

# On KP-integrable skew Hurwitz $\tau$ -functions and their $\beta$ -deformations

A. Mironov<sup>b,c,d,\*</sup>, V. Mishnyakov<sup>a,b,†</sup>, A. Morozov<sup>a,c,d,‡</sup>, A. Popolitov<sup>a,c,d,§</sup>, Wei-Zhong Zhao<sup>e,¶</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *MIPT, Dolgoprudny, 141701, Russia*

<sup>b</sup> *Lebedev Physics Institute, Moscow 119991, Russia*

<sup>c</sup> *ITEP, Moscow 117218, Russia*

<sup>d</sup> *Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow 127994, Russia*

<sup>e</sup> *School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China*

## Abstract

We extend the old formalism of cut-and-join operators in the theory of Hurwitz  $\tau$ -functions to description of a wide family of KP-integrable *skew* Hurwitz  $\tau$ -functions, which include, in particular, the newly discovered interpolating WLZZ models. Recently, the simplest of them was related to a superintegrable two-matrix model with two potentials and one external matrix field. Now we provide detailed proofs, and a generalization to a multi-matrix representation, and propose the  $\beta$ -deformation of the matrix model as well. The general interpolating WLZZ model is generated by a  $W$ -representation given by a sum of operators from a one-parametric commutative sub-family (a commutative subalgebra of  $w_\infty$ ). Different commutative families are related by cut-and-join rotations. Two of these sub-families (‘vertical’ and ‘45-degree’) turn out to be nothing but the trigonometric and rational Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians, the ‘horizontal’ family is represented by simple derivatives. Other families require an additional analysis.

## 1 Introduction

Matrix models are the simplest representatives of the universality classes of non-perturbative partition functions, which exhibit their peculiar properties, well shadowed in perturbative Feynman diagram technique and in other approaches based on the standard multi-dimensional quantum field theory. These properties include high hidden symmetries, integrability, superintegrability and  $W$ -representations, which express partition functions through the action of generalized cut-and-join operators on vacuum states. By now, they are well-studied and understood in particular models, and the new challenge is to classify and unite different models in a common entity, as a step to a formulation of non-perturbative string theory.

Recently a big step was made in this direction by the suggestion of WLZZ models [1], which appeared to be building blocks of a two-matrix model in the external matrix field [2]. In this paper, in particular, we provide technical details behind this general claim made in [2]. They are based on using peculiar cut-and-join rotation operators  $\mathcal{O}$  and on an extension of the old formalism of [3] to *skew* Hurwitz partition functions, naturally expanded in *skew* rather than ordinary Schur functions, and exploit the Littlewood-Richardson decompositions of ones into the others. These decompositions get a little non-trivial after the  $\beta$ -deformation from the Schur functions to the Jack polynomials, which we also explain.

---

\*mironov@lpi.ru,mironov@itep.ru

†mishnyakovvv@gmail.com

‡morozov@itep.ru

§popolit@gmail.com

¶zhaowz@cnu.edu.cn

**Skew Hurwitz partition functions.** Generic Hurwitz partition functions depending on two sets of time variables have the form [5],

$$Z_H(u_\Delta; g, p) = \sum_R S_R\{g_k\} S_R\{p_k\} \exp\left(\sum_\Delta u_\Delta \psi_R(\Delta)\right) \quad (1)$$

$S_R\{p_k\}$  is the Schur function labelled by the partition  $R$ , which is a symmetric function of  $x_i$  considered as a graded polynomial of the power sums  $p_k = \sum_i x_i^k$ ,  $\Delta$  is a partition, and  $\psi_R(\Delta)$  is the character of symmetric group  $S_{|R|}$ ,  $|R|$  being the size of partition [4, 6]. This partition function is generated by generalized cut-and-join operators [5], and is not integrable in the KP/Toda sense [7, 8], it becomes integrable only with a special choice of the coefficients  $u_\Delta$ :

$$\exp\left(\sum_\Delta u_\Delta \psi_R(\Delta)\right) \rightarrow \exp\left(\sum_k u_k C_R^{(k)}\right) \quad (2)$$

where  $C_R^{(k)}$  are eigenvalues of specially chosen  $k$ th Casimir operators [5, 9–11]<sup>1</sup>. Equivalently, one can choose [3, 13]

$$\exp\left(\sum_\Delta u_\Delta \psi_R(\Delta)\right) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j \in R} f(j-i) \quad (3)$$

with an arbitrary function  $f(x)$ . KP-integrable Hurwitz partition functions of this kind are called hypergeometric  $\tau$ -functions of the KP hierarchy [13].

In this paper, we propose a generalization of the Hurwitz partition functions (1) to the skew Hurwitz partition functions,

$$Z_H(u_\Delta, v_{\Delta'}; g, p) = \sum_R S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} S_R\{g_k\} S_Q\{p_k\} \exp\left(\sum_\Delta u_\Delta \psi_R(\Delta) - \sum_{\Delta'} v_{\Delta'} \psi_Q(\Delta')\right) \quad (4)$$

where  $S_{R/Q}$  is the skew Schur function [4]. They are also integrable only with choices analogous to (2)-(3) and are called skew hypergeometric  $\tau$ -functions [2].

In [3], it was suggested to choose the function  $f(x)$  in (3)

$$f(x) = \prod_i^n (u_i + x) \quad (5)$$

This choice has many applications, from generating numbers of isomorphism classes of the Belyi pairs (Grothendieck's dessins d'enfant) [14] to the Itzykson-Zuber integral [15].

