

# Multidimensional Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with Rough Drifts\*

Jiahao Liang<sup>†</sup> and Shanjian Tang<sup>‡</sup>

January 31, 2023

## Abstract

We study a multidimensional backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE) with a rough drift, and give the existence and uniqueness of the adapted solution when the terminal value and the geometric rough path are small (but dropped is the one-dimensional assumption of Diehl and Friz [Ann. Probab. 40 (2012), 1715-1758]). In particular, when the rough drift is linear but is allowed to be random and time-varying, we first establish the  $p$ -rough stochastic integration for  $p \in [2, 3)$ , and then give via a fixed-point argument a general existence and uniqueness result for the multidimensional rough BSDE with a general square integrable terminal value; furthermore, we connect it to a system of rough partial differential equations.

## 1 Introduction

The rough integral

$$\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}$$

and rough differential equation (RDE)

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t F(Y) d\mathbf{X}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

for a two-step  $\alpha$ -Hölder rough path  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X})$  with  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and path  $Y$  controlled by  $X$  (see [26, 27, 16]), extend the work of Young [32] and have received numerous attentions. Rough integrals of controlled rough paths have been generalized to arbitrary  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  (see, e.g., [17, 18, 19]). The well-posedness of RDEs has been discussed in various settings (see, e.g., [6, 14, 1, 30, 15]). Moreover, the rough path theory provides a fresh perspective on Itô's stochastic calculus theory. Rough integrals against an enhanced Brownian motion (and also continuous semimartingales enhanced to

---

*Key words and phrases.* rough path, backward stochastic differential equation, system of rough PDEs.

*MSC2020 subject classifications:* 60L20, 60H10, 60L50.

\*This work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11631004 and No. 12031009), Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences (Ministry of Education), and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

<sup>†</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. E-mail: jhliang20@fudan.edu.cn.

<sup>‡</sup>Institute of Mathematical Finance and Department of Finance and Control Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China. Email: sjtang@fudan.edu.cn.

rough paths) coincide with stochastic integrals whenever both are well-defined (see, e.g., [11, 14]). It has also been extended to càdlàg semimartingales (see [13, 4]). Hence, SDEs can be solved pathwisely as RDEs driven by an enhanced Brownian motion. A pathwise interpretation of SPDEs also gives rise to numerous studies of rough partial differential equations (rough PDEs) (see, e.g., [2, 3, 8, 20, 21]).

Differential equations driven simultaneously by a Brownian motion  $W$  and by a rough path  $\mathbf{X}$  have also been studied. Diehl and Friz [7] first studied backward stochastic differential equations with a rough drift (rough BSDEs)

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T g(S_r, Y_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1)$$

for given an Itô process  $S$ , a deterministic vector field  $g$  and a geometric rough path  $\mathbf{X}$ , but they restrict themselves within the case that the first unknown process  $Y$  is scalar valued. With a flow transform to separate stochastic integration from rough integration, they first solve RDEs and the reduced (from the flow transformation) quadratic BSDEs, respectively; then they give the well-posedness of rough BSDE (1) via the continuity of both solution maps of RDEs and quadratic BSDEs. Motivated by robustness problems in stochastic filtering, Crisan et al. [5] first studied stochastic differential equations with a rough drift (rough SDEs) with deterministic and time-invariant coefficients

$$S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t b(S_r) dr + \int_0^t F(S_r) d\mathbf{X}_r + \int_0^t \sigma(S_r) dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (2)$$

and give the well-posedness of rough SDE (2) via the technique of the flow transform. Diehl et al. [9] also obtain the well-posedness of rough SDE (2) by constructing a joint rough lift of  $(W(\omega), \mathbf{X})$  and solving rough SDE (2) pathwisely as an RDE. Friz et al. [12] introduce stochastic controlled rough paths and establish rough stochastic integrals of stochastic controlled rough paths against two-step  $\alpha$ -Hölder rough paths using an extension of the Stochastic Sewing Lemma [24, Theorem 2.1]. Moreover, they introduce stochastic controlled vector fields and give by a fixed-point argument the well-posedness of rough SDEs with random and time-varying coefficients

$$S_t = S_0 + \int_0^t b_r(S_r) dr + \int_0^t F_r(S_r) d\mathbf{X}_r + \int_0^t \sigma_r(S_r) dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In this paper, we consider multidimensional rough BSDEs

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3)$$

and thus drop the one-dimensional restriction of Diehl and Friz [7] on the first unknown process  $Y$ , but at a cost of an extra smallness assumption when the rough drift  $g$  is nonlinear. Here,  $g$  is a given deterministic vector field and  $\mathbf{X}$  is a given geometric rough path. A solution to rough BSDE (3) is defined as the limit of smooth approximations (see Definition 3.1). With the flow transform method of [7], we give the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (3) when  $\xi$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  are sufficiently small (see Theorem 3.5).

In the particular case of a linear rough drift, we further solve the following rough BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T (G_r Y_r + H_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4)$$

when the terminal value  $\xi$  is just square integrable, coefficients  $G$  and  $H$  are allowed to be random and time-varying, and the rough integrator  $\mathbf{X}$  is a conventional rough path. A key difficulty is that for a solution  $(Y, Z)$  to a BSDE, the map

$$[0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega) : \quad t \mapsto \int_0^t Z_r dW_r$$

is not Hölder continuous in general, which leads to the failure of the fixed-point argument on the space of stochastic controlled rough paths of Hölder continuity as in [12]. To overcome this difficulty, we establish the rough stochastic integration in  $p$ -variation scale, or simply  $p$ -rough stochastic integration, for  $p \in [2, 3)$ . Since  $\int_0^\cdot Z_r dW_r$  is a square-integrable martingale, it naturally has a finite  $p$ -variation as a path from  $[0, T]$  to  $L^2(\Omega)$  (see Lemma 5.4). Another key difficulty is to derive via application of the general Stochastic Sewing Lemma of [25, Theorem 3.1], the boundedness of the rough stochastic integration map

$$(Y, Y') \mapsto \left( \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y \right) \quad (5)$$

in the definition of the  $p$ -rough stochastic integral of a square-integrable stochastic controlled rough path  $(Y, Y')$  against  $\mathbf{X}$ . To overcome this difficulty, we use the decomposition  $Y = Y^M + Y^J$  of [12, Theorem 3.5], where  $Y^M$  is a square-integrable martingale with  $Y_0^M = 0$  and  $(Y^J, Y')$  is a controlled rough path with values in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then we apply the Stochastic Sewing Lemma and (deterministic) Sewing Lemma to obtain  $\int_0^\cdot Y^M d\mathbf{X}$  and  $\int_0^\cdot Y^J d\mathbf{X}$ , respectively. Under the norm induced by this decomposition (see (24)), we get the boundedness of the rough stochastic integration map (5). By a fixed-point argument, we obtain the following well-posedness result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$  be a two-step  $p$ -rough path for  $p \in [2, 3)$ ,  $(G, G')$  be a measurable adapted controlled rough path with values in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  (see Definition 4.2), and  $(H, H')$  be a square-integrable stochastic controlled rough path of finite  $(p, p)$ -variation (see Definition 4.1). Assume that  $f$  is progressively measurable and uniformly Lipschitz continuous in  $y$  and  $z$ , and  $f(0, 0)$  is a square-integrable process. Then the rough BSDE (4) has a unique solution  $(Y, Z)$  (see Theorem 5.7). Moreover, the solution map*

$$(\xi, f, G, G', H, H', \mathbf{X}) \mapsto (Y, Z)$$

*is continuous (see Theorem 5.12).*

The preceding theorem is new even in the one-dimensional case, for the terminal value  $\xi$  is only required to be square integrable (not necessarily essentially bounded as required in Diehl and Friz [7]) and the rough drift can be time-varying and random.

For a Markovian setting, we give an application to systems of rough PDEs with the coefficients depending on the rough path variable  $\mathbf{X}$ :

$$\begin{cases} du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_t^2(x, \mathbf{X}) dt + Du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) b_t(x, \mathbf{X}) dt \\ \quad + f_t(x, u(t, x, \mathbf{X}), Du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_t(x, \mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X}) dt \\ \quad + [G_t(\mathbf{X}) u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) + h_t(x, \mathbf{X}) + H_t(\mathbf{X})] d\mathbf{X}_t = 0, \\ u(T, x, \mathbf{X}) = l(x, \mathbf{X}), \quad (t, x, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0, p\text{-var}}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{C}_g^{0, p\text{-var}}$  is the space of all two-step geometric  $p$ -rough paths. We define a solution  $u$  to (6) as a continuous map from  $\mathcal{C}_g^{0, p\text{-var}}$  to  $BUC$  such that  $u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is a viscosity solution to the corresponding

system of PDEs for the canonical rough lift  $\mathbf{X}$  of any smooth path  $X$  (see Definition 6.1). Here  $BUC$  is the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions with the uniform topology. Under certain conditions (see Assumption 6.2), we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (6), and give a stochastic representation of the solution (see Theorem 6.3). To our best knowledge, this is a first study to multidimensional BSDEs with conventional rough drivers.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains preliminary notations and results. In Section 3, we study the solubility of BSDEs with a nonlinear rough drift (3). The  $p$ -rough stochastic integration and boundedness of the rough stochastic integration map are established in Section 4. A stability result of  $p$ -rough stochastic integration is also given. In Section 5, we show the well-posedness of BSDEs with a linear rough drift (4). An application is given to systems of rough PDEs via a Feynman-Kac formula in Section 6.

## 2 Preliminaries

Denote by  $C$  (resp.  $C_M$ ) a generic positive constant (resp. a positive constant depending on  $M$ ) whose value can change from one line to another. Let  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$  be two Euclidean spaces, and  $|\cdot|$  be their norms. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(V)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $V$ . The Banach space of linear maps from  $V$  to  $\bar{V}$ , endowed with the induced norm  $|F| := \sup_{x \in V, |x| \leq 1} |F(x)|$ , is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(V, \bar{V})$ . Denote by  $V \otimes \bar{V}$  the tensor product of  $V$  and  $\bar{V}$ . Then any  $F \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathcal{L}(V, \bar{V}))$  can be regarded as an element in  $\mathcal{L}(V \otimes V, \bar{V})$  by defining  $F(\sum x_i \otimes y_i) := \sum [F(x_i)](y_i)$  for every  $x_i, y_i \in V$ . For a function  $F : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ , define  $|F|_\infty := \sup_{x \in V} |F(x)|$ . For a real number  $\gamma > 0$ , denote by  $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor$  the largest integer strictly smaller than  $\gamma$  so that  $\gamma = \lfloor \gamma \rfloor + \{\gamma\}$  with  $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\gamma\} \in (0, 1]$ . Denote by  $Lip^\gamma(V, \bar{V})$  the space of  $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor$  times continuously differentiable functions  $F : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$  such that

$$|F|_\gamma := \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} |D^i F|_\infty + \sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} \frac{|D^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} F(x_1) - D^{\lfloor \gamma \rfloor} F(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\{\gamma\}}} < \infty.$$

Fix a finite time horizon  $T > 0$ . Denote by  $\Delta$  the simplex  $\{(s, t) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ , and by  $\Delta_2$  the simplex  $\{(s, u, t) : 0 \leq s \leq u \leq t \leq T\}$ . For a path  $X : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ , define the increment of  $X$  by

$$\delta X : \Delta \rightarrow V, \quad \delta X_{s,t} := X_t - X_s, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta.$$

Similarly, for a function  $A : \Delta \rightarrow V$ , define the increment of  $A$  by

$$\delta A : \Delta_2 \rightarrow V, \quad \delta A_{s,u,t} := A_{s,t} - A_{s,u} - A_{u,t}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

For  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $(s, t) \in \Delta$ , we define the  $p$ -variation of  $A$  on the interval  $[s, t]$  by

$$|A|_{p-var; [s,t]} := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([s,t])} \left( \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi} |A_{u,v}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}([s, t])$  is the set of all partitions of the interval  $[s, t]$ . We always write  $|A|_{p-var} := |A|_{p-var; [0, T]}$ . A path  $X$  is said to be of finite  $p$ -variation if  $|\delta X|_{p-var}$  is finite. The space of continuous paths of finite  $p$ -variation is denoted by  $C^{p-var}([0, T], V)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For  $p \in [2, 3)$ , we call  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X})$  a two-step  $p$ -rough path with values in  $\mathbb{R}^e$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{p-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ , if the following are satisfied

- (i)  $X \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathbb{X} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e \otimes \mathbb{R}^e$  is continuous and  $|\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}$  is finite;
- (iii)  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfies Chen's relation

$$\delta \mathbb{X}_{s,u,t} = \delta X_{s,u} \otimes \delta X_{u,t}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2. \quad (7)$$

For  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X})$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = (\bar{X}, \bar{\mathbb{X}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}$ , we define

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} := |\delta X|_{p\text{-var}} + |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}, \quad |\mathbf{X}|_{\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}} := |X_0| + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}},$$

$$\rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}) := |\mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}|_{p\text{-var}}, \quad \rho_{\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}}(\mathbf{X}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}) := |\mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}|_{\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}}.$$

The metric space  $(\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}, \rho_{\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}})$  is complete. We will see later that the initial value  $X_0$  of  $X$  has no effect on  $p$ -rough stochastic integrals against  $\mathbf{X}$ . Hence, we always assume without loss of generality that  $X_0 = 0$ . Then  $\rho_{p\text{-var}}$  is a true metric on the subspace  $\{\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}} : X_0 = 0\}$ . For a smooth path  $X \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ , we always define

$$\mathbb{X} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e \otimes \mathbb{R}^e, \quad \mathbb{X}_{s,t} := \int_s^t \delta X_{s,r} \otimes dX_r, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta,$$

and  $\mathbf{X} := (X, \mathbb{X})$ . Then  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  for any  $p \in [2, 3)$ , and we call  $\mathbf{X}$  the canonical rough lift of the smooth path  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We call  $\mathbf{X}$  a two-step geometric  $p$ -rough path with values in  $\mathbb{R}^e$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ , if  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  such that there exist  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfying

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) = 0.$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a complete probability space carrying a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$ , and  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  be the augmentation under  $\mathbb{P}$  of the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W\}$  generated by  $W$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{E}_t$  the expectation operator conditioned at  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . For  $m \in [1, \infty]$  and a subfield  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the space of  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable  $L^m$ -integrable random variables  $\xi$  with values in  $V$  is denoted by  $L^m(\Omega, \mathcal{G}; V)$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\xi\|_m$ . Write  $L^m(\Omega; V) := L^m(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; V)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_m(V)$  the space of  $L^m$ -integrable martingales with values in  $V$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{L}^2([0, T], \Omega; V)$  the space of measurable adapted processes  $F : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\|F\|_2 := \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |F_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The space of continuous adapted processes  $F : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow V$  such that  $\|F\|_\infty := \text{ess sup}_{(\omega, t)} |F_r| < \infty$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}^\infty([0, T], \Omega; V)$ . Denote by  $BMO(V)$  the space of processes  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T], \Omega; V)$  such that

$$\|F\|_{BMO} := \text{ess sup}_{(\omega, t)} \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |F_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

For a two-parameter process  $A : \Omega \times \Delta \rightarrow V$ , we say that  $A$  is adapted (to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ ) if  $A_{s,t}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for every  $(s, t) \in \Delta$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{E}.A$  the two-parameter process  $(s, t) \mapsto \mathbb{E}_s A_{s,t}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** For  $m \in [1, \infty]$  and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , denote by  $C_2^{q\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$  the space of  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}(\Delta) / \mathcal{B}(V)$ -measurable (or simply measurable) adapted two-parameter processes  $A : \Omega \times \Delta \rightarrow V$  such that  $A \in C(\Delta, L^m(\Omega; V))$ , i.e. the map  $(s, t) \mapsto A_{s,t}$  is continuous from  $\Delta$  to  $L^m(\Omega; V)$ , and  $\|A\|_{m,q\text{-var}} := \|A\|_{m,q\text{-var};[0,T]} < \infty$ , where

$$\|A\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([s,t])} \left( \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi} \|A_{u,v}\|_m^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta.$$

$(C_2^{q\text{-var}} L_m, \|\cdot\|_{m,q\text{-var}})$  is a Banach space and  $A \in C_2^{q\text{-var}} L_m$  implies  $A_{t,t} = 0$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Similarly, denote by  $C^{q\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$  the space of measurable adapted processes  $Y : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow V$  such that  $Y \in C([0, T], L^m(\Omega; V))$  and  $\|\delta Y\|_{m,q\text{-var}}$  is finite. Equipped with the norm

$$\|Y\|_{C^{q\text{-var}} L_m} := \|Y_T\|_m + \|\delta Y\|_{m,q\text{-var}},$$

$C^{q\text{-var}} L_m$  is also a Banach space and we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Y_t\|_m \leq \|Y_0\|_m + \|\delta Y\|_{m,q\text{-var}}, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Y_t\|_m \leq \|Y_T\|_m + \|\delta Y\|_{m,q\text{-var}}.$$

As in many cases, we do not distinguish between a process and its modification. We further agree that a process  $Y$  is continuous, i.e.  $Y$  has a.s. continuous sample paths, if  $Y$  has a continuous modification. Since  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  is the augmentation of  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^W\}$ , any local martingale  $M$  is continuous (see, e.g., [22, Theorems 1.3.13 and 3.4.15]).

### 3 BSDEs with a nonlinear rough drift

Consider the following rough BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T g(Y_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (8)$$

Here,  $\xi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued random variable,  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  with  $p \in [2, 3)$ , and  $(Y, Z)$  is the unknown pair of processes.  $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is progressively measurable.  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is deterministic and time-invariant. The following definition of solutions is inspired by [7, Theorem 3].

**Definition 3.1.** We call  $(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty([0, T], \Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times BMO(\mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$  a solution to (8) if there exist  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  and  $(Y^k, Z^k) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $(Y^k, Z^k)$  is a solution to BSDE

$$Y_t^k = \xi + \int_t^T \left( f_r(Y_r^k, Z_r^k) + g(Y_r^k) \dot{X}_r^k \right) dr - \int_t^T Z_r^k dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (9)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) + \|Y^k - Y\|_\infty + \|Z^k - Z\|_{BMO} \right) = 0.$$

We introduce the following assumption.

**Assumption 3.2.**

(i)  $\xi \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ;

(ii) there exist a constant  $L \geq 0$  and measurable adapted processes  $\lambda, \mu : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ , and for every  $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,

$$|f_t(y, z)| + |\partial_y f_t(y, z)| \leq \lambda_t + L(|y|^2 + |z|^2), \quad |\partial_z f_t(y, z)| \leq \mu_t + L(|y| + |z|);$$

(iii)  $g \in Lip^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$  for some real number  $\gamma > p + 2$ .

To obtain the solubility of rough BSDE (8), we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy Assumption 3.2,  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of smooth paths such that*

$$\left| \mathbf{X}^k \right|_{p\text{-var}} \leq 2 |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) = 0, \quad (11)$$

$\phi^0 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the solution flow to RDE

$$\phi_t^0(y) = y + \int_t^T g(\phi_r^0(y)) d\mathbf{X}_r, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and  $\phi^k : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the solution flow to ODE

$$\phi_t^k(y) = y + \int_t^T g(\phi_r^k(y)) \dot{X}_r^k dr, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (12)$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define  $\tilde{f}^k : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  by

$$\tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) := \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \left( f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) + \frac{1}{2} D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}^2 \right), \quad (13)$$

where

$$D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}^2 := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr} \left[ D^2\phi_t^{k,1}(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}\tilde{z}^\top \right] \\ \vdots \\ \text{Tr} \left[ D^2\phi_t^{k,n}(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}\tilde{z}^\top \right] \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall (t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}.$$

Assume  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq 1$ . Then for every  $(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , we have

$$\left| \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| + \left| \partial_{\tilde{y}} \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| \leq C_{L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \lambda_t + \mu_t^2 + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} + |\tilde{y}|^2 + |\tilde{z}|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\left| \partial_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| \leq C_{L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \mu_t + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} + |\tilde{y}| + |\tilde{z}| \right).$$

*Proof.* For every fixed  $(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $k$ , by Assumption 3.2 and the definition of  $\tilde{f}^k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| &\leq \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right| \left( \lambda_t + L \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + L \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_L \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty \left( \lambda_t + \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 + \left( \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) |\tilde{z}|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_{\tilde{y}} \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \\ &= - \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \left( f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) + \frac{1}{2} D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \partial_y f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \\ &\quad + \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \partial_z f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z} + \frac{1}{2} \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} D^3\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \partial_{\tilde{y}} \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right|^2 \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| \left( \lambda_t + L \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + L \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right|^2 \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 \\ &\quad + \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right| \left( \lambda_t + L \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + L \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right| \left( \mu_t + L \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| + L \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| \right) \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right| \left| D^3\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \\ &\leq C_L \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty \left( \left( 1 + \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty \right) \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty + \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \lambda_t + \mu_t^2 + \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C_L \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty^2 \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty \left( \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) |\tilde{z}|^2 \\ &\quad + C_L \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty \left( \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty^3 + \left( 1 + \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty + \left| D^3\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) |\tilde{z}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_z \tilde{f}_t^k(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| &\leq \left| \left( D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right)^{-1} \right| \left( \left| \partial_z f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) \right| \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| + \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| \right) \\ &\leq C_L \left| \left( D\phi^k \right)^{-1} \right|_\infty \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty \left( \mu_t + \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty + |\tilde{y}| \right) \end{aligned}$$



$$+ C_L \left| (D\phi^k)^{-1} \right|_{\infty} \left( \left| D\phi^k \right|_{\infty}^2 + \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_{\infty} \right) |\tilde{z}|.$$

By the classical RDE theory (e.g. [14, Theorem 10.14 and Proposition 11.11]), we have

$$\left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_{\infty} \leq C_{|g|_{\gamma}} |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (14)$$

and

$$\left| D\phi^k \right|_{\infty}, \left| (D\phi^k)^{-1} \right|_{\infty}, \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_{\infty}, \left| D^3\phi^k \right|_{\infty} \leq C_{|g|_{\gamma}}, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (15)$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the same notations and assumptions as Lemma 3.3, there exist sufficiently small positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $R$ , depending only on  $T, L$  and  $|g|_{\gamma}$ , such that for  $\|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_{\infty}, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ , BSDE*

$$\tilde{Y}_t^k = \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k, \tilde{Z}_r^k) dr - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_r^k dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (16)$$

has a unique solution  $(\tilde{Y}^k, \tilde{Z}^k) \in \mathbb{S}^{\infty} \times BMO$  satisfying

$$\left\| \tilde{Y}^k \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| \tilde{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} \leq R, \quad (17)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Moreover, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{Y}^k \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| \tilde{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} \leq C_{T,L,|g|_{\gamma}} \left( \|\xi\|_{\infty} + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_{\infty} + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right), \quad (18)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left\| \tilde{Y}^k - \tilde{Y}^0 \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| \tilde{Z}^k - \tilde{Z}^0 \right\|_{BMO} \right) = 0. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem A.1, we get the existence of  $\varepsilon$  and  $R$ , the existence and uniqueness of  $(\tilde{Y}^k, \tilde{Z}^k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  for  $\|\xi\|_{\infty}, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_{\infty}, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ , and the estimate (18). Put  $\Delta_k \phi := \phi^k - \phi^0$  and similar for other functions. For every fixed  $(t, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta_k \tilde{f}_t(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \Delta_k (D\phi_t(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left( \left| f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left( \left| \Delta_k f_t(\phi_t(\tilde{y}), D\phi_t(\tilde{y})\tilde{z}) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left| \Delta_k D^2\phi_t(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C_L \left| \Delta_k (D\phi_t(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left( \lambda_t + \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| D^2\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + C_L \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left| \Delta_k \phi_t(\tilde{y}) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( \lambda_t + \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + \left| \phi_t^0(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 + \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 + \left| D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}) \right|^2 |\tilde{z}|^2 \right) \\
& + C_L \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left| \Delta_k D\phi_t(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| \\
& \times \left( \mu_t + \left| \phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| + \left| \phi_t^0(\tilde{y}) \right| + \left| D\phi_t^k(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| + \left| D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}| \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| \left| \Delta_k D^2\phi_t(\tilde{y}) \right| |\tilde{z}|^2 \\
& \leq C_L \left( \left| \Delta_k (D\phi)^{-1} \right|_\infty + \left| (D\phi^0)^{-1} \right|_\infty (|\Delta_k\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty) \right) \\
& \times \left( \lambda_t + \mu_t^2 + \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + \left| \phi^0 - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + |\tilde{y}|^2 \right) \\
& + C_L \left( \left| \Delta_k (D\phi)^{-1} \right|_\infty + \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| (|\Delta_k\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D^2\phi|_\infty) \right) \\
& \times \left( 1 + \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D\phi^0 \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) |\tilde{z}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T \left| (\Delta_k \tilde{f})_r \left( \tilde{Y}_r^0, \tilde{Z}_r^0 \right) \right| dr \\
& \leq C_L \left( \left| \Delta_k (D\phi)^{-1} \right|_\infty + \left| (D\phi^0)^{-1} \right|_\infty (|\Delta_k\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty) \right) \\
& \times \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + T \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + T \left| \phi^0 - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty^2 + T \left\| \tilde{Y}^0 \right\|_\infty^2 \right) \\
& + C_L \left( \left| \Delta_k (D\phi)^{-1} \right|_\infty + \left| (D\phi_t^0(\tilde{y}))^{-1} \right| (|\Delta_k\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D^2\phi|_\infty) \right) \\
& \times \left( 1 + \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D\phi^0 \right|_\infty^2 + \left| D^2\phi^k \right|_\infty \right) \left\| \tilde{Z}^0 \right\|_{BMO}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By the classical RDE theory (e.g. [14, Theorem 11.12]), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( |\Delta_k\phi|_\infty + |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty + \left| \Delta_k (D\phi)^{-1} \right|_\infty + |\Delta_k D^2\phi|_\infty \right) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Combining (14), (15), (20) and (21), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T \left| (\Delta_k \tilde{f})_r \left( \tilde{Y}_r^0, \tilde{Z}_r^0 \right) \right| dr = 0.$$

Then by Theorem A.2, we get (19).  $\square$

We now give the following solubility of rough BSDE (8).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let Assumption 3.2 hold. Then there exist a sufficiently small positive real number  $\varepsilon$  and positive constant  $c$ , depending only on  $T, L$  and  $|g|_\gamma$ , such that for  $\|\xi\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $\left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ , the rough BSDE (8) has a unique solution  $(Y, Z)$  satisfying*

$$\|Y\|_\infty + \|Z\|_{BMO} \leq c \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right). \tag{22}$$

*Proof.* We first show the existence when  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty$  and  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}$  are sufficiently small. Take a sequence of smooth paths  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e), k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that (10) and (11) hold. By Lemma 3.4, there exist sufficiently small positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $R$  depending only on  $T, L$  and  $|g|_\gamma$  such that for  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ , the BSDE (16) has a unique solution  $(\tilde{Y}^k, \tilde{Z}^k) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO$  satisfying (17) for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and (18) and (19) hold. Define

$$Y_t^k := \phi_t^k(\tilde{Y}_t^k), \quad Z_t^k := D\phi_t^k(\tilde{Y}_t^k)\tilde{Z}_t^k, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Then

$$\|Y^k\|_\infty \leq \left| \phi^k - \tilde{y} \right|_\infty + \|\tilde{Y}^k\|_\infty \leq C_{T,L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z^k\|_{BMO} &\leq \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty \|\tilde{Z}^k\|_{BMO} \\ &\leq C_{T,L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Hence,  $(Y^k, Z^k) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO$  and there exists a positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $T, L$  and  $|g|_\gamma$  such that

$$\|Y^k\|_\infty + \|Z^k\|_{BMO} \leq c \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right), \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , applying Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^k &= \xi - \int_t^T \left( \partial_t \phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) - D\phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) \tilde{f}_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k, \tilde{Z}_r^k) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 \phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) (\tilde{Z}_r^k)^2 \right) dr \\ &\quad - \int_t^T D\phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) \tilde{Z}_r^k dW_r \\ &= \xi + \int_t^T \left( f_t(\phi_t^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k), D\phi_t^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) \tilde{Z}_r^k) + g(\phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k)) \dot{X}_r^k \right) dr \\ &\quad - \int_t^T D\phi_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k) \tilde{Z}_r^k dW_r \\ &= \xi + \int_t^T \left( f_r(Y_r^k, Z_r^k) + g(Y_r^k) \dot{X}_r^k \right) dr - \int_t^T Z_r^k dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(Y^k, Z^k)$  is a solution to BSDE (9) for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Put  $\Delta_k Y := Y^k - Y^0$  and similar for other functions. Note that

$$\|\Delta_k Y\|_\infty \leq \left\| (\Delta_k \phi)(\tilde{Y}^k) \right\|_\infty + \left\| \phi^0(\tilde{Y}^k) - \phi^0(\tilde{Y}^0) \right\|_\infty \leq |\Delta_k \phi|_\infty + |D\phi^0|_\infty \|\Delta_k \tilde{Y}\|_\infty$$

and

$$\|\Delta_k Z\|_{BMO} \leq \left\| D\phi^k(\tilde{Y}^k) \right\|_\infty \|\Delta_k \tilde{Z}\|_{BMO} + \left\| \Delta_k [D\phi(\tilde{Y})] \right\|_\infty \|\tilde{Z}^0\|_{BMO}$$

$$\leq \left| D\phi^k \right|_\infty \left\| \Delta_k \tilde{Z} \right\|_{BMO} + \left( |\Delta_k D\phi|_\infty + |D^2\phi^0|_\infty \left\| \Delta_k \tilde{Y} \right\|_\infty \right) \left\| \tilde{Z}^0 \right\|_{BMO},$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Combining (15), (19), (21) and the last two inequalities, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|\Delta_k Y\|_\infty + \|\Delta_k Z\|_{BMO}) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $(Y, Z) := (Y^0, Z^0)$  is a solution to rough BSDE (8) satisfying the estimate (22).

