

ELLIPTIC KZB CONNECTIONS VIA UNIVERSAL VECTOR EXTENSIONS

TIAGO J. FONSECA AND NILS MATTHES

ABSTRACT. Let S be a scheme of characteristic zero, $E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve, $f : E^{\natural} \rightarrow S$ be its universal vector extension, and $\pi : E^{\natural} \rightarrow E$ be the natural projection. Given a finite subset of torsion sections $Z \subset E(S)$, we study the dg-algebra over \mathcal{O}_S of relative logarithmic differentials $\mathcal{A} = f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^{\bullet}(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$. In particular, we prove that the residue exact sequence in degree one splits canonically, and we derive the formality of \mathcal{A} . When S is smooth over a field k of characteristic zero, we prove that sections of \mathcal{A}^1 admit canonical lifts to absolute logarithmic differentials in $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$. This extends a well known property for regular differentials given by the ‘crystalline nature’ of universal vector extensions.

Using the formalism of bar complexes and their relative versions, we apply the above results to give a new, purely algebraic, construction of the so-called *universal elliptic KZB connection* in arbitrary level. We compute explicit analytic formulae, and we compare our results with previous approaches to elliptic KZB equations and multiple elliptic polylogarithms in the literature.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The universal vector extension of an elliptic curve	12
3. Relative logarithmic differentials on the universal vector extension	14
4. Canonical lifts of relative logarithmic differentials	20
5. Relative elliptic KZB connections	23
6. Absolute elliptic KZB connections	29
7. Analytic formulae	35
Appendix A. Unipotent connections and Tannakian theory	44
References	50

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and motivation. In order to motivate our results on the universal vector extension of an elliptic curve, we first recall a few basic notions concerning KZB equations, focusing on arithmetic and algebro-geometric aspects. For alternative expositions, with a view towards the representation theory of braid groups or the theory of mixed Hodge structures on unipotent fundamental groups, we refer to [8, 9, 18, 20] and the references therein.

1.1.1. Genus zero: KZ connection. Let \mathcal{V}_{KZ} be the trivial infinite-rank vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with fibre the algebra of non-commutative power series $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle\rangle$. In its simplest guise, the *KZ connection* (Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov [25]) is the logarithmic holomorphic connection

$$(1) \quad \nabla_{\text{KZ}} : \mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}^1(\log\{0, 1, \infty\}) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}}, \quad \nabla_{\text{KZ}} = d - \frac{dz}{z} \otimes x_0 - \frac{dz}{1-z} \otimes x_1,$$

Date: January 31, 2023.

where x_0, x_1 act on $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle\rangle$ by left multiplication. The KZ connection encodes quantities of deep arithmetic interest, obtained as iterated integrals à la Chen of the differential 1-forms $\frac{dz}{z}$, $\frac{dz}{1-z}$. Namely, flat sections of ∇_{KZ} are described by multiple polylogarithms, and their monodromy by multiple zeta values; see for instance [4, §4]. Fundamentally, this is explained by the relation between the KZ connection and the motivic theory of unipotent fundamental groups [13], which also manifests itself in the observation that $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}}, \nabla_{\text{KZ}})$ is actually algebraic and defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Formally, the pair $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}}, \nabla_{\text{KZ}})$ can be characterised as a projective limit of objects in the category of unipotent vector bundles with logarithmic connection $\text{UVIC}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \log\{0, 1, \infty\})$ — see §A.1 for a precise definition — satisfying the following universal property: given a base point b , for any object (\mathcal{V}, ∇) , and any vector v in the fibre \mathcal{V}_b , there is a unique morphism $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}}, \nabla_{\text{KZ}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla)$ sending $1 \in \mathcal{V}_{\text{KZ}, b} = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle\rangle$ to v (cf. [24, §1]). Under this point of view, a \mathbb{Q} -form of the KZ connection is obtained by considering the analogous universal property for the category of unipotent vector bundles with logarithmic \mathbb{Q} -connection on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^1$.

1.1.2. *Genus one: KZB connection.* If (E, O) is a complex elliptic curve, the *elliptic KZB connection* (Bernard [2]) is a variant of the KZ connection which is defined on E and has logarithmic singularities at the identity O . As in genus zero, one can define it in terms of a universal property involving the category $\text{UVIC}(E, \log O)$ (cf. [28]), but now the underlying infinite-rank vector bundle will be non-trivial, and there will not be an explicit formula as simple as (1), involving globally defined algebraic (or holomorphic) logarithmic 1-forms on E . This impossibility follows from the cohomological obstruction

$$(2) \quad H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \neq 0,$$

which is equivalent to the existence of non-trivial unipotent vector bundles over E .

In the literature, the elliptic KZB connection is traditionally defined in terms of some uniformisation

$$E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$$

as the logarithmic holomorphic connection on the trivial infinite-rank vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}, \tau}$ over \mathbb{C} with fibre $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ given by the explicit formula

$$(3) \quad \nabla_{\text{KZB}, \tau} = d - dz \otimes \text{ad}_a F_{\tau}(z, \text{ad}_a)b,$$

where

$$F_{\tau}(z, x) = \frac{\theta'_{\tau}(0)\theta_{\tau}(z+x)}{\theta_{\tau}(z)\theta_{\tau}(x)}$$

is the *Kronecker function*. By considering the action of the lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ given by

$$(4) \quad (m + n\tau) \cdot f(a, b) = e^{-2\pi i n a} f(a, b),$$

one shows a posteriori that $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}, \tau}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}, \tau})$ descends to E^{an} and is algebraic. We refer to Levin and Racinet [28, §2] for further details.

Keeping the analogy with the genus zero case, flat sections of the elliptic KZB connection are expected to correspond to elliptic variants of multiple polylogarithms, which should be obtained as iterated integrals of differential forms on E with logarithmic singularities at the identity. In fact, Brown and Levin [6] have shown that ‘classical elliptic polylogarithms’, given by suitable averages of the usual polylogarithms with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ (cf. [27]), can be computed by iterated integrals of the logarithmic 1-forms $\nu_{\tau}, \omega_{\tau}^{(0)}, \omega_{\tau}^{(1)}, \dots$, defined by

$$(5) \quad \nu_{\tau} = 2\pi i dr, \quad e^{2\pi i r x} F_{\tau}(z, x) dz = \sum_{n \geq 0} \omega_{\tau}^{(n)} x^{n-1},$$

where $r(z) = \text{Im}(z)/\text{Im}(\tau)$. Note however that these differential forms are not algebraic — not even holomorphic — due to the presence of the real-analytic function r , and that their homotopy-invariant iterated integrals are not solutions of the equation defined by (3), but rather of the real-analytic connection

$$\nabla_{\text{BL},\tau} = d - 2\pi i dr \otimes a - dz \otimes \text{ad}_a e^{2\pi i r \text{ad}_a} F_\tau(z, \text{ad}_a) b.$$

In the above construction, the introduction of r is justified by the transformation property

$$r(z + m + n\tau) = r(z) + n,$$

which implies that the differentials $\nu_\tau, \omega_\tau^{(0)}, \omega_\tau^{(1)}, \dots$ descend to E^{an} . In this sense, the non-holomorphicity in Brown and Levin's work is also explained by the same cohomological obstruction (2), which turns out to be equivalent to the non-existence of a holomorphic function on E^{an} which transforms in the same way as r .

1.1.3. *Moduli: universal KZB connection.* In [8], Calaque, Enriquez, and Etingof promote the elliptic KZB connection to an integrable connection on a two-dimensional space which also takes into account the variation in the moduli direction τ . If \mathfrak{H} denotes the upper half-plane, the *universal elliptic KZB connection* is the logarithmic integrable connection on \mathcal{V}_{KZB} , the trivial infinite-rank vector bundle over $\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$, defined by

$$(6) \quad \nabla_{\text{KZB}} = d - dz \otimes \text{ad}_a F_\tau(z, \text{ad}_a) b - \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes (\text{ad}_a G_\tau(z, \text{ad}_a) b + D_\tau),$$

where $G_\tau(z, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_\tau(z, x) + \frac{1}{x^2}$, and

$$(7) \quad D_\tau = b \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 2} (2n-1) G_{2n}(\tau) \sum_{\substack{j+k=2n-1 \\ j,k > 0}} [(-\text{ad}_a)^j b, \text{ad}_a^k b] \frac{\partial}{\partial b}$$

is a derivation of $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ given by the classical Eisenstein series $G_{2n}(\tau) = \sum_{(r,s) \neq (0,0)} (r + s\tau)^{-2n}$.

With the action (4), the above data descends to a infinite-rank vector bundle with integrable connection over the universal framed elliptic curve

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash (\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}), \quad (m, n) \cdot (\tau, z) = (\tau, z + m + n\tau),$$

with logarithmic singularities along the identity section, given by $z = 0$. Note that $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}})$ restricts to $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB},\tau}, \nabla_{\text{KZB},\tau})$ on the fibre of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ above $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$. In fact, the universal KZB connection so defined descends further to the universal elliptic curve, seen as the orbifold quotient

$$\mathcal{E} = (\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \backslash \backslash (\mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C}), \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, (m, n) \right) \cdot (\tau, z) = \left(\frac{\alpha\tau + \beta}{\gamma\tau + \delta}, (\gamma\tau + \delta)^{-1} (z + m + n\tau) \right)$$

where the semidirect product $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, given by the natural right action of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on row vectors in \mathbb{Z}^2 , acts on $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ by

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, (m, n) \right) \cdot f(a, b) = e^{-2\pi i n (\gamma\tau + \delta) a} f((\gamma\tau + \delta) a, (\gamma\tau + \delta)^{-1} b - 2\pi i \gamma a).$$

Observe that the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ classifies complex elliptic curves, and that ∇_{KZB} is regular on the complement of the identity section O of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$, which can be identified with the orbifold $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$ classifying complex elliptic curves with a non-zero point. Calaque, Enriquez, and Etingof construct more generally universal KZB connections over the configuration orbifolds $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$. There are also 'higher level' versions of the elliptic KZB connection, with logarithmic singularities at N -torsion points, due to Calaque and Gonzalez [9]. These are genus one variants of the KZ system over $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ with logarithmic singularities at N th roots of unity, whose solutions are given by cyclotomic multiple polylogarithms. We refer to [9, 20] for explicit formulas.

1.1.4. *Algebraicity problems.* The use of analytic uniformisation maps in the above constructions raises a number of natural algebraicity questions. Since the universal elliptic curve $(\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}, \mathcal{O})$ is algebraic and defined over \mathbb{Q} — formally, it is the analytification of a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack over \mathbb{Q} — the universal elliptic KZB connection is also expected to be algebraic and defined over \mathbb{Q} . More generally, the level N universal elliptic KZB connection is expected to be defined over the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}})$.

The algebraicity over \mathbb{Q} of the universal elliptic KZB connection in level 1 was proved by Luo [29] (cf. [28, §5]) by making essential use of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ classifying elliptic curves with a non-zero tangent vector at the identity. Here, the map $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$, or the corresponding map on universal elliptic curves, can be thought as a particular \mathbb{G}_m -bundle over which the algebraicity question becomes computationally tractable, in the sense that the moduli problem is representable and can be described by explicit algebraic equations over \mathbb{Q} which are classically related to the theory of elliptic functions.

In arbitrary level, one could envision a more conceptual approach relying on the use of relative versions of the above universal properties for unipotent vector bundles with integrable connection, in the framework of the theory of relative unipotent de Rham fundamental groups, as recently systematised by Chiarellotto, Di Proietto, and Shiho [10]. This method, which should also involve the choice of suitable base points (or base sections) and a version of the GAGA theorems, is indicated in the introduction of [20].

Algebraicity problems were also considered in the literature concerning elliptic polylogarithms, usually motivated by arithmetic questions concerning p -adic avatars of these functions [1, 31]. Although we shall not dwell on that, we remark that the present paper is part of a larger programme aiming to develop a workable theory of multiple elliptic polylogarithms in the context of Brown’s motivic periods [5]; see [15].

1.1.5. *The role of universal vector extension.* Our work is guided by principle according to which the natural framework for the theory of unipotent fundamental groups of an elliptic curve E is its universal vector extension E^\natural , rather than E itself. This idea has been previously advocated by Deligne (personal communications with P. Etingof and R. Hain, 2015), and some of its Tannakian implications have already been explored by Enriquez and Etingof [14] (cf. §A.5 below). Note that universal vector extensions have also been used to study elliptic polylogarithms by Sprang [31].

Let E be an elliptic curve over a field k . In the category of commutative algebraic groups over k , the *universal vector extension* E^\natural is an extension of E by the additive group \mathbb{G}_a which is universal for extensions of E by a vector group; see §2.1 below for a precise definition. In particular, the canonical projection $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ is a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle, which implies that E^\natural is ‘de Rham homotopy equivalent’ to E (this will be made precise below). The next key property, which only holds when k is of characteristic zero, is that

$$(8) \quad H^0(E^\natural, \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural}) = k, \quad H^1(E^\natural, \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural}) = 0;$$

see Theorem 2.3 below. It follows that every unipotent vector bundle over E^\natural is canonically trivial, and $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ can serve, for the problems under consideration, as an algebraic substitute of the universal covering space.

If $Z \subset E$ is a finite subset of closed points, the above properties of E^\natural imply that the study of the unipotent de Rham fundamental group of $E \setminus Z$ is entirely contained in the study of the dg-algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(E^\natural, \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)).$$

This can be seen as follows. By the de Rham homotopy equivalence, the category of unipotent vector bundles with integrable connection $\text{UVIC}(E, \log Z)$ is equivalent to $\text{UVIC}(E^\natural, \log \pi^{-1}Z)$; see §A.4 below for precise statements. Now, given an object (\mathcal{V}, ∇) of the latter category, (8) implies

that \mathcal{V} is generated by its global sections $V := \Gamma(E^\natural, \mathcal{V})$ — i.e., the canonical map $V \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an isomorphism — so that $\nabla = d + \omega$ for some

$$\omega \in \Gamma(E^\natural, \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(V)$$

satisfying the integrability equation $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ and a nilpotency condition. Thus, in order to explicitly describe objects of $\text{UVIC}(E, \log O)$, it is enough to explicitly describe \mathcal{A} .

Remark 1.1. The reader may compare the above discussion with Hain’s generalisation of Hilbert’s 21st problem [17], with the caveat that E^\natural is *not* projective.

1.1.6. *What we do.* In this paper, we give a purely algebraic construction of the universal elliptic KZB connection in any level in terms of logarithmic differential forms on universal vector extensions of elliptic curves.

Since the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ over \mathbb{Q} classifies elliptic curves over arbitrary base schemes of characteristic zero (i.e., families of elliptic curves), constructing a connection over the universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ amounts to constructing a connection over an arbitrary elliptic curve $E \rightarrow S$ in such a way that the construction commutes with base change in S . It is therefore crucial in our approach that the theory of universal vector extensions behaves well in families.

Given a base scheme S of characteristic zero and an elliptic curve $E \rightarrow S$, its universal vector extension is in particular a commutative group scheme $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$ endowed with an extension morphism $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ over S . Let $Z \subset E$ be a closed subscheme given by the union of a finite subset of *torsion*¹ sections of $E \rightarrow S$. We shall first construct an integrable S -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee,$$

where $f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ is a suitable projective limit of vector bundles over E^\natural , to be explained below. Then, when S is smooth over a field of characteristic zero k , we shall explain how to lift the relative connection $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ to an integrable k -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S/k,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee.$$

All of the above constructions will be shown to be compatible with arbitrary base change. If $Z = O$, we obtain in this way the pullback of the universal elliptic KZB connection to the universal vector extension \mathcal{E}^\natural . By considering elliptic curves with full level N structure, and taking $Z = E[N]$, we obtain the pullback of the level N universal elliptic KZB connection.

The reason to write the above connections directly on the universal vector extension, rather than on the elliptic curve, is that locally over S it is possible to describe them by explicit algebraic formulae, which we shall then compare with the classical analytic formulae in the literature. In this sense, our approach is not far in spirit from that of Luo, with the difference that we use a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle instead of a \mathbb{G}_m -bundle.

Our construction of $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ and $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ will be based on a thorough study of the dg-algebras of logarithmic differentials $\mathcal{A} = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ and $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$. In fact, the relative connection $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ will be defined in terms of a universal property involving the cohomology of the bar complex $B(\mathcal{A})$. Its lift to an integrable absolute connection $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ will rely on the construction of a ‘Gauss–Manin connection’ on the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} := H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, which will itself depend on a theorem showing that sections of \mathcal{A}^1 admit canonical lifts to sections of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$. To the best of our knowledge, this is a new result on the structure of universal vector extensions, which extends the remarkable fact that they admit natural algebraic horizontal foliations [3, §6.4] (cf. [16]).

¹The restriction to torsion sections is of a technical nature, related to the canonicity of our constructions. It is ultimately explained by the fact that a torsion section in $E(S)$ admits a *unique* lift to a torsion section in $E^\natural(S)$ [22, Lemma C.1.1].

Remark 1.2. Our construction of the Gauss–Manin connection on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$, inspired by Katz and Oda’s construction [23], can be compared with that of Hain and Zucker [19, Proposition 4.11], which relies on the ‘circular bar construction’ on a dg-algebra of *smooth* differential forms (see the discussion at the bottom of [19, p. 98]). In our situation, we are able to use algebraic differential forms and the usual bar complex thanks to the cohomological properties of universal vector extensions (8) and the existence of canonical lifts of logarithmic differentials, which also implies that our construction does not depend on the choice of base points.

Finally, since our work is based on explicit constructions over bar complexes, it is natural to ask how it compares with the Tannakian approach to unipotent fundamental groups. We have limited ourselves to the classic case where S is the spectrum of a field, where we show in particular that $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra corresponding to the unipotent de Rham fundamental group of $E \setminus Z$ (Appendix A). The proof is based on two general results on unipotent connections which should be well known to experts, but do not seem to be anywhere else in the literature.

1.2. Main results. Let S be a scheme of characteristic zero, $(p : E \rightarrow S, \mathcal{O})$ be an elliptic curve, and consider its *universal vector extension* $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$, a commutative S -group scheme which fits into a short exact sequence

$$(9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E) \longrightarrow E^\natural \xrightarrow{\pi} E \longrightarrow 0,$$

and is universal for extensions of E by an S -vector group (see §2.1 below). Let $Z \subset E$ be a subscheme given by a finite union of torsion sections of p . For simplicity, we also assume that Z contains the identity section O .

1.2.1. Kronecker differentials and cohomology. The following computation of the coherent cohomology of $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$, obtained independently by Coleman [11] and Laumon [26], plays a fundamental role in this paper:

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} = \mathcal{O}_S, \quad R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

It implies that the dg-algebra over \mathcal{O}_S of relative logarithmic differential forms

$$\mathcal{A} := f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z)$$

is a model for the de Rham cohomology of $E \setminus Z$ over S ; see Proposition 2.7 below. In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(10) \quad H^1(\mathcal{A}) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S).$$

Our first main result concerns the structure of \mathcal{A} as a dg-algebra over \mathcal{O}_S . To state it, we regard $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}Z}$ as a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} , and we remark that we can identify $f_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}Z} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes n}$; see (24) below.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 below). *There is a canonical decomposition*

$$\mathcal{A}^1 = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}^{(n)},$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ are \mathcal{O}_S -submodules of \mathcal{A}^1 uniquely determined by the following properties:

- (i) The residue map $\text{Res} : \mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}Z}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{K}^{(n)} \cong p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes (n-1)}$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}^{(n)} \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(0)} = 0$ in \mathcal{A}^2 , where $\mathcal{K}^{(0)} := p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1$ is seen as a submodule of \mathcal{A}^1 via pullback by π ,
- (iii) $d\mathcal{K}^{(n)} = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(n-1)}$ in \mathcal{A}^2 .

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{A}^2 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(n)}.$$

The \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{A} is locally free, and the wedge product induces an isomorphism of graded \mathcal{O}_S -algebras $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{A}^1 / \langle \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{K} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{K}^{(n)}$.

