

**ON STABLE CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE SPHERES OF
 $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ AND $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ AND THEIR UNIQUENESS AS
ISOPERIMETRIC HYPERSURFACES**

RONALDO F. DE LIMA, MARIA F. ELBERT AND BARBARA NELLI

ABSTRACT. We consider the rotational constant mean curvature spheres of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ obtained by Hsiang and Hsiang and show that they are nested. We apply this property to prove the uniqueness of these spheres as isoperimetric hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, filling in a gap in the original proof given by Hsiang and Hsiang. We also give a direct proof of their stability, extending the result by Souam, who considered the case $n = 2$. Analogous results are obtained for the class of the rotational constant large mean curvature spheres of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ constructed by Pedrosa.

1. INTRODUCTION

The isoperimetric problem is unarguably one of the most classical subjects in differential geometry. In its more general setting, it can be stated as follows: given an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathbb{M}^{n+1} and a positive real number \mathcal{V} , find a compact set Ω in \mathbb{M}^{n+1} of volume \mathcal{V} whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ has least area. By *volume* and *area* we mean the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional and n -dimensional Riemannian measures, respectively. Such a compact set Ω and its boundary $\partial\Omega$ are then called an *isoperimetric region* and an *isoperimetric hypersurface*, respectively.

The fundamental results of Almgren [1] ensure the existence of isoperimetric regions of any prescribed volume $\mathcal{V} < \text{vol } \mathbb{M} \leq +\infty$ for a wide range of Riemannian manifolds \mathbb{M} , including all the compact and all the homogeneous ones. In addition, any connected component of the boundary of an isoperimetric region has constant mean curvature at all of its regular points. Consequently, present investigations on the isoperimetric problem are mainly focused on regularity and uniqueness of isoperimetric regions (see [9] and the references therein for a detailed account on the isoperimetric problem).

Compact hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature (CMC, for short) in Riemannian manifolds are known to be critical points of the area functional \mathcal{A} for volume-preserving variations. The CMC hypersurface is called *stable* if it minimizes \mathcal{A} up to second order for such variations.

(A1) DEPTO. DE MATEMÁTICA - UNIV. FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO NORTE - RN, BRAZIL.

(A2) INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO - RJ, BRAZIL.

(A3) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA E APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI L'AQUILA, ITALY.

E-mail address: ronaldo.freire@ufrn.br, fernanda@im.ufrj.br,

barbara.nelli@univaq.it.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A10 (primary), 53B20 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. mean curvature – stability – isoperimetric problem.

In particular, any regular compact isoperimetric hypersurface is necessarily stable. On the other hand, there exist stable CMC hypersurfaces in certain Riemannian manifolds which are not isoperimetric (see, e.g., [2, 10]).

In [6], Hsiang and Hsiang showed that, for any $H > n - 1 \geq 1$, there exists an embedded rotational isoperimetric sphere Σ_H of constant (non normalized) mean curvature H in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, any compact embedded hypersurface $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of constant mean curvature $H > 0$ is necessarily congruent to a rotational sphere Σ_H . In particular, H must be larger than $n - 1$. They also showed that the isoperimetric region Ω_H determined by Σ_H is the unique solution to the isoperimetric problem for $\mathcal{V} = \text{vol } \Sigma_H$. Lamentably, their argument in the proof of this latter property is incorrect (cf. Remark 2 in Section 4).

Similar results on CMC hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and their relation with the isoperimetric problem were obtained by Pedrosa [8]. More specifically, he proved that there exists a rotational sphere of constant mean curvature H in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ for any given $H > 0$. However, the region determined by such a sphere is a unique solution to the isoperimetric problem only if H is sufficiently large.

In this paper, we provide new proofs for the uniqueness of the regions determined by the rotational spheres of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ as solutions to the isoperimetric problem. Our proofs are different in nature because they are grounded on the fact that all rotational CMC spheres of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and those of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with sufficiently large mean curvature are nested.

