

Unitary anchored planar algebras

André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener

Abstract

In our previous article [arXiv:1607.06041], we established an equivalence between pointed pivotal module tensor categories and anchored planar algebras. This article introduces the notion of unitarity for both module tensor categories and anchored planar algebras, and establishes the unitary analog of the above equivalence. Our constructions use Baez’s 2-Hilbert spaces (i.e., semisimple C^* -categories equipped with unitary traces), the unitary Yoneda embedding, and the notion of unitary adjunction for dagger functors between 2-Hilbert spaces.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | Motivations for this article | 3 |
| 1.1.1 | Enriched subfactor theory | 3 |
| 1.1.2 | Internal structure of bicommutant categories | 4 |
| 1.1.3 | Unitary higher categories | 4 |
| 1.2 | Outline | 5 |
| 1.3 | Acknowledgements | 6 |
| 2 | Preliminaries | 6 |
| 2.1 | The unitary Yoneda lemma and unitary adjunctions | 7 |
| 2.2 | Unitary dual functors and spherical states | 10 |
| 2.3 | Involutive functors | 13 |
| 2.4 | Involutive lax monoidal functors | 15 |
| 3 | Unitary module tensor categories | 17 |
| 3.1 | Module tensor categories | 17 |
| 3.2 | Unitary module tensor categories | 18 |
| 3.3 | The map i^\dagger and a formula for $\text{coev}_{\text{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger$ | 19 |
| 3.4 | Unitarity of the traciator | 22 |
| 4 | Unitary anchored planar algebras | 24 |
| 4.1 | Planar algebras | 24 |
| 4.2 | Anchored planar algebras | 25 |
| 4.3 | Unitary anchored planar algebras | 27 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 5 | Extending the equivalence | 29 |
| 5.1 | From unitary module tensor categories to unitary anchored planar algebras . | 30 |
| 5.1.1 | Adding the dagger structure | 30 |
| 5.1.2 | Functoriality | 32 |
| 5.2 | From unitary anchored planar algebras to unitary module tensor categories . | 34 |
| 5.2.1 | Adding the dagger structure | 35 |
| 5.2.2 | Functoriality | 39 |
| 5.3 | Equivalence | 40 |

1 Introduction

Planar algebras were introduced by Vaughan Jones in [Jon21]. A planar algebra \mathcal{P} is a collection of vector spaces $\mathcal{P}[n]$, called *box-spaces*, indexed by the nonnegative integers, along with a linear map


$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every planar tangle T . These maps are required to be compatible with the operation of composition of tangles in the sense that $Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{id})$. See §4.1 for more details.

In [HPT16b], we internalised the notion of planar algebra to the context of a pivotal braided tensor category \mathcal{V} , and called the resulting notion an *anchored planar algebra*. The box-spaces $\mathcal{P}[n]$ of an anchored planar algebra are now objects of our ambient category \mathcal{V} , and we have morphisms

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every anchored planar tangle. For example:



The full definition is given in §4.2.

In our previous article [HPT16b], we constructed an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \text{Anchored planar algebras in } \mathcal{V} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pointed pivotal module} \\ \text{tensor categories over } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\}$$

between the category **APA** of anchored planar algebras in \mathcal{V} , and the category **ModTens*** of pointed¹ pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} whose action functor admits a right adjoint. We refer the reader to §3.1 below for definitions of these notions.

¹Here, “pointed” means equipped with a distinguished symmetrically self-dual object that generates the module tensor category.

In this paper we further specialise/generalise the notion of anchored planar algebra to the context when \mathcal{V} is a *unitary* pivotal braided tensor category, and we prove an analog of the above result. This is motivated by enriched subfactor theory [JL17], bicommutant categories [Hen17, HP17, HP20], and higher unitary categories [CHPJP22, DHP22]; see §1.1 below.

Let \mathcal{V} be a braided unitary tensor category equipped with a unitary dual functor (the latter is the unitary analog of a pivotal structure [Yam04, Sel11, Pen20]; see §2.2 below for more details). A unitary module tensor category \mathcal{C} is called *pivotal* if it is equipped with unitary dual functor, compatibly with the action of \mathcal{V} . Our main result is the unitary analog of Theorem [HPT16b, Thm. A]:

Theorem A. *There is a equivalence of categories²*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary anchored planar} \\ \text{algebras in } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary pointed pivotal module tensor} \\ \text{categories over } \mathcal{V}, \text{ with chosen state} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, when \mathcal{V} is ribbon, spherical unitary anchored planar algebras correspond under this equivalence to unitary module tensor categories whose chosen state is spherical.

1.1 Motivations for this article

1.1.1 Enriched subfactor theory

The development of planar algebras [Jon21] is intimately linked to subfactor theory. We expect a similar relation to hold between anchored planar algebras and *enriched* subfactor theory. In their paper [JP17], Corey Jones and the second author introduced a notion of W^* -algebra object A internal to a unitary tensor category \mathcal{V} . One could imagine formulating an analog of the notion of II_1 factor internal to \mathcal{V} (perhaps just the condition that the neutral part of A , i.e. $\mathcal{V}(1 \rightarrow A)$, is a II_1 factor) and, similarly, a notion of subfactor internal to \mathcal{V} .

We conjecture that, in this context, the correct analog of the standard invariant is that of a unitary anchored planar algebra:

Conjecture 1.1. *The enriched standard invariant of a subfactor internal to \mathcal{V} is a 2-shaded unitary anchored planar algebra in $Z(\mathcal{V})$. Finite index, finite depth hyperfinite II_1 subfactors internal to \mathcal{V} are classified by their enriched standard invariants⁴.*

As an example, in [JL17, Rem. 6.1], Jaffe and Liu construct a subfactor from the inductive limit of parafermion algebras, and obtain the parafermion *subfactor planar para algebra* from its ‘graded standard invariant.’ As explained in [HPT16b, Examples 3.7 and 3.8], planar para algebras are anchored planar algebras internal to $\mathcal{V} = \text{Vect}(\mathbb{Z}/N)$ with a particular braiding, and the parafermion planar para algebra corresponds to a Tambara-Yamagami module tensor category over \mathcal{V} .

²The 2-category of unitary pointed module tensor categories is equivalent to a 1-category. Moreover, the unique 2-morphism between two 1-morphisms, when it exists, is always unitary.

³We do not require the unit of our module tensor category to be simple. So, strictly speaking, it is a unitary module *multitensor* category.

⁴The relevant anchored planar algebras should satisfy $\mathcal{P}[0] = I(1)$, where $I : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{V})$ is the adjoint of the forgetful functor $Z(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

It was pointed out to us by Kevin Walker and Zhengwei Liu that these enriched subfactors and unitary anchored planar algebras allow one to ‘recover’ certain examples missing in the small index subfactor classification program. Recall that, in the ADE classification for II_1 subfactors with index less than 4 [Jon83, GdlHJ89, Kaw95, BN91, Izu94], the Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams D_{odd} and E_7 , surprisingly, do not occur. The missing D_{odd} subfactors should exist as subfactors internal to the category \mathbf{sVect} of super-vector spaces.

1.1.2 Internal structure of bicommutant categories

Bicommutant categories were introduced in [Hen17], as higher categorical analogs of von Neumann algebras. The simplest example of a bicommutant category is $\mathbf{Bim}(M)$, the tensor category of all bimodules over a von Neumann algebra M . Examples corresponding to unitary fusion categories were constructed in [HP17], and further studied in [HP20].

When $M = R$ is a hyperfinite II_1 , II_∞ , or III_1 factor, there is a well-known correspondence [Pop90, Pop95, Jon21, Tom21, BCE+20] between conjugacy classes of finite depth R - R bimodules and isomorphism classes of unitary finite depth planar algebras⁵:

$$\left\{ \text{Finite depth } R\text{-}R\text{-bimodules} \right\} / \text{conj.} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \text{Connected finite depth unitary planar algebras} \right\} / \text{iso.}$$

We conjecture that, under suitable assumptions, conjugacy classes of finite depth objects in a bicommutant category \mathcal{T} are in bijective correspondence with finite depth unitary anchored planar algebras in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let \mathcal{T} be a bicommutant category whose Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is fusion. Then, under suitable assumptions, there exists a natural bijective correspondence*

$$\left\{ \text{Finite depth objects of } \mathcal{T} \right\} / \text{conj.} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \text{Connected finite depth unitary anchored planar algebras in } \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}) \right\} / \text{iso.}$$

We furthermore expect that \mathcal{T} admitting an absorbing object whose endomorphism algebra is a hyperfinite II_∞ or III_1 factor should constitute the above ‘suitable assumptions’ in Conjecture 1.2.

1.1.3 Unitary higher categories

Over the past decades, a theory of higher linear algebra has emerged from work of many authors, e.g., [BD95, Bae97, Lur09, HV19]. The higher n -category $n\mathbf{Vect}$ of n -vector spaces plays the role of target for n -dimensional topological quantum field theories, where the fully dualizable objects give fully extended theories by the cobordism hypothesis. Until recently, definitions of $n\mathbf{Vect}$ were bespoke, chosen to agree with well-known state-sum models. The recent breakthrough [GJF19] provides a uniform framework to construct (the fully dualizable part of) $n\mathbf{Vect}$ via a formal inductive procedure starting from just the complex numbers.

Starting with \mathbb{C} , a commutative algebra, we can deloop and complete to obtain the 1-category $\mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}$. Since \mathbf{Vect} is a symmetric monoidal tensor category, we can deloop and

⁵Unoriented planar algebras correspond to symmetrically self-dual bimodules. Arbitrary finite depth bimodules are classified by planar algebras whose strands are oriented.

complete again to obtain the 2-category $\mathbf{Alg}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{sep}}$ of finite dimensional separable algebras, bimodules, and intertwiners. Assigning to an algebra its category of modules gives an equivalence to $2\mathbf{Vect}$, the 2-category of finite semisimple categories, linear functors, and natural transformations. Since $2\mathbf{Vect}$ is a symmetric monoidal 2-category, we may deloop and complete again to obtain the 3-category of (separable) multifusion categories [JF20], which is equivalent to the 3-category of semisimple 2-categories [DR18, Déc22].

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbf{Alg}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{sep}} \simeq \mathbf{LinCat}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow[\text{[JF20]}]{\Sigma} \mathbf{MultFusCat} \underset{\text{[Déc22]}}{\simeq} \mathbf{Lin2Cat}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots \quad (1)$$

For physical applications in topologically ordered phases of matter, it is important to have a version of the above construction that incorporates unitary structures at all levels. However, extending this construction to the unitary setting is quite delicate. Whereas duality is a *property* in the non-unitary setting, it is additional *structure* in the unitary setting [Yam04, Pen20]. Thus $n\mathbf{Hilb}$ should come with canonical involutions corresponding to duals at various levels.

Writing this article has allowed us to clarify these notions for $2\mathbf{Hilb}$. Here, a *2-Hilbert space* [Bae97] is a linear category (enriched in finite dimensional vector spaces) equipped with Hilbert space structures on hom spaces satisfying

$$\langle f, h \circ g^\dagger \rangle_{b \rightarrow c} = \langle f \circ g, h \rangle_{a \rightarrow c} = \langle g, f^\dagger \circ h \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} \quad \forall g : a \rightarrow b, f : b \rightarrow c, h : a \rightarrow c.$$

As pointed out in [GMP⁺18, Rem. 3.6.1], 2-Hilbert spaces are the natural setting for defining the unitary Yoneda embedding [JP20]. In turn, we have a well-behaved notion of *unitary adjunction* for dagger functors, which we describe in §2.1 below. In Proposition 2.8 (see also Remark 2.9) we prove that unitary adjunction gives a canonical unitary dual functor on $2\mathbf{Hilb}$. We expect this to be a key ingredient in defining higher dagger idempotents, for the unitary analog of (1).

1.2 Outline

In §2, we provide the preliminary background for this article, together with some new contributions for unitary and involutive categories. We discuss the unitary Yoneda embedding and unitary adjunction in §2.1, and we review unitary dual functors and spherical states in §2.2. In §2.3, we use the graphical calculus for the 2-category \mathbf{Cat} to transport involutive structures through adjunctions.

