

Filippo Saatkamp<sup>1</sup>  
filippo.saatkamp@gmail.com

# Reformulation of Special Relativity and Electromagnetism in terms of Reference Frames defined as maps from spacetime onto affine spaces

---

<sup>1</sup>Master's student of mathematics at the LMU Munich

## Abstract

The starting point of this paper is one of the several definitions of reference frames (frames for short) introduced in [1]: In classical mechanics a frame can be defined as a triple  $(E, \Pi, t)$ , where  $E$  is a 3-dimensional euclidean space,  $\Pi$  maps spacetime  $M$  onto  $E$  and  $t$  maps  $M$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . The definition allows an intuitive and coordinate-free formulation of Newtonian mechanics in terms of frames instead of coordinates [1]. In particular, the postulate of a set of charts on  $M$  (an atlas) is replaced by the postulate of a set of frames. Then the charts can be re-obtained through the choice of affine coordinates.

The main point of this paper is to continue the work and to reformulate special relativity and electromagnetism in terms of frames. In addition, we make some modifications to the definition of frames: In order to reflect the possibility to choose different origins of time, a frame is defined to be a quadruple - the additional item is a 1-dimensional affine space  $A$  and  $t$  maps  $M$  onto  $A$ . In addition, units enter the theory as elements of positive spaces and we obtain a geometric and manifestly unit-independent reformulation.

Each frame allows us to identify spacetime with a 4-dimensional product-space and we can generalize the definition of differentiable manifolds such that the frames turn out to form an atlas. Then the only difference between Newtonian mechanics and special relativity is the assumed type of transition functions - Galilean transformations or Poincaré transformations between affine spaces. This allows us to highlight the common features and differences in the second chapter of the paper. For example, we define velocity reciprocity in mathematical terms and prove the phenomenon for both kind of transformations. The chapter concludes with a discussion of inertial and accelerated frames.

In the third chapter we restrict our attention to the reformulation of special relativity and electromagnetism with a strong emphasis on covariance. World lines are introduced as particular subsets of spacetime, the proper time of world line is defined as an affine structure on the world line and the reformulation of electromagnetism is based on the representation of differential forms w.r.t. a frame.

## Acknowledgements

A heartfelt thanks goes to Valter Moretti for carefully reviewing the manuscript and giving valuable feedback. More generally, his didactic work - in particular [1] - has been a fundamental inspiration and I am thankful for his many elaborate answers to my questions.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Reference Frames</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Mathematical setup . . . . .	4
1.2	Reference Frames . . . . .	6
1.3	Generalized Manifolds and Tangent Bundles . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Classical Mechanics vs. Special Relativity</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Galilean Transformations . . . . .	9
2.2	Lorentz and Poincaré Transformations . . . . .	11
2.3	Representation of Lorentz transformations . . . . .	13
2.4	Orientations . . . . .	16
2.5	World Lines . . . . .	16
2.6	Transformation of vectors . . . . .	18
2.7	Velocity Reciprocity . . . . .	20
2.8	Interpretation of boosts . . . . .	22
2.9	Inertial frames and accelerated frames . . . . .	22
<b>3</b>	<b>Special Relativity and Electromagnetism</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1	Proper Time . . . . .	26
3.2	The Riemannian Metric . . . . .	27
3.3	4-vectors . . . . .	27
3.4	Covariant Electromagnetism . . . . .	29

# Chapter 1

## Reference Frames

### 1.1 Mathematical setup

Units are elements of positive spaces - this statement simply summarizes the commonly accepted axioms for units [2]:

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^+$  be the set of strictly positive real numbers. A **positive space** is a set  $P$  equipped with two operations  $+: P \times P \rightarrow P$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times P \rightarrow P$  with the following properties:

- $+$  is associative and commutative.
- For all  $u \in P : 1u = u$ .
- For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u \in P : (x \cdot y)u = x(yu)$  and  $(x + y)u = xu + yu$ .
- For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u, v \in P : x(u + v) = xu + xv$ .
- The operation  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times P \rightarrow P$  is a left free and transitive action of the group  $(\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot)$  on  $P$ .

That being said, let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the positive space associated to the units of time.  $\mathbb{T}$  can be extended to a 1-dimensional oriented real vector space  $V^1$ :

**Definition 2.** Let  $P$  be a positive space, then its **extension** consists of an oriented real vector space  $X^1$  and a function  $i: P \rightarrow X$  such that

- the image of  $i$  is equal to the positive part of  $X$ .
- the astriction<sup>1</sup> of  $i$  onto the image is a homomorphism of positive spaces.

Given two extensions of  $P$ , there is an obvious identification of the positive parts and this bijection extends to a unique vector space isomorphism.

Units of length are elements of a positive space  $\mathbb{L}$  and units of area are elements of its square  $\mathbb{L}^2$ , which is defined as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup>Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be some function and  $f(A) \subset U \subset B$ , then the obvious function  $A \rightarrow U$  is called an astriction of  $f$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $P$  be a positive space, then its square is a pair  $(Q, (\cdot)^2)$  consisting of a positive space  $Q$  and a function

$$P \ni l \mapsto l^2 \in Q$$

such that

$$(\lambda u)^2 = \lambda^2 u^2$$

for all  $u \in P$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Note that  $(\cdot)^2: P \rightarrow Q$  is bijective. Thus, if  $Q'$  is another square of  $P$ , then there is an obvious bijection  $Q \rightarrow Q'$  and it actually is an isomorphism of positive spaces.

Arrows are elements of a real vector space  $V^3$  and the inner product is a function from  $V^3 \times V^3$  to  $W^1$ , the extension of  $\mathbb{L}^2$ . Since  $W^1$  is oriented, it has a natural ordering and the proposition

$$\forall v \in V^3 : 0 \leq \langle v, v \rangle$$

makes sense. The codomain of the associated norm is not the positive space  $\mathbb{L}$ , because the length of a vector can be equal to zero. Thus, we have to introduce a new concept: Non-negative spaces, which contain a neutral element of addition and whose elements can be multiplied by non-negative real numbers. Given the definition of positive spaces, the definition of non-negative spaces is obvious. Then the codomain of the inner product is the square root of the non-negative part of  $W^1$ , defined as follows:

**Definition 4.** Let  $X$  be a non-negative space, then its square root consists of a non-negative space  $Y$  together with a function

$$X \ni x \mapsto \sqrt{x} \in Y$$

such that

$$\sqrt{\lambda x} = \sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{x}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Recall that two squares of the same positive space can be identified through a natural isomorphism of positive spaces. A similar construction allows us to identify two square roots of the same non-negative space through an isomorphism of non-negative spaces.

Lastly, we consider a speed  $c$  - a homomorphism of positive spaces from  $\mathbb{T}$  to  $\mathbb{L}$  - and the unique inner product  $V^1 \times V^1 \rightarrow W^1$  satisfying

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{T} : \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle} = cu.$$

**Definition 5.** Consider some velocity  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$ , then the function

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| : \mathbb{T} &\rightarrow \mathbb{L} \\ u &\mapsto \|vu\| \end{aligned}$$

is called its **speed**.

**Remark 1.** In the section on electromagnetism we consider a fixed set of units. Thus it is natural to wonder about the invariance of the physical laws under a change of units - that is, if some equation holds true for one particular choice of units, how do we know that it holds true for all possible choices of units? To answer the question, we first reformulate it within a clear mathematical setting:

In general, we consider a list of positive spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  (e.g. the positive spaces associated to the base dimensions of the International System of Quantities) and a **physical quantity** with values in a real vector space  $V$  is a function

$$Q: \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow V$$

with a well-defined dimension, meaning that there exists a list

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$$

such that

$$Q(\lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n x_n) = \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \lambda_n^{\alpha_n} Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $x$  and positive real numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

That being said, the initial question can be rephrased as follows: If we consider two physical quantities

$$Q, Q': \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow V$$

then what is a sufficient condition such that the following implication holds:

$$\exists x : Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q'(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Rightarrow \forall x : Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

A sufficient requirement that will always hold in practice is clearly that  $Q$  and  $Q'$  have the same dimension.

## 1.2 Reference Frames

**Definition 6.** A **reference frame** on a set  $M$  consists of the following data:

- An affine space  $A^1$  with translation space  $V^1$ .
- An affine space  $A^3$  with translation space  $V^3$ .
- A function  $t: M \rightarrow A^1$  and a function  $\Pi: M \rightarrow A^3$  such that the induced function  $F: M \rightarrow A^1 \times A^3$  is bijective.

**Definition 7.** Suppose we have fixed a reference frame, a unit of time  $e_0$  and a unit of length. Then for each choice of

- an origin of time  $0 \in A^1$ ,
- an origin of space  $O \in A^3$  and
- an orthonormal basis  $e$  of  $V^3$  (orthonormal w.r.t. the real valued inner product induced by the unit of length)

the bijective function

$$\begin{aligned} A^1 \times A^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (t, P) &\mapsto (e^0(t - 0), e(P - O)) \end{aligned}$$

is called an **orthonormal coordinate system**.

**Remark 2.** In [3] a reference frame on  $M$  is a maximal atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\forall \phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{A} : \exists B \in O(3) : d(\phi' \circ \phi^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

holds true. This definition is compatible with our definition in the following sense: Given a reference frame, a unit of time and a unit of length, then we can consider the composition of  $R$  with all orthonormal coordinate systems in order to obtain such an atlas. Conversely, suppose that the following data is given:

- A maximal atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying (1.1).
- An affine space  $A^1$  with translation space  $V^1$ .
- An affine space  $A^3$  with translation space  $V^3$ .
- A unit of time and a unit of length.

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all orthonormal coordinates, then we can easily construct a function  $R: M \rightarrow A^1 \times A^3$  such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\kappa \circ R : \kappa \in \mathcal{O}\} : \quad (1.2)$$

We simply pick some  $\kappa \in \mathcal{O}$  and a  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$  and set  $R := \kappa^{-1} \circ \phi$ . In fact, each function  $R$  satisfying (1.2) is obviously of this form.

### 1.3 Generalized Manifolds and Tangent Bundles

Consider a set of reference frames on a set  $M$  such that  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  continuously differentiable for each pair of reference frames. Note that there is a unique topology such that all reference frames are homeomorphisms. Then one way to introduce the tangent bundle is to use coordinates to define an atlas, but this is actually a detour: It is straightforward to generalize the definition of a differentiable manifold and its tangent bundle such that the reference frames form the atlas of a generalized manifold.

