

Self-similar axisymmetric flows with swirl

Theodoros Katsaounis, Ioanna Mousikou and Athanasios E. Tzavaras

Abstract We consider an infinite vortex line in a fluid which interacts with a boundary surface as a simplified model for tornadoes. We study self-similar solutions for stationary axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations and investigate the types of motion which are compatible with this structure when viscosity is non-negative. For viscosity equal to zero, we construct a class of explicit stationary solutions. We then consider solutions with slip discontinuity and show that they do not exist in this framework.

1 Introduction

Tornadoes are considered among the most extreme and violent weather phenomena on Earth. They can occur under appropriate circumstances in all continents except Antarctic and can be hazardous causing loss of human lives and extensive properties damages.

Meteorologists define as a tornado a rapidly rotating mass of air that extends downward from a cumuliform cloud, i.e. a cloud formed due to vertical motion of air parcels to the ground. There exists several types of tornadoes, such as landspouts and waterspouts. The majority of the most destructive tornadoes are known as supercell since they are generated within supercell thunderstorms [PM10], [MR14].

Theodoros Katsaounis
University of Crete, Heraklion 71409, Greece and Inst. of App. and Comp. Math. (IACM), FORTH,
Heraklion 71110, Greece, e-mail: thodoros.katsaounis@uoc.gr

Ioanna Mousikou
King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia, e-mail:
ioanna.mousikou@kaust.edu.sa

Athanasios E. Tzavaras
King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia, e-mail:
athanasios.tzavaras@kaust.edu.sa

Due to the complexity of tornadoes, the current knowledge about them comes mainly from laboratory experiments and numerical models of idealized supercell thunderstorms, as Rotunno (2013) stated in [Rot13]. In 1972, Ward [War72] conducted a pioneering laboratory experiment reproducing a tornado-like flow using a simplified model for a steady flow and a fluid with constant density. Based on this work, several experimental and numerical simulations have taken place and provided important information in the field of fluid dynamics of tornadoes, [Rot13]. Furthermore, various attempts have been made to analytically model a tornado-like flow. Assuming that a vortex line resembles the tornado core, these models are derived using the basic motion of equations of fluid dynamics for an axisymmetric flow, i.e. the axisymmetric Euler and Navier - Stokes equations, for incompressible homogeneous fluids. A detailed presentation can be found in [KM17] and [GSHB18] and in references therein.

Motivated by the aforementioned vortex models, a different, theoretical approach was introduced by Long (1958, 1961) [Lon58], [Lon61]. Considering the existence of an infinite vortex line in a fluid interacting with a plane boundary surface, he presented the reduction of incompressible axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations to a system of differential equations. Independently, Goldshtik (1960) showed that a similar reduction of incompressible axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations to a system of differential equations leads to a class of exact self-similar solutions, [Gol60]. Serrin (1972) broadened this class of solutions and described the existence of three different solution profiles depending on an arbitrary parameter and the kinematic viscosity, [Ser72]. There are several studies of mathematical aspects of the aforementioned system of differential equations under other types of boundary conditions, [GS89], [GS90], [Gol90], and also studies of the related subject of conical flows, [SH99], [FFA00], [Sht12].

Here, we first develop a class of exact stationary solutions for Euler and equations. Afterwards, we consider the problem of whether such solutions can be connected with slip-type discontinuities. If this was the case, it would provide a relation with "two-cell" solutions of Serrin, [Ser72]. We show that they do not exist for the given set of boundary conditions. The same holds true for conical flows. This manuscript is an extract of the work presented in [KMT23] where the connection of such Euler and Navier-Stokes solutions is examined using boundary layer analysis.

2 Cylindrical Axisymmetric Navier-Stokes Equations

2.1 Introduction

We consider the system of Navier-Stokes equations for an incompressible homogeneous fluid formulated as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1b)$$

where $\mathbf{u} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity vector of the fluid, $p : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is pressure and $\nu \geq 0$ is the coefficient of kinematic viscosity. Motivated by the shape of a tornado, we introduce cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z)

$$x_1 = r \cos \theta, \quad x_2 = r \sin \theta, \quad x_3 = z,$$

and focus on axisymmetric flows, i.e. a flow where the velocity vector $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ does not depend on azimuth angle θ . The axisymmetric Navier-Stokes equations take the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{v^2}{r} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{u}{r^2} \right] - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{uv}{r} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \frac{v}{r^2} \right] \quad (2b)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \nu \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right] - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \quad (2c)$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru) + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (2d)$$