In §3, we review the notion of pivotal module tensor category over a braided pivotal category before introducing the unitary counterpart in §3.2. We briefly review the graphical calculus of strings on tubes for the categorified trace from [HPT16a], and we study the adjoint of the unit map in §3.3. In the spherical setting, we prove unitarity of the traciator in §3.4.

In §4, we review the notions of planar algebra and anchored planar algebra before introducing the unitary counterparts in §4.3. We show that for a spherical unitary anchored planar algebra, the adjoint is compatible with the inside-out reflection of tangles in Proposition 4.8. Finally, in §5, we prove our main Theorem A.

1.3 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Peter Huston and David Reutter for helpful conversations. David Penneys was supported by NSF DMS 1500387/1655912, 1654159, and 2154389. James Tener was supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP200100067, as well as by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, during the initial stages of this work.

2 Preliminaries

Our standard references for (unitary) tensor categories include [LR97, GLR85, Sel11, EGNO15, HPT16a, Pen20].

Let \mathbf{Cat} be the 2-category of \mathbb{C} -linear categories, linear *and* anti-linear functors, and natural transformations. We only allow natural transformations between functors if they are either both linear or both anti-linear. In this section, we shall often use the graphical calculus for \mathbf{Cat} , denoting linear categories by two-dimensional regions, functors by strands, and natural transformations by junctures. As in [CP22], we may identify a category \mathcal{A} with the category of \mathbb{C} -linear functors $\mathbf{Vect} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Given a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and objects $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, this allows us to give a graphical representation e.g. for a morphism $f : F(a) \rightarrow b$, as follows:



Given an adjunction $F \dashv G$ between two functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, recall that $f : F(a) \rightarrow b$ and $g : A \rightarrow G(b)$ are called *mates* if they are mapped to one another under the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) &\cong \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b)) \\ f &\leftrightarrow \text{mate}(f) \\ \text{mate}(g) &\leftrightarrow g. \end{aligned}$$

Mates are represented in the graphical calculus as follows:



where the cup and cap represent the unit and counit of the adjunction.

The operation of taking the mate is natural with respect to both pre-composition and post-composition by another morphism:

$$(M1) \text{ mate}(f_2 \circ f_1) = G(f_2) \circ \text{mate}(f_1) \text{ for all } f_1 : F(a) \rightarrow b_1 \text{ and } f_2 : b_1 \rightarrow b_2.$$

$$(M2) \text{ mate}(g_2 \circ g_1) = \text{mate}(g_2) \circ F(g_1) \text{ for all } g_1 : a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \text{ and } g_2 : a_2 \rightarrow G(b).$$

2.1 The unitary Yoneda lemma and unitary adjunctions

In this section, we work with semisimple C^* -categories, and remind the reader that every Cauchy complete C^* -category with finite dimensional hom spaces is semisimple [GMP⁺18, §3.1.1].

Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ is a semisimple C^* category equipped with a unitary trace, i.e., for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we are given a linear map $\text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

- $\text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(f \circ g) = \text{Tr}_b^{\mathcal{A}}(g \circ f)$ for all $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : b \rightarrow a$, and
- The sesquilinear forms $\langle f, g \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} := \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f)$ are positive definite.

Let $d_a := \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(\text{id}_a)$.

Observe that the inner products $\langle f, g \rangle_{a \rightarrow b}$ satisfy

$$\langle f, h \circ g^\dagger \rangle_{b \rightarrow c} = \langle f \circ g, h \rangle_{a \rightarrow c} = \langle g, f^\dagger \circ h \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} \quad \forall g : a \rightarrow b, f : b \rightarrow c, h : a \rightarrow c, \quad (2)$$

equivalently

$$(- \circ g)^\dagger = - \circ g^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad (f \circ -)^\dagger = f^\dagger \circ -, \quad (3)$$

and thus equip \mathcal{A} with the structure of a *2-Hilbert space* in the sense of [Bae97]. Conversely, one may recover the trace from the 2-Hilbert space structure by taking the inner product against the identity: $\text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(f) := \langle f, \text{id}_a \rangle_{a \rightarrow a}$. The notion of semisimple C^* category equipped with a unitary trace is thus equivalent to the notion of 2-Hilbert space.

The first condition in (2) implies that for all $c \in \mathcal{A}$, the functor $\mathcal{A}(- \rightarrow c) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}$ is a \dagger -functor, and the second equality implies the *unitary Yoneda embedding*

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}) \quad (4)$$

is a \dagger -functor (where $\text{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb})$ denotes the dagger category of dagger functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}$). We refer to the above two facts collectively as the *unitary Yoneda lemma*. It was observed in [GMP⁺18, Rem. 3.6.1 and Footnote (12)] and used implicitly in [JP17, JP19, JP20]. Note that the essential image of (4) is the same as its unitary essential image (using polar decomposition in $\text{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb})$). So a dagger functor $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}$ is representable if and only if it is unitarily representable. Given a functor $F \in \text{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Hilb})$ in the essential image of (4), a representing object is given by

$$c := \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} F(a) \otimes a, \quad (5)$$

where we use the notation λH to denote the Hilbert space with the same underlying vector space as H and inner product $\lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ for $\lambda > 0$. The rescaling of the inner product of $F(a)$ ensures that the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(- \rightarrow c) \cong F$ given by

$$\mathcal{A} \left(b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} F(a) \otimes a \right) \cong d_b^{-1} F(b) \otimes \mathcal{A}(b \rightarrow b) \cong F(b) \quad (6)$$

for $b \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$ is unitary.

Remark 2.1. If \mathcal{A} is finitely semisimple and Hilb is taken to mean finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (4) is a \dagger -equivalence.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{B}})$ be semisimple C^* categories equipped with unitary traces. A *unitary adjunction*, denoted $F \dashv^\dagger G$, consists of linear functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a family of unitary natural isomorphisms

$$\psi_{a,b} : \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b)). \quad (7)$$

If F and G are instead antilinear functors, and (7) is antiunitary, then adjunction is called an *antiunitary adjunction*.

Proposition 2.3. *If $F \dashv^\dagger G$ is an (anti)unitary adjunction, then F and G are automatically dagger functors.*

Proof. We prove that G is a dagger functor (the argument for F is similar). For any $f \in \mathcal{B}(b_1 \rightarrow b_2)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_1)) & \xrightarrow{G(f) \circ -} & \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_2)) \\ \cong \downarrow \psi_{a,b_1}^{-1} & & \cong \uparrow \psi_{a,b_2} \\ \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b_1) & \xrightarrow{(f \circ -)} & \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow b_2). \end{array}$$

Applying \dagger to all the arrows, by (3), the following diagram also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_1)) & \xleftarrow{G(f)^\dagger \circ -} & \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_2)) \\ \cong \uparrow \psi_{a,b_1} & & \cong \downarrow \psi_{a,b_2}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b_1) & \xleftarrow{(f^\dagger \circ -)} & \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow b_2). \end{array}$$

As $G(f^\dagger) \circ -$ also makes that second diagram commute, $G(f^\dagger) \circ - = R(f)^\dagger \circ -$, and hence $G(f^\dagger) = G(f)^\dagger$. \square

Remark 2.4. Whenever $F \dashv G$ are adjoint functors between linear categories, if (7) is (anti)linear, then F and G are (anti)linear functors.

This is reminiscent of the well-known fact that if H, K are Hilbert spaces and $S : H \rightarrow K$ and $T : K \rightarrow H$ are two functions such that $\langle S\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \eta, T\xi \rangle$ for all $\eta \in H$ and $\xi \in K$, then S and T are automatically bounded linear transformations.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{B}})$ be semisimple C^* categories, and let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear dagger functor that has a right adjoint. Then F also has a unitary right adjoint. The unitary right adjoint G is unique up to unique unitary natural isomorphism, and it is given by*

$$G(b) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) \otimes a. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Given a dagger functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we denote the unique⁶ unitary adjoint by F^* . We must show that the canonical isomorphism $F^*G^* \Rightarrow (GF)^*$ is unitary, and that for all $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ we have $\theta^{*\dagger} = \theta^\dagger : F^* \Rightarrow G^*$. For the first statement, note that

$$\text{ev}_F \circ (1_{F^*} \text{ev}_G 1_F) = \text{diagram} \quad \text{and} \quad (1_G \text{coev}_F 1_{G^*}) \circ \text{coev}_G = \text{diagram}$$

exhibit F^*G^* as a unitary adjoint of GF , as

$$\mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow F^*(G^*(b))) \underset{\text{unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow G^*(b)) \underset{\text{unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(G(F(a)) \rightarrow b).$$

By the uniqueness statement in Theorem 2.5, the isomorphism $F^*G^* \Rightarrow (GF)^*$ is therefore unitary.

For the second statement, we show that $\theta_a^{*\dagger} = \theta_a^\dagger$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. For all $f \in \mathcal{A}(G^*(a) \rightarrow b)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}(F^*(a) \rightarrow b)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \circ \theta_a^{*\dagger}, g \rangle &= \text{Tr}_{F^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f \circ \theta_a^{*\dagger}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\text{diagram} \right) = \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\text{diagram} \right) = \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\text{diagram} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{G^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_a^{*\dagger} \circ g^\dagger \circ f) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{F^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f \circ \theta_a^{*\dagger}) \\ &= \langle f \circ \theta_a^\dagger, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and fifth equalities hold by Lemma 2.6 and Remark 2.7. By the non-degeneracy of the pairing, we conclude that $\theta_a^{*\dagger} = \theta_a^\dagger$. \square

Remark 2.9. In fact, unitary adjunction gives a canonical unitary dual functor on the \mathbf{C}^* 2-category of semisimple \mathbf{C}^* -categories, dualizable dagger functors, and bounded natural transformations.

2.2 Unitary dual functors and spherical states

Let \mathcal{C} be a unitary multitensor category, also known as a semisimple rigid tensor \mathbf{C}^* category. A *unitary dual functor* on \mathcal{C} is a choice of dual $(c^\vee, \text{ev}_c, \text{coev}_c)$ for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$ (where $\text{ev}_c : c^\vee \otimes c \rightarrow 1$, and $\text{coev}_c : 1 \rightarrow c \otimes c^\vee$ satisfy the zigzag identities), such that the corresponding functor $\vee : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{mop}}$ is a dagger tensor functor: $f^{\vee\dagger} = f^\dagger$, and $\nu_{a,b} : a^\vee \otimes b^\vee \rightarrow (b \otimes a)^\vee$ is unitary. A classification of unitary dual functors was given in [Pen20]. A unitary dual functor induces a pivotal structure on \mathcal{C} by setting [Sel11, Lem. 7.5], [Pen20, Cor. 3.10]

$$\varphi_c := (1 \otimes \text{ev}_c) \circ (\text{ev}_{c^\vee}^\dagger \otimes 1) = (\text{coev}_c^\dagger \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \text{coev}_{c^\vee}) : c \rightarrow c^{\vee\vee}, \quad (10)$$

⁶(up to unique unitary isomorphism)

and φ_c is unitary for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

A unitary dual functor gives two $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ -valued traces tr_L and tr_R on the underlying \mathbb{C}^* category \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.10. A *spherical state* on (\mathcal{C}, \vee) is a state ψ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ such that $\psi \circ \text{tr}_L(f) = \psi \circ \text{tr}_R(f)$ for every $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(c)$. Given a spherical state ψ , we write $\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}} := \psi \circ \text{tr}_L$.

The following lemma follows from [Pen20, Thm. A, Lem. 5.14, and Thm. D].

Lemma 2.11.

- (1) Each unitary dual functor admits at most one spherical state.
- (2) For each state ψ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$, there is a unique unitary dual functor for which ψ becomes spherical.