We now show the uniqueness for  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty$  and  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}$  sufficiently small. Assume  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$  is another solution satisfying

$$\|\bar{Y}\|_\infty + \|\bar{Z}\|_{BMO} \leq c \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right).$$

Then there  $\bar{X}^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  and  $(\bar{Y}^k, \bar{Z}^k) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $(\bar{Y}^k, \bar{Z}^k)$  is a solution to BSDE

$$\bar{Y}_t^k = \xi + \int_t^T \left( f_r(\bar{Y}_r^k, \bar{Z}_r^k) + g(\bar{Y}_r^k) \dot{X}_r^k \right) dr - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_r^k dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}^k, \mathbf{X}) + \left\| \bar{Y}^k - \bar{Y} \right\|_\infty + \left\| \bar{Z}^k - \bar{Z} \right\|_{BMO} \right) = 0.$$

Assume without loss of generality that

$$\left| \bar{\mathbf{X}}^k \right|_{p\text{-var}} \leq 2 |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}, \quad \left\| \bar{Y}^k \right\|_\infty \leq 2 \|\bar{Y}\|_\infty, \quad \left\| \bar{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} \leq 2 \|\bar{Z}\|_{BMO},$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Define  $\bar{\phi}^k$  and  $\bar{f}^k$  similar to  $\phi^k$  and  $f^k$  defined by (12) and (13) for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Write  $\bar{Y}^0 := \bar{Y}$ ,  $\bar{Z}^0 := \bar{Z}$ ,  $\bar{\phi}^0 := \phi^0$  and  $\bar{f}^0 := f^0$  for the sake of unified notation. Let  $\bar{\psi}^k$  be the  $y$ -inverse of  $\bar{\phi}^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Define

$$\tilde{Y}_t^k := \bar{\psi}_t^k(\bar{Y}_t^k), \quad \tilde{Z}_t^k := D\bar{\psi}_t^k(\bar{Y}_t^k) \bar{Z}_t^k, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then

$$\left\| \tilde{Y}^k \right\|_\infty \leq \left| \bar{\psi}^k - y \right|_\infty + \left\| \bar{Y}^k \right\|_\infty \leq C_{T,L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} &\leq \left| D\bar{\psi}^k \right|_\infty \left\| \bar{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} \\ &\leq C_{T,L,|g|_\gamma} \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, for  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty$  and  $|\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\left\| \tilde{Y}^k \right\|_\infty + \left\| \tilde{Z}^k \right\|_{BMO} \leq R, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $R$  is the constant in Lemma 3.4. Analogous to the proof of the existence,  $(\tilde{Y}^k, \tilde{Z}^k)$  is a solution to BSDE

$$\tilde{Y}_t^k = \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_r^k(\tilde{Y}_r^k, \tilde{Z}_r^k) dr - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_r^k dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|\Delta_k \tilde{Y}\|_\infty + \|\Delta_k \tilde{Y}\|_{BMO} \right) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.4,  $(\tilde{Y}^0, \tilde{Z}^0)$  is the unique solution to BSDE

$$\tilde{Y}_t^0 = \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_r^0(\tilde{Y}_r^0, \tilde{Z}_r^0) dr - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_r^0 dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

satisfying

$$\|\tilde{Y}^0\|_\infty + \|\tilde{Z}^0\|_{BMO} \leq R,$$

and thus  $(\tilde{Y}^0, \tilde{Z}^0) = (\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ . Therefore,  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = (Y, Z)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** As in [7], we may also consider the following more general rough BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T g(S_r, Y_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (23)$$

for given an Itô process  $S$ , a deterministic vector field  $g$  and a geometric  $p$ -rough path  $\mathbf{X}$  with  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . In an analogous way, we may get a similar solubility result of the rough BSDE (23) as Theorem 3.5. We do not pursue the details of the extension here.

## 4 $p$ -rough stochastic integration

In this section, we will establish the rough stochastic integration in  $p$ -variation scale, or simply  $p$ -rough stochastic integration, which is used to solve BSDEs with a linear rough drift. We first introduce stochastic controlled rough paths. This notion is first introduced by Friz et al. [12, Definitions 3.2 and 3.4] in Hölder scale.

**Definition 4.1.** Given  $X \in C^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  with  $p \in [2, 3)$ , for  $m \in [1, \infty]$  and  $q, q' \in [1, \infty)$ , we call  $(Y, Y')$  an  $L^m$ -integrable stochastic controlled rough path of finite  $(q, q')$ -variation with values in  $V$ , denoted by  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$ , if the following are satisfied

- (i)  $Y \in C^{q\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$ ;
- (ii)  $Y' \in C^{q'\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, V))$ ;
- (iii) writing

$$R^Y : \Omega \times \Delta \rightarrow V, \quad R_{s,t}^Y := \delta Y_{s,t} - Y'_s \delta X_{s,t}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta,$$

we have  $\mathbb{E}.R^Y \in C_2^{\frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** We call  $(Y, Y')$  a measurable adapted controlled rough path of finite  $(q, q')$ -variation with values in  $L^m(\Omega; V)$ , denoted by  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; V)$ , if  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$  such that  $R^Y \in C_2^{\frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} L_m$ .

In the sequel of this section, we let  $m \in [2, \infty)$ ,  $p \in [2, 3)$  and  $q, q' \in [p, \infty)$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > \frac{1}{2}$ . For any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m \cap C^{q\text{-var}} L_m$ , we have  $(M, 0) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$ . Conversely, by [25, Theorem 3.3] and analogous arguments as in [12, Theorem 3.5], we have the following result.

**Proposition 4.3.** For any  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$ , there exists a unique pair of processes  $(Y^M, Y^J)$  such that  $Y^M \in \mathcal{M}_m \cap C^{q\text{-var}} L_m$  with  $Y_0^M = 0$ ,  $(Y^J, Y') \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$ , and  $Y = Y^M + Y^J$ .

Let  $K \geq 1$  be a constant. For  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Y, Y')\|_{m, (q, q')\text{-var}; [s, t]}^{(K)} &:= K \|\delta Y^M\|_{m, q\text{-var}; [s, t]} + \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var}; [s, t]} \\ &\quad + K \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}; [s, t]}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Equipped with the norm

$$\|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)} := \|Y_T\|_m + \|Y'_T\|_m + \|(Y, Y')\|_{m, (q, q')\text{-var}}^{(K)}, \quad (24)$$

$\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$  is a Banach space and  $\mathcal{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m$  is its Banach subspace. For any  $K, K' \geq 1$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K')}$  are equivalent.

To establish the  $p$ -rough stochastic integration, we need both Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5. The former is a specific version of the (deterministic) sewing lemma, which was first introduced by Gubinelli [16, Proposition 1] and highlighted in [10], and was subsequently generalized in [15, Theorem 2.5]; The latter is an extension of the Stochastic Sewing Lemma of L e [24, Theorem 2.1]. It can be proved in an analogous manner to the proof of [25, Theorem 3.1]. We call  $w : \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a control if  $w$  is continuous and satisfies the following superadditivity

$$w(s, u) + w(u, t) \leq w(s, t), \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $A : \Omega \times \Delta \rightarrow V$  be a measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable two-parameter process. Assume that there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_i$  and controls  $w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  such that

$$\|\delta A_{s, u, t}\|_m \leq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(s, t)^{1+\varepsilon_i}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

Then there exists a unique measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable process  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow V$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0$  such that

$$\|\delta \mathcal{A}_{s, t} - A_{s, t}\|_m \leq C_{N, \{\varepsilon_i\}} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(s, t)^{1+\varepsilon_i}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([0, T]), |\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \mathcal{A}_t - \sum_{[u, v] \in \pi, u \leq t} A_{u, v \wedge t} \right\|_m = 0. \quad (25)$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $A : \Omega \times \Delta \rightarrow V$  be a measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable two-parameter process. Assume that there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_i$  and controls  $w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  such that

$$\|\delta A_{s,u,t}\|_m \leq \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(s,t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_i}, \quad \mathbb{E}_s \delta A_{s,u,t} = 0, \quad \forall (s,u,t) \in \Delta_2.$$

Then there exists a unique measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable process  $\mathcal{A} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow V$  with  $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0$  such that

$$\|\delta \mathcal{A}_{s,t} - A_{s,t}\|_m \leq C_{N,\{\varepsilon_i\}} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i(s,t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_i}, \quad \mathbb{E}_s [\delta \mathcal{A}_{s,t} - A_{s,t}] = 0, \quad \forall (s,t) \in \Delta.$$

Moreover, (25) also holds.

We now give the following result on  $p$ -rough stochastic integration. A similar result in Hölder scale can be found in [12, Theorem 3.7].

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ,  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > 1$ . Then there exists a unique measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable process  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with the vanishing initial value such that

$$\lim_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([0, T]), |\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t Y d\mathbf{X} - \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi, u \leq t} (Y_u \delta X_{u,v \wedge t} + Y'_u \mathbb{X}_{u,v \wedge t}) \right\|_m = 0, \quad (26)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_s^t Y d\mathbf{X} - Y_s \delta X_{s,t} - Y'_s \mathbb{X}_{s,t} \right\|_m \\ & \leq C \left( \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|R^{Y^J}\|_{m,\frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} \right) |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \\ & \quad + C \|\delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}, \quad \forall (s,t) \in \Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We call  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}$  the  $p$ -rough stochastic integral of  $(Y, Y')$  against  $\mathbf{X}$ . Moreover,  $(\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p,q)\text{-var}} L_m([0, T], \Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and we have

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,q)\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)} \leq \|Y_T\|_m + C \|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)} \left( \frac{1}{K} + K |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right). \quad (28)$$

for any  $K \geq 1$ . As a consequence, the rough stochastic integration map

$$(Y, Y') \rightarrow \left( \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y \right) \quad (29)$$

is a bounded linear map from  $\mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')\text{-var}} L_m$  to  $\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,q)\text{-var}} L_m$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$A_{s,t}^M := Y_s^M \delta X_{s,t}, \quad A_{s,t}^J := Y_s^J \delta X_{s,t} + Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta. \quad (30)$$

Clearly,  $A^M$  and  $A^J$  are measurable, adapted and  $L^m$ -integrable. For every  $(s, u, t) \in \Delta_2$ , we have  $\delta A_{s,u,t}^M = -\delta Y_{s,u}^M \delta X_{u,t}$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}_s \delta A_{s,u,t}^M = 0$  and

$$\|\delta A_{s,u,t}^M\|_m \leq \|\delta Y_{s,u}^M\|_m |\delta X_{u,t}| \leq \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

We know from the properties of controls (e.g. [14, Exercise 1.9 and Proposition 5.8]) that  $w_0 : \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$w_0(s, t) := \left( \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \right)^{\frac{pq}{p+q}}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta$$

is a control. By Lemma 4.5, there exists a unique measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable process  $\int_0^\cdot Y^M d\mathbf{X} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with the vanishing initial value such that

$$\left\| \int_s^t Y^M d\mathbf{X} - A_{s,t}^M \right\|_m \leq C \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta,$$

and we have

$$\lim_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([0, T]), |\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \int_0^t Y^M d\mathbf{X} - \sum_{[u, v] \in \pi, u \leq t} A_{u, v \wedge t}^M \right\|_m = 0.$$

Simiarly, using Chen's relation (7) we have

$$\delta A_{s,u,t}^J = -R_{s,u}^{Y^J} \delta X_{u,t} - \delta Y_{s,u}' \mathbb{X}_{u,t}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

Then for every  $(s, u, t) \in \Delta_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta A_{s,u,t}^J\|_m &\leq \left\| R_{s,u}^{Y^J} \right\|_m |\delta X_{u,t}| + \|\delta Y_{s,u}'\|_m |\mathbb{X}_{u,t}| \\ &\leq \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $w_i : \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  by

$$w_1(s, t) := \left( \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \right)^{\frac{pqq'}{pq+pq'+qq'}}$$

and

$$w_2(s, t) := \left( \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]} \right)^{\frac{pq}{p+2q'}}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta.$$

Then  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are also controls. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a unique measurable adapted  $L^m$ -integrable process  $\int_0^\cdot Y^J d\mathbf{X} : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with the vanishing initial value such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_s^t Y^J d\mathbf{X} - A_{s,t}^J \right\|_m &\leq C \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \\ &\quad + C \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta, \end{aligned}$$



and we have

$$\lim_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}([0,T]), |\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \int_0^t Y^J d\mathbf{X} - \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi, u \leq t} A_{u,v \wedge t}^J \right\|_m = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} := \int_0^\cdot Y^M d\mathbf{X} + \int_0^\cdot Y^J d\mathbf{X}$  is the unique measurable adapted and  $L^m$ -integrable process with the vanishing initial value satisfying (26), and the estimate (27) holds.