Concretely, we may work locally over S and assume that $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ is trivialised by global sections ω, η with ω in $p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1$ and $\langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{\text{dR}} = 1$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{dR}}$ denotes the de Rham pairing. By the Hodge–de Rham exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1 \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S) \longrightarrow R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow 0,$$

the global section η of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ induces a trivialisation of $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$, thus an isomorphism $\pi^{-1} O = \mathbb{V}(R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E) \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,S}$ given by some coordinate t . Using that torsion sections of E lift uniquely to torsion sections of E^\natural [22, Lemma C.1.1], we obtain coordinates t_P for $\pi^{-1} P$ for every $P \in Z(S)$. Then, the trivialisation ω, η of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ corresponds by (10) to a trivialisation $\omega^{(0)}, \nu$ of the sheaf of regular differentials $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$, which can be uniquely extended to a trivialisation

$$\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \omega^{(0)} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{O}_S \omega_P^{(n)},$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $\text{Res}(\omega_P^{(n)}) = t_P^{n-1} / (n-1)!$,
- (b) $\omega_P^{(n)} \wedge \omega^{(0)} = 0$, and
- (c) $d\omega_P^{(n)} = \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n-1)}$.

We call $\nu, \omega^{(0)}$, and $\omega_P^{(n)}$ *Kronecker differentials*, as they they are algebraic analogues of the analytic differential forms obtained from the Kronecker function. For simplicity, we denote $\omega^{(n)} := \omega_O^{(n)}$.

Example 1.4. Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$, and E be a complex elliptic curve such that $E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$. The universal vector extension E^\natural of E can be explicitly uniformised by

$$E^{\natural, \text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}^2 / L_\tau, \quad L_\tau = \{(m + n\tau, 2\pi i n) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

in such a way that $\omega^{(0)} = dz$ and $\nu = dw$, where (z, w) are the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 . We prove in Proposition 7.1 below that the Kronecker differentials are given by the generating series

$$F_\tau(z, w, x) := e^{wx} F_\tau(z, x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^{(n)}(z, w) x^{n-1}, \quad \omega^{(n)} = \varphi^{(n)}(z, w) dz.$$

Moreover, if $P \in E$ is a torsion point represented by $\alpha + \beta\tau$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$\omega_P^{(n)} = \varphi^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i \beta) dz.$$

Note that Brown and Levin's differentials (5) are simply given by pullbacks of the algebraic differential forms $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega^{(1)}, \dots$ by the following real-analytic section of π :

$$(11) \quad E^{\text{an}} \longrightarrow E^{\natural, \text{an}}, \quad z \pmod{\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}} \longmapsto (z, 2\pi i r(z)) \pmod{L_\tau}.$$

Let us also point out that π admits no globally defined algebraic section, but there are algebraic sections over the open subset $E \setminus O$. In fact, by pulling back the Kronecker differential forms by Katz's section [22, §C.2], we obtain the algebraic differentials with higher order poles at O appearing in Bannai, Kobayashi, and Tsuji's approach to elliptic polylogarithms [1].

The above structure theorem for relative logarithmic forms on E^\natural/S also allows us to prove the formality of the dg-algebra \mathcal{A} , which plays a key role in our approach to the elliptic KZB equations via the bar construction.

Theorem 1.5 (Theorem 3.9 below). *There is a canonical retraction $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$ which is a dg-quasi-isomorphism.*

Explicitly, we can show that locally over S we have

$$(12) \quad H^1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_{S\nu} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S\omega^{(0)}} \oplus \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}} \mathcal{O}_S(\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}),$$

and the retraction ρ in degree 1 is obtained by sending $\omega_O^{(1)}$ and $\omega_P^{(n)}$ to 0 for every $n \geq 2$ and $P \in Z(S)$.

1.2.2. *Canonical lifts.* Let k be a field of characteristic zero and assume that S is a smooth k -scheme. Having understood relative logarithmic differentials, our next results concern the sheaves of *absolute* logarithmic differentials $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$.

It is known that E^\natural/S admits a natural horizontal foliation — formally, a D -group scheme structure — which amounts to a splitting of

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*\Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfying a certain integrability condition and a compatibility with the group scheme structure [3, §6.4]. We show in [16] that this splitting actually comes the splitting of

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

induced by the retraction $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1$ given by restriction to the identity section $e \in E^\natural(S)$:

$$f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1 = \Omega_{S/k}^1 \oplus \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \ker(e^*).$$

This result is extended to logarithmic differentials as follows.

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 4.9 below). *There is a unique splitting of*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

of the form

$$f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \Omega_{S/k}^1 \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}^{(n)}$$

where each $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ maps isomorphically onto $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$, and for every $n \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(n)} \wedge \mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{N} \equiv d\mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{L}^{(n+1)} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

In the above statement, $f_*\mathcal{F}^2$ is the second step of the (direct image) Koszul filtration on $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$, given by the ideal generated by $\Omega_{S/k}^2$; see §4.2 below for a precise definition.

Locally over S , the above result implies that the Kronecker differentials admit canonical lifts to global sections of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$, which we denote by $\tilde{\nu}$, $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$, and $\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$ and $P \in Z(S)$. Among all the lifts of Kronecker differentials, the canonical lifts are uniquely characterised by the equations

$$\begin{cases} e^*\tilde{\nu} = e^*\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = 0 \\ d\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv n\alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n+1)} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_{21} \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ is a coefficient of the matrix of the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ in the frame (ω, η) , namely, $\nabla\omega = \alpha_{11} \otimes \omega + \alpha_{21} \otimes \eta$. Most importantly, the above equations can be used to give explicit formulas for the canonical lifts.

Example 1.7. In the analytic category, consider the universal vector extension $f : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ of the universal framed elliptic curve. The same formulas given in Example 1.4 yield relative differentials $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega_P^{(n)} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{H}, f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}/\mathfrak{H}}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z))$. Their canonical lifts to global sections of $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ are given by

$$\tilde{\nu} = dw, \quad \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}$$

and

$$\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} = \varphi_{\tau}^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) \left(dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \right) + n\varphi_{\tau}^{(n+1)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.$$

We refer to §7.2 below for more details.

1.2.3. *Relative elliptic KZB connections.* With \mathcal{A} as above, consider the bar complex

$$0 \longrightarrow B^0(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{d_B} B^1(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Concretely, we shall only need the first two terms, which are explicitly given by $B^0(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n}$ and $B^1(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \mathcal{A}^2 \otimes (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n-i}$, where tensor products are over \mathcal{O}_S . Decomposable tensors are denoted by $a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n = [a_1 | \dots | a_n]$, and the differential in degree zero is explicitly given by

$$d_B : B^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow B^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad [a_1 | \dots | a_n] \longmapsto - \sum_{i=1}^n [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | da_i | a_{i+1} | \dots | a_n] \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [a_1 | \dots | a_{i-1} | a_i \wedge a_{i+1} | a_{i+2} | \dots | a_n].$$

Finally, we consider the \mathcal{O}_S -module

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} := H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) = \ker(d_B : B^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow B^1(\mathcal{A})).$$

It comes with a natural commutative Hopf algebra structure, given by the deconcatenation coproduct and the shuffle product, and a natural filtration $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ by length; see §5.1 below for more details.

Remark 1.8. The above $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ can be thought as the Hopf algebra corresponding to the relative de Rham unipotent fundamental group of $E \setminus Z$ over S at certain ‘canonical base point’. We refer to §A.5 below for a discussion in the case where S is the spectrum of a field.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee} := \lim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

be the continuous dual of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$. The *relative elliptic KZB connection* associated to the elliptic curve E/S punctured at Z is defined as a connection on E^{\natural} with logarithmic poles along $\pi^{-1}Z$

$$\nabla_{E/S,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee}, \quad \nabla_{E/S,Z} = d + \omega_{E/S,Z},$$

where the *KZB form* $\omega_{E/S,Z} \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{A}^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee})$ is the length 1 component of the element in $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee})$ induced by the Hopf algebra antipode, and acts on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee}$ by left multiplication; see §5.3 below for details. We prove the integrability of $(f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^{\vee}, \nabla_{E/S,Z})$ and we characterise it via a universal property in Proposition 5.12 below.

Theorem 1.9 (Theorems 5.8 and 5.15 below). *The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is canonically isomorphic to the tensor coalgebra $T^c H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)$. In particular, locally over S , the continuous dual $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ is isomorphic to the algebra of non-commutative power series*

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b, c_P : P \in Z(S) \rangle\rangle}{\langle \sum_{P \in Z(S)} c_P - [a, b] \rangle},$$

and, under the above isomorphism, the KZB form is given by

$$\omega_{E/S,Z} = -\nu \otimes a - \omega^{(0)} \otimes b - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in Z(S)} \omega_P^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P.$$

In the above presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$, the subset of generators $\{a, b\} \cup \{c_P : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}\}$ is dual to the trivialisation $\{\nu, \omega^{(0)}\} \cup \{\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(0)} : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}\}$ of $H^1(\mathcal{A})$; cf. (12).

Example 1.10 (Level 1). In the particular case where $Z = O$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,O}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle, \quad \nabla_{E/S,O} = d - \nu \otimes a - \sum_{n \geq 0} \omega^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^n b.$$

If $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ and $E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$, then we get from Example 1.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E/\mathbb{C},O} &= d - dw \otimes a - dz \otimes \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^{(n)}(z, w) \text{ad}_a^n b \\ &= d - dw \otimes a - dz \otimes \text{ad}_a F_\tau(z, w, \text{ad}_a) b. \end{aligned}$$

One can readily check that $f(a, b) \mapsto e^{-wa} f(a, b)$ yields an isomorphism between the logarithmic connection $(\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C} \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle, \nabla_{E/\mathbb{C},O})$ and the pullback by $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ of the elliptic KZB connection $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB},\tau}, \nabla_{\text{KZB},\tau})$. Observe moreover that the connection $\nabla_{\text{BL},\tau}$ associated to Brown and Levin's elliptic polylogarithms is simply the pullback of $\nabla_{E/\mathbb{C},O}$ by the real-analytic section (11).

1.2.4. *Absolute elliptic KZB connections.* From now on, assume that S is smooth over a field k of characteristic zero. The *elliptic KZB connection* associated to $E/S/k$ punctured at Z is a certain lift of the S -connection $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ to an integrable k -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S/k,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee.$$

To fix ideas, we work locally over S . Then, the relative connection $\nabla_{E/S,Z} = d + \omega_{E/S,Z}$ is explicitly given by the formula in Theorem 1.9, and we can consider its canonical lift:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{E/S,Z} = d + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} = -\tilde{\nu} \otimes a - \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \otimes b - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in Z(S)} \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P.$$

This is a k -connection which lifts $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$, but is *not* integrable. In fact, we shall see that there is a 1-form Φ on S , with coefficients in k -derivations of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$, satisfying the equation

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \Phi(\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}) = 0$$

in $\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^2(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$. It follows that the k -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S/k,Z} := \tilde{\nabla}_{E/S,Z} + \Phi$$

is an integrable lift of $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$.

Example 1.11. For the universal framed elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ and $Z = O$, we shall see that $\Phi = -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes D_\tau$, where D_τ is as in (7). Thus, putting together with our explicit expression for the canonical lifts in Example 1.7, we obtain the connection

$$\begin{aligned} & d - dw \otimes a - \left(dz - \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \right) \otimes \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w) \operatorname{ad}_a^n b - \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} n \varphi^{(n+1)}(z, w) \operatorname{ad}_a^n b + D_\tau \right) \\ & = d - dw \otimes a - dz \otimes \operatorname{ad}_a F_\tau(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) b - \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes (\operatorname{ad}_a G_\tau(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) b + D_\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where $G_\tau(z, w, x) = e^{wx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_\tau(z, x) + \frac{1}{x^2}$. This is isomorphic to the pullback of the universal elliptic KZB connection (6) to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural$. More generally, we shall compute in Theorem 7.9 below the universal elliptic KZB connection in level N , and show that it agrees with the formulas given by Calaque and Gonzalez [9] (cf. [20]).

The 1-form Φ appearing in the expression for the curvature of $\tilde{\nabla}_{E/S, Z}$ is the connection form of the *dual* of a certain analogue of the Gauss–Manin connection:

$$(13) \quad \delta : \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}.$$

The construction of δ can be summarised as follows. Consider the dg-algebra $\mathcal{C} := f_* \Omega_{E^1/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z)$ and note that it contains $\Omega := \Omega_{S/k}^\bullet$ as a dg-subalgebra. Then, we can form the *relative bar complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow B_\Omega^0(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{d_B} B_\Omega^1(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

the definition of which is similar to the usual bar complex, but tensor products are now taken over the non-commutative ring Ω ; see §6.1 below for a precise definition. Then, the Koszul filtration on \mathcal{C} induces decreasing filtration

$$B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) = F^0 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \supset F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \supset F^2 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \supset \dots$$

satisfying $B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})/F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \cong B(\mathcal{A})$. We construct a projection

$$\pi : F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes B(\mathcal{A})[-1]$$

which factors through $F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})/F^2 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})$, and we define

$$\delta(\xi) = -\pi(d_B \tilde{\xi}),$$

where $\tilde{\xi}$ is the canonical lift of the section ξ of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z} = H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$.

Remark 1.12. The use of the canonical lift in the above construction is essential, as the map δ would not be well defined if arbitrary lifts were considered.

Theorem 1.13 (Theorems 6.6 and 6.10, and Proposition 6.8 below). *The above definition yields an integrable k -connection (13) on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}$ which preserves the length filtration and restricts to the tensor power of the Gauss–Manin connection on the graded quotients $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}/L_{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)^{\otimes n}$. Moreover, its dual*

$$\delta^\vee : \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}^\vee$$

is a derivation of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}^\vee$ with coefficients in $\Omega_{S/k}^1$, and the k -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S/k, Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^1/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}^\vee, \quad \nabla_{E/S/k, Z} = f^* \delta^\vee + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S, Z}$$

is an integrable lift of the relative KZB connection $\nabla_{E/S, Z}$. Moreover, the formation of $\nabla_{E/S/k, Z}$ commutes with arbitrary base change in S and extension of scalars in k .

1.3. Acknowledgements. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 724638). The first author is currently supported by the grant #2020/15804-1, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), and the second author was supported by a Walter-Benjamin Fellowship of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). We would like to thank Francis Brown, Netan Dogra, Benjamin Enriquez, and Richard Hain for their enlightening (and often encouraging) comments during the writing of this manuscript.

2. THE UNIVERSAL VECTOR EXTENSION OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

2.1. Definition. Let $p : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve. The *universal vector extension* of E/S is a commutative S -group scheme $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$ with a morphism of S -group schemes $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ fitting into an exact sequence (of abelian fppf sheaves over S)

$$(14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E) \longrightarrow E^\natural \xrightarrow{\pi} E \longrightarrow 0$$

which is universal for extensions of E by an S -vector group. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{F}, R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{S_{\mathrm{fppf}}}(E, \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{F})) \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \text{the class of the pushout of (14) along } \mathbb{V}(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism for any vector bundle \mathcal{F} over S [30, Prop. 1.10] (cf. [16, §2.2]). Since $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is a line bundle over S , it follows from (14) that $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ is a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle. In particular, $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$ is smooth, of finite presentation, and of relative dimension 2. Moreover, the formation of the universal vector extension is compatible with every base change $S' \rightarrow S$, meaning that there is a canonical S' -isomorphism $E^\natural \times_S S' \cong (E \times_S S')^\natural$.

Example 2.1. Assume that $S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ is affine, with 6 invertible in R , and that E/S admits a Weierstrass equation of the form

$$E : y^2 z = 4x^3 - g_2 x z^2 - g_3 z^3, \quad g_2, g_3 \in R, \quad g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \in R^\times.$$

Let $q(x) = 4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3 \in R[x]$, and set

$$U_1 = \mathrm{Spec} R[x, y, t]/(y^2 - q(x)), \quad U_2 = \mathrm{Spec} R[x, z, t]/(z - z^3 q(x/z)).$$

Let U_{12} be the open subscheme of U_1 given by $y \neq 0$, and U_{21} be the open subscheme of U_2 given by $z \neq 0$. Then, E^\natural is isomorphic to the gluing of U_1 and U_2 along the isomorphism $U_{12} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{21}$ given on the corresponding R -algebras by

$$R[x, z^{\pm 1}, t]/(z - z^3 q(x/z)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R[x, y^{\pm 1}, t]/(y^2 - q(x)), \quad (x, z, t) \longmapsto \left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{1}{y}, t - \frac{q'(x)}{6y} \right),$$

where $q'(x) = 12x^2 - g_2$. This follows from the interpretation of E^\natural as a moduli space of line bundles on E equipped with an S -connection [22, C.1-C.2]. For instance, if R' is an R -algebra, a point $(a, b, c) \in U_1(R')$ corresponds to the isomorphism class of

$$(\mathcal{O}(P) \otimes \mathcal{O}(O)^{-1}, d + \omega_{P,c}), \quad \omega_{P,c} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{y+b}{x-a} + c \right) \frac{dx}{y},$$

where $O = (0 : 1 : 0) \in E(R')$ is the identity, and $P = (a : b : 1) \in E(R')$.

In the category of complex analytic spaces, the universal vector extension of an elliptic curve is more conveniently described in terms of its uniformisation.

Example 2.2. Assume that S is locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} . Then the analytification $E^{\natural, \text{an}}$ is uniformised by the rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle $V^{\text{an}} := \mathbb{V}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)^{\text{an}})$ over S^{an} : there is an exact sequence of relative complex Lie groups over S^{an}

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow V^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} E^{\natural, \text{an}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where L is the space over S^{an} corresponding to the locally constant sheaf $(R^1 p_*^{\text{an}} \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$. The map $L \rightarrow V^{\text{an}}$ is induced by the morphism $(R^1 p_*^{\text{an}} \mathbb{Z})^{\vee} \rightarrow (H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)^{\text{an}})^{\vee}$ which sends a locally constant family of topological 1-cycles γ to the functional $\alpha \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \alpha$. We refer to [30, I.4.4] for a proof. In the particular case where $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, let (ω, η) be a basis of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$E^{\natural, \text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}^2/L, \quad L = \{(\int_{\gamma} \omega, \int_{\gamma} \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \gamma \in H_1(E^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

2.2. Coherent and de Rham cohomology. We keep the above notation.

Theorem 2.3 (Coleman, Laumon). *If S is of characteristic zero, then*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_S & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For a proof of the more general statement concerning universal vector extensions of abelian schemes, we refer to [26, Théorème 2.4.1] or to [11, Corollary 2.7]. For elliptic curves, one may also give an elementary proof by computing the Čech cohomology of the affine cover given in Example 2.1.

Remark 2.4 (Algebraic versus analytic functions). Let $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. The above theorem implies that $\Gamma(E^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}) = \mathbb{C}$, i.e., that every global regular function on E^{\natural} is constant. The analogous statement in the analytic category is *false*. In other words, $E^{\natural, \text{an}}$ admits non-constant holomorphic functions. In fact, it follows from Example 2.2 that $E^{\natural, \text{an}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

From now on, we assume that S is of characteristic zero. Let $e \in E^{\natural}(S)$ be the identity section. Since E^{\natural}/S is a smooth group scheme, we have for every $n \geq 0$ an isomorphism

$$f^* e^* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n,$$

obtained by extending cotangent vectors at the identity to invariant differential forms via the group law. Then, it follows from the projection formula and Theorem 2.3 that

$$(15) \quad R^i f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n \cong \begin{cases} e^* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The above equation for $i = 0$ means that every section of $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n$ is an invariant differential n -form on E^{\natural}/S .

Proposition 2.5. *Every section of $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n$ is a closed differential form. The induced map*

$$f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^n(E^{\natural}/S)$$

is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules.

This statement is contained in [16, Propositions 2.4 and 2.7] (cf. [11, Theorem 2.2]). We reproduce a short proof for completeness.

