

TWISTED ACTIONS ON COHOMOLOGIES AND BIMODULES

VLADIMIR SHCHIGOLEV

ABSTRACT. We introduce a twisted action of the equivariant cohomology of the singleton $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ on the equivariant cohomology $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ of an L -space X . Considering this actions as a right action, $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ becomes a bimodule together with the canonical left action of $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. Using this bimodule structure, we prove an equivariant version of the Künneth isomorphism.

We apply this result to the computation of the equivariant cohomologies of Bott-Samelson varieties and to a geometric construction of the bimodule morphisms between these cohomologies.

Keywords: equivariant cohomology, fibre bundle, Künneth isomorphism, Bott-Samelson variety, diagrams.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a topological group acting continuously on a topological space X . For any commutative ring \mathbb{k} , the L -equivariant (sheaf) cohomology $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ is naturally a left $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module, where pt is the singleton trivially acted upon by L . This module structure can explicitly be described as follows:

$$cm = a_X^*(c) \cup m,$$

where $c \in H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$, $m \in H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$, $a_X : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ is the constant map, a_X^* is the equivariant pull-back and \cup denotes the cup product.

The main idea of this paper is to define the structure of a right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ possibly for $R \neq L$. Of course, if $L = R$ and we do not have any additional information about X , then we can do it, simply setting $md = m \cup a_X^*(d)$. This construction is however very restrictive. Therefore, we define the right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module structure on $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ with the help of an L -equivariant map $A : X \rightarrow G/R$, which we call the *twisting map*, see Section 2.9. This definition, see (6), assumes that the groups L and R are contained in a larger group G , which acts on the total space E of a universal principal L -bundle. Therefore, we define the equivariant cohomology $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ not just with the help of any universal principal L -bundle $E \rightarrow L \backslash E$ but only with the help of such a bundle that bears a continuous action of G . This construction is possible at least in the case where G is a compact Lie group and L and R are its closed subgroups. We believe that twisting maps are omnipresent, see, for example, Section 6 and 7.

The main result of the paper is the proof of the following equivariant form of the Künneth isomorphism. Let X and Y be topological spaces and L, R, P, Q be closed subgroups of a compact Lie group G such that $R \subset P$ and $Q \subset P$, the groups L and R act commutatively and continuously on X on the left and on the right respectively and Q acts continuously on Y . Then we consider the space

$$X \times_R P \times_Q Y = X \times P \times Y / \sim,$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation defined by $(x, p, y) \sim (xr, r^{-1}pq, q^{-1}y)$ for any $r \in R$ and $q \in Q$. Let also $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ be a continuous map such that $\alpha(lx) = l\alpha(x)$ and

$\alpha(xr) = \alpha(x)r$ for any $l \in L$, $x \in X$ and $r \in R$. This map induces the morphism of left L -spaces $A : X/R \rightarrow G/R$ by $A(xR) = \alpha(x)R$. Considering A as a twisting map, we get the structure of a right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $H_L^\bullet(X/R, \text{pt})$ and thus the structure of a right $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module through the natural morphism $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. Similarly, the natural morphism $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_Q^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ defines the structure of a left $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$ by modifying the canonical left module structure. Then there exists a map

$$H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\theta} H_L^\bullet \left(X \times_{\substack{R \\ Q}} P \times Y, \mathbb{k} \right)$$

that is an isomorphism (of bimodules if a twisted right action on $H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$ is defined) under certain restrictions (I)–(VIII). Note that the importance of this isomorphism is that it is given by an explicitly constructed map θ , whereas the mere fact that the cohomologies in both sides are isomorphic follows quite trivially from spectral sequences. This exact description pays off, when we consider the cohomologies of Bott-Samelson varieties in Section 7 and morphisms between them in Section 8.

We give two basic examples of the applications of the previously developed theory in Section 6. The first example concerns the cohomology of the flag variety G/B . We reprove the classical isomorphism

$$H_T^\bullet(G/B, \mathbb{k}) \cong \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^W} \mathcal{R},$$

where B is a Borel subgroup of a semisimple complex algebraic group G containing a maximal torus T , W is the Weyl group, $\mathcal{R} = H_T^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ and \mathcal{R}^W is the subring of W -invariants. Whereas this isomorphism is usually used to introduce the \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodule structure on T -equivariant cohomologies of spaces X having T -equivariant continuous morphisms $X \rightarrow G/B$ (for example, the flag and Bott-Samelson varieties), we show that it itself is a consequence of the theory developed in Sections 2–5. In this paper, we cite this isomorphism only as an example because our theory of twisted actions allows us to introduce the right actions independently. The second example concerns the standard bimodules (with twisted right actions) and we reprove the usual multiplication property of such bimodules.

Our main example concerns Bott-Samelson varieties $\text{BS}(s)$ in Section 7. Here we use the original definition of these varieties by Bott and Samelson [BS]. Note that $\text{BS}(s)$ is a left K -space for the maximal compact torus K . Thus we can consider the K -equivariant cohomology $H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k})$ for any commutative ring \mathbb{k} . In Theorem 34, we establish the isomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules


$$\theta_s : \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{s_1}} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{s_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{s_n}} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k}),$$

where $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and \mathcal{R}^{s_i} denotes the subring of s_i -invariants of the K -equivariant cohomology of the point \mathcal{R} . Compared with other constructions of similar isomorphisms (for example, [WW, Theorem 1.6]), our construction has the following advantages:

- the reflections s_i are not necessarily simple;
- the proof is localization free;
- θ_s is constructed explicitly as a quotient of the composition of a pull-back and a Künneth isomorphism;
- the ring of coefficients \mathbb{k} can be any commutative ring of finite global dimension.

The isomorphisms θ_s satisfy the quite expected concatenation property (Theorem 35) and their restrictions to the K -fixed points are computed (Theorem 37).

Finally, in Section 8, we consider the morphisms of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules $H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(t), \mathbb{k})$. It is well known [L] that all these morphisms are generated (as linear combinations) by morphisms described by planar diagrams [EW]. We will assume here that such diagrams do not have horizontal tangent lines and that no two vertices have the same y -coordinate (Soergel graphs in the terminology of [EW]). We can cut any such diagram by horizontal lines to strips containing only one vertex. A typical picture looks like this



We find a geometric description for any such horizontal strip as a pull-back or a push-forward or the composition of both. The first two cases are considered and computed in coordinates in Sections 8.2 and 8.3 and the compositions are considered in Section 8.5 and are extended horizontally in Section 8.9. One can see that the compositions are necessary only for strips containing two-color vertices. Such strips can be further cut to the upper and the lower parts, which are given by pull-backs and push-forwards, respectively. For example, the diagram above receives an additional cut. The corresponding strip turns into the following diagram:



This picture shows that we need to consider varieties more general than Bott-Sammelson varieties, see Section 8.4. We also prove that the normalization criterion for the two-color morphisms holds (Lemma 41), which allows us to identify them with the morphism $f_{s,t}$ defined by Libedinsky in [L, Lemme 4.7].

If we compose these elements differently as in Section 8.7, we obtain the Jones-Wenzl projectors, which we also represent by two-color vertices of the same valency as the vertices representing the morphisms $f_{s,t}$. Some relations can be proved using the direct construction of the morphisms. The examples are given in Sections 8.3, 8.7, and 8.8. We conjecture that all other relations defining the diagrammatic category for the given group can also be proved similarly. It also would be interesting to find a geometric description for morphisms represented by strips containing more than one vertex, at least in the case when all vertices of this strip are represented either by pull-backs or push-forwards.

2. NOTATION AND BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

2.1. Set theory. We denote sets by capital Latin letters and their elements by small Latin letters. We use the usual signs for the union, the intersection and the Cartesian product¹. To avoid confusion with quotients, we denote the difference of sets by $-$. For a sequence of indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ and sets X_1, \dots, X_n , we denote by $\text{pr}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$ the projection $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \rightarrow X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}$ to the corresponding coordinates.

Let X, Y , and S be sets and $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ be maps. We denote

$$X \times_{f=g} Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid f(x) = g(y)\}.$$

This set is called the *fiber product* of X and Y with respect to f and g .

We will often use the singleton pt whose unique element will be denoted by pt .

¹We also use the following notation for the power $X^n = \underbrace{X \times \dots \times X}_{n \text{ times}}$.

2.2. Sequences. The length n of a finite sequence s is denoted by $|s|$ and its i th element is denoted by s_i . Thus $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. The case $|s| = 0$ corresponds to the empty sequence, which we denote by \emptyset . If however $|s| > 0$, then we get the truncated sequence $s' = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$. If $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ is another sequence, we consider their *concatenation*

$$st = (s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

Obviously, $|st| = |s| + |t|$.

2.3. Modules. Let M and M' be right modules over the rings R and R' , respectively. Suppose that there is an isomorphism of rings $\iota : R \xrightarrow{\sim} R'$. Then a map $\mu : M \rightarrow M'$ is called an *isomorphism of modules* if it is an isomorphism of the underlying abelian groups and

$$\mu(mr) = \mu(m)\iota(r) \tag{1}$$

for any $m \in M$ and $r \in R$. We assume the similar definitions for left modules and bimodules.

The tensor product of a right R -module M by a left R -module N is denoted as usual by $M \otimes_R N$. If R is commutative we can also consider the n th *tensor power* $N \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R N$ with n factors, which we denote by $N^{\otimes_R n}$.

2.4. Group actions and quotients. In this paper, we consider left as well as right actions of groups on sets. Therefore, we will be careful to distinguish between the left and the right quotients. More exactly, let a group G act on a set X on the left. We will denote this fact by $G \circlearrowleft X$. Then we denote by

$$G \backslash X = \{Gx \mid x \in X\}$$

the *quotient* space. The map $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$ that sends each x to Gx is called the *quotient* map (for the action of G). We also consider the set of G -fixed points

$${}^G X = \{x \in X \mid \forall g \in G : gx = x\}$$

Let G also act on the left on Y and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. We say that f is *G -equivariant* if $f(gx) = gf(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $g \in G$. In this case, we denote by $G \backslash f$ the map from $G \backslash X$ to $G \backslash Y$ given by

$$(G \backslash f)(Gx) = Gf(x).$$

Similarly, if G acts on the right on X , we denote this fact by $X \circlearrowright G$, set

$$X/G = \{xG \mid x \in X\},$$

use the similar notion of the quotient map and G -equivariance, and denote similarly the set of G -fixed points and the maps between the quotients.

Moreover, if groups L and R act on a set X on the left and on the right, respectively, then we say that the actions of L and R *commute* if $l(xr) = (lx)r$ for any $l \in L$, $r \in R$, and $x \in X$. In this case, L acts on X/R on the left and R acts on $L \backslash X$ on the right by $l(xR) = (lx)R$ and $(Lx)r = L(xr)$, respectively. If additionally Y is another space endowed with commuting left and right actions of L and R , respectively, then we say that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *R - L -equivariant* if it is L -equivariant and R -equivariant simultaneously.

Suppose now that G acts on the left both on X and E . Then G acts on the left on the product $X \times E$ diagonally: $g(x, e) = (gx, ge)$. Then we set

$$X_G \times E = G \backslash (X \times E).$$

We call the map $X_G \times E \rightarrow G \backslash E$ that maps any orbit $G(x, e)$ to Ge the *canonical projection*. We denote canonical projections by **can**. If X' and E' are another sets endowed with left G -actions and $\alpha : X \rightarrow X'$ and $\beta : E \rightarrow E'$ are G -equivariant maps, then we denote by $\alpha_G \times \beta$ the map from $X_G \times E$ to $X'_G \times E'$ given by $G(x, e) \mapsto G(\alpha(x), \beta(e))$.

2.5. Quotient products. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be topological spaces and G_1, \dots, G_{n-1} be topological groups such that each G_i acts on the right on X_i and on the left on X_{i+1} . Suppose additionally that the actions of G_{i-1} and G_i on X_i commute for each $i = 2, \dots, n-1$. Then we consider the following equivalence relation \sim on $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (x_1 g_1, g_1^{-1} x_2 g_2, \dots, g_{n-1} x_n)$$

for any $g_1 \in G_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in G_{n-1}$. We denote

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n / \sim.$$

If $n = 0$ this space is just the singleton and if $n = 1$ this space is X_1 . The equivalence class containing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is denoted by $[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n]$. If $n > 0$ and G_0 is a group acting on the left on X_1 such that in the case $n > 1$ this action and the action of G_1 on X_1 commute, then we get the following left action of G_0 on $X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n$:

$$g_0[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n] = [g_0 x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n]. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, for $n > 0$ and a group G_n acting on X_n on the right such that in the case $n > 1$ this action and the action of G_{n-1} on X_n commute, we get the following right action:

$$[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n] g_n = [x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n g_n]. \quad (3)$$

This construction is associative, that is,

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n \cong X_1 \times_{G_1} \dots \times_{G_{m-2}} X_{m-1} \times_{G_{m-1}} (X_m \times_{G_m} \dots \times_{G_{k-1}} X_k) \times_{G_k} X_{k+1} \times_{G_{k+1}} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n.$$

for $1 \leq m \leq k \leq n$. We will make this identification throughout the paper. Hence $[x_1 : \dots : x_n] = [x_1 : \dots : x_{m-1} : [x_m : \dots : x_k] : x_{k+1} : \dots : x_n]$.

2.6. More quotient products. Keeping the notation and assumptions of the previous section, consider the quotient

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_n / \approx,$$

where the equivalence relation \approx is given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \approx (x_1 g_1, g_1^{-1} x_2 g_2, \dots, g_{n-1} x_n g_n)$ for any $g_1 \in G_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in G_{n-1}, g_n \in G_n$. The equivalence class containing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is denoted by $[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n]$. In view of the isomorphism

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n \cong X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n \times_{G_n} \text{pt},$$

we will assume $[x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n] = [x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n : pt]$, where G_n acts on pt on the left trivially. This identification, allows us to introduce the left action of G_0 on the above space for any n (including the case $n = 0$). Note that

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n \cong (X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n) / G_n$$

and

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n \cong X_1 \times_{G_1} X_2 \times_{G_2} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} (X_n / G_n)$$

if $n > 0$.

Finally note that

$$X_1 \times_{G_1} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n \cong X_1 \times_{G_1} \dots \times_{G_{i-1}} X_i \times_{G_i} G_i \times_{G_i} X_{i+1} \times_{G_{i+1}} \dots \times_{G_{n-1}} X_n / G_n$$

where we assume that $[x_1 : \dots : x_n] = [x_1 : \dots : x_i : 1 : x_{i+1} : \dots : x_n]$. We will make this identification, when appropriate.

2.7. Topology. A *topological group* is a group G that is also a topological space such that the multiplication and taking the inverse are continuous maps. We say that G acts continuously on a topological space X if G acts on X set-theoretically so that the multiplication $G \times X \rightarrow X$ (resp. $X \times G \rightarrow X$) is continuous. In this case, we also say that X is a left (resp. right) G -space.

We are going to use in the sequel the following topological result.