Moreover, for every fixed  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  we have

$$\|Y_s \delta X_{s,t}\|_m \leq (\|Y_s^M\|_m + \|Y_s^J\|_m) |\delta X_{s,t}| \leq \left( \|Y_T^M\|_m + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r^J\|_m \right) |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]}$$

and

$$\|Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t}\|_m \leq \|Y_s'\|_m |\mathbb{X}_{s,t}| \leq \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r'\|_m |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}.$$

In view of (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_s^t Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_m \\ & \leq \left\| \int_s^t Y d\mathbf{X} - Y_s \delta X_{s,t} - Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t} \right\|_m + \|Y_s \delta X_{s,t}\|_m + \|Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t}\|_m \\ & \leq C \left( \|Y_T^M\|_m + \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var}} + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r^J\|_m + \|R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} \right) |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \\ & \quad + C \left( \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r'\|_m + \|\delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var}} \right) |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} \in C^{p\text{-var}} L_m$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \delta \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_{m,p\text{-var}} \\ & \leq C \left( \|Y_T^M\|_m + \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var}} + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r^J\|_m + \|R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} \right) |\delta X|_{p\text{-var}} \quad (31) \\ & \quad + C \left( \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|Y_r'\|_m + \|\delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var}} \right) |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$R_{s,t}^{\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}} = \int_s^t Y d\mathbf{X} - Y_s \delta X_{s,t},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{s,t}^{\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}}\|_m & \leq \left\| \int_s^t Y d\mathbf{X} - Y_s \delta X_{s,t} - Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t} \right\|_m + \|Y_s' \mathbb{X}_{s,t}\|_m \\ & \leq C \left( \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} \right) |\delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ C \left( \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m + \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'-var} \right) |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}-var; [s, t]}.$$

Then  $R \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} \in C_2^{\frac{pq}{p+q}-var} L_m$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| R \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_{m, \frac{pq}{p+q}-var} &\leq C \left( \|\delta Y^M\|_{m, q-var} + \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var} \right) |\delta X|_{p-var} \\ &\quad + C \left( \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m + \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'-var} \right) |\mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}-var}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Therefore,  $(\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, q)-var} L_m$ . Note that

$$\|\delta Y\|_{m, q-var} \leq \|\delta Y^M\|_{m, q-var} + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m |\delta X|_{p-var} + \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var}. \quad (33)$$

Combining (31), (32) and (33), we get the estimate (28).  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** In the above proof, we apply Lemmas 4.5 and 4.4 to processes  $A^M$  and  $A^J$  respectively to obtain  $\int_0^\cdot Y^M d\mathbf{X}$  and  $\int_0^\cdot Y^J d\mathbf{X}$ , and then define  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X} := \int_0^\cdot Y^M d\mathbf{X} + \int_0^\cdot Y^J d\mathbf{X}$ . In fact, we can directly apply [25, Theorem 3.1] to the process  $A := A^M + A^J$  to obtain  $\int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}$ . However,  $\|\delta A_{s, u, t}\|_m$  and  $\|\mathbb{E}_s \delta A_{s, u, t}\|_m$  are controlled by two generally different controls, i.e. there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$  and controls  $w \neq w'$  such that

$$\|\delta A_{s, u, t}\|_m \leq w(s, t)^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}, \quad \|\mathbb{E}_s \delta A_{s, u, t}\|_m \leq w'(s, t)^{1 + \varepsilon'}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2.$$

Then we need to replace  $w$  with  $w'' := w + w'$  in [25, Theorem 3.1]. This method is difficult to get the boundedness of map (29).

We finish this section with the stability of  $p$ -rough stochastic integration. Let  $K \geq 1$  be a constant. For  $X, \bar{X} \in C^{p-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ,  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')-var} L_m$  and  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}') \in \mathbf{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(q, q')-var} L_m$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{m, (q, q')-var; [s, t]}^{(K)} &:= K \|\delta Y^M - \delta \bar{Y}^M\|_{m, q-var; [s, t]} + \|\delta Y' - \delta \bar{Y}'\|_{m, q'-var; [s, t]} \\ &\quad + K \left\| R^{Y^J} - R^{\bar{Y}^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var; [s, t]}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')-var} L_m}^{(K)} := \|Y_T - \bar{Y}_T\|_m + \|Y'_T - \bar{Y}'_T\|_m + \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{m, (q, q')-var}^{(K)}.$$

$\|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')-var} L_m}^{(K)}$  is not a metric for  $X \neq \bar{X}$ , since  $(Y, Y')$  and  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}')$  lie in different Banach spaces. We have the following result of stability.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}), \bar{\mathbf{X}} = (\bar{X}, \bar{\mathbb{X}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p-var}$ ,  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')-var} L_m$  and  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}') \in \mathbf{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(q, q')-var} L_m$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > 1$ , such that

$$|\mathbf{X}|_{p-var}, |\bar{\mathbf{X}}|_{p-var} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')-var} L_m}^{(1)}, \|(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}')\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(q, q')-var} L_m}^{(1)} \leq M,$$

for some positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $M$ . Then for any  $K \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^\cdot Y d\mathbf{X}, Y; \int_0^\cdot \bar{Y} d\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{Y} \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}}^{(p,q)\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)} &\leq \|Y_T - \bar{Y}_T\|_m + C_M K \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}) \\ &\quad + C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon \right) \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\bar{\mathbf{X}}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}}^{(q,q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $A^M$  and  $A^J$  are defined by (30). We define  $\bar{A}^M$  and  $\bar{A}^J$  similarly by

$$\bar{A}_{s,t}^M := \bar{Y}_s^M \delta \bar{X}_{s,t}, \quad \bar{A}_{s,t}^J := \bar{Y}_s^J \delta \bar{X}_{s,t} + \bar{Y}'_s \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{s,t}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta.$$

Put  $\Delta Y := Y - \bar{Y}$  and similar for other functions. For every  $(s, u, t) \in \Delta_2$ , we have

$$\delta \Delta A_{s,u,t}^M = -\delta Y_{s,u}^M \delta X_{u,t} + \delta \bar{Y}_{s,u}^M \delta \bar{X}_{u,t} = -\delta Y_{s,u}^M \delta \Delta X_{u,t} - \delta \Delta Y_{s,u}^M \delta \bar{X}_{u,t}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{E}_s \delta \Delta A_{s,u,t}^M = 0$  and

$$\|\delta \Delta A_{s,u,t}^M\|_m \leq \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|\delta \Delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]},$$

for every  $(s, u, t) \in \Delta_2$ . By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta \int_s^t Y^M d\mathbf{X} - \Delta A_{s,t}^M \right\|_m &\leq C \|\delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \\ &\quad + C \|\delta \Delta Y^M\|_{m,q\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Delta A_{s,u,t}^J &= -R_{s,u}^{Y^J} \delta X_{u,t} - \delta Y'_{s,u} \mathbb{X}_{u,t} + R_{s,u}^{\bar{Y}^J} \delta \bar{X}_{u,t} + \delta \bar{Y}'_{s,u} \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{u,t} \\ &= -R_{s,u}^{Y^J} \delta \Delta X_{u,t} - \Delta R_{s,u}^{Y^J} \delta \bar{X}_{u,t} - \delta Y'_{s,u} \Delta \mathbb{X}_{u,t} - \delta \Delta Y'_{s,u} \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{u,t}, \quad \forall (s, u, t) \in \Delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\delta \Delta A_{s,u,t}^J\|_m \\ &\leq \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} + \left\| \Delta R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \\ &\quad + \|\delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\Delta \mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|\delta \Delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\bar{\mathbb{X}}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $(s, u, t) \in \Delta_2$ . By Lemma 4.4, for every  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \Delta \int_s^t Y^J d\mathbf{X} - \Delta A_{s,t}^J \right\|_m \\ &\leq \left( \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} + \left\| \Delta R^{Y^J} \right\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var};[s,t]} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var};[s,t]} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \|\delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\Delta \mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]} + \|\delta \Delta Y'\|_{m,q'\text{-var};[s,t]} |\bar{\mathbb{X}}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Moreover, for every fixed  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  we have

$$\|Y'_s \mathbb{X}_{s,t} - \bar{Y}'_s \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{s,t}\|_m \leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m |\Delta \mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]} + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y'_r\|_m |\bar{\mathbb{X}}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var};[s,t]}. \quad (37)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta R_{s,t}^{\int_0^t Y d\mathbf{X}} \right\|_m &\leq \left\| \Delta \int_s^t Y^M d\mathbf{X} - \Delta A_{s,t}^M \right\|_m + \left\| \Delta \int_s^t Y^J d\mathbf{X} - \Delta A_{s,t}^J \right\|_m \\ &\quad + \left\| Y'_s \mathbb{X}_{s,t} - \bar{Y}'_s \bar{\mathbb{X}}_{s,t} \right\|_m. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (35), (36), (37) and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \Delta R_0^{\int_0^t Y d\mathbf{X}} \right\|_{m, \frac{pq}{p+q}\text{-var}} \\ &\leq C \left( \|\delta Y^M\|_{m, q\text{-var}} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var}} + \|\delta \Delta Y^M\|_{m, q\text{-var}} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \|\delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var}} |\Delta \mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}} + \|\delta \Delta Y'\|_{m, q'\text{-var}} |\bar{\mathbb{X}}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left( \|R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var}} + \|\Delta R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m |\Delta \mathbb{X}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}} + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y'_r\|_m |\bar{\mathbb{X}}|_{\frac{p}{2}\text{-var}} \\ &\leq C_M \left( \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}) + \varepsilon \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Delta Y_{s,t} &= \delta \Delta Y_{s,t}^M + Y'_s \delta X_{s,t} - \bar{Y}'_s \delta \bar{X}_{s,t} + \Delta R_{s,t}^{Y^J} \\ &= \delta \Delta Y_{s,t}^M + Y'_s \delta \Delta X_{s,t} + \Delta Y'_s \delta \bar{X}_{s,t} + \Delta R_{s,t}^{Y^J}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta \Delta Y\|_{m, q\text{-var}} &\leq \|\delta \Delta Y^M\|_{m, q\text{-var}} + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_m |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y'_r\|_m |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var}} + \|\Delta R^{Y^J}\|_{m, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} \\ &\leq C_M \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}) + C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + \varepsilon \right) \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_m}^{(K)}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Simiarily, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta \int_0^T Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_m &\leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_m |\delta \Delta X|_{p\text{-var}} + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y_r\|_m |\delta \bar{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \Delta R_0^{\int_0^T Y d\mathbf{X}} \right\|_{m, \frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (38), (39) and the last inequality, we get the estimate (34).  $\square$

## 5 BSDEs with a linear rough drift

Consider the following BSDE with a linear rough drift

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T (G_r Y_r + H_r) d\mathbf{X}_r - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{40}$$

Here,  $\xi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued random variable,  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  for fixed  $p \in [2, 3)$ , and  $(Y, Z)$  is the unknown pair of processes.  $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is progressively measurable.  $G : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $G' : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n)))$  (which is implied in (40)),  $H : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $H' : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$  (which is also implied in (40)) are measurable adapted processes. The following definition of solutions is inspired by [12, Definition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3].

**Definition 5.1.** *We call  $(Y, Z)$  a solution to (40) if the following are satisfied*

- (i)  $Y \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T], \Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $Z \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T], \Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times d})$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_0^T |f_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr$  is finite a.s.;
- (iii)  $(GY + H, G(GY + H) + G'Y + H') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2([0, T], \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$  for some  $q, q' \in [p, \infty)$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > 1$ ;
- (iv) writing  $\int_0^\cdot (GY + H) d\mathbf{X}$  the  $p$ -rough stochastic integral of  $(GY + H, (GY + H)')$  against  $\mathbf{X}$ , where  $(GY + H)' : G(GY + H) + G'Y + H'$ , we have

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T (GY + H) d\mathbf{X} - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

We introduce the following assumption.

**Assumption 5.2.**

- (i)  $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f(0, 0) \in \mathbb{L}^2([0, T], \Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ;
- (ii)  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in  $y$  and  $z$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $L \geq 0$  such that for every  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ,

$$|f_t(y_1, z_1) - f_t(y_2, z_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2| + L|z_1 - z_2|;$$

- (iii)  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ;
- (iv)  $(G, G') \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty([0, T], \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n)))$ ;
- (v)  $(H, H') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2([0, T], \Omega; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^n))$ .