**Definition 8.** Suppose that we are given a topological space  $M$  and a positive integer  $n$ .

- A generalized  $n$ -dimensional reference frame (an  $n$ -frame for short) is a pair  $(A, F)$ , where  $A$  is an  $n$ -dimensional affine space and  $F: M \rightarrow A$  is a homeomorphism.<sup>2</sup>
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set of  $n$ -frames on  $M$ . If the **transition function**

$$F' \circ F^{-1}: A \rightarrow A'$$

is differentiable for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  is called a  $n$ -dimensional **differentiable space**.

**Remark 3.** Let  $M$  be a differentiable space. We would like to emphasize that the differentials of the transition functions are not assumed to be continuous. If they are, then  $M$  is called a continuously differentiable space.

**Definition 9.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable space. A **pre-tangent bundle** consists of the following data:

- A set  $TM$ .
- A function  $\pi: TM \rightarrow M$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>More generally,  $F$  could be a bijective function between a subset of  $M$  and a subset of  $A$ , but this is sufficient for our purposes. Given the usual definitions of differentiable manifolds with or without boundary, a generalization should be straightforward.

- For each  $p \in M$  an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space structure on  $T_pM := \pi^{-1}(\{p\})$  (in particular,  $T_pM$  is non-empty for all  $p \in M$ , i.e.  $\pi$  is surjective).

**Definition 10.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  be a differentiable space. A **tangent bundle** is a pair  $(TM, d)$ , where  $TM$  is a pre-tangent bundle and  $d$  is a function defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  with the following properties:

- Let  $(F, A)$  be some frame in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $V$  the translation space of  $A$ , then  $dF: TM \rightarrow A \times V$  is bijective,

$$\forall x \in A : \forall v \in V : dF^{-1}(x, v) \in T_{F^{-1}(x)}M$$

and for all  $p \in M$  the obvious function  $dF_p: T_pM \rightarrow V$  is a vector space isomorphism. Note that we made an abuse of notation by using the same letter for a frame and the associated bijective function, i.e.  $F = (A, F)$ . This will happen throughout the rest of the paper.

- If  $F$  and  $F'$  are two frames in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $dF' \circ dF^{-1} = d(F' \circ F^{-1})$ .

**Remark 4.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  be a continuously differentiable space.

- We can consider the unique topology on  $TM$  such that  $dF$  is a homeomorphism for all  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the differentials of the frames form a continuous atlas for the tangent bundle. Furthermore, each differential is a trivialization of the tangent bundle and we obtain a vector bundle.
- The tangent bundle is defined up to a natural isomorphism: If  $(TM', d')$  is a second tangent bundle, then the vector bundle isomorphism

$$\Phi := d'F \circ dF^{-1}: TM \rightarrow TM'$$

does not depend on  $F$ .

- To show the existence of a tangent bundle, we first note the existence of a pre-tangent bundle: For example, we can choose an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space  $T_pM$  for each  $p \in M$  and then consider the disjoint union. That being said, let  $TM$  be a pre-tangent bundle. For each  $p \in M$  we can pick a reference  $F$ , choose a vector space isomorphism  $dF_p \in L(T_pM, V)$  (where  $V$  is the translation space of the affine space associated to  $F$ ) and set

$$dF' := d(F' \circ F^{-1})_{F(p)} \circ dF_p$$

for all  $F' \in \mathcal{A}$ . We finally obtain a tangent bundle  $(TM, d)$ .



## Chapter 2

# Classical Mechanics vs. Special Relativity

### 2.1 Galilean Transformations

In view of our discussion of accelerated frames it is useful to introduce Galilean groups as a subgroup of a larger group. Furthermore, it will play an important role that the differentials of Galilean transformations are orientation-preserving (in the sense defined below), so we begin with a technical lemma:

**Lemma 1.** Let  $V^n$  and  $W^n$  be two real vector spaces and suppose that  $A \in L(V, W)$  is invertible. Then two bases  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  have the same orientation if and only if  $Av_1, \dots, Av_n$  and  $Aw_1, \dots, Aw_n$  have the same orientation. This has two implications:

- The function  $A$  defines a bijective function between the sets of orientations.
- If  $V = W$ , then  $A$  is either **orientation-preserving** or orientation-inverting.

*Proof.* Note that if  $e \in L(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the vector space isomorphism associated to the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , then  $e \circ A^{-1} \in L(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is the vector space isomorphism associated to the basis  $Ae_1, \dots, Ae_n$ . That being said, suppose that  $e$  and  $e'$  are two bases of  $V$  with the same orientation, i.e.  $\det(e' \circ e^{-1}) > 0$ . Then  $e \circ A^{-1}$  and  $e' \circ A^{-1}$  have the same orientation as well:

$$\det(e' \circ A^{-1} \circ (e \circ A^{-1})^{-1}) = \det(e' \circ e^{-1}) > 0.$$

□

**Definition 11.** Let  $F = (A^1, T, A^3, \Pi)$  and  $F' = (B^1, T', B^3, \Pi')$  be two reference frames, then  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is called an element of the **general kinematic group** if and only if

- $T' = \phi \circ T$ , where  $\phi: A^1 \rightarrow B^1$  is affine and  $d\phi = 1$ .

- $\forall t \in A^1$  the function

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_t: A^3 &\rightarrow B^3 \\ p &\mapsto (\Pi' \circ F^{-1})(t, p)\end{aligned}$$

is affine.

- The image of the function

$$\begin{aligned}R: A^1 &\rightarrow L(V^3, V^3) \\ t &\mapsto d\Sigma_t\end{aligned}$$

is a subset of the rotation group (i.e.  $R_t$  is orientation-preserving and orthogonal).

**Definition 12.** Let  $F = (A^1, T, A^3, \Pi)$  and  $F' = (B^1, T', B^3, \Pi')$  be two reference frames, then the transition function  $T := F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation if and only if

- $T$  is an element of the general kinematic group and
- $\forall p \in A^3$  the function

$$\begin{aligned}A^1 &\rightarrow B^3 \\ t &\mapsto (\Pi' \circ F^{-1})(t, p) = \Sigma_t(p)\end{aligned}$$

is affine and the differential is independent of  $p$ .

**Remark 5.** Let  $(V_1, V_2, W_1, W_2)$  be a list of vector spaces over the same field. Then each

$$A \in L(V_1 \oplus V_2, W_1 \oplus W_2)$$

can be identified with the unique matrix satisfying

$$\forall (v_1, v_2) \in V_1 \oplus V_2 : A(v_1, v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}v_1 + A_{12}v_2 \\ A_{21}v_1 + A_{22}v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the composition of two linear operators corresponds to the product of the matrices. Note that  $A_{ij} \in L(V_j, W_i)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two reference frames, then  $T := F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation if and only if  $T$  is affine and

$$dT = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & R \end{pmatrix}$$

for some rotation  $R \in L(V^3, V^3)$ .

*Proof.* If the transition function  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is assumed to be a Galilean transformation, then it is straightforward to prove that it has the properties listed above. To prove the other direction we first show that the function  $R: A^1 \rightarrow L(V^3, V^3)$  from definition 11 is constant: Given some  $v \in V^3$  we can choose  $p, q \in A^3$  such that  $v = q - p$  and then  $R_t v = d(\Sigma_t)(q - p) = \Sigma_t(q) - \Sigma_t(p)$  for all  $t \in A^1$ . This implies that

$$A^1 \ni t \mapsto R_t v \in V^3$$

is constant for each  $v \in V^3$ . That being said, let  $R$  be a rotation in  $L(V^3, V^3)$  for the rest of the proof.

Note that there exists a  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$  such that

$$\Sigma_{t+u}(p) = \Sigma_t(p) + vu$$

for all  $t \in A^1, u \in V^1, p \in A^3$ : By assumption the function  $A^1 \ni t \mapsto \Sigma_t(p) =: p(t) \in B^3$  is affine and its differential  $v := dp \in L(V^1, V^3)$  is independent of  $p$ .

That being said, consider  $t \in A^1, u \in V^1, p \in A^3, x \in V^3$ , then

$$(F' \circ F^{-1})(t + u, p + x) = (\phi(t + u), \Sigma_{t+u}(p + x)) = (F' \circ F^{-1})(t, p) + (u, vu + Rx)$$

and this shows that  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is affine and that its differential has the desired form.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** By our definition the differential of a Galilean transformation is orientation-preserving. This will allow us to identify the orientations of the tangent bundle with the orientations of  $V^3$  in section 2.4, but most importantly this implies that the transformation of vectors defined in 2.6 is orientation-preserving.

**Lemma 3.** Consider two frames of reference  $F$  and  $F'$ . Fix some unit of time and length. Suppose  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are orthonormal coordinates for  $F$  and  $F'$ . If the bases associated to  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  have the same orientation, then  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation if and only if

$$d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & R \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $R \in \text{SO}(3)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the following facts: Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are two affine functions, then  $B \circ A$  is affine and

$$d(B \circ A) = dB \circ dA.$$

Moreover, if  $A$  is invertible, then  $A^{-1}$  is affine and  $d(A^{-1}) = (dA)^{-1}$ . Now the key is to realize that  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are affine and to compute the differentials.  $\square$

## 2.2 Lorentz and Poincaré Transformations

**Definition 13.** Consider the bilinear form  $\eta$  on  $V^1 \oplus V^3$  defined by

$$\forall v, w \in V^1 : \forall x, y \in V^3 : \eta \begin{pmatrix} v \\ x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \langle v, w \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle.$$

A vector space endomorphism  $\Lambda$  on  $V^1 \oplus V^3$  is called a **Lorentz transformation** if it preserves  $\eta$ , i.e.

$$\forall u, u' \in V^1 \oplus V^3 : \eta(\Lambda u, \Lambda u') = \eta(u, u').$$

