

# COX RINGS OF MORPHISMS AND RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

JAROSŁAW WŁODARCZYK

**ABSTRACT.** We extend the Cox-Hu-Keel construction of the Cox rings to any proper birational morphisms of normal noetherian schemes. It allows the representation of any proper birational morphism by a map of schemes with mild singularities with torus actions.

In a particular case, the notion generalizes the combinatorial construction of Satriano [Sat13] and the recent construction of multiple weighted blow-ups on Artin-stacks by Abramovich-Quek [AQ21].

The latter can be viewed as an extension of stack theoretic blow-ups by Abramovich, Temkin and Włodarczyk [ATW19], a similar construction of McQuillan [McQ19] and and the author's recent cobordant recent cobordant blow-ups [Wło22] at weighted centers to a more general situation of arbitrary locally monomial centers.

We show some applications of this operation to the resolution of singularities over a field of any characteristic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of  $G_m$ -actions in birational geometry and their connection with the Mori theory was already discovered by Reid, Thaddeus, and many others (see [Tha94a], [Tha94b], [Tha96], [Rei], [DH98]). This was also reflected in the proof of the Weak Factorization theorem, which relied on the notion of *birational cobordism* and a critical role of  $G_m$ -action [Wło00], [Wło03], [AKMW02].

The idea of the birational cobordism from [Wło00] is to construct a smooth variety with  $G_m$ -action which represents a proper birational morphism and parametrizes possible birational elementary modifications such as blow-ups, blow-downs, and flips. This allows decomposing the proper birational maps of smooth varieties into a sequence of blow-ups and blow-downs with smooth centers.

A similar idea was considered shortly after by Hu-Keel [HK00], who constructed their *Mori dream space*, parametrizing possible birational modifications in the Mori program via torus actions. The Mori dream space plays a vital role in the Mori theory. One of the key ingredients in constructing the Mori dream space is the Cox rings.

Recall that the Cox rings for toric varieties were considered first by Cox in [Cox95]. The main idea of the construction comes from the convex geometry: Any polyhedral complex can be realized as the image of the simplicial complex. Similarly, any fan in toric geometry can be represented as the image of the subfan of a regular cone. This simple observation leads to the fundamental formula describing

---

*Date:* February 1, 2023.

This research is supported by BSF grant 2014365.

the *Cox coordinate ring* of tor the toric variety  $X$  as

$$\mathcal{C}(X) := \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Cl}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)),$$

where  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is the Weil divisor class group. The action of torus  $T = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\text{Cl}(X)]$  naturally occurs in the construction, and is determined by the  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -gradation.

The Cox formula generalizes the construction of the *coordinate ring* of the projective scheme  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ , namely

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n))$$

The projective space  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  can be seen as the geometric quotient of the *characteristic space*

$$\hat{X} = \text{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Cl}(X)} \mathcal{O}_X(D) \right) \rightarrow X,$$

introduced in [ADHL15]. The characteristic space  $\hat{X}$  comes with the natural embedding  $\hat{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$  into the coordinate space:

$$\overline{X} := \text{Spec} \left( \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Cl}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \right)$$

In particular, for  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  we obtain

$$\hat{X} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow \overline{X} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$$

This leads to the standard *Proj*-construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Proj}(\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n]) & = & (\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n] \setminus V(x_0, \dots, x_n)) / G_m \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ X & = & \hat{X} / T, \end{array}$$

The Cox rings have found many different applications in algebraic geometry. (See [AH06], [AIPSV12], [AP12], [ACDL21], [AHL10], [ABHW18], [BP04], [BHHN16], [DHHKL15], [HT04], [HKL16], [HAM21][HM15], [HMT20].) In particular, they were used to study minimal resolutions of singularities (see [DB16], [DBG17], [DBK16], [FGL11], [G20]). In the recent paper [BM21] the Cox rings were defined for the morphisms of log pairs.

In this paper, we introduce the idea of Cox rings of the proper birational morphisms of any normal noetherian schemes and propose a more general approach to embedded resolution problems in the language of torus actions, extending the ideas of McQuillan [McQ19] and Abramovich-Temkin-Włodarczyk [ATW19] of the weighted resolution, and Abramovich-Quek [AQ21] of the multiple weighted resolutions.

The idea of utilizing group actions to resolve singularities is ancient and should be traced back to Newton. In the method that he developed, known later as Newton-Puiseux theorem, he shows that any polynomial function  $f(x, y)$  on  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$  with expansion containing the term  $y^r$  can be, in fact, upon a coordinate change, resolved by a Newton-Puiseux series  $y = g(x^{1/k})$ . In other words one considers the space  $X' = \mathbb{C}^2$  with the group action of  $\mu_k = \langle \xi \rangle$ ,  $\xi(x, y) = (\xi \cdot x, y)$ , giving the quotient  $X' \rightarrow X$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^k, y)$ , and a smooth holomorphic branch  $V(y - g(x^{1/k}))$  on  $X'$  parametrizing subspace  $V(f)$  on  $X$ .

Originally in the Hironaka embedded resolution, only smooth centers were used (see [Hir64],[Vil89],[BM97],[Wlo05],[EH02], [EV03], [Kol07]). In the recent papers [ATW17], [ATW20] in the resolution process of logarithmic schemes and morphisms, we considered the stack-theoretic blow-ups of the centers of the form

$$\mathcal{J} = (u_1, \dots, u_k, m_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, m_r^{1/w_r}),$$

in the context of Kummer étale topology on the logarithmic stacks. The functorial properties of the algorithm of logarithmic resolution of morphisms dictated such general centers.

Then in [ATW19], we developed the formalism of the stack-theoretic blow-ups of the weighted centers of the form  $(u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$ . This approach allows to simplify the resolution procedure in characteristic zero. The algorithm is more efficient and avoids many unnecessary blow-ups reducing technicalities. It uses a very simple geometric invariant, which improves after each step and is independent of the logarithmic structure. A similar result was obtained by McQuillan in [McQ19]. More general centers were considered in the paper [Que20] of Quek in the logarithmic context.

In work [AQ21] of Abramovich-Quek, the authors introduce *multi-weighted blow-ups*, further extending the results in [Que20]. The multiple weighted blow-ups generalize the weighted blow-ups and are used to obtain a smooth and toroidal resolution version of Artin stacks (see Section 5.5). The Abramovich-Quek weighted blow-up generalizes the Satriano toroidal construction on Artin logarithmically smooth stacks in [Sat13] to locally monomial ideals.

Subsequently in the paper [Wlo22] the operation of *cobordant blow-up*  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  with weighted centers  $\mathcal{J} = (u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$  was introduced, where  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is a partial system of local parameters

$$(1) \quad B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, t^{w_1}x_1, \dots, t^{w_k}x_k]), \quad B_+ = B \setminus V(x_1t^{w_1}, \dots, x_kt^{w_k}),$$

where  $t$  is an introduced unknown. A similar formula was discovered by Rydh in the paper of [QR19] and studied in the context of the stack-theoretic blow-ups. Moreover, a certain relation between toric Cox construction and toric weighted cobordant blow-ups was already observed in [QR19] and [Wlo22].

The operation of cobordant blow-up allows representing stack-theoretic weighted blow-ups and more general Kummer blow-ups in the language of smooth varieties with torus action without stack theoretic language. Moreover, apart from fast functorial resolution with SNC divisors in characteristic zero, the approach leads to the resolution of some classes of singularities in positive and mixed characteristic (see [Wlo22]).

In the present paper, we associate the *Cox coordinate ring* to arbitrary proper birational morphisms  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of normal noetherian schemes as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} := \pi_* \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \right),$$

where  $\text{Cl}(Y/X) \subset \text{Cl}(Y)$  is a free group generated by the exceptional divisors. It comes with the coaction of the associated torus  $T = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\text{Cl}(Y/X)])$ .

Per analogy with the standard Cox construction, we call the space

$$(2) \quad B := \text{Spec}_X(\pi_* \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \right))$$

the *relative Cox coordinate space*. The scheme

$$(3) \quad B_+ := \operatorname{Spec}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \operatorname{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \right)$$

will be called the *relative Cox characteristic space*.

In this language, any proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  can be represented by a  $T$ -equivariant morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow B$  such that the induced morphism of the good quotient coincides with  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_+ // T & \rightarrow & B // T \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X, \end{array}$$

As in the standard construction, the morphism  $B_+ \subset B$  is an open immersion upon some reasonable assumptions.

If  $Y \rightarrow X$  is the the blow-up of the ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  on  $X$  the associated presentation  $B_+ // T \rightarrow X$  can be thought as the normalized extended *Proj* introduced by Swanson-Huneke [HS06]:

$$B_+ // T = \operatorname{Proj}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[\mathcal{J}t, \mathbf{t}^{-1}])^{\operatorname{nor}}.$$

(See Section 5.2.)

Note that the morphism  $B \rightarrow X$  is affine and is locally described by a single chart. The spaces  $B_+$  and  $B$  usually have nicer singularities and simpler descriptions, and the morphism  $B_+ \subset B$  is way simpler than the original  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ . As in the standard Cox construction, the semiinvariant functions on  $B_+$  and  $B$  can be interpreted as forms on  $Y$  and are convenient for the computations.

For instance, the construction can be applied to normalized blow-ups of locally monomial centers, leading to general classes of modifications of singularities of subschemes and ideals that preserve regular ambient schemes.

Given a locally toric or simply regular scheme  $X$  over a field and any locally toric proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , one associates with  $\pi$  a morphism of Cox regular spaces  $B_+ \subset B$ , where

$$B = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}])$$

In particular, one represents the normalized blow-up of any locally monomial  $\mathcal{J}$  by a *smooth cobordant blow-up*  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  equipped with torus action. The formula generalizes the weighted cobordant blow-up introduced in [Wło22] with  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J}\mathbf{t}^\alpha)$ , for the corresponding multi-indexes  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ . It also leads to a version of *the multi-weighted blow-up* of [AQ21, Definition 2.1.6] when considering the stack theoretic quotient  $[B_+ // T]$ .

One can think of this approach as an extension of the resolution by cobordant blow-ups with weighted centers to more general locally monomial ideals or  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals.

When replacing the group  $\operatorname{Cl}(Y/X)$  with a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  in the formulas (2) and (3), one further generalizes the construction. We obtain

$$B^\Gamma := \operatorname{Spec}_X(\pi_* \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \right)), \quad B_+^\Gamma := \operatorname{Spec}_Y \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \right).$$

This generalized construction can be linked to the weighted cobordant blow-ups as in [Wło22] (See Section 5.4). In particular, let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the weighted blow-up of a regular scheme with the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal center  $\mathcal{J} = (u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$ . This

is simply the normalized blow-up of the ideal  $\mathcal{J}^{(a)} := (u_1^{a/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{a/w_k})$ , with the exceptional irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $(1/a)E_a$  with  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E_a) = \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}^{(a)}$ , where  $a$  is any positive integer such that  $w_i | a$ . The cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}^{(a)}$  with respect to the group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{a}E_a \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  gives the formula (1) for the cobordant weighted blow-up of  $\mathcal{J} = (u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$ . Note that the above definition does not depend upon the choice of  $a$ , and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $(1/a)E_a$  can be interpreted as the divisor corresponding to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E_a)^{1/a}$ .

More generally, let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a locally monomial center, and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  the exceptional divisors of  $\pi$ . The cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the subgroup

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_1} E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_k} E_k \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

generated by  $\frac{1}{b_1} E_1, \dots, \frac{1}{b_k} E_k$ , where  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  are any positive integers, leads to the *multiple weighted blow-up*, considered by Abramovich-Quek in [AQ21]. It can be understood as the fantastack associated with the stack-theoretic quotient  $[B_+ // T]$  (See Section 5.5). Since the stabilizers of the action are not finite, in general, one obtains an Artin stack as the stack-theoretic quotient.

Note that in the resolution process of hypersurfaces, one often considers locally the corresponding Newton polytope. It is naturally associated with a certain coordinate system and rises to a locally monomial center. In a more general setting, the Newton polytope is replaced with the *dual valuation complex* of the locally monomial center. We show some conditions for singularities when the cobordant blow-up of such a center immediately resolves singularities. (see Theorems 4.4.5, 4.5.9, 4.5.11, 4.6.5, 4.6.9, 4.8.1, 4.8.2). The particular resolution methods and theorems extend the relevant results for the weighted cobordant blow-ups in [Wlo22]. As a Corollary 4.6.1, we obtain Abramovich-Quek's [AQ21, Theorem 5.1.2].

The resolution algorithm outputs a regular scheme with a torus action which admits a good quotient having locally toric singularities and birational to the original scheme. It can be directly resolved by the canonical combinatorial methods in any characteristic as in [Wlo20, Theorem 7.17.1]. Alternatively, by Proposition 3.5.2, one can always replace in the resolution process each  $B_+$  with an open stable subset  $B^s$  admitting a geometric quotient, and then apply the destackification method of Bergh-Rydh in [BR19]. It is also possible to use the canonical reduction of stabilizers due to Edidin-Rydh [ER21], and then the destackification method of Bergh-Rydh in [BR19].

1.0.1. *Aknowledgements.* The author would like to thank Dan Abramovich, Jürgen Hausen, Antonio Laface, Michael Temkin, Ilya Tyomkin, and Jarosław Wiśniewski for helpful discussions and suggestions.

1.1. **Preliminaries.** The definition of Cox spaces of morphisms is similar, with some important differences, to the notion of Cox spaces of varieties, as presented in [ADHL15]. We shall assume that all the schemes considered in the paper are noetherian.

1.1.1. *Construction of Cox sheaves.* Given a proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of normal integral schemes, consider the free group  $\text{Cl}(Y/X) \subset \text{Div}(Y)$  generated by the images of the exceptional irreducible divisors  $E_i$ . It can be identified with the kernel of the surjective morphism  $\pi_* : \text{Cl}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ .

**Definition 1.1.2.** By the *relative Cox ring* we mean the sheaf of graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{C}_{Y/X} = \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{C}_E = \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_Y(E),$$

graded by  $\text{Cl}(Y/X)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_E := \mathcal{O}_Y(E)$  for

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(E)(U) = \{f \in \kappa(Y) \mid (\text{div}_Y(f) + E)|_U \geq 0\} \subset \kappa(Y) = \kappa(X).$$

Note the  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{O}_Y$ . One can introduce the dummy variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$  so that  $E_i$  corresponds to  $t_i^{-1}$  and  $E \mapsto \mathbf{t}^E$ . This defines the isomorphism of the gradings:

$$\text{Cl}(Y/X) \simeq \{\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^k\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$$

Using this notation, we can write

$$\mathcal{C}_{Y/X} = \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{C}_E \mathbf{t}^E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^k} C_\alpha \cdot t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_k^{\alpha_k} \subseteq \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \kappa(Y) \mathbf{t}^E$$

1.1.3. *Forms.* As mentioned, the Cox relative ring construction, similarly to the absolute case, is analogous to the coordinate ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  on projective space  $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$ . One can choose a very ample divisor, for instance  $D = V(x_0)$ , and identify the functions  $f = F(x_0, \dots, x_n)/x_0^n \in \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$  with the forms  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  so that the vanishing locus  $V(F)$  equals to

$$V(F) = V_X(F) = \text{div}(f) + nD.$$

Per this analogy, and as in [ADHL15], the elements in  $\mathcal{C}_E$  will be called *forms of degree E* on  $Y$  and can be written formally as  $F = f\mathbf{t}^E$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(E)$ , with the natural componentwise operation of addition and multiplication. We also define the *divisor of the form*  $F = f\mathbf{t}^E$  on  $Y$  as  $\text{div}_Y(F) = \text{div}_Y(f) + E$ , and its *vanishing locus on Y* to be

$$V_Y(F) := \text{supp}(\text{div}_Y(f) + E).$$

1.1.4. *Exceptional valuations.* By the *exceptional valuations* of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  we shall mean the valuations  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$  of  $\kappa(X) = \kappa(Y)$  associated with the generic points of the exceptional divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  of  $\pi$ .

These valuations define ideals  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu, a, X} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  on  $X$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , generated by the functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ , with  $\nu(f) \geq a$ . In particular  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu, a} = \mathcal{O}_X$  if  $a \leq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.1.5.** *Let  $E = \sum n_i E_i$  correspond to  $t_1^{-n_1} \cdots t_k^{-n_1}$ . Then*

- (1)  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E_i)) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .
- (2) If all  $n_i \geq 0$  then  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .
- (3) If there is  $n_i < 0$ , then

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)) = \bigcap_{n_i < 0} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, -n_i, X} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, -n_i, X}.$$

*Proof.* First, since  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is proper, birational and  $X$  is normal, we have  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

We can reduce the situation to the case when  $X$  is affine since the problem is local on  $X$ . Then

$$g \in \mathcal{O}_Y(E)(\pi^{-1}(X)) \subset \kappa(X) = \kappa(Y)$$

if and only if

$$\operatorname{div}_Y(g) + E \geq 0.$$

This implies that  $\operatorname{div}_U(g) \geq 0$ , where  $U := Y \setminus (\bigcup E_i)$ , and  $U \subset X$ , where  $X \setminus U$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Thus  $\operatorname{div}_X(g) \geq 0$ . So, since  $X$  is normal, we get  $g \in \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{O}_X$ , whence  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ .

(1) and (2) If  $E = \sum n_i E_i$  with  $n_i \geq 0$  then

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) \subseteq \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X.$$

(3) In general,  $g \in \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  iff  $\operatorname{div}_Y(g) + E \geq 0$ . This translates into  $\operatorname{div}_Y(g) + \sum_{n_i < 0} n_i E_i \geq 0$  by part (2). Thus  $\nu_i(g) \geq -n_i$  for all  $n_i < 0$ , which yields

$$g \in \bigcap_{n_i < 0} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, -n_i, X} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, -n_i, X}.$$

We use here the fact that by definition  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, -n_i} = \mathcal{O}_X$  if  $n_i \geq 0$ . ♣

## 1.2. Cox coordinate space.

1.2.1. *Cox algebra.* As a corollary from Lemma 1.1.5, we obtain

**Proposition 1.2.2.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal irreducible schemes. Assume that  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  are the irreducible exceptional divisors of  $\pi$ , and  $\nu_i$  are the associated valuations. Then the direct image  $\pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})$  of the relative Cox ring is a  $\operatorname{Cl}(Y/X) = \mathbb{Z}^k$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra:*

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} := \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) = \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_k^{a_k} \subset \mathcal{O}_X[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}],$$

where  $E_i$  correspond to  $t_i^{-1}$ . ♣

1.2.3. *Cox coordinate space.*

**Definition 1.2.4.** Given a proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of normal integral schemes. The *Cox relative coordinate space* is the scheme

$$B = \operatorname{Cox}(Y/X) := \operatorname{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}_{Y/X}),$$

over  $X$  with the natural action of  $T_B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Cl}(Y/X)]$ . The *Cox relative characteristic space* is the space

$$B_+ = \operatorname{Cox}(Y/X)_+ := \operatorname{Spec}_Y(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}).$$

over  $Y$ . The *Cox trivial space* is given by

$$B_- := B \setminus V_B(t_1^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_k^{-1}).$$

1.2.5. *Good and geometric quotient.* We consider here a relatively affine action of

$$T = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}])$$

on a scheme  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By the *good quotient* (or *GIT-quotient*) of  $X$  by  $T$  we mean an affine  $T$ -invariant morphism

$$\pi : X \rightarrow Y = X // T$$

such that the induced morphism of the sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$  defines the isomorphism onto the subsheaf of invariants  $\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^T \subset \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Then  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y = X/T$  will be called the *geometric quotient* if additionally every fiber  $X_{\bar{y}}$  of  $\pi$  over a geometric point  $\bar{y} : \text{Spec}(\bar{\kappa}) \rightarrow Y$  defines a single orbit of the action of  $T_{\bar{\kappa}} = T \times_{\kappa} \text{Spec}(\bar{\kappa}) = \text{Spec}(\bar{\kappa}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}])$  on  $X_{\bar{y}}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.6.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y = X // T$  be a good quotient of integral schemes of a relatively affine action of the torus  $T$ . Then  $\pi$  is surjective. Moreover, the inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(Z) \subset X$  of a closed connected subscheme  $Z \subset Y$  is connected.*

*Proof.* The problem reduces to the affine situation  $\pi : X = \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \text{Spec} A^T$ . Then the coaction of  $T$  on  $A$  determines the gradation

$$A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_{\alpha} \mathfrak{t}^{\alpha},$$

where  $B = A_0$ . Then for any prime ideal  $p \subset A^T = A_0$ , the extended ideal  $pA$  in  $A$  is proper, and  $p = pA \cap A_0$  is a contracted ideal. This implies that  $\pi$  is surjective.

Let  $I \subset A_0$  be an ideal such that the scheme  $\text{Spec}(A_0/I)$  is connected. Suppose that for the ideal

$$IA = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} IA_{\alpha} \mathfrak{t}^{\alpha}$$

of  $A$  the space  $\text{Spec}(A/IA)$  is disconnected. Then there is a nontrivial ring decomposition  $A/IA = A' \oplus A''$ , and  $(A/IA)_0 = A'_0 \oplus A''_0$ . Hence either the ring  $A'_0 = 0$  or  $A''_0 = 0$ . Consequently, either  $A' = 0$  or  $A'' = 0$ , and the decomposition is trivial.  $\clubsuit$

**Lemma 1.2.7.** *The natural morphisms*

$$\pi_B : B \rightarrow B // T_B \simeq X, \quad \pi_{B_+, Y} : B_+ \rightarrow B_+ // T_B \simeq Y$$

*are good quotients.*

*Proof.*

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{B // T_B}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_B^{T_B}) = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}_{Y/X})^{T_B} = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X) = X$$

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{B_+ // T_B}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{B_+}^{T_B}) = \text{Spec}_Y(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})^{T_B} = \text{Spec}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y) = Y$$

$\clubsuit$

1.2.8. *Exceptional divisors on  $B = \text{Cox}(Y/X)$ .* Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper birational morphism of normal schemes. Using the natural birational morphism  $i_B : B_+ \rightarrow B$ , one can interpret the notion of the exceptional divisors of  $B$ .

Any exceptional divisor  $E_i$  on  $Y$  defines a canonical form

$$F_i = t_i^{-1} = \mathfrak{t}^{E_i} \in \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \mathfrak{t}^{-1} \subset \mathcal{O}_B$$



on  $Y$  of degree  $E_i$  which vanishes on  $V_Y(F) = E_i$ . The form  $t_i^{-1}$  also defines a regular homogenous function  $t_i^{-1}$  on  $B$  of degree  $E_i$ . Its divisor  $D_i := \text{div}_B(t_i^{-1})$  on  $B$  determines the divisor  $D_{i+} := D_i|_{B_+} = \text{div}_{B_+}(t_i^{-1})$  on  $B_+$  which maps to  $E_i$ .

**Lemma 1.2.9.** *The natural quotient morphism  $\pi_{B_+,Y} : B_+ \rightarrow Y$ , (respectively  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$ ) takes the exceptional divisors  $D_{i+} = V_{B_+}(t_i^{-1})$  (respectively  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$ ) surjectively onto  $E_i$  (respectively surjectively to the center of  $Z_X(\nu_i) = V_X(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i,1,X})$  of the valuation  $\nu_i$ ). Moreover the induced morphism  $D_{i+} \rightarrow E_i$  (resp.  $D \rightarrow Z_X(\nu_i)$ ) is defined by the good quotient.  $\clubsuit$*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{i+} &= V_{B_+}(t_i^{-1}) = \text{Spec}_Y \mathcal{C}_{Y/X} / t_i^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y/X} = \\ &= \text{Spec}_Y \left( \bigoplus (\mathcal{O}_Y(E) / \mathcal{O}_Y(E - E_i)) \mathbf{t}^E \right) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Spec}_Y ((\mathcal{C}_{Y/X} / t_i^{-1} \mathcal{C}_{Y/X})_0) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Y / \mathcal{O}_Y(-E_i)) = E_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus the morphism  $D_{i+} \rightarrow Y$  is defined by the good quotient and is surjective by Lemma 1.2.6. The proof for the divisors  $D_i$  is similar.  $\clubsuit$

**Definition 1.2.10.** The divisors  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$ , respectively  $D_{i+} = V_{B_+}(t_i^{-1})$  will be called the *exceptional divisors* of  $B = \text{Cox}(Y/X) \rightarrow X$ , respectively of  $B_+ \rightarrow Y$ .

**Lemma 1.2.11.** *The divisors  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$  on  $B$  and  $D_{i+} = V_{B_+}(t_i^{-1})$  on  $B_+$  are irreducible.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2.6, the divisors  $D_i$  are connected, so it suffices to show that they are locally irreducible. We can assume that  $X$  is affine. It suffices to show that

$$t_i^{-1} = \mathbf{t}^{E_i} \in \mathcal{O}(B) = \mathcal{A}_{Y/X}(X)$$

is a prime element. The latter can be verified for the homogenous elements. Let  $\nu_i$  be the valuation on  $X$  associated with  $E_i \subset Y$ .

Let

$$t_i^{-1} = t^{E_i} | (t^{E'} \cdot f)(\mathbf{t}^{E'} \cdot g) = \mathbf{t}^{E+E'} fg$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(E)$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(E')$ , and suppose  $t_i^{-1}$  does not divide both  $(\mathbf{t}^E \cdot f)$ , and  $(\mathbf{t}^{E'} \cdot g)$ . The first assumption implies that  $\mathbf{t}^{E+E'-E_i} fg \in \mathcal{O}(B)$ . So  $fg \in \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E + E' - E_i))$ .

Write the presentations  $E = \sum n_j E_j$  and  $E' = \sum n'_j E_j$ . Then, by the assumption  $\nu_i(f) = n_i$  and  $\nu_i(g) = n'_i$ . Thus, by Proposition 1.2.2, and the assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\nu_i(fg) > n_i + n'_i = \nu_i(f) + \nu_i(g),$$

which is a contradiction since  $\nu_i$  is a valuation. The same reasoning works for  $D_{i+}$ .  $\clubsuit$

1.2.12. *Morphisms of Cox spaces.* The following result is analogous to [ADHL15][Construction 1.6.3.1] for the Cox spaces of varieties.

**Proposition 1.2.13.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper birational morphism of normal schemes, and  $T_B := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\text{Cl}(Y/X)])$ . Let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor with the components  $E_i$ . Denote by  $U_\pi := Y \setminus E \subset Y$  the open subset of  $Y$ , which can be identified with the open subset of  $X$ , where  $Y \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$ , and  $\pi_{B_+,Y} : B_+ \rightarrow Y$  be the natural projections.*

There is a natural  $T_B$ -equivariant birational morphism

$$i_B : B_+ = \text{Cox}(Y/X)_+ \rightarrow B = \text{Cox}(Y/X).$$

over  $X$ , which is an isomorphism over  $U_\pi$ , with

$$\pi_B^{-1}(U_\pi) = \pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(U_\pi) = U_\pi \times T_B,$$

and such that  $\pi_B^{-1}(D_i) = D_{i+}$ .

Moreover, the morphism  $i_B$  induces the morphism of the good quotients:

$$\pi : B_+ // T_B = Y \rightarrow B // T_B = X.$$

*Proof.* For any open affine  $U \subset X$ , we have the natural identifications

$$\Gamma(U, \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})) = \Gamma(\pi_B^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_B)$$

and

$$\Gamma(U, \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})) = \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) = \Gamma(\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U)), \mathcal{O}_{B_+})$$

Combining both equalities gives us:

$$\Gamma(\pi_B^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_B) = \Gamma(\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U)), \mathcal{O}_{B_+}).$$

Since  $\pi_B^{-1}(U) \subset \text{Cox}(Y/X)$  is affine we obtain a natural morphism

$$\phi_U : \pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \pi_B^{-1}(U)$$

over  $U$  induced by the isomorphisms on global sections. The constructed morphisms are functorial for open embeddings  $U \subset V$  of affine subsets on  $X$  and glue to a global morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow B$ .

The morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow B$  is birational as it is an isomorphism over  $U_\pi \subset X$ . Moreover  $i_B$  is an isomorphism over  $U_\pi$ :

$$\pi_B^{-1}(U_\pi) = \pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(U_\pi) = \text{Spec}_{U_\pi} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_{U_\pi} \mathfrak{t}^E \right) = U_\pi \times T_B.$$

By the construction,

$$\pi_B^{-1}(D_i) = \pi_B^{-1}(V_B(t_i^{-1})) = V_{B_+}(t_i^{-1}) = D_{i+}.$$

Locally for any open affine  $V \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$  the induced homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_B(\pi_B^{-1}(U)) = \Gamma(U, \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})) = \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) = \mathcal{O}_{B_+}(\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(V))$$

determine the homomorphisms

$$(\mathcal{O}_B(\pi_B^{-1}(U)))^T = \Gamma(U, \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})^T) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}^T) = \mathcal{O}_{B_+}((\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(V)))^T,$$

and define the global morphism  $B_+ // T_B = Y \rightarrow B // T_B = X$ .

♣

#### 1.2.14. Cobordization.

**Definition 1.2.15.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism. Then the morphism  $\pi_B : B = \text{Cox}(Y/X) \rightarrow X$ , (respectively  $\pi_{B_+, Y} : B_+ = \text{Cox}(Y/X)_+ \rightarrow X$ ) will be called the *full cobordization* of  $\pi$  (respectively the *cobordization* of  $\pi$ ).

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal on  $X$ , then by the *full cobordant blow-up*  $\sigma : B \rightarrow X$  at  $\mathcal{I}$  (respectively cobordant blow-up  $\sigma_+ : B_+ \rightarrow X$  at  $\mathcal{I}$  we mean the full cobordization (respectively cobordization) of the normalized blow-up  $bl_{\mathcal{I}}(X) \rightarrow X$ .

1.2.16. *The Cox trivial space.*

**Lemma 1.2.17.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal schemes, and  $E = \bigcup E_i$  be its exceptional divisor. Let  $U_\pi = Y \setminus E \subset X$  be the maximal open subset of  $X$  and of  $Y$  where  $\pi$  is an isomorphism exactly. Then the Cox trivial space is  $B_- = X \times T_B$ . Moreover we have*

$$i_B^{-1}(B_-) = B_+ \times_B B_- = U_\pi \times T_B.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_- &:= B \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i = B \setminus V(t_1^{-1} \cdots t_k^{-1}) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}]) = X \times T_B \\ B_+ \times_B B_- &:= B_+ \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i = B_+ \setminus V_{B_+}(t_1^{-1} \cdots t_k^{-1}) = \\ &= \text{Spec}\left(\bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \mathbf{t}^E\right)[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}] = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{U_\pi}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}]) \end{aligned}$$

♣

### 1.3. Open immersion of Cox spaces.

#### 1.3.1. Generating forms.

**Lemma 1.3.2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine scheme and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal integral schemes. Assume that  $Y_F$  is affine, for a certain form  $F = f\mathbf{t}^{-E}$  on  $Y$ , with  $f \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)) = H^0(X, \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-E)))$ . Then  $(B_+)_F = B_F$  is affine and  $\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(Y_F) = (B_+)_F$ . Moreover*

$$C_{Y/X}(Y_F) = (C_{Y/X}(Y))_F = (H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B))_F.$$

*Proof.* If  $y \in Y_F$  then  $F = f\mathbf{t}^{-E}$  is invertible in the stalk  $(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})_y$ . Indeed  $\text{div}(f\mathbf{t}^{-E}) = 0$  at  $y$  so  $E = \text{div}(f)$  is principal at  $y$ , and thus  $(f\mathbf{t}^{-E})^{-1} = f^{-1}\mathbf{t}^E$  is the inverse of  $f\mathbf{t}^{-E}$ . This shows that the form  $F$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F)$ , and the function  $F$  is invertible on the scheme  $\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(Y_F) \subset B_+$ . Thus we have an open immersion  $\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(Y_F) \hookrightarrow B_{+F}$ . Since  $F$  is invertible on  $\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(Y_F)$  the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F)$  factors through the localization  $(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y))_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F)$ .

On the other hand if

$$G = g\mathbf{t}^{-E'} \in C_{Y/X}(Y_F)$$

is a form on  $Y_F$  then, by definition,

$$\text{div}_Y(G \cdot F^n) = \text{div}_Y(G) + n \cdot \text{div}_Y(F) \geq 0$$

on  $Y$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Hence  $G \cdot F^n \in C_{Y/X}$ . This shows that  $(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y))_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F)$  is surjective. But this morphism is defined by the restrictions of forms, so functions on open subsets of  $B_+$ , and thus it is also injective. Hence it is an isomorphism.

This defines an isomorphism of the global sections

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y))_F &= H^0(B_+, \mathcal{O}_{B_+})_F = H^0((B_+)_F, \mathcal{O}_{B_+}) \\ &\rightarrow H^0(\pi_{B_+, Y}^{-1}(Y_F), \mathcal{O}_{B_+}) = \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F) \end{aligned}$$

If  $Y_F$  is affine then we obtain then  $\pi_{B_+,Y}^{-1}(Y_F)$  is also affine, and the open immersion  $\pi_{B_+,Y}^{-1}(Y_F) \hookrightarrow (B_+)_F$  has the left inverse  $(B_+)_F \rightarrow \pi_{B_+,Y}^{-1}(Y_F)$  determined by the global sections. Since the schemes are separated, it is an isomorphism.

Finally we observe that  $H^0(X, \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E))) = H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(E))$ . Hence

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) = H^0(X, \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})) = H^0(X, \mathcal{A}_{Y/X}) = H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B),$$

and

$$H^0(B_F, \mathcal{O}_B) = \mathcal{A}_{Y/X}(X)_F = \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y)_F = \mathcal{C}_{Y/X}(Y_F).$$

♣

**1.3.3. Irrelevant ideal and open immersion of Cox spaces.** The notion of *irrelevant ideals* was used in [ADHL15] in the context of Cox rings. Here we consider the analogous definition and results for morphisms.

**Proposition 1.3.4.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal schemes. Assume that  $X$  can be covered by open subsets  $X_i$  such  $Y_i := \pi^{-1}(X_i)$  admits an open affine cover  $(Y_i)_{F_j}$ , where  $F_{ij} = f_{ij}\mathbf{t}^{-E_{ij}}$  is a form on  $Y_i$  for  $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(-E_{ij})$ . Then there is a natural open  $T_B$ -equivariant embedding*

$$B_+ = \text{Cox}(Y/X)_+ \hookrightarrow B = \text{Cox}(Y/X),$$

*It induces the morphism of the good quotients:*

$$B_+ // T_B = Y \rightarrow B // T_B = X.$$

*Moreover  $B \setminus B_+$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X$ , so we can replace  $X$  with  $X_i$ , and drop the subscripts  $i$ . By Lemma 1.3.2, the open affine cover  $Y_{F_j}$  of  $Y$  where  $F_j \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}$  defines the open affine cover  $B_{+F_i} = \text{Spec}_Y((\mathcal{C}_{Y/X})_{F_i}) = \pi_{B_+,Y}^{-1}(Y_i)$  of  $B_+$  mapping it isomorphically onto open subsets  $B_{F_i} = \text{Spec}_X((\mathcal{A}_{Y/X})_{F_i}) \subset X$ . This induces the open immersion

$$B_+ \hookrightarrow B.$$

For “moreover part” let  $U_\pi = Y \setminus E \subset Y$  be the maximal open subset, where  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism. Then  $U_\pi$  can be identified with an open subset of  $X$ , and the complement  $X \setminus U_\pi$  of the open set is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , and

$$B_+ \setminus D = U_\pi \times T_B \subset B_- = B \setminus D = X \times T_B.$$

if of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $B_- = B \setminus D$ .

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.2.11, the divisors  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$  are irreducible on  $B$ .

Consequently the difference  $D_i \setminus B_+ = D_i \setminus D_{i+}$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Thus

$$B \setminus B_+ = (B_- \setminus B_+) \cup (D \setminus D_+)$$

is of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $B$ .

♣

The notion of *irrelevant ideal* on Cox coordinate spaces was originally introduced in [ADHL15] (Definition 1.6.3.2 and Proposition 1.6.3.3(iii))

**Definition 1.3.5.** By the *irrelevant ideal*  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{Y/X}$  we mean the ideal radically generated by the forms  $F$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{Y/X}$ , such that  $Y_F$  is open affine over  $X$ .

**Corollary 1.3.6.** *Under the conditions from Proposition 1.3.4,  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}$  is the radical coherent ideal determined by the reduced closed subscheme  $B \setminus B_+$ . Thus we can write  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}})$ .*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X$ , and we can assume that  $X$  is affine. It follows from the construction that  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I})$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is generated by all  $F \in \mathcal{A}_{Y/X}$ , such that  $Y_F$  is affine. Thus  $\text{rad}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}$ . ♣

1.3.7. *Cox construction for regular schemes  $X$ .* Recall a well-known fact:

**Lemma 1.3.8.** *Let  $Y$  be a normal scheme. Then the complement of any open affine subset  $V \subset Y$  is the support of a Weil divisor.*

*Thus there is a finite open cover of  $Y$  by open affine subsets  $V_i = Y \setminus D_i$ , where  $D_i$  are Weil divisors on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* By definition,  $V$  is the set of points of  $Y$  where all the functions  $f \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_Y) \subset \kappa(Y)$  are regular. Since  $Y$  is normal, this means that the supports of the divisors  $\text{div}_-(f)$  of the negative components of  $\text{div}(f)$  cover  $Y \setminus V$ . Consequently,  $Y \setminus V$  is the union of the Weil divisors contained in it. Thus this union is finite, and  $Y \setminus V$  is the support of the Weil divisor.

This defines an open cover  $V_i = Y \setminus D_i$  which can be assumed to be finite. ♣

**Lemma 1.3.9.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal schemes.*

*Let  $p \in X$  be a regular point on  $X$ . There is an open affine neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  in  $X$ , and an open cover of  $Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$  by open affine subsets  $Y_F = Y_U \setminus V_Y(F)$ , where  $F$  is a form over  $U \subset X$  and on  $Y_U \subset Y$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $X$  is affine. By the previous lemma, we can find an open affine cover

$$V_j := Y \setminus (D_j \cup \overline{E}_j)$$

of  $Y$  defined by the divisors  $D_j \cup \overline{E}_j$ , where  $\overline{E}_j$  are some possibly reducible exceptional divisors. Taking the images of  $D_j$  in  $X$ , we obtain a finite collection of divisors  $D'_j = \pi(D_j)$  on  $X$ . Consider an open affine neighborhood

$$U := X_g = X \setminus V(g)$$

of  $p \in X$ , for  $g \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , such that all the divisors  $D'_j$  are principal on  $U$ . Thus we can write  $D'_j = \text{div}_U(f_j)$ , where  $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

The pullbacks of the principal divisor  $D'_j = \text{div}_U(f_j)$  on  $U$  are of the form  $\pi^*(D'_j) = D_j + E^j$  on  $Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ , where  $E^j = \sum n_{ij} E_i$  is an exceptional divisor, with  $n_{ij} \geq 0$ . They define the forms

$$F_j := f_j \mathbf{t}^{-E^j + \overline{E}_j}$$

on  $Y_U$  such that

$$\text{div}_Y(F_j) = \text{div}_Y(f_j) - E^j + \overline{E}_j = D_j + \overline{E}_j.$$

and thus  $V_Y(F_j) = D_j \cup \overline{E}_j$  on  $Y_U$ . Then

$$Y_U \setminus V(F_j) = Y_U \setminus (D_j \cup \overline{E}_j) = (V_j)_g = V_j \setminus V_Y(g)$$

is an open affine cover of  $Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U) = \pi^{-1}(X_g)$ . ♣

**Remark 1.3.10.** The lemma is valid under the assumption that  $p \in X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial point, so any Weil divisor at  $p$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.

As a corollary from the above, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 1.3.11.** *Assume that  $X$  is regular, and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a proper birational morphism of normal schemes. There is a natural open  $T_B$ -equivariant embedding*

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}) \hookrightarrow B$$

*It induces the morphism of the good quotients:*

$$B_+ // T_B = Y \rightarrow B // T_B = X.$$

#### 1.4. Cobordant blow-ups of ideals.

1.4.1. *The strict and the weak transform under cobordant morphism.*

**Definition 1.4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be any ideal on a normal scheme  $X$ . Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism from a normal scheme  $Y$ , and  $\sigma = \pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be the full cobordization of  $\pi$ . Then by the *strict transform* of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  we mean the ideal

$$\sigma^s(\mathcal{I}) := (f \in \mathcal{O}_B \mid \mathfrak{t}^{-\alpha} f \in \mathcal{O}_B \cdot \mathcal{I}, \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k) \subset \mathcal{O}_B.$$

The *weak transform* of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is given by

$$\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I}) := \mathfrak{t}^{\alpha_0} \mathcal{I},$$

where

$$\alpha_0 := \max\{\alpha \mid \mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{-\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X\},$$

is defined for the partial componentwise order on the set of components.

1.4.3. *Cobordant blow-ups.*

**Lemma 1.4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be an ideal on a normal scheme  $X$ , such that  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{J})) \geq 2$ . Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $E = \sum a_i E_i$  be the exceptional divisor of  $\pi$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{J}$ . Set  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . Denote by  $\sigma : B \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding full cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Then*

- (1)  $\sigma^{-1}(X \setminus V(\mathcal{J})) = (X \setminus V(\mathcal{J})) \times T_B$  is trivial.
- (2)  $B_+ = B \setminus V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})) = B \setminus V_B(\mathfrak{t}^\alpha \mathcal{J})$ , where

$$\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{O}_B \cdot \mathfrak{t}^{-E} \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_B \cdot \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \mathcal{J}$$

*is the weak transform of  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

- (3)  $\mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{B_+} = \mathfrak{t}^{-\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{B_+}$  is a locally principal monomial ideal on  $B_+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset X$  be an open affine subset. The ideal of sections  $\mathcal{J}(U)$  is generated by some  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{J}(U) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(U) = \mathcal{O}_Y(\pi^{-1}(U))$ . The pullbacks of the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathcal{J}(U)$  generate the ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_E = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{J}$$

on  $Y_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$ . Moreover on each  $Y_U \setminus V_Y(F_i)$ , where  $F_i := f_i \mathfrak{t}^{-E}$  we have exactly  $\text{div}_{Y_U}(f_i) = E|_{Y_U}$ .

On the other hand consider the open cover of

$$Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U) = \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}^i(U) t^i,$$

where  $t$  is a dummy unknown by the open subsets

$$(Y_U)_{f_{it}} = \pi^{-1}(U)_{f_{it}} = (\text{Proj} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}^i(U)t^i)_{f_{it}} = (\text{Spec}(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}^i(U)))_{f_{it}0},$$

where  $f_{it} \in \mathcal{J}^1(U)t$ . Since  $f_{jt}$  is invertible on  $(Y_U)_{f_{jt}}$  and  $f_{it}/f_{jt} = f_i/f_j$  are regular we see that  $\mathcal{O}_{(Y_U)_{f_{jt}}} \cdot \mathcal{J}$  is generated by  $f_j$ . So  $E = \text{div}_Y(f_j)$  on  $(Y_U)_{f_{jt}}$ , and consequently the form  $F_j = f_j \mathbf{t}^{-E}$  is invertible on  $(Y_U)_{f_{jt}}$ .

Computing  $\text{div}(f_{it})$  on the cover  $(Y_U)_{f_{jt}}$  of  $Y_U$  gives us

$$\text{div}(f_{it}) = \text{div}(f_{it}/f_{jt}) = \text{div}(f_i/f_j) = \text{div}(f_i) - E = \text{div}(F_i) = \text{div}(f_i \mathbf{t}^{-E}).$$

Consequently we conclude that  $(Y_U)_{f_{it}} = (Y_U)_{F_i}$  is affine and cover  $Y_U$ . Thus, by Proposition 1.3.4, there is an open immersion  $B_+ \subset B$ , where  $B_+$  is covered by  $B_{+F_i}$ . Moreover, by the above, the ideal  $\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha$  on  $B_{+F_i}$  is generated by  $f_i \mathbf{t}^{-E}$ , and thus equal to

$$\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha|_{B_{+F_i}} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y_{F_i}}(-E) \mathbf{t}^{-E} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \cdot f_i \mathbf{t}^{-E} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \cdot F_i.$$

But  $F_i = f_i \mathbf{t}^{-E}$  is invertible on  $B_{+F_i}$  of degree  $-E$ , whence

$$\mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \cdot \mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} = \mathcal{O}_{B_{+F_i}} \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha},$$

which implies that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha}.$$

On the other hand  $\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha \subset \mathcal{O}_B$ , since any element  $f \mathbf{t}^\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(U) \mathbf{t}^\alpha$  is in  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(U)}(-E) \mathbf{t}^\alpha$  which is the  $-E$  gradation of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  over  $U$ . This also shows that  $\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha = \sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})$ , as by equality (4) for  $B_+$ , the form  $\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} = t^E$  is the maximal factor which divides  $\mathcal{O}_B \cdot \mathcal{J}$ .

Finally, by the above

$$V(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})) = V(f_1 \mathbf{t}^{-E}, \dots, f_k \mathbf{t}^{-E}) = V(F_1, \dots, F_k) = B \setminus B_+ = V(\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}).$$

♣

**Remark 1.4.5.** It follows from the above that the inverse image  $\mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J}$  of ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  under the cobordant blow-up is the ideal of the exceptional divisor  $\mathbf{t}^E$ , analogously to the standard blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ . However, this is no longer true for the full cobordant blow-up  $\mathcal{J}$ .

### 1.5. Cobordant flips.

**Lemma 1.5.1.** *Let  $\phi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Z$ , and  $\phi_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Z$  be proper birational morphisms from normal schemes  $X_1, X_2$  to  $Z$ . Assume that the induced proper birational map  $X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$  over  $Z$  is an isomorphism in codimension one. Then*

$$B := B(X_1/Z) = B(X_2/Z),$$

*is equipped with the action of torus  $T_B = \text{Cl}(X_1/Z) = \text{Cl}(X_2/Z)$ , and there is a natural birational map  $B(X_1/Z)_+ \dashrightarrow B(X_2/Z)_+$  over  $B$ . Moreover if  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  satisfy the condition of Proposition 1.3.4, then  $B(X_1/Z)_+$  and  $B(X_2/Z)_+$  are open subschemes of  $B$  which coincide in codimension 1.*

**1.6. Functoriality of Cox spaces for open immersions.** The construction of the full cobordization is functorial for open immersions up to torus factors:

**Lemma 1.6.1.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism of normal integral schemes. Let  $U \subset Y$  be an open subset, and  $Y_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be the irreducible exceptional divisors of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ . Let  $\pi_B : B = \text{Cox}(Y/X) \rightarrow X$  be the full cobordization of a proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , and  $\pi_{B+} : B_+ \rightarrow X$  is its cobordization. Let*

$$T_{B \setminus B_U} := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[t_i, t_i^{-1} \mid E_i \subset Y \setminus Y_U]),$$

Then

$$B_U := \pi_B^{-1}(U) = B(Y_U/U) \times T_{B \setminus B_U}, \quad B_{U+} := \pi_{B+}^{-1}(U) = B(Y_U/U)_+ \times T_{B \setminus B_U}.$$

*Proof.* For any open subset  $U \subset X$ , and  $Y_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ , we can construct a subgroup  $\text{Cl}(Y_U/U) \subseteq \text{Cl}(Y/X)$ , with the canonical splitting  $\text{Cl}(Y/X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)$ . Write  $\text{Cl}(Y/X) = \text{Cl}(Y_U/U) \oplus \text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)$ , where  $\text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)$  is generated by  $E_i \subset Y \setminus Y_U$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_B^{-1}(U) &= \text{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)|_U) \mathbf{t}^E = \right. \\ &\text{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)|_U) \mathbf{t}^E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)|_U) \mathbf{t}^E \right) \right. \\ &\left. \text{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(E)|_U) \mathbf{t}^E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_U \mathbf{t}^E \right) = B(Y_U/U) \times T_{B \setminus B_U} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{B+}^{-1}(U) &= \text{Spec}_{Y_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y/X)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E) \mathbf{t}^E = \right. \\ &\text{Spec}_{Y_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E) \mathbf{t}^E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E) \mathbf{t}^E \right) \right. \\ &\left. \text{Spec}_{Y_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E) \mathbf{t}^E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}^0(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \mathbf{t}^E \right) \right. \\ &= B(Y_U/U)_+ \times_{Y_U} (Y_U \times T_{B \setminus B_U}) = B(Y_U/U)_+ \times T_{B \setminus B_U} \end{aligned}$$

♣

## 2. RELATIVE COX CONSTRUCTION FOR TORIC MORPHISMS

**2.1. Toric varieties.** Recall some basic properties of toric varieties over a field. (See [KKMSD73], [Oda88], [Dan78], [Ful98]).

**2.1.1. Fans.** Let  $\kappa$  be a field, and let

$$T = \text{Spec}(\kappa[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_k, x_k^{-1}]) = \text{Spec}(\kappa[\mathbb{M}])$$

be the torus, where  $\mathbb{M} = \text{Hom}(T, G_m) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$ . The elements of  $\mathbb{M}$  can be described by the Laurent monomials  $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{M}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{N} := \text{Hom}(G_m, T)$  the group of algebraic homomorphisms  $t \rightarrow \mathbf{t}^\beta = (t^{b_1}, \dots, t^{b_k})$ .

This determines a nondegenerate pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by the composition:

$$\text{Hom}(G_m, T) \times \text{Hom}(T, G_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_m, G_m) \quad , x^\alpha \circ \mathbf{t}^\beta = \mathbf{t}^{(\beta, \alpha)}.$$



Thus  $N = M^* \simeq \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is dual to  $M$ .

By a *fan*  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , we mean a collection of strictly convex cones, which is closed under the face relation, and such that two cones intersect along the common face. If  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma$ , written as  $\tau \leq \sigma$  then  $X_\tau \subset X_\sigma$  is an open immersion.

2.1.2. *Toric varieties from fans.* With any rational strictly convex cone  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  we associate its dual

$$\sigma^\vee := \{y \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \sigma\}.$$

The cone  $\sigma^\vee$  determines the monoid  $P_\sigma := \sigma^\vee \cap M$ , and the relevant affine toric variety  $X_\sigma = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_\sigma])$ .

We say that a cone  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is *regular* or *nonsingular* if it is generated by a part of a basis of the lattice  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , written

$$\sigma = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle := \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}e_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}e_k.$$

Similarly a cone  $\sigma = \langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is *simplicial* if it is generated by a linearly independent set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

With a fan  $\Sigma$  we associate the *toric variety*  $X_\Sigma$  obtained by glueing  $X_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , along  $X_\tau$ , where  $\tau \leq \sigma$ . The torus  $T = \text{Spec}(\kappa(M))$  acts on toric variety  $X_\Sigma$  with an open dense orbit  $T = \text{Spec}(\kappa(M))$  corresponding to  $\{0\} \in \Sigma$ .

The fan  $\Sigma$  will be called *regular* (respectively *simplicial*) if all its cones are regular (respectively simplicial).

The regular (resp. simplicial) fans  $\Sigma$  are in the bijective correspondence with the smooth (resp.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial) toric varieties  $X_\Sigma$ .

For any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  by  $\Sigma(r)$  denote the set of cones  $\sigma$  of dimension  $r$  in  $\Sigma$ . The cones in  $\Sigma(r)$  correspond to the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$  and thus to the irreducible  $T$ -stable closed subvarieties  $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ . In particular, the irreducible  $T$ -stable divisors correspond to the one-dimensional faces in  $\Sigma(1)$ .

2.1.3. *Toric valuations.* Any integral vector  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  determines a monomial valuation  $\text{val}(v)$ , which can be defined for  $f = \sum c_m \cdot m \in \kappa[\mathbb{M}]$ , as

$$\text{val}(v)(f) = \text{val}(v)\left(\sum c_m \cdot m\right) = \min_{c_m \neq 0} (v, m).$$

The center  $Z_{\text{val}(v)}$  of the valuation  $\text{val}(v)$  is the union of orbits  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ , which correspond to the cones  $\tau$  in

$$\text{Star}(\tau, \Sigma) = \{\sigma \mid \tau \leq \sigma\}.$$

The associated ideals on  $X_\Sigma$  are given locally on  $X_\sigma$  as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{val}(v), a, X_\sigma} = (m \in P_\sigma \mid (v, m) \geq a).$$

By a *vertex* of  $\Sigma$ , we mean the *primitive vector*, so the integral vector with relatively coprime coordinates, which lies in a one-dimensional face of  $\Sigma$ . The set of vertices of  $\Sigma$  will be denoted by  $\text{Vert}(\Sigma)$ . Each vector  $v \in \text{Vert}(\Sigma)$  defines the one-dimensional face  $\langle v \rangle$ , and the valuation  $\text{val}(v)$ , which is precisely the valuation of the associated  $T$ -stable irreducible divisor  $D$ .

2.1.4. *Decomposition of fans.* By the *support of a fan*  $\Sigma$  we mean the union of its cones  $|\Sigma| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma$ .

The *decomposition* of the fan  $\Sigma$  is a fan  $\Sigma'$  such that any cone  $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$  is contained in  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , and  $|\Sigma'| = |\Sigma|$ .

For any subset  $\Sigma_0$  of the fan  $\Sigma$ , denote by  $\overline{\Sigma_0}$  the set of all faces of the cones in  $\Sigma_0$ . The typical examples of the decompositions are given by the *star subdivisions*.

**Definition 2.1.5.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a fan and  $v$  be a primitive vector in the relative interior of  $\tau \in \Sigma$ . Then the *star subdivision*  $v \cdot \Sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  at  $v$  is defined to be

$$v \cdot \Sigma = (\Sigma \setminus \text{Star}(\tau, \Sigma)) \cup \{\langle v \rangle + \sigma \mid \sigma \in \overline{\text{Star}(\tau, \Sigma)} \setminus \text{Star}(\tau, \Sigma)\}.$$

The vector  $v$  will be called the *center* of the star subdivision.

**Lemma 2.1.6.** *The decompositions  $\Delta$  of a fan  $\Sigma$  are in bijective correspondence with the proper birational  $T$ -equivariant morphisms  $X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ .*

*The star subdivision  $v \cdot \Sigma$  corresponds to the blow-up of the valuation, which is the normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{val}(v), a, X_\Sigma}$  for a sufficiently divisible  $a$ .*

2.1.7. *Maps of fans.* By a *map of fans*  $(\Sigma', \mathbb{N}') \rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathbb{N})$  we mean a linear map  $\phi : \mathbb{N}' \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  of vector spaces, such that

- (1)  $\phi(\mathbb{N}') \subset \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) For any  $\sigma' \in \Sigma'$  there is  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\phi(\sigma') \subset \sigma$ .

The map of fans corresponds to a  $T_{\mathbb{N}'}$ -equivariant morphism of toric varieties  $(X_{\Sigma'}, T_{\mathbb{N}'}) \rightarrow (X_\Sigma, T_{\mathbb{N}})$ , where the action of  $T_{\mathbb{N}'} = \text{Spec } \kappa[\mathbb{M}']$  on  $X_\Sigma$  is defined by the homomorphism of tori

$$T_{\mathbb{N}'} = \text{Spec } \kappa[\mathbb{M}'] \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{N}} = \text{Spec } \kappa[\mathbb{M}],$$

induced by  $\mathbb{N}' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . The decomposition  $\Sigma'$  of a fan  $\Sigma$  corresponds to the proper birational morphism.