Proof. We have seen that sections of $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n$ are invariant. That every invariant differential form is closed is a general property of smooth commutative group schemes; it is a consequence of the Maurer–Cartan equation. Now, that the natural maps $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^n(E^{\natural}/S)$ are isomorphisms follows from the f_* -acyclicity of $\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^n$ (equation (15)). \square

In fact, the above isomorphism is also compatible with the natural product structures, yielding an isomorphism of dg-algebras over \mathcal{O}_S :

$$f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(E^\natural/S).$$

Since $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ is a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle, it follows from the Künneth formula that $\pi^* : H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(E/S) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(E^\natural/S)$ is also an isomorphism of dg-algebras over \mathcal{O}_S . Thus, we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(16) \quad f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^\bullet(E/S).$$

Remark 2.6. By [30, I.4], applying the functor Lie_S to the exact sequence (14) gives rise to a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_S E^\natural \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_S E \longrightarrow 0,$$

canonically isomorphic to the dual of the Hodge–de Rham short exact sequence

$$(17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1 \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(E/S) \longrightarrow R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow 0.$$

The composition of isomorphisms $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \cong (\mathrm{Lie}_S E^\natural)^\vee \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(E/S)$ coincides with the isomorphism (16).

The fact that the dg-algebra $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet$ is a model for the de Rham cohomology of E/S can be generalised to punctured elliptic curves as follows.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $Z \subsetneq E$ be a smooth closed S -subscheme. For every $n \geq 0$, the natural maps*

$$H^n(f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(\pi^{-1}(E \setminus Z)/S) \xleftarrow{\pi^*} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n((E \setminus Z)/S)$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. That $\pi^* : H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n((E \setminus Z)/S) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(\pi^{-1}(E \setminus Z)/S)$ is an isomorphism follows from the Künneth formula and from the fact that $\pi : \pi^{-1}(E \setminus Z) \rightarrow E \setminus Z$ is a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle.

By Deligne’s theorem [12, Ch. II, Corollaire 3.15, Remarque 3.16], there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}^n f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(\pi^{-1}(E \setminus Z)/S).$$

We are left to prove that $\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ is a complex of f_* -acyclic sheaves. For this, let $i : \pi^{-1}Z \rightarrow E^\natural$ be the inclusion, and consider the Poincaré residue exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} i_*\Omega_{\pi^{-1}Z/S}^{\bullet-1}[-1] \longrightarrow 0.$$

As each $\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^n$ is f_* -acyclic by (15), and each $i_*\Omega_{\pi^{-1}Z/S}^{n-1}$ is f_* -acyclic by the fact that both i and $f \circ i$ are affine, we conclude from long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the above short exact sequence that each $\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^n(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ is f_* -acyclic. \square

3. RELATIVE LOGARITHMIC DIFFERENTIALS ON THE UNIVERSAL VECTOR EXTENSION

3.1. Kronecker differentials. Recall that the sheaf of invariant differential 1-forms on the vector group $\mathbb{V}(R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E)/S$ is canonically isomorphic to $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E$: a local section t of $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E$ corresponds to the relative 1-form dt . Thus, under the identification (16), the Hodge–de Rham exact sequence (17) corresponds to the short exact sequence of sheaves of invariant differential forms

$$(18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \longrightarrow R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the left arrow is given by pullback by π , and the right arrow is given by restriction to $\mathbb{V}(R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E) \cong \pi^{-1} O \hookrightarrow E^\natural$.

Assume that S is affine and that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is free with trivialisation t . Under the identification

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1} O} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes n} \cong \mathcal{O}_S[t],$$

we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(19) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} O) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \mathcal{O}_S[t] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2 & \longrightarrow & f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1} O) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} & \mathcal{O}_S[t] dt \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the rows are Poincaré residue exact sequences (cf. proof of Proposition 2.7).

Lemma 3.1. *Let α be a global section of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} O)$ satisfying $\text{Res}(\alpha) = 1$. Then d restricts to an isomorphism*

$$d : \mathcal{O}_S \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2.$$

Proof. Since $\text{Res}(d\alpha) = d \text{Res}(\alpha) = 0$, it follows from the residue exact sequence in degree 2 that $d\alpha$ is a section of the line bundle $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2$, so that the map in the statement is well-defined. To verify that it is an isomorphism, it suffices to prove that it is surjective. Since $H^2(f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} O)) = 0$ by Proposition 2.7, for any section β of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2$ there is a section α' of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} O)$ satisfying $d\alpha' = \beta$. By the commutativity of (19), $\text{Res}(\alpha')$ is in \mathcal{O}_S , so that $\text{Res}(\alpha')\alpha - \alpha'$ is in $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$. This shows that $d(\text{Res}(\alpha')\alpha) = \beta$. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that α in the above statement is unique up to a section of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$, so that $d\alpha$ is independent of any choice; it gives a canonical trivialisation of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2$. In particular, we obtain a symplectic pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \otimes f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ defined by $\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle d\alpha = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$, which induces by (18) the classical isomorphism $p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1 \cong (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^\vee$. Under the identification (16), the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual de Rham pairing on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ (cf. [11]).

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that S is affine, $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_S t$ is free, and let ν be a global section of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$ satisfying*

$$\nu|_{\pi^{-1} O} = dt.$$

Then, there exists a unique family $\{\omega^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ of global sections of $f_ \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} O)$ such that $\omega^{(0)}$ trivialises $p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1$ and, for every $n \geq 1$:*

- (i) $\text{Res}(\omega^{(n)}) = t^{n-1}/(n-1)!$,
- (ii) $\omega^{(n)} \wedge \omega^{(0)} = 0$, and
- (iii) $d\omega^{(n)} = \nu \wedge \omega^{(n-1)}$.

Moreover, we have:

$$(20) \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} O) = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_S \omega^{(n)}, \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \omega^{(0)}$$

and

$$(21) \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1} O) = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{O}_S \nu \wedge \omega^{(n)}, \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2 = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}.$$

Proof. Let α_0 be any trivialisation of $p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1$ (note that $p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1$ is free by Remark 3.2), so that

$$(22) \quad f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 = \mathcal{O}_S\nu \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\alpha_0, \quad f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2 = \mathcal{O}_S\nu \wedge \alpha_0.$$

It follows from the residue exact sequence (19) in degree 1 that, for every $n \geq 1$, there is a global section α_n of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ such that

$$\text{Res}(\alpha_n) = \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Our goal is to modify each α_n by a section of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$ so that properties (ii) and (iii) are also satisfied.

Since the restriction of α_0 to $\pi^{-1}O$ vanishes by (18), the 2-form $\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_0$ is in $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2$. Thus, by (22), up to adding a multiple of ν to α_n , we can assume that

$$\alpha_n \wedge \alpha_0 = 0.$$

Let $n \geq 1$. Since

$$\text{Res}(d\alpha_n) = d\text{Res}(\alpha_n) = d(t^{n-1}/(n-1)!) = t^{n-2}dt/(n-2)! = \text{Res}(\nu \wedge \alpha_{n-1}),$$

it follows from the residue exact sequence (19) in degree 2 and from (22) that there exists a global section r_{n-1} of \mathcal{O}_S such that

$$d\alpha_n = \nu \wedge \alpha_{n-1} + r_{n-1}\nu \wedge \alpha_0.$$

For every $n \geq 0$, we set

$$\omega^{(n)} := \alpha_n + r_n\alpha_0.$$

Thus, $\omega^{(0)}$ is a global section of $p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1$ and $\omega^{(n)}$ are global sections of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii). Furthermore, since $d\omega^{(1)} = \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}$ is a trivialisation of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2$, so that $\{\nu, \omega^{(0)}\}$ is a trivialisation of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$. Then, (20) and (21) follow from the residue exact sequence (19).

To prove unicity, let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a family of global sections of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ such that λ_0 trivialises $p_*\Omega_{E/S}^1$ and λ_n satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii) for $n \geq 1$. Then, we can write $\lambda_0 = u\omega^{(0)}$ for some $u \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S^\times)$, and, by (i), for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_n = \omega^{(n)} + a_n\omega^{(0)} + b_n\nu,$$

for some $a_n, b_n \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. From (ii), we conclude that $b_n = 0$. Finally, property (iii) applied to the family $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ yields

$$\nu \wedge \omega^{(n-1)} = \begin{cases} u\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} & n = 1 \\ \nu \wedge \omega^{(n-1)} + a_{n-1}\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

which implies that $u = 1$ and $a_n = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. \square

Remark 3.4. It follows from unicity and from equations (i), (ii), and (iii), that a change in ν to $\nu' = u\nu + v\omega^{(0)}$, with $u \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S^\times)$ and $v \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, changes $\omega^{(n)}$ to $\omega^{(n)'} = u^{n-1}\omega^{(n)}$.

Corollary 3.5. *With notation as in Theorem 3.3, we have $\omega^{(n)} \wedge \omega^{(m)} = 0$ for every $n, m \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since E^\natural/S is smooth of relative dimension 2, in a formal neighbourhood of any point in the smooth relative effective Cartier divisor $\pi^{-1}O$, we can find S -coordinates (x, t) such that $dx = \omega^{(0)}$, $dt = \nu$, so that $\pi^{-1}O$ is given by $x = 0$. Since $\omega^{(n)}$ has logarithmic singularities along $\pi^{-1}O$, there are power series $F_n(x, t), G_n(x, t)$ with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_S such that $\omega^{(n)} = F_n(x, t)\frac{dx}{x} + G_n(x, t)dt$. By equation (ii) of Theorem 3.3, we have $G_n(x, t) = 0$, so that $\omega^{(n)} = F_n(x, t)\frac{dx}{x}$. The statement follows immediately. \square

3.2. Kronecker subbundles. Let $Z \subsetneq E$ be a closed subscheme of E given by the union of *torsion* sections $P \in E(S)$. Assume moreover that Z contains the identity section: $O \in Z(S)$.

Example 3.6. We can always take $Z = O$. If E/S admits a full level N structure, we can also consider $Z = E[N]$, or any other subscheme thereof which is flat over S and contains the identity.

By pulling Z back by the canonical projection $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$, we obtain a divisor $\pi^{-1}Z$ on E^\natural , which can be written as a disjoint union:

$$\pi^{-1}Z = \bigsqcup_{P \in Z(S)} \pi^{-1}P.$$

Since $P \in Z(S)$ is torsion and $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ is a \mathbb{G}_a -bundle, P admits a unique lift to a torsion section $P^\natural \in E^\natural(S)$ [22, Lemma C.1.1]. Thus, we obtain a canonical trivialisation

$$(23) \quad \pi^{-1}Z \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_S \mathbb{V}(R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E),$$

given on the component $\pi^{-1}P$ by $x \mapsto (P, x - P^\natural)$. This yields an isomorphism

$$(24) \quad f_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}Z} \cong p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes n},$$

where tensor products are taken over \mathcal{O}_S .

Theorem 3.7. *There is a canonical decomposition*

$$f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{K}^{(n)}$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ are subbundles of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ uniquely determined by the following properties:

(i) Under the identification (24), the residue map

$$\text{Res} : f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}Z}$$

restricts to an isomorphism between $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ and $p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes (R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes (n-1)}$;

(ii) $\mathcal{K}^{(n)} \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(0)} = 0$ in $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$, where

$$\mathcal{K}^{(0)} := p_* \Omega_{E/S}^1$$

is seen as a rank 1 subbundle of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ via pullback by π ;

(iii) $d\mathcal{K}^{(n)} = f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(n-1)}$ in $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$.

Moreover, we have

$$f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1 \wedge \mathcal{K}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove existence and unicity locally over S . Thus, we may assume that S is affine and that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_{St}$ is free. Let $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega^{(1)}, \dots$ be as in Theorem 3.3. For any $P \in Z(S)$, let $\tau_{-P^\natural} : E^\natural \rightarrow E^\natural$ be the translation by $-P^\natural$, and set, for every $n \geq 0$

$$(25) \quad \omega_P^{(n)} := \tau_{-P^\natural}^* \omega^{(n)} \in \Gamma(S, f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}P)).$$

Let us also denote by $t_P = \tau_{-P^\natural}^* t$ the coordinate on $\pi^{-1}P$ induced by t under the isomorphism (23). Since $\nu, \omega^{(0)}$ are invariant — in particular, $\omega_P^{(0)} = \omega^{(0)}$ — it follows from Theorem 3.3 that, for every $n \geq 1$,

- (a) $\text{Res}(\omega_P^{(n)}) = t_P^{n-1}/(n-1)!$,
- (b) $\omega_P^{(n)} \wedge \omega^{(0)} = 0$, and

$$(c) \quad d\omega_P^{(n)} = \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n-1)}.$$

For $n \geq 1$, set

$$\mathcal{K}^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{K}_P^{(n)}, \quad \mathcal{K}_P^{(n)} := \mathcal{O}_S \omega_P^{(n)}.$$

Then, the residue exact sequence, together with (a), (b), and (c), show that $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ so defined satisfies all the properties in the statement.

To prove unicity, let $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be another family of subbundles of $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii). By (i), \mathcal{L}_n is trivialised by 1-forms $\lambda_{n,P}$, $P \in Z(S)$, satisfying (a). Then, by the residue exact sequence, there are global sections $a_{n,P}$, $b_{n,P}$ of \mathcal{O}_S such that

$$\lambda_{n,P} = \omega_P^{(n)} + a_{n,P} \omega^{(0)} + b_{n,P} \nu.$$

It follows from (ii) that $\lambda_{n,P}$ satisfies (b), so that $b_{n,P} = 0$. By (iii), we have

$$d\lambda_{n,P} = c_{n,P} \nu \wedge \lambda_{n-1,P}$$

for some global section $c_{n,P}$ of \mathcal{O}_S , where we set $\lambda_{0,P} := \omega^{(0)}$. Thus, also using (c) for $\omega_P^{(n)}$, we obtain, for $n = 1$,

$$c_{1,P} \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} = \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)},$$

and, for $n \geq 2$,

$$c_{n,P} \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n-1)} + c_{n,P} a_{n-1,P} \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} = \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n-1)}.$$

Thus, $c_{1,P} = 1$, and, by taking residues along $\pi^{-1}P$, we see that $c_{n,P} = 1$ for $n \geq 2$. Then, it follows from the same equation that $a_{n-1,P} = 0$. We conclude that $\lambda_{n,P} = \omega_P^{(n)}$, which yields $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{K}^{(n)}$. \square

We shall always denote by

$$\mathcal{K}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{K}_P^{(n)}$$

the decomposition induced by part (i) of Theorem 3.7 and $p_* \mathcal{O}_Z \cong \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{O}_S$. When $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is free, a choice of ν as in Theorem 3.3 induces trivialisations $\omega_P^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{K}_P^{(n)}$ as in the proof of the above theorem, so that

$$(26) \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \omega^{(0)} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ P \in Z(S)}} \mathcal{O}_S \omega_P^{(n)}$$

$$(27) \quad f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ P \in Z(S)}} \mathcal{O}_S \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n)}.$$

3.3. The dg-algebra of relative logarithmic differentials. With same hypotheses and notation as in the last sections, consider the dg-algebra over \mathcal{O}_S

$$\mathcal{A} := f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z),$$

and consider the submodule of \mathcal{A}^1

$$\mathcal{K} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{K}^{(n)}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.7 that the dg-algebra \mathcal{A} is generated by its degree 1 sections. More precisely, we have the following structure result.

Corollary 3.8. *\mathcal{A} is locally free as an \mathcal{O}_S -module, and the wedge product induces an isomorphism of graded \mathcal{O}_S -algebras*

$$\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{A}^1 / \langle \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. That \mathcal{A} is locally free as an \mathcal{O}_S -module follows Theorem 3.7 and from the fact that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is locally free (cf. (26) and (27)).

It follows from Theorem 3.7 (see also Corollary 3.5) that the wedge product gives a well-defined morphism of graded \mathcal{O}_S -algebras $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{A}^1 / \langle \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. This is an isomorphism in degree 0 by Theorem 2.3, and is trivially an isomorphism in degree 1. To see that it is also an isomorphism in higher degrees, we may argue locally over S . Thus, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}^1 / \mathcal{K} \cong R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is free (of rank 1), and that the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 / \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 0$$

splits:

$$\mathcal{A}^1 \cong (\mathcal{A}^1 / \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathcal{K}.$$

This implies that

$$\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{A}^1 \cong ((\mathcal{A}^1 / \mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{A}^2 \oplus \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K},$$

and, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\bigwedge^n \mathcal{A}^1 \cong ((\mathcal{A}^1 / \mathcal{K}) \otimes \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{K}) \oplus \bigwedge^n \mathcal{K} \subset \text{im}(\bigwedge^{n-2} \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n \mathcal{A}^1). \quad \square$$

Our next result concerns the cohomology of \mathcal{A} . It follows from $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{O}_S$ (Theorem 2.3) that $H^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_S$ and that

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}) = \ker(d : \mathcal{A}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2) \subset \mathcal{A}^1.$$

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 2.7 or from Theorem 3.7 that $H^n(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.9. *We have a canonical decomposition of \mathcal{O}_S -modules*

$$\mathcal{A}^1 = H^1(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_O^{(1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{K}^{(n)}.$$

Let $\rho^1 : \mathcal{A}^1 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{A})$ be the projector induced by the above decomposition, and

$$\rho : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{A})$$

be the induced morphism of graded \mathcal{O}_S -algebras ($\rho^0 = \text{id}$, and $\rho^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$). Then, ρ is a dg-quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. The second assertion follows immediately from the first (cf. Proposition 2.7). To prove the decomposition, we may work locally over S . Let $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega_P^{(1)}, \omega_P^{(2)}, \dots$ be as in (26). It follows from the equations

$$d\omega^{(0)} = d\nu = 0, \quad d\omega_P^{(1)} = \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}, \quad d\omega_P^{(n)} = \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n-1)} \quad (n \geq 2),$$

that

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_S \nu \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \omega^{(0)} \oplus \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}} \mathcal{O}_S (\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}).$$

To conclude, we simply remark that

$$\mathcal{K}^{(1)} = \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{O}_S \omega_P^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}_S \omega_O^{(1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}} \mathcal{O}_S (\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}). \quad \square$$

4. CANONICAL LIFTS OF RELATIVE LOGARITHMIC DIFFERENTIALS

4.1. Canonical lifts of regular differentials. Keep the same notation and hypotheses of §3.2, and assume moreover that S is smooth over a field k of characteristic zero.

We review some of the results of [16]. It follows from the smoothness of $f : E^{\natural} \rightarrow S$, that we have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*\Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 2.3, we obtain an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

which admits a canonical splitting, as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *The pullback by the identity section $e \in E^{\natural}(S)$ induces a retraction $e^* : f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1$. In particular, if $\mathcal{N} := \ker(e^*)$, we obtain a canonical decomposition*

$$f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1 = \Omega_{S/k}^1 \oplus \mathcal{N}, \quad \mathcal{N} \cong f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1.$$

Proof. See [16, Theorem 3.2]. □

It follows that any section ω of $f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1$ lifts canonically to a section of $\mathcal{N} \subset f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^1$, which we denote by $\tilde{\omega}$. For the next result, recall that $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ is endowed with an integrable k -connection, the *Gauss–Manin connection*. Under the identification (16), we get an integrable k -connection

$$\nabla : f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1.$$

Proposition 4.2. *There is a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1 \cong f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^2 / \Omega_{S/k}^2$, under which we have*

$$\nabla\omega = d\tilde{\omega} \pmod{\Omega_{S/k}^2}$$

for any section ω of $f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1$.

Proof. See [16, Proposition 2.6] and [16, Proposition 3.5]. □

Example 4.3. If $\omega^{(0)}, \nu$ is a trivialisation of $f_*\Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1$ as in §3.1, and $\alpha_{ij} \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\omega^{(0)} &= \alpha_{11} \otimes \omega^{(0)} + \alpha_{21} \otimes \nu \\ \nabla\nu &= \alpha_{12} \otimes \omega^{(0)} + \alpha_{22} \otimes \nu, \end{aligned}$$

then the canonical lifts satisfy (cf. [16, Remark 3.7]):

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} d\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} &= \alpha_{11} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} + \alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \\ d\tilde{\nu} &= \alpha_{12} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} + \alpha_{22} \wedge \tilde{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above equations hold ‘on the nose’, and not only modulo $\Omega_{S/k}^2$.