**Remark 7.** Of course an endomorphism preserves  $\eta$  if and only if it preserves  $-\eta$ . But the signature has not been chosen arbitrarily: The most important reason is explained in remark 14 and a more aesthetic reason is that we do not need to consider the absolute value of the metric in the definition of proper time.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Lorentz transformation. Note that  $\Lambda_{11} \in L(V^1, V^1)$  can be identified with a real number: If  $A \in L(V^1, V^1)$  and  $m: \mathbb{R} \times V^1 \rightarrow V^1$  is the scalar multiplication associated to  $V^1$ , then there is a unique  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A = m(x, \cdot)$ . That being said,  $1 \leq |\Lambda_{11}|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e_0$  be some unit of time and  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  a basis of  $V^3$  such that

$$\forall i, j : \frac{\langle e_i, e_j \rangle}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Then we obtain a basis  $(e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3)$  of  $V^1 \oplus V^3$ . Lastly, we define  $\widehat{\eta}: V \rightarrow V^*$  through

$$\forall v, w \in V : \frac{\eta(v, w)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} =: (\widehat{\eta}v)w.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\eta(e_0, e_0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \frac{\eta(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0, \widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \frac{\eta(\Lambda^{-1}\widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0, \Lambda^{-1}\widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \frac{\eta(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0\Lambda, \widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^0\Lambda)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^3 \sum_{l=0}^3 e^0 \Lambda e_k e^0 \Lambda e_l \frac{\eta(\widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^k, \widehat{\eta}^{-1}e^l)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \Lambda^0_0 \Lambda^0_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \Lambda^0_\alpha \Lambda^0_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\Lambda^0_0 \Lambda^0_0 = 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \Lambda^0_\alpha \Lambda^0_\alpha \quad (2.1)$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 14.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Lorentz transformation. Lemma 4 shows that  $\Lambda_{11}$  is either positive or negative. If  $\Lambda_{11}$  is positive, then  $\Lambda$  is called orthochronous. If  $\Lambda$  is additionally orientation-preserving, then  $\Lambda$  is called **proper orthochronous**.

**Definition 15.** Let  $R$  and  $R'$  be two reference frames such that  $T := R' \circ R^{-1}$  is affine. If  $dT$  is a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation, then  $T$  is called a Poincaré transformation. We already justified the requirement of orientation-preservation in our definition of Galilean transformations.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two frames of reference. Furthermore, fix a set of natural units and let  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  be orthonormal coordinates for  $F$  and  $F'$  such that the associated bases of  $V^3$  have the same orientation.

- $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is affine if and only if  $\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}$  is affine.
- If  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is affine, then  $d(F' \circ F^{-1})$  is a Lorentz transformation if and only if  $d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1})$  is a Lorentz transformation.
- Suppose that  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is affine and  $d(F' \circ F^{-1})$  is a Lorentz transformation. If the bases of  $V^3$  associated to  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  have the same orientation, then  $d(F' \circ F^{-1})$  is proper orthochronous if and only if  $d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1})$  is proper orthochronous.

*Proof.* Recall the proof of lemma 3 for the first item. To prove the second item, it helps to first introduce some new terminology:  $\square$

**Definition 16.** Let  $V$  be some vector space over the field  $F$ . If  $A: V \times V \rightarrow F$  is bilinear, then the pair  $(V, A)$  is called a **bilinear space**.

**Definition 17.** Let  $(V, A)$  and  $(W, B)$  be two bilinear spaces over the same field. Then  $T \in L(V, W)$  is called **product-preserving** if

$$\forall u, v \in V : B(Tu, Tv) = A(u, v).$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $U, V, W$  be bilinear spaces over the same field. If  $A \in L(U, V)$  and  $B \in L(V, W)$  are product-preserving, then  $A^{-1} \in L(V, U)$  and  $B \circ A \in L(U, W)$  are product-preserving as well.

*Proof.* The proof is left as an exercise. □

*Proof of lemma 5.* Now the proof is straightforward: Since coordinate systems are affine and their differentials are product-preserving (w.r.t. to the Minkowski metric), the claim follows from the last lemma: If  $d(F' \circ F^{-1})$  is a Lorentz transformation, then

$$d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}) = d(\phi') \circ d \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ (d\phi)^{-1}$$

is a Lorentz transformation and conversely, if  $d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1})$  is a Lorentz transformation, then

$$d(F' \circ F^{-1}) = (d\phi')^{-1} \circ d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}) \circ d\phi$$

is a Lorentz transformation.

Since we have already proven the second item, the third item boils down to the following fact: Since the bases  $d\phi$  and  $d\phi'$  have the same orientation, the determinant of  $d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1})$  is positive if and only if  $d(R' \circ R^{-1})$  is orientation-preserving. This can easily be verified. □

## 2.3 Representation of Lorentz transformations

**Definition 18.** We define an inner product on  $V^1 \oplus V^3$  as follows:

$$\forall v, w \in V^1 \oplus V^3 : \forall x, y \in V^3 : \begin{pmatrix} v \\ x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \langle v, w \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle$$

A Lorentz transformation is called a **Lorentz boost** if it is symmetric and positive w.r.t. this inner product.

**Lemma 7.** Lorentz boosts are proper orthochronous.

*Proof.* Consider a basis like in the proof of lemma 4, then a Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda$  is boost (proper orthochronous) if and only if the matrix  $(e^i \Lambda e_j)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 3}$  is a boost (proper orthochronous) and thus the claim boils down to theorem 2 in [4]. □

**Definition 19.** Suppose that  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$  and  $\|v\| < c$ . We set

$$\gamma := \left[ 1 - \frac{\|v\|}{c} \frac{\|v\|}{c} \right]^{-1/2} \in [1, \infty[$$

and we define  $J \in L(V^3, V^1)$  through

$$\forall x \in V^3 : Jx = \frac{\langle vu, x \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u$$

where  $u$  is some basis of  $V^1$  (but  $J$  does not depend on the choice of  $u$ ). Lastly, let  $P \in L(V^3, V^3)$  be the projection of  $V^3$  onto the image of  $v$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma J \\ \gamma v & I + (\gamma - 1)P \end{pmatrix} =: \Lambda(v)$$

can be verified to be a Lorentz boost.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation, then there exist a unique rotation  $R \in L(V^3, V^3)$  and a unique  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$  with  $\|v\| < c$  such that

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \Lambda(v).$$

Similarly, there exist a unique rotation  $R'$  and a unique  $v' \in L(V^1, V^3)$  with  $\|v'\| < c$  such that

$$\Lambda(v') \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R' \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda.$$

In fact  $R = R'$  and  $v' = R \circ v$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the following two theorems: □

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a Lorentz boost, then there is a unique  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$  such that  $\|v\| < c$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda(v)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the set  $X := \{v \in L(V^1, V^3) : \|v\| < c\}$  and let

$$Y \subset L(V^1 \oplus V^3, V^1 \oplus V^3)$$

be the set of all boosts. It can be verified that

$$\Lambda(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma J \\ \gamma v & I + (\gamma - 1)P \end{pmatrix} \in Y$$

for all  $v \in X$ , so we want to show that the function  $\Lambda: X \rightarrow Y$  is bijective. We do so by considering a basis  $e$  of  $V^1 \oplus V^3$  like the one in the proof of lemma 4 and showing that  $\Lambda$  is the composition of bijective functions:

- Consider the bijective function

$$\begin{aligned} A: L(V^1, V^3) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ v &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^3 (e^i \circ v)(e_0) \end{aligned}$$

We have  $A(X) = B(0, 1)$  and hence we obtain a bijection  $\tilde{A}: X \rightarrow B(0, 1)$ .

- The function

$$B: B(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$v \mapsto \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - v^t v}}$$

is bijective (the function

$$v: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow B(0, 1)$$

$$B \mapsto \frac{B}{\sqrt{1 + B^t B}}$$

is its inverse.)

- Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$  be the set of all boosts, then

$$C: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow Z$$

$$B \mapsto \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \gamma & B^t \\ \hline B & I + \frac{B B^t}{1 + \gamma} \end{array} \right]$$

with  $\gamma(B) := \sqrt{1 + B^t B}$  is a bijective function (see [4] for a proof).

- The function

$$D: \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \rightarrow L(V^1 \oplus V^3, V^1 \oplus V^3)$$

$$A \mapsto e^{-1} \circ A \circ e$$

is bijective and  $D(Z) = Y$ , so we can consider the bijection  $\tilde{D}: Z \rightarrow Y$ .

It can be verified that  $\Lambda = \tilde{D} \circ C \circ B \circ \tilde{A}$ . □

It is well known that each Lorentz transformation on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  can be decomposed into a boost and a spatial rotation (see [5] for example). Furthermore it was observed in [4] that this decomposition is nothing but the polar decomposition. The advantage is that the polar decomposition theorem can just as well be applied to the Lorentz transformations from definition 13:

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $A \in L(V^1 \oplus V^3, V^1 \oplus V^3)$  is invertible.

- There exists a unique pair  $(\Lambda, \Omega)$  such that:  $A = \Lambda \Omega$  and
  - $\Lambda$  is a symmetric and positive
  - $\Omega$  is orthogonal

w.r.t. the inner product from definition 18.

- There exists a unique pair  $(\Lambda', \Omega')$  such that:  $A = \Lambda' \Omega'$  and
  - $\Lambda'$  is a symmetric and positive
  - $\Omega'$  is orthogonal

w.r.t. the inner product from definition 18.

- $\Omega = \Omega'$  and  $\Lambda' = \Omega \Lambda \Omega^\dagger$

- Let  $A$  be a Lorentz transformation, then  $\Lambda$  is Lorentz transformation and hence a boost. Since Lorentz transformations form a group (a subgroup of the group of vector space automorphisms on  $V^1 \oplus V^3$ ), this means that  $\Omega = \Lambda^{-1}A$  is a Lorentz transformation. Thus,

$$\Lambda' = \Omega\Lambda\Omega^{-1}$$

is a Lorentz transformation as well.