2.1.8. *Good quotients.* Let  $\phi : (\sigma', \mathbb{N}') \rightarrow (\sigma, \mathbb{N})$  be a surjective map of cones, such that  $\phi(\sigma') = \sigma$ , and  $\phi(\mathbb{N}') = \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}'' := \ker(\mathbb{N}' \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ . Then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}'' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}' \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow 0,$$

has its dual

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}' \rightarrow \mathbb{M}'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $\mathbb{M}$  can be identified with the sublattice of  $\mathbb{M}''$  defined as

$$\mathbb{M} = \{m \in \mathbb{M}'' \mid (n, m) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}''\}$$

Consequently,  $\kappa[\mathbb{M}] = \kappa[\mathbb{M}'']^{T_{\mathbb{N}''}}$ . Moreover the dual map determine the inclusion  $\sigma^\vee \hookrightarrow (\sigma')^\vee$  for which  $(\sigma')^\vee \cap \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \sigma^\vee$ , and

$$(P_{\sigma'})^{T_{\mathbb{N}''}} = P_{\sigma'} \cap \mathbb{M} = P_\sigma.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma'})^{T_{\mathbb{N}''}} = \kappa[P_{\sigma'}]^{T_{\mathbb{N}''}} = \kappa[P_\sigma] = \mathcal{O}(X_\sigma).$$

Thus

$$X_{\sigma'} \rightarrow X_\sigma \simeq X_{\sigma'} // T_{\mathbb{N}''}$$

is a *good quotient*.

If additionally  $\phi : \sigma' \rightarrow \sigma$  is injective, so it is an isomorphism of cones, then the inverse image of any orbit is a single orbit, and thus the corresponding morphism  $X_{\sigma'} \rightarrow X_\sigma \simeq X_{\sigma'} / T_{\mathbb{N}''}$  is a *geometric quotient*.

If the map of fans  $\phi : (\Sigma', \mathbb{N}') \rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathbb{N})$  is surjective, i.e.  $\phi(|\Sigma'|) = |\Sigma|$  and  $\phi(\mathbb{N}') = \mathbb{N}$ , and for any cone  $\delta \in \Sigma$ , the inverse image  $\phi^{-1}(\delta) \cap |\Sigma'|$  is a unique cone  $\delta' \in \Sigma'$ , then the corresponding morphism  $X_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$  is affine. Consequently, by the previous argument, it is a good quotient with respect to  $T_{\mathbb{N}''} = \ker(T_{\mathbb{N}'} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{N}})$ , where  $\mathbb{N}'' = \ker(\mathbb{N}' \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ .

If additionally, the map  $|\Sigma'| \rightarrow |\Sigma|$  is bijective then  $X_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$  is a geometric quotient.

**2.2. Cox construction for toric varieties.** We recall here the standard Cox construction for toric varieties from the convex geometry point of view. This presentation relies greatly on [Cox95], [ADHL15], and will be then adapted to the relative situation.

**2.2.1. Cox construction.** Given a toric variety  $X$  with associated fan  $\Sigma$  in the space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}^n$  containing the standard lattice  $\mathbb{N} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ . We shall assume that the fan  $\Sigma$  is *nondegenerate* that is the set  $\text{Vert}(\Sigma)$  generate the vector space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let  $\text{Vert}(\Sigma) = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  denote the set of vertices of  $\Sigma$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{Q}^k$ , and let

$$\sigma_B := \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

The cone  $\sigma_B$  defines a regular fan  $\Sigma_B$  in  $\mathbb{N}_B^{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^k$ , consisting of all the faces of  $\sigma_B$ . It corresponds to the affine space

$$X_{\sigma_B} = \text{Spec}(\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_k]) = \mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^k$$

Consider the linear map  $\pi_B : \mathbb{N}_B^{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^n$  defined on the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ , such that  $\pi_B(e_i) = v_i$ . We construct the subfan  $\Sigma_{B,+}$  of  $\sigma_B$  to be the set of all the faces  $\sigma$  of  $\sigma_B$  such that  $\pi_B(\sigma)$  is contained in a face of  $\Sigma$  ([ADHL15]). This determines a morphism  $\pi_B : \Sigma_{B,+} \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Note that it follows from the definition that for any face  $\delta = \langle v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} \rangle$  of  $\Sigma$ , there is a unique face

$$\delta_0 = \pi_B^{-1}(\delta) = \langle e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k} \rangle \in \text{Cox}(\Sigma).$$

**2.2.2. Cox coordinate ring.** Let  $\text{Div}(X)$  be the group of Weil divisors on  $X = X_{\Sigma}$ , and  $\text{Div}(X)_+$  be the monoid of the effective Weil divisors and zero on  $X$ . Let  $\text{Vert}(\Sigma) = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  denote the set of vertices of  $\Sigma$ . The corresponding Weil divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_k \in \text{Div}(X)$  freely generate  $\text{Div}(X)$ .

**Definition 2.2.3.** [Cox95] The *Cox coordinate ring* is defined to be

$$\mathcal{C}(X) := \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_k] = \kappa[\text{Div}(X)_+] = \bigoplus_{D \in \text{Div}(X)_+} \kappa x^D,$$

with the natural identification  $x_i = x^{D_i}$ , and  $x^D = x^{\alpha}$  and the induced multiplication  $x^{D_1} \cdot x^{D_2} = x^{D_1 + D_2}$ .

Denote by  $\text{Prin}(X)$  the subgroup of  $\text{Div}(X)$  of the principal divisors on  $X$ , which is generated by  $\text{div}(m)$ , where  $m \in M$ , giving an isomorphism

$$M \simeq \text{Prin}(X), \quad m \mapsto \sum (v_i, m) D_i.$$

We use here the assumption that  $\Sigma$  is nondegenerate.

Let  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\text{Prin}(X)$  be the Weil divisor class group. Although the Cox coordinate ring, as defined, comes with the natural  $\text{Div}(X)$ -gradation, one can also consider its  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X)/\text{Prin}(X)$ -gradation. Then for any class  $[E] \in \text{Cl}(X)$  of the divisor  $E \in \text{Div}(X)$  the space of effective Weil divisors in  $[E]$  on  $X$  is  $T$ -stable and thus generated by all  $T$ -invariant effective divisors

$$E + \text{div}(m) \geq 0.$$

Thus one can describe the  $[E]$ - gradation to be

$$\mathcal{C}(X)_{[E]} = \bigoplus_{D \in [E]} \kappa \cdot x^D = \bigoplus_{m \in M, \text{div}(m) + E \geq 0} \kappa x^E \cdot x^{\text{div}(m)} \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \cdot x^E,$$

Thus choosing any set  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  of  $\text{Div}(X)$  which determines a basis of the lattice  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , one identifies  $\text{Cl}(X)$ , with the subgroup of  $\text{Div}(X)$ . Under this noncanonical identification we can write as in [Cox95] and [ADHL15]:

$$\mathcal{C}(X) = \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \cdot x^E$$

On the other hand the canonical  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -gradation on  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  determines the natural action of the torus

$$T_X := \text{Spec}(\kappa[\text{Cl}(X)]) \simeq \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_r, t_r^{-1}]),$$

where  $\text{Cl}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$ .

**2.2.4. Cox coordinate space.** The Cox coordinate ring defines *the Cox coordinate space* (as in [Cox95] and [ADHL15]) to be

$$B = \text{Cox}(X) := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{C}(X)) = \text{Spec}\left(\bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \cdot x^E\right) \simeq \mathbb{A}^k,$$

It is the toric variety associated with the fan  $\Sigma_B$  of all the faces of  $\sigma_B$ .

**2.2.5. Good and geometric quotients.** Let

$$B_+ = \text{Cox}(X)_+ := X_{\Sigma_{B_+}} \subset B$$

be the open toric subscheme of  $B$  associated with  $\Sigma_{B_+}$ . The subscheme  $B_+$  is called *the Cox characteristic space*. The morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  corresponding to  $\Sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Sigma$  is toric and affine. It defines the homomorphism of the relevant tori

$$\phi : T_B := \text{Spec}(\kappa[\text{Div}(X)]) \rightarrow T := \text{Spec}(\kappa[M]),$$

corresponding to the inclusion  $M \hookrightarrow \text{Div}(X)$  and defining the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, the kernel of  $\phi$  can be identified canonically with  $T_X := \text{Spec}(\kappa[\text{Cl}(X)])$ . Since  $T_X$  acts trivially on  $T \subset X$ , the morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  is  $T_X$ -invariant and affine. Moreover for any  $\delta \in \Sigma$ , and  $\delta_0 = \pi^{-1}(\delta)$ , we have that  $\pi(\delta_0) = \delta$ , and  $X_{\delta_0} // T_X = X_\delta$ . Thus, the affine  $T_X$ -invariant morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  is a good quotient.

2.2.6. *Forms.* By the *form*  $F$  on  $X$  we mean a  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -homogenous function of gradation  $[E]$  in

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))x^E = H^0(B, \mathcal{O}_B)_{[E]} = \mathcal{C}(X)_{[E]} = (\mathcal{O}_B)_{[E]}.$$

Each such  $T_B$ -semiinvariant form can be described as

$$F = x^D = x^\alpha = x^m \cdot x^E,$$

where  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ ,  $D = E + \text{div}(x^m)$ , and  $x^m \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))$ , for  $E$  being a linear combination of  $E_i$ .

With any form  $F = f x^E \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E))x^E$  we can associate its divisor  $\text{div}_X(F) = E + \text{div}(f)$ , and its vanishing locus  $V(F) = \text{supp}(\text{div}(F))$ . This extends to a homomorphism

$$\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X), \quad D \rightarrow \text{div}(x^D),$$

which is identical on generators  $E_i$  of  $\text{Cl}(X) \subset \text{Div}(X)$ , and thus on their linear combinations. On the other hand, any class  $[D] \in \text{Cl}(X)$  can be written as the difference

$$[D] = [E'] \setminus [E'']$$

of effective linear combinations  $E'$  and  $E''$  of the generators  $E_i$ .

Then  $E' + \text{div}(x^m) = D + E''$  is effective, for a certain  $m \in M$ , and we have the equality for the form  $F := x^m x^{E'}$ :

$$\text{div}(F) = \text{div}(x^m x^{E'}) = \text{div}(x^D) + \text{div}(E''),$$

whence

$$D + E'' = E' + \text{div}(x^m) = \text{div}(x^D) + E''$$

and thus

$$\text{div}_X(x^D) = D,$$

for any  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ . Consequently  $V(x^D) = \text{supp}(D)$  for any form  $x^D$ , where  $D \in \text{Div}(X)_+$ .

In particular, the vanishing locus  $\text{div}(x_i) = \text{div}(x^{D_i}) = D_i$  corresponds to the vertex  $v_i \in \text{Vert}(\Sigma)$ .

2.2.7. *Cox characteristic space.* The subscheme  $B_+$  can be described using the  $T_B$ -semiinvariant forms on  $X$  as in [ADHL15]. By the construction,  $B_+$  can be covered by the open affine subsets  $B_\delta := \pi^{-1}(X_\delta)$ , where  $\delta \in \Sigma_{B_+}$ . For each  $\delta \in \Sigma_{B_+}$  consider the form  $\tilde{x}_\delta := \prod_{v_i \notin \delta} x_i$  on  $X$ . Its vanishing locus is equal to the complement

$$X \setminus X_\delta = \bigcup_{v_i \notin \delta} D_i.$$

So we can write  $X_\delta = X \setminus V_X(\tilde{x}_\delta)$ . Similarly  $B_\delta = B \setminus V_B(\tilde{x}_\delta) = B_{\tilde{x}_\delta}$ , where  $\tilde{x}_\delta$  is considered as a function on  $B$ .

Consequently

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}} := (\tilde{x}_\delta \mid \delta \in \Sigma) \subset \mathcal{O}(B) = \mathcal{C}(X)$$

is the *irrelevant ideal* (see [Cox95],[ADHL15]).

Moreover the morphism  $B_\delta \rightarrow X_\delta$ , can be described as

$$B_\delta = B_{\tilde{x}_\delta} = \text{Spec}(\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_k]_{\tilde{x}_\delta}) \rightarrow X_\delta = X_{\tilde{x}_\delta} = X \setminus V_X(\tilde{x}_\delta)$$

Note however then that the condition  $f \in H^0(X_{\check{x}_\delta}, \mathcal{O}_X(E))$  is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{div}(f) + E + \operatorname{div}(\check{x}_\delta^n) \geq 0$$

for  $n \gg 0$ . The latter condition can be written as

$$f x^E \cdot \check{x}_\delta^n \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E + n[\operatorname{div}(\check{x}_\delta)])) \cdot x^{E+n[\operatorname{div}(\check{x}_\delta)]}$$

Consequently

$$\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_k]_{\check{x}_\delta} = \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \operatorname{Cl}(X)} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \cdot x^E \right)_{\check{x}_\delta} = \bigoplus_{E \in \operatorname{Cl}(X)} H^0(X_\delta, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \cdot x^E$$

The latter leads to the formula for the Cox characteristic space to be

$$B_+ = \operatorname{Cox}(X) = \operatorname{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \operatorname{Cl}(X)} \mathcal{O}_X(E) \cdot x^E \right)$$

as in [ADHL15].

**2.3. Cox relative spaces over affine toric schemes.** In this section, we shall study the general relative Cox construction developed in Chapter 1 in the context of birational toric morphisms. To a great extent, it is analogous to the original Cox construction for toric varieties (as in [Cox95]) presented in the previous section. On the other hand, one can link it to the original construction of Satriano, who developed a similar notion in the context of the toric Artin stacks in [Sat13].

The following result shows the relation between the toric Cox construction for toric varieties and the general Cox construction for proper morphisms.

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a regular cone, and  $\Delta$  be its subdivision. Let  $\pi : X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\sigma$  be the induced proper birational morphism. Then the toric Cox coordinate space  $\operatorname{Cox}(X_\Delta)$  and the toric Cox characteristic space  $\operatorname{Cox}(X_\Delta)_+$  for toric variety  $X_\Delta$  coincide with the relative Cox coordinate space  $B = \operatorname{Cox}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$  and relative Cox characteristic space  $B_+ = \operatorname{Cox}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)_+$  for the proper birational morphism  $X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* The construction of the spaces is formally identical. The reason is that the gradation in both cases is the group  $\operatorname{Cl}(X_\Delta) = \operatorname{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$ , which is freely generated by the exceptional toric divisors  $E_i$  with no relations. ♣

**2.3.2. System of local parameters on affine toric schemes.** Let  $P_\sigma = \sigma^\vee \cap M$  be the monoid associated with the affine toric variety  $X_\sigma = \operatorname{Spec}(\kappa[P_\sigma])$ . Denote by  $P_\sigma^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$  the subgroup of the invertible elements in  $P_\sigma$ , and let  $\overline{P}_\sigma := P_\sigma/P_\sigma^*$ . The natural homomorphism of monoids  $P_\sigma \rightarrow \overline{P}_\sigma = P_\sigma/P_\sigma^*$  splits, and one can write noncanonically

$$P_\sigma = \overline{P}_\sigma \times P_\sigma^*,$$

Let  $u_1 = m_1, \dots, u_s = m_s \in P_\sigma$  be the minimal set of generators of the monoid  $\overline{P}_\sigma$ . This set is determined uniquely and consists of the elements  $m \in \overline{P}_\sigma$ , which cannot be written as  $m = m' \cdot m''$  for the nontrivial elements  $m', m'' \in \overline{P}_\sigma$ .

**Definition 2.3.3.** The set of generators of  $u_1, \dots, u_s \in \overline{P}_\sigma \subset P_\sigma$  will be called a *system of local toric parameters* on  $X_\sigma$ .

### 2.3.4. Cox relative spaces over affine toric schemes.

**Lemma 2.3.5.** *Let  $\sigma_0$  be any cone in  $\mathbb{N}^Q$ , and  $\Delta$  be its subdivision. Consider the induced toric morphism  $\pi : X_\Delta \rightarrow X_{\sigma_0} = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_{\sigma_0}])$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be the toric exceptional divisors of  $\pi$  corresponding to the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_0)$ , and the exceptional valuations  $\nu_i = \text{val}(v_i)$ .*

*Let  $B$  and  $B_+$  denote the full cobordization and, respectively, the cobordization of the morphism  $X_\Delta \rightarrow X_{\sigma_0}$*

*Then*

- (1)  $B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma} [t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_s \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}]$ , where and  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in P_\sigma$  is a system of local toric parameters and  $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ik})$ , with  $a_{ij} := \nu_j(u_i)$ .
- (2)  $B$  is a toric variety  $B \simeq X_{\sigma_0} \times \mathbb{A}^k$ , and the corresponding cone is

$$\sigma_B = \sigma_0 \times \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle.$$

- (3) *The natural morphism*

$$B = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X_{\sigma_0}} [t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}] \rightarrow X_{\sigma_0}$$

*is given by the projection  $\pi_\Sigma : \sigma_B \rightarrow \sigma_0$ , mapping  $e_i \mapsto v_i$ .*

- (4)  $B_+ \subset X_{\sigma_B}$  can be described as the set  $\Sigma_{B_+}$  of the faces  $\sigma$  of  $\sigma_B$  such that  $\pi_\Sigma(\sigma) \subseteq \delta$ , where  $\delta \in \Delta$ . In particular,  $B_+ \subset B$  is an open inclusion.

*Proof.* First we will show that  $X_\Delta$  can be covered by the open affine subsets  $(X_\Delta)_F$ , where  $F$  is a form on  $X_\Delta$ . The problem translates into a toric situation. For any cone  $\delta \in \Delta$  let  $\omega$  be a maximal common face of  $\delta$  and  $\sigma_0$ . Consider a character  $\chi_\delta \in \sigma_0^\vee$  which is zero on  $\omega$  and strictly positive on  $\sigma_0 \setminus \omega$ . The character  $\chi_\delta$  defines a regular function on  $X_{\sigma_0}$ , for which

$$n_i := \chi_\delta(v_i) = \nu_i(\chi_\delta) > 0,$$

for any vertex

$$v_i \in \text{Vert}(\delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\omega) = \text{Vert}(\delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_0).$$

In particular  $\text{div}(\chi_\delta) - E_\delta \geq 0$ , where  $E_\delta := \sum_{E_i \cap X_\delta \neq \emptyset} n_i E_i$ . Then, for the form  $F_\delta := \chi_\delta x^{-E_\delta}$ , its support

$$\text{supp}(\text{div}(F_\delta)) = \text{supp}(\text{div}(\chi_\delta)) - E_\delta$$

on  $X_\Delta$  is the union of all the toric divisors which are in  $X_\Delta \setminus X_\delta$  and which correspond to the vertices in  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\delta)$ . Consequently  $\text{supp}(\text{div}(F_\delta)) = X_\Delta \setminus X_\delta$ , and  $X_{F_\delta} = X_\delta$ . This implies, by Proposition 1.3.4, that the natural morphism

$$B_+ = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} B_\delta \hookrightarrow B$$

is an open immersion, where  $B_\delta := B_{F_\delta}$  is open affine.

- (1) For any  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $t_i$  be the coordinate corresponding to  $-E_i$ . Set

$$\check{t}_i := (t_1, \dots, \check{t}_i, \dots, t_k) \quad \check{t}_i^{-1} := (t_1^{-1}, \dots, \check{t}_i^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1})$$

By Proposition 1.1.5 one can write:

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} = \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_k^{a_k} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \cdot t_i^{a_i} [\check{t}_i, \check{t}_i^{-1}],$$

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \overline{P}_\sigma = P_\sigma/P_\sigma^* \subset P_\sigma$  be the generators of  $\overline{P}_\sigma$ , and let  $\nu_i(u_j) = a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a} = (u_1^{b_1} \cdots u_n^{b_n} \mid \sum_{j=1}^k b_j a_{ij} \geq a).$$

Comparing gradations we easily see that for each  $i$ ,

$$\bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a} t_i^{a_i} = \mathcal{O}_X[t_i^{-1}, u_j t_i^{a_{ij}}].$$

So

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X[t_i^{-1}, u_j t_i^{a_{ij}}][\check{\mathbf{t}}_i, \check{\mathbf{t}}_i^{-1}] = \mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}],$$

where  $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ik})$ .

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}] = \\ &= \text{Spec}(\kappa[u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_r][t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}]) = \\ &= \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}, v_1, \dots, v_r]) \simeq \\ &\simeq \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_r]) \simeq X_{\sigma_0} \times \mathbb{A}^k. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The toric map

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}, v_1, \dots, v_r]) \rightarrow \\ X_{\sigma_0} &= \text{Spec}(\kappa[u_1, \dots, u_s, v_1, \dots, v_r]), \end{aligned}$$

corresponds to the map of cones

$$\pi_B : \sigma_B \simeq \sigma_0 \times \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_0.$$

Under this correspondence

$$\text{val}(e_i)(t_j^{-1}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{val}(e_i)(u_j \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_j}) = 0.$$

On the other hand  $\text{val}(v)(t_i^{-1}) = 0$  for any integral vector  $v \in \sigma_0$ .

By the above we can write

$$B = \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}]) \times \text{Spec}(\kappa[u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}, v_1, \dots, v_r])$$

The toric valuation  $\mu_i$  on  $B$  associated to the divisor  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$  satisfies  $\mu_i(u_j \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_j}) = 0$ , and  $\mu_i(\mathbf{t}_i^{-1}) = 0$  for  $i \neq i'$ . It corresponds to the vector  $e_i$ , as  $\text{val}(e_i)$  fulfills precisely the same relations.

The quotient morphism  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X_{\sigma_0}$  takes a toric valuation  $\text{val}(v)$  on  $B$ , for any integral  $v \in \sigma_B$  to the restriction to  $\mathcal{O}(X_{\sigma_0})$  corresponding to  $\text{val}(\pi_B(v))$ . It maps the vertices of the face  $\sigma_0 \subset \sigma_B$  to the very same vertices of  $\sigma_0$ . The image of the vector  $e_i$  is the vertex  $\pi_B(e_i) = v_i \in \text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_0)$ . This follows from Lemma 1.2.9 or can be seen by direct computation. By the previous considerations,  $v_i$  corresponds to  $\nu_i$  on  $X_\Delta$ , and  $e_i$  to the valuation  $\mu_i$  of  $t_i^{-1}$  on  $B_+$ . The restriction of the toric valuation  $\mu_i$  to  $\kappa[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ , gives

$$\mu_i(u_j) = \mu_i(u_j \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_j} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha_j}) = \mu_i(\mathbf{t}^{\alpha_j}) = a_{ij} = \nu_i(u_j).$$



(4) By the considerations at the beginning of the proof, and Lemma 1.3.2, we can write  $B_+$  as the union of open affine subsets  $B_\delta = B_{F_\delta} = \pi_{B_+}^{-1}(X_\delta)$ :

$$B_+ = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} B_\delta \subset B.$$

The induced map of fans  $\Sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Delta$  corresponds to the good quotient  $B_+ \rightarrow B_+ // T$ , and is defined by the linear map:

$$\pi_\Sigma : \mathbb{N}_B^\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{N}_{B_+}^\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{N}_Y^\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{N}_Y^\mathbb{Q}.$$

Thus any cone  $\delta \in \Delta$  can be written as the image  $\delta = \pi_\Sigma(\delta')$ , where  $\delta' \in \Sigma_{B_+}$ . In particular any vertex  $v_i \in \text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_0)$  is the image  $v_i = \pi(e_i)$  of  $e_i \in \text{Vert}(\Sigma_B) = \text{Vert}(\Sigma_{B_+})$ . Consequently, the fan  $\Sigma_{B_+}$  is determined by the faces  $\tau$  of  $\Sigma_B$  such that  $\pi_\Sigma(\tau) \in \Delta$ .  $\clubsuit$

#### 2.4. Cox relative spaces for toric morphisms. General case.

2.4.1. *Cobordization of proper toric morphisms.* Let  $\Delta$  be a subdivision of a fan  $\Sigma$ . We can further generalize the characterization of the cobordization of any proper birational toric morphism  $\pi : X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\Sigma$ .

**Proposition 2.4.2.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a fan subdivision of a fan  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X = X_\Sigma$  be the associated proper toric morphism of toric varieties. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be the vertices of  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\Sigma)$  corresponding to the toric valuations  $\nu_i = \text{val}(v_i)$ , associated with the exceptional divisors  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ . Let  $\sigma_0 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$  be the regular cone defined by the free basis  $e_1, \dots, e_k$ .*

*Let  $\pi_\Sigma : |\Sigma| \times \sigma_0 \rightarrow |\Sigma|$  be the linear map of the supports of fans such that  $\pi_\Sigma(e_i) = v_i$ , and identical on  $|\Sigma|$ . Consider the subfan  $\Sigma_B$  of  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$  consisting of the faces of  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$  mapping to faces of  $\Sigma$ , under the projection  $\pi_\Sigma$ . Then the full cobordization  $B \rightarrow X$  of  $\pi$  can be described as the toric morphism associated with the projection  $\pi_{\Sigma|\Sigma_B} : \Sigma_B \rightarrow \Sigma$ .*

*The morphism  $B_+ \subset B$  is an open inclusion which corresponds to the subfan  $\Sigma_{B_+}$  of  $\Sigma_B$  of all the faces of  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$  mapping to the faces of  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3.5, and reducing to the affine case, we see that  $B_+ \subset B$  is an open immersion.

Let  $T_0 := \text{Spec}(\kappa[M]) \subset X_\Sigma$  be the torus acting on  $X_\Sigma$ , and on  $X_\Delta$ . Let  $T_B := \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}])$ , where the coordinates  $t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}$ , correspond to  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  on  $X_{\sigma_0} = \kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}]$ . By Proposition 1.2.2, we can write  $B$  as  $B = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{Y/X})$ , where

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} = \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_k^{a_k} \subset \mathcal{O}_X[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}]$$

Consequently,  $B$  contains a toric variety

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}_{Y/X}) \supset B_- = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k, t_k^{-1}]) = X_\Sigma \times T_B,$$

and hence contains a torus  $T_0 \times T_B$ . Moreover the torus  $T_0 \times T_B$  acts on  $B$ .

On the other hand, by Lemmas 1.6.1, and 2.3.5 the scheme  $B$  is the union of toric varieties  $B_\sigma$  containing  $T_0 \times T_B$ , associated with  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_\sigma &:= \pi_B^{-1}(X_\sigma) = B(X_{\Delta|_\sigma}/X_\sigma) \times T(X_\sigma) = \\ &\text{Spec}_{X_\sigma}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_s \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B$  is a toric variety, let  $\Sigma_B$  its corresponding fan. The affine toric morphism  $B \rightarrow X$  determines the homomorphism of tori  $T_0 \times T_B \rightarrow T_0$ . It corresponds to the map of fans  $(\Sigma_B, N_0 \times \mathbb{N}_B) \rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathbb{N}_0)$ , defined by the natural projection  $N_0 \times \mathbb{N}_B$

Consider the toric variety  $X_\Sigma \times \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}])$ , associated with the fan  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$ , with the lattice  $N_0 \times \mathbb{N}_B$ . The linear map

$$\pi_\Sigma : (|\Sigma| \times \sigma_0, N_0 \times \mathbb{N}_B) \rightarrow (|\Sigma|, \mathbb{N}_0),$$

satisfies  $\pi_\Sigma(e_i) = v_i$ , and  $\pi_{\Sigma|_{\mathbb{N}_0}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}_0}$ .

The full cobordization morphism  $B \rightarrow X_\Sigma$  takes the divisor  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$  to  $E_i$  by Lemma 1.2.9. Thus it defines the same map on the lattices  $\pi_\Sigma : \mathbb{N}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, by Lemmas 1.6.1, and 2.3.5, each toric variety

$$B_\sigma = B(X_{\Delta|_\sigma}/X_\sigma) \times T_{B \setminus B_\sigma} \subset B$$

corresponds to the subfan determined by the cone  $\sigma \times \tau(\sigma)$  of  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$ , where  $\tau(\sigma) \leq \sigma_0$  is generated by all  $e_i$  with  $v_i \in \sigma$ .

Thus, by Lemma 2.3.5(4),  $\Sigma_B$  consists exactly of the faces of  $\Sigma \times \sigma_0$  mapping into faces of  $\Sigma$ , under the projection  $\pi_\Sigma : e_i \mapsto v_i$ . Consequently,  $B_+$  corresponds to the subfan  $\Sigma_{B_+}$  of  $\Sigma_B$  of all the faces mapping to the faces of  $\Delta$ .