2.2 Self-Similar Formulation

The Navier-Stokes equations remain invariant under scaling

$$\mathbf{u}_\lambda(t, r, z) = \lambda \mathbf{u}(\lambda^2 t, \lambda r, \lambda z) \quad \text{and} \quad p_\lambda(t, r, z) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda^2 t, \lambda r, \lambda z).$$

Looking for self-similar solutions and focusing only on stationary flows, we establish the ansatz

$$u(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} U(\xi), \quad v(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} V(\xi), \quad w(r, z) = \frac{1}{r} W(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad p(r, z) = \frac{1}{r^2} P(\xi).$$

Such an ansatz induces a singularity at $r = 0$ which in the applied math literature is considered as the line vortex resembling the tornado core. For convenience, we also introduce a new variable $\theta(\xi)$, namely we set $\theta(\xi) = W - \xi U$, which coincides with the self-similar form of the stream function. After a lengthy calculation, we obtain a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\left[\frac{\theta^2}{2} + (1 + \xi^2)P \right]' = \nu \left[\xi\theta - (1 + \xi^2)\theta' \right]' - \xi V^2 \quad (3a)$$

$$V'\theta = \nu \left[3\xi V' + (1 + \xi^2)V'' \right] \quad (3b)$$

$$\left[\theta^2 - \xi \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} \right)' + P \right]' = \nu \left[\xi\theta - \xi^2\theta' - \xi(1 + \xi^2)\theta'' \right]' \quad (3c)$$

$$\theta' = -U \quad (3d)$$

This is viewed as a coupled system of $\theta(\xi)$, $V(\xi)$ and $P(\xi)$ where $U(\xi) = -\theta'$ and $W(\xi) = \theta - \xi\theta'$. After imposing boundary conditions, the problem can be reformulated as

$$\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \nu \left[(1 + \xi^2)\theta' + \xi\theta \right] = G(\xi) + E_0(\xi\sqrt{1 + \xi^2} - \xi^2) \quad (4a)$$

$$\nu V'' + \frac{3\nu\xi - \theta}{1 + \xi^2} V' = 0 \quad (4b)$$

where

$$G(\xi) = \xi\sqrt{1 + \xi^2} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\zeta^2(1 + \zeta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^{\zeta} sV^2(s) ds \right] d\zeta.$$

Here we consider no-slip conditions on r-axis, i.e. $\mathbf{u} = 0$ at $\xi = 0$, and no-penetration condition on z-axis, i.e. $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. A restriction on swirl V is also added to close the system. Namely, we take $V \rightarrow V_{\infty}$, as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. System (4) can now be solved numerically. After multiple numerical experiments, we observe that under certain combinations of parameters ν, V_{∞}, E_0 there exist three different profiles of solution. In the first case, the flow is directed outward near the plane $z = 0$ and downward near the vortex line. In the second case it is inward near the plane $z = 0$ and upward near the vortex line. For the last case, the flow is directed inward near the plane $z = 0$ and downward near the vortex line. These are in agreement with results presented in [Ser72]. Under a suitable change of variables, i.e. setting $x = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \xi^2}}$ and $\bar{\Theta}(x) = -\sqrt{1 + \xi^2}\theta(\xi)$, $\bar{V}(x) = V(\xi)$, system (4) takes a similar form as Serrin presented in [Ser72] and thus, his results also hold for (4).

3 Stationary Euler Equations

3.1 Continuous Solution

Let us consider the case of inviscid Navier-Stokes system, i.e. the case where kinematic viscosity is equal to zero. Therefore, setting $\nu = 0$ into (3), the system becomes

$$\left[\frac{\theta^2}{2} + (1 + \xi^2)P \right]' = -\xi V^2 \quad (5a)$$

$$V'\theta = 0 \quad (5b)$$

$$\left[\theta^2 - \xi \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} \right)' + P \right]' = 0 \quad (5c)$$

Equation (5b) implies that either $\theta(\xi)$ is equal to zero or $V(\xi)$ is a constant function. Supposed that $\theta \neq 0$ and thus $V(\xi)$ is continuous, we have

$$V \equiv V_0,$$

where V_0 is a given constant. This yields to a simple system of differential equation which can be solved analytically. In order to define the constants arising after integration, boundary conditions are imposed. Motivated by the structure of the problem, we consider no-penetration boundary conditions on both axes, i.e. $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$. In other words, we require that the orthogonal component of the velocity vector is equal to zero on the axes, which implies that $W = 0$ at $\xi = 0$ and $U \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, an explicit family of solutions that depends on parameters $V_0 = V(0)$ and $E_0 = P(0)$ is derived as follows

$$\theta^2(\xi) = 2k_0 \phi(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad V(\xi) = V_0$$

where $\phi(\xi) = \xi\sqrt{1 + \xi^2} - \xi^2$ and $k_0 = E_0 + \frac{V_0^2}{2}$ must be a positive constant. Expressions for U , W and P can easily be calculated using the definition of $\theta(\xi)$.