- Now suppose that  $A$  is a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation. Since proper orthochronous transformations form a subgroup of the Lorentz group, the last item shows that  $\Omega$  is a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation. This together with the fact that  $\Omega$  is orthogonal means that there exists a rotation  $R \in L(V^3, V^3)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} = \Omega.$$

*Proof.* The first three items are a special case of the polar decomposition theorem in the finite-dimensional case. See [6] for a thorough discussion. We can proceed like in the proof of lemma 7 and then our claim that  $\Lambda$  is a Lorentz transformation boils down to theorem 2 in [4].  $\square$

## 2.4 Orientations

Consider a set of reference frames on a set  $M$  such that all transition functions are Poincaré transformations (Galilean transformations). Then there is a unique topology on  $M$  such that all reference frames are homeomorphisms and proposition 15.9 in [7] tells us that there are precisely two continuous orientations of the tangent bundle. Furthermore, there is a natural bijection between the orientations of  $V^3$  and the continuous orientations of  $M$ :

Suppose that we have chosen an orientation of  $V^3$ . Since  $V^1$  is oriented, the orientation determines an orientation of  $V^1 \oplus V^3$ . Furthermore, if  $F$  is some reference frame, then the vector space isomorphism

$$dF_p \in L(T_p M, V^1 \oplus V^3)$$

allows us to assign an orientation to  $T_p M$  for all  $p \in M$  (see lemma 1). The assignment is independent of  $F$  and equals one of the two continuous orientations of  $M$ .

## 2.5 World Lines

We begin this section with a summary of the main results and highlight the differences between special relativity and Newtonian mechanics:

Consider a reference frame  $F$  on a set  $M$  and a subset  $W$  of  $M$ . Our goal is to define what it means that  $W$  is a world line w.r.t.  $F$  such that we can prove the following result: If  $W$  is a world line w.r.t.  $F$  and  $F'$  is another reference frame, then  $W$  is also a world line w.r.t.  $F'$ . Of course the adequate definition will depend on the assumed relation between the reference frames. In the context of Special Relativity it is natural to require that the speed of a world line w.r.t.  $F$  does not exceed the speed of light and we will prove the covariance of this requirement (i.e. the theory is compatible with the experimental data). In the simpler Galilean case this is not required.



**Definition 20** (World lines in special relativity). Let  $W$  be a subset of  $M$ ,  $i: W \rightarrow M$  the inclusion and  $F$  a reference frame. Suppose  $t: M \rightarrow A^1$  and  $\Pi: M \rightarrow A^3$  are the two projections associated to  $F$ .  $W$  is called a **world line** w.r.t.  $F$  if

- the restriction of  $t: M \rightarrow A^1$  to  $W$  is injective,
- its image is an interval  $I \subset A^1$ ,
- the function

$$P := \Pi \circ i \circ t^{-1}: I \rightarrow A^3$$

is differentiable and  $\|v\| \leq c$  with  $v := dP: I \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$ .

**Theorem 3.** If  $W \subset M$  is a world line w.r.t. to a reference frame  $F$ , then  $W$  is a world line w.r.t. every reference frame.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $W \subset M$  is a world line w.r.t.  $F$ . We want to show that  $W$  is also a world line w.r.t.  $F'$ . The proof consists of two parts: In the first part, we show that the restriction of the projection  $t': M \rightarrow F^1$  to  $W$  is injective and that its image is an interval. In the second part, we show that  $\|dP' < c\|$ .

Part 1: Let  $t: W \rightarrow I \subset A^1$  be the obvious bijection. It suffices to show that  $t' \circ t^{-1}$  is strictly increasing. To do so, consider the basis  $e$  from the proof of lemma 4. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{dt'}{dt} := \frac{d(t' \circ t^{-1})e_0}{e_0} > 0$$

(the LHS is obviously independent of  $e$ ). Firstly, we note that  $t' \circ t^{-1} = \Pi \circ T \circ X$  where  $X: I \rightarrow A^1 \times A^3$  is the representation of the world line w.r.t.  $F$ ,

$$T := F' \circ F^{-1}: A^1 \times A^3 \rightarrow B^1 \times B^3$$

and  $\Pi: B^1 \times B^3 \rightarrow B^1$  is the obvious projection. Thus, if  $\Lambda := dT$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dt'}{dt} &= \frac{d(\Pi \circ T \circ X)e_0}{e_0} = (e^0 \circ \Lambda \circ dX)e_0 = e^0 \Lambda \sum_{\alpha=0}^3 e^\alpha (dX e_0) e_\alpha \\ &= e^0 \Lambda e_0 + e^0 \Lambda \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v(e_0) e_\alpha = e^0 \Lambda e_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v(e_0) e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $0 < e^0 \Lambda e_0$  because  $\Lambda$  is orthochronous, so it suffices to show that

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v(e_0) e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha \right| < e^0 \Lambda e_0.$$

to conclude the proof. (2.1) implies that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^3 \Lambda^0_i \Lambda^0_i} < e^0 \Lambda e_0$$

and the condition on the speed is

$$\sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v e_0} = \frac{\|v e_0\|}{u} = \frac{\|v e_0\|}{c e_0} = \frac{\|v\|}{c} < 1$$

Now the Cauchy Schwarz inequality delivers the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v(e_0) e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha \right| &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^\alpha v(e_0) e^\alpha v(e_0)} \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha e^0 \Lambda e_\alpha} < e^0 \Lambda e_0 \end{aligned}$$

Part 2: The key is to realize that  $\|v\| < c$  and

$$0 < \frac{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle - \langle dP e_0, dP e_0 \rangle}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \frac{\eta(dX e_0, dX e_0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}$$

are equivalent. Consider the obvious function  $t: I' \rightarrow I$ , then

$$X' = T \circ X \circ t$$

and hence by the chain rule

$$\frac{\eta(dX' e_0, dX' e_0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} = \left[ \frac{\eta(dT dX e_0, dT dX e_0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} \circ t \right] \frac{dt}{dt'} \frac{dt}{dt'} = \left[ \frac{\eta(dX e_0, dX e_0)}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle} \circ t \right] \frac{dt}{dt'} \frac{dt}{dt'} > 0.$$

□

**Remark 8.** In Newtonian mechanics, we do not require that the speed of world line does not exceed the speed of light, i.e. we simply drop the last item in definition 20. Then the proof of theorem 3 is similar, but much simpler: We only have to show that  $dt'/dt > 0$  and it follows from our definition of Galilean transformations that  $dt'/dt \equiv 1$ .

**Corollary 2.** . Let  $F$  be a reference frame on a set  $M$ , then each  $P \in A^3$  can be identified with a constant function  $P: A^1 \rightarrow A^3$  and thus with a world line  $P \subset M$  (the preimage of the graph of  $P: A^1 \rightarrow A^3$  under  $F$ ). This holds true both in special relativity and Newtonian mechanics.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of theorem 3. □

## 2.6 Transformation of vectors

**Theorem 4.** Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two reference frames such that  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation or a Poincaré transformation and recall that each point in  $F$  corresponds to a world line by corollary 2.

1. Each point in  $F$  has a constant velocity in  $F'$ . In addition, all points have the same velocity. This velocity is called the velocity of  $F$  w.r.t.  $F'$  and is denoted by  $v(F|F')$ . This allows us to define a function

$$\Phi: A^3 \times A^3 \rightarrow V^3$$

where  $\Phi(P, Q)$  is the (time-independent) vector from  $P$  to  $Q$  in  $F'$ .

2. If  $P, Q, X, Y \in A^3$  and  $Q - P = Y - X$ , then

$$\Phi(P, Q) = \Phi(X, Y)$$

and thus we can define a function  $T(F \rightarrow F'): V^3 \rightarrow V^3$ .

3. If  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation, i.e.

$$d(F' \circ F^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some rotation  $R \in L(V^3, V^3)$  and  $v \in L(V^1, V^3)$ , then

$$T(F \rightarrow F') = R.$$

4. If  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Poincaré transformation, i.e.

$$d(F' \circ F^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma J \\ \gamma v & I + (\gamma - 1)P \end{pmatrix}$$

(see corollary 1), then

$$T(F \rightarrow F') = R + (\gamma - 1)(R \circ P) - \gamma(R \circ v \circ J).$$

5. In both cases  $v(F|F') = Rv$  and  $v(F'|F) = -v$ .

6. The items above show that  $T$  is an orientation-preserving vector space isomorphism, i.e. each basis is mapped to another basis with the same orientation. This is a consequence of the requirement that the differential of a Poincaré transformation (a Galilean transformation) is an orientation-preserving vector space isomorphism.

*Proof.*

Notation:

- Given  $P \in A^3$ , the function

$$A^1 \ni t \mapsto (t, P) \in A^1 \times A^3$$

will be denoted by  $P$  as well.

- Given  $x \in V^3$ , the function

$$V^1 \ni t \mapsto (t, x) \in V^1 \times V^3$$

will be denoted by  $x$  as well.

- $T := F' \circ F^{-1}: A^1 \times A^3 \rightarrow B^1 \times B^3$

- $\Pi^1: B^1 \times B^3 \rightarrow B^1$  and  $\Pi^3: B^1 \times B^3 \rightarrow B^3$  are the canonical projections.

1.

Suppose  $P \in A^3$ , then

$$P' := (\Pi^3 \circ T \circ P) \circ (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1}: B^1 \rightarrow B^3$$

is its path in  $F'$ .  $P'$  is an affine function since the composition of affine functions is affine and the inverse of an affine function is affine. This already shows that  $P$  has a constant velocity in  $F'$ . Now we show that each point has the same velocity:

Consider

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(V^1, V^1 \oplus V^3),$$

then  $d(P') = A$  and thus

$$d(P') = \underbrace{d\Pi^3 \circ dT \circ A}_{=\gamma Rv} \circ \underbrace{(d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ A)^{-1}}_{=\gamma} = R \circ v =: v(F|F') \in L(V^1, V^3).$$

2. and 4. (3. is analogous)

Choose  $P, Q \in A^3$  such that  $Q - P = x \in V^3$ . Our goal is to prove that

$$\forall t \in B^1 : Q(t) - P(t) = Rx + (\gamma - 1)(R \circ P)x - \gamma(R \circ v \circ J)x.$$

Firstly, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^3 \circ T \circ Q) \circ (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ Q)^{-1} - (\Pi^3 \circ T \circ P) \circ (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1} \\ = (d\Pi \circ dT) \left( \begin{array}{c} (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ Q)^{-1} - (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1} \\ Q - P \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now prove

$$\forall t \in B^1 : (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ Q)^{-1}(t) - (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1}(t) = -Jx$$

since this concludes the proof:

Choose some origin  $O \in M$  and let

$$\begin{array}{ll} F: A^1 \rightarrow V^1 & \tilde{F}: B^1 \rightarrow V^1 \\ G: A^3 \rightarrow V^3 & \tilde{G}: B^3 \rightarrow V^3 \\ H: A^1 \times A^3 \rightarrow V^1 \times V^3 & \tilde{H}: B^1 \times B^3 \rightarrow V^1 \times V^3 \end{array}$$

be the induced bijections. Note that

$$(\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1} = F^{-1} \circ \underbrace{(\tilde{F} \circ \Pi^1 \circ \tilde{H}^{-1})}_{=d\Pi^1} \circ \underbrace{\tilde{H} \circ T \circ H^{-1}}_{dT} \circ \underbrace{(H \circ P \circ F^{-1})}_{=P-O}^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}$$

and thus setting  $\vec{P} := P - O$  for all  $P \in A^3$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ Q)^{-1} - (\Pi^1 \circ T \circ P)^{-1} \\ = F^{-1} \circ (d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ \vec{Q})^{-1} \circ \tilde{F} - F^{-1} \circ (d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ \vec{P})^{-1} \circ \tilde{F} \\ = (d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ \vec{Q})^{-1} \circ \tilde{F} - (d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ \vec{P})^{-1} \circ \tilde{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\forall x \in V^3 : \forall t \in V^1 : (d\Pi^1 \circ dT \circ x)^{-1}(t) = \frac{t}{\gamma} - Jx$$

we finally obtain the desired result. □

## 2.7 Velocity Reciprocity

**Theorem 5.**

- If  $F$  and  $F'$  measure the speed of each other, then the measured speeds are equal:

$$\|v(F|F')\| = \|v(F'|F)\|$$

- If an observer in  $F'$  represents the direction of  $v(F|F')$  by an arrow, then the arrow and  $v(F'|F)$  have opposite directions from the point of view of an observer in  $F$ . In other words, there exists a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$T(F \rightarrow F') \circ v(F'|F) = -\alpha v(F|F') \in L(V^1, V^3).$$

- If  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation, then  $\alpha = 1$  and if  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Poincaré transformation, then

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

(this is an occurrence of length contraction).

*Proof.* We prove the Lorentzian case, because the Galilean case is analogous and simpler:

Theorem 4 tells us that  $v(F|F') = Rv$  and  $v(F'|F) = -v$  and therefore  $\|v(F|F')\| = \|v(F'|F)\|$ . Since  $P \circ v = v$  and

$$v \circ J \circ v = \frac{\|v\|}{c} \frac{\|v\|}{c} v \in L(V^1, V^1)$$

we obtain the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} T(F \rightarrow F') \circ v(F'|F) &= -T(F \rightarrow F') \circ v = -(R \circ v) - (\gamma - 1)(R \circ P \circ v) + \gamma(R \circ v \circ J \circ v) \\ &= -\gamma Rv + \gamma RvJv = -\gamma \left[ 1 - \frac{\|v\|}{c} \frac{\|v\|}{c} \right] Rv = -\frac{Rv}{\gamma} = -\frac{v(F|F')}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 9.** In Newtonian Mechanics, we may assume that  $d(F' \circ F^{-1})$  is a Galilean boost for each pair of reference frames - the reason is that Galilean boosts form a group. Then the equations

$$\begin{aligned} T(F \rightarrow F') \circ v(F'|F) &= -v(F|F') \\ v(P|F') &= T(F \rightarrow F') \circ v(P|F) + v(F|F') \end{aligned}$$

(where  $P$  is a world line) simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} v(F'|F) &= -v(F|F') \\ v(P|F') &= v(P|F) + v(F|F') \end{aligned}$$

since  $T(F \rightarrow F') = 1$  for each pair of reference frames. But there is no physical motivation for this assumption. In fact, the assumption can be misleading: We then get the impression that velocity reciprocity means that

$$\forall F, F' : v(F'|F) = -v(F|F'),$$

but since Lorentz boosts do not form a group, it then seems like velocity reciprocity does not hold true in the context of Special Relativity.

## 2.8 Interpretation of boosts

**Theorem 6.** Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two reference frames on  $M$  such that  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Galilean transformation,  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  are orthonormal coordinates for  $F$  and  $F'$ . If  $e$  and  $e'$  are the bases of  $V^3$  associated to  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ , then the differential of

$$\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

is a boost if and only if  $F$  observes that both bases are the same, i.e.

$$\forall i: T(F' \rightarrow F)e'_i = e_i.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in L(V^3, V^3)$  be the isomorphism defined by  $\forall i: e'_i = Ae_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi' \circ F' \circ F^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}) &= d\phi' \circ d(F' \circ F^{-1}) \circ d\phi^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e \circ A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R \circ v & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e \circ A^{-1} \circ R \circ v & e \circ A^{-1} \circ R \circ e^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and  $e \circ A^{-1} \circ R \circ e^{-1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow A = R \Leftrightarrow A = T(F' \rightarrow F)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 10.** The last theorem does not hold if  $F' \circ F^{-1}$  is a Poincaré transformation: If  $d(\phi' \circ R' \circ R^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1})$  happens to be a boost, the basis of  $F'$  is not perceived as equal to the basis of  $F$  by an observer in  $F$ : Set  $\kappa := \phi \circ R$ , then this boils down to the fact that the vector space isomorphism

$$T(\kappa \rightarrow \kappa') \in L(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$$

defined in the obvious way does not map the standard basis to the standard basis.

## 2.9 Inertial frames and accelerated frames

### Frames accelerated with respect to another frame

Let  $F$  be a frame on a set  $M$  and  $P \subset M$  a world line w.r.t.  $F$ . It is natural to wonder about the existence and uniqueness of a frame  $F'$  (e.g. uniqueness up to an affine transformation  $T$  with

$$dT = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$$

for some rotation  $R$  on  $V^3$ ) such that

1.  $P$  is a world line w.r.t.  $F'$ ,  $P$  is at rest in  $F'$  and
2. all points in  $F'$  are world lines w.r.t.  $F$ .

We consider two simple cases:

- If  $P$  has a constant velocity w.r.t.  $F$  and the speed of  $P$  is strictly smaller than  $c$ , then we have at least two mathematical options: We can compose  $F$  with an appropriate Galilean or a Poincaré transformation to obtain a frame that even has a uniform velocity w.r.t.  $F$ .

- Suppose that  $P$  performs a uniform circular motion in  $F$ . We intuitively expect to find 1. a frame  $F'$  such that all points in  $F'$  rotate around the same axis with the same angular velocity<sup>1</sup> and 2. a frame  $F''$  such that all points in  $F''$  have the same velocity w.r.t.  $F$  (namely the velocity of  $P$ ). In fact we can consider the composition of  $F$  with appropriate transformations in the general kinematic group to construct such frames.

In summary, the general kinematics group is a natural extension of the Galilean group which allows us to consider accelerated frames in Newtonian mechanics: Two frames can be defined to be accelerated w.r.t. each other if the transition functions are in the general kinematic group, but not in the Galilean group. However, an accelerated frame is usually meant to be accelerated w.r.t. the inertial frames, which we haven't introduced yet. Strictly speaking the rest of this chapter does only apply to Newtonian mechanics, since we lack a similar extension of the Lorentz group.

## Transformation of velocities and accelerations

Let  $F$  and  $F'$  be two reference frames on a set  $M$  such that the transition functions are elements of the general kinematic group. In the following we use the notation from definition 11. We will assume that  $\phi$  is the identity on  $A^1$  - i.e.  $A^1 = B^1$  and  $T = T'$ . (The differential of  $\phi$  is the identity on  $V^1$  anyways, so the generalization - if ever necessary - is trivial.)

That being said, let  $w \subset M$  be a world line w.r.t.  $F$  and  $P: I \rightarrow A^3$  the position w.r.t.  $F$ . We assume that  $P$  is twice differentiable, i.e. the velocity  $v: I \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$  and the acceleration  $a: I \rightarrow Q(V^1, V^3)$  exist. Note that  $w$  is also a world line w.r.t.  $F'$  and  $P' = \Sigma P$  is the position w.r.t.  $F'$ . We make the following two technical assumptions:

- $R$  and  $R^{-1}$  are both differentiable w.r.t. the operator norm.
- For every  $O \in A^3$  the function  $\Sigma O: A^1 \rightarrow B^3$  is twice differentiable.

In this situation  $P'$  turns out to be twice differentiable and we now determine the relation between  $v$  and  $v'$  as well as  $a$  and  $a'$ . To do so, we consider the functions

$$\dot{\Sigma}: A^1 \times A^3 \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$$

and

$$\ddot{\Sigma}: A^1 \times A^3 \rightarrow Q(V^1, V^3)$$

defined through the requirement that  $\dot{\Sigma}O = d(\Sigma O)$  and  $\ddot{\Sigma}O = d(\dot{\Sigma}O)$  (i.e.  $\dot{\Sigma}O$  and  $\ddot{\Sigma}O$  are the velocity and the acceleration of  $O$  w.r.t.  $F'$ ). That being said, a first application of the product rule to  $P' = \Sigma P$  yields

$$v' = Rv + \dot{\Sigma}P. \quad (2.2)$$

For later purposes it is useful to introduce  $B := \dot{R}R^{-1}$  and differentiating (2.2) yields

$$a' = Ra + 2\dot{R}v + \ddot{\Sigma}P = Ra + 2BRv + \ddot{\Sigma}P. \quad (2.3)$$

The choice of an origin allows us to further decompose the right-hand side of (2.2) and (2.3): Suppose that  $O \in A^3$  and set  $x := P - O$ , then we have  $\Sigma P = \Sigma O + Rx$  and hence by the product rule:

$$v' = Rv + BRx + \dot{\Sigma}O \quad (2.4)$$

$$a' = Ra + 2BRv + BBRx + \dot{B}Rx \quad (2.5)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that the velocity of  $F'$  w.r.t.  $F$  is not bounded from above: The speed of the points goes to infinity as we move away from the rotation axis.

We finally use the following lemma to introduce the angular velocity of  $F$  w.r.t.  $F'$  and to rewrite these equations in a more common form.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $A^1$  be an affine space with translation space  $V^1$  and

$$U: A^1 \rightarrow L(V^3, V^3)$$

a function with the following properties:

- The image of  $U$  is a subset of the orthogonal group.
- $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  are both differentiable.