## 2.5. The dual complex of the exceptional divisor.

2.5.1. *The dual complex of toric morphisms.* Let  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\Sigma$  be a proper toric morphism, where  $\Delta$  is a subdivision of  $\Sigma$ . Assume that  $X = X_\Sigma$  is smooth. Then the full cobordization  $B$  of  $\pi$  is a smooth toric variety with the toric morphism  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$ . Consequently the exceptional divisors  $D = V_B(t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1})$  of  $\pi_B$  and  $D_+ = D \cap B_+$  of  $\pi_{B_+}$  are SNC. On the other hand the components  $D_{i+}$  map to the components  $E_i$  of the exceptional toric divisor  $E$  of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ .

One can define the divisorial stratifications  $S_D$ , and  $S_{D_+}$  on  $B$ , and  $B_+$  with the strata determined by the nonempty sets

$$s_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j,$$

where  $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ . Note that the closure  $\overline{s_I}$  can be written in the form

$$\overline{s_I} = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i.$$

Likewise the stratification  $S_E$  of  $E$  on  $Y$  is determined by the nonempty closed sets  $\overline{s_I}^E := \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ , which determine the strata  $s_I^E$  obtained by removing from  $\overline{s_I}^E$  all the proper subsets  $\overline{s_J}^E \subset \overline{s_I}^E$ , with  $\mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{I}$ .

These three stratifications are coarser than the orbit stratifications; thus, each stratum is the union of orbits. The divisorial stratifications  $S_D$  and  $S_{D_+}$  define the dual complexes  $\Delta_D$  and  $\Delta_{D_+} \subset \Delta_D$ . The vertices  $e_i$  of  $\Delta_D$  and  $\Delta_{D_+}$  correspond to the divisors  $D_i$  or, respectively  $D_{i+}$ . We associate with a stratum  $s = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$ , the simplex  $\sigma_s := \Delta(e_i \mid i \in I)$ .

Similarly, we can define the dual complex  $\Delta_E$  associated with the toric exceptional divisor  $E$  on  $Y$  (which is usually not SNC). Again the vertices  $e_i$  of  $\Delta_E$  correspond to the divisors  $E_i$ . We associate with any set of divisors  $\{E_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \neq \emptyset$  the simplex  $\sigma_I := \Delta(e_i \mid i \in I)$ . Summarizing we obtain the following characterization of the complexes:

**Lemma 2.5.2.** *A simplex  $\sigma$  in  $\Delta_D$  (respectively in  $\Delta_{D_+}$  or  $\Delta_E$ ) corresponds bijectively to a set of divisors  $D_i$  (respectively  $D_{i+}$  or  $E_i$ ) having a nonempty intersection.* ♣

**Lemma 2.5.3.** *Let  $B \rightarrow X$  be the full cobordization of  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X = X_\Sigma$ . Let  $D$  be the exceptional divisor on  $B$ , and  $S_D$  be the induced stratification. Then for any stratum  $s \in S_D$ , the image  $\pi_B(s)$  is closed in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X$  so we can assume that  $X = X_\sigma$ . Let  $B = X_\sigma \times X_\delta$ , where  $\delta = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$  the regular cone generated by a free basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ .

The morphism  $B \rightarrow X$  corresponds to the projection  $\pi_\Sigma : \sigma_B = \sigma \times \delta \rightarrow \sigma$ , mapping  $e_i$  to  $v_i \in \text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$ .

Any stratum  $s = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$  in  $S_D$  is closed on the open affine subset  $B' = B \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$  of  $B$ . By replacing  $B$  with the open affine subset  $B' = B_{t_J^{-1}}$ , where  $t_J = \prod_{j \in J} t_j$ , we assume that  $s = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$  and all the exceptional vertices  $e_i$  of  $\sigma \times \delta$ , where  $i \in I$ , span the cone  $\delta$ . Then  $s$  is the union of orbits corresponding to the cones in  $\text{Star}(\delta, \sigma_B)$ .

Let  $\delta_0 := \pi_\Sigma(\delta)$  be the image of  $\delta$ , which is a subcone in  $\sigma$  generated by  $\pi_\Sigma(e_i)$ . Denote by  $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma$  the unique face such that  $\text{int}(\delta_0) \subset \sigma_0 \leq \sigma$ .

Thus, since  $\sigma$  is regular, and the map  $\pi_\Sigma$  is surjective, the image  $\pi_B(s)$  of  $s$  is defined by the orbits corresponding to the cones in  $\text{Star}(\sigma_0, \sigma)$ , and thus it is closed. ♣

2.5.4. *The center of valuation.* Recall that for any valuation  $\nu$  of the quotient field  $\kappa(X)$ , we denote its center on  $X$  by  $Z_X(\nu)$ .

**Corollary 2.5.5.** *Consider any stratum  $s \in S_D$  such that  $s = \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j$ . Then*

$$\pi_B(s) = \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_X(\nu_i),$$

*Proof.* Note that, by Lemma 1.2.9, the image  $\pi_B(D_i) = Z_X(\nu_i)$ . Then  $\pi_B(s) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_X(\nu_i)$ . The problem is local on  $X$ , and we use the notation and the description from the proof of the previous Lemma. The stratum  $s$  contains a generic toric orbit corresponding to the cone  $\delta = \langle e_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ . Its image  $\pi_B(s)$  is closed and corresponds to the  $\text{Star}(\sigma_0, \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma_0$  is the smallest face containing the images  $\{v_i \mid i \in I\}$ , where  $\text{val}(v_i) = \nu_i$ .

On the other hand,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} Z_X(\nu_i)$  corresponds to the faces of  $\sigma$  containing all  $v_i$ . Both sets are identical and  $\pi_B(s) = \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_X(\nu_i)$ . ♣

**Lemma 2.5.6.** *The morphism  $\pi_{B_+, Y} : B_+ \rightarrow Y$  determines a bijective correspondence between the sets of divisors  $\{D_{i+} \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $\bigcap D_{i+} \neq 0$ , and the sets  $\{E_i \mid i \in I\}$  for which  $\bigcap E_i \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We need to show that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+} \neq \emptyset$  iff  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.5.6,  $\pi_{B_+, Y}(D_{i+}) = E_i$ . Thus if  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+}$  is nonempty then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \pi_{B_+, Y}(D_{i+}) = \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \supseteq \pi_{B_+, Y}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+}\right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Conversely, if  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$  is nonempty then the vertices  $v_i$  corresponding to  $E_i$  form the subcone  $\tau = \langle v_i \mid i \in I \rangle$  of a face  $\delta \in \Delta$ , with  $\text{Vert}(\tau) \subseteq \text{Vert}(\delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.3.5(4),  $\tau$  is the image of the face  $\delta' = \langle e_i \mid i \in I \rangle \in \Delta_{B_+}$ , whence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+}$  is nonempty. ♣

**Corollary 2.5.7.** *The natural surjective map  $S_{D_+} \rightarrow S_E$  determines an isomorphism of the dual complexes  $\Delta_{D_+} \simeq \Delta_E$ .  $\clubsuit$*

Also, we have

**Corollary 2.5.8.** *The natural morphism  $S_{D_+} \rightarrow S_D$  determines the inclusion of the dual complexes  $\Delta_{D_+} \simeq \Delta_D$ , so that  $\Delta_{D_+}$  is a subcomplex of  $\Delta_D$ .*

*Proof.* By the construction, the faces of  $\Delta_D$  (and  $\Delta_{D_+}$ ) correspond to the sets of divisors  $\{D_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \neq \emptyset$ . Now, if  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+} \neq \emptyset$  then obviously  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \neq \emptyset$ .  $\clubsuit$

## 2.6. Newton polytopes of monomial ideals.

### 2.6.1. Newton polytopes.

**Definition 2.6.2.** Consider the lattice of monomials

$$\mathbb{M} = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^k\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k,$$

and let  $N = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{Z})$  be its dual. Let  $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k}) \subset \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a toric ideal generated by the monomials corresponding to the elements of

$$\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \subset \sigma_0^\vee = \langle e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* \rangle = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n.$$

By the associated *Newton polytope* of  $\mathcal{I}$  we mean

$$P = P_I := \text{conv}(\alpha_1 + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n, \dots, \alpha_k + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n \subseteq M_{\mathbb{Q}} = M \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}^n$$

Conversely any polytope (or polyhedron)  $P = P + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n$  determines the ideal

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_P := (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in P).$$

**Lemma 2.6.3.** *There is a bijective correspondence  $I \mapsto P_I$ ,  $P \mapsto \mathcal{I}_P$ , between integrally closed toric ideals  $I \subset \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and polytopes  $P = P + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n$  with integral vertices.  $\clubsuit$*

2.6.4. *The orbit stratification.* One can identify  $e_i^*$  with  $x_i$ , so we can write  $\sigma_0^\vee = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Denote by  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the dual space of  $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\sigma_0 \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the dual of  $\sigma_0^\vee$  as in Sections 2.1.1, 2.1.2. For any  $\tau \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$  set

$$\tau^\perp := \{y \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \tau\},$$

**Lemma 2.6.5.** *There is a natural bijective correspondence between*

- the faces  $\tau$  of  $\sigma_0$
- the faces  $\tau^* := \tau^\perp \cap \sigma_0^\vee$  of  $\sigma_0^\vee$ .
- the open affine subsets  $X_\tau \subset X_{\sigma_0}$
- the minimal closed orbits  $O_\tau \subset X_\tau$  which are in  $X_{\sigma_0}$ .

Moreover under the above identification the closure  $\overline{O_\tau}$  of the orbit  $O_\tau$  is defined by the ideal  $(x_i \mid x_i \notin \tau^*)$ .

*Proof.* The face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma_0$  determines the open subset

$$X_\tau = \text{Spec}(\kappa[\tau^\vee \cap M]) = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_\tau]) = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_\tau^*]) \times \text{Spec}(\kappa[\overline{P}_\tau])$$

of  $X_{\sigma_0}$ , where

$$P_\tau = \tau^\vee \cap M = P_{\sigma_0} + P_\tau^* = P_{\sigma_0} - (\tau^* \cap M).$$

Thus  $\tau^* \cap M = P_\tau^* \cap P_{\sigma_0}$  consists of the elements of  $P_{\sigma_0} = \sigma_0^\vee \cap M$ , which are invertible in  $P_\tau$ . The closed orbit  $O_\tau \subset X_\tau$  is described by the ideal generated by the set of noninvertible elements

$$P_\tau \setminus P_\tau^* := (\tau^\vee \setminus \tau^* \setminus (-\tau^*)) \cap M \subset P_\tau.$$

Thus its closure  $\overline{O_\tau}$  in  $X_{\sigma_0}$  is defined by the ideal  $(x_i \mid x_i \notin \tau^*)$  corresponding to the monoid ideal  $P_{\sigma_0} \setminus P_\tau^* = (\sigma_0^\vee \setminus \tau^*) \cap M$ . Conversely, any face  $\tau^*$  of  $\sigma_0^\vee$  determines the closure  $\overline{O_\tau} \subset X_{\sigma_0}$  of the orbit  $O_\tau$  with the monoid ideal  $(\sigma_0^\vee \setminus \tau^*) \cap M$ , and the face  $\tau = (\tau^*)^\perp \cap \sigma_0$  of  $\sigma_0 \subset N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .  $\clubsuit$

By the construction,  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  is the smallest  $T$ -stable closed subset of  $X_\tau$ . If  $\tau \subset \tau'$  is the inclusion of the faces then  $X_\tau \subset X_{\tau'}$  is an open immersion, and  $\overline{O_\tau}$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_{\tau'}$ . Consequently the orbits  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  form the stratification of  $X_{\sigma_0} = \text{Spec}(\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ .

**Corollary 2.6.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a monomial ideal and  $P_{\mathcal{I}} \subset \sigma_0^\vee$  be its Newton polytope. Then the toric subset  $V(\mathcal{I})$  is exactly the union of the orbits  $O_\tau$  such that  $\tau^*$  is disjoint from  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ .*

*Proof.* The orbit  $O_\tau$  is contained in  $V(\mathcal{I})$  if and only if the ideal of  $\overline{O_\tau}$  contains  $\mathcal{I}$ . Thus the corresponding monoid ideal  $(\sigma_0^\vee \setminus \tau^*) \cap M$  contains  $P \cap M$ . The latter is equivalent to the condition  $\tau_* \cap P = \emptyset$ .  $\clubsuit$

2.6.7. *Supporting faces.* The monomial ideal  $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k})$  defines a piecewise linear convex function  $F_I := \min(\alpha_i, v)$  on  $\sigma_0 := \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle$  which is dual to  $\sigma_0^\vee$ .

Likewise any polytope  $P \subset \sigma_0^\vee$  determines a piecewise linear convex function

$$F_P := \min((w, v) \mid w \in P)$$

on  $\sigma_0$ .

If  $P = P(I)$  then both functions coincide:

$$F_P = F_I = \min(v, \alpha_i) = \min((v, w) \mid w \in P)$$

By the *dual fan* or *normal fan* of  $P$ , we mean the fan  $\Delta_P = \Delta_{\mathcal{I}}$  is determined by the maximal cones  $\tau \subset \sigma_0$ , where  $F_P$  is linear. By definition,  $\Delta_P$  is a decomposition of  $\sigma_0$ .

Conversely, the function  $F_P$  on  $\sigma_0$ , determines the polytope

$$P = \{w \in \sigma_0^\vee \mid (\cdot, w)|_{\sigma_0} \geq F_P|_{\sigma_0}\}.$$

Recall the standard fact from the convex geometry:

**Lemma 2.6.8.** *There is a bijective correspondence between the faces  $P$  of the polytope  $P_0$ , and the faces  $\tau_P$  of the fan  $\Delta_{P_0}$ .*

$$P \mapsto \tau_P := (P_0 - P)^\vee = \{v \in \sigma_0 \mid (v, w) \geq 0, w \in P_0 - P\} \in \Delta_{P_0}$$

$$\tau \mapsto P_\tau = \{w \in P \mid F_P|_\sigma = (\cdot, w)|_\sigma\}.$$

Moreover  $\dim(P) = n - \dim(\sigma_P)$ .  $\clubsuit$

**Remark 2.6.9.** For any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let

$$a_i := \min\{x_i(p) \mid p \in P\}.$$

Then

$$P_i := \{p \in P \mid (x_i - a_i)(p) = 0\}$$

is the face of  $P$  corresponding to the one-dimensional face  $\langle e_i \rangle$  determined by the vertex  $e_i$  of  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 2.6.10.** By the *supporting facets* of  $P_0$  we mean the faces corresponding to the vertices of  $\text{Vert}(\Delta_{P_0}) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$ . The affine hull of a supporting face will be called a *supporting hyperplane*. By the *supporting faces*, we mean the faces, which are the intersections of some supporting facets.

As a corollary from Lemma 2.6.8, we obtain

**Lemma 2.6.11.** *Let  $\Delta$  be the subdivision of regular cone  $\sigma_0$  associated with the normalized blow-up  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X = X_{\sigma_0}$  of the monomial ideal  $I \subset \kappa[P_\sigma] = \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $B \rightarrow X = X_{\sigma_0} = \mathbb{A}^n$  be the full cobordant blow-up of  $I$ . Then the following sets are in the bijective correspondence*

- (1) The supporting hyperplanes  $H_i$  of  $P(I)$ .
- (2) The vertices  $v_i$  of  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_0)$ .
- (3) The exceptional divisors  $D_i$  of  $B \rightarrow X$ .
- (4) The exceptional divisors  $E_i$  of  $Y \rightarrow X$ .
- (5) The toric exceptional valuations  $\nu_i = \text{val}(v_i)$  on  $X$  associated with  $E_i$  on  $Y$ .
- (6) The vertices of the dual complexes  $\Delta_E \simeq \Delta_{D^+}$  and  $\Delta_D$ .

♣

**Remark 2.6.12.** The supporting faces exist if  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{I})) \geq 2$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is principal, then  $\Delta = \sigma_0$ , and thus  $P$  admits no supporting faces.

**Corollary 2.6.13.** *With the above notation and assumptions:*

- (1) Any exceptional valuation  $\nu$  determines the supporting hyperplane  $H_\nu$ .
- (2) With a face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_E$  one can associate the set  $\omega_\sigma$  of the exceptional valuations corresponding to the vertices  $\text{Vert}(\sigma)$ .
- (3) Any face  $\sigma$  of the dual complex  $\Delta_E$  determines the supporting face  $P_\sigma$  of  $P$ , where

$$P_\sigma = \bigcap_{\nu \in \omega_\sigma} H_\nu \cap P.$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}_{\omega_\sigma}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) &:= (u^\alpha \in I \mid \nu(\mathcal{I}) = \nu(\mathbf{x}^\alpha), \nu \in \omega_\sigma) = \\ &= \text{inv}_{P_\sigma}(\mathcal{I}) := (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in I \mid \alpha \in P_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

♣

Here

$$\nu(\mathcal{I}) := \min\{\nu(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

One can see the above relations in the following example:

**Example 2.6.14.** Let  $I = (x^k, xy, y^l) \subset \kappa[x, y]$ .

The Newton polytope  $P$  of  $I$  is generated by the vertices  $P_1 = (k, 0)$ ,  $P_2 = (1, 1)$ ,  $P_3 = (0, l)$  of  $P$ . The supporting planes  $H_1$ , and  $H_2$  are determined, respectively, by the supporting facets  $P_{12} = \text{conv}(\{(k, 0), (1, 1)\})$ , and  $P_{23} = \text{conv}(\{(1, 1), (0, l)\})$ . They correspond to the vectors  $v_1 = (v_{11}, v_{12})$ ,  $v_2 = (v_{21}, v_{22})$  such that

$$kv_{11} = v_{11} + v_{12}, \quad v_{21} + v_{22} = lv_{21}$$

Thus  $v_1 = (1, k - 1)$ ,  $v_2 = (l - 1, 1)$ . The decomposition  $\Delta_P$  consists of three 2-dimensional cones  $\sigma_1 = \langle e_1, v_1 \rangle$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ ,  $\sigma_3 = \langle v_2, e_2 \rangle$ , and their 1-dimensional faces. These 2-dimensional faces in  $\Delta_P$  correspond to the vertices  $(k, 0), (1, 1), (0, l)$  of  $P$ , and the associated monomials  $x^k, xy, y^l$ . The vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Vert}(\Delta_P)$  correspond to the exceptional valuations  $\nu_1 = \text{val}(v_1), \nu_2 = \text{val}(v_2)$ . In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}_{\nu_1}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) &= \text{inv}_{P_{12}}(\mathcal{I}) = (x^k, xy), & \text{inv}_{\nu_2}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) &= \text{inv}_{P_{23}}(\mathcal{I}) = (xy, y^l), \\ \text{inv}_{\nu_1, \nu_2}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) &= \text{inv}_{P_2}(\mathcal{I}) = (xy). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the vertices in  $\text{Vert}(P)$ , which are, in our case, defined by  $x^k, xy, y^l$ , label the maximal faces in  $\Delta_P$ .

We see that the monomials in  $\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{inv}_P(\mathcal{I})$  correspond to the maximal cones in the star of the relevant face in  $\Delta_P$ . This face is described as the dual to  $P$ . Equivalently, it is defined as the smallest face containing the set of the vertices of  $\Delta_P$  determined by  $\omega$ . In particular, the generators  $x^k, xy$  occurring in  $\text{inv}_{\nu_1}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{inv}_{P_{12}}^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = (x^k, xy)$  correspond to the maximal cones in the star of the face  $\langle v_1 \rangle \in \Delta_P$ .

The full cobordant blow-up of  $I = (x^k, xy, y^l)$  is given by

$$B = \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, xt_1 t_2^{l-1}, yt_1^{k-1} t_2]),$$

and using Lemma 1.4.4,

$$B_+ = \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, xt_1 t_2^{l-1}, yt_1^{k-1} t_2]) \setminus V(t_1^k t_2^l (x^k, xy, y^l)).$$

## 2.7. Geometric quotients for toric morphisms.

**Lemma 2.7.1.** *Let  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\Sigma$  be a toric morphism, associated with the decomposition  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ . Assume that  $\Sigma$  is simplicial.*

*Then its cobordization  $B_+ \rightarrow Y = B_+/T_B$  is a geometric quotient iff  $\Delta$  is simplicial.*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X$ , and can be reduced to the affine toric morphism  $X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\sigma$  corresponding to the subdivision  $\Delta$  of a simplicial cone  $\sigma$ . Then, by Proposition 2.4.2,  $\Sigma_B$  is simplicial, and so is  $\Sigma_{B_+}$ .

The natural projection  $\Sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Delta$  is defined bijectively on the vertices. Moreover, the faces of  $\Delta$  are the images of cones in  $\Sigma_{B_+}$ . Thus  $\Sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Delta$  is bijective on faces if and only if  $\Delta$  is simplicial. On the other hand, the condition that  $\Sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Delta$  is bijective on faces is equivalent to  $B_+ \rightarrow Y$  being a geometric quotient. ♣

**Lemma 2.7.2.** *Let  $\pi : Y = X_\Delta \rightarrow X = X_\Sigma$  be a proper birational toric morphism of toric varieties, with  $X$  regular. Then  $B_+ \subset B$  contains open maximal subsets  $B^s \subset B_+$  admitting geometric quotient  $B^s/T_B$  which is projective birational over  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* The morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  corresponds to the subdivision  $\Delta$  of  $\Sigma$ . Consider the sequence of the star subdivisions centered at  $\text{Vert}(\Delta)$  of  $\Delta$ . By the definition of the star subdivision, the process transforms  $\Delta$  into a simplicial fan  $\Delta'$  with  $\text{Vert}(\Delta') = \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ , as all the vertices in the faces form linearly independent sets being the centers of the star subdivisions. So the valuations of the exceptional divisors corresponding to  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) = \text{Vert}(\Delta')$  remain unchanged. Then, by Proposition 2.4.2 we obtain that  $B(Y/X) = B(Y'/X)$ . On the other hand, by the second

part of Proposition 2.4.2, we have the open inclusions of toric subsets:

$$B^s := B(Y'/X)_+ \subset B(Y/X)_+ \subset B(Y/X).$$

By the previous Lemma,  $B^s \rightarrow B^s/T_B = X_{\Delta'}$  is a geometric quotient. ♣

### 3. COBORDIZATION OF LOCALLY TORIC MORPHISMS

#### 3.1. Locally toric morphisms of locally toric schemes.

##### 3.1.1. *Locally toric schemes.*

**Definition 3.1.2.** A normal scheme  $X$  over a field  $\kappa$  is *locally toric* if any point  $p \in X$  admits an open neighborhood  $U$ , and a regular morphism  $\phi : U \rightarrow X_\sigma = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_\sigma])$ , called a *toric chart*.

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a locally toric  $X$  is called *locally monomial* if for any point  $p \in X$ , there exists a toric chart  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma = \text{Spec}(\kappa[P_\sigma])$ , and a monomial ideal  $\mathcal{I}_\sigma \subseteq \kappa[P_\sigma]$ , defined by a subset of  $P_\sigma$ , such that  $\mathcal{I}|_U = \mathcal{I}_\sigma \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X|U}$ .

**Remark 3.1.3.** The primary reason we consider locally toric schemes over a field  $\kappa$ , and not just over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , is that the morphisms to  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  are, in general, not flat. Thus the toric charts over  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[P_\sigma])$  which are defined by the monomials in  $P_\sigma = \sigma^\vee \cap N$  are not regular (not flat), and some proofs would require a different formalism.

##### 3.1.4. *Locally monomial valuations.*

**Definition 3.1.5.** Let  $X$  be a locally toric scheme. A valuation of  $\kappa(X)$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  will be called *locally monomial* if for any point  $p$  in the center  $Z(\nu) \subset X$ , there exists a toric chart  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , and a vector  $v \in \sigma \cap \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu,a} = \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\text{val}(v),a}$ , for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

##### 3.1.6. *Locally toric morphisms.*

**Definition 3.1.7.** A proper birational morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of normal schemes over a field  $\kappa$  is called *locally toric* if for any point  $p \in X$  there is an open neighborhood  $U$ , a toric chart  $\phi : U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , and the fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_\Delta \\ \pi_U \downarrow & & \pi_A \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_\sigma \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_U := \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is the restriction of  $\pi$ .

**Proposition 3.1.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a locally monomial ideal on a locally monomial scheme  $X$ . The normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  is a locally toric morphism.* ♣

#### 3.2. Functoriality of cobordization of locally toric morphisms.



3.2.1. *Local toric presentation of cobordization of locally toric morphisms.*

**Lemma 3.2.2.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a locally toric proper birational morphism. Then for any point  $p \in X$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p \in X$ , a toric chart  $\phi_U : U \rightarrow X_\sigma$  and a fiber square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_U := \pi^{-1}U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_\Delta \\ \pi_U \downarrow & & \pi_A \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\phi_U} & X_\sigma, \end{array}$$

such that

- (1) *There is a bijective correspondence between the irreducible exceptional divisors of  $\pi_U$  and  $\pi_A$ . That is, any irreducible exceptional divisor of  $\pi_U$  is the inverse image of an irreducible exceptional divisor of  $\pi_A$ .*
- (2) *There is a bijective correspondence between the strata of the divisorial stratifications of the exceptional divisors  $E_U$  of  $Y_U \rightarrow U$  and  $E^\Delta$  of  $X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , which defines the isomorphism  $\text{Cl}(Y_U/U) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$ . Moreover, any stratum of the stratification  $S_E$  is the inverse image of a stratum in  $S_{E^\Delta}$ .*
- (3) *For any  $E'_U = \sum n_i (E_U)_i$  and the corresponding  $(E^\Delta)' = \sum n_i E_i^\Delta$  we have  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}((E^\Delta)') = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot (\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}((E^\Delta)'))$ .*
- (4)  $B(\pi_U) = B(\pi_A) \times_{X_\sigma} U$      $B_+(\pi_U) = B_+(\pi_A)_+ \times_{X_\sigma} U$ .
- (5) *Any irreducible exceptional Weil divisor  $E_i$  of  $\pi$  defines a locally monomial valuation  $\nu_i$  with respect to any given toric chart  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$  associated with the morphism  $\pi$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $\phi_U$  is regular, the inverse images  $\phi_U^{-1}(s_\tau)$  of the toric strata  $s_\tau$ , where  $\tau \leq \sigma$ , define a stratification on  $U$ . Moreover, the induced morphisms on the strata  $\phi_U^{-1}(s_\tau) \rightarrow s_\tau$  are regular.

We can assume that the given point  $p \in X$  maps to a point  $q \in X_\sigma$ , which is in the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\sigma \subset X_\sigma$ . For any  $\tau \leq \sigma$ , the closure  $s_\tau := \overline{\mathcal{O}_\tau}$  of the toric orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\tau$  on  $X_\sigma$  is normal. Moreover, since  $\phi_U$  is regular, the inverse image  $\phi_U^{-1}(s_\tau)$  is normal, and thus, it is the disjoint union of the irreducible components of the codimension equal to the codimension of  $s_\tau$ .

Consequently, by shrinking  $U$  around  $p$ , if necessary, we can assume that the inverse image of the closures of the toric strata (i.e., the orbits) on  $X_\sigma$  are irreducible subsets of  $U$ .

The inverse image of  $E^\Delta$  is the union of the normal divisorial components. Their images under  $\pi_U$  are of the codimension  $\geq 2$ . So they are the exceptional divisors of  $\pi_U$ . Moreover all the irreducible exceptional divisors of  $\pi_U$  are contained in  $\phi^{-1}(E^\Delta)$ .

The image  $\pi_A(E_i^\Delta)$  contains the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$  with  $\phi_U^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by the assumption,  $\phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ .

We need to show that each  $\phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta)$  is an irreducible divisor. The image of the exceptional divisor  $E_i^\Delta$  under  $\pi_A$  defines the closure of the toric orbit

$$\pi_A(E_i^\Delta) = \overline{s_\tau} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_\tau}$$

on  $X_\sigma$ , for some face  $\tau \leq \sigma$ . Denote by  $q$  the generic point of  $E_i^\Delta$ , and by  $q^0$  the generic point of  $s_\tau$ .

For any divisorial component,  $E_{ij}$  in  $\phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta)$ , let  $p_j$  be its generic point. By the assumption  $\pi(p_j) \in U$  determines a unique point  $p^0$  which is the generic point

of the stratum  $s$  on  $U$  so that

$$\bar{s} = \overline{\phi_U^{-1}(s_\tau)} = \overline{p^0}.$$

By definition, the generic point  $q$  of the toric divisor  $E_i^\Delta$  on  $X_\Delta$  is in the fiber  $F_{q^0} = \pi_A^{-1}(q^0)$ . Thus the generic points  $p_j$  of the components  $E_{ij}$  of  $\phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta)$  are in the fiber

$$F_{p^0} = \pi^{-1}(p_i^0) = \text{Spec}(\kappa(p_i^0)) \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(q_i^0))} F_{q_i^0}$$

Let  $\Delta_\tau := \Delta|_\tau$  be the restriction of  $\Delta$  to  $\tau$  which determines the induced decomposition of  $\tau$ .

The fiber of

$$F_{p^0} = \text{Spec}(\kappa(p^0)) \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(q^0))} F_{q^0} = Y \times_X \text{Spec}(\kappa(p^0))$$

of  $\pi : Y = X \times_{X_\sigma} X_\Delta \rightarrow X$  is isomorphic to the fiber of the induced morphism

$$X_{\Delta_\tau}^{\kappa(p^0)} \rightarrow X_\tau^{\kappa(p^0)}$$

over  $p^0 = \text{Spec}(\kappa(p^0))$ . Moreover the natural morphism  $F_{p^0} \rightarrow F_{q^0}$  is induced by the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\Delta_\tau}^{\kappa(p^0)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_\Delta} & X_{\Delta_\tau}^{\kappa(q^0)} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi_A \downarrow \\ X_\tau^{\kappa(p^0)} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X_\tau^{\kappa(q^0)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(\kappa(p^0)) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(\kappa(q^0)) \end{array}.$$

The above morphism is bijective on the toric orbits and their generic points, as they correspond to the faces of  $\Delta$  or respectively  $\sigma$ . Then the inverse image of the point  $q_j \in F_{q^0} \subset X_{\Delta_\tau}^{\kappa(q^0)}$  corresponds to a unique face in  $\Delta(1)$  and a unique point  $p$  in  $F_{p^0} \subset X_{\Delta_\tau}^{\kappa(p^0)}$ .

Hence the inverse image of the toric divisor  $E_i^\Delta$  with the generic point  $q$  is the unique exceptional divisor  $E_i$  with the generic point  $p = p_j$  over  $q$ .

(2) The same reasoning shows that the inverse image  $\phi_\Delta^{-1}(\overline{s_j^\Delta}) = \overline{s_j}$  of the closure of a toric stratum  $\overline{s_j^\Delta}$  on  $X_\sigma$  determines a unique stratum  $s_j$  on  $Y_U$ . We use the same relation for the fibers.

$$\pi^{-1}(p_j^0) = F_{p_j^0} = \text{Spec}(\kappa(p_j^0)) \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(q_j^0))} F_{q_j^0}$$

where  $p_j$  is the generic point of  $s_j$ ,  $q_j = \phi(p_j)$ ,  $p_j^0 = \pi(p_j)$  and  $q_j^0 = \pi_A(q_j)$ .

(3) We need to show first that

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(nE_i) = \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(nE_i^\Delta))$$

By the above, the generic point  $p$  of  $E_i$  is exactly the generic point of the fiber  $\phi^{-1}(q)$ . The induced homomorphism of the completions of the local rings is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y, p}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, q}} \otimes_{\kappa(q)} \kappa(p)$$

Thus we get

$$m_q^n \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, p} = m_q^n \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y, p}.$$

Both points  $p$  and  $q$  admit a regular neighborhood and its local rings are DVR defining the valuation  $\nu_i$  of  $E_i$ , and  $\nu_i^\Delta$  of  $E_i^\Delta$ .

One verifies that  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, Y} = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a}^\Delta$ . First observe that the valuation center of  $\nu_i$  on  $Y$  can be described as

$$Z_Y(\nu_i) = V_Y(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, Y}) = E_i = \phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta) = V(\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a}^\Delta)$$

For any point  $p' \in Z(\nu_i)$ , and its image  $q' = \phi(p) \in Z(\nu_i^\Delta)$  we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y, p'}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, p}} \otimes_{\kappa(p)} \kappa(q)[[u_1, \dots, u_k]].$$

Consequently the monomial valuation  $\nu_i^\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, p'} = \mathcal{O}_{X_\delta, p'}$  of  $E_i^\Delta$  associated with a vertex of  $\Delta$  extends to a certain unique monomial valuation  $\nu_i'$  on  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y, p'}}$  such that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{\nu_i', a, p'} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a}^\Delta \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y, p'}} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i'}^\Delta \cdot (\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta, p'}} \otimes_{\kappa(p')} \kappa(q')[[u_1, \dots, u_k]])$$

which by flatness implies

$$\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a, p'} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a}^\Delta \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y, p'}$$

Note that the generic point  $p$  of  $E_i$  specializes at  $p'$ , and the generic point  $q$  of  $E^\Delta$  specializes at  $q'$ . Passing to  $p$  and  $q$  and localizing we obtain that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a, p} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a, q}^\Delta \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y, p} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i', a, p},$$

whence both valuations are equal  $\nu_i = \nu_i'$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, p'} = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, q'}^\Delta \cdot \mathcal{O}_{Y, p'}$  and the vanishing locus of the ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, Y_U} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, X_\Delta}^\Delta$$

is irreducible by part (1) and defines the center of the valuation  $\nu_i$ .

Now, for any effective divisor  $(E^\Delta)' = \sum a_i E_i^\Delta$ , and its inverse image  $(E_U)' = \sum a_i (E_U)_i$  we have, by flatness

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(-(E_U)') &= \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i, Y_U} = \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot \left( \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i, X_\Delta} \right) = \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}((E^\Delta)') = \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}((E^\Delta)') \end{aligned}$$

In general, for any  $(E^\Delta)' = \sum a_i E_i^\Delta$ , we can find a nontrivial monomial  $m \in P_\sigma = \sigma^\vee \cap M$  such that for  $n \gg 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_Y((E^\Delta)') = m^{-n} \mathcal{O}_Y((E^\Delta)' - n \cdot \text{div}(m)),$$

where  $-((E^\Delta)' - n \cdot \text{div}(m))$  is effective. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}((E_U)') &= m^{-n} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}((E_U)' - n \cdot \text{div}_Y(m)) = \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot m^{-n} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}((E^\Delta)' - n \cdot \text{div}(m)) = \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

(4) and (5) Since the morphism  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$  is affine, and thus  $Y_U \rightarrow X_\Delta$  is such we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{U+} &= \text{Spec}_{Y_U} \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E) \right) = \text{Spec}_{Y_U} \left( \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot \left( \bigoplus_{E^\Delta \in \text{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta) \right) \right) = \\ &= \text{Spec}_{X_\Delta} \mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}} \left( \bigoplus_{E^\Delta \in \text{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta) \right) = Y_U \times_{X_\Delta} B_+(\pi_A)_+ = \\ &= (U \times_{X_\sigma} X_\Delta) \times_U \times_{X_\sigma} B_+(\pi_A) = U \times_{X_\sigma} B_+(\pi_A). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, and since all the schemes are normal

$$\pi_{A*}(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i^\Delta, a, X_\Delta}) = \pi_{A*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(a, E_i^\Delta)) = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i^\sigma, a, X_\sigma} \subset \pi_{A*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta)) = \mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}$$

are the toric ideals generated by monomials associated with the toric valuation  $\nu_i^\sigma$ .

Similarly

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, Y}) = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(aE)) = \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, X}.$$

By the above and since  $\psi$  is flat, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, U} &= \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(-E_i)) = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(-E_i^\Delta)) = \\ \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(-E_i^\Delta)) &= \mathcal{O}_U \otimes \pi_{A*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(-E_i^\Delta)) = \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, X_\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

is a locally monomial valuation.

Thus for  $E = \sum a_i E_i$ , we have

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E)) = \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i, U} = \bigcap \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i, X_\sigma} = \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \bigcap \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i, X_\sigma} = \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E))$$

Hence, by the above

$$\begin{aligned} B_U &= \text{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Cl}(Y_U/U)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y_U}(E)) \right) = \text{Spec}_U \left( \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \left( \bigoplus_{E^\Delta \in \text{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta)) \right) \right) = \\ &= \text{Spec}_U \left( \mathcal{O}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma}} \left( \bigoplus_{E^\Delta \in \text{Cl}(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)} \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_\Delta}(E^\Delta)) \right) \right) = U \times_{X_\sigma} B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

♣

**3.2.3. Local description of the exceptional divisor.** As a corollary from Lemma 3.2.2 we obtain:

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a locally toric morphism of locally toric schemes. Let  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be its full cobordization.*

*For any point  $p \in X$ , there is a toric chart  $\phi_U : U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , such that for the induced morphism  $B_U = \pi_B^{-1}(U) \rightarrow X_\Delta$ , there is a bijective correspondence between the strata  $s = \phi^{-1}(s_\tau)$  of the divisorial stratifications of the exceptional divisor  $D_{B_U}$  on  $B_U$ , (respectively  $D_{B_{U+}}$  on  $B_{U+}$ ) and the strata  $(s_\tau)$  of the exceptional divisor  $D_{B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)}$  on  $B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$  (respectively  $D_{B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)_+}$  on  $B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)_+$ ).*

*Proof.* The reasoning is the same as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.2(2). We can assume, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.2(2), that the inverse image  $\phi_U^{-1}(s_i) \subset U$  consists of a single stratum.

By Lemmas 3.2.2 and 3.3.2, we have the following fiber square diagram for the cobordizations, with horizontal morphisms being regular:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_U & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma) \\ \pi_U \downarrow & & \pi_B \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\phi_U} & X_\sigma = \mathbb{A}^n, \end{array}$$

and the analogous fiber square for  $B_{U+}$ . Consequently, the inverse image of the exceptional divisor on  $D_{B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)}$  is the exceptional divisor  $D_{B_U}$ . Its components are of the form  $VB(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)(t_i^{-1})$  and are associated with the components  $E_i^\Delta$ . Their inverse images are the irreducible components  $VB_U(t_i^{-1})$  corresponding to the exceptional components  $E_i = \phi^{-1}(E_i^\Delta)$ .

Since  $\phi_U$  is regular, the inverse image  $\phi^{-1}(\bar{s})$  of the closure  $\bar{s}$  of any stratum  $s$  of  $D_{B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)}$  is normal. Thus it is the disjoint union of the irreducible components.

To prove that  $\phi^{-1}(\bar{s})$  is irreducible on  $Y_U$ , we need to show that there is a single generic point  $p$  in the fiber  $\phi^{-1}(q)$  over the generic point  $q$  of  $s$ , and such that  $p$

is of the same codimension in  $U$  as  $s$  in  $B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$ . This can be reduced to the problem of the morphism of the fibers

$$\pi^{-1}(p^0) = F_{p^0} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(q^0) = F_{q^0},$$

where  $p^0 = \pi_B(p)$ , and  $q^0 = \pi_U(q)$  are the generic point of the relevant strata.

But this follows from the relation for the fibers of toric morphisms, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.2(2),

$$\pi^{-1}(p_i^0) = F_{p_i^0} = \text{Spec}(\kappa(p_i^0)) \times_{\text{Spec}(\kappa(q_i^0))} F_{q_i^0}.$$

♣

### 3.3. Description of cobordization of locally toric morphisms.

#### 3.3.1. Local functoriality of relative Cox spaces for smooth morphisms.

**Proposition 3.3.2.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism of locally toric varieties over a field  $\kappa$ . Let  $\phi : X' \rightarrow X$  be a regular morphism over  $\kappa$ , and  $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow X'$  will be the base change. Then for any  $p' \in Y'$  there are open neighborhoods  $U'$  of  $p'$ , and  $U$  of  $p := \phi(p')$ , with the induced smooth morphism  $\phi|_{U'} : U' \rightarrow U$  such that*

$$B(Y_U/U) \times_X X' \simeq B(Y_{U'}/U') \quad B(Y_U/U)_+ \times_X X' \simeq B(Y_{U'}/U')_+$$

Thus the full cobordization and cobordization of proper birational locally toric morphisms are functorial for regular morphisms up to torus factors.

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.2.2 and definition of locally toric morphisms

♣

#### 3.3.3. Local description of cobordization of locally toric morphisms.

**Lemma 3.3.4.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism over a field  $\kappa$ , and  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be its full cobordization. Then*

- (1)  $B_+ \subset B$  is the natural open immersion.
- (2) For any point  $p \in X$  there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$ , with a toric chart  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , and the torus

$$T_{B \setminus B_U} := \text{Spec}(\kappa[x_i, x_i^{-1} \mid E_i \subset B \setminus B_U]),$$

and an induced regular morphism

$$B_U = \sigma^{-1}(U) = B(Y_U/U) \times T_{B \setminus B_U} \rightarrow X_\sigma \times \mathbb{A}^k \times T_{B \setminus B_U}$$

- (3) If  $X$  is regular then  $B$  is regular.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2.2, the problem reduces locally to a toric situation via toric chart  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ .

(1) By Lemma 2.3.5,  $B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)_+ \hookrightarrow B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$  is an open inclusion. Thus, by Lemma 3.2.2,  $B_+ \subset B$  is also such.

(2) Also locally by Lemma 1.6.1, we can write  $B_U = B(Y_U/U) \times T_{B \setminus B_U}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2.2(4)  $B(Y_U/U) \rightarrow B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma)$  is regular. Finitely by Lemma 2.3.5(2),  $B(X_\Delta/X_\sigma) = X_\sigma \times \mathbb{A}^k$ .

- (3) Follows from (2).

♣

### 3.4. Local description of cobordization.

### 3.4.1. Cobordization of locally monomial maps.

**Definition 3.4.2.** Let  $X$  be a locally monomial scheme over a field  $\kappa$ . We say that  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is a locally toric system of parameters on  $X$  if there is a chart  $\phi : U \rightarrow X_\sigma$ , and a local system of toric parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  on  $X_\sigma$ , such that  $u_i = \phi^*(x_i)$ .

As a Corollary from Proposition 3.3.2, and Lemma 3.3.4 we obtain the following:

**Theorem 3.4.3.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism of locally toric schemes over a field  $\kappa$ . Then locally on  $X$  we can write up to torus factors*

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y/X} = \pi_*(\mathcal{C}_{Y/X}) = \mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k}],$$

where

- (1)  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is a locally toric system of parameters on an open  $U \subset X$  defining a toric chart for the morphism  $\pi$ ,
- (2)  $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha_i} := t_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots t_k^{a_{ik}}$ , with  $a_{ij} := \nu_i(u_j) \geq 0$ .

In particular, if  $X$  is regular then  $B$  and  $B_+ \subset B$  are regular. ♣

*Proof.* We use the fact from Lemma 3.2.2, that the valuations are locally monomial with respect to  $u_1, \dots, u_k$ , and Lemma 2.3.5(1). ♣

### 3.4.4. The cobordization of monomial morphisms.

Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism over  $\kappa$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a system of local parameters at a point  $p$  on a locally toric  $X$  defining a toric chart for a  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Then the full cobordization of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  can be represented as:

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, x'_1, \dots, x'_n] / (x'_1 \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha_1} - x_1, \dots, x'_k \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha_k} - x_k)).$$

Thus  $B = V(x'_1 \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha_1} - x_1, \dots, x'_k \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha_k} - x_k) \subset X \times \mathbb{A}^{n+k}$  is locally a closed subscheme of  $X \times \mathbb{A}^{n+k}$  defined by a system of local parameters. It is regular for a regular  $X$ . Consequently, the full cobordization  $B \rightarrow X$  can be described by a single chart up to a torus factor with the following coordinates:

- $t_i^{-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  is the inverse of the coordinate  $t_i$  representing the action of torus  $T = \text{Spec}(\kappa[\text{Cl}(Y/X)]) = \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}])$ .
- $x'_i = x_i \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and
- $x'_j = x_j$  for  $j > n$ .

The open subsets  $B_{x'_i} = B \setminus V(x'_i)$ , associated with the forms  $x'_i = x_i \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_i}$  cover the cobordization  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}_{\text{irr}})$  producing several "charts" similarly to the standard blow-up. These open affine subsets can be conveniently described by using toric geometry. They correspond to the maximal faces of the decomposition  $\Delta$  of the cone  $\sigma$  associated with the local toric chart.

If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the cobordant blow-up of a locally monomial  $\mathcal{J}$ , where  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{J})) \geq 2$ , then the subset  $B_+$ , by Lemma 1.4.4, can be described as  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ , and  $a_i$  are the coefficients of the exceptional divisor  $E = \sum a_i E_i$  of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ . In this case, the charts of  $B_+$  can also be interpreted by the vertices of the Newton polytope of  $\mathcal{J}$ . (See Example 2.6.14)

**Remark 3.4.5.** In the particular case, when considering the stack-theoretic quotients of the blow-up of a locally monomial ideal on a regular scheme, one obtains the definition of a *multiple weighted blow-up*  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}} = [B_+ // T]$  introduced in [AQ21] by Abramovich-Quek via the Satriano construction in [Sat13]. The more general definition of  $\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J},b}$  is discussed in Section 5.5.

3.4.6. *Weighted cobordant blow-ups.* Recall that the weighted stack-theoretic blow-ups were considered in the context of resolution in [McQ19] and [ATW19]. The definition of the *weighted cobordant blow-up* was introduced in [Wlo22]. One can view these notions from the more general perspective of Cox cobordant blow-ups or the multiple weighted blow-ups of Abramovich-Quek from [AQ21].

**Definition 3.4.7.** Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a partial system of local parameters on a regular scheme  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a center of the form  $(x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$ , where  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k$  are positive integers, and  $k > 1$ . Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ . By the *weighted cobordant blow-up* of  $\mathcal{J}$  we mean the cobordization  $B_{\mathcal{J}+} \rightarrow X$  of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ .

The corresponding monomial ideal on the toric chart  $\mathbb{A}_{\kappa}^k$  defines a piecewise linear function  $G := \min_i(a_i e_i^*)$  on the regular coordinate cone  $\sigma = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$ , where  $e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . The functions  $a_i e_i^*$  determine the ray

$$\rho := \{v \in \sigma \mid a_1 e_1^*(v) = \dots = a_k e_k^*(v)\}.$$

The ray  $\rho$  is generated by the primitive vector

$$w = (w_1, \dots, w_k) = w_1 e_1 + \dots + w_k e_k,$$

with relatively prime components and such that

$$w_1 a_1 = \dots = w_k a_k.$$

The normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  is described by the decomposition  $\Delta$  of  $\sigma$  into maximal subcones where  $G$  is linear.

Thus  $\Delta$  is the star subdivision  $\rho \cdot \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$  at a ray  $\rho$ . The vector  $w$  determines the valuation  $\nu_E$  of the unique irreducible exceptional divisor.

Then, by Lemma 2.3.5(1), the full cobordant blow-up of  $X$  at the center  $\mathcal{J}$  is defined by

$$B_{\mathcal{J}} = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, t^{w_1} x_1, \dots, t^{w_k} x_k]).$$

Here we have

$$w_i = \nu_E(x_i) = (w, e_i^*)$$

The cobordant weighted blow-up is simply  $(B_{\mathcal{J}})_+ = B \setminus V(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$ , where, by Lemma 1.4.4, we have  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}) = t^a \cdot \mathcal{J}$ , where  $a = \nu(\mathcal{J})$ . Thus

$$\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}) = (x_1^{a_1} t^{a_1 w_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k} t^{a_k w_k}).$$

We see that the cobordant weighted blow-up is the cobordization of an ordinary toric weighted blow-up corresponding to the star subdivision at the center  $v \in \sigma$ . We will discuss this construction in the context of the blow-ups of valutive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals in Section 5.4.

Observe that both notions: the one in Definition 3.4.7, and the one given by the formula  $B_{\mathcal{J}} = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, t^{w_1} x_1, \dots, t^{w_k} x_k])$ , as in [Wlo22], are different in the trivial case  $k = 1$  and the blow-up of  $(x_1^{a_1})$ . Then  $Y \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism, and  $B = B_+ = B_- \simeq Y \simeq X$ . However the formula from [Wlo22] gives us

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, t^{w_1} x_1]),$$

which defines the isomorphism of the quotients:

$$B/G_m \simeq B_+/G_m \simeq B_-/G_m \simeq Y \simeq X.$$

In this case,  $B_+$  is a locally trivial  $G_m$ -bundle. So both constructions of  $B_+$  differ locally by the torus factor.

**3.5. Geometric quotients for locally toric morphisms.** In general, when considering the cobordization  $B_+$  of a locally toric morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , one obtains the good quotient  $B_+ // T \simeq Y$ . Proposition 3.5.2, below shows that if  $X$  is regular then, by replacing  $B_+$  with an open subset  $B^s \subseteq B_+$  one obtains the geometric quotient  $B^s/T$  with a proper birational morphism  $B^s/T \rightarrow B_+ // T \simeq Y$ . Consequently,  $B^s$  has a geometric quotient  $B^s/T$  with abelian quotient singularities and the transformation  $B^s \rightarrow X$  can be used in the resolution instead of  $B_+ \rightarrow X$ .

**Lemma 3.5.1.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a locally toric morphism, with  $X$  regular.*

*Then its cobordization  $B_+$  determines the geometric quotient  $B_+ \rightarrow B_+/T \simeq Y$  iff  $Y$  has abelian quotient singularities.*

*Proof.* The problem is local and can be reduced to the toric morphism  $\pi : X_\Delta \rightarrow X_\sigma$  corresponding to the subdivision  $\Delta$  of a regular cone  $\sigma$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3.5, the full cobordization  $B$  of  $\pi$  is a regular scheme corresponding to the cone  $\Sigma_B$ , and  $B_+$  is its open toric subscheme. The natural projection  $\sigma_{B_+} \rightarrow \Delta$  corresponds to the geometric quotient iff  $\Delta$  is a simplicial fan, and thus  $Y$  has abelian quotient singularities. ♣

**Proposition 3.5.2.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism of locally toric schemes over a field, with  $X$  regular. Then  $B_+ = \text{Cox}(Y/X)_+ \subset B = \text{Cox}(Y/X)$  contains open maximal subsets  $B^s \subset B_+$  admitting geometric quotient  $B^s/T_B$  with the projective birational morphism*

$$B^s/T_B \rightarrow B_+/T_B = Y.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be the irreducible exceptional divisors of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , and  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$  be the associated exceptional valuations on  $X$ . By Lemma 3.2.2(5), the valuations are locally toric on  $X$ . Consider the sequence of the blow-ups at the valuations  $\nu_i$  as in [Wło20, Proposition 8.16.6]. These are precisely the normalized blow-ups of  $\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a, X}$  for a sufficiently divisible  $a$ .

Locally, in the compatible toric charts,  $U \rightarrow X_\sigma$  the sequence of the blow-ups correspond to a sequence of the star subdivisions at the vertices  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$  (see [Wło20, Lemma 7.3.9]). As the result we create a new subdivision  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  with  $\text{Vert}(\Delta') = \text{Vert}(\Delta)$ . This decomposition is simplicial. Indeed, let  $\delta_0$  be any cone in  $\Delta'$ . By the property of the star subdivisions, for any vertex  $v_0 \in \text{Vert}(\delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$  one can write  $\delta_0 = \langle v_0 \rangle + \delta_1$ , where  $\delta_1$  is a face of  $\delta_0$  of codimension one in  $\delta_0$ , and  $v_0$  is linearly independent of  $\text{Vert}(\delta_1)$ . We can run this argument inductively until we can represent  $\delta_0$  as  $\delta_0 = \langle v_0, \dots, v_r \rangle + \delta_r$ , where  $v_0, \dots, v_r \in \text{Vert}(\delta_0)$  are linearly independent of  $\text{Vert}(\delta_r) \subset \text{Vert}(\sigma)$ . Thus all the vertices of  $\delta_0$  are linearly independent.

By construction, the valuations of the exceptional divisors corresponding to  $\text{Vert}(\Delta) \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma)$  remain unchanged. Then  $B(Y/X) = B(Y'/X)$ . On the other



hand, by the description of the toric case from Lemma 2.3.5(4), we obtain the open inclusions

$$B^s := B(Y'/X)_+ \subseteq B(Y/X)_+ = B_+ \subseteq B(Y/X) = B.$$



#### 4. COBORDANT RESOLUTION OF SINGULARITIES

**4.1. The dual complex of the exceptional divisor.** One can extend the considerations and the results from Section 2.5.1.

**4.1.1. The exceptional divisor.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational locally toric morphism, where  $X$  is a regular scheme over a field  $\kappa$ , and  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor  $E$  of  $\pi$ . Let  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be the full cobordization of  $\pi$ . By Theorem 4.4.5,  $B$  is regular and there is an SNC divisor  $D = V_B(t_1^{-1} \cdots t_k^{-1})$  with irreducible components  $D_i = V_B(t_i^{-1})$ . So is the divisor  $D_+ = D|_{B_+}$  on  $B_+$ . Moreover, the exceptional divisor  $E$  of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is locally toric.

We can associate with the SNC divisors  $D$  on  $B$ ,  $D_+$  on  $B_+$ , and with the divisor  $E$  on  $Y$  the divisorial stratifications  $S_D$ ,  $S_{D_+}$ , and  $S_E$ , extending the definitions from Section 2.5.1. The strata of  $S_D$  are defined by the irreducible components of the locally closed sets :

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j,$$

where  $I \cup J = \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Replacing  $D_i$  with  $D_{i+}$  we obtain the definition for  $S_{D_+}$ . Consequently, any stratum  $s \in S_{D_+}$  extends to a stratum in  $S_D$ .

The closures of the strata  $s^E \in S_E$  are defined by the irreducible components of the intersections  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ . The strata  $s_E$  are obtained by removing from  $\overline{s^E}$  the proper closed subsets  $\overline{s'^E}$ . The stratifications  $S_D$ ,  $S_{D_+}$  and  $S_E$  determine the dual simplicial complexes  $\Delta_D$ ,  $\Delta_{D_+}$ , and  $\Delta_E$ . Since  $D$  and  $D_+$  are SNC, the simplices in  $\Delta_D$ , (respectively  $\Delta_{D_+}$ ) are in the bijective correspondence with the strata of  $S_D$  (respectively of  $S_{D_+}$ ). Moreover, by the above,  $\Delta_{D_+}$  is a subcomplex of  $\Delta_D$  corresponding to the strata of  $S_D$  which intersect  $B_+ \subset B$ . Also, under this identification  $\text{Vert}(\Delta_D) = \text{Vert}(\Delta_{D_+})$ .

The divisor  $E$  is usually not SNC, and the strata alone do not determine the faces of  $\Delta_E$ . The vertices  $v_i$  of  $\Delta_E$  correspond to the divisors  $E_i \leftrightarrow v_i$ . The simplices  $\sigma = \Delta(e_i \mid i \in I)$  in  $\Delta_E$  correspond to the pairs  $(s_\sigma^E, E_\sigma)$  consisting of a stratum  $s_\sigma \in S_E$  and a collection of divisors  $E_\sigma = \{E_i \mid i \in I\}$ , such that  $\overline{s_\sigma^E}$  is an irreducible component of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ . Thus, in this case, the correspondence between the faces of  $\Delta_E$  and the strata of  $S_E$  is not bijective, and the closures of strata could be represented by the intersections of components  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$  defined by different subsets  $I$ . (See also Section 2.5.1.)