For later reference, we consider the following auxiliary results.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that S is affine, that $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial, and let $\omega^{(0)}, \nu$, and t be as in Theorem 3.3. Then:*

$$\tilde{\omega}^{(0)}|_{\pi^{-1}O} = -\alpha_{21}t, \quad \tilde{\nu}|_{\pi^{-1}O} = dt - \alpha_{22}t.$$

Proof. As $\pi^{-1}O$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a,S}$ via the coordinate t , we have

$$\Gamma(S, f_*\Omega_{\pi^{-1}O/k}^1) \cong \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)[t] + \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)[t]dt$$

Thus, since $\omega^{(0)}|_{\pi^{-1}O} = 0$ and $\nu|_{\pi^{-1}O} = dt$, we can write

$$(29) \quad \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}|_{\pi^{-1}O} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n t^n, \quad \tilde{\nu}|_{\pi^{-1}O} = dt + \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_n t^n.$$

for unique $\gamma_n, \delta_n \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ with $\gamma_n = \delta_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. The condition $e^*\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = e^*\tilde{\nu} = 0$ implies that $\gamma_0 = \delta_0 = 0$. Plugging (29) into (28), a short calculation shows that $\gamma_n = \delta_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, and that $\gamma_1 = -\alpha_{21}$ and $\delta_1 = -\alpha_{22}$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\tilde{\omega} \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{N})$ and $Q \in E^\natural(S)$ be a torsion section. If $\tau_Q : E^\natural \rightarrow E^\natural$ denotes the translation by Q , then $\tau_Q^*\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}$. In particular, global sections of \mathcal{N} are invariant under translation by $P^\natural \in E^\natural(S)$ for every $P \in Z(S)$.*

Proof. Since every section of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1$ is invariant (see §2.2), there exists $\beta \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ such that $\tau_Q^*\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega} + \beta$. By induction, for any $n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\tau_{nQ}^*\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega} + n\beta.$$

By pulling back the above equation by e , we get

$$(nQ)^*\tilde{\omega} = e^*\tilde{\omega} + n\beta = n\beta,$$

where we have also used that $e^*\tilde{\omega} = 0$. Since Q is torsion and S is of characteristic zero, we conclude that $\beta = 0$. \square

4.2. Canonical lifts of Kronecker differentials. Define the Koszul filtration $\mathcal{F}^0 \supset \mathcal{F}^1 \supset \dots$ on the complex $\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^p := \text{im}(f_*\Omega_{S/k}^p \otimes \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)[-p] \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)),$$

where the above map is given by the wedge product. This gives rise to a filtration $f_*\mathcal{F}^p$ on $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ by direct image on each component.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $p \geq 0$, there is a canonical isomorphism of complexes of \mathcal{O}_S -modules*

$$f_*\mathcal{F}^p/f_*\mathcal{F}^{p+1} \cong \Omega_{S/k}^p \otimes f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)[-p].$$

Proof. Using that

$$0 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

is locally split, and that $\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \bigwedge^\bullet \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$, we see that the Koszul filtration satisfies

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{F}^p/\mathcal{F}^{p+1} \cong f_*\Omega_{S/k}^p \otimes \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)[-p], \quad \text{for every } p \geq 0.$$

Thus, by the projection formula, it suffices to check that each \mathcal{F}^p is a complex of f_* -acyclic \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} -modules. This statement is local on S , so we may assume that $S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is finitely presented, which implies in particular that $\mathcal{F}^N = 0$ for some $N \geq 0$. We prove the desired assertion, which is trivially true for $p \geq N$, by descending induction on p . By (30), we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^p \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{S/k}^p \otimes \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)[-p] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that $f^*\Omega_{S/k}^p \otimes \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)[-p]$ is a complex of f_* -acyclic \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} -modules by the same argument in the proof of Proposition 2.7. Thus, if \mathcal{F}^{p+1} is also a complex of f_* -acyclic \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} -modules, so is \mathcal{F}^p by the long exact sequence in cohomology. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that S is affine, that $R^1p_*\mathcal{O}_E$ is free, and let $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega^{(1)}, \dots$ be as in Theorem 3.3. There is a unique family $\{\tilde{\omega}^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ of global sections of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ such that, for every $n \geq 1$, $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$ is a lift of $\omega^{(n)}$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv n\alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(n+1)} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2},$$

where $\alpha_{21} \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ is a coefficient of the Gauss–Manin connection as in Example 4.3.

Proof. We first prove unicity. Any other lift of $\omega^{(n)}$ would be of the form $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} + \beta_n$, for some $\beta_n \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$. By the condition in the statement, we deduce that

$$\beta_n \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

In other words, the image of $\beta_n \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$ in $f_*\mathcal{F}^1/f_*\mathcal{F}^2$ vanishes. By Proposition 4.6, this means that $\beta_n \otimes \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} = 0$ in $\Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}O)$, which implies that $\beta_n = 0$, since $\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}, \nu \wedge \omega^{(1)}, \dots$ is a trivialisation of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ by Theorem 3.3.

For the existence, let $\varphi_n \in \Gamma(S, f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O))$ be any lift of $\omega^{(n)}$. By Proposition 4.6 and the fact that $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}O)$ is trivialised by $\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}, \nu \wedge \omega^{(1)}, \dots$, there exist unique $\gamma_{n,i} \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ such that

$$\varphi_n \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_{n,i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \varphi_i \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

We take residues along $\pi^{-1}O$ on both sides of the above equation. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.4, we get on the one hand

$$\text{Res}(\varphi_n \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) = \frac{t^n}{(n-1)!} \alpha_{21} \wedge dt + \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n-1)!} \alpha_{22} \wedge \alpha_{21},$$

and, on the other hand,

$$\text{Res}(\gamma_{n,i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \varphi_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \gamma_{n,i} \wedge dt + \frac{t^i}{(i-1)!} \alpha_{22} \wedge \gamma_{n,i} & i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we get

$$\frac{t^n}{(n-1)!} \alpha_{21} \wedge dt = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \gamma_{n,i} \wedge dt,$$

so that $\gamma_{n,n+1} = n\alpha_{21}$ and $\gamma_{n,i} = 0$ for $i \notin \{0, n+1\}$. We conclude that

$$\varphi_n \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv \gamma_{n,0} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} + n\alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \varphi_{n+1} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} := \varphi_n - \gamma_{n,0}$ are lifts of $\omega^{(n)}$ satisfying the equation in the statement. \square

Remark 4.8. If we consider $\nu' = u\nu + v\omega^{(0)}$ as in Remark 3.4, then, by unicity, the canonical lifts of the corresponding Kronecker differentials are $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)'} = u^{n-1}\tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ be the Kronecker subbundles of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ defined in Theorem 3.7. For every $n \geq 1$, there is a unique lift of $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ to a subbundle $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ such that*

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{L}^{(n)} \wedge \mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{N} \equiv d\mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{L}^{(n+1)} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) = \Omega_{S/k}^1 \oplus \mathcal{N} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}^{(n)}.$$

Proof. We may work locally over S , so that the hypotheses of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.7: for every $P \in Z(S)$, we set

$$(32) \quad \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} := \tau_{-P^\natural}^* \tilde{\omega}^{(n)}.$$

Using that $\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$ are invariant under translation by P^\natural (Lemma 4.5), we obtain

$$d\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv n\alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n+1)} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

Thus, the subbundles

$$\mathcal{L}^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{L}_P^{(n)}, \quad \mathcal{L}_P^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}_S \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)},$$

satisfy (31). The second assertion follows immediately from the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

and from Theorem 3.7.

For unicity, let $\mathcal{L}^{(n)'}$ be another family of subbundles of $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ satisfying (31). Since $\mathcal{L}^{(n)'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{K}_P^{(n)}$, we have a decomposition $\mathcal{L}^{(n)'} = \bigoplus_{P \in Z(S)} \mathcal{L}_P^{(n)'}$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)'}$ be the trivialisation of $\mathcal{L}_P^{(n)'}$ corresponding to the trivialisation $\omega_P^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{K}_P^{(n)}$. Let $\beta_P^n \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1)$ be such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)'} = \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} + \beta_P^n.$$

Since $\pi^{-1}Z = \bigsqcup_{P \in Z(S)} \pi^{-1}P$, and $\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)'}$ has singularities only along the component $\pi^{-1}P$, equation (31) implies that, for every $P \in Z(S)$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_S \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)'} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \equiv \mathcal{O}_S \alpha_{21} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n+1)'} \pmod{f_*\mathcal{F}^2}.$$

Under the identification $f_*\mathcal{F}^1/f_*\mathcal{F}^2 \cong \Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$ of Proposition 4.6, we deduce that

$$\beta_P^n \otimes \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} \in \mathcal{O}_S \alpha_{21} \otimes \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n+1)}.$$

This is only possible if $\beta_P^n = 0$, since $\nu \wedge \omega^{(0)}, \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(1)}, \dots$ trivialise $f_*\Omega_{E^\natural/S}^2(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$. \square

5. RELATIVE ELLIPTIC KZB CONNECTIONS

5.1. Reminders on the bar construction. We work in the category of \mathcal{O}_S -modules for some scheme S . All tensor products are taken over \mathcal{O}_S .

Let \mathcal{A} be a graded-commutative dg-algebra over \mathcal{O}_S , and assume that \mathcal{A} is *connected*: $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{O}_S$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{I} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{A}^n$$

the kernel of the augmentation $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ given by projection onto the component of degree 0. Local sections of a tensor power $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ will be written in ‘bar notation’:

$$a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n =: [a_1 | \cdots | a_n].$$

The *bar construction* associated to \mathcal{A} is the total complex of \mathcal{O}_S -modules $(B^\bullet(\mathcal{A}), d_B)$ associated to the double complex $(B^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{A}), d_1, d_2)$ given by

$$B^{-s, t}(\mathcal{A}) := (\mathcal{I}^{\otimes s})^t, \quad s, t \geq 0,$$

$$d_1 : B^{-s,t}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow B^{-s,t+1}(\mathcal{A}), \quad [a_1 | \cdots | a_n] \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i [Ja_1 | \cdots | Ja_{i-1} | da_i | a_{i+1} | \cdots | a_n]$$

$$d_2 : B^{-s,t}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow B^{-s+1,t}(\mathcal{A}), \quad [a_1 | \cdots | a_n] \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} [Ja_1 | \cdots | Ja_{i-1} | Ja_i \wedge a_{i+1} | a_{i+2} | \cdots | a_n]$$

where $J : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is the involution acting by $(-1)^j$ in degree j .

The *length filtration* on $B(\mathcal{A})$ is the increasing exhaustive filtration by the \mathcal{O}_S -submodules

$$L_n B(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^n \mathcal{I}^{\otimes m}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Note that d_1 sends $L_n B(\mathcal{A})$ to itself, while d_2 sends it to $L_{n-1} B(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, the bar differential $d_B = d_1 + d_2$ preserves the length filtration.

The bar construction is functorial: if $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a morphism of connected graded-commutative dg-algebras, then

$$B(\varphi) : B(\mathcal{A}_1) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{A}_2), \quad B(\varphi) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{\varphi}^{\otimes n}$$

is a morphism of complexes of \mathcal{O}_S -modules, where $\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{I}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_2$ is obtained from φ by restriction to the kernel of the augmentation. The next result shows that, under a suitable Künneth-type condition, the functor B also preserves quasi-isomorphisms.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be a dg-quasi-isomorphism between connected graded-commutative dg-algebras. Assume that for all $m, n \geq 0$ the canonical maps*

$$(33) \quad \bigoplus_{i_1 + \cdots + i_n = m} H^{i_1}(\mathcal{I}_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{i_n}(\mathcal{I}_j) \longrightarrow H^m(\mathcal{I}_j^{\otimes n})$$

are isomorphisms, for $j = 1, 2$. Then, the induced map $B(\varphi) : B(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the induced map $L_n B(\mathcal{A}_1) \rightarrow L_n B(\mathcal{A}_2)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for every $n \geq 0$. We proceed by induction on n , the base case $n = 0$ being trivial. Now fix $n \geq 1$ and consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} B(\mathcal{A}_1) & \longrightarrow & L_n B(\mathcal{A}_1) & \longrightarrow & L_n B(\mathcal{A}_1) / L_{n-1} B(\mathcal{A}_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1} B(\mathcal{A}_2) & \longrightarrow & L_n B(\mathcal{A}_2) & \longrightarrow & L_n B(\mathcal{A}_2) / L_{n-1} B(\mathcal{A}_2) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The vertical arrow on the left is a quasi-isomorphism by induction hypothesis. That the vertical arrow on the right is a quasi-isomorphism follows from the isomorphisms (33). We conclude by an application of the five lemma. \square

Remark 5.2. For the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 to be satisfied, it is sufficient that all of \mathcal{I}_j^n , $d\mathcal{I}_j^n$, and $H^n(\mathcal{I}_j)$ are flat \mathcal{O}_S -modules ($n \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$); see [32, Theorem 3.6.3].

We state without proof the following standard result.

Proposition 5.3. *The cohomology in degree 0 of the bar construction*

$$H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) = \ker(d_B : B^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow B^1(\mathcal{A}))$$

is naturally equipped with the structure of a filtered commutative Hopf algebra over \mathcal{O}_S , given by:

- A commutative multiplication $\sqcup : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \otimes H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, the shuffle product, which is defined on local sections by

$$[a_1 | \cdots | a_m] \sqcup [a_{m+1} | \cdots | a_{m+n}] = \sum_{\sigma} [a_{\sigma(1)} | \cdots | a_{\sigma(m+n)}],$$

where the sum ranges over all permutations σ of $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ such that σ^{-1} is strictly increasing on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$. The unit for \sqcup is $1 \in B^{0,0}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{O}_S$.

- A comultiplication $\Delta : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \otimes H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, the deconcatenation coproduct, which is defined on local sections by

$$\Delta([a_1 | \cdots | a_n]) = [a_1 | \cdots | a_n] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [a_1 | \cdots | a_n] + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} [a_1 | \cdots | a_r] \otimes [a_{r+1} | \cdots | a_n].$$

The counit for Δ is the augmentation map $\varepsilon : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.

- An antipode $\sigma : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, given on local sections by

$$\sigma([a_1 | \cdots | a_n]) = (-1)^n [a_n | \cdots | a_1].$$

- A length filtration $L_n H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) := L_n B(\mathcal{A}) \cap H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$.

Example 5.4. If $\mathcal{A}^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, then $d_B = 0$, so that

$$H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) = B^0(\mathcal{A}) = T^c \mathcal{A}^1.$$

Here, $T^c \mathcal{A}^1 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n}$ denotes the tensor coalgebra on \mathcal{A}^1 , with the above structure of filtered Hopf algebra over \mathcal{O}_S . If moreover $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{O}_S \alpha_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \alpha_r$, then we can identify

$$H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \cong \mathcal{O}_S \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle,$$

where the length filtration L_n is spanned by non-commutative polynomials of total degree $\leq n$.

In general, denote by $\text{pr}_n : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n}$ the natural projection onto the component of pure length n . Comodules for the Hopf algebra $H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$ can be characterised as follows.

Proposition 5.5. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle over S , and $\rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ be an \mathcal{O}_S -morphism. Write $\rho = \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho_n$, where $\rho_n = (\text{pr}_n \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{E}$. Then, ρ is a comodule structure if and only if

- (i) $\rho_0 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$, and
- (ii) ρ_n is the n -fold composition

$$[\rho_1]^n := (\text{id}_{(\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n-1}} \otimes \rho_1) \circ \cdots \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}^1} \otimes \rho_1) \circ \rho_1 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{E},$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Moreover, given an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map $\omega : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$, there exists a comodule structure $\rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\rho_1 = \omega$ if and only if ω is locally nilpotent (i.e., locally over S , we have $[\omega]^n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$), and

$$d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$$

in $\mathcal{A}^2 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Since the counit ε of $H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$ is equal to pr_0 , statement (i) is equivalent to the comodule axiom $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho = \text{id}$. Let

$$\delta_{i,j} : (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes i+j} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes i} \otimes (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes j}, \quad [a_1 | \cdots | a_{i+j}] \mapsto [a_1 | \cdots | a_i] \otimes [a_{i+1} | \cdots | a_{i+j}]$$

be the ‘deconcatenation isomorphisms’. Using the expression $\rho = \sum_{n \geq 0} \rho_n$ and the definition of the deconcatenation coproduct Δ of $H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, we obtain

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i+j=n} (\delta_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho_n = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (\delta_{i,j} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho_{i+j}$$

and

$$(\text{id} \otimes \rho) \circ \rho = \left(\text{id} \otimes \sum_{i \geq 0} \rho_i \right) \circ \sum_{j \geq 0} \rho_j = \sum_{i, j \geq 0} (\text{id} \otimes \rho_i) \circ \rho_j.$$

By induction, this shows that the comodule axiom $(\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho = (\text{id} \otimes \rho) \circ \rho$ is equivalent to (ii).

For the last assertion, note that any \mathcal{O}_S -linear map $\omega : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$ defines a \mathcal{O}_S -linear map

$$\rho := ([\omega]^n)_{\geq 0} : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{E}.$$

We regard $T^c \mathcal{A}^1 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n}$ as a submodule of $\prod_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{A}^1)^{\otimes n}$. Since \mathcal{E} is an \mathcal{O}_S -module of finite type, we see that ρ factors through $T^c \mathcal{A}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}$ if and only if, locally over S , we have $[\omega]^n = 0$ for every sufficiently large n . Finally, since $H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) = \ker(d_B)$ and \mathcal{E} is flat, the image of ρ is contained in $H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $(d_B \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho = 0$. In bar notation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_B \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho &= \sum_{n \geq 1} d_B \underbrace{[\omega | \cdots | \omega]}_{\text{length } n} = - \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{[\omega | \cdots | \overbrace{d\omega}^{\text{ith position}} | \cdots | \omega]}_{\text{length } n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \underbrace{[\omega | \cdots | \overbrace{\omega \wedge \omega}^{\text{ith position}} | \cdots | \omega]}_{\text{length } n-1} \right) \\ &= - \sum_{n \geq 1} \underbrace{[\omega | \cdots | \overbrace{d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega}^{\text{ith position}} | \cdots | \omega]}_{\text{length } n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that the identity $(d_B \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho = 0$ is equivalent to $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$. \square

5.2. Fundamental Hopf algebra. Let S be a scheme of characteristic zero, $p : E \rightarrow S$ be an elliptic curve, and Z be a divisor on E/S as in §3.2. Let $f : E^\natural \rightarrow S$ be the universal vector extension of E/S and $\pi : E^\natural \rightarrow E$ be the natural projection.

Definition 5.6. The *de Rham fundamental Hopf algebra* of E/S punctured at Z is the filtered Hopf algebra over \mathcal{O}_S defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z} := H^0(B(f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z))).$$

The affine group scheme over S corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}$ can be regarded as a base point free version of the ‘relative unipotent de Rham fundamental group’ of $E \setminus Z$ over S (cf. [10]). We refer to Appendix A for precise comparison theorems in the case where S is the spectrum of a field.

Example 5.7. Assume that S is affine, that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial, and let $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega_P^{(1)}, \omega_P^{(2)}, \dots$ be as in (26). Then, a section ξ of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z}$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -linear combination of words in these 1-forms, satisfying $d_B \xi = 0$. For instance,

$$[\omega^{(0)} | \omega^{(0)}], \quad [\omega^{(0)} | \nu] + [\omega_P^{(1)}], \quad [\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)} | \nu] + [\omega^{(2)} - \omega_O^{(2)}]$$

are sections of length 2, and

$$\begin{aligned} &[\omega^{(0)} | \nu | \omega^{(0)} | \nu | \nu] + [\omega_P^{(1)} | \omega^{(0)} | \nu | \nu] - [\omega^{(0)} | \omega_P^{(1)} | \nu | \nu] + [\omega^{(0)} | \nu | \omega_P^{(1)} | \nu] + 2[\omega_P^{(1)} | \omega^{(1)} | \nu] \\ &\quad - 2[\omega^{(0)} | \omega_P^{(2)} | \nu] + [\omega^{(0)} | \nu | \omega_P^{(2)}] + 3[\omega_P^{(1)} | \omega_P^{(2)}] - 3[\omega^{(0)} | \omega_P^{(3)}] \end{aligned}$$

is a section of length 5.