Thus the map $(\vee, \psi) \mapsto \psi$ is a bijection between unitary dual functors which admit a spherical state and states on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary dual functors } \vee \text{ which} \\ \text{admit a spherical state } \psi \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{States } \psi \\ \text{on } \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. By [Pen20, Thm. A], unitary dual functors \vee on \mathcal{C} are classified by groupoid homomorphisms $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where \mathcal{U} is the universal grading groupoid of \mathcal{C} . The homomorphism π associated to \vee satisfies

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{coev}_c^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \text{id}_{c^{\vee\pi}}) \circ \text{coev}_c = \lambda p_i, \quad \text{ev}_c \circ (\text{id}_{c^{\vee\pi}} \otimes f) \circ \text{ev}_c^\dagger = \pi_{\text{gr}(c)} \cdot \lambda p_j \quad (11)$$

for all homogeneous $c \in 1_i \otimes \mathcal{C} \otimes 1_j$ (homogeneous w.r.t. the \mathcal{U} -grading) and $f : c \rightarrow c$. Here, the $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ are the projections onto the simple summands of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$.

If \vee admits a spherical state ψ , then the homomorphism π factors through the ‘matrix groupoid’ \mathcal{M}_r with r objects and a unique isomorphism between any two objects. Indeed, applying the spherical state ψ to (11), we have $\lambda \psi(p_i) = \pi_{\text{gr}(c)} \lambda \psi(p_j)$, and thus

$$\pi_{\text{gr}(c)} = \pi_{ij} := \psi(p_i) / \psi(p_j). \quad (12)$$

First, since ψ is a state (specifically $\sum_i \psi(p_i) = 1$), (12) completely determines ψ in terms of π (e.g., see [BCE⁺20, Cor. 3.17]), which proves part (1) of the lemma. Second, (12) also determines π in terms of ψ , so there is at most one unitary dual functor \vee for which a given state ψ can be spherical. We calculate below that ψ is indeed spherical for the unitary dual functor obtained by defining π in terms of ψ as in (12):

$$\psi(\text{tr}_L(f)) = \lambda \pi_{ij} \psi(p_j) = \lambda \frac{\psi(p_i)}{\psi(p_j)} \psi(p_j) = \lambda \psi(p_i) = \psi(\text{tr}_R(f)).$$

This proves part (2) of the lemma. □

Warning 2.12. There are 2 notions of sphericity for a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} . First, the unique unitary dual functor \vee on \mathcal{C} corresponding to $\pi_{i,j} = 1$ for all i, j in (12) above is the *balanced* or *minimal* unitary dual functor from [BDH14]. Second, we can equip (\mathcal{C}, \vee) with a spherical state for the class of unitary dual functors satisfying (12) above.

2.3 Involutive functors

An *involutive structure* on a category \mathcal{A} is an anti-linear functor $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ together with a coherence natural isomorphism $\varphi : \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow \bar{\bar{\cdot}}$ satisfying $\overline{\varphi_a} = \varphi_{\bar{a}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. In the graphical calculus for Cat , we denote the anti-linear functor $\bar{\cdot}$ by a thick red strand, φ by a cap, and φ^{-1} by a cup.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ \hline \end{array} = \varphi \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ \hline \end{array} = \varphi^{-1}$$

The condition $\overline{\varphi_a} = \varphi_{\bar{a}}$ becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cap} \\ \hline \end{array},$$

which is equivalent to φ being an *adjoint equivalence*, i.e., the zig-zag axioms hold:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cap} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Definition 2.17. A *conjugate-linear* morphism from a to b , denoted $f : a \rightarrow b$, is a morphism $a \rightarrow \bar{b}$.

A *real structure* on an object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is the data of a conjugate-linear morphism $r : a \rightarrow a$ such that $\bar{r} \circ r = \varphi_a$.

Definition 2.18. Suppose \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are involutive categories. An involutive functor $(F, \chi) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a functor equipped with a family of (conjugate) natural isomorphisms $\{\chi_a : F(\bar{a}) \rightarrow \overline{F(a)}\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ satisfying

- (involutive) $\overline{\chi_a} \circ \chi_{\bar{a}} \circ F(\varphi_a^{\mathcal{A}}) = \varphi_{F(a)}^{\mathcal{B}}$
- (conjugate natural) $\overline{F(f)} \circ \chi_{a_1} = \chi_{a_2} \circ F(\bar{f})$ for all $f : a_1 \rightarrow a_2$.

A natural transformation $\theta : (F, \chi^F) \Rightarrow (G, \chi^G)$ between two involutive functors $(F, \chi^F), (G, \chi^G) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called *involutive* if $\chi_a^G \circ \theta_{\bar{a}} = \theta_a \circ \chi_a^F : F(\bar{a}) \rightarrow G(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the graphical calculus for Cat , we denote χ^F by a crossing:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \quad F \\ \text{crossing} \\ F \quad \bar{\cdot} \end{array} = \chi^F.$$

The involutive and conjugate-natural axioms are denoted graphically by

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \quad \bar{\cdot} \quad F \\ \text{crossing} \\ F \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \quad \bar{\cdot} \quad F \\ \text{cap} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline F \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \quad F \quad a_2 \\ \text{crossing} \\ F \quad \bar{\cdot} \quad a_1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \quad F \quad a_2 \\ \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cap} \\ \hline F \quad \bar{\cdot} \quad a_1 \end{array}.$$

Taking the first identity and composing with a red φ cup on the top left and a red φ^{-1} cap on the bottom right, we obtain the equivalent identity

Involutivity of a natural transformation θ between involutive functors is represented graphically by

The graphical proof of the following proposition is straightforward and left to the reader.

Proposition 2.19. *Suppose $(F, \chi^F) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an involutive functor which admits a right adjoint $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Setting*

endows G with the structure of an involutive functor. Moreover, we have the following compatibility of the unit and counit of the adjunction $F \dashv G$ with χ^F, χ^G :

Lemma 2.20. *Suppose \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are involutive categories and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is involutive with right adjoint $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (with involutive structure χ^G as in Proposition 2.19). Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b)$, we have*

$$\overline{\text{mate}(f)} = \chi_b^G \circ \text{mate}(\bar{f} \circ \chi_a^F) \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{a} \rightarrow \overline{G(b)}).$$

Proof. In the graphical calculus, we have

The result now follows by the final statement in Proposition 2.19. \square

A bi-involutive category is an involutive category which is also a dagger category such that $\bar{\cdot}$ is a dagger functor and φ is unitary. A bi-involutive functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between bi-involutive categories is an involutive functor (F, χ) such that F is a dagger functor and χ is unitary.

Corollary 2.21. *Suppose \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are semisimple bi-involutive categories with unitary traces and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is bi-involutive with unitary adjoint $G := F^*$. Then G is also bi-involutive, i.e. the canonical involutive structure for G from Proposition 2.19 is unitary.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$, which is again a semisimple bi-involutive category. We may identify F, G as functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which are zero in all but one entry of a 2×2 matrix of functors. Observe that we may view $\bar{\cdot}$ as a diagonal 2×2 matrix of functors.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\cdot} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\cdot} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since χ^G is the 2π -rotation of the unitary χ^F , the result now follows immediately from Proposition 2.8 applied to \mathcal{C} . Indeed, the 2π -rotation of a unitary under a unitary dual functor is again unitary. \square

2.4 Involutive lax monoidal functors

An involutive monoidal category [Egg11] (see also [JP17, Def. 2.4]) is a monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with an involutive structure $(\bar{\cdot}, \varphi)$ and coherence isomorphisms $\nu_{a,b} : \bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} \rightarrow \overline{b \otimes a}$ and real structure $r : 1 \rightarrow \bar{1}$ which satisfy

- (associativity) $\nu_{a,c \otimes b} \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes \nu_{b,c}) = \nu_{b \otimes a,c} \circ (\nu_{a,b} \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{c}})$
- (unitality) $\nu_{1,a} \circ (r \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{a}}) = \text{id}_{\bar{a}} = \nu_{a,1} \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes r)$
- (compatibility with φ) $\varphi_{a \otimes b} = \overline{\nu_{b,a}} \circ \nu_{\bar{a},\bar{b}} \circ (\varphi_a \otimes \varphi_b)$.

A unitary multitensor category has a canonical involutive structure such that \bar{x} is canonically unitarily isomorphic to x^\vee for any choice of unitary dual functor \vee ; see [Pen20, §3.5] for more details.

Remark 2.22. Note that the tensor product of a conjugate-linear morphism with an ordinary morphism is meaningless. However, if $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : a' \rightarrow b'$ are two conjugate-linear morphisms, then we may define $f \otimes g : a' \otimes a \rightarrow b \otimes b'$ as the composite

$$a \otimes a' \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} \bar{b} \otimes \bar{b}' \xrightarrow{\nu_{b,b'}} \overline{b' \otimes b}.$$

For the remainder of this section, let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} denote involutive monoidal categories.

Definition 2.23. An involutive (lax) monoidal functor $(F, \mu, \iota, \chi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an involutive functor $(F, \chi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $(F, \mu, \iota) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is (lax) monoidal, such that the following additional conditions hold:

- (unital) $\chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}} \circ F(r_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \iota = \bar{\iota} \circ r_{\mathcal{D}}$.
- (monoidal) $\chi_{d \otimes c} \circ F(\nu_{c,d}) \circ \mu_{\bar{c}, \bar{d}} = \overline{\mu_{d,c}} \circ \nu_{F(c), F(d)} \circ (\chi_c \otimes \chi_d)$

Recall that a right adjoint $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of a monoidal functor $(F, \mu^F, \iota^F) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is lax monoidal by [Kel74]. Indeed, $\mu_{d_1, d_2}^G : G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2) \rightarrow G(d_1 \otimes d_2)$ is the mate of $(\varepsilon_{d_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{d_2}) \circ (\mu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^F)^{-1}$ under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2) \rightarrow G(d_1 \otimes d_2)) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2)) \rightarrow d_1 \otimes d_2),$$

and $\iota^G : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the mate of $(\iota^F)^{-1}$ under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Proposition 2.24. *Suppose \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are involutive monoidal categories and $(F, \mu^F, \iota^F, \chi^F) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an involutive monoidal functor. The right adjoint $(G, \mu, \iota) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is involutive lax monoidal with the family χ from Proposition 2.19.*

Proof. To prove unitality, we will prove $\iota^G \circ r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} = G(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1} \circ \bar{\iota}^G$ as maps in

$$\mathcal{C}(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Taking mates under the above adjunction, these maps fit in the following pasting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{F(r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1})} & F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{F(\iota^G)} & FG(1_{\mathcal{D}}) \\
\downarrow F(\bar{\iota}^G) & \searrow \chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^F & \swarrow \iota^F & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{1_{\mathcal{D}}} \\
F(\overline{G(1_{\mathcal{D}})}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(1_{\mathcal{D}})}^F} & \overline{FG(1_{\mathcal{D}})} & \xleftarrow{F(\iota^G)} & \overline{F(1_{\mathcal{C}})} \\
\downarrow F(\chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1}) & & \searrow \bar{\varepsilon}_{1_{\mathcal{D}}} & \swarrow \iota^F & \downarrow \varepsilon_{1_{\mathcal{D}}} \\
FG(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\bar{1}}} & \bar{1}_{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}} & 1_{\mathcal{D}}
\end{array}$$

Going right and then down is the mate of $\iota^G \circ r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$ using (M1), and going down and then right is the mate of $G(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1} \circ \bar{\iota}^G$ using (M1) and (M2).