Let  $\dot{U}$  be the differential of  $U$ , i.e.  $\dot{U} = dU: A^1 \times V^1 \rightarrow L(V^3, V^3)$ , then  $\dot{U}U^{-1}$  is anti-symmetric. Thus, if we fix an orientation of  $V^3$  and a unit of length, then there is a unique

$$\omega: A^1 \times V^1 \rightarrow V^3$$

such that

$$\dot{U}U^{-1}v = \omega \times v$$

for all functions  $v: A^1 \rightarrow V^3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two differentiable vector-valued functions on  $A^1$ , then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle Uv, Uw \rangle$$

and hence by the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} \langle dv, w \rangle + \langle v, dw \rangle &= d\langle v, w \rangle = d\langle Uv, Uw \rangle = \langle \dot{U}v + Udv, Uw \rangle + \langle Uv, \dot{U}w + Ud w \rangle \\ &= \langle \dot{U}v, Uw \rangle + \langle Udv, Uw \rangle + \langle Uv, \dot{U}w \rangle + \langle Uv, Ud w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Because  $U$  is orthogonal, this is equivalent to

$$0 = \langle \dot{U}v, Uw \rangle + \langle Uv, \dot{U}w \rangle.$$

Since  $U$  is invertible and  $U^{-1}: A^1 \rightarrow L(V^3, V^3)$  is differentiable (the differential of  $U^{-1}$  equals  $U^{-1}\dot{U}U^{-1}$ ), we can consider the differentiable functions  $U^{-1}v$  and  $U^{-1}w$  and we obtain

$$0 = \langle \dot{U}U^{-1}v, w \rangle + \langle v, \dot{U}U^{-1}w \rangle.$$

□

The function

$$\omega = \omega(F|F'): A^1 \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$$

associated to  $B$  through the last lemma is called the angular velocity of  $F$  w.r.t.  $F'$ . We use it to rewrite (2.4) and (2.5):

$$v' = Rv + \omega \times Rx + \dot{\Sigma}O \tag{2.6}$$

$$a' = Ra + 2\omega \times Rv + \omega \times (\omega \times Rx) + \dot{\omega} \times Rx + \ddot{\Sigma}O \tag{2.7}$$



## Inertial frames in Newtonian mechanics

To define inertial frames, we fix a set of reference frames on a set  $M$  such that all transition functions are elements of the general kinematic group. Since the Galilean group is a subgroup, we can introduce an equivalence relation through the definition that two frames are equivalent if and only if the transition functions are Galilean transformations.

Now the purpose of Newton's first law is to define inertial frames, i.e. a distinguished equivalence class:

Roughly speaking, the laws of physics discussed in Newtonian mechanics are only invariant under Galilean transformations, so the set of inertial frames can be defined to be precisely the equivalence class where these laws hold true. We use an example to illustrate the idea and to show how our formulation fits together with the original formulation of Newton's first and second law in terms of forces:

First of all, we postulate that a finite set of world lines is given.<sup>2</sup> Next, we postulate the existence of a frame with the property that we can find an assignment of time-independent masses to the world lines such that the representations of the world lines w.r.t. to the frame form a solution of the ODE known as the  $n$ -body problem of Newtonian mechanics. Such a frame is called inertial. Since (2.7) reduces to  $a' = Ra$  for Galilean transformations, all frames in its equivalence class are inertial as well and the masses are independent of the representative. Furthermore (2.7) suggests that we can not find another equivalence class with inertial frames, i.e. the inertial frames form precisely one equivalence class.

If we fix a frame, then we can assign two forces to each world line: The actual force - mass times acceleration - and the force predicted by the ODE. The two forces are equal if the frame is inertial. If we interpret the forces mentioned in Newton's first and second law as the forces predicted by the ODE, then these laws are nothing but a characterization of inertial frames (and consistent with our definition):

1. Every body continues in its state of rest, or of uniform motion in a straight line, unless it is compelled to change that state by forces impressed upon it.
2. The change of motion of an object is proportional to the force impressed; and is made in the direction of the straight line in which the force is impressed.

---

<sup>2</sup>Since the transition functions are in the general kinematic group, it makes sense to talk about world lines without referring to a reference frame

## Chapter 3

# Special Relativity and Electromagnetism

From now on we consider a set of reference frames on a set  $M$  such that all transition functions are Poincaré transformations.

### 3.1 Proper Time

**Remark 11.** Let  $A^1$  be the affine space associated to some reference frame  $R$ . Since the translation space  $V^1$  is oriented,  $A^1$  has an obvious total order. Moreover, given  $x, y \in A^1$  with  $x < y$  we can consider the interval  $I := [x, y]$  and its order topology  $T$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra (i.e. the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $T$ ), then there is a unique locally finite vector-valued measure  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow V^1$  such that  $\mu([p, q]) = q - p$  for all  $p, q \in I$  with  $p \leq q$ . If  $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $\phi$  is bounded (because  $(I, T)$  is a compact space). Hence  $\phi \in \mathcal{L}^1(I, \Sigma, \mu)$  and

$$\int_x^y \phi \in V^1$$

is our notation for its integral.

**Definition 21.** Let  $W \subset M$  be a world line,  $R$  a reference frame and  $t: M \rightarrow A^1$  the projection associated to  $R$ . According to our definition of world lines the image of  $W$  under  $t$  is an interval  $I \subset A^1$  and  $t: W \rightarrow I$  is bijective. Hence,  $W$  inherits an ordering which is independent of  $R$  since the differentials of Poincaré transformations are orthochronous. That being said, the **proper time** associated to a world line is the function

$$\begin{aligned} W \times W &\rightarrow V^1 \\ (x, y) &\mapsto y - x \end{aligned}$$

defined as follows: Suppose that  $x < y$  and let  $e_0$  be a basis of  $V^1$ . Then the integral

$$y - x := \int_{t(x)}^{t(y)} \sqrt{\frac{dX e_0, dX e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}}$$

defined in remark 11 is independent of  $e_0$  and  $R$ . If  $y \leq x$ , then  $y - x := -(x - y)$ .

*Proof.* To be precise, the following calculation involves two measure spaces  $(I, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $(I', \Sigma', \mu')$ . In addition, we make an abuse of notation by considering the obvious bijections  $t: W \rightarrow I$  and  $t': I' \rightarrow I$ . As shown in the second part of the proof of theorem 3 we have that

$$\sqrt{\frac{dX'e_0, dX'e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}} = \sqrt{\frac{dX e_0, dX e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}} \circ t \frac{dt}{dt'}$$

and hence the proof boils down to a change of variables:

$$\int_{t'(x)}^{t'(y)} \sqrt{\frac{dX'e_0, dX'e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}} = \int_{t'(x)}^{t'(y)} \sqrt{\frac{dX e_0, dX e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}} \circ t \frac{dt}{dt'} = \int_{t(x)}^{t(y)} \sqrt{\frac{dX e_0, dX e_0}{\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle}}$$

□

## 3.2 The Riemannian Metric

Let  $F$  and  $R$  be two reference frames on  $M$  and consider the Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda := d(R \circ F^{-1})$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $p \in M$  and  $v, w \in T_p M$ . Then

$$\eta(dR_p v, dR_p w) = \eta(\Lambda dF_p v, \Lambda dF_p w) = \eta(dF_p v, dF_p w)$$

and hence

$$\eta_p(v, w) := \eta(dF_p v, dF_p w)$$

does not depend on  $F$ .

## 3.3 4-vectors

**Definition 22.** Let  $W \subset M$  be a world line,  $i: W \rightarrow M$  the obvious inclusion and  $F$  a reference frame. Note that proper time allows us to differentiate functions from  $W$  to some affine space.

- For all  $p \in W$  the linear operator

$$U_p := (dF_p)^{-1} \circ d(F \circ i)_p \in L(V^1, T_p M)$$

is called the **4-velocity** at  $p$  and is clearly independent of the reference frame by our definition of the tangent bundle/by the chain rule.

- For all  $p \in W$  the quadratic function

$$A_p: V^1 \rightarrow T_p M \\ u \mapsto (dF_p)^{-1} d(d(F \circ i)_p) u$$

is called the **4-acceleration** at  $p$ . Since all transition functions are affine,  $A_p$  is independent of  $F$ : If  $R$  is another reference frame, then the differential of the transition function  $R \circ F^{-1}$  is constant and hence

$$d(d(R \circ i)_p) u = d(d(R \circ F^{-1} \circ F \circ i)_p) u = d(d(R \circ F^{-1})_p) d(d(F \circ i)_p) u = d(R \circ F^{-1})_p d(d(F \circ i)_p) u.$$

- Furthermore, if a mass  $m$  is associated to  $W$ , then  $f := mA$  is called the **4-force**.

**Definition 23.** Suppose a world line  $W$ , a mass  $m$  and a reference frame  $F$  are given. Furthermore, let  $\mathbf{X}: I \rightarrow A^3$  be the trajectory w.r.t.  $F$ . Then its differential

$$\mathbf{V} := d\mathbf{X}: I \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$$

is called the velocity w.r.t.  $F$  and

$$\gamma := \left[ 1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{V}\|}{c} \frac{\|\mathbf{V}\|}{c} \right]^{-1/2} : I \rightarrow [1, \infty[$$

is called the Lorentz factor. Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{P} := \gamma m \mathbf{V}: I \rightarrow L(V^1, V^3)$$

is called the momentum w.r.t.  $F$  and

$$\mathbf{F} := d\mathbf{P}: I \rightarrow Q(V^1, V^3)$$

is called the force w.r.t.  $F$ .

**Lemma 9.** Suppose a world line  $W$ , a mass and a reference frame  $F$  are given. Furthermore, let  $t: M \rightarrow A^1$  be the projection associated to  $F$ . According to the definition of world lines we obtain a bijective function  $t: W \rightarrow I$  onto some interval  $I \subset A^1$ . That being said, we have the following representation of the 4-velocity and the 4-force w.r.t.  $F$ : Let  $u$  be a basis of  $V^1$ , then

$$dF \circ Uu \circ t^{-1} = \gamma(u, \mathbf{V}u) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$dF \circ fu \circ t^{-1} = \gamma(u \frac{\langle \mathbf{F}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}, \mathbf{F}u) \quad (3.2)$$

where the sections  $Uu: W \rightarrow TM$  and  $fu: W \rightarrow TM$  are defined in the obvious way.