Theorem 5.8. The projector ρ from Theorem 3.9 induces an isomorphism of filtered Hopf algebras over \mathcal{O}_S

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S, Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} T^c H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that ρ is a dg-quasi-isomorphism (Theorem 3.9) and from Lemma 5.1. To verify the Künneth-type hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 (cf. Remark 5.2), we apply Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.9. \square

Example 5.9. Locally over S , the isomorphism of the above theorem is given explicitly by writing a section of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ as an \mathcal{O}_S -linear combination of words in $\omega^{(0)}, \nu, \omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}, \omega_O^{(1)}, \omega_P^{(n)}$ (where $n \geq 2$ and $P \in Z(S)$), and by sending $\omega_O^{(1)}, \omega_P^{(n)}$ to zero. For instance, the length 2 section

$$[\omega^{(0)}|\nu] + [\omega_P^{(1)}] = [\omega^{(0)}|\nu] + [\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}] + [\omega_O^{(1)}]$$

of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is sent to the length 2 section $[\omega^{(0)}|\nu] + [\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)}]$ of $T^c H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)$.

We also deduce from the above theorem that the formation of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ commutes with every base change in S .

Corollary 5.10. *For any morphism of schemes $\varphi : S' \rightarrow S$, the natural map*

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{(E \times_S S')/S, Z \times_S S'}$$

is an isomorphism of filtered Hopf algebras over $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$.

Proof. It is well known that, for proper and smooth schemes, the formation of the de Rham cohomology commutes with arbitrary base change. Using the residue exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} H_{\text{dR}}^0(Z/S) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^2(E/S) \longrightarrow 0$$

we deduce that the formation of $H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)$ commutes with arbitrary base change. To conclude we apply Theorem 5.8 and the fact that the formation of the universal vector extension also commutes with arbitrary base change (cf. §2.1). \square

5.3. Elliptic KZB connection. We keep the notation of §5.2. It follows from Theorem 5.8 that there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(34) \quad L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} / L_{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)^{\otimes n}.$$

In particular, each $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is a vector bundle over S . The *continuous dual* of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is the \mathcal{O}_S -module

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee := \lim_n (L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee, \quad (L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

with the dual structure of a completed Hopf algebra over \mathcal{O}_S (cf. [7, §3.2.6]). For instance, its multiplication is given by

$$\Delta^\vee : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee, \quad \Delta^\vee := \lim_n (\Delta|_{L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}})^\vee.$$

Regarding the restriction of the antipode σ to $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ as a global section of $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \otimes (L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee$, we get a global section

$$\hat{\sigma} = \lim_n \sigma|_{L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}} \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee).$$

Definition 5.11. The *KZB form* of E/S punctured at Z is the global section

$$\omega_{E/S,Z} := (\text{pr}_1 \otimes \text{id})(\hat{\sigma}) \in \Gamma(S, f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee),$$

where $\text{pr}_1 : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \rightarrow f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z)$ is the projection onto the component of length 1.

By letting $\omega_{E/S,Z}$ act on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ by left multiplication via Δ^\vee , we can also regard it as an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map

$$\omega_{E/S,Z} : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee.$$

Proposition 5.12. *We have*

$$d\omega_{E/S,Z} + \omega_{E/S,Z} \wedge \omega_{E/S,Z} = 0.$$

Moreover, if we denote

$$1_{E/S,Z} := \lim_n (\varepsilon|_{L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}})^\vee \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee),$$

then the triple $(\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee, \omega_{E/S,Z}, 1_{E/S,Z})$ satisfies the following universal property: for every triple (\mathcal{E}, ω, e) , where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over S , $\omega : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is a locally nilpotent \mathcal{O}_S -linear map satisfying $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$, and $e \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{E})$, there is a unique \mathcal{O}_S -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \omega_{E/S,Z} = \omega \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi(1_{E/S,Z}) = e$.

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ be the comodule structure corresponding to ω as in Proposition 5.5. Working locally over S , we may assume that there is some $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $\rho(\mathcal{E}) \subset L_{n_0} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \otimes \mathcal{E}$. For every $n \geq n_0$, define

$$(35) \quad \varphi_n : (L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad \lambda \longmapsto (\lambda \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\sigma \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho(e).$$

Then, a straightforward computation shows that $\varphi := \lim_n \varphi_n : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map satisfying the properties in the statement.

To prove unicity, let $\rho_{E/S,Z} : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ be the completed comodule structure corresponding to $\omega_{E/S,Z}$ via Proposition 5.5. It is given by left multiplication by $\hat{\sigma}$. If $\varphi' : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is an \mathcal{O}_S -morphism satisfying $\varphi'(1_{E/S,Z}) = e$ and $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi') \circ \omega_{E/S,Z} = \omega \circ \varphi'$, then it follows from Proposition 5.5 that this last equation can be lifted to

$$(\text{id} \otimes \varphi') \circ \rho_{E/S,Z} = \rho \circ \varphi'.$$

Thus,

$$\rho(e) = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi') \circ \rho_{E/S,Z}(1_{E/S,Z}) = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi')(\hat{\sigma}).$$

Let $n \geq n_0$ be as above. For any section λ of $(L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee$, we have

$$(\lambda \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho(e) = (\lambda \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \varphi')(\hat{\sigma}) = \varphi' \circ (\lambda \otimes \text{id})(\hat{\sigma}) = \varphi'_n(\lambda \circ \sigma|_{L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}}),$$

where in the last equality we regard $\lambda \circ \sigma|_{L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}}$ as a section of $(L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee$. Finally, using that σ is an involution, we conclude that φ'_n is given by the same formula as φ_n in (35). \square

Definition 5.13. Consider the completed pullback $f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee := \lim_n f^*(L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z})^\vee$. The *relative elliptic KZB connection* of E/S punctured at Z is the S -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee, \quad \nabla_{E/S,Z} = d + \omega_{E/S,Z}.$$

Proposition 5.14. *The formation of $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ is compatible with arbitrary base change in S .*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.10 and from the fact that $\omega_{E/S,Z}$ is induced by the antipode of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$. \square

We shall now give explicit formulas for the relative elliptic KZB connection. Assuming that S is affine and that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial, let $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega_P^{(1)}, \omega_P^{(2)}, \dots$ be as in (26). Recall that

$$\{\nu, \omega^{(0)}\} \cup \{\omega_P^{(1)} - \omega_O^{(1)} : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}\}$$

trivialises the vector bundle $H^1(f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z))$ over S (cf. proof of Theorem 3.9). We denote by

$$\{a, b\} \cup \{b_P : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}\}$$

the dual trivialisation of $H^1(f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1}Z))^\vee$. By Theorem 5.8 (cf. Example 5.4), we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{U/S}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b, b_P : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\} \rangle\rangle.$$

Note that $1_{E/S,Z}$ gets identified with the constant 1. It will be more convenient to write

$$\mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b, b_P : P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\} \rangle\rangle \cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b, c_P : P \in Z(S) \rangle\rangle}{\langle \sum_{P \in Z(S)} c_P - [a, b] \rangle},$$

where the isomorphism is given by sending b_P to c_P for every $P \in Z(S) \setminus \{O\}$.

Theorem 5.15. *With the above notation, we have*

$$(36) \quad \omega_{E/S,Z} = -\nu \otimes a - \omega^{(0)} \otimes b - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in Z(S)} \omega_P^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P,$$

where $\text{ad}_a x = [a, x] = ax - xa$.

Proof. Call ω' the right-hand side of (36). We show that ω' satisfies the universal property for the KZB form. For this, let (\mathcal{E}, ω, e) be a triple as in Proposition 5.12. As $\nu, \omega^{(0)}, \omega_P^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 1, P \in Z(S)$) trivialise $f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)$, we can uniquely write

$$\omega = -\nu \otimes A - \omega^{(0)} \otimes B - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in Z(S)} \omega_P^{(n)} \otimes C_P^{(n)},$$

where $A, B, C_P^{(n)}$ are nilpotent endomorphisms of \mathcal{E} (with $C_P^{(n)} = 0$ for $n \gg 0$). Since

$$0 = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = \nu \wedge \omega^{(0)} \otimes \left([A, B] - \sum_{P \in Z(S)} C_P^{(1)} \right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \nu \wedge \omega_P^{(n)} \otimes \left([A, C_P^{(n)}] - C_P^{(n+1)} \right),$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{P \in Z(S)} C_P^{(1)} = [A, B], \quad C_P^{(n)} = \text{ad}_A^{n-1} C_P^{(1)}.$$

Thus, there is a unique \mathcal{O}_S -morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\varphi(1) = e$, $\varphi(a) = Ae$, $\varphi(b) = Be$, and $\varphi(c_P) = C_P^{(1)}e$ for every $P \in Z(S)$. To finish the proof, it suffices to remark that these conditions are equivalent to $\varphi(1) = e$ and $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \omega' = \omega \circ \varphi$. \square

Example 5.16. When $Z = O$, we have $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,O}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_S \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$. Since $c_O = [a, b] = \text{ad}_a b$, the formula for $\omega_{E/S,Z}$ becomes

$$\omega_{E/S,O} = -\nu \otimes a - \sum_{n \geq 0} \omega^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^n b,$$

where $\omega^{(n)} = \omega_O^{(n)}$.

6. ABSOLUTE ELLIPTIC KZB CONNECTIONS

6.1. Bar construction relative to a dg-algebra. Let S be a smooth scheme over a field k of characteristic zero. In this paragraph, we consider a variant of the bar construction relative to the dg-algebra $\Omega := \Omega_{S/k}^\bullet$.

To lighten the notation, tensor products without subscripts are over \mathcal{O}_S . If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are bimodules over the (non-commutative) \mathcal{O}_S -algebra Ω , we denote

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_\Omega \mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) / \mathcal{R},$$

where \mathcal{R} is the submodule generated by $(f \wedge \omega) \otimes g - f \otimes (J\omega \wedge g)$, with f, g, ω sections of $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \Omega$ respectively.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{C}^n$ be a graded \mathcal{O}_S -algebra equipped with a k -linear differential $d : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[1]$ making it a commutative dg-algebra over k . Assume that $\mathcal{C}^0 = \mathcal{O}_S$ and that \mathcal{C} contains Ω both as a graded subalgebra over \mathcal{O}_S and as a dg-subalgebra over k . The *relative bar construction* on \mathcal{C} is

defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}^n$ be the kernel of the projection on the component of degree zero $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$, and set

$$B_\Omega^{-s,t}(\mathcal{C}) := (\mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^s})^t, \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

Here, we also denote local sections of a tensor power $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^n}$ in ‘bar notation’:

$$c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n =: [c_1 | \cdots | c_n].$$

With the above notion of tensor product, one can readily check that

$$d_1 : B_\Omega^{-s,t}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B_\Omega^{-s,t+1}(\mathcal{C}), \quad [c_1 | \cdots | c_n] \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i [Jc_1 | \cdots | Jc_{i-1} | dc_i | c_{i+1} | \cdots | c_n]$$

$$d_2 : B_\Omega^{-s,t}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B_\Omega^{-s+1,t}(\mathcal{C}), \quad [c_1 | \cdots | c_n] \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i-1} [Jc_1 | \cdots | Jc_{i-1} | Jc_i \wedge c_{i+1} | c_{i+2} | \cdots | c_n]$$

are well-defined (even though d is only k -linear), so that we obtain a double complex $(B_\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{C}), d_1, d_2)$. The relative bar construction is the associated total complex $(B_\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{C}), d_B)$.

Remark 6.1. Similarly to the usual bar construction, as an \mathcal{O}_S -module, $B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})$ is simply the tensor module

$$B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^n},$$

with a shift on the grading: $\deg([c_1 | \cdots | c_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\deg(c_i) - 1)$.

6.2. Koszul filtration on the relative bar construction. We take

$$\mathcal{C} := f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z),$$

with hypotheses and notation as in §5.2. The Koszul filtration by $\mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}$ -submodules $\mathcal{F}^0 \supset \mathcal{F}^1 \supset \cdots$ on $\Omega_{E^{\natural}/k}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z)$ (cf. §4.2) induces by direct image a filtration by \mathcal{O}_S -submodules $f_* \mathcal{F}^0 \supset f_* \mathcal{F}^1 \supset \cdots$ on \mathcal{C} . Note that each $f_* \mathcal{F}^p$ is actually a Ω -submodule of \mathcal{C} . Let $F^\bullet \mathcal{J}$ be the induced filtration on $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{C}$, so that:

$$F^p \mathcal{J} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{J} & p = 0 \\ f_* \mathcal{F}^p & p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This induces a filtration on $B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})$:

$$F^p B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \sum_{p_1 + \cdots + p_n = p} \text{im}(F^{p_1} \mathcal{J} \otimes_\Omega \cdots \otimes_\Omega F^{p_n} \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^n}).$$

In what follows, let $\mathcal{A} = f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^\bullet(\log \pi^{-1} Z)$ and $\mathcal{I} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{A}^n$ (cf. §5.1). By Proposition 4.6, we have $\mathcal{J}/F^1 \mathcal{J} \cong \mathcal{I}$. Let

$$B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{A})$$

be the natural map induced by the quotient map $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 6.2. *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B_\Omega(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. By flatness of \mathcal{J} , $F^1\mathcal{J}$, and of \mathcal{I} , we have, for every $n \geq 1$, an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{J}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n-i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the map $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ factors through $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$, we obtain an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^{i-1}} \otimes_{\Omega} F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^{n-i}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

This proves that the sequence in the statement is an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules. \square

Let

$$\sigma_{n,i} : \mathcal{I}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes (\Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}) \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$$

be the ‘Koszul sign rule’ isomorphism given by

$$[a_1 | \cdots | a_{i-1} | \omega \otimes a_i | a_{i+1} | \cdots | a_n] \mapsto (-1)^{i-1} \omega \otimes [Ja_1 | \cdots | Ja_{i-1} | a_i | \cdots | a_n].$$

Lemma 6.3. *For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, let*

$$\pi_{n,i} : \mathcal{J}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n-i} \longrightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$$

be the projection given by $F^1\mathcal{J} \rightarrow F^1\mathcal{J}/F^2\mathcal{J} \cong \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}$ (cf. Proposition 4.6) on the i th factor and by $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/F^1\mathcal{J} \cong \mathcal{I}$ on the other factors, composed with $\sigma_{n,i}$. Then:

(i) The sum

$$\pi_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \pi_{n,i} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{J}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}^{\otimes n-i} \longrightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$$

factors through an \mathcal{O}_S -linear map

$$\pi_n : \sum_{i=1}^n \text{im}(\mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^{i-1}} \otimes_{\Omega} F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes_{\Omega} \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^{n-i}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^n}) \longrightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$$

(ii) The induced \mathcal{O}_S -linear map

$$\pi : F^1B_{\Omega}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes B(\mathcal{A}) \cong \Omega^1 \otimes B(\mathcal{A})[-1]$$

is a morphism of complexes over k .

Proof. For clarity, we only give details for the case $n = 2$; the general case is similar.

To prove (i), we first remark that, from the flatness of $F^1\mathcal{J}$, \mathcal{J} , and $\mathcal{J}/F^1\mathcal{J} \cong \mathcal{I}$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (F^1\mathcal{J})^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow (F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes 2},$$

where the injection is given by $x \mapsto (x, -x)$. For $i = 1, 2$, we have $\pi_{2,i}((F^1\mathcal{J})^{\otimes 2}) = 0$, since there is always a projection $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/F^1\mathcal{J}$ in one of the factors. This shows that π_2 factors through

$$\pi_2 : \text{im}((F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}) \oplus (\mathcal{J} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes 2}) = F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \Omega^1[1] \otimes \mathcal{I}^{\otimes 2}.$$

We are left to show that π_2 factors through the image of $F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^2}$. By definition, the kernel of $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\otimes \Omega^2}$ is generated by sections of the form

$$x = (c_1 \wedge \omega) \otimes c_2 - c_1 \otimes (J\omega \wedge c_2),$$

with c_1, c_2 sections of \mathcal{J} and ω a section of Ω^n with $n \geq 1$. Every such element is in $F^1\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J} \otimes F^1\mathcal{J}$, so we only need to prove that $\pi_2(x) = 0$. Using that $\Omega^i = \bigwedge^i \Omega^1$, we can reduce to the case where ω is a section of Ω^1 : if $\omega = \omega' \wedge \omega''$, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} x = & ((c_1 \wedge \omega') \wedge \omega'') \otimes c_2 - (c_1 \wedge \omega') \otimes (J\omega'' \wedge c_2) \\ & + (c_1 \wedge \omega') \otimes (J\omega'' \wedge c_2) - c_1 \otimes (J\omega' \wedge (J\omega'' \wedge c_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, assuming that ω is a section of Ω^1 , we have $x = (c_1 \wedge \omega) \otimes c_2 + c_1 \otimes (\omega \wedge c_2)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(x) &= \pi_{2,1}((c_1 \wedge \omega) \otimes c_2) + \pi_{2,2}(c_1 \otimes (\omega \wedge c_2)) \\ &= \pi_{2,1}((\omega \wedge Jc_1) \otimes c_2) + \pi_{2,2}(c_1 \otimes (\omega \wedge c_2)) \\ &= \omega \otimes (Jc_1 \otimes c_2) - \omega \otimes (Jc_1 \otimes c_2) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of (i).

To prove (ii), we simply compute:

$$\begin{aligned}d_B([\omega \wedge c_1 | c_2 | \cdots | c_n]) &= -[d\omega \wedge c_1 - \omega \wedge dc_1 | c_2 | \cdots | c_n] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^n (-1)^i [\omega \wedge Jc_1 | \cdots | Jc_{i-1} | dc_i | c_{i+1} | \cdots | c_n] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} [\omega \wedge Jc_1 | \cdots | Jc_{i-1} | Jc_i \wedge c_{i+1} | c_{i+2} | \cdots | c_n]\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\pi_2(d_B([\omega \wedge c_1 | c_2 | \cdots | c_n])) = -(\text{id} \otimes d_B)(\pi_2([\omega \wedge c_1 | c_2 | \cdots | c_n])).$$

This finishes the proof, as every section of $F^1 B_\Omega(\mathcal{C})$ is a combination of sections of the form $[\omega \wedge c_1 | c_2 | \cdots | c_n]$. \square

6.3. Gauss–Manin connection on the fundamental Hopf algebra. Consider the splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^1 \overset{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{A}^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

induced by the canonical lift of Kronecker differentials, as in Section 4. Locally,

$$\nu \longmapsto \tilde{\nu}, \quad \omega_P^{(n)} \longmapsto \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)}, \quad n \geq 0, P \in Z(S).$$

It induces a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow F^1 B_\Omega^0(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow B_\Omega^0(\mathcal{C}) \overset{\longleftarrow s}{\longrightarrow} B^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

given by

$$s[a_1 | \cdots | a_n] = [\tilde{a}_1 | \cdots | \tilde{a}_n].$$

Lemma 6.4. *If ξ is a section of $H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, then $d_B \circ s(\xi) \in F^1 B_\Omega^1(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & \longrightarrow & F^1 B_\Omega^0(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B_\Omega^0(\mathcal{C}) & \overset{\longleftarrow s}{\longrightarrow} & B^0(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow d_B & & \downarrow d_B \\0 & \longrightarrow & F^1 B_\Omega^1(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B_\Omega^1(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & B^1(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0\end{array}$$

given by Lemma 6.2. \square

Thus, we can define a k -linear map

$$\delta : H^0(B(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes B^0(\mathcal{A}), \quad \delta = -\pi \circ d_B \circ s.$$

Lemma 6.5. *The image of δ is contained in $\Omega^1 \otimes H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$.*

Proof. By definition, $(\text{id} \otimes d_B) \circ \delta = -(\text{id} \otimes d_B) \circ \pi \circ d_B \circ s$. Then, using that π is a morphism of k -complexes (part (ii) of Lemma 6.3), we get $-(\text{id} \otimes d_B) \circ \pi \circ d_B \circ s = \pi \circ d_B \circ d_B \circ s = 0$. \square

In the notation of Definition 5.6, we obtain a k -linear map

$$\delta : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}.$$

Theorem 6.6. *The above defined map δ is an integrable k -connection on the \mathcal{O}_S -module $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$. Moreover:*

- (i) *The connection δ preserves the length filtration.*
- (ii) *For every $n \geq 1$, the induced connection on $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} / L_{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ gets identified with the n th tensor power of the Gauss–Manin connection on $H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)$ under the isomorphism (34). In particular, δ is regular singular at infinity.*
- (iii) *The deconcatenation coproduct Δ and the antipode σ are horizontal for δ , namely:*

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \delta = (\delta \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta, \quad \delta \circ \sigma = (\text{id} \otimes \sigma) \circ \delta.$$

- (iv) *The formation of δ is compatible with base change of the form $S' \rightarrow S$, where S' is a smooth k -scheme, and with extension of scalars $k \subset k'$.*

Proof. To show that δ is a connection, let $\gamma = \sum_j [c_1^j] \cdots [c_{n_j}^j]$ be a section of $B_{\Omega}^0(\mathcal{C})$ such that $d_B \gamma$ lies in $F^1 B_{\Omega}^1(\mathcal{C})$. For a section r of \mathcal{O}_S , we have

$$d_B(r\gamma) = \sum_j d_B[r c_1^j] \cdots [c_{n_j}^j] = - \sum_j [dr \wedge c_1^j] \cdots [c_{n_j}^j] + r d_B \gamma.$$

In particular, $d_B(r\gamma)$ is also a section of $F^1 B_{\Omega}^1(\mathcal{C})$, and

$$\pi \circ d_B(r\gamma) = -dr \otimes \bar{\gamma} + r\pi \circ d_B(\gamma),$$

where $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the image of γ in $B^0(\mathcal{A})$. If $\gamma = s(\xi)$ for some section ξ of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} = H^0(B(\mathcal{A}))$, this shows that

$$\delta(r\xi) = dr \otimes \xi + r\delta(\xi).$$

Thus, δ is a k -connection.