Proposition 1. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous bijection. Suppose that there exists an open covering $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ and continuous functions $g_i : U_i \rightarrow X$ for each $i \in I$ such that $fg_i(y) = y$ for any $i \in I$ and $y \in U_i$. Then f is a homeomorphism.*

Let E and B be G -spaces and $p : E \rightarrow B$ be a continuous G -equivariant map. We say that p is a *principal G -bundle* if for each point $b \in B$, there exist an open neighbourhood $U \subset B$ and a G -equivariant homeomorphism $h : G \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1}(U)$ for the left G -action or $h : U \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} p^{-1}(U)$ for the right G -action such that the respective diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times U & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h} & p^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \swarrow p \\ & & U \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} U \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h} & p^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow p \\ & & U \end{array}$$

is commutative. The G -equivariance of h actually means that for any $u \in U$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$, there holds

$$h(g_1 g_2, u) = g_1 h(u, g_2)$$

for the left G -action and

$$h(u, g_1 g_2) = h(u, g_1) g_2$$

for the right G -action. By abuse of notation, we have written p instead of restriction of p to $p^{-1}(U)$ in the diagrams above. We will apply the similar abbreviation in the other diagrams in this paper.

We have the following well-known result, see, for example, [J, Section 1.4].

Proposition 2. *Let X and E be left G -spaces. Suppose that the quotient map $E \rightarrow G \backslash E$ is a principal G -bundle. Then the canonical projection $X \times_G E \rightarrow G \backslash E$ is a fibre bundle with fibre X .*

We also can make new principal bundles from the already existing ones.

Lemma 3. *Let X and Y be right and left G -spaces, respectively. Let, moreover, H act continuously on Y on the right so that this action and the left action of G commute. Suppose that the quotient maps $X \rightarrow X/G$ and $Y \rightarrow Y/H$ are principal G - and H -bundles, respectively. Then the quotient map*

$$X \times_G Y \rightarrow X \times_G Y/H \tag{4}$$

for the right action (3) is a principal H -bundle.

Proof. We denote map (4) by f . Thus $f([x : y]) = [x : y]$. We also denote the quotient maps $X \rightarrow X/G$ and $Y \rightarrow Y/H$ by p and q , respectively.

Let $[x_0 : y_0]$ be an arbitrary point of $X \times_G Y/H$. By the hypothesis of the lemma, there exist an open neighborhood U of $x_0 G$ and a G -equivariant homeomorphism σ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma} & p^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow p \\ & & U \end{array}$$

is commutative. Let $\mathbf{g} = \text{pr}_2 \sigma^{-1}$. This is a continuous map from $p^{-1}(U)$ to G such that $\mathbf{g}(xg) = \mathbf{g}(x)g$ for any $x \in p^{-1}(U)$ and $g \in G$.

There exists an open neighborhood V of $\mathbf{g}(x_0)y_0H$ and a H -equivariant homeomorphism τ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \times H & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\tau} & q^{-1}(V) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow q \\ & & V \end{array}$$

is commutative. Let $\mathbf{h} = \text{pr}_2 \tau^{-1}$. This is a continuous map from $q^{-1}(V)$ to H such that $\mathbf{h}(yh) = \mathbf{h}(y)h$ for any $y \in q^{-1}(V)$ and $h \in H$.

Let $\lambda : X \times Y/H \rightarrow X/G$ be the map given by $\lambda([x : y]) = xG$ and $\mu : \lambda^{-1}(U) \rightarrow Y/H$ be the map given by $\mu([x : y]) = \mathbf{g}(x)yH$. Obviously, both these maps are well-defined and continuous. We set $W = \mu^{-1}(V)$. By our choice of U and V , we get $[x_0 : y_0] \in W$. We define the function $\xi : W \times H \rightarrow X \times Y/G$ by

$$([x : y], h) \mapsto [x : y\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(x)y)^{-1}h].$$

One can easily check that this map is well-defined, continuous, and H -equivariant. Composing it with f , we get

$$([x : y], h) \xrightarrow{\xi} [x : y\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(x)y)^{-1}h] \xrightarrow{f} [x : y\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(x)y)^{-1}hH] = [x : y].$$

This calculation proves that ξ is actually a map to $f^{-1}(W)$ and that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times H & \xrightarrow{\xi} & f^{-1}(W) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow f \\ & & W \end{array}$$

It remains to prove that ξ is a homeomorphism. This is true, as the inverse map is given by

$$\xi^{-1}([x : y]) = ([x : y], \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{g}(x)y)).$$

□

2.8. Equivariant cohomology. Let G be a topological group. A principal G -bundle $E \rightarrow B$ is called *universal* if the total space E is contractible. We will tacitly assume that such a bundle exists for any topological group considered here. We define the G -equivariant cohomology of a left G -space X with coefficients in a commutative rig \mathbb{k} by

$$H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k}) = H^\bullet(X \times_G E, \mathbb{k}).$$

Considering the canonical projection $\mathbf{can} : X \times_G E \rightarrow G \backslash E \cong \text{pt} \times_G E$, we obtain the map $\mathbf{can}^* : H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$. This map makes $H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ into a left $H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module by

$$am = \mathbf{can}^*(a) \cup m,$$

where $a \in H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$, $m \in H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ and \cup denotes the cup product. This module does not depend on the choice of the universal bundle $E \rightarrow B$. This fact will be discussed in Corollary 5. We will call this left action of $H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ *canonical*.

The similar right action of $H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ on $H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ given by $ma = m \cup \mathbf{can}^*(a)$ will also be called *canonical*. We will use it in Section 6.1.

If X' is another left G -space and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a continuous G -equivariant map, then we have the continuous map $f \times_G \text{id} : X \times_G E \rightarrow X' \times_G E$. This map induces the pull-back

$$(f \times_G \text{id})^* : H_G^\bullet(X', \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$$

between cohomologies. We use the notation $f^* = (f_G \times \text{id})^*$ to distinguish between the ordinary and the equivariant pull-backs. For example $a_X^* = \mathbf{can}^*$, where $a_X : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ is the constant map.

2.9. Twisted action. Let G be a topological group and L and R be its subgroups. We assume that L and R act on G on the left and on the right, respectively, by multiplication. As both actions commute, the group L acts continuously on the quotient space G/R on the left.

Let X be another left L -space and $A : X \rightarrow G/R$ be an L -equivariant continuous map. We will call A a *twisting map*. For any left G -space E , the map A allows us to define the map

$$v_A : X \times_L E \rightarrow R \backslash E$$

by

$$L(x, e) \mapsto A(x)^{-1}e. \quad (5)$$

Note that $A(x)^{-1} \in R \backslash G$. The reader can easily check that v_A is well-defined and continuous. We call this map the *projection twisted by A* .

Let us suppose that the quotient maps $E \rightarrow L \backslash E$ and $E \rightarrow R \backslash E$ are universal principal L - and R -bundles, respectively. Then we get the map between cohomologies $v_A^* : H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$, which induces the following right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -action on $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$:

$$mb = m \cup v_A^*(b). \quad (6)$$

We call this action the *action twisted by A* .

A priori, this construction of a right module depends on the choice of E . However we get the following result.

Lemma 4. *Up to isomorphism, the right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ is independent of the choice of E .*

Proof. Let \tilde{E} be another left G -space such that $\tilde{E} \rightarrow L \backslash \tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{E} \rightarrow R \backslash \tilde{E}$ are universal principal L - and R -bundles, respectively. We use the following notation only in this proof:

$$\tilde{H}_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k}) = H^\bullet(X \times_L \tilde{E}, \mathbb{k}), \quad \tilde{H}_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) = H^\bullet(R \backslash \tilde{E}, \mathbb{k}).$$

We also have the map $\tilde{v}_A : X \times_L \tilde{E} \rightarrow R \backslash \tilde{E}$ similar to v_A also given by (5). This map defines the structure of a right $\tilde{H}_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $\tilde{H}_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ by

$$\tilde{m}\tilde{b} = \tilde{m} \cup \tilde{v}_A^*(\tilde{b}). \quad (7)$$

Let us make L and R act on the products $X \times E \times \tilde{E}$ and $E \times \tilde{E}$ respectively diagonally: $l(x, e, \tilde{e}) = (lx, le, l\tilde{e})$ and $r(e, \tilde{e}) = (re, r\tilde{e})$. Then we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & L \backslash (X \times E \times \tilde{E}) & & \\
 & \swarrow^{L \backslash \text{pr}_{1,2}} & \downarrow q_A & \searrow^{L \backslash \text{pr}_{1,3}} & \\
 X \times_L E & & & & X \times_L \tilde{E} \\
 \downarrow v_A & & & & \downarrow \tilde{v}_A \\
 R \backslash E & & & & R \backslash \tilde{E} \\
 & \swarrow^{R \backslash \text{pr}_1} & \downarrow & \searrow^{R \backslash \text{pr}_2} & \\
 & & E \times_R \tilde{E} & &
 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where q_A is the map given by $L(x, e, \tilde{e}) \mapsto A(x)^{-1}(e, \tilde{e})$. Hence we get the following diagram for cohomologies:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & H^\bullet(L \setminus (X \times E \times \tilde{E}), \mathbb{k}) & \\
 & \nearrow \sim & \nwarrow \sim \\
 H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k}) & \overset{\sim}{\dashrightarrow} & \tilde{H}_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k}) \\
 \uparrow v_A^* & & \uparrow \tilde{v}_A^* \\
 H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) & \overset{\sim}{\dashrightarrow} & \tilde{H}_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \\
 & \searrow \sim & \swarrow \sim \\
 & H^\bullet(E_R \times \tilde{E}, \mathbb{k}) &
 \end{array}
 \tag{9}$$

If we forget about the dashed arrows, then we get a commutative diagram. Thereofre the central rectangle (with the dashed arrows) is also commutative, because all slant arrows are isomorphisms. As all maps preserve the additive structure on cohomologies, it remains to check condition (1): for $m \in H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ and $b \in H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$, we get

$$\mu(mb) = \mu(m \cup v_A^*(b)) = \mu(m) \cup \mu v_A^*(b) = \mu(m) \cup \tilde{v}_A^* \iota(b) = \mu(m) \iota(b),$$

where we use the multiplication rules (6) and (7) and the fact that μ preserves the cup product. \square

Corollary 5 (of Lemma 4). *Up to isomorphism, the $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodule $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ is independent of the choice of E .*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result similar to Lemma 4 for the canonical action. We can do it if in (8) we replace: R with L ; v_A and \tilde{v}_A with the canonical projections $X_{L \times E} \rightarrow L \setminus E$ and $X_{L \times \tilde{E}} \rightarrow L \setminus \tilde{E}$, respectively; q_A with $L \setminus \text{pr}_{2,3}$. \square

Remark 6. The above stipulation that both $E \rightarrow L \setminus E$ and $E \rightarrow R \setminus E$ are universal principal L - and R -bundles usually is the result of the fact that G is a Lie group, L and R are its closed subgroups and the quotient map $E \rightarrow G \setminus E$ is a universal principal G -bundle. We consider this case in Section 4.

Remark 7. If $R = L$ and $A : X \rightarrow G/L$ is defined by $A(x) = L$ for any $x \in X$, then v_A is the canonical projection. In this case, the corresponding right action (6) of $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ on $H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ is canonical.

2.10. Pull-back as a bimodule homomorphism. Keeping the notation of the previous section, let additionally Y be another G -space and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be an L -equivariant continuous map. Then the composition $Af : Y \rightarrow G/R$ is also L -equivariant.

Lemma 8. *The equivariant pull-back $f^* : H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_L^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$ is a homomorphism of bimodules, where the left actions are canonical and the right actions on the domain and codomain are twisted by A and Af , respectively.*

Proof. As for the left actions, the result is well-known, we will prove only the claim about the right actions. It follows directly from definition that

$$v_{Af} = v_A(f_{L \times \text{id}}). \tag{10}$$

Hence for any $m \in H_L^\bullet(X, \mathbb{k})$ and $b \in H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$, we get

$$f^*(mb) = f^*(m \cup v_A^*(b)) = f^*(m) \cup f^* v_A^*(b) = f^*(m) \cup v_{Af}^*(b) = f^*(m)b.$$

\square

3. THE TENSOR PRODUCT MORPHISM

In this section, we fix the main objects of this paper: the topological spaces X and Y , the groups G, L, R, P, Q , and the map $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$.

3.1. The embedding of Borel constructions. Let again L, R, P, Q be subgroups of a topological group G such that $R \subset P$ and $Q \subset P$. Let X and Y be topological spaces such that

- (i) L acts on the left on X ;
- (ii) R acts on the right on X ;
- (iii) Q acts on the left on Y .

Assuming that R and Q act on P on the left and on the right respectively via multiplication, we can write these data as follows:

$$L \circ X \circ R \circ P \circ Q \circ Y.$$

We also assume that

- (iv) the actions of L and R on X commute.

Let additionally

- (v) $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ be a continuous R - L -equivariant map.

In that case, we get the morphism of left L -spaces $A : X/R \rightarrow G/R$ given by

$$A(xR) = \alpha(x)R. \quad (11)$$

Thus we are in the situation of Section 2.9 (with X replaced by X/R) and we have the map $v_A : (X/R)_L \times E \rightarrow R \setminus E$ given by (5).

Let E be a left G -space. In view of (iv), we can define the left action of L on $X \times_R P \times_Q Y$ by (2), that is, $l[x : p : y] = [lx : p : y]$. We consider the map

$$\varphi : \left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y \right)_L \times E \rightarrow ((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E)$$

defined by

$$L([x : p : y], e) \mapsto (L(xR, e), Q(y, p^{-1}\alpha(x)^{-1}e)). \quad (12)$$

The reader can easily check that this map is well-defined and continuous.

We get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y \right)_L \times E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & ((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & (X/R)_L \times E \\ & & \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_A \\ & & Y_Q \times E & & R \setminus E \\ & & \text{can} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_R \\ & & Q \setminus E & \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} & P \setminus E \end{array} \quad (13)$$

where π_R and π_Q are the natural quotient maps. In general, the rectangle on the right is not commutative. But we get the following result.

Lemma 9. *The two maximal paths of diagram (13) commute, that is,*

$$\pi_R v_A \text{pr}_1 \varphi = \pi_Q \text{can} \text{pr}_2 \varphi.$$

Proof. The result follows directly from (12). □

Now we are going to study the image of φ .