To obtain the well-posedness of rough BSDE (40), we need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 5.3.** *For any  $F \in \mathbb{L}^2$ , we have  $\int_0^\cdot F_r dr \in C^{1\text{-var}} L_2$  and*

$$\left\| \int_0^\cdot F_r dr \right\|_{2, 1\text{-var}; [s, t]} \leq \|F\|_2 |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta. \quad (41)$$

As a consequence,  $(\int_0^\cdot F_r dr, 0) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2$  and for any  $K \geq 1$  we have

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^\cdot F_r dr, 0 \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \leq CKT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_2. \quad (42)$$

*Proof.* For every  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  and  $\pi = \{s = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = t\} \in \mathcal{P}([s, t])$ , applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left\| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} F_r dr \right\|_2 &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |F_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |F_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|F\|_2 |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\int_0^\cdot F_r dr \in C^{1-var} L_2$  and (41) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m$  with  $m \in [2, \infty)$ , we have  $M \in C^{m-var} L_m$  and*

$$\|\delta M\|_{m,m-var;[s,t]} \leq C \|\delta M_{s,t}\|_m, \quad \forall (s, t) \in \Delta. \quad (43)$$

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is continuous and  $L^m$ -integrable, the Dominated Convergence Theorem gives  $M \in C([0, T], L^m(\Omega))$ . For every  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  and  $\pi = \{s = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = t\} \in \mathcal{P}([s, t])$ , applying the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\delta M_{t_i, t_{i+1}}\|_m^m \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} |\delta \langle M \rangle_{t_i, t_{i+1}}|^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq C \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \delta \langle M \rangle_{t_i, t_{i+1}} \right|^{\frac{m}{2}} \leq C \|\delta M_{s,t}\|_m^m,$$

where  $\langle M \rangle$  is the quadratic variation process of  $M$ . Therefore,  $M \in C^{m-var} L_m$  and (43) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let Assumption 5.2 hold and  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')-var} L_2$  for some  $q, q' \in [p, \infty)$  such that  $q' \geq q$  and  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $(GY, GY' + G'Y) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')-var} L_2$  and for any  $K \geq 1$  we have*

$$(GY)^M = \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M, \quad (GY)^J = GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(GY, GY' + G'Y)\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')-var} L_2}^{(K)} \\ &\leq \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var} L_\infty} \left( K \|Y_T\|_2 + C \|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q,q')-var} L_2}^{(K)} \left( 1 + K \|\mathbf{X}\|_{p-var} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here

$$\|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var} L_\infty} := \|G_T\|_\infty + \|G'_T\|_\infty + \|\delta G'\|_{\infty, p-var} + \|R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}-var}. \quad (45)$$

*Proof.* On the one hand,  $\int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M$  is a square-integrable martingale and we have

$$\left\| \int_0^T G_r dY_r^M \right\|_2 \leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|Y_T^M\|_2.$$

By Lemma 5.4,  $\int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \in C^{p-var} L_2$  and we have

$$\left\| \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right\|_{2, p-var} \leq C \left\| \int_0^T G_r dY_r^M \right\|_2 \leq C \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|Y_T^M\|_2.$$

On the other hand, for every fixed  $(s, t) \in \Delta$  we have

$$\delta \left( GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right)_{s,t} = G_s \delta Y_{s,t} + \delta G_{s,t} Y_t - \int_s^t G_r dY_r^M.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \delta \left( GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right)_{s,t} \right\|_2 &\leq C \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \left( \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}; [s, t]} + \|\delta Y^M\|_{2, q\text{-var}; [s, t]} \right) \\ &\quad + \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s, t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\delta \left( GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right) \in C_2^{q\text{-var}} L_2$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \delta \left( GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right) \right\|_{2, q\text{-var}} &\leq C \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \left( \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}} + \|\delta Y^M\|_{2, q\text{-var}} \right) \\ &\quad + \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| G_T Y_T - \int_0^T G_r dY_r^M \right\|_2 \leq \|G_T\|_\infty \|Y_T\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|Y_T^M\|_2,$$

we have  $GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \in C^{q\text{-var}} L_2$ . Simiarily, we have

$$\delta \left( GY' + G'Y \right)_{s,t} = G_s \delta Y'_{s,t} + \delta G_{s,t} Y'_t + G'_s \delta Y_{s,t} + \delta G'_{s,t} Y_t.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \delta \left( GY' + G'Y \right)_{s,t} \right\|_2 &\leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|\delta Y'\|_{2, q'\text{-var}; [s, t]} + \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s, t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_2 \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G'_r\|_\infty \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}; [s, t]} + \|\delta G'\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s, t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\delta \left( GY' + G'Y \right) \in C_2^{q'\text{-var}} L_2$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \delta \left( GY' + G'Y \right) \right\|_{2, q'\text{-var}} &\leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|\delta Y'\|_{2, q'\text{-var}} + \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y'_r\|_2 \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G'_r\|_\infty \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}} + \|\delta G'\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|G_T Y'_T + G'_T Y_T\|_2 \leq \|G_T\|_\infty \|Y'_T\|_2 + \|G'_T\|_\infty \|Y_T\|_2,$$

we have  $GY' + G'Y \in C^{q'\text{-var}} L_2$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{s,t}^{GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M} &= \delta \left( GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M \right)_{s,t} - (G_s Y'_s + G'_s Y_s) \delta X_{s,t} \\ &= \delta G_{s,t} \delta Y_{s,t} - \int_s^t \delta G_{s,r} dY_r^M + G_s R_{s,t}^{Y^J} + R_{s,t}^G Y_s. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| R_{s,t}^{GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M} \right\|_2 \\
& \leq C \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s,t]} \left( \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}; [s,t]} + \|\delta Y^M\|_{2, q\text{-var}; [s,t]} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_{\infty} \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}; [s,t]} + \|R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}\text{-var}; [s,t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $R^{GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M} \in C_2^{\frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} L_2$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| R^{GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} \\
& \leq C \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} \left( \|\delta Y\|_{2, q\text{-var}} + \|\delta Y^M\|_{2, q\text{-var}} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_{\infty} \left\| R^{Y^J} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}\text{-var}} + \|R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}\text{-var}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(GY - \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M, GY' + G'Y) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2$  and  $(GY, GY' + G'Y) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2$ . Simiar to (37), we have

$$\|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} \leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G'_r\|_{\infty} |\delta X|_{p\text{-var}} + \|R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}.$$

Combining (37) and the above inequalities, we get the estimate (44).  $\square$

We first give the following result.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let Assumption 5.2 hold and  $(Y, Z)$  be a solution to rough BSDE (40). Then  $(Y, GY + H) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2$ .*

*Proof.* By Definition 5.1 and Assumption 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(Y, Z)\|_2 & \leq C \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( |f_r(0, 0)|^2 + |Y_r|^2 + |Z_r|^2 \right) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C (\|f(0, 0)\|_2 + \|Y\|_2 + \|Z\|_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Then by Lemma 5.3,  $(\int_0^\cdot f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr, 0) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2$ . By Definition 5.1 and Theorem 4.6,  $(\int_0^\cdot (GY + H) d\mathbf{X}, GY + H) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, q)\text{-var}} L_2$ . Since  $Z \in \mathbb{L}^2$ ,  $\int_0^\cdot Z_r dW_r$  is a square-integrable martingale. By Lemma 5.4,  $\int_0^\cdot Z_r dW_r \in C^{p\text{-var}} L_2$ . Hence,  $(Y, GY + H) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p, q)\text{-var}} L_2$ . By Lemma 5.5,  $(GY, G(GY + H) + G'Y) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p, q)\text{-var}} L_2$ . Then by Assumption 5.2 and Theorem 4.6, we have  $(\int_0^\cdot (GY + H) d\mathbf{X}, GY + H) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2$ . Therefore,  $(Y, GY + H) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2$ .  $\square$

We now give the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (40) under Assumption 5.2.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Under Assumption 5.2, the rough BSDE (40) has a unique solution.*



*Proof.* Let  $K \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{K}]$  be constants which are waiting to be determined later. We first show the existence and uniqueness for  $T, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ . Define

$$\mathbf{S} := \left\{ (Y, Y', Z) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2 \times \mathbb{L}^2 : Y_T = \xi, Y'_T = G_T \xi + H_T \right\}$$

and

$$\|(Y, Y', Z)\|^{(K)} := \|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|Z\|_2.$$

Note that the process  $t \mapsto (\xi - (G_T \xi + H_T) \delta X_{t,T}, G_T \xi + H_T, 0)$  belongs to  $\mathbf{S}$ . Then  $(\mathbf{S}, \|\cdot\|^{(K)})$  is a nonempty Banach space.

For any  $(Y, Y', Z) \in \mathbf{S}$ , by Lemma 5.5  $(GY, GY' + G'Y) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2$ . By Assumption 5.2 and Theorem 4.6, we can define the  $p$ -rough stochastic integral of  $(GY + H, GY' + G'Y + H')$  against  $\mathbf{X}$ , and we have  $(\int_0^\cdot (GY + H) d\mathbf{X}, GY + H) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2$ . Since  $Y \in C^{p\text{-var}} L_2 \subset \mathbb{L}^2$ , in view of (46) we have  $f(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{L}^2$ . Then  $\xi + \int_0^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^T (GY + H) d\mathbf{X} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and thus  $M : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$M_t := \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \xi + \int_0^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^T (GY + H) d\mathbf{X} \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad (47)$$

is a square-integrable martingale. Applying the Martingale Representation Theorem, there exists unique  $\Phi^Z \in \mathbb{L}^2$  such that

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t \Phi_r^Z dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Define

$$\Phi^Y := \xi + \int_0^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^T (GY + H) d\mathbf{X} - \int_0^T \Phi_r^Z dW_r, \quad \Phi^{Y'} := GY + H.$$

Then  $(\Phi^Y, \Phi^{Y'}) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2$  with  $\Phi_T^Y = \xi$  and  $\Phi_T^{Y'} = G_T \xi + H_T$ . Therefore, we can establish the map

$$\Phi : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}, \quad (Y, Y', Z) \mapsto (\Phi^Y, \Phi^{Y'}, \Phi^Z). \quad (48)$$

We now show that  $\Phi$  is a contraction map. For any other  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}', \bar{Z}) \in \mathbf{S}$ , we define  $\bar{M}$  similar to  $M$  defined by (47). Put  $\Delta Y := Y - \bar{Y}$  and similar for other functions. By Assumption 5.2, we have

$$\|\Delta f(Y, Z)\|_2 \leq C \left( \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\Delta Y_r|^2 + |\Delta Z_r|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( T^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y_r\|_2 + \|\Delta Z\|_2 \right). \quad (49)$$

Then by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\left\| \left( \int_0^\cdot \Delta f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr, 0 \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \leq C \left( KT \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y_r\|_2 + KT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Z\|_2 \right). \quad (50)$$

By Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\|(G\Delta Y, G\Delta Y' + G'\Delta Y)\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)}$$

$$\leq C \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \left(1 + K |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}\right)^2.$$

Then by Theorem 4.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( \int_0^\cdot G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X}, G \Delta Y \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\ & \leq C \|(G \Delta Y, G \Delta Y' + G' \Delta Y)\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \left( \frac{1}{K} + K |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right) \\ & \leq C \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \left( \frac{1}{K} + K |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_0^T G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_2 \\ & \leq \left\| \left( \int_0^\cdot G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X}, G \Delta Y \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \left( \frac{1}{K} + |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right) \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{K} \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \left( \frac{1}{K} + K |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Since

$$\Delta M_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \int_0^T \Delta f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^T (G \Delta Y) d\mathbf{X} \right] = \Delta M_0 + \int_0^t \Delta \Phi_r^Z dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we have

$$\|\Delta \Phi^Z\|_2 \leq 2 \|\Delta M_T\| \leq C \left( T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta f(Y, Z)\|_2 + \left\| \int_0^T G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X} \right\|_2 \right).$$

Combining (49), (52) and the last inequality, we have

$$\|\Delta \Phi^Z\|_2 \leq C \left( \frac{1}{K^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( 1 + \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right) \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y', \Delta Z)\|^{(K)}. \quad (53)$$

Note that

$$\Delta \Phi^Y = \int_0^T \Delta f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_0^T G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X} - \int_0^T \Delta \Phi_r^Z dW_r, \quad \Delta \Phi^{Y'} = G \Delta Y.$$

Combining (50), (51) and (53), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (\Delta \Phi^Y, \Delta \Phi^{Y'}) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{1}{K} + K \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( 1 + \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right) \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y', \Delta Z)\|^{(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left\| (\Delta \Phi^Y, \Delta \Phi^{Y'}, \Delta \Phi^Z) \right\|^{(K)}$$

$$\leq C \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( 1 + \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right) \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y', \Delta Z)\|^{(K)}.$$

Set

$$K := 4(1 + C) \left( 1 + \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right), \quad \varepsilon := \frac{1}{K^4}, \quad (54)$$

for the above  $C$ . Then

$$\left\| (\Delta \Phi^Y, \Delta \Phi^{Y'}, \Delta \Phi^Z) \right\|^{(K)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Y', \Delta Z)\|^{(K)}, \quad (55)$$

and thus  $\Phi$  is a contraction map.

Applying the Banach Fixed-point Theorem,  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point  $(Y, Y', Z)$  in  $\mathbf{S}$ . By Definition 5.1,  $(Y, Z)$  is a solution to (40). To show the uniqueness, assume  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$  is another solution. By Proposition 5.6,  $(\bar{Y}, G\bar{Y} + H) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2$  and thus  $(\bar{Y}, G\bar{Y} + H, \bar{Z})$  is also a fixed point of  $\Phi$  in  $\mathbf{S}$ . Therefore,  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = (Y, Z)$ .

At last, we show the existence and uniqueness for arbitrary  $T$  and  $\mathbf{X}$ . Consider a partition  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = T$  such that  $t_{i+1} - t_i, |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}; [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \leq \varepsilon$  for  $i = 0, \dots, N-1$ . Define  $Y_{t_N}^N := \xi$ , and define  $(Y^i, Z^i)$  recursively on  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  for  $i = 0, \dots, N-1$  by the solution to rough BSDE

$$Y_t^i = Y_{t_{i+1}}^{i+1} + \int_t^{t_{i+1}} f_r(Y_r^i, Z_r^i) dr + \int_t^{t_{i+1}} (GY^i + H) d\mathbf{X} - \int_t^{t_{i+1}} Z_r^i dW_r, \quad t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}].$$

Then define  $Y_t := Y_t^{N-1}$ ,  $Z_t := Z_t^{N-1}$  for every  $t \in [t_{N-1}, t_N]$ , and define  $Y_t := Y_t^i$ ,  $Z_t := Z_t^i$  for every  $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$  and  $i = 0, \dots, N-2$ . By Definition 5.1 and Proposition 5.6,  $(Y, Z)$  is the unique solution to (40).  $\square$

**Remark 5.8.** *Theorem 5.7 is new even in the one-dimensional case, for our terminal value is not necessarily essentially bounded as in Diehl and Friz [7].*

**Remark 5.9.** *It is natural to solve the rough BSDE (40) in the spirit of duality since the rough drift is linear. More precisely, consider the following RDE with values in  $L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$*

$$S_t = I_n + \int_0^t S_r G_r d\mathbf{X}_r + \int_0^t S_r G_r^2 d[\mathbf{X}]_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (56)$$

Here, the unknown process  $S : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is viewed as a path from  $[0, T]$  to  $L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$ ,  $I_n$  is the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $[\mathbf{X}]$  is the rough bracket of  $\mathbf{X}$  defined by

$$[\mathbf{X}] : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^e \otimes \mathbb{R}^e, \quad [\mathbf{X}]_t := \delta X_{0,t} \otimes \delta X_{0,t} - 2 \text{Sym}(\mathbb{X}_{0,t}), \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

and  $\int_0^t S G^2 d[\mathbf{X}]$  is a Young integral. Analogous to the proof of [15, Theorems 3.2 and 3.8], we can show that the RDE (56) has a unique solution  $S$  and  $(S, SG) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty$ .