*Proof.* We use the following two facts:

- Set  $\tau := t^{-1}: I \rightarrow W$ . According to our definition of proper time and the fundamental theorem of calculus we have that  $d\tau/dt = 1/\gamma$ . Thus  $dt/d\tau = \gamma \circ t$  according to the inverse function rule.
- Let  $X: I \rightarrow A^1 \times A^3$  be the 4-position w.r.t.  $F$ , then  $X = F \circ i \circ \tau$ .

Now the proof of (3.1) is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} dF \circ Uu \circ \tau &= dF \circ (dF)^{-1} \circ d(F \circ i)u \circ \tau = d(F \circ i)u \circ \tau = d(F \circ i \circ \tau \circ t)u \circ \tau \\ &= d(X \circ t)u \circ \tau = (dX)u \frac{(dt)u}{u} \circ \tau = (dX)u \frac{dt}{d\tau} \circ \tau = \gamma(dX)u = \gamma(u, \mathbf{V}u) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we want to prove (3.2). Set  $\mathbf{U} := \gamma dX$ , then the equation above implies that

$$\begin{aligned} dF \circ mA u \circ \tau &= dF \circ (dF)^{-1} \circ md(d(F \circ i)u)u \circ \tau = md(d(F \circ i)u)u \circ \tau \\ &= md(d(F \circ i)u \circ \tau \circ t)u \circ \tau = d(m\mathbf{U}u \circ t)u \circ \tau = \gamma d(m\mathbf{U}u)u. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$d(m\mathbf{U}u)u = d(\gamma mu, \gamma m\mathbf{V}u)u = (d(\gamma mu)u, d(\gamma m\mathbf{V}u)u) = (d(\gamma mu)u, \mathbf{F}u).$$

Set  $x := d(\gamma mu)u: I \rightarrow V^1$ , then all that remains to be shown is that

$$\gamma \frac{\langle \mathbf{F}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u = x.$$

Note that

$$\eta(\mathbf{U}u, \mathbf{U}u) = \frac{\langle u, u \rangle - \langle \mathbf{V}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle}{1 - \frac{\langle \mathbf{V}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}} = \langle u, u \rangle$$

i.e. the function  $\eta(\mathbf{U}u, \mathbf{U}u): I \rightarrow W^1$  is constant. By the product rule

$$0 = \eta(d(m\mathbf{U}u)u, \mathbf{U}u) = \langle x, \gamma u \rangle - \langle \gamma \mathbf{F}u, \gamma \mathbf{V}u \rangle$$

or equivalently  $\langle x, u \rangle = \gamma \langle \mathbf{F}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle$ . This implies the desired result:

$$x = \frac{\langle x, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u = \gamma \frac{\langle \mathbf{F}u, \mathbf{V}u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} u$$

□

### 3.4 Covariant Electromagnetism

We begin our reformulation of classical electromagnetism. The exposure in [8] has been an important inspiration.

From now on we assume that a set of units has been fixed and all quantities are defined w.r.t. these units. For example, for each  $p \in M$  the metric

$$\eta_p: T_p M \times T_p M \rightarrow W^1$$

can be identified with a physical quantity

$$\hat{\eta}_p: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow L(T_p M, T_p M^*)$$

since each unit of length defines a unit of area and hence a basis of  $W^1$ . See remark 1 for the precise definition of physical quantities and a discussion of the invariance of the theory under a change of units.

**Definition 24.** Let  $n$  be an integer,  $0 < n < 4$  and  $p \in M$ . Given a reference frame  $R$  and a unit of length  $u$ , the vector space isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_n: \Lambda^n(T_p M^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}(V^*) \oplus \Lambda^n(V^*)$$

(with  $V = V^3$ ) is defined as follows:

- Firstly, note that there is a unique unit of time  $e_0$  such that  $ce_0 = l$ . In addition, the vector space isomorphism

$$dR_p \in L(T_p M, V^1 \oplus V^3)$$

allows to identify  $V^1$  and  $V^3$  with subspaces of  $T_p M$ . That being said, we simply define  $e^0 \in T_p M^*$  through the requirement that the restriction to  $V^3$  is equal to zero.

- Now consider some  $\alpha \in \Lambda^k(T_p M^*)$  and let

$$i: \Lambda(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda(T_p M^*)$$

be the canonical inclusion defined by the reference frame. Since

$$x := e_0 \lrcorner \alpha$$

and

$$y := \alpha - e^0 \wedge x$$

are both inside the image of  $i$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}\alpha := (i^{-1}x, i^{-1}y)$$

is well-defined.

**Remark 12.** From now on we assume that we are given the following data:

- A reference frame  $R$ .
- Two real-valued and positive physical quantities<sup>1</sup>  $k$  and  $\alpha$  with arbitrary dimensions. In particular,  $k$  and  $\alpha$  may be dimensionless, e.g.  $k = \alpha = 1$ .
- A set of world lines  $W$  with a mass and a charge associated to each world line in  $W$ .

We define charge through the requirement that Coulomb's law takes the form

$$\|\mathbf{F}\| = \frac{k}{4\pi} \frac{q q'}{d}$$

where  $d$  is the distance between  $q$  and  $q'$ . Note that the dimension of charge depends on the dimension of  $k$ . In order to introduce the electromagnetic field we make the idealized assumption that there exist two unique vector fields  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  from  $M$  to  $V^3$  such that

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

for all world lines in  $W$ . (The dimensions of  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  depend on the dimensions of  $k$  and  $\alpha$  and are only equal if  $\alpha$  is a speed.) We can prove the covariance of this assumption, i.e. if  $R'$  is another reference frame, then there exist unique vector fields  $\mathbf{E}'$  and  $\mathbf{B}'$  such that

$$\mathbf{F}' = q(\mathbf{E}' + \frac{\alpha}{c} \mathbf{v}' \times \mathbf{B}')$$

for all world lines in  $W$ . In fact this is an immediate consequence of the following theorem:

**Corollary 3** (Covariance of the Lorentz force). TFAE in the situation of remark 12:

- There is a unique 2-form  $F$  such that

$$f^b = q \frac{\alpha}{c} U \lrcorner F$$

for all world lines in  $W$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>If a real-valued physical quantity is positive w.r.t. to one set of units, then it is positive for all sets of units.

- There is a unique pair of vector fields  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$  such that

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$

for all world lines in  $W$ .

In case of existence and uniqueness,

$$F = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(-\mathbf{E}^b/\alpha, *\mathbf{B}^b).$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V^3 \oplus V^3 &\rightarrow \Lambda^2(T_p M^*) \\ (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) &\mapsto \mathcal{R}^{-1}(-\mathbf{E}^b/\alpha, *\mathbf{B}^b) \end{aligned}$$

is a vector space isomorphism for each  $p \in M$ . That being said, the following lemma completes the proof:  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** Consider the situation of remark 12. If  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are two vector fields from  $M$  to  $V^3$  and  $F = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(-\mathbf{E}^b/\alpha, *\mathbf{B}^b)$ , then we have the following equivalence for each world line in  $W$ :

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \Leftrightarrow f^b = q\frac{\alpha}{c}U \lrcorner F$$

*Proof.* Set

$$(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) := (-\mathbf{E}^b/\alpha, *\mathbf{B}^b)$$

and consider the following proposition:

$$P := \left[ \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \lrcorner \mathbf{F}^b = -q\frac{\alpha}{c}\mathbf{v} \lrcorner \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \mathbf{F}^b = q\alpha(-\mathcal{E} - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \lrcorner \mathcal{B}) \right]$$

We conclude the proof by showing the following equivalences (the last equivalence is obvious, since  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is bijective):

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \Leftrightarrow P \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}_1(f^b) = \mathcal{R}_1(q\frac{\alpha}{c}U \lrcorner F) \Leftrightarrow f^b = q\frac{\alpha}{c}U \lrcorner F$$

Firstly, we prove that

$$(\mathbf{E} + \frac{\alpha}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^b = \alpha(-\mathcal{E} - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \lrcorner \mathcal{B})$$

in order to obtain the first equivalence: Let  $\Omega \in \Lambda^3(V^*)$  be the volume form associated to the oriented inner product space  $V^3$ , then  $\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega = *\mathbf{X}^b$  (see exercise 2-28 in [9]) and hence

$$(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^b = \mathbf{B} \lrcorner \mathbf{v} \lrcorner \Omega = -\mathbf{v} \lrcorner \mathbf{B} \lrcorner \Omega = -\mathbf{v} \lrcorner \mathcal{B}.$$

The second equivalence is an immediate consequence of the following two equations:

$$\mathcal{R}_1(f^b) = \gamma(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \lrcorner \mathbf{F}^b, -\mathbf{F}^b) \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1(U \lrcorner F) = \gamma(-\mathbf{v} \lrcorner \mathcal{E}, c\mathcal{E} + \mathbf{v} \lrcorner \mathcal{B}) \tag{3.4}$$

Proof of (3.3): Firstly, note that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X := (dR)^{-1}(xe_0, \mathbf{X})$ , then

$$\mathcal{R}_1(X^b) = (x, -\mathbf{X}^b).$$

Now the desired equation follows from

$$(\mathrm{d}R)f = \gamma\left(\frac{\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{v}}{c}e_0, \mathbf{F}\right).$$

Proof of (3.4): Consider  $x := e_0 \lrcorner F$  and  $y := F - e^0 \wedge x$ . We can use

$$U \lrcorner F = U \lrcorner (e^0 \wedge x) + U \lrcorner y = -e^0 \wedge (U \lrcorner x) + (U \lrcorner e^0) \wedge x + U \lrcorner y$$

and

$$(\mathrm{d}R)U = \gamma\left(\frac{ce_0}{\mathbf{v}}\right)$$

to obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Axiom 1.** Consider the setting from remark 12. Furthermore, suppose that

- $\rho$  is the **charge density** w.r.t.  $R$ , i.e. for all measurable  $V \subset A^3$  the integral of  $\rho$  over  $V$  yields the charge inside  $V$ .
- $\mathbf{J}$  is the **current density** w.r.t.  $R$ , i.e. for all surfaces  $S$  in  $A^3$  the surface integral of  $\mathbf{J}$  over  $S$  yields the current through  $S$ .