Lemma 10. *Suppose that P acts freely on E . Then φ is an embedding whose image coincides with $((X/R)_{L \times} E) \times_{\pi_R v_A \text{pr}_1 = \pi_Q \mathbf{can} \text{pr}_2} (Y_Q \times E)$.*

Proof. Let $L([x : p : y], e)$ and $L([x' : p' : y'], e')$ be two orbits mapped to the same pair by φ . As $L(xR, e) = L(x'R, e')$, there exists $l \in L$ such that $lxR = x'R$ and $le = e'$. From the first equality, it follows that there exists $r \in R$ such that $lxr = x'$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} L([x : p : y], e) &= L([lx : p : y], le) = L([lxr : r^{-1}p : y], e') \\ &= L([x' : r^{-1}p : y], e') \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2 \varphi} Q(y, p^{-1}r\alpha(x')^{-1}e'). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

As $L([x' : p' : y'], e')$ is mapped by $\text{pr}_2 \varphi$ to the same orbit, we get

$$Q(y, p^{-1}r\alpha(x')^{-1}e') = Q(y', (p')^{-1}\alpha(x')^{-1}e').$$

Therefore, there exists $q \in Q$ such that $qy = y'$ and $qp^{-1}r\alpha(x')^{-1}e' = (p')^{-1}\alpha(x')^{-1}e'$. From the last equality and the freeness of the action of P on E , we get $qp^{-1}r = (p')^{-1}$. Hence $r^{-1}p = p'q$ and, applying (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L([x : p : y], e) &= L([x' : r^{-1}p : y], e') = L([x' : p'q : y], e') \\ &= L([x' : p' : qy], e') = L([x' : p' : y'], e') \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Let us prove now the claim about the image. It follows from Lemma 9 that $\text{im } \varphi$ is contained in the fibre product. Let $a = (L(xR, e), Q(y, e'))$ be its arbitrary point. Hence $P\alpha(x)^{-1}e = Pe'$. Thus there exists an element $p \in P$ such that $pe' = \alpha(x)^{-1}e$. We get $\varphi(L([x : p : y], e)) = a$. \square

Theorem 11. *Suppose that the quotient map $E \rightarrow P \backslash E$ is a principal P -bundle. Then φ is a topological embedding.*

Proof. We denote this quotient map by π and by D the fibre product from the formulation of Lemma 10. Let a be any point of D . The assumption of this lemma implies that there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset P \backslash E$ of the point $\pi_R v_A \text{pr}_1(a) = \pi_Q \mathbf{can} \text{pr}_2(a)$ and a P -equivariant homeomorphism $h : P \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(U)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times U & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h} & \pi^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \swarrow \pi \\ & & U \end{array}$$

is commutative. We denote $\mathbf{p} = \text{pr}_1 h^{-1}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{p}(pe) = p\mathbf{p}(e)$ for any $p \in P$ and $e \in \pi^{-1}(U)$.

We define the continuous function

$$\xi : (\pi_R v_A)^{-1}(U) \times (\pi_Q \mathbf{can})^{-1}(U) \rightarrow (X \times_P \times_Q Y)_{L \times} E$$

as follows. Let $d = (L(xR, e), Q(y, e'))$ be a point of the domain of ξ . We set

$$\xi(d) = L([x : \mathbf{p}(\alpha(x)^{-1}e)\mathbf{p}(e')^{-1} : y], e).$$

One can easily check that this definition does not depend on the choice of x, y, e, e' in the representation of d .

Now suppose that $d \in D$. Then we get $P\alpha(x)^{-1}e = Pe'$. Let us write $\alpha(x)^{-1}e = pe'$ for the corresponding $p \in P$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\xi(d) &= \left(L(xR, e), Q(y, \mathbf{p}(e')\mathbf{p}(\alpha(x)^{-1}e)^{-1}\alpha(x)^{-1}e) \right) = \left(L(xR, e), Q(y, \mathbf{p}(e')\mathbf{p}(pe')^{-1}pe') \right) \\ &= \left(L(xR, e), Q(y, \mathbf{p}(e')\mathbf{p}(e')^{-1}p^{-1}pe') \right) = \left(L(xR, e), Q(y, e') \right) = d. \end{aligned}$$

This calculation proves that the restriction of ξ to $(\pi_R v_A)^{-1}(U) \times (\pi_Q \mathbf{can})^{-1}(U) \cap D$ inverts φ . As a belongs to the last subset, all such subsets cover D . Thus the required claim follows from Lemma 10 and Proposition 1. \square

3.2. Canonical and twisted projections for the triple product. By (12), we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(X \times_P \times_Q Y \right)_{L \times E} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & ((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E) \\ \mathbf{can} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ L \setminus E & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{can}} & ((X/R)_L \times E) \end{array} \quad (15)$$

Now let M be a subgroup of G and $B : Y \rightarrow G/M$ be a Q -equivariant continuous map. We consider the map $\alpha B : X \times_P \times_Q Y \rightarrow G/M$ given by

$$[x : p : y] \mapsto \alpha(x)pB(y). \quad (16)$$

It is easy to check that this map is well-defined, continuous and L -equivariant. By (12), we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(X \times_P \times_Q Y \right)_{L \times E} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & ((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E) \\ v_{\alpha B} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ M \setminus E & \xleftarrow{v_B} & Y_Q \times E \end{array} \quad (17)$$

3.3. The difference. In this section, we want to study the difference

$$((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E) - \text{im } \varphi.$$

To this end, we will claim some additional properties of E .

Lemma 12. *Suppose that P acts freely on E . Let U be a compact Lie group acting continuously on E on the right so that this action and the left action of G commute. Suppose that the space $R \setminus E$ is Hausdorff and is acted upon by U transitively. Then the projection to the first component*

$$\omega : ((X/R)_L \times E) \times (Y_Q \times E) - \text{im } \varphi \rightarrow (X/R)_L \times E$$

is a fibre bundle with fibre homeomorphic to

$$F_{\bar{e}} = \{Q(y, e) \in Y_Q \times E \mid \bar{e} \neq Pe\} = Y_Q \times E - (\pi_Q \mathbf{can})^{-1}(\bar{e}),$$

where \bar{e} is an arbitrary point of $P \setminus E$.

Proof. We will denote the action of U by \cdot . We make U act on $Y_Q \times E$ on the right by the rule $Q(y, e) \cdot u = Q(y, e \cdot u)$. Then we obviously get $F_{\bar{e}} \cdot u = F_{\bar{e} \cdot u}$. As U acts transitively on $R \setminus E$, it also acts transitively on $P \setminus E$. Therefore, the spaces $F_{\bar{e}}$ are homeomorphic for different points \bar{e} . We will rely on the notation of diagram (13).

Let $a \in (X/R)_{L \times} E$ be an arbitrary point. By Lemma 10, we get

$$\omega^{-1}(a) = \{a\} \times F_{\pi_R v_A(a)} \cong F_{\pi_R v_A(a)} \cong F_{\bar{e}}.$$

We consider the map $t : U \rightarrow R \setminus E$ defined by $t(u) = v_A(a)u$. Let V be the stabilizer of $v_A(a)$ in U . Note that V is a Lie group as it is closed in U . Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U & \\ \text{quotient map} \swarrow & & \searrow t \\ V \setminus U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R \setminus E \end{array}$$

The bottom map, which is given by $Vu \mapsto v_A(a) \cdot u$, is continuous and bijective. It is a homeomorphism being a map from a compact space to a Hausdorff space. As the natural projection $U \rightarrow V \setminus U$ is a principal V -bundle, it has a continuous section in an open neighbourhood of any point of $V \setminus U$. From the diagram above, we get the same property for the map t . In particular, there exist an open neighbourhood W of $v_A(a)$ in $R \setminus E$ and a continuous section $s : W \rightarrow U$ of t . In other words, we have

$$w = t(s(w)) = v_A(a) \cdot s(w) \tag{18}$$

for any $w \in W$.

Let $H = v_A^{-1}(W)$. It is an open subset of $(X/R)_{L \times} E$ containing a . We construct the map $\sigma : H \times \omega^{-1}(a) \rightarrow ((X/R)_{L \times} E) \times (Y_{Q \times} E)$ by

$$\left(h, (a, Q(y, e)) \right) \mapsto \left(h, Q(y, e \cdot sv_A(h)) \right).$$

This map is obviously well-defined and continuous. Let us prove that $\text{im } \sigma \cap \text{im } \varphi = \emptyset$. By Lemma 10, we have to prove the inequality

$$\pi_R v_A(h) \neq \pi_Q(Qe \cdot sv_A(h)) = Pe \cdot sv_A(h). \tag{19}$$

As the G - and U -actions on E commute, the map π_R is U -equivariant. Therefore (19) is equivalent to

$$\pi_R(v_A(h) \cdot sv_A(h)^{-1}) \neq Pe.$$

Applying (18) for $w = v_A(h)$, to compute the left-hand side, we get the equivalent inequality

$$\pi_R v_A(a) \neq Pe,$$

which holds by Lemma 10, as $(a, Q(y, e)) \notin \text{im } \varphi$. Thus we can consider σ as a continuous map from $H \times \omega^{-1}(a)$ to $\omega^{-1}(H)$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \times \omega^{-1}(a) & \xrightarrow{\quad \sigma \quad} & \omega^{-1}(H) \\ \text{pr}_1 \searrow & & \swarrow \omega \\ & H & \end{array}$$

is obviously commutative.

To prove that σ is a homeomorphism, we notice that its inverse map $\omega^{-1}(H) \rightarrow H \times \omega^{-1}(a)$ is given by

$$\left(h, Q(y, e) \right) \mapsto \left(h, (a, Q(y, e \cdot sv_A(h)^{-1})) \right).$$

□

Let us look more closely at the fibre $F_{\bar{e}}$. We will establish the vanishing theorem for its odd degree cohomologies with compact support under some restrictions on the spaces entering into our initial construction. We will need the following result.

Lemma 13. *Suppose that the quotient map $E \rightarrow P \setminus E$ is a principal P -bundle. Then the composition*

$$Y_Q \times E \xrightarrow{\mathbf{can}} Q \setminus E \xrightarrow{\pi_Q} P \setminus E.$$

is a fibre bundle with fibre $Y_Q \times P$.

Proof. Let us again denote the quotient map $E \rightarrow P \setminus E$ by π . Let \bar{e} be an arbitrary point of $P \setminus E$. By the hypothesis of the lemma, there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset P \setminus E$ of \bar{e} and a P -equivariant homeomorphism h such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times U & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h} & \pi^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \swarrow \pi \\ & & U \end{array}$$

is commutative. We define the map $f : U \times (Y_Q \times P) \rightarrow Y_Q \times E$ by

$$f(u, Q(y, p)) = Q(y, h(p, u)).$$

We have

$$\pi_Q \mathbf{can} f(u, Q(y, p)) = \pi_Q(Qh(p, u)) = Ph(p, u) = \pi h(p, u) = \text{pr}_2(p, u) = u.$$

This calculation proves that the image of f is contained in $(\pi_Q \mathbf{can})^{-1}(U)$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times (Y_Q \times P) & \xrightarrow{f} & (\pi_Q \mathbf{can})^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \swarrow \pi_Q \mathbf{can} \\ & & U \end{array}$$

is commutative.

To prove that f is a homeomorphism, let us compute its inverse. We write the inverse map of h as follows $h^{-1}(e) = (\mathbf{p}(e), \mathbf{u}(e))$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathbf{u}(pe) = \mathbf{u}(e), \quad \mathbf{p}(pe) = p\mathbf{p}(e)$$

for any $e \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ and $p \in P$. With this notation, we get

$$f^{-1}(Q(y, e)) = (\mathbf{u}(e), Q(y, \mathbf{p}(e))).$$

□

3.4. The tensor product. The above results can be applied to equivariant cohomologies. Let us suppose that all quotient maps $E \rightarrow L \setminus E$, $E \rightarrow P \setminus E$, $E \rightarrow R \setminus E$, $E \rightarrow Q \setminus E$, $E \rightarrow M \setminus E$ are universal principal bundles for the respective groups. We denote by Θ the following composition:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\times} & H^\bullet(((X/R)_{L \times E}) \times (Y_{Q \times E}), \mathbb{k}) \\ & \searrow \Theta & \downarrow \varphi^* \\ & & H_L^\bullet \left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k} \right). \end{array} \quad (20)$$

The map \times is the cross product and is given by $a \otimes b \mapsto \text{pr}_1^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(b)$.

According to Section 2.9, the left factor $H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k})$ is the right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module with the action twisted by A . On the other hand, the right factor $H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$ is the left $H_Q^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module with respect to the canonical action (Section 2.8). Moreover, the quotient maps π_R and π_Q , see diagram (13), yield the following maps:

$$\pi_R^* : H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}), \quad \pi_Q^* : H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_Q^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}).$$

They allow us to define the structure of a right $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k})$ and of a left $H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -module on $H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$.

Lemma 14. *The map Θ factors through $H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$.*

Proof. Let $m \in H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k})$, $n \in H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$, and $a \in H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. By Lemma 9 and diagram (20) defining Θ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(ma \otimes n) &= \Theta(m\pi_R^*(a) \otimes n) = \Theta(m \cup v_A^* \pi_R^*(a) \otimes n) = \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m \cup v_A^* \pi_R^*(a)) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n)) \\ &= \varphi^* \text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup (\pi_R v_A \text{pr}_1 \varphi)^*(a) \cup \varphi^* \text{pr}_2^*(n) = \varphi^* \text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup (\pi_Q \mathbf{can} \text{pr}_2 \varphi)^*(a) \cup \varphi^* \text{pr}_2^*(n) \\ &= \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup \text{pr}_2^* \mathbf{can}^* \pi_Q^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n)) = \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(\mathbf{can}^* \pi_Q^*(a) \cup n)) \\ &= \Theta(m \otimes \mathbf{can}^* \pi_Q^*(a) \cup n) = \Theta(m \otimes \pi_Q^*(a)n) = \Theta(m \otimes an). \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote the map induced by Θ as follows

$$\theta : H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_L^\bullet \left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k} \right).$$

We make the left-hand side into a ring by the classic rule

$$(m \otimes n)(m' \otimes n') = (-1)^{ij}(m \cup m' \otimes n \cup n')$$

for $n \in H_Q^i(Y, \mathbb{k})$ and $m \in H_L^j(X/R, \mathbb{k})$.

In the next lemma, we use the notation of Section 3.2.

Theorem 15. *The map θ is a homomorphism of rings and of left $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules with respect to the canonical actions. For any Q -equivariant continuous map $B : Y \rightarrow G/M$, the map θ is also a homomorphism of right $H_M^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules with respect to the actions twisted by B and αB , on the domain and the codomain of θ , respectively.*

Proof. The fact that θ is a homomorphism of rings can be proved following the calculation before [Ha, Theorem 3.16].

Let $a \in H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$, $m \in H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k})$, and $n \in H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$. As diagram (15) is commutative, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(am \otimes n) &= \Theta((\mathbf{can}^*(a) \cup m) \otimes n) = \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(\mathbf{can}^*(a) \cup m) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n)) \\ &= (\mathbf{can} \text{pr}_1 \varphi)^*(a) \cup \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n)) = \mathbf{can}^*(a) \cup \Theta(m \otimes n) = a\theta(m \otimes n). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $m \in H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k})$, $n \in H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k})$, and $b \in H_M^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. As diagram (17) is commutative, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(m \otimes nb) &= \Theta(m \otimes (n \cup v_B^*(b))) = \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n \cup v_B^*(b))) \\ &= \varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^*(m) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(n)) \cup (v_B \text{pr}_2 \varphi)^*(b) = \Theta(m \otimes n) \cup v_{\alpha B}^*(b) = \theta(m \otimes n)b. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. THE CASE OF A COMPACT LIE GROUP

In this section, we consider the case where G is a compact Lie group.