To prove monoidality, we will prove $G(\nu_{d_1, d_2}^{\mathcal{D}}) \circ \mu_{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2}^G \circ (\chi_{d_1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{d_2}^{-1}) = \chi_{d_1 \otimes d_2}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mu_{d_2, d_1}} \circ \nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^{\mathcal{C}}$ as maps in

$$\mathcal{C}(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)} \rightarrow G(\overline{d_2 \otimes d_1})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)}) \rightarrow \overline{d_2 \otimes d_1}).$$

Taking mates under the above adjunction, these maps fit in the following pasting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)}) & \xrightarrow{F(\chi_{d_1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{d_2}^{-1})} & F(G(\bar{d}_1) \otimes G(\bar{d}_2)) & \xrightarrow{F(\mu_{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2}^G)} & FG(\bar{d}_1 \otimes \bar{d}_2) \\
\downarrow F(\nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^{\mathcal{C}}) & \swarrow \mu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^F & \uparrow \mu_{G(\bar{d}_1), G(\bar{d}_2)}^F & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\bar{d}_1 \otimes \bar{d}_2} \\
F(\overline{G(d_1)}) \otimes F(\overline{G(d_2)}) & \xrightarrow{F(\chi_{d_1}^{-1}) \otimes F(\chi_{d_2}^{-1})} & FG(\bar{d}_1) \otimes FG(\bar{d}_2) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\bar{d}_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{\bar{d}_2}} & \bar{d}_1 \otimes \bar{d}_2 \\
\downarrow \chi_{G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2)}^F & \searrow \chi_{G(d_1)}^F \otimes \chi_{G(d_2)}^F & \downarrow \nu_{FG(d_1), FG(d_2)}^{\mathcal{D}} & \swarrow \bar{\varepsilon}_{\bar{d}_1} \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}_{\bar{d}_2} & \downarrow \nu_{d_1, d_2} \\
F(\overline{G(d_2)} \otimes \overline{G(d_1)}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(d_2) \otimes G(d_1)}^F} & \overline{F(G(d_2) \otimes G(d_1))} & \xleftarrow{\mu_{G(d_2), G(d_1)}^F} & \overline{FG(d_2) \otimes FG(d_1)} \\
\downarrow F(\mu_{d_2, d_1}^F) & \downarrow F(\mu_{d_2, d_1}^F) & \downarrow \mu_{G(d_2), G(d_1)}^F & \searrow \bar{\varepsilon}_{d_2} \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}_{d_1} & \downarrow \nu_{d_2 \otimes d_1} \\
F(\overline{G(d_2 \otimes d_1)}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(d_2 \otimes d_1)}^F} & \overline{FG(d_2 \otimes d_1)} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}_{d_2 \otimes d_1}} & \bar{d}_2 \otimes \bar{d}_1
\end{array}$$

Going right and then down is the mate of $G(\nu_{d_1, d_2}^D) \circ \mu_{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}} \circ (\chi_{d_1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{d_2}^{-1})$ using (M1) and (M2), and going down and then right is the mate of $\chi_{d_1 \otimes d_2}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mu_{d_2, d_1}} \circ \nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^C$ using (M1). \square

3 Unitary module tensor categories

3.1 Module tensor categories

A module tensor category \mathcal{C} over a braided tensor category \mathcal{V} is a tensor category \mathcal{C} equipped with an “action” of \mathcal{V} in the following sense:

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{V} be a braided tensor category. A *module tensor category* over \mathcal{V} is a tensor category \mathcal{C} together with a braided tensor functor $\Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ from \mathcal{V} to the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{C} are pivotal and if Φ^z is a pivotal functor, then we call \mathcal{C} a pivotal module tensor category.


Suppose \mathcal{C} is a pivotal module tensor category over \mathcal{V} . A *pointing* of \mathcal{C} is a choice of object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that c and $\Phi^z(\mathcal{V})$ generate \mathcal{C} under the operations of tensor product, direct sum, and taking direct summands. We also require that our chosen object c comes equipped with a *symmetric self-duality* isomorphism $r_c : c \rightarrow c^\vee$ satisfying $ev_c \circ r_c = ev_{c^\vee} \circ (\varphi_c \otimes r_c)$ where $\varphi_c : c \rightarrow c^{\vee\vee}$ is the pivotal structure of \mathcal{C} .


Construction 3.2. Let (\mathcal{C}, Φ^z) be a pivotal module tensor over \mathcal{V} . Suppose that the functor $\Phi := \text{Forget}_z \circ \Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a right adjoint denoted $\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}$ (where $\text{Forget}_z : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor). In [HPT16a], we showed that the functor


$$\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$$


has a canonical structure of a *categorified trace*. Namely, it comes equipped with...

- The *unit* $\eta_v : v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(\Phi(v))$ and *counit* $\varepsilon_x : \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(x)) \rightarrow x$ of the adjunction.



- A *multiplication map*  $= \mu_{x,y} : \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(x) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(x \otimes y)$.

- A *unit map*  $= i : 1_\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(1_\mathcal{C})$.

- A *traciator* natural isomorphism  $= \tau_{x,y} : \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(x \otimes y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(y \otimes x)$.

This structure satisfies various properties listed in [HPT16a, §4], many of which are summarized in [HPT16b, §5.1].

Definition 3.3. A 1-morphism $G = (G, \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}_1, \Phi_1^z, \varphi^1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \Phi_2^z, \varphi^2, x_2)$ of pivotal pointed module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} consists of

- a pivotal tensor functor $G : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ such that $G(x_1) = x_2$ and $r_2 = \delta_{x_1} \circ G(r_1)$, where $r_i : x_i \rightarrow x_i^\vee$ is the symmetric self-duality, and
- an *action coherence* monoidal natural isomorphism $\gamma : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow G \circ \Phi_1$ satisfying the following compatibility with the half-braidings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi_2(v) \otimes G(c) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_v \otimes \text{id}} & G(\Phi_1(v)) \otimes G(c) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G(\Phi_1(v) \otimes c) \\ \downarrow e_{\Phi_2(v), c} & & & & \downarrow G(e_{\Phi_1(v), c}) \\ G(c) \otimes \Phi_2(v) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \gamma_v} & G(c) \otimes G(\Phi_1(v)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G(c \otimes \Phi_1(v)) \end{array}$$

Definition 3.4. Given two 1-morphisms $(G, \gamma^G), (H, \gamma^H)$ between pointed module tensor categories, by [HPT16b, Lem. 3.6], there is at most one monoidal natural transformation $\kappa : (G, \gamma^G) \Rightarrow (H, \gamma^H)$ satisfying the following compatibility with the action coherence morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_2(v) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_v^H} & H(\Phi_1(v)) \\ & \searrow \gamma_v^G & \nearrow \kappa_{\Phi_1(v)} \\ & G(\Phi_1(v)) & \end{array}$$

When such a κ exists, it is necessarily invertible. Hence the 2-category of pointed pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} is 1-truncated, i.e., equivalent to a 1-category.

3.2 Unitary module tensor categories

For the remainder of this section, we assume $(\mathcal{V}, \vee_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a braided unitary tensor category with a fixed unitary dual functor. We get a canonical unitary trace $\text{tr}^{\mathcal{V}}$ on the underlying semisimple C^* category \mathcal{V} by taking the right unitary pivotal trace $\text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{V}}$ associated to $\vee_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Definition 3.5. A *unitary module multitensor category* over $(\mathcal{V}, \vee_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} with a chosen unitary dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ and choice of faithful state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ together with a braided pivotal dagger tensor functor $\Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{C})$. By Remark 2.14, Φ^z has a canonical structure of a bi-involutive braided tensor functor.

We call a unitary module multitensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ *spherical* if in addition ψ is a spherical state (Definition 2.10). (See Warning 2.12.) Recall that a spherical state ψ only exists if $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ arises from a matrix grading as in the proof of Lemma 2.11. Observe that, by Lemma 2.15, the existence of a (non-zero) spherical unitary module tensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \Phi^z, \psi_{\mathcal{C}})$ implies \mathcal{V} is ribbon, i.e., the unitary dual functor on \mathcal{V} is spherical.

The choice $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ endow the underlying semisimple C^* -category of \mathcal{C} with a unitary trace given by $\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{V}}$, and moreover, $(\mathcal{C}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is a pivotal module C^* -category for the underlying unitary tensor category of \mathcal{V} (without the braiding).

Remark 3.6. Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ is a unitary module multitensor category over \mathcal{V} . If Φ admits a right adjoint, then by Theorem 2.5, Φ admits a right unitary adjoint $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ which is automatically bi-involutive lax monoidal by Proposition 2.24 and Corollary 2.21.

A *unitary pointing* of \mathcal{C} is a choice of object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that c and $\Phi^z(\mathcal{V})$ generate \mathcal{C} under the operations of tensor product, orthogonal direct sum, and taking orthogonal direct summands. We also require that our symmetric self-duality $r_c : c \rightarrow c^{\vee}$ is unitary; this is also called a *real structure* for c .

Definition 3.7. A 1-morphism $G = (G, \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}_1, \vee_1, \psi_1, \Phi_1^z, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \vee_2, \psi_2, \Phi_2^z, x_2)$ of pointed unitary module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} is a 1-morphism of underlying pointed pivotal module tensor categories such that


- G is a \dagger -tensor functor,
- the action coherence monoidal natural isomorphism $\gamma : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow G \circ \Phi_1$ is unitary and involutive, i.e., for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\overline{\gamma}_v \circ \chi_v^{\Phi_2} = \chi_{\Phi_1(v)}^G \circ G(\chi_v^{\Phi_1}) \circ \gamma_{\overline{v}} : \Phi_2(\overline{v}) \rightarrow G(\Phi_1(v))$, and
- $\psi_2 \circ G = \psi_1$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$.

3.3 The map i^{\dagger} and a formula for $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)}^{\dagger}$

Fix a unitary module multitensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ for \mathcal{V} such that $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a right unitary adjoint $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$. In this section, we study i^{\dagger} , proving an important formula for $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)}^{\dagger}$ in Proposition 3.10 below. We represent i^{\dagger} diagrammatically by

$$i^{\dagger} = \text{cap diagram}$$

We show in Lemma 3.12 below that under the assumption of sphericity of $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$, we may allow all isotopies of strings on a tube with a cap on the top:



We start by considering the finite dimensional abelian C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$. By unitary adjunction, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \mathcal{V}(1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})) \tag{14}$$

$$f \mapsto \text{cup diagram with } f \text{ inside} = \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f) \circ i$$

and hence the right hand side is also equipped with the structure of an abelian C*-algebra. Specifically, the multiplication and *-structure on the right hand side of (14) are given by

$$x \cdot y = \mu_{1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}} \circ (x \otimes y) \quad \text{and} \quad x^* = (\chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_{\mathcal{C}}))^{-1} \circ \overline{x} \circ r_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

In the diagrammatic notation of [HPT16a], the intermediate steps are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{[HPT16a, Lem. 4.6]} \\
& \text{= (Lem. 2.20)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}$$

Now, by Lemma 3.8, $i^\dagger \circ (16)$ corresponds to ψ_C applied to (17) under the isomorphism (14). This latter is exactly equal to

$$\langle \text{mate}(f), \text{mate}(g) \rangle_{C(\Phi(v) \rightarrow c)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{V(v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(c))} = \text{tr}_V(fg^\dagger) = \text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \bar{g}) \circ \text{coev}_v.$$


Hence

$$i^\dagger \circ (16) = \text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \bar{g}) \circ \text{coev}_v \quad \forall f, g : v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(c).$$


The result follows by Lemma 3.9. \square

Remark 3.11. The problem of showing the pairing on the right hand side in the statement of Proposition 3.10 is non-degenerate was left open in [HPT16a, Rem. 5.4]. As it is equal to $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger$ (up to suppressing χ), this open question is now resolved in the unitary setting.


Lemma 3.12. *If ψ_C is spherical, then the following maps $\mathbf{Tr}_V(c \otimes \bar{c}) \rightarrow 1_V$ are equal:*



Note that the first and third tubes above represent the same morphism regardless of whether ψ_C is spherical or not, because the following two pictures are isotopic:




Proof. We focus on the first equality. Two maps $f, g : v \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ are equal if and only if $f \circ h = g \circ h$ for all $h : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow v$. Fix $h : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c \otimes \bar{c})$. To see that the first two maps in the statement of the lemma are equal, we consider the mates of




$$\text{and} \tag{18}$$

under the unitary adjunction (14). For example, the mate of the first morphism in (18) above is given by:



Now applying the spherical state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$, which corresponds to post-composing with i^{\dagger} by Lemma 3.8, we have



The right hand side above is exactly $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ applied to the second morphism in (18). We conclude that the morphisms in (18) post-composed with i^{\dagger} are equal. \square

3.4 Unitarity of the traciator

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^{\mathcal{Z}})$ is a unitary module multitensor category over \mathcal{V} and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the right unitary adjoint of $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Recall that each object $\Phi(v)$ comes with a unitary half-braiding $e_{\Phi(v), x} : \Phi(v) \otimes x \rightarrow x \otimes \Phi(v)$.