Heuristically, if  $(Y, Z)$  is the solution to (40), from the rough Itô formula [12, Theorem 4.13], we might have

$$S_t Y_t = S_T \xi + \int_t^T S_r f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_t^T S_r H_r d\mathbf{X}_r + \int_t^T S_r G_r H_r d[\mathbf{X}]_r - \int_t^T S_r Z_r dW_r,$$

for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then  $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \in C^{p\text{-var}} L_2 \times \mathbb{L}^2$  defined by

$$\tilde{Y}_t := S_t Y_t - \int_t^T S H d\mathbf{X} - \int_t^T S G H d[\mathbf{X}], \quad \tilde{Z}_t := S_t Z_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

might solve the BSDE

$$\tilde{Y}_t = S_T \xi + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_r(\tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) dr - \int_t^T \tilde{Z}_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (57)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) := S_t f_t \left( S_t^{-1} \left( \tilde{y} + \int_t^T SH d\mathbf{X} + \int_t^T SGH d[\mathbf{X}] \right), S_t^{-1} \tilde{z} \right).$$

Conversely, if the BSDE (57) has the solution  $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})$ , then  $(Y, Z)$  defined by

$$Y_t := S_t^{-1} \left( \tilde{Y}_t + \int_t^T SH d\mathbf{X} + \int_t^T SGH d[\mathbf{X}] \right), \quad Z_t := S_t^{-1} \tilde{Z}_t, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

might solve the rough BSDE (40). Since the conditions of the rough Itô formula [12, Theorem 4.13] do not hold in our context, the above derivation stays only formal and is still far away from a rigorous proof.

Next, we give the following result on the boundedness of solutions.

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $(\xi^k, f^k, G^k, (G^k)', H^k, (H^k)', \mathbf{X}^k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfy Assumption 5.2 with the same Lipschitz constant  $L$ , and  $(Y^k, Z^k)$  be the solution to the corresponding rough BSDE (40). Assume there exist a positive real number  $M$  and  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}$  such that*

$$\|\xi^k\|_2, \|f^k(0, 0)\|_2, \left\| \left( G^k, (G^k)' \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty}, \left\| \left( H^k, (H^k)' \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} \leq M, \quad (58)$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) = 0. \quad (59)$$

Then we have

$$\left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} + \|Z^k\|_2 \leq C_{M,T,\{\mathbf{X}^k\}}, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (60)$$

*Proof.* Let  $K \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{K}]$  be constants which are waiting to be determined later. We first show the boundedness for  $T, |\mathbf{X}^k|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , define

$$\mathbf{S}^k := \left\{ \left( Y^k, (Y^k)', Z^k \right) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2 \times \mathbb{L}^2 : Y_T^k = \xi^k, (Y_T^k)' = G_T^k \xi^k + H_T^k \right\}$$

and

$$\left\| \left( Y^k, (Y^k)', Z^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} := \left\| \left( Y^k, (Y^k)' \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|Z^k\|_2,$$

and then define  $\Phi^k : \mathbf{S}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^k$  similar to  $\Phi$  defined by (48). In view of (54) and (58), analogous to the proof of Theorem 5.7, we can choose constants  $K$  and  $\varepsilon$  depending only on  $M$  such that  $\Phi^k$  is a contraction map on  $(\mathbf{S}^k, \|\cdot\|_k^{(K)})$  with contraction ratio  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $(Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k)$  is the unique fixed point of  $\Phi^k$ . Define  $(\bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  by

$$\bar{Y}_t^k := \xi^k - \left( G_T^k \xi^k + H_T^k \right) \delta X_{t,T}^k, \quad (\bar{Y}^k)'_t := G_T^k \xi^k + H_T^k, \quad \bar{Z}_t^k := 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then  $(\bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k) \in \mathbf{S}^k$  and we have

$$\left\| \left( \bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)}, \left\| \Phi^k \left( \bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} \leq C_M, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} &\leq \left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k \right) - \Phi^k \left( \bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} \\ &\quad + \left\| \Phi^k \left( \bar{Y}^k, (\bar{Y}^k)', \bar{Z}^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left( Y^k - \bar{Y}^k, G^k Y^k + H^k - (\bar{Y}^k)', Z - \bar{Z}^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} + C_M \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} + C_M, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k \right) \right\|_k^{(1)} \leq \left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k, Z^k \right) \right\|_k^{(K)} \leq C_M, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

For general  $T$  and  $\mathbf{X}^k$ , in view of (59) there exists a partition  $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_N = T$  such that  $t_{i+1} - t_i, |\mathbf{X}^k|_{p\text{-var}; [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \leq \varepsilon$  for  $i = 0, \dots, N-1$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . By induction, we get the estimate (60).  $\square$

To obtain the continuity of the solution map, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $(G, G', \mathbf{X})$  and  $(\bar{G}, \bar{G}', \bar{\mathbf{X}})$  satisfy Assumption 5.2,  $q, q' \in [p, \infty)$  such that  $q' \geq q$  and  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $(Y, Y') \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2$ , and  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}') \in \mathbf{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2$ . Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{X}|_{p\text{-var}}, |\bar{\mathbf{X}}|_{p\text{-var}} &\leq \varepsilon, \\ \|(G, G')\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty}, \|(\bar{G}, \bar{G}')\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} &\leq M, \\ \|(Y, Y')\|_{\mathbf{D}_X^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)}, \|(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}')\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} &\leq M, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $M$ . Then for any  $K \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|GY, GY'; \bar{G}\bar{Y}, \bar{G}\bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\ &\leq C_M K \|\Delta Y_T\|_2 + C_M (1 + K\varepsilon)^2 \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\ &\quad + C_M K (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} &:= \|G_T - \bar{G}_T\|_\infty + \|G'_T - \bar{G}'_T\|_\infty \\ &\quad + \|\delta G' - \delta \bar{G}'\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}} + \|R^G - R^{\bar{G}}\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}\text{-var}}. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

*Proof.* Put  $\Delta Y := Y - \bar{Y}$  and similar for other functions. By Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\Delta (GY)^M = \Delta \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M = \int_0^\cdot G_r d\Delta Y_r^M + \int_0^\cdot \Delta G_r d\bar{Y}_r^M$$

and

$$\Delta (GY)^J = \Delta (GY) - \Delta \int_0^\cdot G_r dY_r^M = G\Delta Y + \Delta G\bar{Y} - \int_0^\cdot G_r d\Delta Y_r^M - \int_0^\cdot \Delta G_r d\bar{Y}_r^M.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta (GY)_T^M \right\|_2 &\leq \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|\Delta Y_T^M\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta G\|_\infty \|\bar{Y}_T^M\|_2 \\ &\leq C_M (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \frac{1}{K} \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta (GY)_T^J \right\|_2 &\leq \|G_T\|_\infty \|\Delta Y_T\|_2 + \|\Delta G_T\|_\infty \|\bar{Y}_T\|_2 \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|\Delta Y_T^M\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta G_r\|_\infty \|\bar{Y}_T^M\|_2 \\ &\leq C_M (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Simiarily, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta (GY' + G'Y)_T \right\|_2 &\leq \|G_T\|_\infty \|\Delta Y'_T\|_2 + \|\Delta G_T\|_\infty \|\bar{Y}'_T\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|G'_T\|_\infty \|\Delta Y_T\|_2 + \|\Delta G'_T\|_\infty \|\bar{Y}_T\|_2 \\ &\leq C_M \left( \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(q, q')\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For every fixed  $(s, t) \in \Delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta [\Delta (GY')]_{s, t} &= G_t Y'_t - \bar{G}_t \bar{Y}'_t - G_s Y'_s + \bar{G}_s \bar{Y}'_s \\ &= (G_t Y'_s - G_s Y'_s - G_t \bar{Y}'_s + G_s \bar{Y}'_s) + (G_t Y'_t - G_t \bar{Y}'_t - G_t Y'_s + G_t \bar{Y}'_s) \\ &\quad + (G_t \bar{Y}'_t - \bar{G}_t \bar{Y}'_t - G_s \bar{Y}'_s + \bar{G}_s \bar{Y}'_s) \\ &= \delta G_{s, t} \Delta Y'_s + G_t \delta \Delta Y'_{s, t} + \delta (\Delta G \bar{Y}')_{s, t}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \delta [\Delta (GY')]_{s, t} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\delta G_{s, t} \Delta Y'_s\|_2 + \|G_t \delta \Delta Y'_{s, t}\|_2 + \left\| \delta (\Delta G \bar{Y}')_{s, t} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|\delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s, t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta Y'_r\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|G_r\|_\infty \|\delta \Delta Y'_r\|_{2, q'\text{-var}; [s, t]} \\ &\quad + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta G_r\|_\infty \|\delta \bar{Y}'_r\|_{2, q'\text{-var}; [s, t]} + \|\delta \Delta G\|_{\infty, p\text{-var}; [s, t]} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\bar{Y}'_r\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\delta [\Delta (GY')]\|_{2,q'-var} \\
& \leq \|\delta G\|_{\infty,p-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta Y_r'\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|G_r\|_{\infty} \|\delta \Delta Y'\|_{2,q'-var} \\
& \quad + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta G_r\|_{\infty} \|\delta \bar{Y}'\|_{2,q'-var} + \|\delta \Delta G\|_{\infty,p-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\bar{Y}'_r\|_2 \\
& \leq C_M (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(K),(q,q')-var} L_2} + \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(p,p)-var} L_{\infty}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Simiarily, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\delta [\Delta (G'Y)]\|_{2,q'-var} \\
& \leq \|\delta G'\|_{\infty,p-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta Y_r\|_2 + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|G'_r\|_{\infty} \|\delta \Delta Y\|_{2,q-var} \\
& \quad + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta G'_r\|_{\infty} \|\delta \bar{Y}\|_{2,q-var} + \|\delta \Delta G'\|_{\infty,p-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\bar{Y}_r\|_2 \\
& \leq C_M (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(K),(q,q')-var} L_2} + \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(p,p)-var} L_{\infty}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{s,t}^{(GY)^J} &= \Delta (\delta G_{s,t} \delta Y_{s,t}) - \Delta \int_s^t \delta G_{s,r} dY_r^M + \Delta (G_s R_{s,t}^{Y^J}) + \Delta (R_{s,t}^G Y_s) \\
&= \delta G_{s,t} \delta \Delta Y_{s,t} + \delta \Delta G_{s,t} \delta \bar{Y}_{s,t} - \int_s^t \delta G_{s,r} d\Delta Y_r^M - \int_s^t \delta \Delta G_{s,r} d\bar{Y}_r^M \\
& \quad + G_s \Delta R_{s,t}^{Y^J} + \Delta G_s R_{s,t}^{\bar{Y}^J} + R_{s,t}^G \Delta Y_s + \Delta R_{s,t}^G \bar{Y}_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \Delta R^{(GY)^J} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var} \\
& \leq C \|\delta G\|_{\infty,p-var} \left( \|\delta \Delta Y\|_{2,q-var} + \|\delta \Delta Y^M\|_{2,q-var} \right) \\
& \quad + C \|\delta \Delta G\|_{\infty,p-var} \left( \|\delta \bar{Y}\|_{2,q-var} + \|\delta \bar{Y}^M\|_{2,q-var} \right) \\
& \quad + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|G_r\|_{\infty} \left\| \Delta R^{Y^J} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var} + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta G_r\|_{\infty} \left\| R^{\bar{Y}^J} \right\|_{2, \frac{qq'}{q+q'}-var} \\
& \quad + \|R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\Delta Y_r\|_2 + \|\Delta R^G\|_{\infty, \frac{p}{2}-var} \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \|\bar{Y}_r\|_2 \\
& \leq C_M \|\Delta Y_T\|_2 + C_M \frac{1}{K} (1 + K\varepsilon)^2 \|Y, Y'; \bar{Y}, \bar{Y}'\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(K),(q,q')-var} L_2} \\
& \quad + C_M (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \|G, G'; \bar{G}, \bar{G}'\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X,\bar{X}}^{(p,p)-var} L_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities, we get the estimate (61).  $\square$

We finish this section with the continuity of the solution map.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let  $(\xi, f, G, G', H, H', \mathbf{X})$ ,  $(\xi^k, f^k, G^k, (G^k)', H^k, (H^k)', \mathbf{X}^k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfy Assumption 5.2 with the same Lipschitz constant  $L$ , and  $(Y, Z)$ ,  $(Y^k, Z^k)$  be the solution to the corresponding rough BSDE (40). For any  $K \geq 1$ , assume

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \xi^k - \xi \right\|_2 + \left\| f^k(0, 0) - f(0, 0) \right\|_2 + \left\| G^k, (G^k)'; G, G' \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \\ & + \left\| H^k, (H^k)'; H, H' \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

and

$$f^k(y, z) \rightarrow f(y, z) \text{ in measure } d\mathbb{P} \times dt, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall (y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}. \quad (64)$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \left\| Z^k - Z \right\|_2 \right) = 0. \quad (65)$$

*Proof.* Write  $\xi^0 := \xi$ ,  $f^0 := f$ ,  $\Delta_k Y := Y^k - Y$ , and similar for other functions. Define

$$\begin{aligned} c_k := & \left\| \Delta_k \xi \right\|_2 + \left\| \Delta_k f(0, 0) \right\|_2 + \left\| G^k, (G^k)'; G, G' \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty} \\ & + \left\| H^k, (H^k)'; H, H' \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + \rho_{p\text{-var}}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K')}$  are equivalent for any  $K, K' \geq 1$ , it suffices to show the continuity for a specific  $K$  which is waiting to be determined later. Assume without loss of generality that  $T, |\mathbf{X}^k|_{p\text{-var}} \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{K}]$  which is also waiting to be determined later. In view of (63), there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that

$$\left\| \xi^k \right\|_2, \left\| f^k(0, 0) \right\|_2, \left\| \left( G^k, (G^k)' \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_\infty}, \left\| \left( H^k, (H^k)' \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} \leq M,$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . By Proposition 5.10 and its proof, we have

$$\left\| \left( Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{X}^k}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} + \left\| Z^k \right\|_2 \leq C_M, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots$$