4.1. Stiefel manifolds. As G can be considered a closed subgroup of the unitary group $U(\mathfrak{n})$ for \mathfrak{n} big enough, we will explain how to find a universal principal $U(\mathfrak{n})$ -bundle. For any natural number $N > \mathfrak{n}$, we consider the *Stiefel manifold*²

$$E^N = \{A \in \text{Mat}_{\mathfrak{n},N}(\mathbb{C}) \mid A\bar{A}^T = I_{\mathfrak{n}}\},$$

where $\text{Mat}_{\mathfrak{n},N}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of $\mathfrak{n} \times N$ complex matrices with respect to the metric topology and $I_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the identity $\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}$ matrix. The group $U(\mathfrak{n})$ acts on E^N on the left by multiplication. Similarly, $U(N)$ acts on E^N on the right by multiplication. The last action is transitive and commutes with the left action of $U(\mathfrak{n})$. The quotient space $\text{Gr}^N = U(\mathfrak{n}) \backslash E^N$ is called the *Grassmanian* and the corresponding quotient map $E^N \rightarrow \text{Gr}^N$ is a principal $U(\mathfrak{n})$ -bundle. Note that the group $U(N)$ also acts on Gr^N by the right multiplication. For $N < N'$, we have the smooth embedding $E^N \hookrightarrow E^{N'}$ by adding $N' - N$ zero columns to the right.

Taking the direct limits

$$E = \varinjlim E^N, \quad \text{Gr} = \varinjlim \text{Gr}^N,$$

we get a universal principal $U(\mathfrak{n})$ -bundle $E \rightarrow \text{Gr}$. These spaces satisfy the following properties [Bo, Proposition 9.1].

Proposition 16. (1) E^N is simply-connected.

(2) $H^n(E^N, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for $0 < n \leq 2(N - \mathfrak{n})$.

(3) $H^n(E^N, \mathbb{k})$ is free of finite rank for any n .

Corollary 17. For any connected closed subgroup L of G , the space $L \backslash E^N$ is simply connected.

Proof. As $E^N \rightarrow L \backslash E^N$ is a fibre bundle with fibre L , the result follows from Proposition 16(1) and the long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\{1\} = \pi_1(E^N) \rightarrow \pi_1(L \backslash E^N) \rightarrow \pi_0(L) = \{1\}.$$

□

Proposition 18. Let L be a closed subgroup of G and X be a left L -space. Then the restriction map

$$H_L^n(X, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^n(X_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}) \tag{21}$$

is an isomorphism for $n \leq 2(N - \mathfrak{n})$.

Corollary 19. Let L be a closed subgroup of G , X be a left L -space, and $\mathfrak{n} < N' < N$. Then the restriction map

$$H^n(X_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^n(X_L \times E^{N'}, \mathbb{k})$$

is an isomorphism for $n \leq 2(N' - \mathfrak{n})$.

Remark 20. We will always mean this choice of E in the remainder of the paper. If, however, we replace it by E^N , then we will write v_A^N , φ^N and F_e^N instead of v_A , φ and F_e , respectively. We will refer to these objects as *compact* versions of the respective infinite dimensional objects.

²This space is usually denoted by $W_{N,\mathfrak{n}}$ or $V_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ or $\text{CV}_{N,\mathfrak{n}}$. We also transpose matrices, as we want to have a left action of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.

4.2. Push-forward. For any topological space X , we consider the dualizing complex $\omega_X = a_X^! \mathbb{k}$. This complex allows us to define the Borel-Moor homology $H_i^{\text{BM}}(X, \mathbb{k}) = \mathbb{H}^{-i}(X, \omega_X)$. Any proper map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces the *push-forward* map $f_* : H_i^{\text{BM}}(X, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_i^{\text{BM}}(Y, \mathbb{k})$.

Suppose additionally that X and Y are orientable of dimensions n and m respectively. This means that there exist isomorphisms $\omega_X \cong \mathbb{k}[n]$ and $\omega_Y \cong \mathbb{k}[m]$, which we fix. Then we get the following map between the cohomologies:

$$H^{n-i}(X, \mathbb{k}) \cong H_i^{\text{BM}}(X, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_i^{\text{BM}}(Y, \mathbb{k}) \cong H^{m-i}(Y, \mathbb{k}),$$

which we also denote by f_* . This map is also called the *Gysin map*.

4.3. Orientation of quotient spaces. Remember that an *orientation* of a finite-dimensional real vector space V is an equivalence class of its bases, where two bases are assumed to be equivalent iff the transition matrix between them has a positive determinant. Any basis belonging to the chosen equivalence class is called *oriented*. If an orientation is chosen for V , then V is called *oriented*.

Suppose that U is an oriented subspace of an oriented vector space V . Then we will fix the following orientation of the quotient space V/U : let v_1, \dots, v_n be an oriented basis of V such that v_1, \dots, v_m is an oriented basis of U for some $m \leq n$. Then the orientation of V/U is the one containing the basis $v_{m+1} + U, \dots, v_n + U$. The argument with block matrices proves that this definition is independent of the choice of bases.

We can define orientation of the quotient of oriented vector bundles if we define the orientation of each fibre using the rule described above.

4.4. Compatibly oriented squares. We will follow here the presentation of this topic by Fulton [F]. Consider a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

where X, X', Y, Y' are compact smooth oriented manifolds and f, f', g, g' are smooth maps. Let us factor f through a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{R}^N$ followed by the projection to Y . We say that the above square is *compatibly oriented* if

$$\nu_{X', Y' \times \mathbb{R}^N} \cong (g')^* \nu_{X, Y \times \mathbb{R}^N}$$

as oriented vector bundles, where the quotients entering in the definitions of the normal bundles $\nu_{X', Y' \times \mathbb{R}^N}$ and $\nu_{X, Y \times \mathbb{R}^N}$ are orientated as described in Section 4.3. If this isomorphism holds and $\dim X - \dim Y = \dim X' - \dim Y'$ then we get

$$f'_*(g')^* = g_* f_* \quad (22)$$

We will refer to this property as the *naturality of push-forward*.

4.5. Smooth structure on the Borel constructions. Let L be a closed connected subgroup of G . By the quotient manifold theorem, the space $L \backslash E^N$ is a smooth manifold. It is orientable being simply connected by Corollary 17.

Let X be any smooth manifold acted upon smoothly by L on the left. Applying the quotient manifold theorem once again, we get that the space $X \times_L E^N$ is a smooth manifold. Its smooth structure can be described as follows. Let $L(x, e)$ be an arbitrary point of $X \times_L E^N$. There exists a coordinate chart (U, φ) of $L \backslash E^N$ containing Le . Shrinking U if necessary, we may suppose that the restriction of the fibre bundle $E^N \rightarrow L \backslash E^N$ to U is a trivial bundle. Then the restriction of the fibre bundle $\text{can} : X \times_L E^N \rightarrow L \backslash E^N$ to U is also

trivial. Let $h : X \times U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{can}^{-1}(U)$ be such a trivialization. We get $h^{-1}(L(x, e)) = (x', Le)$ for some $x' \in Lx \subset X$. There exists a coordinate chart (V, ψ) of X containing x' . Now we get the coordinate chart $(h(V \times U), (\psi \times \varphi)h^{-1})$ containing the original point $L(x, e)$. These charts are consistently oriented if X is oriented, as L acts on X by orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms.

4.6. Equivariant push-forward. Let X and Y be compact smooth orientable manifolds acted upon smoothly on the left by a closed connected subgroup L of G . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth L -equivariant map. For any $N > \mathbf{n}$, we get the smooth proper map $f|_L \times \text{id} : Y|_L \times E^N \rightarrow X|_L \times E^N$, which we denote by f^N . As both domain and codomain of this map are smooth orientable manifolds as described in Section 4.5, we can consider the ordinary (non-equivariant) push forward

$$f_*^N : H^n(Y|_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^{n+d}(X|_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}),$$

where $d = \dim X - \dim Y$. So by Proposition 18, we actually get the map

$$f_* : H_L^n(Y, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_L^{n+d}(X, \mathbb{k})$$

as soon as $n \leq \min\{2(N - \mathbf{n}), 2(N - \mathbf{n}) - d\}$ defined

$$f_*(m) = (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N i_Y^*(m) \quad (23)$$

for $m \in H_L^n(Y, \mathbb{k})$, where $i_X : X|_L \times E^N \hookrightarrow X|_L \times E$ and $i_Y : Y|_L \times E^N \hookrightarrow Y|_L \times E$ are the natural embeddings. This definition of f_* does not depend of N , as by the naturality of push-forward, we have the following commutative diagram for any $N' > N$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(Y|_L \times E^{N'}, \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{f_*^{N'}} & H^{n+d}(X|_L \times E^{N'}, \mathbb{k}) \\ \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ H^n(Y|_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{f_*^N} & H^{n+d}(X|_L \times E^N, \mathbb{k}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are restrictions, which are isomorphisms by Corollary 19.

By the projection formula, the push-forward f_* is a morphism of left $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules with respect to the canonical left action if the cohomology $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ vanishes in odd degrees. Let R be a closed subgroup of G and $A : X \rightarrow G/R$ be an L -equivariant continuous map (as in Section 2.9). Then the composition $Af : Y \rightarrow G/R$ is also L -equivariant. We get the commutative diagrams³

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X|_L \times E & \xrightarrow{v_A} & R \setminus E & & Y|_L \times E & \xrightarrow{v_{Af}} & R \setminus E \\ i_X \uparrow & & \uparrow i_R & & i_Y \uparrow & & \uparrow i_R \\ X|_L \times E^N & \xrightarrow{v_A^N} & R \setminus E^N & & Y|_L \times E^N & \xrightarrow{v_{Af}^N} & R \setminus E^N \end{array} \quad (24)$$

Let $m \in H_L^n(Y, \mathbb{k})$ and $b \in H_R^l(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. For N big enough⁴, we get by (23), the commutativity of diagrams (24), the projection formula, and a compact version of (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(mb) &= f_*(m \cup v_{Af}^*(b)) = (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N i_Y^*(m \cup v_{Af}^*(b)) \\ &= (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N (i_Y^*(m) \cup (v_{Af} i_Y)^*(b)) = (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N (i_Y^*(m) \cup (v_{Af}^N)^* i_R^*(b)) \\ &= (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N (i_Y^*(m) \cup (f^N)^*(v_A^N)^* i_R^*(b)) = (i_X^*)^{-1} (f_*^N i_Y^*(m) \cup (i_R v_A^N)^*(b)) \\ &= (i_X^*)^{-1} (f_*^N i_Y^*(m) \cup i_X^* v_A^*(b)) = (i_X^*)^{-1} f_*^N i_Y^*(m) \cup v_A^*(b) = f_*(m)b. \end{aligned}$$

³See Remark 20 about the notation.

⁴This means that $n, n + l \leq \min\{2(N - \mathbf{n}), 2(N - \mathbf{n}) - d\}$.

So we have proved the following counterpart of Lemma 8.

Lemma 21. *The equivariant push-forward $f_* : H_L^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_L^{\bullet + \dim X - \dim Y}(X, \mathbb{k})$ is a homomorphism of right $H_R^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules, where the right actions on the domain and codomain are twisted by Af and A , respectively.*

If, moreover, the cohomology $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ vanishes in odd degrees, then f_ is a homomorphism of left $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules, where both left actions of $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ are canonical.*

4.7. Semisimple groups. Suppose that G is semisimple. Then G can be described externally as the set of points fixed by some analytic automorphism of the corresponding Chevalley group [St, Theorem 16].

We consider a maximal torus $K < G$ and the Weyl group $W = N_G(K)/K$. For any $w \in W$, we arbitrarily choose its lifting $\dot{w} \in N_G(K)$. We will use the abbreviation $wK = \dot{w}K$.

The group G has the Bruhat decomposition $G = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} K_w$, see [St, Corollary 5 of Lemma 45]. Taking the quotient, we get the decomposition $G/K = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} \overline{K_w/K}$ into *Schubert cells*. We can compute their closures, called *Schubert varieties*, as follows $\overline{K_w/K} = \bigsqcup_{w' \leq w} K_{w'}$, where \leq is the Bruhat order.

We have $K \cong (S^1)^d$ for some d , where S^1 is the circle. We get the map $\rho_w : E \rightarrow E$ given by $\rho_w(e) = \dot{w}e$. This map factors through the action of K and we get the map $K \backslash \rho_w : K \backslash E \rightarrow K \backslash E$ and hence its pull-back $(K \backslash \rho_w)^* : H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. We will think of this map as a left action of W on $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$:

$$w^{-1}(\mu) = (K \backslash \rho_w)^*(\mu). \quad (25)$$

This formula agrees with the standard choice $(S^\infty)^d \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^\infty(\mathbb{C})^d$ of the universal principal K -bundle and the ensuing representation of $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ as a polynomial ring, see [S, (20)].

For any root α , we denote by G_α the subgroup of G generated by the image of the root homomorphism $\varphi_\alpha : \text{SU}_2 \rightarrow G$ and the torus K , see [BS, Chapter III (3.1)]. An alternative (external) description of this subgroup is given by [St, Lemma 45]. As $G_\alpha = G_{-\alpha}$, we can denote $G_{\omega_\alpha} = G_\alpha$, where $\omega_\alpha \in W$ is the reflection through the plane perpendicular to α . We will call elements ω_α *reflections*.

5. THE ISOMORPHISM

We will prove that the map θ introduced in Section 3 is an isomorphism under certain restrictions, which we are going to formulate. First, we assume the following two conditions:

- (I) the ring \mathbb{k} has finite global dimension $\text{gld}(\mathbb{k})$;
- (II) G is a compact Lie group and L, R, P, Q, M are its closed subgroups;

These conditions will be supposed to hold for the rest of the paper.

5.1. Künneth formula. Let X_1, \dots, X_m be topological spaces such that $H^n(X_j, \mathbb{k})$ are free of finite rank for all $n \leq N$ and $j = 2, \dots, m$. Then for any $n \leq N - \text{gld}(\mathbb{k})$ we have the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_m = n} H^{i_1}(X_1, \mathbb{k}) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{i_m}(X_m, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(X_1 \times \dots \times X_m, \mathbb{k}) \quad (26)$$

that is given by the cross product $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_m \mapsto p_1^*(a_1) \cup \dots \cup p_m^*(a_m)$.

This isomorphism and Proposition 16 prove that $H^n((E^N)^m, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for any $0 < n \leq 2(N - \mathbf{n})$ and natural number m . Moreover, the space E^m is contractible. Hence we get the following result similar to Proposition 18.