It is worth mentioning that if θ is positive, then the flow is directed inward near the plane $z = 0$ and upward near the vortex line. Conversely, if θ is negative, the flow has the reverse direction, i.e it is directed outward near the plane $z = 0$ and downward near the vortex line, see Fig.1. Such behaviors also occur when solving Navier - Stokes equations, [Ser72].




Fig. 1 Velocity vector field (u, w) in (r, z) plane for $V_0 = 1$ and $E_0 = 1$. Left, $\theta > 0$; right, $\theta < 0$

3.2 Discontinuous Solutions

Although the flow patterns described in the previous section coincide with flows derived using the stationary Navier-Stokes equations, the interesting case where θ changes sign and thus flow changes direction is not observed. To examine whether this phenomenon is feasible, we assume that a velocity solution of (5a) – (5c) has a discontinuity at some point $\xi = \sigma$, for $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, we introduce an ansatz

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \theta_- , & \xi \in (0, \sigma) \\ \theta_+ , & \xi \in (\sigma, \infty) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \begin{cases} V_- , & \xi \in (0, \sigma) \\ V_+ , & \xi \in (\sigma, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and seek for solutions in each domain independently. Under the restriction of continuity of θ at $\xi = \sigma$, i.e. $\theta_+(\sigma) = \theta_-(\sigma) = 0$, and no-penetration boundary conditions on the axes, i.e. $W_-(0) = 0$, $U_+(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, the discontinuous solution becomes

$$\frac{\theta^2}{2} = \begin{cases} k_- \left[\phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) - \frac{\phi(\sigma)}{\sigma^2} (\xi^2 - \sigma^2) \right], & \xi \in (0, \sigma) \\ k_+ \left[\phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) \right], & \xi \in (\sigma, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where k_+, k_- are constants.

3.2.1 Weak Formulation

Let (U, V, W, P) be a (generally weak) self-similar solution of Euler equations which satisfies the system of ordinary differential equations (5a) - (5c) in the sense of distributions. Under a suitable choice of test function, the weak form of the system can be defined over a closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ and we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\theta^2(\xi)}{2} + (1 + \xi^2)P(\xi) \right) \Big|_a^b = - \int_a^b \xi V^2(\xi) d\xi \quad (9a)$$

$$\left(\theta(\xi)V(\xi) \right) \Big|_a^b = - \int_a^b U(\xi)V(\xi) d\xi \quad (9b)$$

$$\left(\theta^2 - \xi \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} \right)' + P(\xi) \right) \Big|_a^b = 0 \quad (9c)$$

$$\theta(\xi) \Big|_a^b = - \int_a^b U(\xi) d\xi \quad (9d)$$

From (9d), we infer that $\theta'(\xi) = U$ exists and is locally integrable. Recalling (8), U is indeed integrable. This implies that $\theta(\xi)$ and $\theta^2(\xi)$ are absolutely continuous on

$[a, b]$. Using this observation, we can easily conclude that (9) is a good definition of weak solution of (5a) - (5c) in the class of functions of bounded variation. Therefore, there exists a countable set $S \subset (0, \infty)$ consisting of the points of jump discontinuity and the right and left limits of the solution exist at any $\xi \in S$. In addition, the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+^2 - \theta_-^2) + (1 + \xi^2)(P_+ - P_-) &= 0 \\ \theta_+ V_+ - \theta_- V_- &= 0 \\ \left(\theta_+^2 - \xi\left(\frac{\theta_+^2}{2}\right)' - \left(\theta_-^2 - \xi\left(\frac{\theta_-^2}{2}\right)'\right)\right) + (P_+ - P_-) &= 0 \\ \theta_+ - \theta_- &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold for any $\xi \in S$. Here, we denote the one-sided limits as $(\theta_\pm, U_\pm, V_\pm, W_\pm, P_\pm)$. The last equation implies that θ is continuous for any $\xi \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, the jump conditions reduce to