To be more precise, let us introduce some notation. First, denote by \mathbb{Q}_ϵ^n the simply connected $n(\geq 2)$ -space form of constant sectional curvature $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ (i.e., $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{Q}_{-1}^n$ and $\mathbb{S}^n = \mathbb{Q}_1^n$). Set $C_\epsilon := n - 1$ for $\epsilon = -1$ and $C_\epsilon := 0$ for $\epsilon = 1$, and write \mathcal{F}_ϵ for the family of all rotational spheres Σ_H in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of constant mean curvature $H > C_\epsilon$. Assume further that all spheres of \mathcal{F}_ϵ have the same rotational axis $\{o\} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and the same point $o \in \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ of minimal height, and denote by Ω_H the compact region determined by Σ_H . In this setting, the nesting property of the rotational CMC spheres Σ_H of \mathcal{F}_ϵ can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *There exists a nonnegative constant $\alpha \geq C_\epsilon$ such that, whenever $\Sigma_H, \Sigma_{H^*} \in \mathcal{F}_\epsilon$ satisfy $H > H^* > \alpha$, one has $\Omega_H \subset \Omega_{H^*}$. Moreover, the equality $\alpha = C_\epsilon$ holds for $\epsilon = -1$, so that the whole family \mathcal{F}_ϵ is nested in this case.*

We point out that the constant α in the above theorem is strictly positive for $n = 2$ and $\epsilon = 1$, that is, the family of rotational CMC spheres of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is not entirely nested (see Remark 1 in Section 4). We believe that this property holds for the rotational CMC spheres of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $n > 2$, although we have no proof for it.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and the main results in [6] and [8], we have the following

Corollary 1. *Given $\mathcal{V} > 0$ (resp. a sufficiently small $\mathcal{V} > 0$), there exists a unique embedded rotational CMC sphere of \mathcal{F}_ϵ in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$) which bounds a region Ω of volume \mathcal{V} . Consequently, Ω is the unique solution to the isoperimetric problem for prescribed volume \mathcal{V} .*

Souam [10] considered the rotational CMC spheres of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and provided an ingenious direct proof of their stability. He also proved that the rotational CMC spheres Σ_H of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are stable if and only if $H \geq H_0$, where $H_0 \approx 0.18$. This result should be compared with the one by Pedrosa in [8], where he shows that Σ_H is isoperimetric if and only if $H \geq H_1 \approx 0.33 > H_0$. Indeed, from these inequalities

we conclude that all rotational spheres Σ_H in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $H_0 \leq H < H_1$ are stable and non isoperimetric.

A suitable application of Theorem 1 allows us to obtain the following extension of Souam's result (up to the statement on H_0):

Corollary 2. *For all $H > n - 1$ (resp. sufficiently large $H > 0$), the rotational sphere Σ_H of constant mean curvature H of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$) is stable.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly discuss stability of CMC hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In Section 3, we establish two key lemmas to be applied in the proofs of our main results. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2.

2. STABILITY OF CMC HYPERSURFACES OF $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let Σ be a compact oriented hypersurface of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 2$, and recall that the (non normalized) mean curvature function H of Σ is the trace of its shape operator, that is, the sum of its principal curvatures. As is well known, if Σ has constant mean curvature, its stability is equivalent to the non negativity of the quadratic form Q defined by

$$Q(u, u) = - \int_{\Sigma} uLu, \quad u \in C^\infty(\Sigma),$$

for functions $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ which satisfy $\int_{\Sigma} u = 0$. Here, L is the (self-adjoint) *Jacobi operator* on $C^\infty(\Sigma)$, which is defined as

$$Lu := \|\nabla u\|^2 - (\text{Ric}(N) + \|\sigma\|^2),$$

being ∇u the gradient of u , σ the second fundamental form of Σ , and $\text{Ric}(N)$ the Ricci curvature of Σ evaluated on its unit normal field N .