Note that the definition of δ only involves the canonical splitting s , which is compatible with base change, and natural operations on the bar construction. Therefore, (iv) follows from Corollary 5.10. The compatibility with base change immediately implies the integrability of δ , since the moduli stack of elliptic curves is a 1-dimensional smooth Deligne–Mumford stack, and every connection on a smooth curve is integrable.

Properties (i) and (iii) are straightforward to verify. We are left to prove (ii). Recall that, by Katz and Oda’s construction [23], the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ on $H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S)$ can be explicitly described as follows. Under the isomorphism

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/S) \cong H^1(\mathcal{A}) = \ker(d : \mathcal{A}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^2)$$

of Proposition 2.7 (cf. §3.3), for a cohomology class given by a closed differential form ω in \mathcal{A}^1 , let ω' be any lift of ω to an absolute differential form in \mathcal{C}^1 ; then

$$(37) \quad \nabla \omega = d\omega' \quad \text{mod } f_* \mathcal{F}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \otimes \omega_i$$

for unique α_i in Ω^1 and ω_i in \mathcal{A}^1 closed (see Proposition 4.6).

Now, given $[\omega_1] \cdots [\omega_n]$ in $H^1(\mathcal{A})^{\otimes n}$, let ξ be a section of $L_n \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ mapping to $\omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n$ under the isomorphism (34). Since $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \subset B^0(\mathcal{A}) = T^c \mathcal{A}^1$, we can write $\xi = \xi_n + \xi_{n-1} + \cdots + \xi_0$, where each ξ_i is of pure length i , and $\xi_n = [\omega_1] \cdots [\omega_n]$. We have

$$(-d_B \circ s)(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n [\tilde{\omega}_1] \cdots [d\tilde{\omega}_i] \cdots [\tilde{\omega}_n] + \text{lower length.}$$

Then, since each $\tilde{\omega}_i$ is a lift of ω_i to an absolute differential in \mathcal{C}^1 , it follows from (37) and from the definition of π that

$$\delta(\xi) = \nabla^{\otimes n}([\omega_1 | \cdots | \omega_n]) + \text{lower length.}$$

The last statement of (ii) follows from the regularity at infinity of the Gauss–Manin connection [12, II, §7] and from the fact that regularity is preserved by extensions [12, II, Proposition 4.6.(i)]. \square

6.4. Lifting the relative elliptic KZB connection. The absolute elliptic KZB connection will be given by combining the relative connection $\nabla_{E/S,Z}$ (Definition 5.13) with the dual of δ :

$$\delta^\vee : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/k}^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee.$$

For this, let

$$\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} = (s \otimes \text{id})(\omega_{E/S,Z}) \in \Gamma(S, f_* \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee)$$

be the canonical lift of the KZB form, and let it act on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ by left multiplication.

Definition 6.7. The *absolute elliptic KZB connection* of $E/S/k$ punctured at Z is the k -connection

$$\nabla_{E/S/k,Z} : f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1} Z) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee, \quad \nabla_{E/S/k,Z} = f^* \delta^\vee + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}.$$

Proposition 6.8. *The formation of $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ is compatible with every base change of the form $S' \rightarrow S$, where S' is a smooth k -scheme, and with extension of scalars $k \subset k'$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 5.14 and Theorem 6.6. \square

To prove integrability, consider the following lemma.

Lemma 6.9. *Let A be a k -algebra (not necessarily commutative) and (Ω, d, \wedge) be a dg-algebra over k . Let $\varphi \in \Omega^1 \otimes \text{Der}_k(A)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^1 \otimes A$. We identify A as a subspace of $\text{End}_k(A)$ by left multiplication. Then, the following equation holds in $\Omega^2 \otimes \text{End}_k(A)$:*

$$d(\varphi + \alpha) + (\varphi + \alpha) \wedge (\varphi + \alpha) = (d\varphi + \varphi \wedge \varphi) + (d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha) + \varphi(\alpha),$$

where $\varphi(\alpha)$ is the element of $\Omega^2 \otimes A$ given by ‘evaluating’ φ at α .

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\varphi \wedge \alpha + \alpha \wedge \varphi = \varphi(\alpha)$. Since this equation is linear in φ and in α , we can assume that $\varphi = \omega \otimes \partial$ and $\alpha = \eta \otimes a$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \wedge \alpha + \alpha \wedge \varphi &= \omega \wedge \eta \otimes (\partial \circ a) + \eta \wedge \omega \otimes (a \circ \partial) \\ &= \omega \wedge \eta \otimes (\partial \circ a - a \circ \partial) = \omega \wedge \eta \otimes \partial(a) = \varphi(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that ∂ is a derivation in the penultimate equality above. \square

Theorem 6.10. *The k -connection $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ is integrable.*

Proof. We may work locally over S and assume that $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_E$ is trivial. Moreover, by Proposition 6.8, we can also assume that $\dim S = 1$ (cf. proof of Theorem 6.6). Then, with notation as in (26) and §5.3, we identify

$$\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_S \hat{\otimes} A, \quad A = \frac{k \langle\langle a, b, c_P : P \in Z(S) \rangle\rangle}{\langle \sum_{P \in Z(S)} c_P - [a, b] \rangle}$$

and we write

$$\delta^\vee = d + \Phi, \quad \Phi \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1) \otimes \text{End}_k(A),$$

so that $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ is a k -connection on $\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \hat{\otimes} A$, given by

$$\nabla_{E/S/k,Z} = d + \Phi + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}.$$

Since the multiplication in $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$ is given by the dual of the deconcatenation coproduct Δ , it follows from Theorem 6.6 that $\Phi \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/k}^1) \otimes \text{Der}_k(A)$. Moreover, since δ^\vee is integrable, we conclude from Lemma 6.9 that the integrability of $\nabla_{E/S/k,Z}$ is equivalent to the equation

$$(38) \quad d\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \Phi(\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}) = 0.$$

Recall from §5.3 that $\hat{\sigma}$ is the element of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}) \hat{\otimes} A \cong \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee)$ corresponding to the antipode σ of $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$, and that $\omega_{E/S,Z} = (\text{pr}_1 \otimes \text{id})(\hat{\sigma})$. Since left multiplication by $\hat{\sigma}$ defines a (completed) $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ -comodule structure on $\mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}^\vee$, it follows from Proposition 5.5 that

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \underbrace{[\omega_{E/S,Z} | \cdots | \omega_{E/S,Z}]}_{\text{length } n}.$$

Since $\sigma : \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{E/S,Z}$ is horizontal for the connection δ by Theorem 6.6, and since δ^\vee is defined as the dual of δ , we have

$$(39) \quad (\delta \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \delta^\vee)(\hat{\sigma}) = 0.$$

On the one hand, using the definition of $\delta = -\pi \circ d_B \circ s$, we get:

$$(\delta \otimes \text{id})(\hat{\sigma}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\pi \otimes \text{id}) \left(\underbrace{[\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} | \cdots | \overbrace{d\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}}^{i\text{th position}} | \cdots | \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z}]}_{\text{length } n} \right)$$

On the other hand, using that Φ has coefficients in k -derivations of A , we obtain:

$$(\text{id} \otimes \delta^\vee)(\hat{\sigma}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{[\omega_{E/S,Z} | \cdots | \overbrace{\Phi(\omega_{E/S,Z})}^{i\text{th position}} | \cdots | \omega_{E/S,Z}]}_{\text{length } n}.$$

Thus, equation (39) is equivalent to

$$(\pi \otimes \text{id})(d\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z} + \Phi(\tilde{\omega}_{E/S,Z})) = 0.$$

To conclude, we simply remark that the hypothesis $\dim S = 1$ implies that $F^2 \mathcal{J} = f_* \mathcal{F}^2 = 0$, so that π is injective on $F^1 \mathcal{J} = f_* \mathcal{F}^1$ (cf. Proposition 4.6), which yields (38). \square

7. ANALYTIC FORMULAE

7.1. Kronecker differentials. Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} and let $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ be such that $E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$, so that $H_1(E^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. fder the basis (ω, η) of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$(40) \quad \omega = dz, \quad \eta = (\wp_\tau(z) + G_2(\tau)) dz,$$

where $\wp_\tau(z)$ is the Weierstrass \wp -function associated to the lattice $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$, and $G_2(\tau) = \sum_n \sum_m' (m+n\tau)^{-2}$ is the Eisenstein series of weight 2 and level 1. It follows from Example 2.2 and [21, Lemma A1.3.9] that

$$(41) \quad E^{\natural, \text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}^2 / L_\tau, \quad L_\tau = \{(m + n\tau, 2\pi in) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If z, w denote the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 , then the basis (ω, η) of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{C})$ corresponds under the isomorphism (16) to the basis

$$\omega^{(0)} := dz, \quad \nu := dw$$

of $\Gamma(E^{\natural, \text{an}}, \Omega_{E^{\natural, \text{an}}/\mathbb{C}}^1)$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let*

$$F_\tau(z, x) := \frac{\theta'_\tau(0)\theta_\tau(z+x)}{\theta_\tau(z)\theta_\tau(x)},$$

where

$$\theta_\tau(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{2\pi i(n+\frac{1}{2})z}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i\tau},$$

denotes Jacobi's odd theta function. Consider the functions $\varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w)$ defined by the generating series

$$e^{wx} F_\tau(z, x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w) x^{n-1}.$$

Then the Kronecker differentials associated to $\nu = dw$ (Theorem 3.3) are given by

$$\omega^{(n)} = \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w) dz, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. It follows from the transformation property

$$(42) \quad F_\tau(z + m + n\tau, x) = e^{-2\pi i n x} F_\tau(z, x)$$

of the Kronecker function (cf. [28, Equations (10) and (11)]) that $\varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w) dz$ are well-defined 1-forms on E^\natural with logarithmic poles along the divisor $\pi^{-1}O$ (which is explicitly given by the equation $z = 0$ under (41)). A straightforward computation shows that they satisfy properties (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.3. By unicity, we conclude that $\omega^{(n)} = \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z, w) dz$. \square

Corollary 7.2. *Let $P \in E(\mathbb{C})$ be a torsion point represented by $\alpha + \beta\tau$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, under $E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$. Then, for every $n \geq 0$,*

$$\omega_P^{(n)} = \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) dz.$$

Proof. It suffices to use (25) and to note that $P^\natural \in E^\natural(\mathbb{C})$ is represented by $(\alpha + \beta\tau, 2\pi i\beta)$ under (41). \square

7.2. Analytic canonical lifts. We work in the category of complex analytic spaces. Let $p : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ be the universal framed elliptic curve over the upper half-plane \mathfrak{H} , with fibre at $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ given by $p^{-1}(\tau) = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}) =: \mathcal{E}_\tau$, and let \mathcal{E}^\natural be its universal vector extension. Explicitly, we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural \cong (\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathfrak{H})/L,$$

where $L \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ is the ‘relative lattice’ with fibre at $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ given by L_τ as in (41). We denote by $f : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ the structure map, and by $\pi : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is the canonical projection, which in this case is induced by $(z, w) \mapsto z$. Note that the divisor $\pi^{-1}O$ is given by $z = 0$, and the identity section $e \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural(\mathfrak{H})$ is given by $z = w = 0$.

In §7.1, we have described the differentials

$$(43) \quad \nu = dw, \quad \omega^{(0)} = dz, \quad \omega^{(1)} = \varphi_\tau^{(1)}(z, w) dz, \quad \dots$$

which we now regard as global sections of $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural/\mathfrak{H}}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$. Next, we describe their canonical lifts to ‘absolute differentials’ on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural$, i.e., global sections of $f_*\Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O)$. As our algebraic results do not immediately apply to the analytic category due to the failure of GAGA (cf. Remark 2.4), we shall first define these canonical lifts by explicit formulas, and then characterise them via unicity statements which mimic their algebraic counterparts. Set

$$\tilde{\nu} := dw, \quad \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} := dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.$$

Proposition 7.3. *The above formulas yield well-defined absolute 1-forms $\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}^1)$ lifting the relative 1-forms $\nu, \omega^{(0)} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}/\mathfrak{H}}^1)$. Moreover, we have*

$$(44) \quad e^* \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = e^* \tilde{\nu} = 0$$

and

$$(45) \quad d\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu}, \quad d\tilde{\nu} = 0,$$

and these properties characterise $\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$ uniquely among lifts of $\nu, \omega^{(0)}$.

Proof. For the first claim, it suffices to check that the above formulas for $\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$ are invariant under the action of the lattice L . For instance:

$$d(z + m + n\tau) - (w + 2\pi in) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} = dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.$$

Equations (44) and (45) are straightforward from the explicit formulas. The last claim follows from the following fact: if γ is a global section of $\Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}^1$ of the form

$$\gamma = f(z, w, \tau) d\tau, \quad f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}),$$

satisfying

$$0 = e^* \gamma = f(0, 0, \tau) d\tau$$

and

$$0 = d\gamma = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, w, \tau) dz \wedge d\tau + \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(z, w, \tau) dw \wedge d\tau$$

then $\gamma = 0$. □

Remark 7.4. Note that $(\omega^{(0)}, \nu)$ corresponds to the frame $(\omega, \eta) = (dz, (\wp_{\tau}(z) + G_2(\tau))dz)$ of the analytic de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}/\mathfrak{H})$. The Gauss–Manin connection in this frame is given by (cf. [21, §A1])

$$\nabla \omega = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \eta, \quad \nabla \eta = 0,$$

so that equations (45) are the analytic versions of (28).

Define lifts of $\omega^{(n)}$ ($n \geq 1$) to absolute logarithmic 1-forms by

$$\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} := \varphi_{\tau}^{(n)}(z, w) \left(dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \right) + n \varphi_{\tau}^{(n+1)}(z, w) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i},$$

where $\varphi_{\tau}^{(n)}(z, w)$ is as in Proposition 7.1.

Proposition 7.5. *For every $n \geq 1$, the above formula yields a well-defined absolute logarithmic 1-form $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O))$ which lifts the relative logarithmic 1-form $\omega^{(n)}$. Moreover, we have*

$$(46) \quad \tilde{\omega}^{(n)} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = n \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(n+1)}$$

and this property characterises $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$ uniquely among lifts of $\omega^{(n)}$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Proposition 7.3 and equation (42). Equation (46) follows immediately from the explicit formulas. To show that it characterises $\tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$ uniquely among lifts of $\omega^{(n)}$, we let

$$\tilde{\omega}^{(n)'} = \tilde{\omega}^{(n)} + f_n(z, w, \tau) d\tau, \quad f_n(z, w, \tau) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}),$$

be other lifts of $\omega^{(n)}$ satisfying (46). Then

$$(\tilde{\omega}^{(n)} + f_n(z, w, \tau)d\tau) \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = n \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge (\tilde{\omega}^{(n+1)} + f_{n+1}(z, w, \tau)d\tau),$$

if and only if

$$f_n(z, w, \tau)d\tau \wedge dw \wedge dz = 0,$$

so that $f_n = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. \square

As an application, we can use the above unicity statements to prove an algebraicity result.

Proposition 7.6. *Consider the pullback diagram*

$$(47) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E^{\text{an}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{s} & S^{\text{an}} \end{array}$$

where

- $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[g_2, g_3, (g_2^3 - 27g_3^2)^{-1}]$, and E/S is the universal Weierstrass elliptic curve given by the equation $y^2z = 4x^3 - g_2xz^2 - g_3z^3$,
- the map $s : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow S^{\text{an}}$ is given by $s(\tau) = (g_2(\tau), g_3(\tau))$, where $g_2(\tau) = 60 \sum'_{m,n} (m+n\tau)^{-4}$ and $g_3(\tau) = 140 \sum'_{m,n} (m+n\tau)^{-6}$,
- the map $\psi : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow E^{\text{an}}$ is given on each fibre by

$$\psi_\tau : \mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{s(\tau)}^{\text{an}}, \quad [z] \mapsto \begin{cases} (\wp_\tau(z) : \wp'_\tau(z) : 1) & [z] \neq 0 \\ (0 : 1 : 0) & [z] = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the frame $(\omega_{\text{alg}}, \eta_{\text{alg}}) = (dx/y, xdx/y)$ of the algebraic de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$, and let $\omega_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} \in \Gamma(E^{\natural}, \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O))$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} \in \Gamma(E^{\natural}, \Omega_{E^{\natural}/\mathbb{C}}^1(\log \pi^{-1}O))$ ($n \geq 1$) be the corresponding Kronecker differentials and their canonical lifts (cf. Remark 3.2). Then,

$$\psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} = \omega^{(n)}, \quad \psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} = \tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $(\omega_{\text{alg}}^{(0)}, \nu_{\text{alg}})$ be the frame of $f_* \Omega_{E^{\natural}/S}^1$ corresponding to $(\omega_{\text{alg}}, \eta_{\text{alg}})$. Since the frame $(\omega^{(0)}, \nu)$ of $f_* \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}/\mathfrak{H}}^1$ corresponds to (ω, η) , which is given by the formula (40), we obtain

$$\psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}}^{(0)} = dz = \omega^{(0)}, \quad \psi^* \nu_{\text{alg}} = dw - G_2 dz = \nu - G_2 \omega^{(0)}.$$

It follows from Remark 3.4 and from the unicity part of Theorem 3.3 that $\psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}}^{(n)}$ and $\omega^{(n)}$ agree in every fibre of $f : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$, so that

$$\psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} = \omega^{(n)}$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Let $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ be the matrix of the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/S)$ in the frame $(\omega_{\text{alg}}, \eta_{\text{alg}})$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \omega_{\text{alg}} &= \alpha_{11} \otimes \omega_{\text{alg}} + \alpha_{21} \otimes \eta_{\text{alg}} \\ \nabla \eta_{\text{alg}} &= \alpha_{12} \otimes \omega_{\text{alg}} + \alpha_{22} \otimes \eta_{\text{alg}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Remark 7.4 that the Gauss–Manin connection ∇ on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}/\mathfrak{H})$ satisfies

$$\nabla \psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}} = \nabla \omega = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \eta = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes (\psi^* \eta_{\text{alg}} + G_2 \psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}}) = G_2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}} + \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \psi^* \eta_{\text{alg}}$$

$$\nabla \psi^* \eta_{\text{alg}} = \nabla(\eta - G_2 \omega) = -dG_2 \otimes \omega - G_2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \eta = \left(-dG_2 - G_2^2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \right) \otimes \psi^* \omega_{\text{alg}} - G_2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \psi^* \eta_{\text{alg}}.$$

Since the formation of the Gauss–Manin connection commutes with base change, we conclude from the above equations that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s^* \alpha_{11} & s^* \alpha_{12} \\ s^* \alpha_{21} & s^* \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} G_2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} & -dG_2 - G_2^2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \\ \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} & -G_2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using the equations of Example 4.3, one can check that

$$d(\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(0)}) = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge (\psi^* \tilde{\nu}_{\text{alg}} + G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}), \quad d(\psi^* \tilde{\nu}_{\text{alg}} + G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) = 0,$$

and we conclude from Proposition 7.3 that

$$\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(0)} = \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}, \quad \psi^* \tilde{\nu}_{\text{alg}} = \tilde{\nu} - G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}.$$

By pulling back the defining equation for $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)}$ in Theorem 4.7, we obtain

$$\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} \wedge (\tilde{\nu} - G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = n \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge (\tilde{\nu} - G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) \wedge \psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n+1)}$$

(note that $f_* \mathcal{F}^2 = 0$ since \mathfrak{H} is 1-dimensional). Clearly,

$$\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} \wedge (\tilde{\nu} - G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = \psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}.$$

Since $\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n+1)}$ differs from $\tilde{\omega}^{(n+1)}$ by an element of $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\natural}}) d\tau$ and $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(n+1)}$ is a multiple of $d\tau$, we obtain

$$n \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge (\tilde{\nu} - G_2 \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}) \wedge \psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n+1)} = n \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \wedge \psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n+1)}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 7.5, we conclude that $\psi^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)} = \tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$ for every $n \geq 1$. \square

Let $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathfrak{H})$ be a torsion section. Then, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $P(\tau)$ is represented by $\alpha + \beta\tau$ under $\mathcal{E}_{\tau} \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ for every $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$. The next result gives the canonical lift of $\omega_P^{(n)}$, defined in Corollary 7.2.