Summarizing we have

**Lemma 4.1.2.** *A simplex  $\sigma$  in  $\Delta_B$  (respectively  $\Delta_{D_+}$ ,  $\Delta_E$ ) is represented by a pair*

$$(\{D_i \mid i \in I\}, \quad (\bigcap D_i)_0)$$

*consisting of a collection of the irreducible divisors  $D_i$ , (respectively  $D_{i+}$ ,  $E_i$ ) which have a nonempty intersection and an irreducible component  $(\bigcap D_i)_0$  of  $\bigcap D_i$  (respectively  $\bigcap D_{i+}$ ,  $\bigcap E_i$ ).*



**Corollary 4.1.3.** *With the previous assumptions and notations:*

- (1) *There is a bijective correspondence between the divisors  $D_i$ ,  $D_{i+}$ ,  $E_i$ , and the valuations  $\nu_i$ .*
- (2) (a) *If  $s \in S_D$  then  $s$  is a component of a locally closed set*

$$\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} D_i \setminus \bigcap_{D_i \not\supseteq s} D_i$$

- (b) *The image  $\pi_B(s)$  is closed. It is an irreducible component of the closed set*

$$\pi_B\left(\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} D_i \setminus \bigcap_{D_i \not\supseteq s} D_i\right) =$$

$$\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} Z_X(\nu_i) = \bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} \pi_B(D_i) = \bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} \pi(E_i)$$

where  $Z_X(\nu)$  denotes the center of a valuation  $\nu$  on  $X$ . Moreover, the sets  $\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} D_i$  are locally irreducible over  $X$ .

- (c) *The morphism  $\pi_B$  determines a bijective correspondence between the strata defined by the irreducible components of  $\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} D_i \setminus \bigcap_{D_i \not\supseteq s} D_i$  and the irreducible components of  $\bigcap_{D_i \supseteq s} Z_X(\nu_i)$ .*
- (3) *The morphism  $\pi_{B+,Y}$  determines a bijective correspondence between the components of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_{i+}$  and the components of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$ . This correspondence defines the isomorphism of the dual complexes  $\Delta_{D+} \simeq \Delta_E$ .*
- (4) *The morphism of the stratifications  $S_{D+} \rightarrow S_D$  maps a stratum  $s_+$  of  $S_{D+}$  into an open subset of a stratum  $s$  of  $S_D$ . It determines the inclusion of the dual complexes  $\Delta_{B+} \hookrightarrow \Delta_B$ .*

*Proof.* (1) The correspondence follows from Lemmas 1.2.9, and 1.2.11.

(2)-(5) By Lemma 3.2.2 and 3.2.4, we can reduce the situation locally to the toric case, where we use Lemmas 2.5.3, and 2.5.6, and Corollaries 2.5.5 2.5.7 and 2.5.8.

♣

4.1.4. *Dual complex of valuations of a locally toric morphism.* Let  $N = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k\}$  be the set of the exceptional valuations of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ . The vertices of  $\text{Vert}(\Delta_E)$ , and thus of  $\text{Vert}(\Delta_B)$  and  $\text{Vert}(\Delta_{B+})$  are in the bijective correspondence with the valuations in  $N$ , and the exceptional divisors  $E_i$ ,  $D_{i+}$ , and  $D_i$ :

$$\nu_i \leftrightarrow E_i = Z_Y(\nu_i) \leftrightarrow D_{i+} \leftrightarrow D_i.$$

Consequently, one can associate with the faces of  $\Delta_E$ ,  $\Delta_{B+}$ , and  $\Delta_B$  the subsets of  $N$ . This determines the complexes  $\Delta_B^N, \Delta_{B+}^N, \Delta_E^N$ , called the *dual valuation complexes*, together with natural isomorphisms of the simplicial complexes

$$\Delta_B \rightarrow \Delta_B^N, \quad \Delta_{B+} \rightarrow \Delta_{B+}^N, \quad \Delta_E \rightarrow \Delta_E^N$$

Then, by Lemma 4.1.3,  $\Delta_E^N = \Delta_{B+}^N$  determine the same subcomplex of  $\Delta_B^N$ .

The simplices of the valuation complexes will be called the *valuation faces*. The valuation faces come with natural face inclusions inherited from  $\Delta_B^N, \Delta_{B+}^N, \Delta_E^N$ .

By Lemma 4.1.3 we get:

**Lemma 4.1.5.** (1) A valuation face  $\sigma$  in  $\Delta_B^N$  is represented by a pair  $(\omega, Z_X^0(\omega))$  defined by the collection of valuations  $\omega = \omega_\sigma$  in  $N$ , such that

$$Z_X(\omega) := \bigcap_{\nu \in \omega} Z_X(\nu) \neq \emptyset,$$

and an irreducible component  $Z_X^0(\omega)$  of  $Z_X(\omega)$ .

(2) A simplex  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_E^N = \Delta_{B^+}^N$  corresponds to a subset  $\omega \subset N$ , such that

$$Z_Y(\omega) := \bigcap_{\nu \in \omega} Z_Y(\nu) \neq \emptyset,$$

and an irreducible component, denoted as  $Z_Y^0(\omega)$  of the set

$$Z_Y(\omega) := \bigcap_{\nu \in \omega} Z_Y(\nu).$$

The face relations are given by the inclusions of the sets of valuations and the associated components.  $\clubsuit$

**Remark 4.1.6.** Thus, the dual valuation complexes could be thought of as ordinary dual complexes of the exceptional divisors with the associated valuation structure so that the vertices define the relevant exceptional valuations, and the faces determine the sets of the valuations.

4.1.7. *Dual complex associated with a locally monomial ideal.* If  $\mathcal{J}$  is locally monomial ideal on a regular scheme, such that  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{I})) \geq 2$ , then one can associate with  $\mathcal{J}$  the normalized blow-up  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , and the full cobordant blow-up  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ . The morphism  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is locally toric, and we shall call the dual complexes  $\Delta_D$ ,  $\Delta_{D^+} \simeq \Delta_E$  and the corresponding dual valuation complexes  $\Delta_D^N$ ,  $\Delta_{D^+}^N \simeq \Delta_E^N$  associated with  $\mathcal{J}$ .

## 4.2. Graded rings defined by the valuations.

4.2.1. *Graded rings defined by valuations.* In the considerations below, let  $\omega = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r\}$  be a set of valuations on a regular scheme  $X$ . We associate with each valuation  $\nu_i$  a dummy variable  $t_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Set

$$\mathbf{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{t}^{-1} := (t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}).$$

Consider the partial componentwise order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ . For  $\alpha := (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$  we define the ideals

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha := \bigcap_{\nu_i \in \omega} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_X, \quad \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha} := \sum_{\beta > \alpha} \mathcal{J}_\omega^\beta.$$

This determines the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$ -graded Rees algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k} \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \mathbf{t}^\alpha \subset \mathcal{O}_X[\mathbf{t}],$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^\alpha = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_r^{\alpha_r}$ , and the associated gradation

$$(6) \quad \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k} (\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}) \mathbf{t}^\alpha = \mathcal{A}_\omega / (\mathcal{A}_\omega \cap \mathbf{t}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_\omega) = \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathbf{t}^{-1}] / (\mathbf{t}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathbf{t}^{-1}]).$$

In particular, for  $\alpha = 0 = (0, \dots, 0)$  we have locally on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega := \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>0} = \mathcal{I}_{Z_X(\omega)},$$

where

$$Z_X(\omega) := \bigcap_{i=1}^k Z_X(\nu_i).$$

Then  $\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is a sheaf of graded  $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}_\omega = \mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_\omega)}$ -modules.

**Lemma 4.2.2.** *Assume the valuations in the set  $\omega = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r\}$  are monomial for a certain partial system of local parameters  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  on a regular scheme  $X$ . Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{J}_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i,1} = (u_j \mid \nu_i(u_j) > 0, \text{ for some } \nu_i \in \omega)$ , and  
(2)  $\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_\omega)}[u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_n \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_n}]$ , where  $u_i \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^{\alpha_i} \setminus \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha_i}$ , and  $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$ , with  $\nu_i(u_j) = a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, n$

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $\mathcal{I}_{Z_X(\nu_i)} = (u_j \mid \nu_i(u_j) > 0)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{J}_\omega = \mathcal{I}_{Z_X(\omega)} = \sum_{\nu \in \omega} \mathcal{I}_{Z_X(\nu)} = (u_j \mid \nu_i(u_j) > 0, \text{ for some } \nu_i \in \omega)$$

(3) By definition of  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$ , the equality (5), and the Proof of Lemma 2.3.5(1).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathbf{t}^{-1}] &= \left( \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_r^{a_r} \right) [t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_r^{-1}] = \\ &= \mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_r^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_n \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_n}] = \mathcal{O}_X[\mathbf{t}^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_n \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_n}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in})$ , and  $\nu_i(u_j) = a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus by the equality (6):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X) &= \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathbf{t}^{-1}]/(\mathbf{t}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathbf{t}^{-1}]) = \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_X[\mathbf{t}^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_n \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_n}]) / (\mathbf{t}^{-1}) \simeq \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}_\omega)[u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_n \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_n}]. \end{aligned}$$

♣

We shall call the corresponding scheme

$$\mathbb{N}_\omega(X) := \text{Spec}_{V(\mathcal{J}_\omega)}(\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)) = \text{Spec}_{Z_X(\omega)}(\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X))$$

the *weighted normal bundle* of  $X$  at the set of valuations  $\omega$ .

One can extend this to any valuation face in  $\Delta_B^N$ , associated with a full cobordant blow-up  $B \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 4.2.3.** By the *weighted normal bundle* of  $X$  at the valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_B^N$  we mean the scheme

$$\mathbb{N}_\omega(X) := \text{Spec}_{Z_X^0(\omega)}(\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X))$$

over the component  $Z_X^0(\omega)$  of  $Z_X(\omega)$  associated with the face  $\omega$ .

As  $\Delta_E^N \subset \Delta_B^N$  the above definition is also valid for any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ .

4.2.4. *The ideals of the initial forms.* With any function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ , regular at  $p \in V(\mathcal{J})$ , such that  $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}$ , for a certain  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  one can associate the unique homogenous element, called the *initial form*

$$\text{in}_\omega(f) = (f + \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}) \in (\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}) \mathbf{t}_\omega^\alpha \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Similarly, we associate with an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ , the filtration  $\mathcal{I}_\omega^\alpha := \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha$  and set  $\mathcal{I}_\omega^{>\alpha} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}$ .

We define the *ideal of the initial forms* of  $\mathcal{I}$  to be the ideal

$$\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{I}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{I}_\omega^{>\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathcal{I}_\omega^\alpha + \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}) / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha} \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

on  $N_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$ .

For the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ , its *weak ideal of the initial forms* on  $N_{\mathcal{J}}(X)$  is given by

$$\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X) \cdot \mathcal{I}_\omega^{\alpha_0} / \mathcal{I}_\omega^{>\alpha_0} \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

where  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega^{\alpha_0}$ , and  $\mathcal{I} \not\subset \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha_0}$ .

**Remark 4.2.5.** For any function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ ,

$$\text{in}_\omega(f) = \text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X \cdot f) = \text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{O}_X \cdot f).$$

4.2.6. *Composition of gradations.*

**Lemma 4.2.7.** *Let  $\omega = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r\}$  be a set of valuations which are monomial for a common partial system of local parameters  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  on a regular  $X$ . Consider its partition into subsets  $\omega_1 = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_s\}$ , and  $\omega_2 = \{\nu_{s+1}, \dots, \nu_r\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{t} := (t_1, \dots, t_r)$  (respectively  $\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1} := (t_1, \dots, t_s)$ ) be the set of the unknowns  $t_i$  associated to the valuations  $\nu_i \in \omega$ , (respectively and  $\nu_i \in \omega_1$ ). Let  $\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1} = (u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$ . Then*

- (1) *The set  $\omega_2 = \{\nu_{s+1}, \dots, \nu_r\}$  determines the set of monomial valuations  $\overline{\nu}_2 = \{\overline{\nu}_{s+1}, \dots, \overline{\nu}_r\}$  on the multi-graded ring*

$$\text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1})}[u_1 \mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, u_\ell \mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_n}] \simeq \mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1})}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell],$$

with the ideals

$$\mathcal{I}_{\overline{\nu}_i, a_i} = \text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i})$$

- (2)  $\text{gr}_{\overline{\nu}_2}(\text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X)) \simeq \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

- (3) *If  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  then*

(a)  $\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I}) = \text{in}_{\overline{\nu}_2}(\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{I}))$ .

(b)  $\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{in}_{\overline{\nu}_2}^\circ(\text{in}_{\omega_1}^\circ(\mathcal{I}))$ .

*Proof.* (1) For  $j \leq \ell$ ,  $\text{in}_{\omega_{\omega_1}}(u_j)$  is identified with  $u_j$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1})}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ . Otherwise if  $j > \ell$ , then  $\text{in}_{\omega_{\omega_1}}(u_j)$  is a parameter in  $\mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1})} = \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{J}_{\omega_1} = \mathcal{O}_X / (u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$ . Consequently  $\nu_j$  determine the monomial valuations  $\overline{\nu}_j$  on  $\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)$  with  $\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{I}_{\nu_j, a}) = (\mathcal{I}_{\overline{\nu}_j, a})$ .

(2) and (3) For the multiindex  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , where  $\alpha_i$  correspond to  $\omega_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , consider a function  $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}$ :

$$f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha} = \mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2} \setminus (\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2} + \mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{>\alpha_2})$$

The ideal  $\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}) \subset \text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is homogenous and  $\text{in}_{\omega_1}(f)$  is in  $\alpha_1$ -gradation of  $\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1} \subset (\text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha_1}$ :

$$\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}} \subseteq (\text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha_1} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1}}$$

and

$$\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{>\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{>\alpha_2} + \mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}}$$

Consequently, by the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{in}_{\omega_2}(\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(f)) &\in \mathrm{in}_{\omega_2}(\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2})_{\alpha_1}) = \frac{(\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}))_{\alpha_1}}{(\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{>\alpha_2}))_{\alpha_1}} = \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{>\alpha_2} + \mathcal{J}_{\omega_1}^{>\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{J}_{\omega_2}^{\alpha_2}} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{\alpha}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{>\alpha}} = (\mathrm{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the initial form

$$\mathrm{in}_{\omega}(f) \in \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{\alpha}}{\mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{>\alpha}} = (\mathrm{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha},$$

determines the same element:

$$\mathrm{in}_{\omega}(f) = \mathrm{in}_{\omega_2}(\mathrm{in}_{\omega_1}(f)) \in (\mathrm{gr}_{\omega}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha} = \mathrm{gr}_{\omega_2}((\mathrm{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{\alpha_1})_{\alpha_2},$$

which implies (3). ♣

**4.3. The weighted normal bundles at valuations.** The following extends a classical result of Huneke-Swanson on extended Rees algebras and smooth blow-ups [HS06, Definition 5.1.5], and the recent results of Rydh in [QR19] and Włodarczyk in [Wło22, Lemma 5.1.4] on the weighted normal cone.

**Lemma 4.3.1.** *Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a locally toric proper birational morphism to a regular scheme  $X$  over a field  $\kappa$ , with the exceptional components  $E_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and let  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be its full cobordization. Then for any stratum  $s = s_{\omega} \in S_D$  of the exceptional divisor  $D = V(t_1^{-1} \dots t_k^{-1})$  on  $B$  and the corresponding valuation face  $\omega$  in  $\Delta_B^N$  there is an isomorphism:*

$$s \simeq \mathbb{N}_{\omega}(X) \times \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}.$$

where  $T_{\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}} := \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa[\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}, \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{-1}])$ , for the set  $\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}$  of the unknowns corresponding to the remaining exceptional valuations which are not in  $\omega$ .

*Proof.* We can replace  $X$  with its open subset and assume  $Z_X(\omega)$  is irreducible so that  $\pi_B(s) = Z_X^0(\omega) = Z_X(\omega)$ .

By separating variables into  $\mathbf{t}_{\omega}$  and  $\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}$  we can factor any monomial  $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{\alpha} \cdot \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{\alpha}$  uniquely into the product of the relevant monomials  $\mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{\alpha}$  and  $\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{\alpha}$  respectively in  $\mathbf{t}_{\omega}$  and  $\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}$ . Then by Corollary 4.1.3(2), we can write  $\bar{s} = V(\mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{-1})$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{s}$ , and  $s = V(\mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{-1}) \setminus V(\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{-1})$  as there is only one component of  $V(\mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{-1})$  mapping to  $\pi_B(s) = Z_X^0(\omega) = Z_X(\omega)$ .

By definition  $B = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{t}^{-1}])$ , where

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k} \mathcal{J}^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}^{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{J}^{\alpha} := \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{\omega} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{\alpha}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\omega}^{\alpha} := \bigcap_{\nu_i \in \omega} \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i, a_i} \subset \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Thus for the open subset  $B_{\omega} \subset B$  where  $\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{-1}$  are invertible we can write:

$$B_{\omega} := B_{\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{-1}} = \mathrm{Spec}_X(\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{t}^{-1}][\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}]) = \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathcal{A}_{\omega}[\mathbf{t}_{\omega}^{-1}][\check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}, \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}^{-1}])$$

Consequently  $s = V_{B_\omega}(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}) \subset B_\omega$ , by Corollary 4.1.3(2), so we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_s &= \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}][\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]/(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}) = (\mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}]/((\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\omega[\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}])))[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] = \\ &= (\mathcal{A}_\omega/((\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\omega) \cap \mathcal{A}_\omega))[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] = \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The latter equality follows from Section 4.2.1.  $\clubsuit$

4.3.2. *The weak and the strict transforms and the ideal of the initial forms.* The identification from Lemma 4.3.1 can be extended to the strict transforms of the ideals. The following generalizes the result from [Wlo22, Lemma 5.1.4] for the weighted blow-ups.

**Lemma 4.3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a regular scheme over a field. Let  $B \rightarrow X$  be the full cobordant blow-up of a locally monomial center  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  be an ideal sheaf on  $X$ . Let  $\sigma^s(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{O}_B$  be the strict transform of  $\mathcal{I}$ , and  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{O}_B$  be its weak transform (see Definition 1.4.2). Then for any  $s \in S_D$ , the natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}_s \simeq \mathcal{O}_B[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]/(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1}) \rightarrow \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$$

takes

- (1)  $\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})|_s$  onto  $\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I})[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$ .
- (2)  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})|_s$  onto  $\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I})[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{J}^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{J}^{>\alpha}$ . By the definition of

$$\mathcal{O}_B = \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha \geq 0} \mathcal{J}^\alpha \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \right) [\mathfrak{t}^{-1}]$$

we conclude that  $\sigma^s(f) = \mathfrak{t}^\alpha f$ .

Then  $f \in \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \setminus \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha}$ , and in a neighborhood of  $s$  we have that  $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}$  is invertible. Then the strict transform

$$\sigma^s(f) = \mathfrak{t}^\alpha f = \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha f \in \mathcal{J}^\alpha \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha [\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}],$$

and its reduction modulo  $(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1} \mathcal{O}_B \cap \mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha) \mathfrak{t}^\alpha = \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>\alpha} \mathfrak{t}^\alpha$  can be written as the homogeneous element

$$\sigma^s(f) = \mathfrak{t}^\alpha f + \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a} = \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha f + \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha \cdot \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a}$$

in

$$\mathcal{O}_B[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]/(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_B[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]) = \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$$

in the gradation

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha [\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]/(\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1} \mathcal{O}_B[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \cap \mathcal{O}_B[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}]) \\ &= (\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a}) \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha [\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand  $f$  determines its initial form

$$\text{in}_\omega(f) = (f + \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a}) \mathfrak{t}^\omega \in (\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a}) \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha,$$

and thus, by the above  $\sigma^s(f)$  naturally and bijectively corresponds to

$$\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha \text{in}_\omega(f) \in (\mathcal{J}_\omega^\alpha / \mathcal{J}_\omega^{>a}) \mathfrak{t}_\omega^\alpha [\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}] \subset \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_X)[\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^{-1}].$$

The latter differs from  $\text{in}_\omega(f)$  by the unit  $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha$ :

$$\check{\mathfrak{t}}_\omega^\alpha \text{in}_\omega(f) \sim \text{in}_\omega(f).$$

$\clubsuit$

#### 4.4. Cobordant resolution by locally monomial centers.

4.4.1. *Weighted normal cone.*

**Definition 4.4.2.** Let  $X$  be a regular scheme over a field. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed reduced subscheme with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}_Y$ . Let  $\omega$  be a set of monomial valuations for a partial system of local parameters. The subscheme  $C_\omega(Y) = V(\text{in}_\omega \mathcal{I}_Y) \subset \mathbb{N}_\omega(X)$  will be called the *weighted normal cone* of  $Y$  at  $\omega$ .

**Lemma 4.4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a regular universal catenary scheme over a field. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a subscheme of pure codimension  $d$ . Let  $\omega$  be a set of monomial valuations for a common partial local system of parameters  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  on  $X$ . Then  $C_\omega(Y)$  is of pure codimension  $d$  in  $\mathbb{N}_\omega(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r\}$  and  $\omega_1 = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{r-1}\}$  be its subset. Then, by Lemma 4.2.7, we can write

$$\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I}) = \text{in}_{\nu_r}(\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{I})),$$

where  $\nu_r$  is monomial on

$$\mathbb{N}_{\omega_1}(X) = \text{Spec}(\text{gr}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{O}_X)) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{V(\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1})}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell])$$

is also universally catenary. Here we assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{J}_{\omega_1} = u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  for  $\ell \leq k$ .

By the inductive argument for  $\text{in}_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{I})$  on  $\mathbb{N}_{\omega_1}(X)$  we can reduce the situation to a single monomial valuation  $\nu = \nu_r$ .

Let  $\nu(u_1) = w_1, \dots, \nu(u_k) = w_k$ , and find some integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  such that

$$a_1 w_1 = \dots = a_k w_k,$$

Consider the full cobordant blow-up  $B$  of  $\mathcal{I} = (u_1^{a_1}, \dots, u_k^{a_k})$

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, u_1 t^{w_1}, \dots, u_k t^{w_k}]).$$

We apply the argument from [Wło22, Theorem 5.2.1]. By the assumption,  $B$  is catenary. Let  $d$  be the codimension of  $Y$  in  $X$ . Then for the morphism

$$\pi_{B_-} : B_- = B \setminus V(t^{-1}) = X \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow X,$$

the inverse image  $\pi_{B_-}^{-1}(Y)$  is of pure codimension  $d$  in  $B_-$ . So it is its scheme-theoretic closure  $Y' := \overline{\pi_{B_-}(Y)}$ , which is the strict transform  $V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I}))$  of  $Y$ .

Note that  $t^{-1}$  is not a zero divisor in

$$Y' = V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_B/\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})),$$

since  $t^{-1}f \in \sigma^s(\mathcal{I})$  implies  $f \in \sigma^s(\mathcal{I})$ , by definition of the strict transform.

Then, by the Krull Hauptidealsatz, we have that each component of  $Y' \cap V(t^{-1})$  is of codimension 1 in  $Y'$ , and of codimension  $d+1$  in  $B$ . We conclude that each component of

$$Y' \cap V(t^{-1}) = V(\mathcal{O}_{t^{-1}} \cdot \sigma^s(\mathcal{I})) = C_\nu(Y) \subset \mathbb{N}_\nu(X)$$

is of codimension  $d$  in  $V(t^{-1}) = \mathbb{N}_\nu(X)$ .





4.4.4. *Cobordant resolution.* For any scheme  $Y$ , let  $\text{Sing}(Y)$  denote its singular locus. For any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$  by  $\text{Sing}(V(\mathcal{I}))$  we mean the singular locus of the scheme

$$V(\mathcal{I}) = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}).$$

The following theorem extends [Wlo22, Theorem 5.2.2].

**Theorem 4.4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a regular universally catenary scheme over a field. Let  $Y \subset X$  be an integral, closed subscheme of pure codimension  $d$  defined by  $\mathcal{I}_Y$ .*

*Assume there is a locally monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{I}_Y$  on  $X$ , with the cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J})$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ , and with the associated exceptional divisor  $E$  on the normalized blow-up  $\sigma : Y = \text{bl}_{\mathcal{J}}(X) \rightarrow X$ , and the dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$  such that*

- (1)  $\text{Sing}(V(\mathcal{I}_Y)) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J})$ .
- (2) For any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N \subset \Delta_B^N$ , and the ideal  $\text{in}_{\omega}(\mathcal{I}_Y) \subset \text{gr}_{\mathcal{J}_{\omega}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ <sup>1</sup> we have

$$\text{Sing}_{\mathbb{N}_{\omega}(X)}(V(\text{in}_{\omega} \mathcal{I}_Y)) \subseteq V(\text{in}_{\omega}^{\circ} \mathcal{J}).$$

(respectively

- (2') For any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N \subset \Delta_B^N$ , we have

$$\text{Sing}_{\mathbb{N}_{\omega}(X)}(V(\text{in}_{\omega}^{\circ} \mathcal{I}_Y)) \subseteq V(\text{in}_{\omega}^{\circ} \mathcal{J}).$$

*Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  defines a cobordant resolution of  $Y$ . That is, the strict transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I}_Y))$  of  $Y$  (respectively the weak transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^{\circ}(\mathcal{I}_Y))$  of  $Y$ ) is a regular subscheme of  $B_+$  of the codimension equal to the codimension of  $Y$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X$ . Thus, up to a torus factor, we can assume that the full cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  is given locally on  $X$  by

$$\sigma : B = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_X[\mathbf{t}^{-1}, \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1} u_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k} u_k]) \rightarrow X.$$

Then for the restriction morphism  $\pi_{B_-} : B_- = X \times T \rightarrow X$ , the inverse image  $\pi_{B_-}^{-1}(Y)$  is irreducible of codimension  $d$ . So is its closure  $Y' := \overline{\sigma^{-1}(Y)} = V(\sigma^{\circ}(\mathcal{I}_Y))$ , which is the strict transform of  $Y$ . Since  $V(\mathcal{J})$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , the divisor  $D = V_B(\mathbf{t}^{-1})$  is exceptional for  $B \rightarrow X$ . Observe that

$$\text{Sing}(Y') \setminus D = \text{Sing}(Y') \cap B_- \subset V_{B_-}^{\circ}(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq V_B(\sigma^{\circ}(\mathcal{J}))$$

On the other hand, the exceptional divisor  $D_+ = D|_{B_+}$  is the union of the strata  $s_+ \in S_{D_+}$ . By Corollary 4.1.3(4),(5), each such a stratum  $s_+$  extends to  $s \in S_D$ , and corresponds to the valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_{D_+}^N = \Delta_E^N \subset \Delta_D^N$ .

Since the singular locus of  $V(\text{in}_{\omega} \mathcal{I}_Y)$  is contained in  $V(\text{in}_{\omega}^{\circ}(\mathcal{J}))$  and by Lemmas 4.3.1, 4.3.3, we have

$$\text{Sing}(Y' \cap s) = \text{Sing}_{\mathbb{N}_{\omega}(X)}(V(\text{in}_{\omega} \mathcal{I}_Y)) \times \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega} \subseteq V(\text{in}_{\omega}^{\circ} \mathcal{J}) \times \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega} = V_B(\sigma^{\circ}(\mathcal{J})|_s),$$

Then using Lemmas 4.4.3 and 4.3.1 we conclude that the subscheme

$$Y' \cap s \simeq V(\text{in}_{\omega} \mathcal{I}_Y) \times \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}$$

is of pure codimension  $d$  in  $s \simeq \mathbb{N}_{\omega}(X) \times \check{\mathbf{t}}_{\omega}$ , and

$$(Y' \cap s) \cap B_+ = ((Y' \cap s) \setminus V_B(\sigma^{\circ}(\mathcal{J})))$$

is regular of codimension  $d$  in  $s$ .