Proposition 22. *Suppose that for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, there is a left $L^{(i)}$ -space $X^{(i)}$ for a closed subgroup $L^{(i)}$ of G . Then the restriction map*

$$H^n((X^{(1)}_{L^{(1)}} \times E) \times \dots \times (X^{(m)}_{L^{(m)}} \times E), \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^n((X^{(1)}_{L^{(1)}} \times E^N) \times \dots \times (X^{(m)}_{L^{(m)}} \times E^N), \mathbb{k})$$

is an isomorphism for $n \leq 2(N - \mathbf{n})$.

5.2. An auxiliary lemma. We are going to use the following result proved in [S, Lemma 18].

Proposition 23. *Let S be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $p : S \rightarrow T$ be a fibre bundle with fibre F , $t \in T$ be a point and \mathbb{k} be a commutative ring. Suppose that T is compact, Hausdorff, connected and simply connected, all $H_c^n(F, \mathbb{k})$ are free of finite rank, $H_c^n(F, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for odd n and $H_c^n(T, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for odd $n \leq N$.*

Then the following is true:

- (1) *The restriction map $H_c^n(S, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_c^n(p^{-1}(t), \mathbb{k})$ is surjective for all $n < N$.*
- (2) *$H_c^n(S - p^{-1}(t), \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for odd $n < N$.*
- (3) *If $H_c^n(T, \mathbb{k})$ are free of finite rank for $n \leq N$, then the \mathbb{k} -modules $H_c^n(S - p^{-1}(t), \mathbb{k})$ are also free of finite rank for $n < N$.*

5.3. Restrictions on groups and spaces. We consider the following additional conditions:

- (III) P and L are connected;
- (IV) $H_P^n(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ and $H_L^n(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ are free of finite rank and vanish for odd n ;
- (V) X and Y are compact and Hausdorff;
- (VI) the cohomologies of X/R , P/Q , and Y with coefficients in \mathbb{k} are free of finite rank and vanish in odd degrees;
- (VII) X/R and P/Q are simply connected;
- (VIII) the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/R$ is a principal R -bundle.

By Proposition 2 and (VIII), these conditions imply that the natural projections⁵

$$X \times_R P \times_Q Y \rightarrow X/R, \quad P \times_Q Y \rightarrow P/Q$$

are fibre bundles with fibres $P \times_Q Y$ and Y , respectively. By (II) and (V), we get that all four spaces in the left- and right-hand sides of the above formulas are compact.

Applying successively the Leray spectral sequence for the cohomologies with compact support to these bundles and using (VI) and (VII), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^\bullet \left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k} \right) &\cong H^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet \left(P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k} \right) \\ &\cong H^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(P/Q, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

⁵These projection are given by $[x : p : y] \mapsto xR$ and $[p : y] \mapsto pQ$. To apply Proposition 2, we can consider the isomorphisms $X \times_R P \times_Q Y \cong (P \times_Q Y)_{R \times X}$ and $P \times_Q Y \cong Y_{Q \times P}$ given by $[x : p : y] \mapsto R([p : y], x)$ and $[p : y] \mapsto Q(y, p^{-1})$, respectively. Here we consider X as a left R -space under the following action $rx = xr^{-1}$. We also have the isomorphisms $R \setminus X \cong X/R$ and $Q \setminus P \cong P/Q$ given by $Rx \mapsto xR$ and $Qp \mapsto p^{-1}Q$, respectively. Our projections become canonical in the sense of Section 2.4 if we apply the identifications described just above.

Lemma 24. *The space $(X/R)_{L \times} E^N$ is simply connected.*

Proof. By Proposition 2, $(X/R)_{L \times} E^N \rightarrow L \setminus E^N$ is a fibre bundle with fibre X/R . Therefore, the result follows from (VII) and the long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\{1\} = \pi_1(X/R) \rightarrow \pi_1((X/R)_{L \times} E^N) \rightarrow \pi_1(L \setminus E^N) = \{1\}.$$

The last equality follows from Corollary 17. \square

5.4. Surjectivity. We will use here the abbreviations

$$Z = X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \quad C^N = ((X/R)_{L \times} E^N) \times (Y_{Q \times} E^N) - \text{im } \varphi^N.$$

Lemma 25. $H^n((X/R)_{L \times} E^N, \mathbb{k}) = H^n(Z_{L \times} E^N, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for odd $n \leq 2(N - \mathbf{n})$.

Proof. Due to similarity, we will prove only the last equality. Let us consider the Leray spectral sequence for cohomologies with compact support for the canonical projection $Z_{L \times} E^N \rightarrow L \setminus E^N$. As this map is a fibre bundle with fibre Z , it has the following second page

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(L \setminus E^N, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^q(Z, \mathbb{k})$$

in view of Corollary 17. It also follows from (IV) and Proposition 18 and also from (27) and (VI) that $E_r^{p,q} = 0$ for any finite $r \geq 2$ if $p + q \leq 2(N - \mathbf{n})$ and either of p or q is odd. Thus this fact is also true for $r = \infty$. \square

We are going to study the cohomologies with compact support of the spaces C^N . First, we study the cohomology of the fibre $F_{\bar{e}}^N$, see Lemma 12 and Remark 20.

Lemma 26. *For any $n < 2(N - \mathbf{n})$ and $\bar{e} \in P \setminus E^N$, the cohomology $H_c^n(F_{\bar{e}}^N, \mathbb{k})$ is free of finite rank and vanish if n is odd.*

Proof. It suffices to apply parts (2) and (3) of Proposition 23 to the fibre bundle $\pi_Q \text{can} : Y_{Q \times} E^N \rightarrow P \setminus E^N$ with fibre $Y_Q \times P$, see Lemma 13. Most of the hypotheses of the former lemma either can be checked routinely. The fact that $H^n(Y_Q \times P)$ are free of finite rank and vanish in odd degrees follows from (VI) and the Leray spectral sequence applied to the fibre bundle $Y_Q \times P \rightarrow Q \setminus P$. Finally, for $n \leq 2(N - \mathbf{n})$, we get $H^n(P \setminus E^N) = H_P^n(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$. The last module is free of finite rank and vanish for odd n by (IV). \square

Lemma 27. $H_c^n(C^N, \mathbb{k}) = 0$ for odd $n < 2(N - \mathbf{n})$.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 24 and 26 that the second page of the Leray spectral sequence for cohomologies with compact support for the fibre bundle as in Lemma 12 is equal to

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p((X/R)_{L \times} E^N, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H_c^q(F_{\bar{e}}^N, \mathbb{k})$$

if $q < 2(N - \mathbf{n})$. It follows from Lemmas 25 and 26 that $E_r^{p,q} = 0$ for any finite $r \geq 2$ if $p + q < 2(N - \mathbf{n})$ and either of p or q is odd. Thus this fact is also true for $r = \infty$. \square

Corollary 28. *For any $n < 2(N - \mathbf{n}) - 1$, the map*

$$H^n(((X/R)_{L \times} E^N) \times (Y_{Q \times} E^N), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{(\varphi^N)^*} H^n(Z_{L \times} E^N, \mathbb{k})$$

is surjective.

Proof. By Theorem 11, we get the exact sequence

$$H^n(((X/R)_{L \times} E^N) \times (Y_{Q \times} E^N), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{(\varphi^N)^*} H^n(Z_{L \times} E^N, \mathbb{k}) \longrightarrow H_c^{n+1}(C^N, \mathbb{k}).$$

Now the result follows from Lemmas 25 and 27. \square

Corollary 29. *The map θ is surjective.*

Proof. Under our assumption (VI), the left map (cross product) in (20) is an isomorphism by the Künneth formula. Therefore, it suffices to prove that φ^* is surjective. In each degree, this fact follows from Corollary 28 and Proposition 22 for N big enough. \square

Lemma 30. *In each degree, the homomorphism θ induces a map between free \mathbb{k} -modules of the same finite rank.*

Proof. The result follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_Q^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) &\cong H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_Q^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \\ &\cong H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_P^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(P/Q, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \\ &\cong H_L^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(P/Q, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \\ &\cong H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(X/R, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(P/Q, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{k}) \\ &\cong H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} H^\bullet\left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k}\right) \cong H_L^\bullet\left(X \times_R P \times_Q Y, \mathbb{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 31. *Suppose that conditions (I)–(VIII) are satisfied. Then θ is an isomorphism of rings and left $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -modules. If M is another closed subgroup of G and $B : Y \rightarrow G/M$ is a Q -equivariant continuous map, then θ is an isomorphism of rings and $H_L^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_M^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules.*

Proof. Composing θ with the isomorphism of Lemma 30, we get a surjective homomorphism from a finitely generated \mathbb{k} -module to itself. Now it suffices to apply [R, Theorem 3.6], to prove that θ is bijective. The remaining claims follow from Lemma 15. \square

6. BASIC EXAMPLES

6.1. Equivariant cohomology of the flag variety. Suppose that the order $|W|$ of the Weyl group is invertible in \mathbb{k} . The map $\pi_K : K \setminus E \rightarrow G \setminus E$ given by $\pi_K(Ke) = Ge$ induces the isomorphism

$$\pi_K^* : H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})^W, \quad (28)$$

where the right-hand side is the subring of W -invariants (see, the proof of [Br, Proposition 1]).

We are going to apply the results of the previous sections to the following data $X = G$, $Y = G/K$, $P = R = Q = G$, $L = M = K$, and $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ and $B : Y \rightarrow G/M$ be the identity maps on G and G/K , respectively. The induced map $A : X/R \rightarrow G/R$ is then the identity map on G/G . By Theorem 31, condition (IV) being guaranteed by (28), the homomorphism θ is an isomorphism and we get

$$H_K^\bullet\left(G \times_G G \times_G G/K, \mathbb{k}\right) \cong H_K^\bullet(G/G, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_G^\bullet(G/K, \mathbb{k}). \quad (29)$$

Let us look at the left-hand side. We have the following commutative diagram⁶:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(G \times_G G \times_G G/K\right) & \xrightarrow{K \times E} & K \setminus E \\ \downarrow \wr & \nearrow v_B & \\ G/K & \xrightarrow{K \times E} & \end{array}$$

⁶Recall that $\alpha B : G \times_G G \times_G G/K \rightarrow G/K$ is the map $[g_1 : g_2 : g_3] \mapsto g_1 g_2 g_3 K$ according to 16.

where the vertical isomorphism is given by $K([g_1 : g_2 : g_3], e) \mapsto K(g_1 g_2 g_3 K, e)$. Hence by Lemma 8, we get the isomorphism of $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules

$$H_K^\bullet \left(G \times_G G \times_G G / K, \mathbb{k} \right) \cong H_K^\bullet(G/K, \mathbb{k})$$

where both left actions are canonical and the right actions on the left-hand side and the right-hand side are the actions twisted by αB and B , respectively.

Let us look at the first factor of the tensor product in the right-hand side of (29). Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/G \times E & \xrightarrow{v_A} & G \setminus E \\ \text{can} \downarrow \wr & \nearrow \pi_K & \\ K \setminus E & & \end{array}$$

we get by Lemma 8 the isomorphism of $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules

$$H_K^\bullet(G/G, \mathbb{k}) \cong H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}),$$

where the left actions are canonical, the right action on the left-hand side is the action twisted by A , and the right action on the right-hand side is as follows:

$$mb = m \cup \pi_K^*(b)$$

for $m \in H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ and $b \in H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$.

Finally, let us look at the second factor of the tensor product in the right-hand side of (29). It is easy to note that the map $v_B : (G/K) \times E \rightarrow K \setminus E$ is a homeomorphism. This fact was already mentioned in [J, (1.5)]. Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/K \times E & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & G \setminus E \\ v_B \downarrow \wr & \nearrow \pi_K & \\ K \setminus E & & \end{array}$$

we get the isomorphism $(v_B^*)^{-1}$ of $H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules

$$H_G^\bullet(G/K, \mathbb{k}) \cong H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}),$$

where the left and the right actions on the left-hand side are the canonical action and the action twisted by B , respectively, the right action on the right-hand side is canonical and the left action on the right-hand side is as follows

$$am = \pi_K^*(a) \cup m$$

for any $a \in H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ and $m \in H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$.

Applying all these isomorphisms, we obtain from (29), the isomorphism of $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules (and rings)

$$H_K^\bullet(G/K, \mathbb{k}) \cong H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_G^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})} H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$$

under the left and right actions described above. Applying (28), we get the isomorphism of $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ - $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ -bimodules

$$H_K^\bullet(G/K, \mathbb{k}) \cong H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}) \otimes_{H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})^W} H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}),$$

where $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ and $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})^W$ act canonically.

6.2. **Standard bimodules.** Let us denote

$$\mathcal{R} = H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}). \quad (30)$$

This is the \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodule with respect to the cup product. For any $w \in W$, we denote by \mathcal{R}_w the \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodule equal to \mathcal{R} as an abelian group whose left action \cdot is untwisted and right action \cdot_w is twisted by w :

$$r' \cdot r = r'r, \quad r \cdot_w r'' = rw(r'').$$

The construction of this bimodule naturally arises as a special case of the twisted action described in Section 2.9. Indeed, let $A_w : \text{pt} \rightarrow G/K$ be the map with the value wK . Then we get the map $v_{A_w} : K \setminus E \cong \text{pt}_{K \times} E \rightarrow K \setminus E$, which coincides with the map $K \setminus \rho_{w^{-1}}$ described in Section 4.7. By (25), we get that the right action on $H_K^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k})$ on itself twisted by A_w coincides with \cdot_w .

Now let us compute the tensor product of these bimodules with the help of the isomorphism θ . Let $X = K$, $Y = \text{pt}$, $L = R = P = Q = N = K$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ be the map defined by $\alpha(k) = \dot{w}k$. The last map becomes K - K -equivariant if we consider the right action of K on X by multiplication and define the left action by $k' * k = \dot{w}^{-1}k'\dot{w}k$. Using this map α , we define the map $A : \text{pt} \cong K/K \rightarrow G/K$ by (11). Obviously, $A = A_w$.

Let us choose any $w' \in W$ and set $B = A_{w'}$. By (16), we get

$$\alpha B([k : 1 : \text{pt}]) = \dot{w}k\dot{w}'K = \dot{w}\dot{w}'(\dot{w}'^{-1}k\dot{w}')K = \dot{w}\dot{w}'K = ww'K.$$

Hence $\alpha B = A_{ww'}$ under the identification $K \times_K K \times_K \text{pt} \cong \text{pt}$. By Theorem 31, the homeomorphism θ is an isomorphism and it reads as the classical \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodule isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_w \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}_{w'} \cong \mathcal{R}_{ww'}.$$

7. BOTT-SAMELSON VARIETIES

7.1. **Computation of the equivariant cohomology.** Let G be a semisimple compact Lie group. We will use abbreviation (30). Additionally, we assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . For any reflection s , we have two rings

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = H_{G_s}^\bullet(\text{pt}, \mathbb{k}), \quad \mathcal{R}^s = \{r \in \mathcal{R} \mid s(r) = r\}.$$

Lemma 32. *For any reflection s , the quotient map $\pi_s : K \setminus E \rightarrow G_s \setminus E$ induces the isomorphism $\pi_s^* : \mathcal{R}(s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}^s$.*

Proof. The result follows from the proof of [Br, Proposition 1] applied to the group G_s , as its Weyl group consists of 2 elements and 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . \square

For a sequence of reflections $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, using the notation of Section 2.6, we define the following space

$$\text{BS}(s) = G_{s_1} \times_K G_{s_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{s_n} / K$$

called the *Bott-Samelson variety* for sequence s . The sequence s can be empty, in which case $\text{BS}(s)$ is just the singleton. In what follows, we use the natural identification $\text{pt}_{K \times} E \cong K \setminus E$ given by $K(\text{pt}, e) = Ke$.