Corollary 7.7. *Set*

$$\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} := \varphi_{\tau}^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) \left(dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \right) + n \varphi_{\tau}^{(n+1)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}.$$

Let T be an S -scheme and assume that the diagram (47) factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \psi & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_t} & E_T^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & E^{\text{an}} \\ & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{t} & T^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & S^{\text{an}} \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & s & & \end{array}$$

Let $P_{\text{alg}} \in E_T(T)$ be a torsion section and $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}, P_{\text{alg}}}^{(n)}$ be the corresponding Kronecker differentials on E_T with logarithmic singularities along $\pi^{-1} P_{\text{alg}}$. If $P = t^* P_{\text{alg}}$, then $\psi_t^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{alg}, P_{\text{alg}}}^{(n)} = \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)}$.

Proof. The unique lift to a torsion section $P^\natural \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural(\mathfrak{H})$ is such that $P^\natural(\tau)$ is represented by $(\alpha + \beta\tau, 2\pi i\beta)$ under $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\natural \cong \mathbb{C}^2/L_\tau$ for every $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$. One can check that that $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ are invariant under translation by $-P^\natural$ (cf. Lemma 4.5), so that $\tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} = \tau_{-P^\natural}^* \tilde{\omega}^{(n)}$. Then, the statement follows immediately from (32) and from the previous theorem. \square

7.3. Level N elliptic KZB connection. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer and denote by $\Gamma_N := \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}[N](\mathfrak{H})$ the group of N -torsion sections of $p : \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$. Note that $\Gamma_N \cong (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z})^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Consider the completed Hopf algebra over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}_N := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}} \langle\langle a, b, c_P : P \in \Gamma_N \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} c_P - [a, b] \rangle\rangle}.$$

If E is an (algebraic) complex elliptic curve such that $E^{\text{an}} \cong \mathcal{E}_\tau$, then it follows from the discussion in §5.3 that the fibre of \mathcal{A}_N at τ is isomorphic to the dual of the fundamental Hopf algebra of E/\mathbb{C} punctured at $Z = E[N]$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{N,\tau} \cong \mathcal{H}_{E/\mathbb{C}, E[N]}^\vee.$$

Then, the relative elliptic KZB connection is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_N : f^* \mathcal{A}_N \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural/\mathfrak{H}}^1(\log \pi^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}[N]) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{A}_N, \quad \bar{\nabla}_N = d + \omega_N,$$

where

$$\omega_N = -\nu \otimes a - \omega^{(0)} \otimes b - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \omega_P^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P,$$

with ν , $\omega^{(0)}$, and $\omega_P^{(n)}$ as in the above paragraphs. If $P(\tau)$ is represented by $\alpha + \beta\tau$, let us denote

$$k_P(z, w, x) := e^{(w-2\pi i\beta)x} F_\tau(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, x) - \frac{1}{x},$$

the dependence on τ being omitted in the notation for simplicity. Then, it follows from the results of §7.1 that we can rewrite

$$\omega_N = -dw \otimes a - dz \otimes \left(b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right).$$

According to §6.4, the relative connection $\bar{\nabla}_N$ lifts to an absolute elliptic KZB connection, which we shall denote more simply as

$$\nabla_N : f^* \mathcal{A}_N \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}^\natural}^1(\log \pi^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{H}}[N]) \hat{\otimes} f^* \mathcal{A}_N,$$

and which is an integrable connection explicitly given by (cf. proof of Theorem 6.10)

$$\nabla_N = d + \tilde{\omega}_N + \Phi_N,$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_N$ is the canonical lift of the KZB form ω_N , and Φ_N is the matrix of the dual Gauss–Manin connection δ^\vee (cf. §6.3). Next, we determine ∇_N explicitly.

Lemma 7.8. *For every $P \in \Gamma_N$, set*

$$g_P(z, w, x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_P(z, w, x) - w k_P(z, w, x).$$

We have

$$\tilde{\omega}_N = -dw \otimes a - dz \otimes \left(b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right) - \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(-wb + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} g_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right).$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\tilde{\omega}_N = -\tilde{\nu} \otimes a - \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \otimes b - \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \otimes \text{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P.$$

We put together the explicit expressions for the canonical lifts: $\tilde{\nu} = dw$, $\tilde{\omega}^{(0)} = dz - w \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}$, and, by Corollary 7.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} x^{n-1} &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) x^{n-1} dz \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(n\varphi_\tau^{(n+1)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) x^{n-1} - w\varphi_\tau^{(n)}(z - \alpha - \beta\tau, w - 2\pi i\beta) x^{n-1} \right) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \\ &= k_P(z, w, x) dz + g_P(z, w, x) \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

For $Q \in \Gamma_N$, let us define $A_{m,Q}(\tau)$ by the generating series

$$g_{-Q}(0, 0, x) = k'_{-Q}(0, 0, x) = \sum_{m \geq 0} A_{m,Q}(\tau) x^m,$$

where $k'_{-Q}(z, w, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_{-Q}(z, w, x)$. When $Q = O$, we have

$$g_O(0, 0, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log \theta_\tau(x) \right) + \frac{1}{x^2} = - \left(\wp_\tau(x) - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) = \sum_{k \geq 2} (2k-1) G_{2k}(\tau) x^{2k-2},$$

so that $A_{m,O}$ are level 1 Eisenstein series. For general Q , the functions $A_{m,Q}$ are Eisenstein series of level N ; see [20, Proposition 10.1].

Theorem 7.9. *We have*

$$\Phi_N = -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(b \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_N} \sum_{m \geq 0} A_{m,Q}(\tau) \delta_{m,Q} \right)$$

where

$$\delta_{m,Q} := \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ i+j=m-1}} \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} [(-\text{ad}_a)^i c_P, \text{ad}_a^j c_{P-Q}] \frac{\partial}{\partial b} + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} [c_P, \text{ad}_a^m c_{P-Q} + (-\text{ad}_a)^m c_{P+Q}] \frac{\partial}{\partial c_P}.$$

The proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $A_N = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle a, b, c_P : P \in \Gamma_N \rangle\rangle / \langle\langle \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} c_P - [a, b] \rangle\rangle$, so that $\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{H}} \hat{\otimes} A_N$, and let $\Psi \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{H}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{H}}^1) \otimes \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A_N)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The connection $d + \tilde{\omega}_N + \Psi$ is integrable,*
- (ii) *$d\tilde{\omega}_N + \tilde{\omega}_N \wedge \tilde{\omega}_N + \Psi(\tilde{\omega}_N) = 0$,*
- (iii) *$\Psi = \Phi_N$.*

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows immediately from Lemma 6.9. Since $\nabla_N = d + \tilde{\omega}_N + \Phi_N$ is integrable, (ii) is equivalent to

$$(48) \quad \Psi(\tilde{\omega}_N) = \Phi_N(\tilde{\omega}_N).$$

To finish, it is enough to verify that (48) implies (iii). Let us write $\Phi_N = -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \partial_N$ and $\Psi = -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes D$. Then, (48) is equivalent to

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \otimes Da + \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \otimes Db + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \otimes D \operatorname{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P \\ &= \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu} \otimes \partial_N a + \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)} \otimes \partial_N b + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)} \otimes \partial_N \operatorname{ad}_a^{n-1} c_P \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\nu}, \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{(0)}, \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_P^{(n)}, \quad P \in \Gamma_N, \quad n \geq 1,$$

trivialise $\mathcal{F}^{1,2} \cong f^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}^1 \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1/\mathfrak{g}}^1(\log \pi^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{g}}[N])$ (cf. (30)), it follows from (49) that $Da = \partial_N a$, $Db = \partial_N b$, and $Dc_P = \partial_N c_P$ for all $P \in \Gamma_N$; thus $\Psi = \Phi_N$. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.9. The proof is a long computation; we merely indicate the main steps. Let

$$\Psi := -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes D, \quad D := \left(b \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_N} \sum_{m \geq 0} A_{m,Q}(\tau) \delta_{m,Q} \right)$$

By Lemma 7.10, it suffices to prove that

$$d\tilde{\omega}_N + \tilde{\omega}_N \wedge \tilde{\omega}_N + \Psi(\tilde{\omega}_N) = 0.$$

It follows from the ‘mixed heat equation’ [6, Proposition 5.(ii)] that

$$2\pi i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} k_P(z, w, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_P(z, w, x),$$

so that

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} d\tilde{\omega}_N &= -dw \wedge dz \otimes \left(\sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} k_P(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) c_P \right) \\ &\quad - dw \wedge \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(-b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} g_P(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) c_P \right) \\ &= -dw \wedge dz \otimes \left(\operatorname{ad}_a b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \operatorname{ad}_a k_P(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) c_P \right) \\ &\quad - dw \wedge \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(-b - w \operatorname{ad}_a b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \operatorname{ad}_a g_P(z, w, \operatorname{ad}_a) c_P \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the equations $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} k_P(z, w, x) = x k_P(z, w, x) + 1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_P(z, w, x) = x g_P(z, w, x) - w$, and $\sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} c_P = \text{ad}_a b$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(51) \quad \tilde{\omega}_N \wedge \tilde{\omega}_N &= dw \wedge dz \otimes \left(\text{ad}_a b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \text{ad}_a k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right) \\
&+ dw \wedge \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left(-w \text{ad}_a b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} \text{ad}_a g_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right) \\
&+ dz \wedge \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \otimes \left[b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P, -wb + \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_N} g_Q(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_Q \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By putting (50) and (51) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(52) \quad d\tilde{\omega}_N + \tilde{\omega}_N \wedge \tilde{\omega}_N &= -\frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge dw \otimes b \\
&- \frac{d\tau}{2\pi i} \wedge dz \otimes \left[b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P, -wb + \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_N} g_Q(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_Q \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We borrow the following notation from [28]: for $f(x, y) = \sum_{i, j \geq 0} f_{i, j} x^i y^j \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and $t, r, s \in A_N$, we set

$$f(x, y)[[r, s]]_t := \sum_{i, j \geq 0} f_{i, j} [\text{ad}_t^i r, \text{ad}_t^j s].$$

Note that

$$(53) \quad f(x, y)[[r, s]]_t = -f(y, x)[[s, r]]_t.$$

To finish the proof, we are left to show that the right-hand side of (52) is equal to $-\Psi(\tilde{\omega}_N)$, or equivalently that

$$\begin{aligned}
(54) \quad D \left(b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right) &= \left[b + \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P, -wb + \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_N} g_Q(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_Q \right] \\
&= \text{ad}_b \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k'_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P, Q \in \Gamma_N} f_{P, Q}(x, y) [[c_Q, c_P]]_a,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{P, Q}(x, y) = k_Q(z, w, x) k'_P(z, w, y) - k_P(z, w, y) k'_Q(z, w, x).$$

We now compute the left-hand side of (54). Using the symmetry $g_{-Q}(0, 0, x) = g_Q(0, 0, -x)$, we get by direct computation:

$$D(b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P, Q \in \Gamma_N} \frac{g_{P-Q}(0, 0, y) - g_{Q-P}(0, 0, x)}{x + y} [[c_Q, c_P]]_a.$$

Applications of [28, Lemma 3.1.4] and (53) show that

$$D \left(\sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P \right) = \text{ad}_b \sum_{P \in \Gamma_N} k'_P(z, w, \text{ad}_a) c_P + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P, Q \in \Gamma_N} h_{P, Q}(x, y) [[c_Q, c_P]]_a,$$

where

$$h_{P,Q}(x,y) = \frac{k_P(z,w,x+y) - k_P(z,w,y) - xk'_P(z,w,y)}{x^2} - \frac{k_Q(z,w,x+y) - k_Q(z,w,x) - yk'_Q(z,w,x)}{y^2} + k_Q(z,w,x+y)g_{P-Q}(0,0,y) - k_P(z,w,x+y)g_{Q-P}(0,0,x).$$

Thus, to establish (54), it suffices to prove that, for every $P, Q \in \Gamma_N$, we have

$$f_{P,Q}(x,y) - h_{P,Q}(x,y) - \frac{g_{P-Q}(0,0,y) - g_{Q-P}(0,0,x)}{x+y} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(k'_{P-Q}(0,0,y) - \frac{1}{y^2}\right) \left(k_Q(z,w,x+y) + \frac{1}{x+y}\right) - \left(k'_{Q-P}(0,0,x) - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \left(k_P(z,w,x+y) + \frac{1}{x+y}\right) + \left(k'_Q(z,w,x) - \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \left(k_P(z,w,y) + \frac{1}{y}\right) - \left(k'_P(z,w,y) - \frac{1}{y^2}\right) \left(k_Q(z,w,x) + \frac{1}{x}\right) = 0.$$

This, in turn, follows immediately from the formula [6, Equation (3.3)] in the case $P = Q$, and from the Fay identity [6, Proposition 5.(iii)] in the case $P \neq Q$. \square

APPENDIX A. UNIPOTENT CONNECTIONS AND TANNAKIAN THEORY

We fix a base field k of characteristic zero, which will be implicit throughout this appendix.

A.1. Unipotent connections. Let X be a smooth k -scheme and D be a normal crossings divisor in X . Recall that a *vector bundle with integrable connection over X with logarithmic singularities along D* is a pair (\mathcal{E}, ∇) , where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle over X and $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ is an integrable logarithmic k -connection on \mathcal{E} . A morphism $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ is a horizontal \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$, meaning that $\nabla' \circ f = (\text{id} \otimes f) \circ \nabla$. We thus obtain a category which we denote by $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$. When D is empty, it is denoted by $\text{VIC}(X)$.

Example A.1. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k F$ for some k -vector space F , then we can write $\nabla = d + \omega$ for a unique $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D)) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(F)$. Integrability amounts to the equation $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ in $\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^2(\log D)) \otimes_k \text{End}_k(F)$. In general, a *frame* of a connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is an isomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \cong (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$, and $\omega \in M_{n \times n}(\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D)))$ is the *matrix of ∇* in this frame.

Recall that the category $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$ is k -linear and admits the usual multilinear operations, such as duals and tensor products. A *horizontal section* of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is some $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$ satisfying $\nabla s = 0$; it can also be thought as a morphism $(\mathcal{O}_X, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. In particular, a morphism $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$ is the same as a horizontal section of $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)^\vee \otimes (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$.

Definition A.2. We say that an object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) of $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$ is *unipotent* if it admits a finite filtration

$$0 = (\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_1, \nabla_1) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_n, \nabla_n) = (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$$

such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the quotient $(\mathcal{E}_i, \nabla_i)/(\mathcal{E}_{i-1}, \nabla_{i-1})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F_i, d \otimes \text{id})$ for some k -vector space F_i . The full subcategory of $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$ given by unipotent objects is denoted by $\text{UVIC}(X, \log D)$ (or $\text{UVIC}(X)$, when D is empty). The smallest n for which such a filtration exists is the *index of unipotency of (\mathcal{E}, ∇)* .

A.2. Local form. In this paragraph, we give a local characterisation of unipotent connections.

Lemma A.3. *Let A be a commutative k -algebra and $\Omega^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$ be a subcomplex of k -modules such that*

$$(C_n) \quad H^n(\Omega^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet) \text{ is an isomorphism and } H^{n+1}(\Omega^\bullet) \hookrightarrow H^{n+1}(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet) \text{ is injective}$$

for some $n \geq 0$. Given $\omega \in \Omega_{A/k}^n$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) $d\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}$.
- (2) There exists $\nu \in \Omega_{A/k}^{n-1}$ such that $\omega + d\nu \in \Omega^n$.

In practice, we only use this lemma when $\Omega^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism, so that the conditions (C_n) are satisfied for every $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Only the direction (1) \Rightarrow (2) is non-trivial. The form $d\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}$ is closed and defines a cohomology class in $H^{n+1}(\Omega^\bullet)$. As $H^{n+1}(\Omega^\bullet) \hookrightarrow H^{n+1}(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet)$ is injective, and $d\omega$ is exact in $\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$, it must also be exact in Ω^\bullet . Thus, there exists $\eta \in \Omega^n$ such that $d\eta = d\omega$. Since $\omega - \eta \in \Omega_{A/k}^n$ is closed, it defines a cohomology class in $H^n(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet)$. Finally, from the isomorphism $H^n(\Omega^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(\Omega_{A/k}^\bullet)$, we obtain $\nu \in \Omega_{A/k}^{n-1}$ such that $\omega - \eta + d\nu \in \Omega^n$; as $\eta \in \Omega^n$, we conclude that $\omega + d\nu \in \Omega^n$. \square

Theorem A.4. *Let $X = \text{Spec } A$ be a smooth affine k -scheme, (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be an object of $\text{UVIC}(X)$, and $\Omega^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$ be a subcomplex of k -modules satisfying condition (C_1) . Then \mathcal{E} is trivial and there exists a frame*

$$(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \cong (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$$

in which the matrix ω is strictly upper triangular and has all of its entries in Ω^1 .

Proof. We proceed by induction on the rank n of \mathcal{E} . The base case $n = 1$ is trivial, since (\mathcal{E}, ∇) must be isomorphic to (\mathcal{O}_X, d) . Assume that the statement holds in rank $\leq n - 1$. By unipotency of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X, d) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where (\mathcal{E}', ∇') is an object of $\text{UVIC}(X)$, with \mathcal{E}' of rank $n - 1$. By induction hypothesis, \mathcal{E}' is trivial and admits a frame $e' : (\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n-1}, d + \omega') \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}', \nabla|_{\mathcal{E}'})$ in which ω' is strictly upper-triangular and has all of its entries in Ω^1 .

As X is affine, there is a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{e_n} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

so that \mathcal{E} is trivial and admits the frame $(e', e_n) : (\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$, in which

$$\omega = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & \omega_{1n} \\ & & & \vdots \\ & \omega'_{ij} & & \omega_{n-1n} \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where $\omega_{ij} = \omega'_{ij} \in \Omega^1$ whenever $j < n$.