Suppose that $\Sigma = \varphi_0(M)$, where $\varphi_0: M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is an immersion of a compact n -dimensional manifold M into $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Given a smooth variation $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ of $\varphi_0 = \varphi(0, \cdot)$, we have that the Jacobi operator L satisfies the following identity:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)|_{t=0} = L(\xi, N),$$

where ξ is the *variational field* on Σ determined by $\varphi(t, \cdot)$, that is,

$$\xi := \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(t, \cdot)|_{t=0}.$$

In [7], Koiso established a fundamental result on stability of CMC surfaces with boundary in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . As pointed out by Souam [10], her result is easily extended to compact oriented CMC surfaces with empty boundary in any Riemannian 3-manifold. In fact, as seen in [3], where the authors established a broad extension of Koiso's Theorem, her arguments hold in arbitrary dimension as well, giving the following result.

Generalized Koiso's Theorem. *Let Σ be a smooth compact immersed oriented CMC hypersurface in a complete $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold \mathbb{M}^{n+1} . Denoting by λ_1 and λ_2 the first and second eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator L on Σ , respectively, suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- i) $\lambda_1 < 0 = \lambda_2$.
- ii) $\int_{\Sigma} g = 0$ for any eigenfunction g associated to $\lambda_2 = 0$.

Then, there exists a unique smooth function $u \in (\ker L)^\perp$ (orthogonal with respect to the L^2 norm) which satisfies $Lu = 1$ and determines the stability condition of Σ ; namely, Σ is stable if and only if $\int_\Sigma u \geq 0$.

3. TWO KEY LEMMAS

The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on the fundamental properties of the solutions of the first order linear ODE:

$$(2) \quad y' = -(n-1) \cot_\epsilon(s)y + 1, \quad s \in (0, s_0),$$

where $n > 1$ is an integer, $\cot_\epsilon := \cos_\epsilon / \sin_\epsilon$, and $\cos_\epsilon, \sin_\epsilon$ are as in Table 1.

Function	$\epsilon = 1$	$\epsilon = -1$
\cos_ϵ	cos	cosh
\sin_ϵ	sin	sinh

TABLE 1. Definition of \cos_ϵ and \sin_ϵ .

We will assume that $s_0 \in (0, \mathcal{R}_\epsilon)$, where

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{R}_\epsilon := \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \epsilon = -1, \\ \pi & \text{if } \epsilon = 1. \end{cases}$$

In this setting, it is easily checked that the function

$$(4) \quad \varphi_\epsilon(s) := \frac{1}{\sin_\epsilon^{n-1}(s)} \int_0^s \sin_\epsilon^{n-1}(u) du, \quad s \in (0, s_0),$$

is a solution to (2) which extends smoothly to both $s = 0$ and $s = s_0$, and satisfies $\varphi_\epsilon(0) = 0$. Hence, φ_ϵ is defined in the closed interval $[0, s_0]$.

Lemma 1. *The function $\varphi_\epsilon: [0, s_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the following properties:*

- i) $\varphi'_\epsilon(s) > 0$ for all $s \in (0, s_0]$.
- ii) $\varphi'_\epsilon(0) = 1/n$.
- iii) $\epsilon \varphi''_\epsilon(s) > 0 \forall s \in (0, s_0]$.

Proof. To prove (i), we first observe that φ_ϵ is increasing near 0, since it vanishes at 0 and is positive in $(0, s_0)$. Assume that φ_ϵ is not increasing in $(0, s_0)$. In this case, φ_ϵ has a first critical point s_1 in $(0, s_0)$ which is necessarily a local maximum.

Since φ_ϵ is a solution to (2), one has

$$(5) \quad \varphi'_\epsilon = -(n-1) \cot_\epsilon(s) \varphi_\epsilon + 1, \quad s \in (0, s_0).$$

So, $\varphi''_\epsilon(s_1) = (n-1) \csc_\epsilon^2(s_1) \varphi_\epsilon(s_1) > 0$, which gives that s_1 is a local minimum — a contradiction. This proves (i).