$$[P] = 0 \quad (11a)$$

$$[\theta^2 - \xi\theta\theta'] = 0 \quad (11b)$$

If $\theta(\xi)$ is non-zero, the prior identities are satisfied when all functions (θ, U, V, W, P) are continuous for all $\xi \in (0, \infty)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider that there exists a point of jump discontinuity $\sigma \in S$ such that $\theta_+(\sigma) = \theta_-(\sigma) = 0$. This implies $P(\xi)$ is continuous for any ξ while $V(\xi)$ and θ' , and thus $U(\xi)$ and $W(\xi)$, have a jump discontinuity at $\xi = \sigma$.

Proposition 1 (Nonexistence of solutions) *Although from the prospective of regularity it could be a weak solution, the class of discontinuous solutions (8) does not exist.*

Proof Suppose θ is given in form (8). From jump condition (11), we have

$$(k_- - k_+)\phi'(\sigma) = 2k_- \frac{\phi(\sigma)}{\sigma} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{k_+}{k_-} = 1 - 2\frac{\phi(\sigma)}{\sigma\phi'(\sigma)} \quad (12)$$

which provides an additional relation for constants k_+, k_- , with the right hand-side to be negative. We want to check if this relation is compatible with sign restrictions for constants k_+, k_- . By construction k_+ is always positive since $\phi(\xi)$ is a non-negative function. Therefore, it is sufficient to examine the sign of k_- by finding the sign of θ_-^2 . For $\xi \in (0, \sigma)$, set

$$J(\xi) = \phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) - \frac{\phi(\sigma)}{\sigma^2}(\xi^2 - \sigma^2)$$

We observe that $J(0) = J(\sigma) = 0$, $J'(0) = \phi'(0) > 0$ and $J'' < 0$. This implies that $J(\xi) > 0 \forall \xi \in (0, \sigma)$, and thus k_- is also positive. So, we get a contradiction. \square

4 Conical Flows

Motivated by the study of Euler equations presented in the previous section, we are interested in extending it for the case of axisymmetric conical flows, i.e. for flows in a cone-shaped domain. Suppose there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we seek solutions of (5a) – (5c) defined over the interval $[\xi_0, \infty)$.

4.1 Continuous Solutions

Let us begin with the case where solutions are continuous. As before, we assume $\theta \neq 0$ and $V_0 = V(\xi_0)$. If no-penetration boundary conditions are imposed on both ends of the domain $[\xi_0, \infty)$, we get the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} W(\xi_0) - \xi_0 U(\xi_0) &= 0 \text{ at } \xi = \xi_0, \\ U(\xi) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, solutions of (5a) – (5c) for a conical domain $\xi \in [\xi_0, \infty)$ become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta^2}{2} &= \left(\frac{V_0^2}{2} + A_0 \right) \frac{\phi(\xi) - \phi(\xi_0)}{1 - \phi(\xi_0)} \\ V &= V_0 \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 2 Conical shaped domain

4.2 Discontinuous Solutions

To investigate now the existence of discontinuous solutions, we consider a solution of (5a) – (5c) with a discontinuity at some point $\xi = \sigma$, for $\sigma \in (\xi_0, \infty)$. Under the restriction of continuity of θ at $\xi = \sigma$, i.e. $\theta_+(\sigma) = \theta_-(\sigma) = 0$, and no-penetration boundary conditions, the discontinuous solution takes the form

$$\frac{\theta^2}{2} = \begin{cases} k_- \left[\left(\phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) \right) - \frac{\phi(\xi_0) - \phi(\sigma)}{\xi_0^2 - \sigma^2} (\xi^2 - \sigma^2) \right], & \xi \in (\xi_0, \sigma) \\ k_+ \left[\phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) \right], & \xi \in (\sigma, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where k_+ , k_- are constants.

Proposition 2 (Nonexistence of solutions) *Although from the prospective of regularity it could be a weak solution, the class of discontinuous solutions (14) does not exist.*

Proof Suppose there exists θ expressed as (14). Because of jump conditions (11), we request

$$\frac{k_+}{k_-} = 1 - 2 \frac{\phi(\xi_0) - \phi(\sigma)}{\xi_0^2 - \sigma^2} \sigma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\xi_0 + \sigma}{2\sigma} \left(\frac{k_+}{k_-} \right) = \frac{\xi_0 + \sigma}{2\sigma} - \frac{1}{\phi(\sigma)} \frac{\phi(\xi_0) - \phi(\sigma)}{\xi_0 - \sigma} \quad (15)$$

As before, it is sufficient to check if this relation is compatible with sign restrictions for constants k_+, k_- . Since ϕ is decreasing, it is clear that k_+ is positive for all $\xi \in (\sigma, \infty)$.