The torus K acts on the left on $\text{BS}(s)$ (via the first factor for nonempty s). Therefore, we can consider the equivariant cohomology denoted as follows:

$$H(s) = H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k}).$$

It is a left \mathcal{R} -module with respect to the canonical action. On the other hand, there is the K -equivariant map

$$A_s : \text{BS}(s) \rightarrow G/K \quad (31)$$

given by $[g_1 : \cdots : g_{n-1} : g_n] \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_{n-1} g_n K$. Therefore, $H(s)$ is also a right \mathcal{R} -module with the action twisted by A_s according to Section 2.9.

We represent $H(s)$ graphically by

$$\text{---} \overset{s_1}{\bullet} \overset{s_2}{\bullet} \cdots \overset{s_n}{\bullet} \text{---}$$

where each reflection is marked by a separate color.

We consider the following map $\varphi_s : \text{BS}(s)_{K \times E} \rightarrow (K \setminus E)^{n+1}$:

$$K([g_1 : \cdots : g_{n-1} : g_n], e) \mapsto (Ke, Kg_1^{-1}e, \dots, K(g_1 \cdots g_n)^{-1}e).$$

This map can be constructed inductively with the help of the embedding φ constructed in Section 3.1 as follows. If $s = \emptyset$, then φ_s is the identity map.

Now suppose that s is not empty. Then we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BS}(s)_{K \times E} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \left(G_{s_1} \times_K G_{s_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{s_{n-1}} \times_K G_{s_n} \times_K \text{pt} \right)_{K \times E} \\ \varphi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ (K \setminus E)^{n+1} & \xleftarrow{\varphi_{s'} \times \text{id}} & (\text{BS}(s')_{K \times E}) \times (\text{pt}_{K \times E}) \end{array} \quad (32)$$

Here $s' = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$ is the truncated sequence and the map φ is the map defined in Section 3.1 for the following set of data:

$$X = G_{s_1} \times_K G_{s_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{s_{n-1}}, \quad Y = \text{pt}, \quad P = G_{s_n}, \quad L = R = Q = K$$

and $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ given by $[g_1 : \cdots : g_{n-1}] \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_{n-1}$. We claim that this diagram is commutative. Indeed, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K([g_1 : g_2 : \cdots : g_n], e) &= K(\underbrace{[g_1 : g_2 : \cdots : g_{n-1}]}_x : \underbrace{g_n}_p : \underbrace{\text{pt}}_y, e) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ & \left(K([g_1 : g_2 : \cdots : g_{n-1}], e), K(\text{pt}, g_n^{-1}(g_1 \cdots g_{n-1})^{-1}e) \right) = \\ & \left(K([g_1 : g_2 : \cdots : g_{n-1}], e), K(g_1 \cdots g_{n-1} g_n)^{-1}e \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{s'} \times \text{id}} (Ke, Kg_1^{-1}e, \dots, K(g_1 \cdots g_n)^{-1}e) \\ & = \varphi_s \left(K([g_1 : g_2 : \cdots : g_n], e) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we underbraced the variables x , p , and y as in (12).

Lemma 33. *The map φ_s is a topological embedding.*

Proof. The result follows inductively from diagram (32) and Theorem 11. \square

Using this embedding, we can define the map Θ_s as the following composition:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{k}} n+1} \xrightarrow{\times} H^\bullet((K \setminus E)^{n+1}, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_s^*} H(s),$$

where the first map is the cross product, see Section 3.4. We consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{k}} n+1} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{s'} \otimes \text{id}} & H(s') \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{R} \\ \Theta_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta \\ H(s) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H_K^\bullet \left(G_{s_1} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{s_n} \times_K \text{pt}, \mathbb{k} \right) \end{array} \quad (33)$$

where Θ is map (20) corresponding to φ . This diagram is commutative as diagram (32) is so. Indeed, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\Theta_{s'} \otimes \text{id}} \varphi_{s'}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_1)) \otimes a_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Theta} \\ &\varphi^*(\text{pr}_1^* \varphi_{s'}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_1)) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(a_{n+1})), \\ a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\Theta_s} \varphi_s^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we need to prove that

$$\text{pr}_i \varphi_{s'} \text{pr}_1 \varphi = \text{pr}_i \varphi_s \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{pr}_2 \varphi = \text{pr}_{n+1} \varphi_s.$$

Both equalities follow if we apply pr_i to diagram (32). As $\Theta_\emptyset = \text{id}$, we can use this diagram to compute Θ_s inductively. This computation and Theorem 15 prove that Θ is a homomorphism of rings and \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules.

Let us consider now the tensor product:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s_1)} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s_n)} \mathcal{R},$$

where each $\mathcal{R}(s_i)$ acts on the left and on the right on \mathcal{R} through π_{s_i} , that is, $bm = \pi_{s_i}^*(b) \cup m$ and $mb = m \cup \pi_{s_i}^*(b)$. for any $m \in \mathcal{R}$ and $b \in \mathcal{R}(s_i)$. In view of Lemma 32, we get

$$\mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} = \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{s_1}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{s_n}} \mathcal{R},$$

We will use the first equality, when we apply the (iso)morphisms θ introduced in Section 3.4 and the second equality to perform algebraic computations.

Theorem 34. *The map Θ_s factors through the natural projection $\mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{k}} n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s}$ to an isomorphism of rings and \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules $\theta_s : \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} \xrightarrow{\sim} H(s)$.*

Proof. Let us apply the induction on the length of s . There is nothing to prove if $s = \emptyset$, as $\Theta_\emptyset = \text{id}$. Now suppose that s is not empty. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H(s') \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & H_K^\bullet \left(G_{s_1} \times \cdots \times G_{s_n} \times \text{pt}, \mathbb{k} \right) & & \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \theta & & \\ & & H(s') \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s_n)} \mathcal{R} & & \\ \theta_{s'} \otimes \text{id} \uparrow & & \theta_{s'} \otimes \text{id} \uparrow & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s'} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} & \xrightarrow{\theta_s} & H(s) \\ & \swarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow \Theta_s & \\ & & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{k}} n+1} & & \end{array}$$

where θ is the map corresponding to Θ from diagram (33) as described in Section 3.4 and the dashed arrow is defined so that the trapezoid containing it is commutative. We need to prove that the triangle containing this arrow is also commutative. This is however so, as all other triangles, the trapezoid as well as the outer perimeter are commutative. The last claim follows from the commutativity of diagram (33) and the inductive definition of $\theta_{s'}$. Note that θ is an isomorphism by Theorem 31, the validity of (VIII) being guaranteed inductively by Lemma 3. \square

7.2. Concatenation. Our next aim is to prove that the isomorphisms θ_s of Theorem 34 behave well under the concatenation of sequences. Let $s = (s_1, \dots, n)$ and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$ be sequences of reflections. As in Section 3.1, we consider the embedding

$$\varphi_{s,t} : \text{BS}(st)_{K \times E} \rightarrow (\text{BS}(s)_{K \times E}) \times (\text{BS}(t)_{K \times E})$$

for the following set of data:

$$X = G_{s_1} \times_K G_{s_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{s_n}, \quad Y = \text{BS}(t), \quad L = R = P = Q = K,$$

and $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ defined by $[g_1 : \cdots : g_n] \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_n$. Here we use the representation

$$\text{BS}(st) = X \times_K K \times_K Y,$$

thus assuming

$$[g_1 : \cdots : g_{n+m}] = [g_1 : \cdots : g_n : 1 : g_{n+1} : \cdots : g_{n+m}]. \quad (34)$$

Hence by Theorem 31, we get the following isomorphisms of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules:

$$H(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(t) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_{s,t}} H(st),$$

where $\theta_{s,t}$ is induced by $\varphi_{s,t}$ as in Section 3.4.

Theorem 35. *There is the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(t) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_{s,t}} & H(st) \\ \theta_s \otimes \theta_t \uparrow & & \theta_{st} \uparrow \\ \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes t} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes st} \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism of the bottom arrow is given by

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \otimes (b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) \mapsto a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a_{n+1} \cup b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}. \quad (35)$$

Proof. Following the upper path, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \otimes (b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) \xrightarrow{\theta_s \otimes \theta_t} \\ & \varphi_s^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_{n+1})) \otimes \varphi_t^*(\text{pr}_1^*(b_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{m+1}^*(b_{m+1})) \xrightarrow{\theta_{s,t}} \\ & \varphi_{s,t}^*(\text{pr}_1^* \varphi_s^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_{n+1})) \cup \text{pr}_2^* \varphi_t^*(\text{pr}_1^*(b_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{m+1}^*(b_{m+1}))). \end{aligned}$$

Following the lower path, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) \otimes (b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) \mapsto a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a_{n+1} \cup b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1} \\ & \mapsto \varphi_{st}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_n^*(a_n) \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_{n+1} \cup b_1) \cup \text{pr}_{n+2}^*(b_2) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+m+1}^*(b_{m+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the right-hand sides, we see that we need to prove that

$$\text{pr}_i \varphi_s \text{pr}_1 \varphi_{s,t} = \text{pr}_i \varphi_{st} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1, \quad \text{pr}_j \varphi_t \text{pr}_2 \varphi_{s,t} = \text{pr}_{j+n} \varphi_{st} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m+1.$$

Let us prove the first formula. Using identification (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K([g_1 : \cdots : g_n : g'_1 : \cdots : g'_m], e) &= K(\underbrace{[g_1 : \cdots : g_n]}_x : \underbrace{1}_p : \underbrace{g'_1 : \cdots : g'_m}_y, e) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{s,t}} K([g_1 : \cdots : g_n], e) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_i \varphi_s} K(g_1 \cdots g_{i-1})^{-1} e. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the right-hand side is exactly $\text{pr}_i \varphi_{s,t}$ applied to the left-hand side. As usually, we underbraced the variables x , y , and p as in (12).

Let us prove the second formula. Using identification (34), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K([g_1 : \cdots : g_n : g'_1 : \cdots : g'_m], e) &= K(\underbrace{[g_1 : \cdots : g_n]}_x : \underbrace{1}_p : \underbrace{g'_1 : \cdots : g'_m}_y, e) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{s,t}} K([g'_1 : \cdots : g'_m], (g_1 \cdots g_n)^{-1} e) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_j \varphi_t} K(g'_1 \cdots g'_{j-1})^{-1} (g_1 \cdots g_n)^{-1} e. \\ &= K(g_1 \cdots g_n g'_1 \cdots g'_{j-1})^{-1} e. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the right-hand side is exactly $\text{pr}_{n+j} \varphi_{s,t}$ applied to the left-hand side. \square

Remark 36. The maps $\varphi_{s,t}$ were introduced for the universal principal bundle E . We will also use their finite dimensional versions $\varphi_{s,t}^N$ with E replaced by E^N .

7.3. Fixed points. Let t be a reflection. In that case, the lifting \dot{t} (see Section 4.7) can be chosen within G_t . We will assume this choice for the rest of the paper. Moreover, we will assume that the neutral element of W is lifted to the neutral element of G .

Let $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be a sequence of reflections. We denote by Γ_s the set of *generalized combinatorial galleries* whose elements are sequences $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, where $\gamma_i = s_i$ or $\gamma_i = 1$ for each i . We will identify γ with the point $[\dot{\gamma}_1 : \cdots : \dot{\gamma}_{n-1} : \dot{\gamma}_n]$ of $\text{BS}(s)$. This point does not depend on the choice of liftings and is fixed by K . Moreover, any point of ${}^K\text{BS}(s)$ is of this form. For each $x \in W$, we denote by $\Gamma_{s,x}$ the subset of Γ_s consisting of γ such that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n = x$.

According to Lemma 8, the embedding $i_\gamma : \text{pt} \hookrightarrow \text{BS}(s)$ taking value $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s,x}$ induces the homomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules

$$i_\gamma^* : H(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_x,$$

Note that in this formula, the left actions are canonical and the right actions on the domain and codomain are twisted by A_s and $A_s i_\gamma$, respectively (see, Lemma 8). The last map takes the only value xK . We can compute i_γ^* in coordinates.

Theorem 37. *For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{s,x}$, the composition*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} \xrightarrow{\theta_s} H(s) \xrightarrow{i_\gamma^*} \mathcal{R}_x$$

is given by $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \mapsto a_1 \cup \gamma_1(a_2) \cup \cdots \cup \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n(a_{n+1})$.

Proof. Replacing θ_s by Θ_s , it remains to compute the following composition:

$$\mathcal{R}^{\otimes_{\mathbb{k}} n+1} \xrightarrow{\times} H^\bullet((K \setminus E)^{n+1}, \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_s^*} H(s) \xrightarrow{i_\gamma^*} \mathcal{R}_x.$$

Following these maps, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} &\xrightarrow{\times} \text{pr}_1^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{pr}_{n+1}^*(a_{n+1}) \\ &\xrightarrow{i_\gamma^* \varphi_s^*} (\text{pr}_1 \varphi_s(i_\gamma \times \text{id}))^*(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup (\text{pr}_{n+1} \varphi_s(i_\gamma \times \text{id}))^*(a_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

It is easy to note that $\text{pr}_j \varphi_s(i_\gamma \times \text{id}) = K \setminus \rho_{(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{j-1})^{-1}}$, where the last map is defined in Section 4.7. Therefore, by (25), we get

$$(\text{pr}_i \varphi_s(i_\gamma \times \text{id}))^*(a_i) = (K \setminus \rho_{(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1})^{-1}})^* = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{i-1}(a_i).$$

The result follows from this formula and (36). \square

Using the Mayer-Vietoris isomorphism, we obtain that the restriction to ${}^K\text{BS}(s)$ is the following homomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules:

$$H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H_K^\bullet({}^K\text{BS}(s), \mathbb{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{x \in W} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{s,x}} \mathcal{R}_x.$$

We do not claim that this homomorphism is a monomorphism. However, this is true under some restrictions on \mathbb{k} , in which case we say that *the localization theorem holds*.

8. MORPHISMS

8.1. The setup. In this section, we will construct morphisms between cohomologies of Bott-Samelson varieties $H(s)$. These morphisms are either equivariant pull-backs or push-forwards or compositions of both. To treat push-forwards correctly, we will assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . To the same end, we will only consider the varieties $\text{BS}(s)$ for sequences of simple reflections s . In this case,

$$\text{BS}(s) \cong P_{s_1} \times_B P_{s_2} \times_B \cdots \times_B P_{s_n} / B, \quad (37)$$


where B and P_{s_i} are the Borel and the minimal parabolic groups of the complexification G^c of G , respectively. In this way, $\text{BS}(s)$ receives a complex structure and thus an orientation. We also get $G/K \cong G^c/B$ and thus the quotient G/K also receives a complex structure.