Regarding (ii), we have from (4) and (5) that

$$(6) \quad \varphi'_\epsilon(s) = -(n-1) \frac{\cos_\epsilon(s) I(s)}{\sin_\epsilon^n(s)} + 1,$$

where $I(s) := \int_0^s \sin_\epsilon^{n-1}(u) du$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos_\epsilon(s)I(s)}{\sin_\epsilon^n(s)} &= \frac{1}{n} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{-\epsilon I(s)}{\sin_\epsilon^{n-2}(s)} + \cos(s) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} (-\epsilon \varphi_\epsilon(s) \sin_\epsilon(s) + \cos_\epsilon(s)) = 1/n, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (ii).

To prove (iii), we first observe that, for $n = 2$, one has from equality (4) that $\varphi_\epsilon(s) = \epsilon(1 - \cos_\epsilon(s))/\sin_\epsilon(s)$. In this case, it can be easily checked that $\epsilon\varphi_\epsilon'' > 0$ in $(0, s_0]$. So, we can assume $n > 2$. Under this hypothesis, let us show that

$$(7) \quad \omega_\epsilon(s) := \sin_\epsilon^n(s) - (n-1)\cos_\epsilon(s)I(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0].$$

Since $\omega_\epsilon(0) = 0$, it suffices to prove that $\omega'_\epsilon > 0$ in $(0, s_0]$. From a direct computation, we get

$$\omega'_\epsilon(s) = \sin_\epsilon(s)(\epsilon(n-1)I(s) + \cos_\epsilon(s)\sin_\epsilon^{n-2}(s)).$$

Setting $\mu_\epsilon(s) := \epsilon(n-1)I(s) + \cos_\epsilon(s)\sin_\epsilon^{n-2}(s)$, one has $\omega'_\epsilon(s) = \sin_\epsilon(s)\mu_\epsilon(s)$. Besides, $\mu_\epsilon(0) = 0$ and

$$\mu'_\epsilon(s) = (n-2)\sin_\epsilon^{n-3}(s)(\cos_\epsilon^2(s) + \epsilon\sin_\epsilon^2(s)) = (n-2)\sin_\epsilon^{n-3}(s) > 0 \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0].$$

Therefore, μ_ϵ , and so ω'_ϵ , is positive in $(0, s_0]$. This finishes the proof of (7).

Now, we have from (6) that

$$(8) \quad \varphi_\epsilon''(s) = (n-1) \frac{\Lambda(s)}{\sin_\epsilon^{n+1}(s)},$$

where Λ is the function

$$\Lambda(s) := \epsilon\sin_\epsilon^2(s)I(s) - \cos_\epsilon(s)(\sin_\epsilon^n(s) - n\cos_\epsilon(s)I(s)).$$

Again, we have $\Lambda(0) = 0$. In addition, it can be easily checked that

$$\Lambda'(s) = 2\epsilon\sin_\epsilon(s)\omega_\epsilon(s),$$

which yields $\epsilon\Lambda' > 0$ in $(0, s_0]$. Hence, $\epsilon\Lambda(s)$ is positive in $(0, s_0]$. From this and (8), we finally have $\epsilon\varphi_\epsilon'' > 0$ in $(0, s_0]$, as we wished to prove. \square

Lemma 2. *Given $s_0 > 0$, let $F_\epsilon : [0, s_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by*

$$(9) \quad F_\epsilon(s) := \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi'_\epsilon(s) - \varphi_\epsilon(s)\varphi'_\epsilon(s_0).$$

Then, F_ϵ is positive for $\epsilon = -1$, and is positive for $\epsilon = 1$ provided that s_0 is sufficiently small.

Proof. We have from Lemma 1-(ii) that $F_\epsilon(0) = \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi'_\epsilon(0) = \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)/n > 0$. Since $F_\epsilon(s_0) = 0$, to conclude that F_ϵ is nonnegative, it suffices to prove that F_ϵ is decreasing.