For every fixed  $k$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k [f(Y, Z)] &= \left[ f^k(Y^k, Z^k) - f^k(Y, Z) \right] + \left[ f^k(Y, Z) - f^k(0, 0) - f(Y, Z) + f(0, 0) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ f^k(0, 0) - f(0, 0) \right] \\ &= \left[ f^k(Y^k, Z^k) - f^k(Y, Z) \right] + \left[ (\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0) \right] + \Delta_k f(0, 0), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left\| \Delta_k [f(Y, Z)] \right\|_2$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| f^k(Y^k, Z^k) - f^k(Y, Z) \right\|_2 + \|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + \|\Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 \quad (66) \\
&\leq C \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta_k Y_r\|_2 + \|\Delta_k Z\| \right) + \|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^\cdot f_r^k(Y_r^k, Z_r^k) dr, 0; \int_0^\cdot f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr, 0 \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\
&\leq C \left( K\varepsilon \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|\Delta_k Y_r\|_2 + K\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k Z\|_2 \right) \\
&\quad + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} (\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k). \quad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| G^k Y^k, G^k (G^k Y^k + H^k) + (G^k)' Y^k; GY, G(GY + H) + G'Y \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\
&\leq C_M \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} c_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_0^\cdot G^k Y^k + H^k d\mathbf{X}^k, Y^k; \int_0^\cdot GY + Hd\mathbf{X}, Y \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\
&\leq C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon \right) \\
&\quad \times \left\| G^k Y^k, G^k (G^k Y^k + H^k) + (G^k)' Y^k; GY, G(GY + H) + G'Y \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \quad (68) \\
&\quad + C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon \right) \left\| H^k, (H^k)'; H, H' \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} c_k \\
&\leq C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon \right) \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p, p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} c_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $M^k : \Omega \times [0, T]$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  by

$$M_t^k := \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \xi^k + \int_0^T f_r^k(Y_r^k, Z_r^k) dr + \int_0^T (G^k Y^k + H^k) d\mathbf{X}^k \right], \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then

$$M_t^k = M_0^k + \int_0^t Z_r^k dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and thus

$$\|\Delta_k Z\| \leq 2 \|\Delta_k M_T\| \leq C \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k [f(Y, Z)]\|_2 + \left\| \Delta_k \int_0^T GY + Hd\mathbf{X} \right\|_2 \right).$$

Combining (66), (68) and the last inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_k Z\| &\leq C_M \left( \frac{1}{K^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|\Delta_k Z\| \right) \\ &\quad + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} (\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Note that

$$\Delta_k Y = \int^T \Delta_k [f_r(Y_r, Z_r)] dr + \Delta_k \int^T G \Delta Y d\mathbf{X} - \int^T \Delta_k Z_r dW_r, \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Combining (67), (68) and (69), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} \\ &\leq C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|\Delta_k Z\| \right) \\ &\quad + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} (\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|\Delta_k Z\| \\ &\leq C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \left( \left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|\Delta_k Z\| \right) \\ &\quad + C_{M, K, \varepsilon} (\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $K := 4(1 + C_M)$  and  $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{K^4}$  for the above  $C_M$ . Then  $C_M \left( \frac{1}{K} + K\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| Y^k, G^k Y^k + H^k; Y, GY + H \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(K)} + K \|\Delta_k Z\| \\ &\leq C_M (\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 + c_k). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (64), we have

$$(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in measure } d\mathbb{P} \times dt, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 \leq C \left( T^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|Y_r\|_2 + \|Z\|_2 \right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

the Dominated Convergence Theorem gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\Delta_k f)(Y, Z) - \Delta_k f(0, 0)\|_2 = 0.$$

Therefore, we get (65). □

## 6 Application to systems of rough PDEs

We now specialize to a Markovian model. For any  $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  with  $p \in [2, 3)$ , consider the following SDE and rough BSDE

$$S_t^{s,x,\mathbf{X}} = x + \int_s^t b_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) dr + \int_s^t \sigma_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) dW_r, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{s,x,\mathbf{X}} &= l(S_T^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) + \int_t^T f_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Y_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Z_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) dr \\ &\quad + \int_t^T (G_r(\mathbf{X}) Y_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}} + h_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) + H_r(\mathbf{X})) d\mathbf{X}_r \\ &\quad - \int_t^T Z_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}} dW_r, \quad t \in [s, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Here,  $S$  is  $n_1$ -dimensional and  $Y$  is  $n_2$ -dimensional. Coefficients  $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $\sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times d}$ ,  $l : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ,  $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times d} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ ,  $G : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}))$ ,  $G' : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})))$  (which is implied in (71)),  $h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ ,  $H : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$  and  $H' : [0, T] \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}))$  (which is also implied in (71)) are deterministic and depend on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Equations (70) and (71) are connected to the following system of rough PDEs

$$\begin{cases} du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_t^2(x, \mathbf{X}) dt + Du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) b_t(x, \mathbf{X}) dt \\ \quad + f_t(x, u(t, x, \mathbf{X}), Du(t, x, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_t(x, \mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X}) dt \\ \quad + [G_t(\mathbf{X}) u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) + h_t(x, \mathbf{X}) + H_t(\mathbf{X})] d\mathbf{X}_t = 0, \\ u(T, x, \mathbf{X}) = l(x, \mathbf{X}), \quad (t, x, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}, \end{cases} \quad (72)$$

where

$$D^2 u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_t^2(x, \mathbf{X}) := \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr} [D^2 u_1(t, x, \mathbf{X}) (\sigma \sigma^\top)_t(x, \mathbf{X})] \\ \vdots \\ \text{Tr} [D^2 u_{n_2}(t, x, \mathbf{X}) (\sigma \sigma^\top)_t(x, \mathbf{X})] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote by  $BUC(V, \bar{V})$  the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions from  $V$  to  $\bar{V}$  with the uniform topology. The space of controlled rough paths (controlled by  $X$ ) of finite  $(p, p)$ -variation with values in  $V$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var}([0, T], V)$  (see [11, Definition 4.6]). It can be regarded as a subspace of  $\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var} L_\infty([0, T], \Omega; V)$ . Define  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p,p)-var}}$  in a similar way to define  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var} L_\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X, \bar{X}}^{(p,p)-var} L_\infty}$  in (45) and (62). Denote by  $C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, V)$  the space of functions  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \rightarrow V$  which are once and twice continuously differentiable with respect to  $t$  and  $x$ , respectively, such that

$$|F|_{C_b^{1,2}} := |F|_\infty + |\partial_t F|_\infty + |DF|_\infty + |D^2 F|_\infty < \infty.$$

**Definition 6.1.** We call  $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  a solution to (72) if the map  $\mathbf{X} \mapsto u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}$  to  $BUC([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ , and for any smooth path  $X \in$

$C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  and its canonical rough lift  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is a viscosity solution to the following system of PDEs

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} D^2 v(t, x) \sigma_t^2(x, \mathbf{X}) + Dv(t, x) b_t(x, \mathbf{X}) \\ \quad + f_t(x, v(t, x), Dv(t, x) \sigma_t(x, \mathbf{X}), \mathbf{X}) \\ \quad + [G_t(\mathbf{X}) v(t, x) + h_t(x, \mathbf{X}) + H_t(\mathbf{X})] \dot{X}_t = 0, \\ v(T, x) = l(x, \mathbf{X}), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}. \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

We introduce the following assumption.

**Assumption 6.2.** For any  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}$ ,

- (i)  $b(\cdot, \mathbf{X})$  and  $\sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X})$  are bounded continuous and uniformly Lipschitz continuous in  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $l(\cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is bounded and uniformly Lipschitz continuous;
- (iii)  $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is bounded continuous and uniformly Lipschitz continuous in  $x, y$  and  $z$ ;
- (iv)  $h(\cdot, \mathbf{X}) \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}))$ ;
- (v)  $(G(\mathbf{X}), G'(\mathbf{X})) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var}([0, T], \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})))$ ;
- (vi)  $(H(\mathbf{X}), H'(\mathbf{X})) \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(p,p)-var}([0, T], \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^e, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}))$ ;
- (vii) for any sequence of smooth paths  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p-var}(X^k, \mathbf{X}) = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| b(\cdot, X^k) - b(\cdot, \mathbf{X}) \right|_\infty + \left| \sigma(\cdot, X^k) - \sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X}) \right|_\infty + \left| l(\cdot, X^k) - l(\cdot, \mathbf{X}) \right|_\infty \\ & + \left| f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, X^k) - f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X}) \right|_\infty + \left| h(\cdot, X^k) - h(\cdot, \mathbf{X}) \right|_{C_b^{1,2}} \\ & + \left\| G(X^k), G'(X^k); G(\mathbf{X}), G'(\mathbf{X}) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)-var}} \\ & + \left\| H(X^k), H'(X^k); H(\mathbf{X}), H'(\mathbf{X}) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}_{X^k, \mathbf{X}}^{(p,p)-var}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We give the following result on equation (72).

**Theorem 6.3.** Under Assumption 6.2, the system of rough PDEs (72) has a unique solution  $u$ , and we have the stochastic representation

$$u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) = Y_t^{t,x,\mathbf{X}}, \quad \forall (t, x, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var},$$

where  $(Y^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Z^{s,x,\mathbf{X}})$  is the solution to rough BSDE (71).

*Proof.* For any  $(s, x, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}$ , by the classical SDE theory (e.g. [22, Theorem 5.2.9]), the SDE (70) has a unique (strong) solution  $S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}$ . Applying Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_t \left( S_t^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) &= h_s(x, \mathbf{X}) + \int_s^t \left( \partial_t h_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) + Dh_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) b_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t D^2 h_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \sigma_r^2 \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^t Dh_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \sigma_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) dW_r, \quad \forall t \in [s, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4,  $(h(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}), 0) \in \mathbf{D}_X^{(p,p)-var} L_2$ . By Theorem 5.7, the rough BSDE (71) has a unique solution  $(Y^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Z^{s,x,\mathbf{X}})$ . Define

$$u(t, x, \mathbf{X}) := Y_t^{t,x,\mathbf{X}}, \quad \forall (t, x, \mathbf{X}) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}.$$

If  $\mathbf{X}$  is the canonical rough lift of a smooth path  $X \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ , by [28, Theorem 2.2] and [29, Theorem 5.1],  $u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  is the unique viscosity solution to (73) in  $BUC$ . For general  $\mathbf{X}$ , take a sequence of smooth paths  $X^k \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p-var}(\mathbf{X}^k, \mathbf{X}) = 0.$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  put  $\Delta_k S^{s,x} := S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k} - S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}$ ,  $\Delta_k b := b(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) - b(\cdot, \mathbf{X})$  and similar for other functions. For any fixed  $(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [s, T]} |\Delta_k S_r^{s,x}|^2 &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_s^T |(\Delta_k b)_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k} \right)| dr \right)^2 + \int_s^T |(\Delta_k \sigma)_r \left( S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k} \right)|^2 dr \right] \\ &\leq C_T \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty^2 + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_k \left[ l \left( S_T^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right] \right\|_2 &\leq \left\| (\Delta_k l) \left( S_T^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k} \right) \right\|_2 + \left\| l \left( S_T^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, \mathbf{X} \right) - l \left( S_T^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq |\Delta_k l|_\infty + C \left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [s, T]} |\Delta_k S_r^{s,x}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_T \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k l|_\infty \right). \end{aligned}$$

Simiarily, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, Y^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, Z^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, \mathbf{X}^k \right) - f \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Y^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, Z^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right\|_2 \\ \leq C_T \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k f|_\infty \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \Delta_k \left[ \partial_t h \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right] \right\|_2 \leq C_T \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k h|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right).$$

Since

$$\Delta_k \left[ Dh \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) b \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right] = \Delta_k \left[ Dh \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X} \right) \right] b \left( S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, \mathbf{X}^k \right)$$

$$+ Dh(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \Delta_k [b(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta_k [Dh(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) b(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})]\|_2 \\ & \leq \|\Delta_k [Dh(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})]\|_2 \left| b(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty + |Dh(\cdot, \mathbf{X})|_\infty \|\Delta_k [b(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})]\|_2 \\ & \leq C_T \left( \sup_k \left| \sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty + |h(\cdot, \mathbf{X})|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right) \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k h|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Simiarily, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_s^T \Delta_k [Dh_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] dW_r \right\|_2 \\ & = \|\Delta_k [Dh(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \sigma(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})]\|_2 \\ & \leq C_T \left( \sup_k \left| \sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty + |h(\cdot, \mathbf{X})|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right) \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k h|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta_k [D^2 h(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \sigma^2(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})]\|_2 \\ & \leq C_T \left( \sup_k \left| \sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty^2 + |h(\cdot, \mathbf{X})|_{C_b^{1,2}}^2 \right) \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k h|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k [h(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] &= \Delta_k h_s(x) + \int_s^\cdot \Delta_k [\partial_t h_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] dr \\ & \quad + \int_s^\cdot \Delta_k [Dh_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) b_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] dr \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^\cdot \Delta_k [D^2 h_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_r^2(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] dr \\ & \quad + \int_s^\cdot \Delta_k [Dh_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}) \sigma_r(S_r^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X})] dW_r. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| h(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}^k}, \mathbf{X}^k), 0; h(S^{s,x,\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}), 0 \right\|_{\mathbf{D}_{X^k, X}^{(p,p)\text{-var}} L_2}^{(1)} \\ & \leq C_T \left( 1 + \sup_k \left| b(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty + \sup_k \left| \sigma(\cdot, \mathbf{X}^k) \right|_\infty^2 + |h(\cdot, \mathbf{X})|_{C_b^{1,2}}^2 \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( |\Delta_k b|_\infty + |\Delta_k \sigma|_\infty + |\Delta_k h|_{C_b^{1,2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By analogous arguments as in Theorem 5.12, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(s,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \sup_{t \in [s,T]} \|\Delta_k Y^{s,x}\|_2 = 0,$$

and thus  $u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X}^k)$  converges uniformly to  $u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $u$  is a solution to (72).