In this paper, as an extension of results of [3], we consider an extension of this choice to the skew Hurwitz partition functions. Thus, we consider a partition functions of the form

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,m)}(\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}; \bar{p}, g, p) = \sum_{R,Q} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \xi_R(u_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \xi_Q(v_j)} S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} S_R\{g_k\} S_Q\{p_k\} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\xi_R(u) = \frac{S_R\{p_k = u\}}{S_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = \prod_{i,j \in R} (u + j - i) \quad (7)$$

The partition function  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,m)}$  depends on  $n$  parameters  $u_i$ ,  $m$  parameters  $v_i$  and three infinite sets of time variables  $\{g_k\}$ ,  $\{p_k\}$ , and  $\{\bar{p}_k\}$ . The interpolating matrix model of [2] is just the  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}$  member of this two-parametric family, while other models of [2] are the one-parametric family  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,n)}$ .

The case considered in [3] corresponds to the restriction of this partition function to all  $p_k = 0$ . Then, it becomes the sum

$$\sum_R \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_R(u_i) \right) S_R\{\bar{p}_k\} S_R\{g_k\} \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>They can be also associated with values of characters on the completed cycles [10, 12].

which celebrates a series of properties: it is a  $a\tau$ -function of the Toda lattice, it is generated by the cut-and-join rotation operators  $\mathcal{O}$ , it has multi-matrix model representation, etc. Our goal in this paper is to demonstrate that *all* these properties keep intact for the skew Hurwitz partition functions (6). Moreover, all the properties but integrability (which is known not to survive any  $\beta$ -deformations) survive the  $\beta$ -deformation.

**$w_\infty$ -algebra pattern.** Another important issue, which we shortly touch in this paper, is that, from the point of view of the  $W$ -representations, the original technique used in [1], the partition functions  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,n)}$  (at some special points) are generated by combinations of operators from  $w_\infty$  algebra. The relevant for the construction are the one-parametric commutative subalgebras depicted by the blue lines in the picture. The commutativity of operators of each line follows from the  $w_\infty$ -algebra relations [16]


$$[V_{m_1, n_1}, V_{m_2, n_2}] = \left( (m_1 - 1)n_2 - (m_2 - 1)n_1 \right) V_{m_1+m_2-2, n_1+n_2} + \dots \implies \left[ \text{ad}_{\hat{F}_s}^m \hat{F}_{s-1}, \text{ad}_{\hat{F}_s}^n \hat{F}_{s-1} \right] = 0 \quad (9)$$

for  $\hat{F}_s = (-1)^s V_{s+1, 1}$ , which is nothing but the commutation of generators

$$\left[ \hat{H}_m^{(s)}, \hat{H}_n^{(s)} \right] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \hat{H}_m^{(s)} := \text{ad}_{\hat{F}_{s+1}}^m \hat{F}_s = (-1)^{m+1} V_{ms+1, m} \quad (10)$$

After the Miwa transform from time-variables to the eigenvalues of  $\lambda_i$  of  $N \times N$  matrices,  $H_m^{(s)}$  become systems of commuting differential operators. Not surprisingly, some of them are familiar to us: that is, the series at  $s = 2$ :  $\text{ad}_{\hat{F}_2}^m \hat{F}_1$  (the 45-degree blue line) turns out to be Hamiltonians of the rational Calogero-Sutherland system. This adds to the old knowledge that the vertical line is the trigonometric Calogero-Sutherland system, while on the horizontal line one has just commuting first-order operators  $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}$ .

In fact, these Calogero-Sutherland models are at the free fermion point. In order to get the Calogero-Sutherland system with a non-trivial coupling, one needs the  $\beta$ -deformation. Fortunately, this whole picture survives the  $\beta$ -deformation, as we explain in sec.5.



The cut-and-join rotation operators  $\mathcal{O}$ , one of the central personages of this paper have a spectacular interpretation in these terms: they rotate blue lines, one into another. These operators are constructed from the generalized cut-and-join operators [5]. Hence, the name. In this sense, the rational Calogero-Sutherland system is just a simple rotation of the system  $\{\partial_{p_n}\}$  while the trigonometric Calogero-Sutherland model is obtained from the rational one through an infinite system of rotations through a sequence of new integrable systems intertwined by the operators  $\mathcal{O}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe integrable properties of the generic skew Hurwitz partition function (6) and its representation via generalized cut-and-join operators in parallel with [3, secs.2-4]. In section 3, we concentrate on the particular case of  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}$  and derive its description as a two-matrix model depending on the external matrix and two potentials. The matrix model description can be also provided for  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,1)}$ , as we demonstrate in section 4. In section 5, we discuss the  $\beta$ -deformation of  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}$ : the matrix model description and the  $W$ -representation, which turns out to be associated with the rational Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians. The crucial difference with the  $\beta = 1$  case (which corresponds to the free fermion point of the Calogero-Sutherland model) is that a description of the Hamiltonians in terms of matrix derivatives is no longer available, instead one has to use the eigenvalue variables. Section 6 contains some concluding remarks.

## 2 Properties of skew Hurwitz partition functions

### 2.1 Representation via cut-and-join operators

The partition function (6) can be realized by action of operators constructed from the commutative set of generalized cut-and-join operators  $\hat{W}_\Delta$  [5]. We call these operators the cut-and-join rotation operators, they play one of the central roles in the present paper.