For the derivative of F_ϵ , we have

$$F'_\epsilon(s) = \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon''(s) - \varphi'_\epsilon(s)\varphi'_\epsilon(s_0).$$

Thus, if $\epsilon = -1$, we have from Lemma 1-(iii) that $F'_\epsilon < 0$ in $(0, s_0]$, which implies that F_ϵ is decreasing in this case.

Assume now that $\epsilon = 1$. Then, φ_ϵ satisfies:

$$\varphi'_\epsilon(s) = a(s)\varphi_\epsilon(s) + 1, \quad a(s) = -(n-1)\cot s.$$

From Lemma 1-(iii), $\varphi_\epsilon'' > 0$. Thus, $\varphi_\epsilon'(s) > \varphi_\epsilon'(0) = 1/n \forall s \in (0, s_0]$. In particular,

$$n\varphi_\epsilon' > 1 = \varphi_\epsilon' - a\varphi_\epsilon,$$

which implies that

$$(10) \quad \varphi_\epsilon'(s) > -\frac{a(s)\varphi_\epsilon(s)}{n-1} = \cot(s)\varphi_\epsilon(s).$$

Suppose that $(0, s_0] \subset (0, \pi/2)$. In this case, it follows from (10) that, for all $s \in (0, s_0]$, the following inequalities hold:

$$(11) \quad a(s)\varphi_\epsilon'(s) < -\frac{a^2(s)\varphi_\epsilon(s)}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad -\varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon'(s) < \frac{a(s)\varphi_\epsilon(s)\varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)}{n-1}.$$

Now, we can estimate the derivative of F as follows:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} F'_\epsilon &= \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon'' - \varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon', \\ &= \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)(a\varphi_\epsilon + 1)' - \varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon' \\ &= \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)(a'\varphi_\epsilon + a\varphi_\epsilon') - \varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon' \\ &< \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon \left(a' - \frac{a^2}{n-1} + \frac{a}{n-1}\varphi_\epsilon'(s_0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (10), $\varphi_\epsilon'(s_0) > \cot(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon(s_0)$. In addition, we have $a/(n-1) = -\cot(s)$ and

$$a'(s) - \frac{a^2(s)}{n-1} = (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2(s)} - \frac{\cos^2(s)}{\sin^2(s)} \right) = n-1.$$

These facts, together with (12), yield

$$(13) \quad F'_\epsilon(s) < \varphi_\epsilon(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon(s) (n-1 - \varphi_\epsilon(s_0) \cot(s_0) \cot(s)).$$

For the last summand in the brackets, we have

$$(14) \quad \varphi_\epsilon(s_0) \cot(s_0) \cot(s) > \varphi_\epsilon(s_0) \cot^2(s_0) = \frac{\varphi_\epsilon(s_0)}{\tan^2(s_0)}.$$

By taking the limit of this fraction as $s_0 \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(15) \quad \lim_{s_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_\epsilon(s_0)}{\tan^2(s_0)} = \lim_{s_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_\epsilon'(s_0)}{2 \tan(s_0) \sec^2(s_0)} = \lim_{s_0 \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2n \tan(s_0) \sec^2(s_0)} = +\infty,$$

where, in the second equality, we used Lemma 1-(ii).

From (13), (14), and (15), we conclude that, for a sufficiently small $s_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$, the inequality $F'_\epsilon(s) < 0$ holds for all $s \in (0, s_0]$, as we wished to prove. \square


4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARIES 1 AND 2

In this section, we shall approach the rotational CMC spheres Σ_H of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ as in [4], where the more general case of hypersurfaces of constant higher order mean curvature was considered (see also [5]).

Firstly, we point out that each sphere Σ_H is symmetric with respect to a horizontal hyperplane P of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. In addition, the lower hemisphere of Σ_H determined by P is a radial graph Σ_H^- over an open geodesic ball $B(o, s_0) \subset \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{0\}$ centered at a fixed point $o \in \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n$ and of radius $s_0 \in (0, \mathcal{R}_\epsilon)$, where \mathcal{R}_ϵ is as in (3) (Fig. 1).