To finish, we explain how to inductively modify e_n so that $\omega_{in} \in \Omega^1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that $\omega_{nn} = 0 \in \Omega^1$. By descending induction in i , assume that $\omega_{kn} \in \Omega^1$ for all $i + 1 \leq k \leq n$. The

integrability equation $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$ at the entry (i, n) means that

$$d\omega_{in} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kn} = 0.$$

Since, for every $i+1 \leq k \leq n-1$, both ω_{ik} and ω_{kn} belong to Ω^1 , we have $\sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kn} \in \Omega^2$. By Lemma A.3, there exists $g \in A$ such that $\omega_{in} + dg \in \Omega^1$. Thus

$$\nabla(e_n + ge'_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (\omega_{kn} + g\omega_{ki}) \otimes e'_k + (\omega_{in} + dg) \otimes e'_i + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \omega_{kn} \otimes e'_k$$

and we conclude that the matrix $\tilde{\omega}$ of ∇ in the frame $(e', e_n + ge'_i)$ satisfies $\tilde{\omega}_{kn} \in \Omega^1$ for all $i \leq k \leq n$. \square

A.3. Canonical extension. Let X be a smooth k -scheme and D be a normal crossings divisor in X . We say that an object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) of $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$ (resp. $\text{VIC}(X \setminus D)$) is *locally unipotent along D* if, for every $x \in D$, there exists an open neighbourhood V of x such that the restriction $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)|_V$ (resp. $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)|_{V \setminus D}$) is unipotent. For simplicity, let us denote the corresponding full subcategories of $\text{VIC}(X, \log D)$ and $\text{VIC}(X \setminus D)$ by L_1 and L_2 .

Theorem A.5 (cf. [12, Proposition 5.2]). *If $j : X \setminus D \rightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion, then the restriction functor*

$$j^* : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2, \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)|_{X \setminus D}$$

is an equivalence of tensor categories.

Proof. Since

$$\text{Hom}((\mathcal{E}', \nabla'), (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)) \cong \text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_X, d), (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')^\vee \otimes (\mathcal{E}, \nabla))$$

and since $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{O}_X, d), (\mathcal{E}, \nabla))$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}^\nabla)$, where \mathcal{E}^∇ denotes the subsheaf of horizontal sections of \mathcal{E} , to show that $j^* : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is fully faithful, it is enough to prove that

$$(55) \quad \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}^\nabla) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X \setminus D, \mathcal{E}^\nabla), \quad s \longmapsto s|_{X \setminus D}$$

is bijective for every (\mathcal{E}, ∇) in L_1 .

As \mathcal{E} is locally free, the injectivity of (55) follows from the fact that D is locally defined by a torsion-free section of \mathcal{O}_X . Granted the injectivity, we can prove the surjectivity of (55) locally. We can thus assume that (\mathcal{E}, ∇) admits a frame $e : (\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ in which ω is strictly upper triangular (Theorem A.4). We must prove that a horizontal section $s \in \Gamma(X \setminus D, \mathcal{E}^\nabla)$ extends to X . By writing $s = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \otimes e_j$, with $s_j \in \Gamma(X \setminus D, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we get

$$0 = \nabla(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n (ds_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^n s_j \omega_{ij}) \otimes e_i.$$

Since $ds_n = 0$, s_n extends to X . By descending induction on i , it follows from the above equation that ds_i has at most logarithmic singularities along D , so that s_i extends to X for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. This finishes the proof that (55) is bijective.

We are left to prove that $j^* : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is essentially surjective, we use that it is fully faithful to reduce it to a local statement. We can thus assume that X is affine and that (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is in $\text{UVIC}(X \setminus D)$. Since we are in characteristic zero, the injection $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet(\log D) \hookrightarrow j_* \Omega_{(X \setminus D)/k}^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism (see [12, Corollaire 3.14, Remarque 3.16]), so that we can apply Theorem A.4 to find a frame $e : (\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus D}^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ in which $\omega_{ij} \in \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D))$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then, $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega)$ is an extension of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) . \square

Given an object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) of L_2 , the unique object $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\nabla})$ of L_1 such that $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\nabla})|_{X \setminus D} = (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ is called the *canonical extension* of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) . This yields a quasi-inverse to the restriction $j^* : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, which can be checked to be additive, exact, and tensor.

Corollary A.6. *With the above notation, the restriction functor*

$$(56) \quad \text{UVIC}(X, \log D) \longrightarrow \text{UVIC}(X \setminus D), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)|_{X \setminus D}$$

is an equivalence of tensor categories.

Proof. Since $\text{UVIC}(X, \log D)$ (resp. $\text{UVIC}(X \setminus D)$) is a full subcategory of L_1 (resp. L_2), it follows immediately from Theorem A.5 that (56) is fully faithful. To see that it is essentially surjective, we must check that, for any object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) of $\text{UVIC}(X \setminus D)$, its canonical extension $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\nabla})$ is in $\text{UVIC}(X, \log D)$. If $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) = (\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus D} \otimes F, d \otimes \text{id})$ for some k -vector space F , then it follows from the unicity of the canonical extension that $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\nabla}) = (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F, d \otimes \text{id})$. Since the canonical extension functor is exact, the general case follows by induction on the index of unipotency of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) . \square

A.4. \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance. By an *affine bundle* we mean a morphism of k -schemes $Y \rightarrow X$ which is, locally over X , of the form $\mathbb{A}_Y^m \rightarrow U$.

Theorem A.7. *If X is a smooth k -scheme and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is an affine bundle, then the pullback functor*

$$\pi^* : \text{UVIC}(X) \longrightarrow \text{UVIC}(Y), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto (\pi^* \mathcal{E}, \pi^* \nabla),$$

is an equivalence of tensor categories.

Proof. By the same initial argument of the proof of Theorem A.5, to verify that π^* is fully faithful, it is enough to check that, for every object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) of $\text{UVIC}(X)$, the pullback map on horizontal sections

$$(57) \quad \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}^\nabla) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, (\pi^* \mathcal{E})^{\pi^* \nabla})$$

is bijective.

The injectivity of (57) immediately follows from the faithful flatness of π . As in Theorem A.5, granted the injectivity, we can reduce the proof of surjectivity to a local statement. Thus, we can assume that $X = \text{Spec } B$ is affine, and that $Y = \mathbb{A}_X^m$. By induction on m , we can further assume that $m = 1$, so that $Y = \text{Spec } A$, with $A = B[t]$. Set $M = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E})$; the map (57) then becomes the inclusion of k -vector spaces

$$M^\nabla \rightarrow M[t]^{\pi^* \nabla}.$$

An element of $M[t]$ is of the form $q = \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n t^n$ for some $x_n \in M$. If q is horizontal for $\pi^* \nabla$, then

$$0 = \pi^* \nabla(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \nabla(x_n) + \sum_{n \geq 1} dt \otimes n t^{n-1} x_n,$$

and we must have $\sum_{n \geq 1} dt \otimes n t^{n-1} x_n = 0$. Since k is of characteristic zero, we get $x_n = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. Thus, $q = x_0$ is in the image of (57).

We first prove that $\pi^* : \text{UVIC}(X) \rightarrow \text{UVIC}(Y)$ is essentially surjective locally on X . Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be an object of $\text{UVIC}(Y)$. We use the notation from the last paragraph: $X = \text{Spec } B$ and $Y = \text{Spec } A$, with $A = B[t]$. Since $\Omega_{B/k}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism, we can apply Theorem A.4 to find a frame $(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ in which ω has all of its entries in $\Omega_{B/k}^1$. Thus, $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus n}, d + \omega) \cong (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

In general, let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be an object of $\text{UVIC}(Y)$. Since we already know that π^* is fully faithful, the above local constructions glue, yielding a (locally unipotent) vector bundle with integrable k -connection (\mathcal{E}', ∇') on X satisfying $\pi^*(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \cong (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. We are left to check that (\mathcal{E}', ∇') is unipotent. If $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) = (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes F, d \otimes \text{id})$ for some k -vector space F , then $(\mathcal{E}', \nabla') \cong (\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes F, d \otimes \text{id})$

by the fully faithfulness of π^* . Since π is faithfully flat, the pullback π^* is an exact functor, so that the general case follows by induction on the index of relative unipotency of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) . \square

The above statement also admits a logarithmic version. We keep the above notation and let D be a normal crossings divisor in X .

Theorem A.8. *With the above notation, the pullback functor*

$$\pi^* : \text{UVIC}(X, \log D) \longrightarrow \text{UVIC}(Y, \log \pi^{-1}D), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto (\pi^*\mathcal{E}, \pi^*\nabla)$$

is an equivalence of tensor categories.

Proof. One can prove it directly, as in the proof of Theorem A.7, or derive it as a corollary Theorems A.5 and A.7. Indeed, let $j : X \setminus D \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Then, the diagram of pullback functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{UVIC}(X, \log D) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \text{UVIC}(X \setminus D) \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{UVIC}(Y, \log \pi^{-1}D) & \longrightarrow & \text{UVIC}(Y \setminus \pi^{-1}D) \end{array}$$

commutes, so that π^* is fully faithful and essentially surjective because all other arrows are. \square

A.5. De Rham fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve. Recall that, if X is a smooth and geometrically connected k -scheme, $\text{UVIC}(X)$ is a neutral Tannakian category over k (cf. [13, §10.26]). Given a fibre functor $b : \text{UVIC}(X) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k$, the *unipotent de Rham fundamental group* of X at b is the Tannakian fundamental group

$$\pi_1^{\text{dR}}(X, b) := \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{UVIC}(X)}^{\otimes}(b).$$

It is a pro-unipotent affine group scheme over k .

Let E be an elliptic curve over k , $Z \subset E$ be a divisor as in §3.2, and $\pi : E^{\natural} \rightarrow E$ be the canonical projection from the universal vector extension. The following two results can be attributed to Deligne (cf. [14]).

Lemma A.9. *If \mathcal{V} is a unipotent vector bundle over E^{\natural} , then the natural map $\Gamma(E^{\natural}, \mathcal{V}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows, as in [13, Proposition 12.3], by an inductive argument on the rank of \mathcal{V} , using that $H^0(E^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}) = k$ and $H^1(E^{\natural}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}) = \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}, \mathcal{O}_{E^{\natural}}) = 0$ (Theorem 2.3). \square

Proposition A.10. *The functor*

$$b_{\text{can}} : \text{UVIC}(E \setminus Z) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}_k, \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto \Gamma(E^{\natural}, \pi^*\overline{\mathcal{E}})$$

is a fibre functor over k .

Proof. Let $\text{UV}(E^{\natural})$ be the category of unipotent vector bundles on E^{\natural} . The functor b_{can} is the composition

$$\text{UVIC}(E \setminus Z) \longrightarrow \text{UVIC}(E, \log Z) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{UVIC}(E^{\natural}, \log \pi^{-1}Z) \longrightarrow \text{UV}(E^{\natural}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(E^{\natural}, -)} \text{Vect}_k,$$

where the first arrow is the canonical extension and the third arrow is the forgetful functor $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \mapsto \mathcal{V}$. By Corollary A.6 and Theorem A.8, the first two arrows are k -linear equivalences of tensor categories. The third is trivially a k -linear tensor faithful functor. Finally, the last arrow is k -linear, tensor, and faithful by Lemma A.9. \square

Our next goal is to relate the fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{dR}}(E \setminus Z, b_{\text{can}})$ with the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}$ constructed in §5.2. Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be an object of $\text{UVIC}(E \setminus Z)$ and write $V := b_{\text{can}}(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$. It follows from Lemma A.9 that

$$(\pi^* \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \pi^* \overline{\nabla}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \otimes V, d + \omega)$$

for a unique nilpotent (in the sense of Proposition 5.5) $\omega \in \Gamma(E^\natural, \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z)) \otimes \text{End}(V)$ satisfying $d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega = 0$. Thus, it defines a $\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}$ -comodule structure $\rho = \sum_{n \geq 0} [\omega]^n$ on V . These constructions are natural, so that we obtain a functor

$$(58) \quad \text{UVIC}(E \setminus Z) \longrightarrow \text{Comod}(\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}), \quad (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \longmapsto (V, \rho)$$

extending b_{can} .

Theorem A.11. *The functor (58) is an equivalence of tensor categories over k . In particular, it induces an isomorphism of affine group schemes over k :*

$$\pi_1^{\text{dR}}(E \setminus Z, b_{\text{can}}) \cong \text{Spec } \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}$$

Proof. That (58) is a k -linear equivalence of categories is an immediate consequence of Corollary A.6, Theorem A.8, and Proposition 5.5. We are left to show that (58) is a tensor functor.

We already know that b_{can} is tensor by Proposition A.10. Now, the tensor structure on the category $\text{Comod}(\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z})$ is induced by the shuffle product: given comodules $(V, \rho), (V', \rho')$, the tensor comodule structure $\rho \sqcup \rho'$ on $V \otimes V'$ is given by

$$V \otimes V' \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes \rho'} (\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z} \otimes V) \otimes (\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z} \otimes V') \cong (\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}) \otimes (V \otimes V') \xrightarrow{\sqcup \otimes \text{id}} \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z} \otimes (V \otimes V'),$$

where all of the above tensor products are over k . By Proposition 5.5, if $\rho = \sum_{i \geq 0} [\omega]^i$ and $\rho' = \sum_{j \geq 0} [\omega']^j$, then

$$\rho \sqcup \rho' = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} [\omega]^i \sqcup [\omega']^j = \sum_{n \geq 0} [\omega \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \omega']^n.$$

To finish, we simply remark that the tensor structure of $\text{UVIC}(E^\natural, \log \pi^{-1}Z)$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \otimes V, d + \omega) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \otimes V', d + \omega') \cong (\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \otimes V \otimes V', d + \omega \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \omega'). \quad \square$$

Corollary A.12. *There is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{dR}}(E \setminus Z, b_{\text{can}}) \cong \text{Spec } T^c H_{\text{dR}}^1((E \setminus Z)/k)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem A.11 and Theorem 3.9. \square

Note that $\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee$ is a limit of finite dimensional k -vector spaces, and the pullback $f^* \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee$ is simply the base change $\mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee$. Let

$$\nabla_{E/k,Z} : \mathcal{O}_{E^\natural} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee \longrightarrow \Omega_{E^\natural/k}^1(\log \pi^{-1}Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee, \quad \nabla_{E/k,Z} = d + \omega_{E/k,Z}$$

be the elliptic KZB connection of E/k punctured at Z constructed in §5.3. It is a pro-object of $\text{UVIC}(E^\natural, \log \pi^{-1}Z)$. By Theorem A.8, it corresponds to a pro-object $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}})$ of $\text{UVIC}(E, \log Z)$. Note that $\Gamma(E^\natural, \pi^* \mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}})$ is the complete Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee$, and we denote by $1 \in \mathcal{H}_{E/k,Z}^\vee$ its unit.

Proposition A.13. *The pro-vector bundle with logarithmic connection $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}})$ (resp. its restriction $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}})|_{E \setminus Z}$) satisfies the following universal property: for every triple (\mathcal{V}, ∇, v) , where (\mathcal{V}, ∇) is an object of $\text{UVIC}(E, \log Z)$ (resp. $\text{UVIC}(E \setminus Z)$), and $v \in \Gamma(E^\natural, \pi^* \mathcal{V})$ (resp. $v \in \Gamma(E^\natural, \pi^* \overline{\mathcal{V}})$), there is a unique horizontal map*

$$\varphi : (\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla) \quad (\text{resp. } \varphi : (\mathcal{V}_{\text{KZB}}, \nabla_{\text{KZB}})|_{E \setminus Z} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \nabla))$$

satisfying $\varphi(1) = v$.

Proof. It suffices to combine Proposition 5.12 with the equivalence of Theorem A.11. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Bannai, S. Kobayashi, T. Tsuji, *On the de Rham and p -adic realizations of the elliptic polylogarithm for CM elliptic curves*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 43 (2010), no. 2, 185–234.
- [2] D. Bernard, *On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on the torus*. Nuclear Phys. B 303 (1988), no. 1, 77–93.
- [3] J.-B. Bost, *Algebraization, transcendence, and D -group schemes*. Notre Dame J. Form. Log. 54 (2013), no. 3-4, 377–434.
- [4] F. Brown, *Iterated integrals in quantum field theory*. Geometric and topological methods for quantum field theory, 188–240, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [5] F. Brown, *Motivic periods and $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$* . Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II, 295–318, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014.
- [6] F. Brown, A. Levin, *Multiple Elliptic Polylogarithms*. Preprint [arXiv:1110.6917](https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6917), 2011.
- [7] J. I. Burgos Gil, J. Fresán, *Multiple zeta values: from numbers to motives*. Preprint <http://javier.fresan.perso.math.cnrs.fr/mzv.pdf>. To appear in the Clay Mathematics Proceedings
- [8] D. Calaque, B. Enriquez, P. Etingof, *Universal KZB equations: the elliptic case*. Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I, 165–266, Progr. Math., 269, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2009.
- [9] D. Calaque, M. Gonzalez, *On the universal elliptic KZB connection*. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 26 (2020), no. 5, Paper No. 73,
- [10] B. Chiarellotto, V. Di Proietto, A. Shiho, *Comparison of relatively unipotent log de Rham fundamental groups*. Preprint [arXiv:1903.03361](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.03361), 2019. To appear in Memoirs of the AMS.
- [11] R. F. Coleman, *Duality for the de Rham cohomology of an abelian scheme*. Ann. Inst. Fourier 48(5):1379-1393, 1998.
- [12] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [13] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*. In Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 79–297, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 16, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [14] B. Enriquez, P. Etingof, *A Tannakian interpretation of the elliptic infinitesimal braid Lie algebras*. Algebr. Represent. Theory 21 (2018), no. 5, 943–1002.
- [15] T. J. Fonseca, N. Matthes, *Towards algebraic iterated integrals for elliptic curves via the universal vectorial extension*. RIMS Kôkyûrôku, No. 2160 (2020), 114-125.
- [16] T. J. Fonseca, N. Matthes, *A note on the Gauss–Manin connection for abelian schemes*. Preprint [arXiv:2201.06402](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06402), 2022. To appear in Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova.
- [17] R. Hain, *On a generalization of Hilbert’s 21st problem*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 4, 609–627.
- [18] R. Hain, *Notes on the universal elliptic KZB connection*. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 16 (2020), no. 2, 229–312.
- [19] R. Hain, S. Zucker, *Unipotent variations of mixed Hodge structure*. Invent. Math. 88 (1987), no. 1, 83–124.
- [20] E. Hopper, *The Universal Elliptic KZB Connection in Higher Level*. Preprint [arXiv:2107.14320](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.14320), 2021. To appear in the Michigan Mathematical Journal.
- [21] N. M. Katz, *p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*. In Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pp. 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [22] N. M. Katz, *The Eisenstein measure and p -adic interpolation*. Amer. J. Math., 99(2):238-311, 1977.
- [23] N. M. Katz, T. Oda, *On the differentiation of de Rham cohomology classes with respect to parameters*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 8 (1968), 199–213.
- [24] M. Kim, *The unipotent Albanese map and Selmer varieties for curves*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 45 (2009), no. 1, 89–133.
- [25] V. G. Knizhnik, A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions*. Nuclear Phys. B 247 (1984), no. 1, 83–103.
- [26] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier généralisée*. Preprint [arXiv:alg-geom/9603004](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9603004), 1996.
- [27] A. Levin, *Elliptic polylogarithms: an analytic theory*. Compositio Math. 106 (1997), no. 3, 267–282.
- [28] A. Levin, G. Racinet, *Towards multiple elliptic polylogarithms*. Preprint [arXiv:math/0703237](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0703237), 2007.
- [29] M. Luo, *The elliptic KZB connection and algebraic de Rham theory for unipotent fundamental groups of elliptic curves*. Algebra & Number Theory 13(10):2243-2275, 2019.
- [30] B. Mazur, W. Messing, *Universal extensions and one dimensional crystalline cohomology*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 370. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [31] J. Sprang, *The algebraic de Rham realization of the elliptic polylogarithm via the Poincaré bundle*. Algebra Number Theory 14 (2020), no. 3, 545–585.
- [32] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

IMECC-UNICAMP, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CEP 13083-859, CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL
Email address: `tfonseca@unicamp.br`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, 2100 COPENHAGEN Ø, DENMARK
Email address: `nils.oliver.matthes@gmail.com`