In what follows, we will replace double brackets with simple ones. For example,

$$\text{BS}(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \text{BS}((s_1, \dots, s_n)), \quad H(s_1, \dots, s_n) = H((s_1, \dots, s_n)).$$

Moreover, we will replace any sequence of length 1 by its unique element. For example $\varphi_{t,s} = \varphi_{t,(s)}$, $\theta_{t,s} = \theta_{t,(s)}$, $\mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} = \mathcal{R}^{\otimes (s)}$, etc. We also will no longer write the symbol of the cup product between elements of \mathcal{R} .

We draw morphisms between bimodules $H(s)$ as usual from bottom to top. The easiest case is represented by trivial morphisms, which are drawn as vertical lines in the colors corresponding to the colors of the reflections:



We denote by α_s the simple root such that $s = \omega_{\alpha_s}$, see Section 4.7, and set

$$P_s(f) = \frac{f + s(f)}{2}, \quad \partial_s(f) = \frac{f - s(f)}{\alpha_s}.$$

The operator ∂_s in the last formula is called the *Demazure operator*. We get $P_s(f) \in \mathcal{R}^s$, $\partial_s(f) \in \mathcal{R}^s$ and

$$f = P_s(f) + \partial_s(f) \frac{\alpha_s}{2}. \quad (38)$$

8.2. One-color morphisms. Let us fix a reflection s . Consider the natural embedding $\iota_s : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{BS}(s)$, which maps pt to $[1] = 1K$. We get $\iota_s = i_{(1)}$ in the notation of Section 7.3. We get the equivariant pull-back

$$\iota_s^* : H(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{R},$$

which we represent as the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{-----} \\ | \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \text{-----} \\ s \end{array} \quad (\iota_s^*)$$

This map is a homomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules by Lemma 8. By Theorem 37, we get that the composition map

$$\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s)} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_s} H(s) \xrightarrow{\iota_s^*} \mathcal{R}$$

is given by

$$\iota_s^* \theta_s(a \otimes b) = ab. \quad (39)$$

Now we consider the negated equivariant push-forward

$$-\iota_{s*} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow H(s)(2).$$

Here and in what follows a number in round brackets means the degree shift. We will represent the above morphism as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} s \\ \text{-----} \\ | \\ \bullet \\ | \\ \text{-----} \end{array} \quad (-\iota_{s*})$$

By Lemma 21, this map is a homomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules. We want to compute the composition:

$$\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{-i_{s*}} H(s)(2) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_s^{-1}} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s)} \mathcal{R}(2). \quad (40)$$

It suffices to compute the image of 1. As the element $-\theta_s^{-1} i_{s*}(1)$ is central and of degree 2, the standard argument shows that

$$-\theta_s^{-1} i_{s*}(1) = c\alpha_s \otimes 1 + c \otimes \alpha_s$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{k}$. In order to compute this constant, let apply first $-\theta_s$ and then ι_s^* to the formula above. By Theorem 37 and (39), we get

$$-\alpha_s = \text{Eu}_K(1K, G_s/K) = \iota_s^* \iota_{s*}(1) = -\iota_s^* \theta_s(c\alpha_s \otimes 1 + c \otimes \alpha_s) = -2c\alpha_s,$$

where the second (from the left) term denotes the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of $1K$ as a submanifold of G_s/K . Hence $c = 1/2$. As all maps in (40) are homomorphisms of bimodules, we get

$$\theta_s^{-1}(-i_{s*})(d) = d \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 + d \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2}.$$

Let $\mu_s : \text{BS}(s, s) \rightarrow \text{BS}(s)$ be the map given by $\mu_s([g_1 : g_2]) = [g_1 g_2]$. Taking the pull-back, we get the map

$$\mu_s^* : H(s) \rightarrow H(s, s).$$

We will draw this diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} s \quad s \\ \text{-----} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ | \\ \text{-----} \\ s \end{array} \quad (\mu_s^*)$$

This map is a homomorphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules by Lemma 8. We are going to compute the composition

$$\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s)} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\theta_s} H(s) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} H(s, s) \xrightarrow{\theta_{(s,s)}^{-1}} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s)} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(s)} \mathcal{R}. \quad (41)$$

Computing in degree zero, we get

$$\mu^* \theta_s(1 \otimes 1) = 1 = \theta_{(s,s)}(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1),$$

where the central unit is the constant unit function on $\text{BS}(s, s)_K \times E$. As all maps in (41) are homomorphisms of bimodules, we get

$$\theta_{(s,s)}^{(-1)} \mu^* \theta_s(a \otimes b) = a \otimes 1 \otimes b.$$

As expected, the merge diagram



$$(-\mu_{s^*})$$

is given by the negated push-forward map $-\mu_{s^*} : H(s, s) \rightarrow H(s)(-2)$. We postpone the computation of its coordinate form until we manage to extend diagram $(-\mu_{s^*})$, as well as all other diagrams of this section, horizontally.

8.3. Horizontal extensions. We are going to apply the concatenation properties proved in Section 7.2. First, let us consider the planar diagram



$$(\iota_{t,s}^*)$$

for a simple reflection s and a sequence of simple reflections $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ (the black strings can be of any color). Let $ts = (t_1, \dots, t_n, s)$ be the concatenated sequence and $\iota_{t,s} : \text{BS}(t) \hookrightarrow \text{BS}(ts)$ be the embedding given by $\iota_{t,s}([g_1 : \dots : g_n]) = [g_1 : \dots : g_n : 1]$. We claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(s) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^*} & H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R} \\ \theta_{t,s} \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow \theta_{t,\emptyset} \\ H(ts) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t,s}^*} & H(t) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Indeed, for any $a \in H(t)$ and $b \in H(s)$, we get

$$\theta_{t,\emptyset}(\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^*)(a \otimes b) = \theta_{t,\emptyset}(a \otimes \iota_s^*(b)) = \varphi_{t,\emptyset}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^* \iota_s^*(b)).$$


$$\iota_{t,s}^* \theta_{t,s}(a \otimes b) = \iota_{t,s}^* \varphi_{t,s}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(b)).$$

Comparing the results, we see that it suffices to prove the equalities

$$\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,\emptyset} = \text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,s}(\iota_{t,s} K \times \text{id}), \quad (\iota_{t,s} K \times \text{id}) \text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset} = \text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,s}(\iota_{t,s} K \times \text{id}). \quad (42)$$

They follow directly from identification rule (34) for concatenation operators and definition (12).

Now let us extend diagram $(\iota_{t,s}^*)$ to the right



for another sequence of reflections $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. Let $tsr = (t_1, \dots, t_n, s, r_1, \dots, r_m)$ be the concatenated sequence and $\iota_{t,s,r} : \text{BS}(tr) \hookrightarrow \text{BS}(tsr)$ be the map defined by $\iota_{t,s,r}([g_1 : \dots : g_n : g'_1 : \dots : g'_m]) = [g_1 : \dots : g_n : 1 : g'_1 : \dots : g'_m]$. We get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(ts) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t,s}^* \otimes \text{id}} & H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) \\ \theta_{ts,r} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \theta_{t,r} \\ H(tsr) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t,s,r}^*} & H(tr) \end{array}$$

The proof of its commutativity is similar to the similar proof for the previous diagram.

A routine (but tedious) check proves the commutativity⁷ of the following three-dimensional diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes t} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes s} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes t} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes r} \\ & \swarrow \theta_t \otimes \theta_s \otimes \theta_t & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id} & & \swarrow \theta_t \otimes \text{id} \otimes \theta_r \\ H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(s) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & & \\ \downarrow \theta_{t,s} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \theta_{t,\emptyset} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \\ & \swarrow \theta_{ts} \otimes \theta_t & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes ts} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes t} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{\otimes r} \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \theta_t \otimes \theta_r \\ H(ts) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & & \\ \downarrow \theta_{ts,r} & & \downarrow \theta_{t,r} & & \downarrow \\ & \swarrow \theta_{tsr} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes tsr} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{R}^{\otimes tr} \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \theta_{t,r} \\ H(tsr) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_s^* \otimes \text{id}} & H(tr) & & \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\theta_{tr}^{-1} \iota_{t,s,r}^* \theta_{tsr} (a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{m+1}) = a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \otimes a_{n+1} b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes \dots \otimes b_{m+1}.$$

Let us extend the diagram $(-\iota_{s*})$ horizontally. To this end, we first prove that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_{s*}} & H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(s)(2) \\ \theta_{t,\emptyset} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{t,s} \\ H(t) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t,s*}} & H(ts)(2) \end{array} \quad (43)$$

⁷which boils down to checking the corresponding equalities involving the coordinatization maps $\varphi_s, \varphi_t, \dots$ introduced in Section 7.1, the concatenation maps $\varphi_{t,s}, \varphi_{ts,r}, \dots$ introduced in Section 7.2, and various projections to factors and applying the appropriate identifications described in Sections 2.5 and Section 2.6.

is commutative. It is more difficult, as it involves push-forward operators. For any $a \in H(t)$ and $b \in \mathcal{R}$, we get by the first equality of (42) and the projection formula

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{t,s\star}\theta_{t,\emptyset}(a \otimes b) &= \iota_{t,s\star}\varphi_{t,\emptyset}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^*(b)) = \iota_{t,s\star}((\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,\emptyset})^*(a) \cup (\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset})^*(b)) \\ &= \iota_{t,s\star}(\iota_{t,s}^*(\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,s})^*(a) \cup (\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset})^*(b)) = (\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,s})^*(a) \cup \iota_{t,s\star}(\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset})^*(b). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Let us consider the compatibly oriented Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{BS}(t)_{K \times E^N} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset}^N} & K \setminus E^N \\ \iota_{t,s} K \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_s K \times \text{id} \\ \text{BS}(ts)_{K \times E^N} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,s}^N} & \text{BS}(s)_{K \times E^N} \end{array}$$

By (22), we get $(\iota_{t,s} K \times \text{id})_*(\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset}^N)^* = (\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,s}^N)^*(\iota_s K \times \text{id})_*$. Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\iota_{t,s\star}(\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,\emptyset})^* = (\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,s})^* \iota_{s\star}$. Applying this substitution to the right-hand side of (44), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{t,s\star}\theta_{t,\emptyset}(a \otimes b) &= (\text{pr}_1 \varphi_{t,s})^*(a) \cup (\text{pr}_2 \varphi_{t,s})^* \iota_{s\star}(b) \\ &= \varphi_{t,s}^*(\text{pr}_1^*(a) \cup \text{pr}_2^* \iota_{s\star}(b)) = \theta_{t,s}(a \otimes \iota_{s\star}(b)) = \theta_{t,s}(\text{id} \otimes \iota_{s\star})(a \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

This equality proves the commutativity of diagram (43). We prove similarly that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(t) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_{s\star}} & H(ts) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(r)(2) \\ \theta_{t,r} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{ts,r} \\ H(tr) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t,s,r\star}} & H(tsr)(2) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Computing in coordinates as in the three-dimensional diagram above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{tsr}^{-1}(-\iota_{t,s,r\star})\theta_{tr}(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes d \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) \\ = a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes \left(d \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 + d \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \right) \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

This morphism is represented by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} t_1 & t_2 & & t_n & s & r_1 & r_2 & r_m \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \bullet & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline t_1 & t_2 & & t_n & & r_1 & r_2 & r_m \end{array} \quad (-\iota_{t,s,r\star})$$

The split map μ_s^* can be extended horizontally in the same way. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} t_1 & t_2 & & t_n & s & & s & r_1 & r_2 & r_m \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \diagdown & & \diagup & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & \bullet & & & & & \\ \hline t_1 & t_2 & & t_n & & s & & r_1 & r_2 & r_m \end{array} \quad (\mu_{t,s,r}^*)$$

for sequences of simple reflections $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. Let $\mu_{t,s,r} : \text{BS}(tssr) \rightarrow \text{BS}(tsr)$ be the map⁸ given by $\mu_{t,s,r}([g_1 : \cdots : g_n : g'_1 : g'_2 : g''_1 : \cdots : g''_m]) = [g_1 : \cdots : g_n :$

⁸here $tssr = (t_1, \dots, t_n, s, s, r_1, \dots, r_m)$.

$g'_1 g'_2 : g''_1 : \cdots : g''_m \rrbracket$. Arguing as for $\iota_{t,s,r}^*$, we get

$$\theta_{tssr}^{(-1)} \mu_{t,s,r}^* \theta_{tsr} (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) = a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1} \otimes 1 \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}.$$

At this point, we can compute the coordinate form of merge diagram $(-\mu_{s\star})$. We clearly have $\mu_s \iota_{s,s,\emptyset} = \text{id}$. Taking the push-forwards, we get $(-\mu_{s\star})(-\iota_{s,s,\emptyset\star}) = \text{id}$. Expressed diagrammatically, we get our first relation:

Note that we have proved this relation without resorting to coordinatization. By (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes 1 &= \theta_s^{-1} \mu_{s\star} \iota_{s,s,\emptyset\star} \theta_s (1 \otimes 1) = \theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} \left(1 \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \right) \\ &= \theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} \left(1 \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 \right) - \theta_s^{-1} \mu_{s\star} \theta_{(s,s)} (1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \frac{\alpha_s}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\theta_s^{-1} \mu_{s\star} \theta_{(s,s)} (1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = 0$ for the degree reason, we get

$$\theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} \left(1 \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 \right) = 1 \otimes 1.$$

Let us take any $b \in H(s)$. Applying (38) and the above formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} (1 \otimes b \otimes 1) &= \\ &= -P_s(b) \theta_s^{-1} \mu_{s\star} \theta_{(s,s)} (1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + \partial_s(b) \theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} \left(1 \otimes \frac{\alpha_s}{2} \otimes 1 \right) = \partial_s(b) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

As this map is a homomorphism of bimodules, we get

$$\theta_s^{-1} (-\mu_{s\star}) \theta_{(s,s)} (a \otimes b \otimes c) = a \partial_s(b) \otimes c.$$

The arguments described in this section, allow us to extend $-\mu_{s\star}$ to the morphism $-\mu_{t,s,r\star}$ represented by the diagram


and prove the coordinate formula

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{tsr}^{-1} (-\mu_{t,s,r\star}) \theta_{tsr} (a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}) \\ = a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \otimes a \partial_s(b) \otimes c \otimes b_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

8.4. Generalized Bott-Samelson varieties. For any nonempty set S of simple reflections, let G_S be the subgroup of G generated by all groups G_s , where $s \in S$. Let $S = (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be a sequence of nonempty sets of reflections. Then we define

$$\text{BS}(S) = G_{S_1} \times_K G_{S_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{S_n} / K$$

Clearly, if each S_i is a singleton, then $\text{BS}(S) = \text{BS}(s)$, where $S_i = \{s_i\}$ and $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Bearing in mind this fact, we will omit brackets for singletons. For example, we abbreviate $\text{BS}(\{s\}, \{t, r\}, \{p\}, \{q\})$ to $\text{BS}(s, \{t, r\}, p, q)$. We denote the equivariant cohomologies by $H(S) = H_K^\bullet(\text{BS}(S), \mathbb{k})$, use the similar abbreviation and draw $H(S)$ and the identical morphisms between them similarly to the cohomologies and morphisms for the usual Bott-Samelson varieties. For example, the identity map $H(s, \{t, r\}, p, q) \rightarrow H(s, \{t, r\}, p, q)$ is depicted as



We will consider here only the case where each set S_i is a singleton with exactly one exception for which this set consists of two distinct simple reflections (as in the example above). These varieties also have complex structures coming from algebraic realization similar to (37).