The (radial) height function of Σ_H is

$$(16) \quad \phi(s) = \int_0^s \frac{\varrho(u)}{\sqrt{1-\varrho^2(u)}} du, \quad s \in [0, s_0),$$


 FIGURE 1. A rotational CMC hemisphere in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

where $\varrho: [0, s_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$(17) \quad \varrho(s) := H\varphi_\epsilon(s), \quad s \in [0, s_0),$$

being φ_ϵ the function defined in (4) (cf. [4, Section 4]).

Writing N for the unit normal to Σ_H^- , and ∂_t for the gradient of the height function of $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$, it can be proved that the *angle function* $\Theta := \langle N, \partial_t \rangle$ of Σ_H^- relates with the function ϱ as

$$\Theta^2 = 1 - \varrho^2.$$

Since φ_ϵ is a solution to (2), we have that ϱ satisfies:

$$(18) \quad \varrho'(s) = -(n-1)\cot_\epsilon(s)\varrho(s) + H, \quad s \in [0, s_0).$$

Also, ϱ extends to s_0 and satisfies:

$$0 = \varrho(0) < \varrho(s) < 1 = \varrho(s_0) \quad \forall s \in (0, s_0).$$

In this setting, the horizontal hyperplane P of symmetry of Σ_H is given by

$$P = \mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \{\phi(s_0)\}.$$

This follows from the fact that $\varrho(s_0) = 1$. It should also be mentioned that any CMC sphere Σ_H is strictly convex, except for the case $\epsilon = 1$ and $0 < H \leq 1/S(n)$, where $S(n) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{n-1}(s)ds \leq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Keeping the above notation, assume $H > H^*$ and denote the height and ϱ functions of $\Sigma_{H^*}^-$ by ϕ^* and ϱ^* , respectively. Let $s_0^* > 0$ be such that $\varrho^*(s_0^*) = 1$ and set $s_1 = \min\{s_0, s_0^*\}$. Under these hypotheses, we have from (17) that $\varrho(s) > \varrho^*(s) \forall s \in (0, s_1)$, which, together with (16), yields

$$(19) \quad \phi(s) > \phi^*(s) \quad \forall s \in (0, s_1).$$

Since the ϱ and Θ functions relate as $\Theta^2 = 1 - \varrho^2$, we also have


$$\Theta(s) < \Theta^*(s) \quad \forall s \in (0, s_1),$$

which implies that $s_0 < s_0^*$ (i.e., $s_1 = s_0$), for $\Theta(0) = \Theta^*(0) = 1$ and $\Theta(s_0) = \Theta^*(s_0^*) = 0$. So, it remains to prove that (see Fig. 2)

$$(20) \quad \phi(s_0) \leq \phi^*(s_0^*).$$

To verify (20), let us consider s_0 as a variable and show that the height function ϕ is increasing with respect to s_0 . In this setting, we have from (17) that

$$(21) \quad \varrho(s, s_0) := H(s_0)\varphi_\epsilon(s) \quad \text{and} \quad H(s_0) = \frac{1}{\varphi_\epsilon(s_0)}.$$

FIGURE 2. Nested CMC hemispheres in $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

These equalities, together with (16), yield

$$\phi(s_0) = \int_0^{s_0} \frac{\varrho(s, s_0)}{\sqrt{1 - \varrho^2(s, s_0)}} ds.$$

Calling $J(s, s_0)$ the integrand of this integral, one has from the Leibniz rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_0}(s_0) &= \int_0^{s_0} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial s}(s, s_0) + \frac{\partial J}{\partial s_0}(s, s_0) \right) ds \\ (22) \quad &= \int_0^{s_0} \left(\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s}(s, s_0) + \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s_0}(s, s_0) \right) \Psi(s, s_0) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ is a positive function.