Lemma 3.13. *We have the following coherence between the half-braiding $e_{\Phi(v), \bullet}$ and χ_v^{Φ} :*

$$(\text{id}_{\bar{b}} \otimes \chi_v^{\Phi}) \circ e_{\Phi(\bar{v}), \bar{b}} = [\nu_{b, \Phi(v)}^{-1} \circ \overline{e_{\Phi(v), b}^{-1}} \circ \nu_{\Phi(v), b}] \circ (\chi_v^{\Phi} \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{b}}).$$

Proof. Since the half-braiding is unitary, we have $\overline{e_{\Phi(v), b}^{-1}} = e_{\Phi(v), b}^{\vee}$. Recall that $\nu_{a, b} : \bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} \rightarrow \overline{b \otimes a}$ is given by

$$\nu_{a, b} := \begin{array}{c} \bar{b} \otimes \bar{a} \\ \bar{a} \quad \bar{b} \end{array} \text{ (diagram) } = (\text{ev}_a \otimes \text{id}_{\overline{b \otimes a}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes \text{ev}_b \otimes \text{id}_a \otimes \text{id}_{\overline{b \otimes a}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b}} \otimes \text{coev}_{b \otimes a}).$$

The right hand side in the statement of the lemma simplifies to $e_{\Phi(\bar{v}), b} \circ (\chi_v^{\Phi} \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{b}})$. The result now holds since $\chi_v^{\Phi} : \Phi(\bar{v}) \rightarrow \overline{\Phi(v)}$ is a morphism in $Z^{\dagger}(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Lemma 3.14. *We have the following compatibility for the tracitator with the involutive structure of \mathbf{Tr}_ν :*

$$\overline{\tau_{x,y}} \circ \chi_{x \otimes y} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu_{y,x}) = \chi_{y \otimes x} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu_{x,y}) \circ \tau_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}^{-1}$$

Proof. We prove the equality of the inverses of the above morphisms by taking mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(\overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))} \rightarrow \bar{y} \otimes \bar{x}) \cong \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{y} \otimes \bar{x})).$$

Using the formula for the mate of $\chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1}$, the mate of the inverse of the left hand side in the statement of the lemma is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mate}(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu_{y,x}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1} \circ \overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \text{mate}(\chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1}) \circ \Phi(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x \otimes y)}^\Phi \circ \Phi(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \overline{\Phi(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \overline{\Phi(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \text{mate}(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \end{aligned}$$

The mate of the inverse of the right hand side in the statement of the lemma is given by $\text{mate}(\tau_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}) \circ \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu_{x,y}^{-1})) \circ \Phi(\chi_{y \otimes x}^{-1})$. Expanding, we get the map going right and then down in the pasting diagram below. To save space, we omit tensor symbols and subscripts.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\chi^{-1})} & \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{y} \cdot \bar{x})) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1}))} & \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y})) \\ \downarrow \chi^\Phi & \searrow \text{id} \cdot \text{ev}^\dagger & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \text{ev}^\dagger & & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \text{ev}^\dagger \\ \overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} & \xrightarrow{\chi^\Phi \cdot \text{id}} & \Phi(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \text{id}} & \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{y} \cdot \bar{x})) \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1})) \cdot \text{id}} & \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y})) \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y \\ \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \text{ev}^\dagger & \swarrow \chi^\Phi \cdot \text{id} & \downarrow e \cdot \text{id} & & \downarrow e \cdot \text{id} & & \downarrow e \cdot \text{id} \\ \overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y & & \bar{y} \cdot \Phi(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \cdot \Phi(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \text{id}} & \bar{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{y} \cdot \bar{x})) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1})) \cdot \text{id}} & \bar{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y})) \cdot y \\ \downarrow \nu \cdot \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \chi^\Phi \cdot \text{id} & \searrow \text{id} \cdot \text{mate}(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \text{id} & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \text{id} \\ y \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\nu^{-1} \cdot \text{id}} & \bar{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \cdot \bar{\varepsilon} \cdot \text{id}} & \bar{y} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot \bar{x} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \cdot \nu^{-1} \cdot \text{id}} & \bar{y} \cdot \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y \\ \downarrow \overline{e^{-1}} \cdot \text{id} & & & & & & \downarrow \text{id} \cdot \text{ev} \\ \overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} \cdot \bar{y} \cdot y & & & & & & \bar{y} \cdot \bar{x} \end{array}$$

It remains to prove that the map going down and then right in the pasting diagram above is equal to $\nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\text{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi$. Precomposing with $(\chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi)^{-1}$ and postcomposing with $\nu_{y,x}$, we must show that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} &= \nu_{y,x} \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{y} \otimes \bar{x}} \otimes \text{ev}_y) \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{y}} \otimes (\nu_{x,y}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{y \otimes x}}) \otimes \text{id}_y) \circ \nu_{y, \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}^{-1} \\ &\quad \circ ((\overline{e_{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)), y}^{-1}}) \otimes \text{id}_y) \circ (\text{id}_{\overline{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}} \otimes \text{ev}_y^\dagger). \end{aligned}$$

By the coherences for $(\overline{\cdot}, \nu)$, the above equality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1}) &= (\text{coev}_y^\dagger \otimes \text{id}_{x \otimes y}) \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{y}} \otimes \varepsilon_{y \otimes x} \otimes \text{id}_y) \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{y}} \otimes e_{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)), y}^{-1}) \\ &\quad \circ (\text{coev}_y \otimes \text{id}_{\Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly [HPT16a, Lem. 4.14]. \square

Proposition 3.15. *If the state ψ_C is spherical, then the traciator $\tau_{a,b}$ is unitary.*

Proof. Since $\tau_{a,b}$ is invertible, it suffices to show that $\tau_{a,b}^\dagger \tau_{a,b} = \text{id}_{\text{Tr}_V(a \otimes b)}$. Since $\tau^\dagger = \bar{\tau}^\vee$, by Lemma 3.14 (and suppressing various coherences) we have $\tau^\dagger = (\tau^{-1})^\vee$. Thus:


$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_{a,b}^\dagger \tau_{a,b} &= \text{Diagram 1} \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3.10})}{=} \text{Diagram 2} \\
 &\stackrel{(\text{Lem. 3.12})}{=} \text{Diagram 3} \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3.10})}{=} \text{Diagram 4} = \text{Diagram 5} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

4 Unitary anchored planar algebras

4.1 Planar algebras

We present here an abridged introduction to planar tangles, the planar operad, and planar algebras, and we refer the reader to [HPT16b, §2.1] for an extended treatment.

An *planar tangle* consists of a collection of (round) parametrized disc D_1, \dots, D_n inside some bigger parametrized disc D_0 , along with a collection of non-intersecting paths in $D_0 \setminus (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k)$ called *strings* that start and end at the boundary of one of the circles, or are themselves closed loops. Here, a disc D_i is called *parametrised* if $D_i = \varphi_i(\mathbb{D})$ for some chosen affine linear maps $\varphi_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D_i$ from the standard unit disc. The points $\varphi_i(1) \in \partial D_i$ are called *anchor points*, and the strands are required to not start or end on the anchor points. Here is an example of a planar tangle, where we have marked the anchor points in red:



There is a composition operation for planar tangles

$$S \circ_i T := S \cup \phi_i(T)$$

when the number of external string boundary points of T agrees with the number of internal string boundary points in the i -th disk of S . We shrink the tangle T , insert it into the i -th input disk of S using the map ϕ_i , and match up the boundary points of the strings. For an explicit example, see [HPT16b, Ex. 2.2], or see (19) below and ignore the red ‘anchor lines.’

The collection of isotopy classes of planar tangles with the operation of tangle composition is called the *planar operad*. A *planar algebra* is an algebra for this operad. Unpacking, we have a vector space $\mathcal{P}[n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, and each (isotopy class of) planar tangle T gives a linear map

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0].$$

Here, n_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ is the number of boundary points of strings on the i -th input disk of T , and n_0 is the number of boundary points of strings on the output disk of T . For future convenience, we abbreviate these properties by saying T has *type* $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$.

We require that the identity tangle must act as the identity linear map. Given planar tangles S, T of types $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0), (n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ respectively with $n_0 = m_i$, composition of the linear maps $Z(S), Z(T)$ must be compatible with composition of planar tangles:

$$Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_{i-1}]} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_{i+1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_j]}).$$


There is also a symmetry condition for $Z(T)$. Let T^σ be the tangle obtained by renumbering the input disks of T by a permutation σ . We then have $Z(T^\sigma) = Z(T) \circ \sigma$, where we also use σ to denote the symmetric braiding of the vector spaces $\mathcal{P}[n_i]$ corresponding to the permutation σ .

Remark 4.1. The notion of a planar algebra makes sense in any symmetric pivotal tensor category.

4.2 Anchored planar algebras

We present here an abridged introduction to anchored planar tangles, the anchored planar operad, and anchored planar algebras, and we refer the reader to [HPT16b, §2.2] for an extended treatment.


An *anchored planar tangle* is a thing like this:



It differs from a planar tangle in that each internal anchor point is connected to the external anchor point by a red *anchor line* which stays in $D_0 \setminus \{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$. These anchor lines are transparent to the strings, but they may not intersect each other.

Similar to before, we say an anchored planar tangle T has *type* $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ if T has k input disks, the i -th input disk of T meets n_i string boundary points for $i \leq 1 \leq k$, and the output disk of T meets n_0 string boundary points.

The *anchored planar operad* is the collection of isotopy classes of anchored planar tangles with the operation of tangle composition. Given anchored planar tangles S, T of type $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0), (n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ respectively with $n_0 = m_i$, the composition operation $S \circ_i T$ differs from the previous operation in that we must also give new anchor lines. We do so by replacing the i -th anchor line of T by k parallel lines, composing each one of them with the i -th anchor line of S , and retracting to the external anchor point of T as illustrated in the following picture:



(For the additional intermediate step, see [HPT16b, p10].)

Recall from [HPT16a, Appendix A.2] that a braided pivotal tensor category is also rigid balanced in two ways. Hence our pivotal structure $\varphi^{\mathcal{V}}$ induces a twist by

$$\theta_v := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \text{---} \circlearrowleft \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\varphi_v} \\ | \\ v \end{array} = (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{ev}_{v^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\beta_{v^{\vee\vee}, v} \otimes \text{id}_{v^{\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_{v^{\vee\vee}} \otimes \text{coev}_v) \circ \varphi_v. \quad (20)$$

Remark 4.2. By [HPT16a, Prop. A.4], a braided unitary tensor category $(\mathcal{V}, \beta, \vee)$ is ribbon if and only if the φ induced by \vee is a spherical structure.

Definition 4.3. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \beta, \varphi^{\mathcal{V}})$ be a braided pivotal tensor category. An anchored planar algebra over \mathcal{V} is an algebra in \mathcal{V} over the anchored planar operad. Unpacking, we have a sequence $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}[n])_{n \geq 0}$ of objects of \mathcal{V} , along with operations

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every isotopy class of anchored planar tangle T of type $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, subject to the following axioms:

- (identity) the identity anchored tangle acts as the identity morphism
- (composition) if S and T are anchored planar tangles of type $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0)$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, and if $n_0 = m_i$, then

$$Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_{i-1}]} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_{i+1}] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_j]}) \quad (21)$$

- (anchor dependence) the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
- \text{ (braiding) } & Z \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline i & j & k \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = Z \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline i & j & k \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \circ \beta_{\mathcal{P}[j], \mathcal{P}[i+k]} \\
- \text{ (twist) } & Z \left(\begin{array}{|c|} \hline n \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \theta_{\mathcal{P}[n]}.
\end{aligned}$$

(Here, a little number n next to a string to indicates n parallel strings.) We call $\mathcal{P}[n]$ the n^{th} *box object* of the anchored planar algebra \mathcal{P} .