<sup>1</sup>Definition 4.2.3

Hence for  $p \in ((Y' \cap s) \setminus V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})))$ , we can find parameters  $v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathcal{O}_{s,p} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{Y'} = (\mathcal{O}_{B,p} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{Y'}) / (\mathfrak{t}_\omega^{-1})$  at  $p$  which vanish on  $Y' \cap s$ . But these parameters come from local parameters in  $\mathcal{I}_{Y'}$  on  $B$  at  $p$ . So they define a regular subscheme  $Y''$  of  $B_+$  of codimension  $d$ , containing locally  $Y'$ . Thus  $Y''$  locally coincides with  $Y'$  which must be regular at  $p \in s \setminus V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$ . Consequently  $\text{Sing}(Y')$  is contained in  $V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$ , and, by Lemma 1.4.4,  $Y'$  is a regular subscheme of  $B_+ = B \setminus V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$  of codimension  $d$ .

The proof for the weak transform  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  (with stronger assumptions in condition (2')) is identical. ♣

As a corollary, we obtain the following:

**Theorem 4.4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over a field  $\kappa$  of any characteristic. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed integral subscheme of  $X$ . Assume there is a locally monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J} \supset \mathcal{I}_Y$  on  $X$ , with the cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J})$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ , and with the associated exceptional divisor  $E$  on the normalized blow-up  $\sigma : Y = \text{bl}_{\mathcal{J}}(X) \rightarrow X$ , and the dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$  such that*

- (1)  $\text{Sing}(V(\mathcal{I}_Y)) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J})$ .
- (2) For any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ , the ideal the singular locus

$$\text{Sing}_{\mathbb{N}_\omega(X)}(V(\text{in}_\omega \mathcal{I}_Y)) \subseteq V(\text{in}_\omega^\circ \mathcal{J}).$$

Then there is a resolution of  $Y$  at  $Z$ , that is, a projective birational morphism  $\phi : Y^{\text{res}} \rightarrow Y$  from a smooth variety  $Y^{\text{res}}$  with the exceptional locus  $Z \subset Y$ , such that  $\phi^{-1}(Z)$  is an SNC divisor on  $Y'$ .

*Proof.* Take the cobordant resolution  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  from Theorem 4.4.5. We use Section 3.4.4 to embed cobordant blow-up  $B_+$  as a smooth subspace of the relative affine space  $\mathbb{A}_X^n$ . This implies that  $B_+ \parallel T$  is locally toric.

The locally toric singularities of  $B_+ \parallel T$  can be canonically resolved by the combinatorial method of [Wło20, Theorem 7.17.1]. This produces the projective birational resolution  $Y' \rightarrow Y$  of  $Y$  such that the inverse image of the singular point is an SNC divisor. ♣

## 4.5. Resolution of hypersurfaces via the Newton method.

4.5.1. *The Newton polytope of a monomial ideal.* Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_Z^k = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k])$ , where  $Z$  is a smooth scheme over  $\kappa$ , and  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  is an ideal. One can extend the notion of the Newton polytope of monomial ideals  $I = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k}) \subset \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  considered previously in Section 2.6.1 in the case  $Z = \text{Spec}(\kappa)$ , where  $\kappa$  is a field.

As before, by the associated *Newton polytope* of  $\mathcal{I}$  we mean

$$P_{\mathcal{I}} := \text{conv}(\alpha_1 + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n, \dots, \alpha_k + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n$$

Conversely, with a polytope  $P \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n$  we associate the monomial ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_P := (x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n).$$

4.5.2. *The initial forms defined by faces.*

**Definition 4.5.3.** (see [AQ21]) If  $\mathcal{I} = (x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a monomial ideal and  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$  is its Newton polytope and  $P \leq P_{\mathcal{I}}$  be its face, we define the *initial form with respect to a face of the  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$*  to be:

$$\text{inv}_P(\mathcal{I}) := (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \cap P).$$

The definition  $\text{inv}_P(\mathcal{I})$  is a particular case of the notion of the initial form  $\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  with respect to a valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ .

By Corollary 2.6.13 we obtain

**Lemma 4.5.4.** *Let  $\Delta_E^N$  be the valuation dual complex associated with a monomial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , and let  $P = P_{\mathcal{I}}$  be its Newton polytope.*

*Any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$  of the associated dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$  defines the induced face*

$$P_\omega := P \cap \bigcap_{\nu \in \omega} H_\nu$$

*of the Newton polytope  $P$ , for the supporting hyperplanes  $H_\nu$  associated with  $\nu$  and we have:*

$$\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{inv}_{P_\omega}(\mathcal{I}).$$

*Conversely for any supporting face  $P'$  of  $P$  there is a valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ , such that  $\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) = \text{inv}_{P'}(\mathcal{I})$ .  $\clubsuit$*

**Remark 4.5.5.** The above correspondence is not bijective. Several valuation faces  $\omega$  could define the same supporting face of  $P$ . The information encoded in the dual valuation complex is richer and can be applied to a more general setting.

4.5.6. *The Newton polytopes of polynomials and ideals.* By the *Newton polytope* of the function

$$f = \sum c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(Z)[x_1, \dots, x_k],$$

where  $c_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$  we mean the Newton polytope of the monomial ideal

$$\mathcal{J}_f := (x^\alpha \mid c_\alpha \neq 0),$$

generated by the exponents  $\alpha$  occurring in the presentation of  $f$  with nonzero coefficients. Note that  $\mathcal{J}_f$  is the smallest monomial ideal which contains  $f$ .

This definition can be extended to any ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ . We associate with  $\mathcal{I}$  the monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{I}_f$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{I}$ . The Newton polytope  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  is simply the Newton polytope of the monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ .

If  $P \leq P_{\mathcal{I}}$  is a face of the Newton polytope  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ , and  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$  we put

$$\text{inv}_P(f) := \sum_{\alpha \in A \cap P} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha.$$

Then  $\text{inv}_P(\mathcal{I})$  is the ideal generated by  $\text{inv}_P(f)$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\Delta_E^N$  be the dual valuation complex associated with a monomial ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ . Recall that, by Section 4.2.4, and using identification :  $\text{gr}_\omega \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k] = \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , for any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$  we write

$$\text{inv}_\omega(f) := \sum_{\alpha \in A_{\omega, f}} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]) = \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k].$$

where

$$A_{\omega, f} = \{\alpha \in A \mid \nu(x^\alpha) = \nu(f), \nu \in \omega\}.$$

Similarly for the ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  the ideal of the initial forms  $\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  is generated by all  $\text{inv}_\omega(f)$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $\nu(f) = \nu(\mathcal{I})$  for all  $\nu \in \omega$ .

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5.4, and the above:

**Lemma 4.5.7.** *Let  $P_f$  (respectively  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ ) be the Newton polytope of  $f = \sum c_\alpha x^\alpha$  (respectively of an ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ ), and let  $\mathcal{J}_f$  (respectively  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ) be the associated monomial ideal. Then for any valuation face  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$  of the associated dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$  and the corresponding face  $P_\omega$  of  $P$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inv}_\omega(f) &= \text{inv}_{P_\omega}(f). \\ (\text{respectively } \text{inv}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}) &= \text{inv}_{P_\omega}(\mathcal{I}). \end{aligned}$$

♣

4.5.8. *Resolution by the Newton polytopes.* The following is a particular case of Theorem 4.4.5 for hypersurfaces, written in a more straightforward setup.

**Theorem 4.5.9.**  *$X = \mathbb{A}_Z^n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , where  $Z$  is a regular scheme over a field  $\kappa$ . Let*

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in A_f} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$$

where  $c_\alpha \neq 0$  for  $\alpha \in A_f$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} = (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A_f)^{\text{sat}}$  be the induced monomial ideal, and  $P_f = P_{\mathcal{J}}$  be its Newton polytope. Assume that

- (1) The cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J})$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Sing}(V((f))) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J})$ .
- (3) For any supporting face  $P$  of  $P_f$ ,  $\text{Sing}(V(\text{in}_P(f))) \subset V(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{J}))$ .

Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  resolves the singularity of  $V(f)$ . That is, the strict transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^s(f))$  of  $Y$  (which coincides with the weak transform  $V(\sigma^\circ(f))$  of  $Y$ ) is a regular subscheme of  $B_+$ .

*Proof.* By Lemmas 4.5.7, 4.5.4, and the assumption (3) we get that

$$\text{Sing}(\text{inv}_\omega(f)) = \text{Sing}(\text{inv}_\omega^\circ(f)) \subset V(\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{J})),$$

for any  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$  and the corollary follows from Theorem 4.4.5.

♣

**Remark 4.5.10.** The theorem shows that in the case of hypersurface  $V(f)$  the critical combinatorial information is related to the faces  $P$  of the Newton polytope  $P_f$ . Generally, one considers the dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$  associated with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ . In such a case,  $\text{inv}_P(f)$  is replaced with more general  $\text{inv}_\omega(\mathcal{I})$ , and the role of the Newton polytope of a monomial ideal is limited (see Theorems 4.4.5, Theorems 4.6.9). However, it still can be used in the context of the order of the ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  (see Theorems 4.8.1, 4.8.2).

One can easily extend these results to the products of schemes:

**Theorem 4.5.11.** *Let  $X = \prod_Z X_j$ , where each  $X_j = \mathbb{A}_Z^{n_j} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_j]) = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Z[x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jk_j}]$ , where  $Z$  is a regular scheme over a field  $\kappa$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Let*

$$f_j = \sum_{\alpha \in A_{f_j}} c_{j\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{j\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jk_j}]$$

where  $c_{j\alpha} \neq 0$  for  $\alpha \in A_{f_j}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{J}_j = (\mathbf{x}_j^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A_{f_j})^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_j]$$

be the induced monomial ideal, and  $P_{f_j} := P_{\mathcal{J}_j}$  be its Newton polytope in  $\mathbb{Q}^{k_j}$ . Assume that for any  $j = 1, \dots, r$

- (1) The cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J}_j)$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ ,
- (2)  $\text{Sing}(V(f_j)) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J}_j)$ .
- (3) For any supporting face  $P$  of  $P_{f_j}$ ,  $\text{Sing}(V(\text{in}_P(f))) \subseteq V(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{J}_j))$ .

Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}_j$  resolves the singularity of  $V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ . That is, the strict transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^s((f_1, \dots, f_k)))$  of  $Y$  is a regular subscheme of  $B_+$ .

*Proof.* The cobordant blow-up of  $\prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}_j$  is equal to the product over  $Z$  of the cobordant blow-ups  $B_{j+}$  of  $\mathcal{J}_j$  on  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_j])$ , each of which is smooth over  $Z$ .

♣

**4.6. The Abramovich-Quek resolution.** The following result is due to Abramovich-Quek (with some minor modifications):

**Corollary 4.6.1.** [AQ21, Theorem 5.1.2] *Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_Z^n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $Z$  is a regular scheme over a field. Consider the induced SNC divisor  $D := V(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ . Let*

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in A_f} c_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(Z)[x_1, \dots, x_k]$$

where  $c_\alpha \neq 0$  for  $\alpha \in A_f$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_f := (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A_f)$  be the associated monomial ideal, and  $P_f := P_{\mathcal{J}}$  be its Newton polytope. Assume that the cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J})$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , and for any face  $P$  of  $P_f$ , the ideal  $(\text{in}_P(f))$  determines a smooth subscheme outside of  $D$ .

Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  resolves the singularity of  $V(f)$ . That is, the strict transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^s(f)) = V(\sigma^\circ(f))$  of  $Y$  is a regular subscheme of  $B_+$ .

**Remark 4.6.2.** Note that unlike in the original formulation the coefficients  $c_\alpha$  are not necessarily invertible. Theorem 4.6.1 is further generalized for the ideals in the context of order. See Remark 4.8.3.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0^\vee = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  be the cone corresponding to the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

It suffices to show that conditions (2), (3) of Theorem 4.5.9 are satisfied.

To prove condition (3) let  $P'$  be any supporting face of  $P_f$ . By Lemma 2.6.5, there is a stratification of  $X$  with strata  $s_\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma_0$ . It is determined by the pull-back of the orbit stratification on  $X_{\sigma_0}$ , via  $X = X_{\sigma_0} \times Z \rightarrow X_{\sigma_0}$ .

Assume that  $\overline{s_\tau}$  is not in  $V(\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{J}))$ . This means, by Corollary 2.6.6, that  $\tau^*$  intersects  $P'$  so we consider the face  $P := P' \cap \tau^*$ . Moreover, by Lemma 2.6.5, we can write the closure of the stratum  $s_\tau$  as

$$\overline{s_\tau} := V(x_i \mid x_i \notin \tau^*).$$

On the other hand, since  $P \subset \tau^*$ , the polynomial  $\text{in}_P(f) \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*] \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  can be identified with

$$\text{in}_P(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}} \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_i \mid x_i \notin \tau^*) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*].$$

Now  $\text{in}_P(f)$  is simply equal to

$$\text{in}_P(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}} = \text{in}_{P'}(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}},$$

By the assumption  $\text{in}_P(f) \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*]$  is a local parameter on

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus V(\prod x_i)) &= \\ (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*]) \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i)) &\times (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \notin \tau^*]) \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \notin \tau^*} x_i)), \end{aligned}$$

and on

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*]) \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i)) &\simeq \\ \simeq V(x_i \mid x_i \notin \tau^*) \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i) &= \overline{s_\tau} \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i) = s_\tau \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\text{in}_P(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}} = \text{in}_{P'}(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}}$  defines a local parameter on the stratum  $s_\tau$ . This implies that  $\text{in}_{P'}(f)$  is a local parameter on all strata  $s_\tau$  outside of  $V(\text{in}_{P'}^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$ .

The proof of condition (2) is similar. Consider any face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma_0$ . If  $\overline{s_\tau}$  is not in  $V(\mathcal{J})$ , then, by Corollary 2.6.6,  $\tau$  intersects  $P_f$  so we consider the face  $P := P_f \cap \tau^*$ . Consequently, by the assumption

$$(\text{in}_P(f))|_{\overline{s_\tau}} = f|_{\overline{s_\tau}} \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_i \in \tau^*]$$

defines a local parameter on the stratum

$$s_\tau = \overline{s_\tau} \setminus V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i),$$

This implies that  $f$  is a local parameter on all strata  $s_\tau$  outside of  $V(\mathcal{J})$ , showing condition (2) of Theorem 4.5.9 and completing the proof. ♣

**Corollary 4.6.3.** *Let  $Z$  be a regular scheme over a field  $\kappa$ , and  $X = \prod_Z X_j$ , where  $X_j := \mathbb{A}_Z^{n_j} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(Z)[x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jk_j}]$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Let*

$$f_j = \sum_{\alpha \in A_{f_j}} c_{j\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{j\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_Z[x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jk_j}]$$

where  $c_{j\alpha} \neq 0$  for  $\alpha \in A_{f_j}$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_j := (\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A_{f_j})$  be the induced monomial ideal, and  $P_{f_j} := P_{\mathcal{J}_j}$  be its Newton polytope in  $\mathbb{Q}^{k_j}$ . Assume that for any  $j = 1, \dots, r$  the cosupport  $V(\mathcal{J}_j)$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , and for any face  $P$  of  $P_{f_j}$ , the ideal  $(\text{in}_P(f_j))$  determines a smooth subscheme outside of  $V(x_{j1} \cdots x_{jk_j})$ . Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\prod_{j=1}^r \mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{J}_j$  resolves the singularities of  $V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ . That is, the strict transform  $Y' = V(\sigma^s((f_1, \dots, f_k)))$  of  $Y$  is a regular subscheme of  $B_+$ .

4.6.4. *Examples of resolution.*

**Theorem 4.6.5.** *Let  $X = \text{Spec}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k])$ , where  $Z$  is a smooth variety over a field  $\kappa$ . Consider the closed subscheme  $Y$  on  $X$  defined by a function  $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  of the form*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k c_{\alpha_i}(v) \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i},$$

where  $c_{\alpha_i}(v) \in \mathcal{O}(Z)^*$  are invertible.

Assume that for the presentation of  $f$ , one of the following holds:

- $\text{char}(\kappa) = 0$ , and for any  $\alpha_i$  except possibly one, there is a variable  $x_{j_i}$  such that a power  $x_{j_i}^{\alpha_{j_i}}$  of  $x_{j_i}$ , occurs in  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$  and  $x_{j_i}$  does not occur in the others  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \neq i$ .
- $\text{char}(\kappa) = p$ , and for any  $\alpha_i$  except possibly one there is a variable  $x_{j_i}$  such that a power  $x_{j_i}^{\alpha_{j_i}}$  of  $x_{j_i}$ , occurs in  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$ , with  $p \nmid \alpha_{j_i}$  and  $x_{j_i}$  does not occur in the others  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \neq i$  except as some  $k \cdot p$ -th power for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J} = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k})$  resolves singularity, so that the strict transform  $\pi_B^s(f)$  determines a regular subscheme  $\sigma^s(Y)$  of  $B_+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  be the ideal generated by  $f$ , and all the derivatives  $D(f)$ . At any point  $p$  of  $\text{Sing}(f)$ , we have that  $\text{ord}_p(f) \geq 2$  which implies  $\text{Sing}(V(f)) = V(\mathcal{D}(f))$ . But the ideal  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  contains all but possibly one monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i} \sim x_{j(i)} D_{x_{j(i)}}(f)$ . Since  $f = \sum_{i=1}^k c_{\alpha_i}(v) \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$ , and all but at most one monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_i}$  are in  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  we conclude that  $\mathcal{D}(f) \supseteq \mathcal{J} = (\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_k})$ . So

$$\text{Sing}(Y) = V(\mathcal{D}(f)) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J}).$$

Similarly

$$\text{Sing}(\text{in}_P(f)) = V(\mathcal{D}(\text{in}_P(f))) \subseteq V((\text{in}_P^\circ(\mathcal{J}))).$$

Thus the conditions of Theorem 4.5.9 are satisfied. ♣

**Example 4.6.6.** Let  $f = x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_k^{a_k} \in \kappa[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , where the characteristic  $p$  divides at most one  $a_i$ . Then the cobordant blow-up of  $(x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$  resolves singularity. By Example 3.4.6, it is given by

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[\mathbf{t}^{-1}, x_1 \mathbf{t}^{w_1}, \dots, x_k \mathbf{t}^{w_k}])$$

$$B_+ = B \setminus V_B(\sigma^s(\mathcal{J})) = B \setminus V_B(x_1 \mathbf{t}^{w_1}, \dots, x_k \mathbf{t}^{w_k}).$$

The morphism  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  is interpreted in Section 5.4 as the cobordant blow-up of the weighted center  $\mathcal{J} = (x_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, x_k^{1/w_k})$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-1}$ .

**Example 4.6.7.**

$$x_1^p + ax_2^p x_3 + bx_1 x_4^p x_5^{p^2} \in \kappa[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5],$$

where  $a, b \in \kappa^*$  can be resolved by the single cobordant blow-up of

$$\mathcal{J} = (x_1^p, x_2^p x_3, x_1 x_4^p x_5^{p^2})$$

over a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic  $p$ . Here for  $x_2^p x_3$  the variable  $x_3$  does not occur in the other terms, and for  $x_1 x_4^p x_5^{p^2}$  the coordinate  $x_1$  occurs in the other terms as  $x_1^p$ -power or does not show at all.

**Example 4.6.8.**

$$x_1^2 x_2^5 + 7x_4^7 x_3^5 + 25x_1 x_3^6 \in \kappa[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

can be resolved by the cobordant blow-up of

$$\mathcal{J} = (x_1^2 x_2^5, x_4^7 x_3^5, x_1 x_3^6)$$

over a field  $\kappa$  of  $\text{char}(\kappa) \neq 5, 7$ . We use  $x_2$  for  $x_1^2 x_2^5$ , and  $x_4$  for  $x_4^7 x_3^5$ .

**Theorem 4.6.9.** *Let  $Z$  be a smooth variety over a field  $\kappa$ . Let*

$$X = \text{Spec}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \text{Spec}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r]),$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_i := (x_{k_{i-1}}, \dots, x_{k_i-1}),$$

for  $k_0 = 1 < k_1 < \dots < k_r = n + 1$ . Consider the closed subscheme  $Y$  of  $X$  defined by the set of the polynomial functions  $f_j \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, r$  of the form

$$f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r_j} c_{\alpha_{ij}}(v) \mathbf{x}_j^{\alpha_{ij}},$$

where  $c_{\alpha_{ij}}(v) \in \mathcal{O}(Z)^*$  are invertible.

Assume that for any  $j = 1, \dots, r$  and for the presentation of  $f_j$  one of the following holds:

- $\text{char}(\kappa) = 0$ , and for any  $\alpha_{ij}$  except possibly one, there is a variable  $x_{j_i}$  such that a power  $x_{j_i}^{\alpha_{ij}}$  of  $x_{j_i}$ , occurs in  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{ij}}$  and  $x_{j_i}$  does not occur in the others  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{i'j}}$  for  $i' \neq i$ .
- $\text{char}(\kappa) = p$ , and for any  $\alpha_{ij}$  except possibly one there is a variable  $x_{j_i}$  such that a power  $x_{j_i}^{\alpha_{ij}}$  of  $x_{j_i}$  occurs in  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{ij}}$ , with  $p \nmid \alpha_{ij}$  and  $x_{j_i}$  does not occur in the others  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_{i'j}}$  for  $i' \neq i$  except as some  $k \cdot p$ -th power for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of

$$\mathcal{J} = \prod_{j=1}^r (\mathbf{x}_j^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j^{\alpha_k})$$

resolves singularity, so that the strict transform  $\sigma^s(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  determines a smooth subvariety of  $B_+$ .

*Proof.* The space  $X$  can be written as the fiber product

$$X = \prod_Z \mathbb{A}_Z^{k_i - k_{i-1}} = \prod_Z \text{Spec}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_j]).$$

The cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  is equal to the product over  $Z$  of the cobordant blow-ups  $B_{j+}$  of  $(\mathbf{x}_j^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j^{\alpha_k})$  on  $\text{Spec}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z[\mathbf{x}_j])$ , and each of  $B_{j+}$  is smooth over  $Z$  by Theorem 4.6.5.



**Example 4.6.10.** The system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^p + ax_1 x_2^p x_3 + bx_4 x_5^p x_6^2 &= 0 \\ y_1^p + cy_2^p y_3 y_6 + dy_1 y_4^p y_5^p y_6^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in

$$\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_6, y_1, \dots, y_5],$$



where  $a, b, c, d \in \kappa^*$ , can be resolved by the single cobordant blow-up of

$$\mathcal{J} = (x_1^p, x_2^p x_3, x_1 x_4 x_5^p x_6^{p^2}) \cdot (y_1^{p^3}, y_2^{p^2} y_3, y_4 y_5^p y_6^{p^2})$$

in characteristic  $p$ .

**Example 4.6.11.** Let  $f_j = x_{1j}^{a_1} + \dots + x_{kj}^{a_k} \in \kappa[x_{ij}]$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and the characteristic  $p$  divides at most one  $a_{ij}$  for any  $j$ . Then the cobordant blow-up of  $\prod_j (x_{1j}^{a_1}, \dots, x_{kj}^{a_k})$  resolves singularity of  $V(f_j)_{j=1, \dots, k}$ .

**4.7. Partial resolution by the order.** The method can be linked to different invariants, particularly to the order

$$\text{ord}_p(\mathcal{I}) := \max\{k \mid \mathcal{I}_p \subset \mathfrak{m}_p^k\},$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is the maximal ideal of a point  $p \in X$ .

**Definition 4.7.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on a regular scheme  $X$ , and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be an integer. We define

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, d) := \{p \in X \mid \text{ord}_p(\mathcal{I}) \geq d\}.$$

The following theorem extends [Wło22, Lemma 5.3.1]:

**Theorem 4.7.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on a regular scheme  $X$  over a field, and let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be any natural number. Assume that there exists a locally monomial center  $\mathcal{J}$ , with  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{J})) \geq 2$ , with the associated dual valuation complex  $\Delta_E^N$ , and such that*

- (1)  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, d) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J}) \subset X$ ,
- (2)  $\text{supp}(\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I}), d) \subseteq V(\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{J})) \subset \mathbb{N}_\omega(X)$ , for any  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ .

(respectively

- (2')  $\text{supp}(\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{I}), d) \subseteq V(\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{J})) \subset \mathbb{N}_\omega(X)$ , for any  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ .)

Then for the cobordant blow-up  $\sigma_+ : B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ , the maximal order of the strict transform  $\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})$  (respectively the weak transform  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})$ ) on  $B_+$  is strictly smaller than  $d$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q \in D_+ = D \setminus V_B(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J}))$ , where  $D = V(t_1^{-1} \dots t_k^{-1})$  is the exceptional divisor of  $B \rightarrow X$ . Then there is  $\omega \in \Delta_E^N$ , and the corresponding stratum  $s$  in  $S_D$  such that

$$q \in s \setminus V(\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})).$$

By Lemmas 4.3.1, 4.3.3, there is a natural isomorphism  $s \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\omega(\mathcal{O})[\check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega^{-1}])$ , which takes  $\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})|_s$  to  $\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I})[\check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$  (and  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{J})|_s$  to  $\text{in}_\omega^\circ(\mathcal{J})[\check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega^{-1}]$ ). Consequently

$$\text{ord}_q(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})) \leq \text{ord}_q(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})|_s) = \text{ord}_q(\text{in}_\omega(\mathcal{I})[\check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega, \check{\mathbf{t}}_\omega^{-1}]) < d.$$

If

$$q \in B \setminus D \setminus V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{J})) = B_- \setminus V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{J})) = (X \setminus V(\mathcal{J})) \times T,$$

then since  $\pi_B(q) \in X \setminus V(\mathcal{J})$  we conclude that

$$\text{ord}_q(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})) = \text{ord}_{\pi_B(q)}(\mathcal{I}) < d.$$

The proof for  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  is the same. ♣

**4.8. The Newton method of decreasing order.** As a corollary from Theorem 4.7.2, and Lemma 4.5.4 we obtain:

**Theorem 4.8.1.**  $X = \mathbb{A}_Z^n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_X[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , where  $Z$  is regular over a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  be an ideal, and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , be its associated monomial ideal with the Newton polytope  $P_{\mathcal{J}} = P_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be any natural number such that

- (1)  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{J})) \geq 2$ .
- (2)  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, d) \subseteq V(\mathcal{J})$ .
- (3) for any supporting face  $P$  of  $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ ,  $\text{supp}(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{I}), d) \subset V(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{J}))$ .

Then the maximal order of the weak transform  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  on  $B_+$  under cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  is strictly smaller than  $d$ .  $\clubsuit$

Thus we get

**Theorem 4.8.2.**  $X = \mathbb{A}_Z^n = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_X[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ , where  $Z$  is regular over a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Z[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  be an ideal, and  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , be its associated monomial ideal with  $\text{codim}(V(\mathcal{J})) \geq 2$ , and let  $P_{\mathcal{J}} = P_{\mathcal{I}}$  be its Newton polytope and  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be any natural number such that for any face  $P$  of  $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ ,

$$\text{supp}(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{I}), d) \subset D := V(x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k).$$

Then the maximal order of the weak transform  $\sigma^\circ(\mathcal{I})$  under cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  is strictly smaller than  $d$ .  $\clubsuit$

*Proof.* The proof uses similar arguments as the proof of Corollary 4.6.1. We need to show that the conditions of Theorem 4.8.1 are satisfied

For condition (3) of Theorem 4.8.1, let  $P'$  be any supporting face of  $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Consider the closure of the stratum  $\overline{s_\tau}$ , where  $\tau$  is a face of  $\sigma_0$ .

If  $s_\tau$  is not in  $V(\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{J}))$  consider the face  $P := P' \cap \tau^*$ . Then

$$\text{supp}(\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{I})|_{\overline{s_\tau}}, d) = \text{supp}(\text{in}_P(f)|_{\overline{s_\tau}}, d)$$

is contained in  $\overline{s_\tau} \setminus s_\tau = V(\prod_{x_i \in \tau^*} x_i)$  so it is not in  $s_\tau$ . This implies that  $\text{supp}(\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{I}), d)$  is contained  $V(\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{J}))$ .