The generalized cut-and-join operators  $\hat{W}_\Delta$  form a commutative set of operators, the Schur functions being their eigenfunctions [5]:

$$\hat{W}_\Delta S_R = \phi_R(\Delta) S_R \quad (11)$$

where, for the diagram  $\Delta$  containing  $r$  unit cycles:  $\Delta = [\tilde{\Delta}, 1^r]$ ,

$$\phi_{R,\Delta} = \begin{cases} 0 & |\Delta| > |R| \\ \frac{(|R| - |\Delta| + r)!}{r!(|R| - |\Delta|)!} \phi_{R,\hat{\Delta}} = \frac{(|R| - |\Delta| + r)!}{r!(|R| - |\Delta|)!} \frac{\psi_R(\hat{\Delta})}{z_\Delta d_R} & |\Delta| \leq |R| \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{\Delta} := [\Delta, 1^{|\Delta| - |\Delta|}]$ . Now note that [17, Eq.(61)]

$$\sum_{\Delta} \phi_R(\Delta) p_\Delta = \frac{S_R(p_k + \delta_{k1})}{d_R} \quad (13)$$

Now we construct the cut-and-join rotation operator as follows:

$$\hat{O}(u) := \sum_{\Delta} p_\Delta \cdot \hat{W}_\Delta, \quad \text{with } p_k = u - \delta_{k1} \quad (14)$$

Here we use the notation  $p_\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^{l_\Delta} p_{\delta_i}$ , where  $l_\Delta$  is the length of the partition  $\Delta$ , and  $\delta_i$ 's are its parts.

Then,

$$\hat{O}(u) \cdot S_R\{p_k\} = \frac{S_R(u)}{S_R(\delta_{k,1})} S_R\{p_k\} = \xi_R(u) S_R\{p_k\} \quad (15)$$

This operator was constructed earlier in [3, Eq.(21)] in order to insert additional factors  $\frac{S_R(N)}{S_R(\delta_{k,1})}$  into character expansion of the partition function, and was written there in a different form. In particular, one can rewrite it via Casimir operators [3, sec.3].

Now we can straightforwardly obtain the skew Hurwitz partition function (6) by the action of these operators  $\hat{O}(u)$ :

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,m)}(\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}; \bar{p}, g, p) = \prod_{j=1}^m \hat{O}_g^{-1}(v_j) \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{O}_p(u_i) \exp\left(\sum_k \frac{(p_k + \bar{p}_k)g_k}{k}\right) \quad (16)$$

### 2.2 $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,m)}$ as a $\tau$ -function of Toda lattice

The skew Hurwitz partition function is proportional to a  $\tau$ -function of the Toda lattice hierarchy [8]:

$$\tau_N(g, p) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - k + u_i + N)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - k + v_j + N)} \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_{(n,m)}(\{u_i + N\}, \{v_j + N\}; \bar{p}, g, p) \quad (17)$$

Here  $N$  is the zeroth time of the hierarchy, and  $\{kp_k\}$ ,  $\{kg_k\}$  are the two infinite sets of times of the hierarchy. The third infinite set,  $\{\bar{p}_k\}$  describes the concrete solution to the hierarchy (the point of the infinite-dimensional Grassmannian).

Let us note that, in accordance with [18], the sum

$$\tau_n(g, p|\xi) = \sum_{R,Q} \zeta_{R,Q}(n) S_R\{g\} S_Q\{p\} \quad (18)$$

is a  $\tau$ -function of the Toda lattice hierarchy iff

$$\zeta_{R,Q}(N) = \det_{i,j \leq N} F(R_i - i + N + 1, Q_j - j + N + 1) \quad (19)$$

with some function  $F(x, y)$ , and  $N$  playing the role of the zeroth time.

Hence, in order to prove that (17) is a Toda lattice  $\tau$ -function, one has to prove that

$$\left( \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(1 - k + u_i + N)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(1 - k + v_j + N)} \right) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \xi_R(u_i + N)}{\prod_{j=1}^m \xi_Q(v_j + N)} \cdot S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} \quad (20)$$

has representation (19). To this end, we use the Jacobi-Trudi determinant representation for the skew Schur functions,

$$S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}\} = \det_{i,j \leq l_R} h_{R_i - i - Q_j + j}\{\bar{p}\} \quad (21)$$

where  $h_k$  are the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials, that is,  $h_k = S_{[k]}$ , and we put  $h_k = 0$  at  $k < 0$ . Then, one obtains representation (18) for (17) with

$$\zeta_{R,Q}(N) = \det_{i,j \leq N} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma(R_i - i + 1 + u_k + N)}{\prod_{l=1}^m \Gamma(Q_j - j + 1 + v_l + N)} h_{R_i - i - Q_j + j}\{\bar{p}\} \quad (22)$$

i.e.  $\zeta_{R,Q}(N)$  is just of the form (19) with

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n \Gamma(x + u_k)}{\prod_{l=1}^m \Gamma(y + v_l)} h_{x-y}\{\bar{p}\} \quad (23)$$

### 3 Two-matrix model representation of $\mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}$

In this section, we provide the matrix model representation for the skew Hurwitz partition function  $\mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}$ . We explain that it is given by the two-matrix model

$$Z(N; \bar{p}, p, g) = \int \int_{N \times N} dX dY \exp \left( -\text{Tr} XY + \text{Tr} Y \Lambda + \sum_k \frac{g_k}{k} \text{Tr} X^k + \sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k}{k} \text{Tr} Y^k \right) \quad (24)$$

with  $p_k = \text{Tr} \Lambda^k$ . Here the integral is understood as integration of a power series in  $g_k$ ,  $\bar{p}_k$  and  $\text{Tr} \Lambda^k$ , and  $X$  are Hermitian matrices, while  $Y$  are anti-Hermitian ones.