There is also a symmetry condition for $Z(T)$, which can be summarized by saying that the anchored planar operad is a ribbon braided operad. In more detail, elements of the ribbon braid group can be used to change the anchor lines of a tangle T ; changing the anchor lines in this way corresponds to precomposition in \mathcal{V} by the corresponding isomorphism associated to the ribbon braid group element. We refer the reader to [HPT16b, §4.2] for more details.

Notation 4.4. In the sections below, we use the notation of an anchored planar tangle T inserted into a coupon to denote the map $Z(T)$ in \mathcal{V} afforded by an anchored planar algebra. The strings in these diagrams are usually drawn horizontally for convenience, and we read them *left to right*. For example,

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline w \\ \hline g \\ \hline v \\ \hline f \\ \hline u \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \mathcal{P}[p+n] \\ \hline \mathcal{P}[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline p \\ \hline n \\ \hline k \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \mathcal{P}[p+k] \\ \hline \end{array} = (\text{id}_w \otimes Z(T)) \circ (g \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[n+k]}) \circ f$$

where T is the tangle in the coupon.

Definition 4.5. A morphism $H : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ of anchored planar algebras is a sequence of morphisms $(H[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2[n])_{n \geq 0}$ such that for every anchored planar tangle T of type $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, $H[n_0] \circ Z(T) = Z(T) \circ (H[n_1] \otimes \dots \otimes H[n_k])$.

4.3 Unitary anchored planar algebras

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \vee, \beta)$ be a braided unitary tensor category equipped with a chosen unitary dual functor and a unitary braiding $\beta = \{\beta_{u,v} : u \otimes v \rightarrow v \otimes u\}_{u,v \in \mathcal{V}}$ satisfying naturality and the usual hexagon axioms. Using the formula (10) for the canonical unitary pivotal structure φ induced by \vee , the formula (20) for the canonical twist on \mathcal{V} simplifies to

$$\theta_v = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline v \\ \hline \varphi_v \\ \hline v \\ \hline \end{array} = \underset{(10)}{=} (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{coev}_v^\dagger) \circ (\beta_{v,v} \otimes \text{id}_{v^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{coev}_v).$$

Proof. Suppose A has m input strings and n output strings. Since $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is spherical, the morphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}[m]} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} r_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{tangle}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \dots = \overline{\mathcal{P}[m]} \xrightarrow{r_m^{-1}} \mathcal{P}[m] \xrightarrow{\boxed{Z(A)}} \mathcal{P}[n] \xrightarrow{\boxed{\text{tangle}}} \mathcal{P}[0] \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \dots$$

is equal to

$$= \overline{\mathcal{P}[m]} \xrightarrow{r_m^{-1}} \mathcal{P}[m] \xrightarrow{\boxed{Z(A^\dagger)}} \mathcal{P}[n] \xrightarrow{\boxed{\text{tangle}}} \mathcal{P}[0] \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \dots$$

Indeed, this can be checked directly using sphericity of $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ by writing A as a composite of generating annular anchored planar tangles (see §5.1 below), and ‘pulling them over’ one at a time. By (22), the above equality simplifies (after a 90° rotation of the string diagrams) to

$$\mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \boxed{Z(A)} \\ \mathcal{P}[m] \end{array} \right. = \left. \begin{array}{c} \boxed{Z(A^\dagger)} \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \end{array} \right| \mathcal{P}[m].$$

Precomposing with $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{P}[m]}^\dagger$, we get the desired equality

$$Z(A)^\dagger = \overline{Z(A)}^\vee = \mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \boxed{Z(A)} \\ \mathcal{P}[m] \end{array} \right. \mathcal{P}[m] = \left. \begin{array}{c} \boxed{Z(A^\dagger)} \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \end{array} \right| \mathcal{P}[m] = Z(A^\dagger). \quad \square$$

Definition 4.9. A morphism $H : (\mathcal{P}_1, r^1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_2, r^2, \psi_2)$ of unitary anchored planar algebras is a morphism of anchored planar algebras $H : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ which is compatible with r^1, r^2 and with ψ_1, ψ_2 , i.e., for all $n \geq 0$, $H[n] \circ r_n^1 = r_n^2 \circ H[n]$ and $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ H[0]$.

5 Extending the equivalence

We now prove Theorem A, extending the equivalence from [HPT16b, Thm. A] to an equivalence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary anchored planar} \\ \text{algebras in } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pointed unitary module multitensor} \\ \text{categories over } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Recall that, by definition (see §3.2), a unitary module multitensor category comes with a state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ and requires that the \mathcal{V} -action $\Phi^Z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$ is pivotal.

5.1 From unitary module tensor categories to unitary anchored planar algebras

Suppose we have a pointed unitary module multitensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \Phi^z, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}})$ over \mathcal{V} with real generator $(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the right unitary adjoint of $\Phi = \text{Forget}_{\mathcal{Z}} \circ \Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

We first construct an ordinary anchored planar algebra as in [HPT16b, Thm. 5.1]. This anchored planar algebra is completely determined by the following information.

- $\mathcal{P}[n] := \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n})$
- $Z(\text{red circle}) := \text{cylinder} = i : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[0]$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with } i \text{ and } n-i \text{ strands}\right) := \text{cylinder with } x \text{ and } x^{\otimes n-i} \text{ strands}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with } i \text{ and } n-i \text{ strands, different orientation}\right) := \text{cylinder with } x \text{ and } x^{\otimes n-i} \text{ strands}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with } i \text{ and } j \text{ strands}\right) = \text{Y-junction with } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes j} \text{ strands}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with } i \text{ and } j \text{ strands, different orientation}\right) = \text{Y-junction with } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes j} \text{ strands}$

5.1.1 Adding the dagger structure

It remains to construct a \dagger -structure r satisfying the two axioms and the state $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ on \mathcal{P} .

Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 0$, we define $r_n : \mathcal{P}[n] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}[n]}$ as the composite

$$\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_x^{\otimes n})} \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{x}^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu)} \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}}} \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n})}.$$

Lemma 5.2. $\overline{r}_n \circ r_n = \varphi_{\mathcal{P}[n]}$.

Proof. Using the naturality of χ , monoidality of φ and ν , the fact that r_x is a real structure of x , and the involutivity of \mathbf{Tr}_ν , the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu}} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})} \\
& \nearrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes n}) & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{r}_x^{\otimes n}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{r}_x^{\otimes n}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes n}) \\
\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\varphi_x^{\otimes n})} & \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\bar{x}^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu}} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{x}^{\otimes n})} \\
& \searrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\varphi_{x^{\otimes n}}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{\nu}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\bar{\nu}) & \\
& & & \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu}} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})} & \\
& & & & & \downarrow \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} & \\
& & & & & & \overline{\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})}} \\
& & & & \searrow \varphi_{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})} & & \\
& & & & & & \overline{\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})}}
\end{array}$$

Going right and then down is $\bar{r}_n \circ r_n$ which equals $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}[n]}$ going diagonally down and right. \square

Proposition 5.3. *The pair (\mathcal{P}, r) satisfies (P1):*

$$\overline{Z(\bar{T})} \circ \nu^{(k)} \circ (r_{n_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n_1}) = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\bar{T}).$$

(When $k = 0$, $\overline{Z(\bar{T})} \circ r_\nu = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\bar{T})$ where $r_\nu : 1_\nu \rightarrow \overline{1_\nu}$ is the real structure of 1_ν .)

Proof. This essentially follows from the fact that \mathbf{Tr}_ν is involutive lax monoidal by Proposition 2.24. It is enough to check (P1) on each of the following generating tangles:

First, since $\bar{u} = u$, by unitality,

$$\overline{Z(u)} \circ r_\nu = \bar{i} \circ r_\nu = \chi_1^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_c) \circ i = r_0 \circ Z(\bar{u}).$$

Since $\overline{m_{i,j}} = m_{j,i}$, by monoidality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Z(m_{i,j})} \circ \nu \circ (r_j \otimes r_i) &= \overline{\mu_{i,j}} \circ \nu \circ ((\chi_{x^{\otimes j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes j})) \otimes (\chi_{x^{\otimes i}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes i}))) \\
&= \overline{\mu_{i,j}} \circ \nu \circ (\chi_{x^{\otimes j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \otimes \chi_{x^{\otimes i}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu}) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu)) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes j}) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes i})) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes i+j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mu_{x^{\otimes j}, x^{\otimes i}} \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu)) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes j}) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes i})) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes i+j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes i+j}) \circ \mu_{j,i} \\
&= r_{i+j} \circ Z(\overline{m_{i,j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality above uses the lax involutive property of \mathbf{Tr}_ν , and the fourth equality uses naturality of μ . Since $\bar{a}_i = a_{n-i}$ (when $Z(a_i) : \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n+2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(x^{\otimes n})$), we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Z(a_i)} \circ r_{n+2} &= \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\text{id}_{x^{\otimes i}} \otimes \text{ev}_x \otimes x^{\otimes n-i})} \circ \chi_{x^{\otimes n+2}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes n+2}) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\nu} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(r_x^{\otimes n}) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\nu(\text{id}_{x^{\otimes n-i}} \otimes \text{ev}_x \otimes x^{\otimes i}) \\
&= r_n \circ Z(a_{n-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for the a_i^\dagger is similar and omitted. (It also follows formally from the proof for a_i as $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a dagger functor and $\chi^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}}$, r , ν , and r_x are all unitary.) Finally, for the tangles $t_{i,j}$, we have $\overline{t_{i,j}} = t_{i,j}^{-1}$, and by Lemma 3.14,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Z(t_{i,j})} \circ r_n &= \overline{t_{i,j}} \circ \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu) \circ \tau_{\overline{x^{\otimes i}}, \overline{x^{\otimes j}}}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \circ \tau_{x^{\otimes i}, x^{\otimes j}}^{-1} \\ &= r_n \circ Z(\overline{t_{i,j}}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition 5.4. We define a state $\psi_{\mathcal{P}} := i^\dagger : \mathcal{P}[0] \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ as in §3.3.

Proposition 5.5. *The triple $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfies (P2).*

Proof. Suppressing $\chi^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}}$ and ν ,

Proposition 5.6. *If $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical (so that \mathcal{V} was necessarily spherical by Lemma 2.15), so is $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. If $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical, then

5.1.2 Functoriality

Suppose $G = (G, \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}_1, \Phi_1^z, \vee_1, \psi_1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \Phi_2^z, \vee_2, \psi_2, x_2)$ is a 1-morphism of pointed unitary module multitensor categories over \mathcal{V} . (Recall that γ is a family of unitary isomorphisms $\gamma_v : \Phi_2(v) \rightarrow G(\Phi_1(v))$.)

Let $(\mathcal{P}_i, r^i, \psi_i) = \Lambda(\mathcal{C}_i, \Phi_i^z, \vee_i, \psi_i, x_i)$ be the unitary anchored algebra constructed in the previous subsection for $i = 1, 2$. In [HPT16b, §5.3], we obtained a map of ordinary anchored planar algebras $\Lambda(G) : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ as follows.

- First, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}_1$, we define $\zeta_c : \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(c) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^2(G(c))$ to be the mate of

$$\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(c)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(c)}} G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(c))) \xrightarrow{G(\varepsilon_c^1)} G(c)$$

under the unitary adjunction $\Phi_2 \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}^2$.