- Case 1: $\xi_0 > 0$

If $\xi_0 < \sigma$, we get that the right hand-side of (15) is negative. Therefore, it is satisfied if k_- is also negative. To find this, we check the sign of θ_-^2 . Set

$$J_{con}(\xi) = \phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma) - \frac{\phi(\xi_0) - \phi(\sigma)}{\xi_0^2 - \sigma^2} (\xi^2 - \sigma^2) = (\xi^2 - \sigma^2) \left(F(\xi) - F(\xi_0) \right)$$

where $F(\xi) = \frac{\phi(\xi) - \phi(\sigma)}{\xi^2 - \sigma^2}$. Using that $F(\xi)$ is a decreasing function and $\xi_0 < \sigma$, we get that $J(\xi)$ is positive. This implies that k_- is positive and leads to contradiction.

- Case 2: $\xi_0 < 0$

We consider first the instance where $|\xi_0| < \sigma$. This is equivalent to case 1 described above. So, let us move to the instance where $|\xi_0| > \sigma$. From (15), we have that the right hand-side of the above relation is negative. Since $\frac{\xi_0 + \sigma}{2\sigma} < 0$, (15) is satisfied if k_- is positive. To find this, we check again the sign of θ_-^2 . It is clear that $(\xi^2 - \sigma^2) > 0$ for $\xi \in (-|\xi_0|, \sigma)$. Since $F(\xi)$ is a decreasing function, we conclude that $J_{con}(\xi)$ is negative and as consequence k_- is also negative. This also leads to contradiction. \square

References

- FFA00. Ramon Fernandez-Feria and J.C. Arrese. Boundary layer induced by a conical vortex . *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, 53(4):609–628, 11 2000.
- Gol60. Mikhail A. Goldshtik. A paradoxical solution of the navier-stokes equations. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 24(4):913–929, 1960.
- Gol90. Mikhail A Goldshtik. Viscous-flow paradoxes. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 22(1):441–472, 1990.
- GS89. Mikhail A. Goldshtik and Vladimir N. Shtern. Analysis of the paradox of the interaction of a vortex filament with a plane. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 53(3):319–325, 1989.

- GS90. Mikhail A. Goldshtik and Vladimir N. Shtern. Collapse in conical viscous flows. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 218:483–508, 1990.
- GSHB18. Stefanie Gillmeier, Mark Sterling, Hassan Hemida, and Christopher J. Baker. A reflection on analytical tornado-like vortex flow field models. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 174:10–27, 2018.
- KM17. Yong Chul Kim and Masahiro Matsui. Analytical and empirical models of tornado vortices: A comparative study. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 171:230–247, 2017.
- KMT23. Theodoros Katsaounis, Ioanna Mousikou, and Athanasios E. Tzavaras. Self-similar axisymmetric swirling flows. Manuscript in preparation, 2023.
- Lon58. Robert R. Long. Vortex motion in a viscous fluid. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 15(1):108 – 112, 1958.
- Lon61. Robert R. Long. A vortex in an infinite viscous fluid. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 11(4):611–624, 1961.
- MR14. Paul Markowski and Yvette Richardson. What we know and don't know about tornado formation. *Physics Today*, 67(9):26–31, 2014.
- PM10. Yvette Richardson and Paul Markowski. *Hazards Associated with Deep Moist Convection*, chapter 10, pages 273–313. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, 2010.
- Rot13. Richard Rotunno. The fluid dynamics of tornadoes. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 45(1):59–84, 2013.
- Ser72. James Serrin. The swirling vortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 271:325–360, 1972.
- SH99. Vladimir Shtern and Fazle Hussain. Collapse, symmetry breaking, and hysteresis in swirling flows. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 31(1):537–566, 1999.
- Sht12. Vladimir Shtern. *Counterflows: Paradoxical Fluid Mechanics Phenomena*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- War72. Neil B. Ward. The exploration of certain features of tornado dynamics using a laboratory model. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 29(6):1194 – 1204, 1972.