The proof of condition (2) of Theorem 4.8.1 is the same, except we replace  $P'$  with  $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ ,  $\text{in}_{P'}(\mathcal{J})$  with  $\mathcal{J} = \text{in}_{P_{\mathcal{J}}}(\mathcal{J})$ , and  $P$  with  $P = P_{\mathcal{J}} \cap \tau^*$ .

Thus the corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4.8.1.  $\clubsuit$

**Remark 4.8.3.** Theorems 4.8.1, 4.8.2 generalize respectively Theorem 4.5.9, and Corollary 4.6.1. We put  $\mathcal{I} = (f)$ , and  $d = 2$ . Then  $\text{Sing}(V(f)) = \text{supp}((f), 2)$ .

**Example 4.8.4.** (See also Example 2.6.14)

Let  $Y \subset X = \text{Spec } \kappa[x, y, z]$  be described by the ideal

$$\mathcal{I} = (x^k + xy + y^l, \quad z^{kl} + x^{k-2}z^{kl-1} + y^{k-2}z^{kl-1})$$

of order 2, where  $\text{gcd}(k, l) = 1$ . Consider the corresponding admissible monomial ideal

$$\mathcal{J} = (x^k, xy, y^l, z^{kl}, x^{k-2}z^{kl-1}, y^{k-2}z^{kl-1})$$

and its associated Newton polytope  $P$  generated by the exponents

$$(k, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (0, l, 0), (0, 0, kl) \subset \sigma^\vee = \langle e_1^*, e_2^*, e_3^* \rangle.$$

This corresponds to two supporting faces  $P_1, P_2$  defined, respectively, by

$$(k, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (0, 0, kl),$$

and

$$(1, 1, 0), (0, l, 0), (0, 0, kl).$$

They intersect at the face  $P_{12} = (1, 1, 0), (0, 0, kl)$ .

The faces  $P_1, P_2$  corresponds to the primitive vectors  $v_1 = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  such that

$$a_1 k = a_1 + a_2 = a_3 k l,$$

and  $v_2 = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ , where

$$b_1 + b_2 = l b_2 = k l b_3$$

in the dual plane. So

$$v_1 = (l, l(k-1), 1), \quad v_2 = (k(l-1), k, 1).$$

This defines the set of two extremal valuations  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ .

Then

$$\text{in}_{P_1}(\mathcal{I}) = (x^k + xy, z^{kl}), \quad \text{in}_{P_2}(f) = (xy + y^l, z^{kl}), \quad \text{in}_{P_{12}}(f) = (xy, z^{kl}).$$

By considering the ideals of the derivatives  $\mathcal{D}(\text{in}_P(f))$  we see that in all cases

$$\text{supp}(\text{in}_P(\mathcal{I}), 2) = V(x, y, z)$$

Similarly  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{I}, 2) = V(x, y, z)$ .

The cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J} = (x^k, x^2 y, y^l)$  is described as

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, x t_1^l t_2^{k(l-1)}, y t_1^{l(k-1)} t_2^k, z t_1 t_2]) = \\ &= \text{Spec}(\kappa[t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, x t_1^l t_2^{k(l-1)}, y t_1^{l(k-1)} t_2^k, z t_1 t_2]) \\ B_+ &= B \setminus V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{J})) = B \setminus \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \mathcal{J}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma^s(\mathcal{J}) = \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \mathcal{J}$ , and the coefficients are given by the exceptional divisor  $E = \alpha_1 E_1 + \alpha_2 E_2$  of the toric normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

$$\alpha_1 = \nu_1(f) = a_1 k = a_1 + a_2 = a_3 k l = k l,$$

and

$$\alpha_2 = \nu_2(f) = b_1 + b_2 = l b_2 = k l b_3 = k l$$

Thus

$$B_+ = B \setminus V((x^k, xy, y^l, z^{kl}) \cdot t_1^{kl} t_2^{kl}),$$

By Lemma 4.6.9, the cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J} = (x^k, xy, y^l, z^{kl})$  decreases the order of  $\mathcal{I}$  to 1.

## 5. GENERALIZED COBORDANT BLOW-UPS AND $\mathbb{Q}$ -IDEALS

### 5.1. Cobordization with respect to subgroups $\Gamma \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 5.1.1.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper birational morphism. Let  $\Gamma \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be a finitely generated subgroup. We define the *full cobordization* (resp. *cobordization of  $\pi$* ) with respect to  $\Gamma$  to be

$$B = B^\Gamma := \text{Spec}_X(\pi_* (\bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma} \mathcal{O}_X(E))) \quad B_+ = B_+^\Gamma = \text{Spec}_Y(\bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma} \mathcal{O}_Y(E)).$$

**Proposition 5.1.2.** *The natural morphism  $B_+^\Gamma \rightarrow B^\Gamma$  is an open immersion if locally on  $X$  there are forms  $F = f x^{-E}$ , with  $E \in \Gamma$  such that  $X_F$  are open affine and cover  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The proposition follows from the first part of the proof of Proposition 1.3.4.  $\clubsuit$

**Definition 5.1.3.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of the an  $\mathcal{I}$  on a normal scheme  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be a finitely generated subgroup. Then we define the *full cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}$  with respect to  $\Gamma$*  (resp. the *cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}$  with respect to  $\Gamma$* ) to be the full cobordization (resp. cobordization of)  $\pi$  with respect to  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 5.1.4.**  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of an ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  on a normal scheme  $X$ , and let  $E^0$  be the exceptional Cartier divisor such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E^0) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{J}$ . If a finitely generated group  $\Gamma \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  contains divisor  $E^0$ , then  $B_+^\Gamma = B^\Gamma \setminus V(\mathcal{I}t^{-E^0})$ .

*Proof.* The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 1.4.4.  $\clubsuit$

## 5.2. Simple cobordant blow-up of ideal $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Definition 5.2.1.** Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a normal scheme  $X$ , with the exceptional divisor  $E^0$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E^0) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}$ . By the *simple cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$*  we mean the cobordization  $B_+^\Gamma$  of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  with respect to the subgroup  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \cdot E^0 \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X)$  generated by  $E^0$ .

**Lemma 5.2.2.** *The simple cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}$  is given by*

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[\mathfrak{t}^{-1}, \mathcal{I}t])^{\text{int}}, \quad B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}t).$$

*Proof.* It follows that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-nE^0) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}^n$ . Moreover  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-nE^0)) = (\mathcal{I}^n)^{\text{int}}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{I}^n$ .

Consequently

$$B = B_+^\Gamma = \text{Spec}_X(\pi_*\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_Y(nE^0)\mathfrak{t}^{nE^0}\right)) = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, \mathcal{I}t])^{\text{int}},$$

under the identification of  $\mathfrak{t}^{E^0}$  with  $t^{-1}$ . By Proposition 5.1.4,

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\sigma^s(\mathcal{I})) = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}t).$$

and thus is described by the standard Rees extended algebra.  $\clubsuit$

## 5.3. Cobordant blow-ups of $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals.

5.3.1. *Valuative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals.* The *valuative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals* were introduced in [ATW19]. Here we consider its particular version considered in [Wlo22].

**Definition 5.3.2.** By *valuative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals*, or, simply,  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals* on a normal scheme  $X$  we mean the equivalence classes of formal expressions  $\mathcal{I}^{1/n}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ideal on  $X$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals  $\mathcal{I}^{1/n}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}^{1/m}$  are equivalent if the integral closures of  $\mathcal{I}^m$ , and  $\mathcal{J}^n$  are the same.

In particular, if  $D$  is a Cartier effective divisor on  $X$  then any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier effective divisor  $\frac{1}{m} \cdot D$  determines the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\frac{1}{m}}$ .

By the *vanishing locus* of  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/n}$  we mean  $V(\mathcal{J}) = V(\mathcal{I})$ .

One can define the operation of addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals:

$$\mathcal{I}^{1/n} + \mathcal{J}^{1/m} := (\mathcal{I}^m + \mathcal{J}^n)^{1/mn}, \quad \mathcal{I}^{1/n} \cdot \mathcal{J}^{1/m} = (\mathcal{I}^m \cdot \mathcal{J}^n)^{1/mn}.$$

For any valuative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/n}$  on  $X$  we define the associated ideal of sections on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_X := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X \mid f^n \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{int}}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{int}}$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{I}$ . In particular, for the effective Cartier divisor  $D$ , we have the equalities

$$(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{1/m})_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-\frac{1}{m}D) = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X \mid \text{div}(f) - \frac{1}{m}D \geq 0\}.$$

With any valuative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  we associate the *Rees algebra* on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_X[\mathcal{J}t]_X = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathcal{J}^n)_X t^n \subset \mathcal{O}_X[t],$$

and the *extended Rees algebra* on  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, \mathcal{J}t]_X = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{J}_X^n t^n \oplus \bigoplus_{-n \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0}} t^{-n} \subset \mathcal{O}_X[t, t^{-1}].$$

**5.3.3. Cobordant blow-up of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals.** Let  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal on  $X$ . Consider the normalized blow-up  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ , with the exceptional divisor  $E^0$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E^0) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E^0)^{1/m}$ , which corresponds to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier exceptional divisor  $\frac{1}{m}E^0$  on  $Y$ .

Consequently, by the *blow-up* of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$  we mean the the normalized blow-up  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ , with the associated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $\frac{1}{m}E^0$ .

**Definition 5.3.4.** By the *simple cobordant blow-up/full cobordant blow-up of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$*  we mean the cobordization/full cobordization of the normalized blow-up  $Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{m}E^0 \subset \text{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  generated by  $\frac{1}{m}E^0$ .

**Lemma 5.3.5.** *Let  $\sigma : B \rightarrow X$  be the simple full cobordant blow-up of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$  on a normal scheme  $X$ . Then*

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, \mathcal{J}t])_X$$

- (1)  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J}t)$
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J} = t^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{B_+}$

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $E^0$  is the exceptional divisor on  $Y$  such  $\mathcal{O}_X \cdot \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E_0)$ . Thus, by [Wlo22, Proof of Lemma 2.1.4],

$$\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-\frac{n}{m}E^0)) = (f \in \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathcal{O}_X : f^n \in \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-mE_0)) = (\mathcal{I}^m)^{\text{int}}) = \mathcal{J}_X^m.$$

giving the formula for  $B$ :

$$B = \text{Spec}_X(\pi_*(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_Y(n \cdot (1/m) \cdot E^0)t^n)) = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, \mathcal{J}t])_X.$$

By Proposition 5.1.4,

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathfrak{t}^{-E^0}) = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} \mathfrak{t}^{-(1/m)E^0}) = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J}t),$$

as  $(1/m)E^0$  generates  $\Gamma$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}^{(1/m)E^0}$  corresponds to  $t^{-1}$ . Thus the inverse image of

$$\mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{I}^{1/m} = \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J} = t^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J}t = \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot t^{-1}$$

is a Cartier exceptional divisor. We use here the fact that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}t|_{B_+} = \mathcal{O}_{B_+}$ , as  $\mathcal{J}t = (\mathcal{I}t^a)^{1/a} = (\mathcal{I} \cdot t^{-E^0})^{1/a}$  is trivial on  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{I}t^{-E^0})$ .  $\clubsuit$

**5.4. Weighted cobordant blow-ups revisited.** Let  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow X$  be the simple cobordant blow-up of the weighted center  $\mathcal{J} = (u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  is a partial system of local parameters on a regular scheme. Assume, first, that the weights  $w_i$  are relatively prime. The center  $\mathcal{J}$  can be written as  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$ , where

$$\mathcal{I} = (u_1^{m/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{m/w_k}),$$

is the ideal, and the weights  $w_i | m$ .

Let  $E^0$  be the exceptional divisor of the blow-up  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $\nu_{E^0}$  be the associated exceptional valuation. Using the toric chart, defined by  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  one reduces the situation to the blow-up of the toric  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  on a toric variety  $X_\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$  is regular. The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{1/m}$  defines a piecewise linear convex function

$$F_{\mathcal{J}} = \min\left(\frac{1}{w_1}e_1^*, \dots, \frac{1}{w_k}e_k^*\right) = 1/m \cdot \min\left(\frac{m}{w_1}e_1^*, \dots, \frac{m}{w_k}e_k^*\right).$$

The normalized blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  defines a decomposition  $\Delta$  of  $\sigma$  into the maximal subcones where  $F_{\mathcal{J}}$  is linear. Let  $w := (w_1, \dots, w_k)$ , and

$$F_{\mathcal{J}}(e_i) = 0, \dots, F_{\mathcal{J}}(w) = 1.$$

Then  $\Delta$  is the star subdivision at  $\langle w \rangle$ . Moreover, the vector  $mw$  corresponds to  $mF_{\mathcal{J}} = F_{\mathcal{I}}$  in the sense that they define the same Weil divisors, and  $w$  and corresponds to  $E^0$ , so the valuation  $\nu_{E^0}$  on  $Y$  is associated with  $w$ . In particular,

$$\nu_{E^0}(u_i) = e_i^*(w) = w_i.$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{O}_Y \cdot (u_1^{m/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{m/w_k}) = \mathcal{O}_Y(-mE^0)$  is the ideal of the Cartier divisor  $mE^0$  on  $Y$ , associated with the integral function  $mF_{\mathcal{J}}$ , and the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J} = (u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$  corresponds to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $(1/m) \cdot mE^0 = E^0$  which is a Weil divisor.

The cobordant blow-up associated with the group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \cdot E^0 = \text{Cl}(Y/X)$  is given by the standard formula from Theorem 3.4.3:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \text{Spec}_X(\pi_*\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_Y(nE^0)\right)t^n) = \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, \mathcal{J}t])_X = \\ &= \text{Spec}_X\left(\bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{\nu, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_k^{a_k}\right) = \\ &= \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, u_1 t^{w_1}, \dots, u_k t^{w_k}]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $w_i = \nu_{E^0}(u_i)$ .

In general, for arbitrary weights, the simple cobordant blow-up of  $(u_1^{1/w_1}, \dots, u_k^{1/w_k})$  is associated with the group  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \frac{1}{w^0}E^0 = \frac{1}{w^0} \cdot \text{Cl}(Y/X)$ , where  $w^0 := \text{gcd}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ , and with the valuation  $w^0\nu$ , with

$$w^0\nu(x_i) = w_i.$$

Now

$$\mathcal{I}_{w^0\nu, a} = (u_1^{b_1} \cdots u_k^{b_k}) \mid \sum_{j=1}^k b_j w_j \geq a).$$

Comparing gradations we see

$$\bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{w^0 \nu, a} t^a = \mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, u_i t^{w_i}].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \operatorname{Spec}_X(\pi_* (\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_Y(n \cdot (1/w^0) \cdot E^0) t^n) = \\ &= \operatorname{Spec}_X(\bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{I}_{w_0 \nu, a_i} \cdot t^a) = \operatorname{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t^{-1}, t^{w_1} x_1, \dots, t^{w_k} x_k]). \end{aligned}$$

By the above  $B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J}t) = B \setminus V(t^{w_1} x_1, \dots, t^{w_k} x_k)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_{B_+} \cdot t^{-1}$ .

These weighted cobordant blow-ups were studied in [Wlo22] and used for the resolution of varieties in characteristic zero and some classes of singularities in positive and mixed characteristic. To a great extent, they are equivalent to the stack-theoretic weighted blow-ups introduced and considered in [McQ19], and [ATW19].

**5.5. Multiple weighted cobordant blow-ups of Abramovich-Quek.** In the paper [AQ21], the authors consider the generalization of the weighted blow-ups, so-called, *multi-weighted blow-ups*  $Bl_{\mathcal{J}, b}$ , associated with a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  and a vector  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . They are constructed locally in toric charts in the language of fantastacks and stack-theoretic quotients via Satriano combinatorial approach [Sat13]. The *multi-weighted blow-ups* are used to prove the logarithmic resolution on smooth toroidal ambient Artin stacks in characteristic zero.

We give here a geometric interpretation of this construction in the language of cobordizations with respect to a subgroup. In particular, this approach does not rely on coordinates or combinatorics.

Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the normalized blow-up of a locally monomial center  $\mathcal{J}$  on a regular scheme over a field. Denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  the irreducible exceptional divisors. Let  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k$  be the associated exceptional valuations. We consider the full cobordant blow-up of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to the subgroup

$$\Gamma_b := \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_1} E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_k} E_k \subset \operatorname{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

for any positive integers  $b_1, \dots, b_k$ , and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . Write

$$B = \operatorname{Spec}_X(\pi_* (\bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma_b} \mathcal{O}_X(E))), \quad B_+ = \operatorname{Spec}_Y(\bigoplus_{E \in \Gamma_b} \mathcal{O}_Y(E)).$$

The generators  $\frac{1}{b_1} E_1, \dots, \frac{1}{b_k} E_k$  are associated with the monomial valuations

$$\nu_1^b := b_1 \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k^b := b_k \nu_k.$$

Then locally on  $X$  using the Proposition 1.2.2, and the proof of Lemma 2.3.5(1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \operatorname{Spec}_X \left( \bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{I}_{\nu_i^{b_i}, a_i} \cdot t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_k^{a_k} \right) = \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X[t_i^{-1}, u_j t_i^{\nu_i^{b_i}(u_j)}][\check{t}_i, \check{t}_i^{-1}] = \\ &= \operatorname{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_k^{-1}, u_1 \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_1^b}, \dots, u_k \mathbf{t}^{\alpha_k^b}]), \end{aligned}$$

where

- $\check{t}_i := t_1, \dots, \check{t}_i, \dots, t_k$
- $u_1, \dots, u_k$ , is a system of coordinates on open  $U \subset X$  defining monomial generators for  $\mathcal{J}$ , and
- $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha_i^b} := t_1^{\alpha_{i1}^b} \cdots t_k^{\alpha_{ik}^b}$ , with  $\alpha_{ij}^b := \nu_i^{b_i}(u_j) = b_i \nu_i(u_j) \geq 0$ .

Note that under this correspondence  $t_i^{-1} \mapsto \mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{b_i} E_i}$ .

Let

$$(7) \quad E^0 = a_1 E_1 + \dots + a_k E_k$$

be the exceptional divisor of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ , for the relevant  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , such that  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-E^0) = \mathcal{O}_Y \cdot \mathcal{J}$ . By Proposition 5.1.4,

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^{-E^0}) = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^{\alpha^b}),$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^{\alpha^b}$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{t}^{-E^0}$ , under  $t_i^{-1} \mapsto \mathbf{t}^{\frac{1}{b_i} E_i}$ . Thus by (7),  $\alpha^b = (b_1 a_1, \dots, b_k a_k)$ , and

$$B_+ = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} \mathbf{t}^{-E^0}) = B \setminus V(\mathcal{J} t_1^{b_1 a_1} \cdots t_k^{b_k a_k}).$$

In particular, if  $X$  is regular over a field and  $\mathcal{J}$  is a locally monomial ideal on  $X$ , then the full cobordant blow-up  $B$  of  $\mathcal{J}$  with respect to  $\Gamma_b$  is regular.

5.5.1. *Multiple weighted blow-ups associated with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideals.* Consider the normalized blow-up  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  of a monomial  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ , with the associated exceptional divisor  $E^0 = a_1 E_1 + \dots + a_k E_k$  with rational, positive coefficients  $a_i$ , as in Section 5.3.3. We choose  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  with  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , such that

$$\Gamma_b = \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_1} E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{b_k} E_k \subset \operatorname{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

is the minimal subgroup of  $\operatorname{Cl}(Y/X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  containing  $E^0$ .

Thus any monomial  $\mathbb{Q}$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^k$  determines a unique associated cobordant blow-up  $B_+ \rightarrow X$  with respect to the group  $\Gamma_b$ . This way, taking the stack-theoretic quotient, we obtain the Abramovich-Quek *multiple weighted blow-up*  $[B_+ // T] \rightarrow X$  from [AQ21], which is necessarily regular for a regular  $X$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AH06] K. Altmann and J. Hausen. Polyhedral divisors and algebraic torus actions. *Math. Ann.*, 334(3):557-607, 2006. doi:10.1007/s00208-005-0705-8.
- [AIPSV12] K. Altmann, N. O. Ilten, L. Petersen, H. Süß, and R. Vollmert. The geometry of  $T$ -varieties. In *Contributions to algebraic geometry*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 17-69. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012. doi:10.4171/114-1/2.



- [AP12] K. Altmann and L. Petersen. Cox rings of rational complexity-one T-varieties. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 216(5):1146–1159, 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2011.12.018.
- [ACDL21] M. Artebani, C. Correa Deisler, and A. Laface. Cox rings of K3 surfaces of Picard number three. *J. Algebra*, 565:598–626, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2020.08.016.
- [AHL10] M. Artebani, J. Hausen, and A. Laface. On Cox rings of K3 surfaces. *Compos. Math.*, 146(4):964–998, 2010. doi:10.1112/S0010437X09004576 .
- [ABHW18] I. Arzhantsev, L. Braun, J. Hausen, and M. Wrobel. Log terminal singularities, platonic tuples and iteration of Cox rings. *Eur. J. Math.*, 4(1):242–312, 2018. doi:10.1007/s40879-017-0179-8.
- [ADHL15] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface, *Cox rings*, 2015, pp. viii+530. MR 3307753
- [AKMW02] Dan Abramovich, Kalle Karu, Kenji Matsuki, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), no. 3, 531–572 (electronic). MR 1896232 (2003c:14016)
- [AQ21] Dan Abramovich and Ming Hao Quek, *Logarithmic resolution via multi-weighted blow-ups*, 2021.
- [ATW17] Dan Abramovich, Michael Temkin, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Principalization of ideals on toroidal orbifolds*, *JEMS*, to appear, (2017), arXiv:1709.03185.
- [ATW19] ———, *Functorial embedded resolution via weighted blowings up*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1906.07106.
- [ATW20] Dan Abramovich, Michael Temkin, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Relative desingularization and principalization of ideals*, arXiv:2003.03659.
- [BP04] V. V. Batyrev and O. N. Popov. The Cox ring of a del Pezzo surface. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties* (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 85–103. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004. doi:10.1007/978-0-8176-8170-8 5.
- [BHHL16] B. Bechtold, J. Hausen, E. Huggenberger, and M. Nicolussi. On terminal Fano 3-folds with 2-torus action. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 1(5):1563–1602, 2016. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv190.
- [BM97] Edward Bierstone and Pierre D. Milman, *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, *Invent. Math.* **128** (1997), no. 2, 207–302. MR 1440306 (98e:14010)
- [BR19] Daniel Bergh and David Rydh, *Functorial destackification and weak factorization of orbifolds*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1905.00872.
- [BM21] L. Braun, J. Moraga Iteration of Cox rings of klt singularities, arXiv:2103.13524
- [Cox95] David A. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, 1995, pp. 17–50. MR 1299003
- [Dan78] V. I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), no. 2(200), 85–134, 247. MR 495499
- [DH98] Igor V Dolgachev and Yi Hu, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1998), no. 87, 5–56, With an appendix by Nicolas Ressayre. MR 1659282
- [DHHKL15] U. Derenthal, J. Hausen, A. Heim, S. Keicher, and A. Laface. Cox rings of cubic surfaces and Fano threefolds. *J. Algebra*, 436:228–276, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.028.
- [DB16] M. Donten-Bury. Cox rings of minimal resolutions of surface quotient singularities. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 58(2):325–355, 2016. doi:10.1017/S0017089515000221 .
- [DBG17] M. Donten-Bury and M. Grab. Crepant resolutions of 3-dimensional quotient singularities via cox rings, 2017, arXiv:1701.09149.
- [DBK16] M. Donten-Bury and S. Keicher. Computing resolutions of quotient singularities. *J. Algebra*, 472:546–572, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.10.042.
- [EH02] Santiago Encinas and Herwig Hauser, *Strong resolution of singularities in characteristic zero*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **77** (2002), no. 4, 821–845. MR 1949115
- [ER21] Dan Eddidin and David Rydh, *Canonical reduction of stabilizers for Artin stacks with good moduli spaces*, *Duke Math. J.* **170** (2021), no. 5, 827–880. MR 4255045
- [EV03] Santiago Encinas and Orlando Villamayor, *A new proof of desingularization over fields of characteristic zero*, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebraic*

- Geometry and Singularities (Spanish) (Sevilla, 2001), vol. 19, 2003, pp. 339–353. MR 2023188
- [FGL11] L. Facchini, V. Gonzalez-Alonso, and M. Lason. Cox rings of du Val singularities. *Matematiche (Catania)*, 66(2):115–136, 2011. doi:10.4418/2011.66.2.11.
- [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1644323
- [G20] M. Grab. Cox rings and symplectic quotient singularities with torus action, 2020, arXiv:1807.11438.
- [HT04] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel. Universal torsors and Cox rings. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002)*, volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 149–173. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004. doi:10.1007/978-0-8176-8170-8\_10.
- [HKL16] J. Hausen, S. Keicher, and A. Laface. Computing Cox rings. *Math. Comp.*, 85(297):467–502, 2016. doi:10.1090/mcom/2989.
- [HAM21] J. Hausen, A. Laface, and C. Mauz. On smooth fano fourfolds of picard number two, 2021, arXiv:1907.08000.
- [HS] J. Hausen and H. Suß. The Cox ring of an algebraic variety with torus action. *Adv. Math.*, 225(2):977–1012, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.aim.2010.03.010.
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **79** (1964), 109–203; *ibid.* (2) **79** (1964), 205–326. MR 0199184
- [HM15] A. Hochenegger and E. Martinengo. Mori dream stacks. *Math. Z.*, 280(3-4):1185–1202, 2015. doi:10.1007/s00209-015-1472-1.
- [HMT20] A. Hochenegger, E. Martinengo, and F. Tonini. Cox ring of an algebraic stack, 2020, arXiv:2004.01445.
- [HK00] Yi Hu and Sean Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, vol. 48, 2000, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday, pp. 331–348. MR 1786494
- [HS06] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 336, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2266432
- [KKMSD73] George Kempf, Finn Faye Knudsen, David Mumford, and Bernard Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 339, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. MR 0335518 (49 #299)
- [Kol07] János Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR 2289519 (2008f:14026)
- [McQ19] Michael McQuillan, *Very fast, very functorial, and very easy resolution of singularities*, 2019, preprint, written with the collaboration of G. Marzo.
- [Oda88] Tadao Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry—toric varieties and applications. I*, 1988, pp. 89–94. MR 966447
- [QR19] Ming Hao Quek and David Rydh, *Weighted blow-ups*, 2019, in preparation.
- [Que20] Ming Hao Quek, *Logarithmic resolution via weighted toroidal blowings up*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2005.05939.
- [Rei] Miles Reid, *What is a flip?*, Colloquium Talk, Univ. Of Utah, Dec , 1992.
- [Sat13] Matthew Satriano, *Canonical Artin stacks over log smooth schemes*, *Math. Z.* **274** (2013), no. 3-4, 779–804. MR 3078247
- [Tha94a] Michael Thaddeus, *Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula*, *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), no. 2, 317–353. MR 1273268
- [Tha94b] ———, *Toric quotients and flips*, *Topology, geometry and field theory*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, pp. 193–213. MR 1312182
- [Tha96] ———, *Geometric invariant theory and flips*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), no. 3, 691–723. MR 1333296
- [Vil89] Orlando Villamayor, *Constructiveness of Hironaka’s resolution*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **22** (1989), no. 1, 1–32. MR 985852
- [Wło00] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Birational cobordisms and factorization of birational maps*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **9** (2000), no. 3, 425–449. MR 1752010 (2002d:14019)

- [Wło03] ———, *Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem*, *Invent. Math.* **154** (2003), no. 2, 223–331. MR 2013783 (2004m:14113)
- [Wło05] ———, *Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), no. 4, 779–822 (electronic). MR 2163383
- [Wło20] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Functorial resolution except for toroidal locus. Toroidal compactification*, *Advances in Mathematics* Volume 407, 8, October 2022, 108551.
- [Wło22] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Functorial resolution by torus actions*, arXiv e-prints (2022) arXiv:2007.13846 .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET,, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067

*Email address:* wlodarcz@purdue.edu