- The map $\Lambda(G) : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ associated to $G : (\mathcal{C}_1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, x_2)$ is the sequence of morphisms $\Lambda(G)[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2[n]$ given by

$$\Lambda(G)[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] = \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{x_1^{\otimes n}}} \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{P}_2[n].$$

It remains to prove that $\Lambda(G)$ is compatible with r_n and ψ , i.e.,

$$\overline{\Lambda(G)[n]} \circ r_n^1 = r_n^2 \circ \Lambda(G)[n] \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\text{and } \psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ \Lambda(G)[0]. \quad (24)$$

Equation (23) is checked by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(r_{x_1^{\otimes n}})} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x_1^{\otimes n}}^1} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n})} \\ \downarrow \zeta_{x_1^{\otimes n}} & & \downarrow \zeta_{\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}} & & \downarrow \zeta_{x_1^{\otimes n}} & \text{(Lem. 5.7)} & \downarrow \zeta_{\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}} \\ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n})) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(r_{x_1^{\otimes n}}))} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}})) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\nu))} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}})) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(x_1^{\otimes n})}^2} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n}))} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(r_{x_2^{\otimes n}})} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{x_2^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{x_2^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x_2^{\otimes n}}^2} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n})} \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.7. *The natural transformation $\zeta : \mathbf{Tr}_V^1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V^2 \circ G$ is involutive, i.e., for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_1$, $\overline{\zeta_c} \circ \chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1} = \chi_{G(c)}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\chi_c^G) \circ \zeta_{\overline{c}}$.*

Proof. We prove the equivalent relation:

$$(\chi_{G(c)}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2})^{-1} \circ \overline{\zeta_c} = \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\chi_c^G) \circ \zeta_{\overline{c}} \circ (\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})^{-1}.$$

To do so, we take mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{G(c)})) \cong \mathcal{C}_1(\Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}) \rightarrow \overline{G(c)}).$$

In the commutative diagram below, the mate of the left hand side above is going down and then right, and the mate of the right hand side is going right and then down.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})^{-1}} & \Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c})) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c})}} & G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c}))) \\ & \searrow \gamma_{\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}} & & \searrow G(\Phi_1(\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1}))^{-1} & \downarrow G(\varepsilon_{\overline{c}}^1) \\ & & G(\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})) & \xrightarrow{G(\chi_{\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})} & G(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}) \\ & \searrow \chi_{\Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^{\Phi_2} & & \downarrow \chi_{\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^G & \downarrow \chi_c^G \\ & & \overline{\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c))} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}} & \overline{G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)))} \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_2(\zeta_c) & & \downarrow \overline{G(\varepsilon_c^1)} \\ \Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c))}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c))}^{\Phi_2}} & \overline{\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c)))} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varepsilon_{G(c)}^2}} & \overline{G(c)} \end{array}$$

The pentagon in the diagram above is the involutivity axiom for γ . \square


Checking (24) is straightforward. By Lemma 3.8, ψ_j on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_j}(1_{\mathcal{C}_j})$ is identified with $i_j^\dagger \circ -$ on $\text{Hom}_V(1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_j[0])$ under the isomorphism (14), which is exactly ψ_j on \mathcal{P}_j by Definition 5.4. Since $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ G$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$, the result follows.

5.2 From unitary anchored planar algebras to unitary module tensor categories

Given a unitary anchored planar algebra $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ in \mathcal{V} , we begin by constructing an ordinary pivotal module tensor category (\mathcal{C}, Φ^z) as in [HPT16b, §6]. First, we construct a full subcategory \mathcal{C}_0 , and we obtain \mathcal{C} by taking the Cauchy completion.

- Objects in \mathcal{C}_0 are formal symbols “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $n \geq 0$.
- Hom spaces are defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) := \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n+k]).$$

Morphisms are represented graphically by .

- Composition is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} := \begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[p+k] \end{array} .$$

The diagram is a box containing two circles. The top circle has input 'p' and output 'n'. The bottom circle has input 'n' and output 'k'. Red lines connect the outputs of the top circle to the inputs of the bottom circle.

- The adjoint functor pair $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is given on objects by $\Phi(u) := \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}\text{”}$ and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) := v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n]$ and given on morphisms by

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \end{array} \quad \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \end{array} .$$

The first diagram is a box with a red dot inside. The second diagram is a box containing two circles. The top circle has input 'n' and output 'k'. The bottom circle has input 'k' and output 'n'. Red lines connect the outputs of the top circle to the inputs of the bottom circle.

Moreover, the identity map

$$\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) = \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n]) = \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}))$$

witnesses the adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

- Tensor product is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \otimes \begin{array}{c} x \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ w \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[q+p]} := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \begin{array}{c} x \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ w \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[q+p]} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{array} .$$

The diagram is a box containing two circles. The top circle has input 'n' and output 'q'. The bottom circle has input 'q' and output 'p'. Red lines connect the outputs of the top circle to the inputs of the bottom circle.

and the tensor unit is given by “ $\Phi(1) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}$ ”. The associators and unitors are inherited from those of \mathcal{V} , i.e.,

$$\alpha_{\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”, “}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”, “}\Phi(w) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}} := \begin{array}{c} u \otimes (v \otimes w) \\ | \\ \boxed{\alpha} \quad \boxed{r} \mathcal{P}[2r] \\ | \\ (u \otimes v) \otimes w \end{array} \quad r := i + j + k,$$

and similarly for the unitors λ, ρ .

- \mathcal{C}_0 is rigid with duals given by “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” $^\vee := \text{“}\Phi(v^\vee) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}$, and evaluation and coevaluation given by

$$\text{ev}_{\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}} = \begin{array}{c} \text{ev}_v \\ \downarrow \\ \text{v}^\vee \quad \text{v} \end{array} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \quad \text{coev}_{\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}} = \begin{array}{c} \text{v} \quad \text{v}^\vee \\ \uparrow \\ \text{coev}_v \end{array} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n].$$

Note here that the evaluation and coevaluation in \mathcal{V} come from our chosen unitary dual functor of \mathcal{V} . These choices of duals endow \mathcal{C}_0 with a dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$.

- The pivotal structure $\varphi^{\mathcal{C}} : \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow (\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”})^{\vee\vee}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{v}^{\vee\vee} \\ | \\ \boxed{\varphi_v} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{v}^{\vee\vee} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{coev}_v^\dagger} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v} \quad \text{v}^\vee \end{array}.$$

The equality above comes from the fact that φ_v is the canonical unitary pivotal structure of \mathcal{V} coming from \vee .

- The generator is $x = \text{“}\Phi(1_{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes x\text{”}$. Since we identify $1_{\mathcal{V}}^\vee$ with $1_{\mathcal{V}}$, we may identify $x^\vee = x$. The symmetric self duality $r_x : x \rightarrow x^\vee$ is the identity map.

5.2.1 Adding the dagger structure

It remains to perform the following tasks:

- (C1) construct a dagger structure on \mathcal{C} making it a unitary multitensor category
- (C2) construct a faithful state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$,
- (C3) check that $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a unitary dual functor and that the canonical unitary pivotal structure induced by $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\varphi^{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (C4) check that $r_x : x \rightarrow x^\vee$ is a real structure.
- (C5) check that the adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is unitary.

Definition 5.8. We define a dagger structure on \mathcal{C}_0 by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \Big)^* = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} := \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[k+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{r_{n+k}^{-1}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} . \quad (25)$$

It is straightforward to verify that $f^{**} = f$ for all morphisms f . To check the remainder of involutivity, we see that $*$ on the composite

$${}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}{}^{\prime\prime} \xrightarrow{f} {}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}{}^{\prime\prime} \xrightarrow{g} {}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(w) \otimes x^{\otimes p}{}^{\prime\prime}$$

is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \right)^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \Big)^* = \begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{r^{-1}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[p+k]} \\ = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{r^{-1}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[p+k]} ,$$

which is exactly the composite of f^* and g^* .

Lemma 5.9. For all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0({}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}{}^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow {}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}{}^{\prime\prime})$,

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[0]} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ 1_v \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if $f = 0$.

Proof. Expanding the quantity in question, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[k+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[0]} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ 1_v = \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[k+n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[0]} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ 1_v \\ = \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n]} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{---}} \\ | \\ \text{---} \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n]^\vee} \circ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \Big)^{\mathcal{P}[n]} \circ 1_v \geq 0 \quad (22,25)$$

with equality if and only if $f = 0$. □

Proposition 5.10 ((C1)). *The dagger structure (25) on \mathcal{C}_0 is \mathcal{C}^* .*

Proof. To show \mathcal{C}_0 is \mathcal{C}^* , we prove that given arbitrary “ $\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}$ ” and “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” in \mathcal{C}_0 , the linking algebra

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}) & \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}) \\ \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) & \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a \mathcal{C}^* -algebra. To do this, we show that the map $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ u \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[2k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[0] \text{---} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \text{---} 1_v \\ + \\ \begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[2k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[0] \text{---} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \text{---} 1_v \end{array}$$

is a faithful positive linear functional, i.e., $\phi(M^*M) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $M = 0$. Indeed, $\phi(M^*M)$ is a sum of four terms, each being positive by Lemma 5.9, and zero iff each term is individually zero.

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ u \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[n+k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} x \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ w \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \right)^* &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \text{---} f^\dagger \text{---} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ x \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[n+k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ p \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \text{---} r^{-1} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \text{---} f^* \text{---} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ x \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[n+k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ p \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \text{---} f^* \text{---} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ x \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[n+k] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ p \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{aligned}$$

where we use the braiding axiom of an anchored planar algebra in the final equality. Finally, the associators and unitors are visibly unitary. \square

Remark 5.11. Observe that the unitary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}_0 is the same as the ordinary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}_0 . In light of Proposition 5.10, \mathcal{C} is a unitary multitensor category.

Corollary 5.12 ((C2)). *The map $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ given by*

$$\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ 1_v \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[0] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ f \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} 1_v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ 1_v \end{array} \text{---} \mathcal{P}[0] \text{---} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ f \end{array} \text{---} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \text{---} 1_v$$

is a faithful state.

$$\mathrm{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u^\vee \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[4n] \\ \mathcal{P}[0] \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u^\vee \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[0] \end{array}$$

Now since $\vee_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ are spherical, we see that

$$\begin{array}{c} u^\vee \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u^\vee \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \\ \mathcal{P}[2k] \\ \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array}$$

and thus $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(f)) = \psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(f))$. \square

Now that \mathcal{C}_0 is endowed with a unitary dual functor and faithful state, we get a unitary trace on $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n})$ by $\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \mathrm{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 5.16 ((C5)). *The adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is unitary. In particular, Φ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ are dagger functors.*

Proof. We show the two inner products on $\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n])$ coming from \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{V} agree:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} &= (\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \mathrm{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}})(g^* \circ f) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} v \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{g^*} \\ \uparrow \\ v \end{array} \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \\ \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} \\ &\stackrel{(22,25)}{=} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} v \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} u \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{g^\dagger} \\ \uparrow \\ v \end{array} \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \\ \mathcal{P}[n]^\vee \\ \mathcal{P}[n] \end{array} v^\vee = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 5.9. \square

5.2.2 Functoriality

We now suppose $H : (\mathcal{P}_1, r^1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_2, r^2, \psi_2)$ is a map of unitary anchored planar algebras, and let $(\mathcal{C}_i, \Phi_i^z, \vee_i, \psi_i, x_i) = \Delta(\mathcal{P}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be the pointed unitary module multitensor categories constructed in the last subsection. In [HPT16b, §6.6], we constructed a strict map of ordinary pointed pivotal module tensor categories $\Delta(H) : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ by

$$\Delta(H) \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ \downarrow \\ \boxed{f} \\ \uparrow \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \boxed{H} \\ \mathcal{P}_2[n+k] \end{array} \quad \forall f : \Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$$

where the action-coherence morphism $\gamma^{\Delta(H)} : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow \Delta(H) \circ \Phi_1$ is the identity.

It remains to prove that:

- $\Delta(H)$ is a \dagger -tensor functor,
- the identity action coherence monoidal natural isomorphism $\text{id} : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow \Delta(H) \circ \Phi_1$ is involutive, i.e., for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\chi_v^{\Phi_2} = \chi_{\Phi_1(v)}^{\Delta(H)} \circ \Delta(H)(\chi_v^{\Phi_1}) : \Phi_2(\bar{v}) \rightarrow \overline{\Delta(H)(\Phi_1(v))}$, and
- $\psi_2 \circ \Delta(H) = \psi_1$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$.