#### 3.1 From matrix to time derivatives

In order to deal with this matrix model, we need to know the action of invariant matrix derivatives,  $\text{Tr} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial Y^k}$  on polynomials of  $p_k = \text{Tr} Y^k$ . Note that, by comparing the actions on the Schur functions using [19, Eq.(37)] and [1, Eq.(24)], one obtains

$$\text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k = \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(N) \left( k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right) \hat{\mathcal{O}}(N) \quad (25)$$

Now let us use the identity<sup>2</sup>

$$S_R \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\} S_Q\{p_k\} = S_{Q/R}\{p_k\} \quad (27)$$

in order to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \underbrace{\{\text{Tr} Y^k\}}_{p_k} &= \xi_Q(N) \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(N) S_R \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\} S_Q\{p_k\} = \xi_Q(N) \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(N) S_{Q/R}\{p_k\} = \\ &= \xi_Q(N) \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}(N) \sum_P N_{RP}^Q S_P\{p_k\} = \sum_P N_{RP}^Q \xi_Q(N) \xi_P^{-1}(N) S_P\{p_k\} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

<sup>2</sup>The simplest way to prove this formula is to use the Cauchy identity:

$$\sum_R S_R\{p'_k\} S_R \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\} S_Q\{p_k\} = \exp \left( \sum_k p'_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right) S_Q\{p_k\} = S_Q\{p_k + p'_k\} = \sum_R S_{Q/R}\{p_k\} S_R\{p'_k\} \quad (26)$$

and compare the coefficients in front of  $S_R\{p'_k\}$ .

where  $N_{RP}^Q$  are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. In particular,

$$S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \underbrace{\{ \text{Tr} Y^k \}}_{p_k} \Big|_{Y=0} = \xi_R(N) \delta_{R,Q} \quad (29)$$

### 3.2 Evaluating the matrix integral

Now the two-matrix integral (24) can be rewritten in the form [3, Eq.(47)]

$$\begin{aligned} Z(N; \bar{p}, p, g) &= \sum_{R,Q} S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} \int \int_{N \times N} dX dY S_R \{ \text{Tr} X^k \} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \exp(-\text{Tr} XY + \text{Tr} Y \Lambda) = \\ &= \sum_{R,Q} S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \exp(\text{Tr} Y \Lambda) \Big|_{Y=0} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which follows from the formula of Fourier theory:

$$\int dx dy f(x) g(y) e^{-xy} = f \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) g(y) \Big|_{y=0} \quad (31)$$

Let us note that the combination

$$A_{R,Q}(\Lambda) := S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \exp(\text{Tr} Y \Lambda) \Big|_{Y=0} \quad (32)$$

is an invariant polynomial of  $\Lambda$ , i.e.

$$A_{R,Q}(\Lambda) = \sum_P \alpha_P^{(R,Q)} S_P \{ \text{Tr} \Lambda^k \} \quad (33)$$

where  $\alpha_P$  are yet unknown coefficients to be defined. Now let us apply to  $A_{R,Q}(\Lambda)$  the derivative  $S_P \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \right)^k \right\}$ , then put  $\Lambda = 0$ , and use (51):

$$S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} S_P \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \Big|_{Y=0} = \xi_P(N) \alpha_P^{(R,Q)} \quad (34)$$

It remains to note that the l.h.s. of this equality is

$$S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} S_P \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \Big|_{Y=0} = \sum_T N_{QP}^T S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_T \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \Big|_{Y=0} = N_{QP}^R \xi_R(N) \quad (35)$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_P^{(R,Q)} = \frac{\xi_R(N)}{\xi_P(N)} N_{QP}^R \quad (36)$$

and

$$\boxed{ \begin{aligned} Z(N; \bar{p}, p, g) &= \sum_{R,Q,P} S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} \alpha_P^{(R,Q)} S_P \{ \text{Tr} \Lambda^k \} = \sum_{R,Q,P} \frac{\xi_R(N)}{\xi_P(N)} N_{QP}^R S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} S_P \{ \text{Tr} \Lambda^k \} = \\ &= \sum_{R,P} \frac{\xi_R(N)}{\xi_P(N)} S_R \{g_k\} S_{R/P} \{\bar{p}_k\} S_P \{ \text{Tr} \Lambda^k \} = \mathfrak{Z}_{(1,1)}(N, N; \bar{p}, g, p) \end{aligned} } \quad (37)$$

Another derivation of this formula, which will be of use in the  $\beta$ -deformed case, is as follows: since the matrix integral is an invariant polynomial of  $\Lambda$ , one can make a replace  $\Lambda \rightarrow U^{-1} \Lambda U$  with a unitary matrix  $U$  and then perform an additional integration over  $U$  (normalized to the volume of the unitary group  $U(N)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} Z(N; \bar{p}, p, g) &= \sum_{R,Q} S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \exp(\text{Tr} Y \Lambda) \Big|_{Y=0} = \\ &= \sum_{R,Q} S_R \{g_k\} S_Q \{\bar{p}_k\} S_R \left\{ \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right)^k \right\} S_Q \{ \text{Tr} Y^k \} \int_{N \times N} dU \exp(\text{Tr} Y U^{-1} \Lambda U) \Big|_{Y=0} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, this integral can be evaluated using the Itzykson-Zuber formula [15, Eq.(4.5)],

$$\int_{N \times N} dU \exp(\text{Tr} YU^{-1} \Lambda U) = \sum_P \frac{1}{\xi_P} S_P\{\text{Tr} Y^k\} S_P\{\Lambda^k\} \quad (39)$$

immediately giving rise to (37).

