Quantum groups and Nichols algebras acting on conformal field theories*, Adv. Math. **378** (2021), Paper No. 107517, 71.
- [LMSS20] S. Lentner, S. N. Mierach, C. Schweigert, and Y. Sommerhaeuser, *Hochschild cohomology, modular tensor categories, and mapping class groups*, ArXiv preprint arXiv:2003.06527 [math.QA] (2020).
- [LO17] S. Lentner and T. Ohrmann, *Factorizable R -matrices for small quantum groups*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **13** (2017), Paper No. 076, 25.
- [LW21] R. Laugwitz and C. Walton, *Braided commutative algebras over quantized enveloping algebras*, Transform. Groups **26** (2021), no. 3, 957–993.
- [LW22] R. Laugwitz and C. Walton, *Constructing non-semisimple modular categories with relative monoidal centers*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **20** (2022), 15826–15868.
- [Lyu95] V. V. Lyubashenko, *Invariants of 3-manifolds and projective representations of mapping class groups via quantum groups at roots of unity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **172** (1995), no. 3, 467–516.
- [Müg03] M. Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology. II. The quantum double of tensor categories and subfactors*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **180** (2003), no. 1-2, 159–219.
- [Maj00] S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. Paperback Edition, originally published 1995.
- [Maj99] S. Majid, *Double-bosonization of braided groups and the construction of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **125** (1999), no. 1, 151–192.
- [Mus12] I. M. Musson, *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 131, American Mathematical Society, 2012.
- [Neg21] C. Negron, *Log-modular quantum groups at even roots of unity and the quantum Frobenius I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **382** (2021), no. 2, 773–814.

- [Rad12] D. E. Radford, *Hopf algebras*, Series on Knots and Everything, vol. 49, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.
- [Rol90] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, Mathematics Lecture Series, vol. 7, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1990. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [RT90] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, *Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127** (1990), no. 1, 1–26.
- [RT91] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev, *Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), no. 3, 547–597.
- [Shi18] K. Shimizu, *Ribbon structures of the Drinfeld center of a finite tensor category*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.09691v2 [math.QA] (2018).
- [Shi19] K. Shimizu, *Non-degeneracy conditions for braided finite tensor categories*, Adv. Math. **355** (2019), 106778, 36.
- [SW21] C. Schweigert and L. Woike, *Homotopy coherent mapping class group actions and excision for Hochschild complexes of modular categories*, Advances in Mathematics **386** (2021), 107814.
- [Tak00] M. Takeuchi, *Survey of braided Hopf algebras*, Contemp. Math. **267** (2000), 301–324.
- [TC21] M. R. Thomas Creutzig Simon Lentner, *Characterizing braided tensor categories associated to logarithmic vertex operator algebras*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:2104.13262 [math.QA] (2021).
- [TG21] C. N. Terry Gannon, *Quantum $sl(2)$ and logarithmic vertex operator algebras at $(p,1)$ -central charge*, Arxiv preprint arXiv:2104.12821 [math.QA] (2021).
- [TV17] V. Turaev and A. Virelizier, *Monoidal categories and topological field theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 322, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Vay19] C. Vay, *On Hopf algebras with triangular decomposition*, Tensor categories and Hopf algebras, 2019, pp. 181–199.
- [Yam94] H. Yamane, *Quantized enveloping algebras associated with simple Lie superalgebras and their universal R -matrices*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 1, 15–87.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, UNIVERSITY PARK, NOTTINGHAM, NG7 2RD, UK

Email address: robert.laugwitz@nottingham.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, 411 MORRILL ROAD, AMES, IA 50011, USA

Email address: sanmarco@iastate.edu