To show the uniqueness, assume that  $\bar{u}$  is another solution. For any smooth path  $X \in$

$C^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R}^e)$  and its canonical rough lift  $\mathbf{X}$ , we know from the above arguments that the equation (73) has a unique viscosity solution. Hence,  $\bar{u}(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X}) = u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$ . Since  $\mathbf{X} \mapsto u(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  and  $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \bar{u}(\cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{X})$  are continuous from  $\mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}$  to  $BUC$ , we have  $\bar{u} = u$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.4.** *Theorem 6.3 is new even in the one-dimensional case, for our rough drift can be time-varying. Note that the rough drift is generally required to be time-invariant in Diehl and Friz [7] or when the equation (72) is solved directly with a flow transform (see [11, Section 12.2.3]).*

**Remark 6.5.** *We may also solve equation (72) by finding solutions  $u$  as continuous maps from  $\mathcal{C}_g^{0,p-var}$  to the continuous functions space  $C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$  with pointwise convergence topology or locally uniform topology. In this case, we may appropriately weaken the boundedness and convergence conditions in Assumption 6.2. We do not pursue the details of the extension here.*

## A Well-posedness of BSDEs

Consider the following BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr - \int_t^T Z_r dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (74)$$

Here,  $\xi$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued random variable and  $f : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is progressively measurable. The following result is based on [31, Proposition 1].

**Theorem A.1.** *Let Assumption 3.2 hold. Then there exist sufficiently small positive real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $R$ , depending only on  $T$  and  $L$ , such that for  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ , the BSDE (74) has a unique solution  $(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO$  satisfying*

$$\|(Y, Z)\| := \|Y\|_\infty + \|Z\|_{BMO} \leq R. \quad (75)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|(Y, Z)\| \leq C_{T,L} \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty \right). \quad (76)$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Define

$$\mathbf{R} := \{(Y, Z) \in \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO : Y_T = \xi, \|(Y, Z)\| \leq R\},$$

where  $R \in (0, 1]$  is waiting to be determined later. Clearly,  $(\mathbf{R}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a nonempty Banach space. For any  $(Y, Z) \in \mathbf{R}$ , in view of the properties of BMO martingales (e.g. [23, Corollary 2.1]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T |f_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right)^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T (\lambda_r + L|Y_r|^2 + L|Z_r|^2) dr \right)^2 \\ &\leq C \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty^2 + C_L \left( T^2 \|Y\|_\infty^4 + T \|Z\|_{BMO}^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then linear BSDE

$$\Psi_t^Y = \xi + \int_t^T f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr - \int_t^T \Psi_r^Z dW_r, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has a unique solution  $(\Psi^Y, \Psi^Z) \in \mathbb{L}^2 \times \mathbb{L}^2$ . Applying Itô's formula, we have

$$|\Psi_t^Y|^2 = |\xi|^2 + 2 \int_t^T (\Psi_t^Y)^\top f_r(Y_r, Z_r) dr - 2 \int_t^T (\Psi_t^Y)^\top \Psi_r^Z dW_r - \int_t^T |\Psi_r^Z|^2 dr,$$

for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Psi_t^Y|^2 + \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Psi_r^Z|^2 dr \\ & \leq \|\xi\|_\infty^2 + 2\mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Psi_t^Y| |f_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + \|\xi\|_\infty^2 + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |f_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + \|\xi\|_\infty^2 + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T (\lambda_r + L|Y_r|^2 + L|Z_r|^2) dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + C_L \left( \|\xi\|_\infty^2 + \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty^2 + T^2 \|Y\|_\infty^4 + \|Z\|_{BMO}^4 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + C_L \left( \|\xi\|_\infty^2 + \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty^2 + (T^2 + 1) R^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|(\Psi^Y, \Psi^Z)\| \leq c_1 \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty + (T + 1) R^2 \right).$$

Here  $c_1 \geq 1$  depends only on  $L$ , and so does the following constant  $c_2$ . Therefore, we can establish the map

$$\Psi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^\infty \times BMO, \quad (Y, Z) \mapsto (\Psi^Y, \Psi^Z).$$

For any other  $(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \in \mathbf{R}$ , put  $\Delta Y := Y - \bar{Y}$ ,  $\Delta Z := Z - \bar{Z}$  and similar for other functions. Simiarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta \Psi_t^Y|^2 + \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta \Psi_r^Z|^2 dr \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta \Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta f_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta \Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta Y_r| \left( \lambda_r + L|Y_r|^2 + L|\bar{Y}_r|^2 + L|Z_r|^2 + L|\bar{Z}_r|^2 \right) dr \right)^2 \\ & \quad + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta Z_r| (\mu_r + L|Y_r| + L|\bar{Y}_r| + L|Z_r| + L|\bar{Z}_r|) dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta \Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 \\ & \quad + C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, r)} |\Delta Y_r|^2 \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T (\lambda_r + L|Y_r|^2 + L|\bar{Y}_r|^2 + L|Z_r|^2 + L|\bar{Z}_r|^2) dr \right)^2 \\ & \quad + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta Z_r|^2 dr \right) \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T (\mu_r + L|Y_r| + L|\bar{Y}_r| + L|Z_r| + L|\bar{Z}_r|)^2 dr \right) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 \\
&\quad + C_L \|\Delta Y\|_\infty^2 \left( \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty + T \|Y\|_\infty^2 + T \|\bar{Y}\|_\infty^2 + \|Z\|_{BMO}^2 + \|\bar{Z}\|_{BMO}^2 \right)^2 \\
&\quad + C_L \|\Delta Z\|_{BMO}^2 \left( \left\| \int_0^T \mu_r^2 dr \right\|_\infty + T \|Y\|_\infty^2 + T \|\bar{Y}\|_\infty^2 + \|Z\|_{BMO}^2 + \|\bar{Z}\|_{BMO}^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta\Psi^Y\|_\infty^2 + C_L \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + (T^2 + 1) R^2 \right) \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Z)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|(\Delta\Psi^Y, \Delta\Psi^Z)\| \leq c_2 \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} + (T+1)R \right) \|(\Delta Y, \Delta Z)\|.$$

Take

$$\varepsilon := \frac{1}{16(c_1 \vee c_2)^2(T+1)}, \quad R := \frac{1}{4(c_1 \vee c_2)(T+1)}.$$

Then for  $\|\xi\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ , we have

$$c_1 \left( \|\xi\|_\infty + \left\| \int_0^T \lambda_r dr \right\|_\infty + (T+1)R^2 \right) \leq R$$

and

$$c_2 \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} + (T+1)R \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $\Psi$  is a contraction map on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Applying the Banach Fixed-point Theorem,  $\Psi$  has a unique fixed point  $(Y, Z)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , which is the unique solution to (74) satisfying the estimate (75). Similarly, we can get the estimate (76).  $\square$

Then we give the continuity of the solution map.

**Theorem A.2.** *Assume that  $(\xi, f)$  and  $(\xi^k, f^k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfy Assumption 3.2 with the same  $L, \lambda$  and  $\mu$ , and the inequality*

$$\|\xi\|_\infty, \sup_{k=1,2,\dots} \|\xi^k\|_\infty, \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$$

*holds for the constant  $\varepsilon$  in Theorem A.1. Let  $(Y, Z), (Y^k, Z^k), k = 1, 2, \dots$  be the solution to the corresponding BSDE (57) satisfying the corresponding estimate (75). Assume*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|\xi^k - \xi\|_\infty + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega,t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T \left| f_r^k(Y_r, Z_r) - f_r(Y_r, Z_r) \right| dr \right) = 0.$$

*Then we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(Y^k - Y, Z^k - Z)\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Put  $\Delta_k \xi := \xi^k - \xi$ ,  $\Delta_k f := f^k - f$  and similar for other functions. For every fixed  $k$ , since

$$\Delta_k [f(Y, Z)] = \left[ f^k(Y^k, Z^k) - f^k(Y, Z) \right] + (\Delta_k f)(Y, Z),$$

by analogous arguments as in Theorem A.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta_k Y_t|^2 + \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta_k Z_r|^2 dr \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_k Y\|_\infty^2 + \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |\Delta_k [f(Y, Z)]_r| dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_k Y\|_\infty^2 + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T \left| f_r^k(Y_r^k, Z_r^k) - f_r^k(Y_r, Z_r) \right| dr \right)^2 \\ & \quad + \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + C \left( \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |(\Delta_k f)_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\Delta_k Y\|_\infty^2 + C_L \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty + (T^2 + 1) R^2 \right) \|(\Delta_k Y, \Delta_k Z)\|^2 \\ & \quad + C \left( \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |(\Delta_k f)_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\Delta_k Y, \Delta_k Z)\| & \leq \sqrt{2} c_2 \left( \left\| \int_0^T (\lambda_r + \mu_r^2) dr \right\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} + (T + 1) R \right) \|(\Delta_k Y, \Delta_k Z)\| \\ & \quad + C \left( \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |(\Delta_k f)_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \|(\Delta_k Y, \Delta_k Z)\| \\ & \quad + C \left( \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |(\Delta_k f)_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|(\Delta_k Y, \Delta_k Z)\| \leq C \left( \|\Delta_k \xi\|_\infty + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\omega, t)} \mathbb{E}_t \int_t^T |(\Delta_k f)_r(Y_r, Z_r)| dr \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

## References

- [1] CARUANA, M. (2010). Peano's theorem for rough differential equations in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **100** 177–215.
- [2] CARUANA, M. and FRIZ, P. K. (2009). Partial differential equations driven by rough paths. *J. Differential Equations* **247** 140–173.

- [3] CARUANA, M., FRIZ, P. K. and OBERHAUSER, H. (2011). A (rough) pathwise approach to a class of non-linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **28** 27–46.
- [4] CHEVYREV, I. and FRIZ, P. K. (2019). Canonical RDEs and general semimartingales as rough paths. *Ann. Probab.* **47** 420–463.
- [5] CRISAN, D., DIEHL, J., FRIZ, P. K. and OBERHAUSER, H. (2013). Robust filtering: correlated noise and multidimensional observation. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **43** 2139–2160.
- [6] DAVIE, A. M. (2008). Differential equations driven by rough paths: an approach via discrete approximation. *Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX* **2008** 1–40.
- [7] DIEHL, J. and FRIZ, P. K. (2012). Backward stochastic differential equations with rough drivers. *Ann. Probab.* **40** 1715–1758.
- [8] DIEHL, J., FRIZ, P. K. and STANNAT, W. (2017). Stochastic partial differential equations: a rough paths view on weak solutions via Feynman-Kac. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **26** 911–947.
- [9] DIEHL, J., OBERHAUSER, H. and RIEDEL, S. (2015). A Lévy area between Brownian motion and rough paths with applications to robust nonlinear filtering and rough partial differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **125** 161–181.
- [10] FEYEL, D. and DE LA PRADELLE, A. (2006). Curvilinear integrals along enriched paths. *Electron. J. Probab.* **11** 860-892.
- [11] FRIZ, P. K. and HAIRER, M. (2020). *A course on rough paths: With an introduction to regularity structures*, 2nd ed. Springer, Cham.
- [12] FRIZ, P. K., HOCQUET, A. and LÊ, K. (2022). Rough stochastic differential equations. Preprint, arXiv:2106.10340.
- [13] FRIZ, P. K. and SHEKHAR, A. (2017). General rough integration, Lévy rough paths and a Lévy-Kintchine-type formula. *Ann. Probab.* **45** 2707–2765.
- [14] FRIZ, P. K. and VICTOIR, N. (2010). *Multidimensional Stochastic Processes as Rough Paths*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [15] FRIZ, P. K. and ZHANG, H. (2018). Differential equations driven by rough paths with jumps. *J. Differential Equations* **264** 6226–6301.
- [16] GUBINELLI, M. (2004). Controlling rough paths. *J. Funct. Anal.* **216** 86–140.
- [17] GUBINELLI, M. (2010). Ramification of rough paths. *J. Differential Equations* **248** 693–721.
- [18] HAIRER, M. (2014). A theory of regularity structures. *Invent. Math.* **198** 269–504.
- [19] HAIRER, M. and KELLY, D. (2015). Geometric versus non-geometric rough paths. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **51** 207–251.
- [20] HOCQUET, A. and HOFMANOVA, M. (2018). An energy method for rough partial differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* **265** 1407–1466.

- [21] HOFMANOVA, M., LEAHY, J. M. and NILSSEN, T. (2019). On the Navier-Stokes equation perturbed by rough transport noise. *J. Evol. Equ.* **19** 203–247.
- [22] KARATZAS, I. and SHREVE, S. E. (1991). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [23] KAZAMAKI, N. (1994). *Continuous Exponential Martingales and BMO*. Springer, Berlin.
- [24] LÊ, K. (2020). A stochastic sewing lemma and applications. *Electron. J. Probab.* **25** 1–55.
- [25] LÊ, K. (2022). Stochastic sewing in Banach space. Preprint, arXiv:2105.09364.
- [26] LYONS, T. J. (1994). Differential equations driven by rough signals. I. An extension of an inequality of L. C. Young. *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** 451–464.
- [27] LYONS, T. J. (1998). Differential equations driven by rough signals. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **14** 215–310.
- [28] PARDOUX, E. (1998). Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Viscosity Solutions of Systems of Semilinear Parabolic and Elliptic PDEs of Second Order. In *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics VI*. 79–127. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA.
- [29] PARDOUX, E., PRADELLES, F. and RAO, Z. (1997). Probabilistic interpretation of a system of semi-linear parabolic partial differential equations. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **33** 703–724.
- [30] RIEDEL, S. and SCHEUTZOW, M. (2017). Rough differential equations with unbounded drift term. *J. Differential Equations* **262** 283–312.
- [31] TEVZADZE, R. (2008). Solvability of backward stochastic differential equations with quadratic growth. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **118** 503–515.
- [32] YOUNG, L. C. (1936). An inequality of Hölder type, connected with Stieljes integration. *Acta Math.* **67** 251–282.