8.5. Two-color morphisms. For the simplicity of exposition, we will omit the coordinatization maps θ_s , thus thinking of them as identity maps. We denote

$$x_s = \frac{\alpha_s}{2}, \quad c_s = x_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_s$$

for any simple reflection s .

If we consider $H(s)$ as a left \mathcal{R} -module, then it has the following \mathcal{R} -basis:

$$\{1 \otimes x_{s_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s_n}^{\varepsilon_n} \mid \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

It consists of 2^n elements, where n is the length of s . We denote by $H(s)^<$ the left \mathcal{R} -submodule of $H(s)$ generated by all above basis elements such that $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for some i . The remaining basis element $1 \otimes x_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s_n}$ will be called the *normal element* of $H(s)$, see [L, Définition 4.6].

We leave the proof of the following result to the reader.

Proposition 38. $c_{s_1} \cdots c_{s_n} = 1 \otimes x_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{s_n} + h$ for some $h \in H(s)^<$.

For any distinct simple reflections s and t , we denote by $m_{s,t}$ the order of the product st . We have $m_{s,t} = m_{t,s} \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$. We define the following sequence

$$[s, t] = \begin{cases} (s, t), & \text{if } m_{s,t} = 2, \\ (s, t, s), & \text{if } m_{s,t} = 3, \\ (s, t, s, t) & \text{if } m_{s,t} = 4, \\ (s, t, s, t, s, t) & \text{if } m_{s,t} = 6. \end{cases}$$

The product of the reflections of $[s, t]$ is denoted by $w_{s,t}$. The braid relation for this pair reads as $w_{s,t} = w_{t,s}$. We will use the notation $\text{BS}(s, t, \dots) = \text{BS}([s, t])$ and $H(s, t, \dots) = H([s, t])$ and denote by $1 \otimes x_s \otimes x_t \otimes \cdots$ the normal element of $H(s, t, \dots)$. Similarly $c_s c_t \cdots$ denotes one of the product $c_s c_t$, $c_s c_t c_s$, $c_s c_t c_s c_t$, $c_s c_t c_s c_t c_s c_t$ depending on $m_{s,t}$.

The image of the twisting map $A_{[s,t]}$, see (31), is actually contained in the Schubert variety $X_{s,t} = \overline{K_{w_{s,t}}/K} = \text{BS}(\{s, t\})$. Thus we get the map $\eta_{s,t} : \text{BS}(s, t, \dots) \rightarrow X_{s,t}$. The twisting maps for both sides are $A_{[s,t]}$ and the natural inclusion to G/K , respectively. Note also that both these spaces are smooth manifolds having real dimension $2m_{s,t}$. Therefore, we get the following two morphisms of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules:

$$\eta_{s,t}^* : H_K^\bullet(X_{s,t}, \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H(s, t, \dots), \quad \eta_{s,t*} : H(s, t, \dots) \rightarrow H_K^\bullet(X_{s,t}, \mathbb{k})$$

presented by the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \color{red}s \quad \color{blue}t \quad \dots \\ \color{red} \diagdown \quad \color{blue} \diagdown \quad \dots \\ \color{red} \diagup \quad \color{blue} \diagup \quad \dots \\ \text{---} \\ \{s, t\} \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \{s, t\} \\ \text{---} \\ \color{red} \diagup \quad \color{blue} \diagup \quad \dots \\ \color{red} \diagdown \quad \color{blue} \diagdown \quad \dots \\ \text{---} \\ \color{red}s \quad \color{blue}t \end{array} \\
 (\eta_{s,t}^*) & & (\eta_{s,t\star})
 \end{array}$$

Here the purple line can be either red or blue, depending on the parity of $m_{s,t}$.
 First, we calculate the following composition.

Lemma 39. $\eta_{s,t\star}\eta_{s,t}^* = \text{id}$.

Proof. Applying the projection formula, we get

$$\eta_{s,t\star}\eta_{s,t}^*(h) = \eta_{s,t\star}(1 \cup \eta_{s,t}^*(h)) = \eta_{s,t\star}(1) \cup h$$

for any $h \in H_K^\bullet(X_{s,t}, \mathbb{k})$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $\eta_{s,t\star}(1) = 1$. There is an open subset $V \subset X_{s,t}$ such that $\eta_{s,t}$ induces the orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\eta'_{s,t} : U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$, where $U = \eta_{s,t}^{-1}(V)$. Consider the following Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \xleftarrow{j_U} & \text{BS}(s, t, \dots) \\
 \eta'_{s,t} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \eta_{s,t} \\
 V & \xleftarrow{j_V} & X_{s,t}
 \end{array}$$

By (the equivariant version of) (22), we get

$$j_V^* \eta_{s,t\star}(1) = \eta'_{s,t\star} j_U^*(1) = \eta'_{s,t\star}(1) = 1.$$

As $X_{s,t}$ is connected, the above calculation proves that $\eta_{s,t\star}(1) = 1$. \square

Diagrammatically expressed this lemma looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \{s, t\} \\ \text{---} \\ \color{red} \text{---} \color{blue} \text{---} \\ \dots \\ \color{red} \text{---} \color{blue} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \{s, t\} \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{c} \{s, t\} \\ \text{---} \\ \color{red} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \{s, t\} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

8.6. The $2m_{s,t}$ -valent vertex. Here, we are going to consider the composition $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star} : H(s, t, \dots) \rightarrow H(t, s, \dots)$, which is a morphism of \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{R} -bimodules. It is depicted as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \color{blue}t \quad \color{red}s \quad \dots \\ \color{blue} \diagdown \quad \color{red} \diagdown \quad \dots \\ \color{red} \diagup \quad \color{blue} \diagup \quad \dots \\ \color{red}s \quad \color{blue}t \end{array} & & (\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star})
 \end{array}$$

Note that all such morphisms are proportional [L, Proposition 4.3].

Lemma 40. $\ker \mu_{s,t\star} \subset H(s, t, \dots)^\perp$.

Proof. Let $h \in \ker \mu_{s,t\star}$. We have $h = \alpha \otimes x_s \otimes x_t \otimes \cdots + h'$ for some $h' \in H(s, t, \dots)^<$. For the degree reason, we get $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}(h') \in H(t, s, \dots)^<$. Therefore, it follows from $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}(h) = 0$ that

$$\alpha \eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}(1 \otimes x_s \otimes x_t \otimes \cdots) \in H(t, s, \dots)^<.$$

By [L, Proposition 4.3 and Lemme 4.7], this is only possible if $\alpha = 0$. \square


Our next aim is to prove the following normalization condition.

Lemma 41. $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}(1 \otimes x_s \otimes x_t \otimes \cdots) = 1 \otimes x_t \otimes x_s \otimes \cdots + h$ for some $h \in H(t, s, \dots)^<$.

Proof. Let us consider the following chain of inclusions:

$$\text{pt} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\emptyset, s, \emptyset}} \text{BS}(s) \xrightarrow{\iota_{(s), t, \emptyset}} \text{BS}(s, t) \xrightarrow{\iota_{(s, t), s, \emptyset}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\iota_{(s, t, \dots), r, \emptyset}} \text{BS}(s, t, \dots),$$

where $r = s$ or $r = t$ depending on the parity of $m_{s,t}$. We denote the resulting composition by ι . Its image is $[1 : 1 : \cdots : 1]$. Taking the equivariant push-forward




we get by (45) that the image of $(-1)^{m_{s,t}}$ is equal to $c_s c_t \cdots$. Hence $\eta_{s,t\star}(c_s c_t \cdots) = (\eta_{s,t\star})_*((-1)^{m_{s,t}})$. Arguing similarly, we get $\eta_{t,s\star}(c_t c_s \cdots) = (\eta_{t,s\star})_*((-1)^{m_{s,t}})$. As $\eta_{s,t}^l = \eta_{t,s}^l$, we get by Lemmas 39 and 40 that

$$\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}(c_s c_t \cdots) - c_t c_s \cdots \in \ker \eta_{t,s\star} \subset H(t, s, \dots)^<.$$


To conclude the proof, it suffices to apply Proposition 38 and the fact that $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}$, being a degree preserving homomorphism $H(s, t, \dots) \rightarrow H(t, s, \dots)$ of left \mathcal{R} -modules, maps $H(s, t, \dots)^<$ to $H(t, s, \dots)^<$. \square


Thus the composition $\eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star}$ is just the map $f_{s,t}$ as in [L, Lemme 4.7].

8.7. The Jones-Wenzl projector. This map is given by the composition $\eta_{s,t}^* \eta_{s,t\star}$ and is represented by the diagram:




Form Lemma 39, we immediately get the relations





8.8. Two-color dot contraction. As an example for $m_{s,t} = 3$, let us prove the following relation



Indeed, the left-hand side and the right-hand side are equal to

$$i_{(t,s),t,\emptyset}^* \eta_{t,s}^* \eta_{s,t\star} = (\eta_{t,s} i_{(t,s),t,\emptyset})^* \eta_{s,t\star}, \quad i_{\emptyset,s,(t,s)}^* \eta_{s,t}^* \eta_{s,t\star} = (\eta_{s,t} i_{\emptyset,s,(t,s)})^* \eta_{s,t\star},$$

respectively. Hence it suffices to prove that $\eta_{t,s} i_{(t,s),t,\emptyset} = \eta_{s,t} i_{\emptyset,s,(t,s)}$. This follows from the following calculation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & [g : g' : 1] & & \\
 & i_{(t,s),t,\emptyset} & \nearrow & \eta_{t,s} & \\
 [g : g'] & & & & gg'K \\
 & i_{\emptyset,s,(t,s)} & \searrow & \eta_{s,t} & \\
 & & [1 : g : g'] & &
 \end{array}$$

For the other values of $m_{s,t}$ the proofs are similar.

8.9. Horizontal extensions of two-color morphisms. Finally, it remains to extend the morphisms $\eta_{s,t}^*$ and $\eta_{s,t\star}$ horizontally. It can be done similarly to Section 8.3. First, let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a sequence of simple reflections. Then we define the map

$$\eta_{p,s,t} : \text{BS}(p_1, \dots, p_n, s, t, \dots) \rightarrow \text{BS}(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\})$$

by $[g_1 : \dots : g_n : g'_1 : \dots : g'_{m_{s,t}}] \mapsto [g_1 : \dots : g_n : g'_1 \cdots g'_{m_{s,t}}]$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H(p) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H_K^\bullet(X_{s,t}, \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \eta_{s,t}^*} & H(p) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(s, t, \dots) \\
 \theta_{p,s,t} \downarrow \wr & & \wr \downarrow \theta_{p,[s,t]} \\
 H(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{p,s,t}^*} & H(p_1, \dots, p_n, s, t, \dots)
 \end{array}$$

where $\theta_{p,s,t}$ corresponds to

$$\varphi_{p,s,t} : \text{BS}(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\})_{K \times E} \rightarrow (\text{BS}(p)_{K \times E}) \times (X_{s,t} K \times E)$$

as in Section 3.1 for the following set of data:

$$X = G_{p_1} \times_K G_{p_2} \times_K \cdots \times_K G_{p_n}, \quad Y = X_{s,t}, \quad L = R = P = Q = K,$$

and $\alpha : X \rightarrow G$ defined by $[g_1 : \cdots : g_n] \mapsto g_1 \cdots g_n$.

Now let $q = (q_1, \dots, q_m)$ be another sequence of simple reflections. We define the map

$$\eta_{p,s,t,q} : \text{BS}(p_1, \dots, p_n, s, t, \dots, q_1, \dots, q_m) \rightarrow \text{BS}(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\}, q_1, \dots, q_m)$$

by $[g_1 : \cdots : g_n : g'_1 : \cdots : g'_{m_{s,t}} : g''_1 : \cdots : g''_m] \mapsto [g_1 : \cdots : g_n : g'_1 \cdots g'_{m_{s,t}} : g''_1 : \cdots : g''_m]$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} H(q) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{p,s,t}^* \otimes \text{id}} & H(p_1, \dots, p_n, s, t, \dots) \otimes H(q) \\ \theta_{p,s,t,q} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \theta_{p[s,t],q} \\ H(p_1, \dots, p_n, \{s, t\}, q_1, \dots, q_m) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{p,s,t,q}^*} & H(p_1, \dots, p_n, s, t, \dots, q_1, \dots, q_m) \end{array}$$

where $\theta_{p,s,t,q}$ is defined similarly to $\theta_{p,s,t}$. We leave it to the reader to write down similar diagrams for push-forwards, to draw the corresponding diagrams and to compose them (see, the examples of diagrams in the introduction).

REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de group de Lie compact, *Ann. Math.*, 1953, 57, 115–207.
- [Br] M. Brion, Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory, In: Broer, A., Digneault, A., Sabidussi, G. (eds) Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry. Nato ASI Series, vol 514. Springer, Dordrecht, 1998, 1–37.
- [BS] R. Bott, H. Samelson, Application of the theory of Morse to the symmetric spaces, *Am. J. Math.*, **80** (1958) 964–1029.
- [F] W. Fulton, Equivariant cohomology in algebraic topology, lectures at Columbia university, notes by D. Anderson, Appendix A.
- [Ha] A. Hatcher, Algebraic topology, *Cambridge Univ. Press*, Cambridge, 2002.
- [J] J. C. Jantzen, Moment graphs and representations, *Inst. Fourier, Geometric Methods in Representation Theory, Summer School Proceedings*, 2008.
- [L] N. Libedinsky, Sur la catégorie des bimodules de Soergel, *J. Algebra*, 2008, 320(7):2675–2694.
- [R] J. Rotman, An introduction to homological algebra, *Academic Press*, New York, 1979.
- [S] V. Shchigolev, Nested fibre bundles in Bott-Samelson varieties, *J. Algebra*, **605** (2022), 296–338.
- [St] R. Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley Groups, New Haven, 1968.
- [WW] B. Webster, G. Williamson, A geometric model for Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules, *Geometry & Topology*, 12(2), (2008), 1243–1263.
- [EW] B. Elias, G. Williamson, Soergel calculus, *Represent. Theory*, **20**, 295–374 (2016).

Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation,
Leningradsky Prospekt 49, Moscow, 125993, Russia, shchigolev_vladimir@yahoo.com