## 4 Multi-matrix model representation of $\mathfrak{Z}_{(n,1)}$

### 4.1 Evaluating 4-matrix model $\mathfrak{Z}_{(2,1)}$

As a natural generalization of the two-matrix model, we now consider a similar four-matrix model:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(2)}(N; \bar{p}, p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, g) &= \int_{N_1 \times N_1} dX_1 dY_1 \int_{N_2 \times N_2} dX_2 dY_2 \times \\ &\times \exp\left(-\text{Tr} X_1 Y_1 - \text{Tr} X_2 Y_2 + \text{Tr} Y_1 \Lambda_1 + \text{Tr} Y_2 \Lambda_2 + \sum_k \frac{g_k}{k} \text{Tr} X_1^k + \sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k}{k} \text{Tr} Y_2^k + \sum_k \frac{\text{Tr} Y_1^k \text{Tr} X_2^k}{k}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{R, Q, P} S_R\{g_k\} S_Q\{\bar{p}_k\} S_R\left\{\text{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_1}\right)^k\right\} S_P\{\text{Tr} Y_1^k\} S_P\left\{\text{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_2}\right)^k\right\} S_Q\{\text{Tr} Y_2^k\} \exp(\text{Tr} Y_1 \Lambda_1 + \text{Tr} Y_2 \Lambda_2) \Big|_{Y_1=Y_2=0} = \\ &= \sum_{R, Q, P} S_R\{g_k\} S_Q\{\bar{p}_k\} A_{R,P}(\Lambda_1) A_{P,Q}(\Lambda_2) = \sum_{R, P, Q_1, Q_2} \frac{\xi_R \xi_P}{\xi_{Q_1} \xi_{Q_2}} S_R\{g_k\} S_{P/Q_2}\{\bar{p}_k\} N_{PQ_1}^R S_{Q_1}\{\text{Tr} \Lambda_1^k\} S_{Q_2}\{\text{Tr} \Lambda_2^k\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_k^{(1)} = \text{Tr} \Lambda_1^k$ ,  $p_k^{(2)} = \text{Tr} \Lambda_2^k$ .

If  $\Lambda_1 = 0$ ,

$$Z^{(2)}(N_1, N_2; \bar{p}, p^{(2)}, g) = \sum_{R, Q} \frac{\xi_R^2}{\xi_Q} S_R\{g_k\} S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} S_Q\{\text{Tr} \Lambda_2^k\} = \mathfrak{Z}_{(2,1)}(N_1, N_2, N_2; \bar{p}, g, p) \quad (40)$$

### 4.2 $2n$ -matrix model

Similarly, for the  $2n$ -matrix model, one obtains (one can compare this formula with another multi-matrix model of a similar but different type [20])

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(n)}(N; \bar{p}, \{p^{(i)}\}, g) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{N_i \times N_i} dX_i dY_i \times \\ &\times \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr} X_i Y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Tr} Y_i \Lambda_i + \sum_k \frac{g_k}{k} \text{Tr} X_1^k + \sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k}{k} \text{Tr} Y_n^k + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_k \frac{\text{Tr} Y_i^k \text{Tr} X_{i+1}^k}{k}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{\{R_i, Q_i\}} S_{R_1}\{g_k\} S_{R_n/Q_n}\{\bar{p}_k\} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} N_{R_{i+1}Q_i}^{R_i} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_{R_i}}{\xi_{Q_i}} S_{Q_i}\{\text{Tr} \Lambda_i^k\} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and, at all  $\Lambda_i = 0$  but  $\Lambda_n$ ,

$$\boxed{Z^{(n)}(N; \bar{p}, p^{(n)}, g) = \sum_{R, Q} \frac{\xi_R^n}{\xi_Q} S_R\{g_k\} S_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} S_Q\{\text{Tr} \Lambda_n^k\} = \mathfrak{Z}_{(n,1)}(\{N_i\}, N_n; \bar{p}, g, p)} \quad (42)$$

## 5 $\beta$ -deformation

### 5.1 The Jack polynomials

In order to deal with the  $\beta$ -deformation of the matrix model (24), we need to replace the Schur functions of sec.3 with the Jack polynomials, and to replace correspondingly a few properties.

The orthogonality relation in this case follows from

$$J_Q\left\{\frac{k}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}\right\} J_R\{p_k\} = \|J_Q\| J_{R/Q}\{p_k\} \quad (43)$$

where  $\|J_Q\|$  is the norm square of the Jack polynomial,

$$\|J_R\| := \frac{\overline{G_{R^\vee R}^\beta(0)}}{G_{RR^\vee}^\beta(0)} \beta^{|R|} \quad G_{R'R''}^\beta(x) := \prod_{(i,j) \in R'} (x + R'_i - j + \beta(R''_j - i + 1)) \quad (44)$$

with the bar over the functions denoting the substitution  $\beta \rightarrow \beta^{-1}$ . The ratio

$$\frac{J_R\{N\}}{J_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = \prod_{i,j \in R} (N + (j-1)\beta^{-1} - i + 1) \quad (45)$$

Now we again use the operator  $\hat{O}_N^\beta$  with the property

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}^\beta(N) \cdot J_R\{p_k\} &= \xi_R^\beta(N) J_R\{p_k\} \\ \xi_R^\beta(N) &:= \prod_{i,j \in N} (N + \beta^{-1}(j-1) - i + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

We do not need the manifest form of this operator.

Now comparing Eqs.(59) and (60) from [1], one obtains that there exists a set of commuting differential operators  $\hat{H}_k$  such that

$$\hat{H}_k = \left(\hat{O}^\beta(N)\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{k}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}\right) \hat{O}^\beta(N) \quad (47)$$

These operators  $\hat{H}_n$  defined in [1] do no longer have a meaning of matrix derivatives. We discuss them in detail in secs.5.3-5.4.

Now let us use the identity (43) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_R\{\hat{H}_k\} J_Q\{p_k\} &= \xi_Q^\beta(N) \left(\hat{O}^\beta(N)\right)^{-1} J_R\left\{\frac{k}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}\right\} J_Q\{p_k\} = \xi_Q^\beta(N) \|J_Q\| \left(\hat{O}^\beta(N)\right)^{-1} J_{Q/R}\{p_k\} = \\ &= \xi_Q^\beta(N) \|J_Q\| \left(\hat{O}^\beta(N)\right)^{-1} \sum_P \beta^{-1} N_{R^\vee P^\vee}^{Q^\vee} J_P\{p_k\} = \sum_P \beta^{-1} N_{R^\vee P^\vee}^{Q^\vee} \|J_Q\| \frac{\xi_Q^\beta(N)}{\xi_P^\beta(N)} J_P\{p_k\} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where  $\beta N_{RP}^Q$  are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients, and we used that

$$J_{R/P} = \sum_Q \beta^{-1} N_{Q^\vee P^\vee}^{R^\vee} J_Q \quad (49)$$

Note that

$$\beta^{-1} N_{Q^\vee P^\vee}^{R^\vee} = \beta N_{QP}^R \frac{\|J_R\|}{\|J_Q\| \|J_P\|} \quad (50)$$