From the second equality in (21), one has

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial s_0} = -\frac{\varphi'_\epsilon(s_0)}{\varphi_\epsilon^2(s_0)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s}(s, s_0) + \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s_0}(s, s_0) &= \frac{\varphi'_\epsilon(s)}{\varphi_\epsilon(s_0)} - \frac{\varphi'_\epsilon(s_0)}{\varphi_\epsilon^2(s_0)} \varphi_\epsilon(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi_\epsilon^2(s_0)} (\varphi'_\epsilon(s) \varphi_\epsilon(s_0) - \varphi'_\epsilon(s_0) \varphi_\epsilon(s)) \\ (23) \quad &= \frac{1}{\varphi_\epsilon^2(s_0)} F_\epsilon(s), \end{aligned}$$

where F_ϵ is the function defined in (9).

It follows from the above and Lemma 2 that

$$\Lambda(s, s_0) := \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s}(s, s_0) + \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s_0}(s, s_0)$$

is positive for all $s \in (0, s_0)$, provided that, in the case $\epsilon = 1$, s_0 is sufficiently small. This means that there is a constant $\alpha = \alpha(n) \geq C_\epsilon$ such that, whenever $H > \alpha$ and $s \in (0, s_0)$, one has $\Lambda(s, s_0) > 0$. For $\epsilon = -1$, $\alpha = C_\epsilon$, since there are no restrictions on s_0 in this case. Thus, we have $\partial \varrho / \partial s(s, s_0) + \partial \varrho / \partial s_0(s, s_0) > 0$ for such values of H and for all $s \in (0, s_0)$. This last inequality and (22) then give $\partial \phi / \partial s_0 > 0$, so that ϕ is increasing with respect to s_0 . This shows (20) and concludes our proof. \square

Remark 1. For $n = 2$ and $\epsilon = 1$, one can verify that the family \mathcal{F}_ϵ is not nested. Indeed, in this case, one has $\varphi_\epsilon(s) = (1 - \cos s)/\sin(s)$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain:

$$\Lambda(s, s_0) := \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s}(s, s_0) + \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial s_0}(s, s_0) = \varphi(s)\varphi(s_0) \left(\frac{1}{\sin s} - \frac{1}{\sin s_0} \right).$$

Therefore, $\Lambda(s, s_0) < 0$ if $s, s_0 \in (\pi/2, \pi)$. From (22), we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s_0}(s_0) = \int_0^{\pi-s_0} \Lambda(s, s_0)\Psi(s, s_0)ds + \int_{\pi-s_0}^{s_0} \Lambda(s, s_0)\Psi(s, s_0)ds.$$

So, if $\pi < s_0 < \pi/2$, the first integral in the above equality is positive and converges to zero as $s_0 \rightarrow \pi$, whereas the second integral is negative and stays bounded away from zero as $s_0 \rightarrow \pi$. Therefore, for s_0 sufficiently close to π , one has $\partial\phi/\partial s_0 < 0$. This implies that, for H sufficiently small (so that the corresponding value $s_0 = s_0(H)$ is sufficiently close to π), the height function ϕ of the CMC spheres of \mathcal{F}_1 is decreasing with respect to s_0 , which gives that such spheres are not nested.

Remark 2. The case $\epsilon = -1$ of Theorem 1 was considered in [6] as part of the proof of the uniqueness of solutions to the isoperimetric problem in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. However, the proof of the nesting property of the rotational CMC spheres the authors provided there is incorrect. More precisely, on page 48 of [6], the factor $\partial y/\partial h$ is missing in the last equality of the first line of equations in (11)⁽ⁱ⁾. Therefore, the reasoning that follows is flawed. Furthermore, if that proof in [6] were correct, one could easily adapt it to show that, in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the entire family \mathcal{F}_1 of rotational CMC spheres is nested, which is not true, as seen in the preceding remark. As a matter of fact, this inconsistency gave us a tip of the incorrectness of the proof in [6].

We conclude with the proofs of Corollaries 1 and 2.