For the first condition, since $\Delta(H)$ is strict, it suffices to check it is a \dagger -functor. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H) \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \right) &:= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} = \Delta(H)(f)^*. \end{aligned}$$

For the second condition, since these are the canonical coherences χ associated to a *strict* pivotal \dagger -tensor functor, they are all identities, and the result holds trivially.

Finally, for the third condition, for all $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$,

$$(\psi_2 \circ \Delta(H))(f) = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{f} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{\psi_2} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{f} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{\psi_1} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \psi_1(f).$$

5.3 Equivalence

Fix a braided unitary tensor category \mathcal{V} with a choice of unitary dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{V}}$. For this section, we use the following notation.

- **APA** is the 1-category of anchored planar algebras over \mathcal{V} , and **UAPA** is the 1-subcategory of unitary anchored planar algebras over \mathcal{V} .
- **ModTens** is the 1-truncation of the 2-category of pointed pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} , and **UModTens** is the 1-truncation of the 2-category of pointed unitary module multitensor categories over \mathcal{V} .

In [HPT16b], we constructed functors $\Delta : \text{APA} \rightarrow \text{ModTens}$ and $\Lambda : \text{ModTens} \rightarrow \text{APA}$. In §5.2.2 above, we showed Δ extends to a functor $\text{UAPA} \rightarrow \text{UModTens}$, and in §5.1.2 above, we showed Λ extends to a functor $\text{UModTens} \rightarrow \text{UAPA}$. Moreover, these extensions are clearly

compatible with the forgetful functors which forget our extra unitary structure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{UAPA} & \xrightleftharpoons{\Delta} & \text{UModTens} \\
 \downarrow \text{Forget} & \begin{array}{c} \Lambda \\ \Delta \end{array} & \downarrow \text{Forget} \\
 \text{APA} & \xrightleftharpoons{\Lambda} & \text{ModTens}
 \end{array}$$

In [HPT16b, §7], we showed that $\Lambda \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{\text{APA}}$, and it is immediate that $\Lambda \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{\text{UAPA}}$ upstairs. We also constructed a natural isomorphism $\Psi : \Delta \circ \Lambda \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{ModTens}}$ by

$$\Psi(f) = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \varepsilon \text{ with a red strand } u \text{ entering from the left, an orange strand } v \text{ entering from the right, and a red strand } j \text{ exiting from the right. A curved arrow labeled } f \text{ connects the red strands.} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} f \in \mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”}) \\ = \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[i + j]). \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{C}' = \Lambda(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$, which is the unitary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}'_0 (recall \mathcal{C}'_0 has objects “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” for $v \in \mathcal{V}$).

It remains to show that Ψ lifts to a unitary natural isomorphism upstairs. To do so, it is enough (in view of the fact that Ψ is an isomorphism) to show that $f \mapsto \Psi(f)$ is norm-preserving, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[i+j])} = \|\Psi(f)\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j})}.$$

By replacing f by $\text{ev}_v \circ (1_{\bar{v}} \otimes f)$ (i.e. folding the v strand to the left), we may assume without loss of generality that $v = 1_{\mathcal{V}}$. Similarly, by replacing $f \in \mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”})$ by the corresponding morphism in $\mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes i+j}\text{”})$, we may assume without loss of generality that $i = 0$. We may now proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.10 to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \varepsilon \text{ with a red strand } \Phi(u) \text{ entering from the left, and a red strand } j \text{ exiting from the right. A curved arrow labeled } \Phi(f) \text{ connects the red strands.} \end{array} \right\|^2 = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \text{mate}(f) \text{ with a red strand } \Phi(u) \text{ entering from the left, and a red strand } j \text{ exiting from the right. A curved arrow labeled } \Phi(u) \text{ connects the red strands.} \\ \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \text{mate}(f) \text{ with a red strand } \Phi(u) \text{ entering from the left, and a red strand } j \text{ exiting from the right. A curved arrow labeled } \Phi(u) \text{ connects the red strands.} \end{array} \\
 & = \|\text{mate}(f)\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \rightarrow x^{\otimes j})}^2 \\
 & = \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow \Phi(x^{\otimes j}))}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

References

- [Bae97] John C. Baez. Higher-dimensional algebra. II. 2-Hilbert spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 127(2):125–189, 1997. [MR1448713 DOI:10.1006/aima.1997.1617](https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1997.1617).
- [BCE⁺20] Marcel Bischoff, Ian Charlesworth, Samuel Evington, Luca Giorgetti, and David Penneys. Distortion for multifactor bimodules and representations of multifusion categories, 2020. [arXiv:2010.01067](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.01067).

- [BD95] John C. Baez and James Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, 36(11):6073–6105, 1995. [MR1355899](#) [arXiv:q-alg/9503002](#) [DOI:10.1063/1.531236](#).
- [BDH14] Arthur Bartels, Christopher L. Douglas, and André Henriques. Dualizability and index of subfactors. *Quantum Topol.*, 5(3):289–345, 2014. [MR3342166](#) [DOI:10.4171/QT/53](#) [arXiv:1110.5671](#).
- [BN91] Jocelyne Bion-Nadal. An example of a subfactor of the hyperfinite II_1 factor whose principal graph invariant is the Coxeter graph E_6 . In *Current topics in operator algebras (Nara, 1990)*, pages 104–113. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991. [MR1193933](#).
- [CHPJP22] Quan Chen, Roberto Hernández Palomares, Corey Jones, and David Penneys. Q-system completion for C^* 2-categories. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(3):Paper No. 109524, 2022. [MR4419534](#) [DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109524](#) [arXiv:2105.12010](#).
- [CP22] Quan Chen and David Penneys. Q-system completion is a 3-functor. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 38:Paper No. 4, 101–134, 2022. [MR4369356](#) [DOI:10.1002/num.22828](#) [arXiv:2106.12437](#).
- [Déc22] Thibault D. Décoppet. Multifusion categories and finite semisimple 2-categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 226(8):Paper No. 107029, 16, 2022. [MR4372801](#) [DOI:10.1016/j.jpaa.2022.107029](#) [arXiv:2012.15774](#).
- [DHP22] Zachary Dell, Peter Huston, and David Penneys. Unitary braided-enriched monoidal categories, 2022. [arXiv:2208.14992](#).
- [DR18] Christopher L. Douglas and David J. Reutter. Fusion 2-categories and a state-sum invariant for 4-manifolds, 2018. [arXiv:1812.11933](#).
- [Egg11] J. M. Egger. On involutive monoidal categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 25:No. 14, 368–393, 2011. [MR2861112](#).
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. [MR3242743](#) [DOI:10.1090/surv/205](#).
- [GdlHJ89] Frederick M. Goodman, Pierre de la Harpe, and Vaughan F.R. Jones. *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 14. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. x+288 pp. ISBN: 0-387-96979-9, [MR999799](#).
- [GJF19] Davide Gaiotto and Theo Johnson-Freyd. Condensations in higher categories, 2019. [arXiv:1905.09566](#).
- [GLR85] P. Ghez, R. Lima, and J. E. Roberts. W^* -categories. *Pacific J. Math.*, 120(1):79–109, 1985. [MR808930](#).
- [GMP⁺18] Pinhas Grossman, Scott Morrison, David Penneys, Emily Peters, and Noah Snyder. The Extended Haagerup fusion categories, 2018. [arXiv:1810.06076](#), to appear *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*
- [Hen17] André G. Henriques. What Chern-Simons theory assigns to a point. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 114(51):13418–13423, 2017. [MR3747830](#) [DOI:10.1073/pnas.1711591114](#) [arXiv:1503.06254](#).
- [HP17] André Henriques and David Penneys. Bicommutant categories from fusion categories. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 23(3):1669–1708, 2017. [MR3663592](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00029-016-0251-0](#) [arXiv:1511.05226](#).
- [HP20] André Henriques and David Penneys. Representations of fusion categories and their commutants, 2020. [arXiv:2004.08271](#).
- [HPT16a] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener. Categorized trace for module tensor categories over braided tensor categories. *Doc. Math.*, 21:1089–1149, 2016. [MR3578212](#) [arXiv:1509.02937](#).

- [HPT16b] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James E. Tener. Planar algebras in braided tensor categories, 2016. [arXiv:1607.06041](#), to appear Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [HV19] Chris Heunen and Jamie Vicary. *Categories for quantum theory*, volume 28 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019. An introduction, [MR3971584](#) [DOI:10.1093/oso/9780198739623.001.0001](#).
- [Izu94] Masaki Izumi. On flatness of the Coxeter graph E_8 . *Pacific J. Math.*, 166(2):305–327, 1994. [MR1313457](#) [euclid.pjm/1102621140](#).
- [JF20] Theo Johnson-Freyd. On the classification of topological orders, 2020. [arXiv:2003.06663](#).
- [JL17] Arthur Jaffe and Zhengwei Liu. Planar Para Algebras, Reflection Positivity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 352(1):95–133, 2017. [MR3623255](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-016-2779-4](#) [arXiv:1602.02662](#).
- [Jon83] Vaughan F. R. Jones. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 72(1):1–25, 1983. [MR696688](#), [DOI:10.1007/BF01389127](#).
- [Jon00] Vaughan F. R. Jones. The planar algebra of a bipartite graph. In *Knots in Hellas '98 (Delphi)*, volume 24 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 94–117. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000. [MR1865703](#).
- [Jon21] V. F. R. Jones. Planar algebras, I. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 52:1–107, 2021. [MR4374438](#) [DOI:10.53733/172](#) [arXiv:math.QA/9909027](#).
- [JP17] Corey Jones and David Penneys. Operator algebras in rigid C^* -tensor categories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 355(3):1121–1188, 2017. [MR3687214](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-017-2964-0](#) [arXiv:1611.04620](#).
- [JP19] Corey Jones and David Penneys. Realizations of algebra objects and discrete subfactors. *Adv. Math.*, 350:588–661, 2019. [MR3948170](#) [DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2019.04.039](#) [arXiv:1704.02035](#).
- [JP20] Corey Jones and David Penneys. Q-systems and compact W^* -algebra objects. In *Topological phases of matter and quantum computation*, volume 747 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 63–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020. [MR4079745](#) [DOI:10.1090/conm/747/15039](#) [arXiv:1707.02155](#).
- [Kaw95] Yasuyuki Kawahigashi. On flatness of Ocneanu’s connections on the Dynkin diagrams and classification of subfactors. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 127(1):63–107, 1995. [MR1308617](#) [DOI:10.1006/jfan.1995.1003](#).
- [Kel74] G. M. Kelly. Doctrinal adjunction. In *Category Seminar (Proc. Sem., Sydney, 1972/1973)*, pages 257–280. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 420. Springer, Berlin, 1974. [MR0360749](#).
- [LR97] R. Longo and J. E. Roberts. A theory of dimension. *K-Theory*, 11(2):103–159, 1997. [MR1444286](#) [DOI:10.1023/A:1007714415067](#) [arXiv:funct-an/9604008](#).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2008*, pages 129–280. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009. [MR2555928](#) [arXiv:0905.0465](#).
- [Pen20] David Penneys. Unitary dual functors for unitary multitensor categories. *High. Struct.*, 4(2):22–56, 2020. [MR4133163](#) [arXiv:1808.00323](#).
- [Pop90] Sorin Popa. Classification of subfactors: the reduction to commuting squares. *Invent. Math.*, 101(1):19–43, 1990. [MR1055708](#), [DOI:10.1007/BF01231494](#).
- [Pop95] Sorin Popa. *Classification of subfactors and their endomorphisms*, volume 86 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1995. [MR1339767](#).
- [Sel11] P. Selinger. A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. In *New structures for physics*, volume 813 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 289–355. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. [MR2767048](#) [DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-12821-9_4](#).

- [Tom21] Reiji Tomatsu. Centrally Free Actions of Amenable C^* -tensor categories on von Neumann algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 383(1):71–152, 2021. [MR4236062](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-021-04037-7](#) [arXiv:1812.04222](#).
- [Yam04] Shigeru Yamagami. Frobenius duality in C^* -tensor categories. *J. Operator Theory*, 52(1):3–20, 2004. [MR2091457](#).