In particular,

$$J_R\{\hat{H}_k\} J_Q\{p_k\} \Big|_{p_k=0} = \xi_R^\beta(N) \|J_Q\| \delta_{R,Q} \quad (51)$$

## 5.2 $\beta$ -deformed matrix model

We introduce the  $\beta$ -deformed matrix model:

$$Z^\beta(N; \bar{p}, p, g) = \int \int_{N \times N} [dXdY]_\beta \exp \left( -\text{Tr} XY + \text{Tr} Y \Lambda + \beta \sum_k \frac{g_k}{k} \text{Tr} X^k + \beta \sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k}{k} \text{Tr} Y^k \right) \quad (52)$$

where the  $\beta$ -deformed integration measure is *defined* as

$$\int \int_{N \times N} [dXdY]_\beta J_R\{\text{Tr} X^k\} J_Q\{\text{Tr} Y^k\} \exp(-\text{Tr} XY + \text{Tr} Y \Lambda) = J_R\{\hat{H}_k\} J_Q\{\text{Tr} Y^k\} \exp(\text{Tr} Y \Lambda) \Big|_{Y=0} \quad (53)$$



In order to evaluate the matrix integral (52), we use the same trick as before and insert an additional unitary matrix integration using the  $\beta$ -deformed Itzykson-Zuber formula [21, sec.2]

$$\int_{N \times N} [dU]_{\beta} \exp(\text{Tr} YU^{-1}\Lambda U) = \sum_P \frac{1}{\xi_P^{\beta}} J_P\{\text{Tr} Y^k\} J_P\{\Lambda^k\} \quad (54)$$

Then, using the Cauchy identity for the Jack polynomials

$$\sum_R \frac{J_R\{p_k\} J_R\{p'_k\}}{\|J_R\|} = \exp\left(\beta \sum_k \frac{p_k p'_k}{k}\right) \quad (55)$$

one repeats the calculation of the non-deformed case and, using (51), immediately gets that

$$\boxed{Z^{\beta}(N; \bar{p}, p, g) = \sum_{R, Q, P} \frac{\xi_R^{\beta}(N)}{\xi_P^{\beta}(N)} \beta N_{QP}^R \frac{J_R\{g_k\} J_Q\{\bar{p}_k\} J_P\{\text{Tr} \Lambda^k\}}{\|J_P\| \|J_Q\|} \stackrel{(50)}{=} \sum_{R, Q} \frac{\xi_R^{\beta}(N)}{\xi_P^{\beta}(N)} \frac{J_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} J_R\{g_k\} J_P\{\text{Tr} \Lambda^k\}}{\|J_R\|}} \quad (56)$$

This is exactly the formula that is generated by the  $W$ -representation of [1].

The  $\beta$ -deformation of the multi-matrix model (41) is absolutely immediate.

### 5.3 $W$ -representation

The  $\beta$ -deformed matrix model has the  $W$ -representation similar to that in the non-deformed case [2]. In order to construct it, we need a set of operators  $\hat{H}_k$  discussed above. These operators are manifestly constructed in the following way [1]. First of all, we define an auxiliary operator

$$\hat{W}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b=0} \left( \beta(a+b)p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + ab p_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right) + \frac{1-\beta}{2} \sum_k (k-1) k p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \quad (57)$$

which is a deformation of the cut-and-join operator [5, 22]. Using this operator, we can construct a pair of another auxiliary operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_1 &= [\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \hat{W}_0] = \sum_{b=0} (b+1) p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{b+1}} \\ \hat{F}_2 &= [\hat{F}_1, \hat{W}_0] = \sum_{a, b=0} \left( \beta p_a p_b (a+b+1) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b+1}} + ab p_{a+b-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right) + (1-\beta) \sum_b b(b+1) p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{b+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Now we construct the whole series of operators  $\hat{H}_k$  by the recursion relation

$$\hat{H}_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k} [\hat{H}_k, \hat{F}_2] \quad (59)$$

with the initial condition  $\hat{H}_1 = \hat{F}_1$ . The first few operators  $\hat{H}_k$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= \sum_{b=0} (b+1) p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{b+1}} \\ \hat{H}_2 &= [\hat{H}_1, \hat{F}_2] = \sum_{a, b=0} \left( \beta p_a p_b (a+b+2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b+2}} + ab p_{a+b-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right) + (1-\beta) \sum_{b=0} (b+1)(b+2) p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{b+1}} \\ \hat{H}_3 &= \frac{1}{2} [\hat{H}_2, \hat{F}_2] = \sum_{a, b, c=0} \left[ \beta^2 (a+b+c+3) p_a p_b p_c \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b+c}} + abc p_{a+b+c-3} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial p_a \partial p_b \partial p_c} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{3(1-\beta)}{2} \sum_{a, b=0} \left[ ab(a+b-2) p_{a+b-3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} + \beta(a+b+2)(a+b+3) p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b+3}} \right] + \\ &+ \frac{3\beta}{2} \sum_{a, b, c, d=0} \delta_{3+a+b, c+d} p_a p_b \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_c \partial p_d} + \frac{2\beta^2 - 3\beta + 2}{2} \sum_{a=0} a(a-1)(a-2) p_{a-3} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

and we put  $p_0 = N$ .