Proof of Corollary 1. Since $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is homogeneous, there exists a solution to the isoperimetric problem for any preassigned volume $\mathcal{V} > 0$. In addition, from the main results in [6, 8]; namely [6, Theorems 1 and 2] and [8, Theorems 1 and 2], these solutions are the rotational regions Ω_H for all $\mathcal{V} > 0$, if $\epsilon = -1$, and for all sufficiently small $\mathcal{V} > 0$, if $\epsilon = 1$ (in the latter case, a slab $\mathbb{S}^n \times [a, b]$ could be a solution for large \mathcal{V}). However, by Theorem 1, the family of regions Ω_H is nested. Hence, for each such value $\mathcal{V} > 0$, there exists one and only one $H > 0$ such that Ω_H has volume \mathcal{V} . \square

Proof of Corollary 2. Except for the concluding argument, the reasoning employed by Souam in his proof for the case $n = 2$ in [10] applies to the letter to the general n -dimensional case. Consequently, denoting by λ_1 and λ_2 the first and second eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator L on Σ_H , one has $\lambda_1 < 0 = \lambda_2$ (cf. proof of [10, Theorem 2.2]). So, the condition (i) in Koiso's Theorem is fulfilled (cf. Section 2).

Still following Souam, we fix $H^* > C_\epsilon$ and consider \mathcal{F}_ϵ as a one-parameter family φ_H of CMC immersions of Σ_{H^*} into $\mathbb{Q}_\epsilon^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Then, denoting by ξ the corresponding variational field on Σ_{H^*} , we have from the fundamental equality (1) that the function $f := \langle \xi, N \rangle$ satisfies $Lf = 1$ on Σ_{H^*} , since the parameter t of this

⁽ⁱ⁾We are indebt to R. Souam for pointing this out to us.

variation is H itself. In particular, for all $g \in \ker L$, one has

$$\int_{\Sigma_{H^*}} g = \int_{\Sigma_{H^*}} gLf = \int_{\Sigma_{H^*}} fLg = 0,$$

for L is self-adjoint. Hence, condition (ii) of Koiso's Theorem holds for Σ_{H^*} .

Finally, assuming H^* sufficiently large in the case $\epsilon = 1$, we have from Theorem 1 that f is nonnegative and non identically zero on Σ_{H^*} . Hence, writing $f = g + u$, where $g \in \ker L$ and $u \in (\ker L)^\perp$, we have that $Lu = Lf = 1$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma_{H^*}} u = \int_{\Sigma_{H^*}} f > 0.$$

The result, then, follows from Koiso's Theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. J. Almgren: Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems with constraints, Mem. AMS 165 (1976).
- [2] J. L. Barbosa, M. do Carmo, and J. Escchenburg: Stability of hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Riemannian manifolds Math. Z. **197**, 123–138 (1988).
- [3] L. Damasceno, M.F. Elbert: Stable free boundary hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvature. Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14130>.
- [4] R. F. de Lima, F. Manfio, J. P. dos Santos: Hypersurfaces of constant higher order mean curvature in $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **201**, 2979–3028 (2022)
- [5] M. F. Elbert, R. Sa Earp: Constructions of H_r -hypersurfaces, barriers and Alexandrov theorem in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **194**, 1809-1834 (2015).
- [6] W-T Hsiang, W-y Hsiang: On the uniqueness of isoperimetric solutions and imbedded soap bubbles in non-compact symmetric spaces, I, Invent. math. **98**, 39-58 (1989).
- [7] M. Koiso.: Deformation and stability of surfaces with constant mean curvature. Tohoku Math. J. Second Series, **54**, 1, 145-159 (2002).
- [8] R. Pedrosa: The isoperimetric problem in spherical cylinders, Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom. **26**, 333-354 (2004).
- [9] A. Ros. The isoperimetric problem. Global theory of minimal surfaces, 175–209, Clay Math. Proc., 2. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [10] R. Souam: On stable constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **362**, 6, 2845-2857 (2010).