Then the **Maxwell equations** hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} &= k\rho & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 \\ \nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\alpha} &= -L_{e_0}\mathbf{B} & \nabla \times \mathbf{B} &= \frac{k}{\alpha} \frac{\mathbf{J}}{c} + L_{e_0} \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 13.** The different forms of Maxwell's equations that appear in the literature are due to different choices of the quantities  $k$  and  $\alpha$ :

	$k$	$\alpha$
SI	$1/\epsilon_0$	$c$
Heaviside-Lorentz	1	1
Gaussian	$4\pi$	1

A similar table can be found in [10]. We emphasize that the choice of  $k$  and  $\alpha$  has nothing to do with a choice of units. The units can still be chosen arbitrarily.

**Theorem 7.** If we consider the 2-form  $F := \mathcal{R}^{-1}(-\mathbf{E}^b/\alpha, *\mathbf{B}^b)$  (as explained in corollary 3,  $F$  does not depend on  $R$ ) and the vector  $J := (\mathrm{d}R)^{-1}(c\rho e_0, \mathbf{J})$ , then we have the following equivalences:

$$\mathrm{d}F = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\alpha} = -L_{e_0}\mathbf{B} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$*\mathrm{d}*F = \frac{k}{\alpha} \frac{J^b}{c} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = k\rho \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{k}{\alpha} \frac{\mathbf{J}}{c} + L_{e_0} \frac{\mathbf{E}}{\alpha} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We will prove this theorem after the following remark:  $\square$



**Remark 14.**

- The last theorem proves the covariance of Maxwell's equations: If they hold for one reference frame, then they hold for all reference frames.
- In addition, this shows that  $J := (dR)^{-1}(c\rho e_0, \mathbf{J})$  does not depend on the  $R$ , i.e. 4-current is indeed a 4-vector.
- If we consider the Riemannian metric  $-\eta$  and still define  $F$  through corollary 3, then theorem 7 only holds true with  $F$  replaced by  $-F$ .
- Throughout this section we assumed that a continuous orientation of  $M$  had been fixed (or equivalently an orientation of  $V^3$ , see section 2.4). But the Maxwell equations are invariant under a change of orientation: If we consider the Maxwell equations in terms of...
  - ... $F$ , then this follows from the fact that the composition of two Hodge stars (unlike a single Hodge star) is invariant under a change of the orientation.
  - ... $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , then this can be seen as follows: If  $\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic field w.r.t. one orientation, then  $-\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic field w.r.t. the other orientation. Similarly, if  $\mathbf{X}$  is some vector field and  $\nabla \times \mathbf{X}$  is the rotation w.r.t. one orientation, then  $-\nabla \times \mathbf{X}$  is the rotation w.r.t. the other orientation.

*Proof of theorem 7.* Warning: In this proof we consider two different Riemannian manifolds, the euclidean space  $E^3$  (the affine space  $A^3$  associated to the reference frame together with the inner product on  $V^3$  w.r.t. the unit of length) and Minkowski space. We use bold symbols to avoid confusion: If  $\beta$  is an exterior form on  $E^3$ , then  $d\beta$  is its exterior differential and  $*\beta$  is its Hodge dual.

Firstly, we use the fact that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{X} = d*\mathbf{X}^b$  and  $\nabla \times \mathbf{X} = (*d\mathbf{X}^b)^\sharp$  for each vector field  $\mathbf{X}$  (see exercise 2-28 in [9]) to rewrite Maxwell's equations:

$$\begin{aligned} *d\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} &= -L_{e_0}\mathbf{B}^b & *d*\mathbf{B}^b &= 0 \\ *d*\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} &= \frac{k}{\alpha}\rho & *d\mathbf{B}^b &= \frac{k}{\alpha}\frac{\mathbf{J}^b}{c} + L_{e_0}\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $** = 1$  on  $\Lambda^3(V^*)$ , we can simplify two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} + L_{e_0}*\mathbf{B}^b &= 0 & d*\mathbf{B}^b &= 0 \\ *d*\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} &= \frac{k}{\alpha}\rho & -L_{e_0}\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha} + *d\mathbf{B}^b &= \frac{k}{\alpha}\frac{\mathbf{J}^b}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Now we set

$$(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) := \left(-\frac{\mathbf{E}^b}{\alpha}, *\mathbf{B}^b\right)$$

and rewrite the equations one more time:

$$\begin{aligned} -d\mathcal{E} + L_{e_0}\mathcal{B} &= 0 & d\mathcal{B} &= 0 \\ -*d*\mathcal{E} &= \frac{k}{\alpha}\rho & -L_{e_0}\mathcal{E} - *d*\mathcal{B} &= -\frac{k}{\alpha}\frac{\mathbf{J}^b}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to be shown:

$$\mathcal{R}_3(dF) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -\mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} + L_{e_0}\mathcal{B} = 0 \\ \mathbf{d}\mathcal{B} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_1(*d*F) = \mathcal{R}_1 \frac{J^b}{c} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -*\mathbf{d}*\mathcal{E} = \frac{k}{\alpha}\rho \\ -L_{e_0}\mathcal{E} - *\mathbf{d}*\mathcal{B} = -\frac{k}{\alpha} \frac{J^b}{c} \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{R}_1(J^b) = (c\rho, -J^b)$$

(see the proof of lemma 10), the next lemma concludes the proof:  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** Suppose  $F$  is a 2-form on  $M$  and  $F = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B})$ , then:

$$\mathcal{R}_3(dF) = (-\mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} + L_{e_0}\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{d}\mathcal{B}) \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1(*d*F) = (-*\mathbf{d}*\mathcal{E}, -*\mathbf{d}*\mathcal{B} - L_{e_0}\mathcal{E}) \quad (3.6)$$

*Proof.* In the following, the isomorphisms  $i$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  from definition 24 are mostly left implicit, e.g.

$$F = e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}).$$

We start by proving (3.5) and then we use this result to prove to (3.6):

Recall that

$$d\omega = \sum_{i=0}^3 e^i \wedge L_{e_i}\omega$$

for each exterior form  $\omega$ . On the one hand, we can use

$$\forall i : L_X e^i = L_X dx^i = d(L_X x^i) = d(e^i X)$$

(see equation 4.21 in [8]) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{i=0}^3 e^i \wedge L_{e_i}(e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^3 e^i \wedge \left( \underbrace{L_{e_i}e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E}}_{=d(e^0 e_i) \wedge \mathcal{E}=0} + e^0 \wedge L_{e_i}\mathcal{E} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^3 e^i \wedge e^0 \wedge L_{e_i}\mathcal{E} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^3 e^i \wedge e^0 \wedge L_{e_i}\mathcal{E} = -e^0 \wedge \sum_{i=1}^3 e^i \wedge L_{e_i}\mathcal{E} = -e^0 \wedge \mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$d\mathcal{B} = e^0 \wedge L_{e_0}\mathcal{B} + \sum_{i=1}^3 e^i \wedge L_{e_i}\mathcal{B} = e^0 \wedge L_{e_0}\mathcal{B} + \mathbf{d}\mathcal{B}.$$

In summary,

$$dF = d(e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{B}) = d(e^0 \wedge \mathcal{E}) + d\mathcal{B} = e^0 \wedge (-\mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} + L_{e_0}\mathcal{B}) + \mathbf{d}\mathcal{B} = (-\mathbf{d}\mathcal{E} + L_{e_0}\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{d}\mathcal{B}).$$

To prove (3.6), we need the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional oriented real vector space together with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $g$ . If  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is a positively oriented orthonormal basis of  $V$ , then

$$*e^{i_1 \dots i_k} = e^{i_1} g^{-1} e^{i_1} \dots g^{i_k} g^{-1} e^{i_k} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_k i_{k+1} \dots i_n} e^{i_{k+1} \dots i_n}$$

where the RHS is not a sum: Suppose  $0 < k < n$  and  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , then  $(i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n)$  is the unique tuple such that  $i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n$  and  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ .

*Proof.* For a derivation of the coordinate representation of the Hodge dual based on the coordinate invariant definition, see page 168 in [11].  $\square$

*Proof of theorem 7.* Let  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$  be a positively oriented orthonormal basis of  $V^3$ , then

$$(dR_p^{-1} e_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 3}$$

is a positively oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$  and we can use (3.5) and lemma 12 to obtain that

$$\mathcal{R} * F = \mathcal{R} * \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}) = (*\mathcal{B}, -*\mathcal{E}).$$

Then (3.5) implies that

$$\mathcal{R} d * F = \mathcal{R} d \mathcal{R}^{-1} \mathcal{R} * F = \mathcal{R} * d \mathcal{R}^{-1}(*\mathcal{B}, -*\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{R} * d \mathcal{R}^{-1}(*\mathcal{B}, -*\mathcal{E}) = (-\mathbf{d} * \mathcal{B} - L_{e_0} * \mathcal{E}, -\mathbf{d} * \mathcal{E}).$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a 3-form on  $M$  such that  $\alpha = \mathcal{R}^{-1}(x, y)$ , then we can use lemma 12 one more time to show that  $\mathcal{R} * \alpha = (*y, *x)$  and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

# Bibliography

- [1] Valter Moretti. *ANALYTICAL MECHANICS*. Springer, forthcoming.
- [2] Josef Janyška, Marco Modugno, and Raffaele Vitolo. “An Algebraic Approach to Physical Scales”. In: *Acta Appl. Math.* 110.3 (2010), pp. 1249–1276. DOI: 10.1007/s10440-009-9505-6.
- [3] Valter Moretti. “Teoria della Relatività Speciale”. In: (2020).
- [4] Valter Moretti. “The interplay of the polar decomposition theorem and the Lorentz group”. In: (2002). DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.MATH-PH/0211047. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0211047>.
- [5] Helmuth K. Urbantke Roman U. Sexl. *Relativity, Groups, Particles*. Springer, 2000. ISBN: 978-3-211-83443-5.
- [6] Valter Moretti. “Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics I”. In: (2020).
- [7] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. 2nd ed. Springer, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5.
- [8] Theodore Frankel. *The Geometry of Physics: An Introduction*. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2011. DOI: 10.1017/CB09781139061377.
- [9] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds*. 2nd ed. Springer, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-91755-9.
- [10] John David Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, 1998. ISBN: 978-0-471-30932-1.
- [11] Alexander Altland and Jan von Delft. *Mathematics for Physicists: Introductory Concepts and Methods*. Cambridge University Press, 2019. DOI: 10.1017/9781108557917.