With these operators one obtains for  $\bar{p}_k = \delta_{k,2}$ :

$$Z^\beta(N; \bar{p} = \delta_{k,2}, p, g) = e^{\frac{\hat{H}_2}{2}} \cdot e^{\beta \sum_k \frac{p_k g_k}{k}} = \sum_{R,Q,P} \beta^{\frac{|Q|}{2}} \frac{\xi_R^\beta(N)}{\xi_P^\beta(N)} \frac{J_{R/Q}\{\delta_{k,2}\} J_R\{g_k\} J_P\{\text{Tr } \Lambda^k\}}{\|J_R\|} \quad (61)$$

and, for  $\bar{p}_k = \delta_{k,3}$ ,

$$Z^\beta(N; \bar{p} = \delta_{k,3}, p, g) = e^{\frac{\hat{H}_3}{3}} \cdot e^{\beta \sum_k \frac{p_k g_k}{k}} = \sum_{R,Q,P} \beta^{\frac{2|Q|}{3}} \frac{\xi_R^\beta(N)}{\xi_P^\beta(N)} \frac{J_{R/Q}\{\delta_{k,3}\} J_R\{g_k\} J_P\{\text{Tr } \Lambda^k\}}{\|J_R\|} \quad (62)$$

The case of generic  $\bar{p}_k$  looks like

$$\boxed{Z^\beta(N; \bar{p}, p, g) = \exp\left(\sum_k \frac{\beta^{\frac{1-k}{k}} \bar{p}_k \hat{H}_k}{k}\right) \cdot e^{\beta \sum_k \frac{p_k g_k}{k}} = \sum_{R,Q,P} \frac{\xi_R^\beta(N)}{\xi_P^\beta(N)} \frac{J_{R/Q}\{\bar{p}_k\} J_R\{g_k\} J_P\{\text{Tr } \Lambda^k\}}{\|J_R\|}} \quad (63)$$

which can be proved along the line of [2].

## 5.4 Operators $\hat{H}_k$ as Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians

Note that, for  $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^k$ , and when acting on symmetric functions of  $\lambda_i$ ,<sup>3</sup> the operators  $\hat{H}_m$  are realized as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_1 &= \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \\ \hat{H}_2 &= 2\beta \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \\ \hat{H}_3 &= 3\beta^2 \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + 3\beta \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_i^2} + \sum_i \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \lambda_i^3} \\ &\dots \\ \hat{H}_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n C_k^m \beta^{n-k} \sum_i \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |I|=k}} \prod_{j \in I} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \lambda_i^k} \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where  $C_k^m$  are the binomial coefficients. This is a set of the (mutually commuting) rational Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians. At  $\beta = 1$ , it reduces to [24, Eq.(21)]

$$\hat{H}_n = \text{Tr} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \Lambda^n} = \sum_i \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |I|=n-1}} \prod_{j \in I} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \quad (65)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , and the operator  $\hat{H}_n$  is understood as acting on invariant functions of  $\Lambda$ . Note that the sum includes the terms with poles at  $i = j$ , which are resolved by the L'Hôpital's rule.

The commutativity of operators  $\hat{H}_k$  immediately follows from their realization (47). One can also consider, instead of (47), the rotation [2]

$$\hat{H}_k^{(m)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\beta(u_i) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{k}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right) \prod_{i=1}^m \hat{\mathcal{O}}^\beta(u_i) \quad (66)$$

which gives rise to a commutative family of Hamiltonians for each  $m$ . We will return to this issue elsewhere.

One can also realize  $\hat{H}_n$  in terms of the Dunkl operators  $\hat{D}_i$ :

$$\hat{D}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (1 - P_{ij}) \quad (67)$$

<sup>3</sup>Formal subtleties of the procedure can be found in [23].

where  $P_{ij}$  is the operator permuting  $i$  and  $j$ . When acting on symmetric functions of  $\lambda_i$ ,

$$\hat{H}_k = \sum_i \hat{D}_i^k \quad (68)$$

Note that the standard Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians are obtained by the rotation:

$$H_k^{Cal} = \Delta(\lambda)^\beta \sum_i \hat{D}_i^k \Delta(\lambda)^{-\beta} \quad (69)$$

where  $\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ .

Thus, we observe a surprising way of constructing the rational Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonians: by successive commutators with  $\hat{F}_2$  starting from  $\hat{H}_1$ ,  $\hat{F}_2$  being constructed by commuting of  $\hat{H}_1$  with  $\hat{W}_0$ . The form of all these auxiliary and operators and Hamiltonians in terms of the matrix derivative at  $\beta = 1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_0 &= \frac{1}{2} : \text{Tr} \left( \Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \right)^2 : \\ \hat{F}_1 &= \text{Tr} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} \\ \hat{F}_2 &= \text{Tr} \Lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \Lambda^2} \\ \hat{H}_n &= \text{Tr} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \Lambda^n} \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where  $: \dots :$  denotes the normal ordering, i.e. all the derivatives moved to the right. At generic  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{F}_1$  is still given by the same formula, while the other operators can be rewritten only in terms of the eigenvalues: the auxiliary operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_0 &= \beta \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \lambda_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \\ \hat{F}_2 &= 2\beta \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda_i^2} \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

while the Hamiltonians are given by (64).

Note also that  $\hat{W}_0$  gives the trigonometric Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian [25, 26]. Generally, they are given by the generalized cut-and-join operators  $\hat{W}_{[k]}$  [5], and  $\hat{W}_0 = \hat{W}_{[2]}$ . In the  $w_\infty$ -algebra picture of the Introduction,  $\hat{W}_{[k]}$  are associated with the vertical line  $\frac{1}{k} V_{k+1,0}$ . In particular,  $\hat{L}_0 = \hat{W}_{[1]}$ . At the same picture, the horizontal line is made from  $\frac{k}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} = V_{1,k}$ .

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we developed the theory of skew Hurwitz partition functions. They are  $\tau$ -functions of the Toda lattice hierarchy of the skew hypergeometric type. Specifically, we discussed the formalism of cut-and-join operators and of the rotation operator  $\hat{O}$  made from them, and explained how to apply them to the skew Hurwitz partition functions. This formalism allows one to substitute an explicit representation of  $w_\infty$  action on the Young diagrams [1, 26–28] by nearly trivial manipulations with abstract operators. We applied it to prove the equivalence of the simplest skew Hurwitz partition function to a two-matrix models in background field (a kind of 2-matrix generalization of the generalized Kontsevich model in the character phase, [29]). We also pointed out peculiarities of the  $\beta$ -deformation of this formalism.

We also explained the interpretation of operators  $\hat{O}$  as intertwiners of commuting 1-parametric sub-algebras of  $w_\infty$ , which look like rotations in its pictorial representation. After reduction to the Miwa locus (from times variables to matrix eigenvalues), these subalgebras (the blue lines in the picture of the Introduction) describe integrable systems, which, in the two simplest cases, are just the rational and trigonometric Calogero-Sutherland systems having arbitrary coupling constant only after the  $\beta$ -deformation. This is a pattern that deserves further analysis and better understanding.

While the  $\beta$ -deformation of the skew Hurwitz partition functions is immediate and preserves all the structures but integrability, the  $q, t$ -deformation of the picture is somewhat less straightforward, and exploits other technical means. It deserves a separate discussion.

## Acknowledgements

Our work is partly supported by the grant of the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics “BASIS” and by the joint grant 21-51-46010-ST-a, and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11875194).

## References

- [1] R. Wang, F. Liu, C. H. Zhang and W. Z. Zhao, arXiv:2206.13038
- [2] A. Mironov, V. Mishnyakov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov, R. Wang and W. Z. Zhao, arXiv:2301.04107
- [3] A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, JHEP **11** (2014) 080, arXiv:1405.1395
- [4] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, 1995
- [5] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, Theor.Math.Phys. **166** (2011) 1-22, arXiv:0904.4227; Journal of Geometry and Physics **62** (2012) 148-155, arXiv:1012.0433
- [6] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux: with applications to representation theory and geometry*, LMS, 1997
- [7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, *Transformation groups for soliton equations*, RIMS Symp. ”Non-linear integrable systems – classical theory and quantum theory” (World Scientific, Singapore, 1983)
- [8] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, Adv.Studies in Pure Math. **4** (1984) 1
- [9] S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A10** (1995) 2015, hep-th/9312210
- [10] A. Okounkov, Math.Res.Lett. **7** (2000) 447-453
- [11] A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, J. Phys. A **45** (2012) 045209, arXiv:1103.4100
- [12] S. Lando, In: *Applications of Group Theory to Combinatorics*, Koolen, Kwak and Xu, Eds. Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2008, 109-132
- [13] A. Orlov and D.M. Shcherbin, Theor.Math.Phys. **128** (2001) 906-926
- [14] G.Belyi, Mathematics of the USSR: Izvestiya, **14:2** (1980) 247-256  
A.Grothendieck, *Sketch of a Programme*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **242** (1997) 243-283; *Esquisse d'un Programme*, in: P.Lochak, L.Schneps (eds.), Geometric Galois Action, pp.5-48, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997)  
G.B.Shabat and V.A.Voevodsky, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Birkhauser, 1990, V.III., p.199-227  
S.K.Lando and A.K.Zvonkin, *Graphs on surfaces and their applications*, Encycl. of Math. Sciences, **141**, Springer, 2004  
P. Zograf, Int. Math. Res. Not. **24** (2015) 13533-13544, arXiv:1312.2538
- [15] A. B. Balantekin, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 085017, arXiv:hep-th/0007161  
See also a review in:  
A. Morozov, Theor. Math. Phys. **162** (2010) 1-33, arXiv:0906.3518
- [16] I. Bakas, Phys. Lett. **B228** (1989) 57 C. Pope, L. Romans and X. Shen, Nucl. Phys. **B339** (1990) 191; Phys. Lett. **B242** (1990) 401; **B245** (1990) 72  
E. Bergshoeff, C. Pope, L. Romans, E. Sezgin and X. Shen, Phys. Lett. **B245** (1990) 447  
M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Comm.Math.Phys. **143** (1992) 371-403  
H. Awata, M. Fukuma, Y. Matsuo and S. Odake, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118** (1995) 343-374, hep-th/9408158
- [17] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, JHEP **11** (2011) 097, arXiv:1108.0885
- [18] K. Takasaki, Adv.Studies in Pure Math. **4** (1984) 139-163
- [19] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Eur. Phys. J. C **83** (2023) 71, arXiv:2206.02045

- [20] L. Y. Wang, V. Mishnyakov, A. Popolitov, F. Liu and R. Wang, arXiv:2301.12763
- [21] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and Sh. Shakirov, JHEP **03** (2011) 102, arXiv:1011.3481
- [22] D. Goulden, D.M. Jackson and A. Vainshtein, Ann. of Comb. **4** (2000) 27-46, Birkhäuser, math/9902125
- [23] A.N. Sergeev and A.P. Veselov, arXiv:0910.1984
- [24] A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. B **274** (1992) 280-288, hep-th/9201011
- [25] A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, JHEP **04** (2009) 064, arXiv:0902.2627
- [26] R. Wang, C. H. Zhang, F. H. Zhang and W. Z. Zhao, Nucl. Phys. B **985** (2022) 115989, arXiv:2203.14578
- [27] A. Mironov, V. Mishnyakov, A. Morozov and R. Rashkov, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) 1140, arXiv:2105.09920  
A. Mironov, V. Mishnyakov, A. Morozov and R. Rashkov, JETP Lett. **113** (2021) 728-732, arXiv:2104.11550
- [28] V. Mishnyakov and A. Oreshina, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) 548, arXiv:2203.15675
- [29] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and G. W. Semenoff, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (1996) 5031, hep-th/9404005