

A quantitative normal form for the hinged nonlinear beam equation

Laura Di Gregorio

January 31, 2023

Abstract

We consider a nonlinear hinged beam equation. Exploiting the hamiltonian structure of the model we perform a quantitative Normal Form proving stability of solutions with initial data of order ε up to times of order ε^{-4} . We compute explicitly the involved constants not simply the order of the solution, obtaining realistic estimates.

Introduction

Following [WK50] and [D70] and [MN95], we consider a hinged beam of length L , density ρ , cross section area A , cross section momentum of inertia I and Young's modulus E , under a stretching axial force $P \geq 0$. It turns out that the deflection $w(\tau, \xi)$ in a point $\xi \in [0, L]$ at time $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is the solution of the following PDE:

$$\rho A w_{\tau\tau} + EI w_{\xi\xi\xi\xi} - \left(P + \frac{EA}{2L} \int_0^L w_{\xi}^2 d\xi \right) w_{\xi\xi} = 0 \quad (1)$$

with hinged boundary conditions

$$w(\tau, 0) = w(\tau, L) = w_{\xi\xi}(\tau, 0) = w_{\xi\xi}(\tau, L) = 0 \quad (2)$$

and initial conditions

$$w(0, \xi) = w_0(\xi) \quad w_{\tau}(0, \xi) = w_1(\xi). \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: Hinged beam

Introducing dimensionless variables

$$t = \nu\tau, \quad \nu^2 = \frac{\pi^4 EI}{L^4 \rho A}, \quad x = \frac{\pi}{L} \xi, \quad m = \frac{L^2 P}{\pi^2 EI}, \quad u(t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{A}{I}} w \left(\frac{t}{\nu}, \frac{L}{\pi} x \right), \quad (4)$$

equation (1) becomes

$$u_{tt} + u_{xxxx} - \left(m + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u_x^2 dx \right) u_{xx} = 0 \quad (5)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = u_{xx}(t, 0) = u_{xx}(t, \pi) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and initial conditions

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) =: \sqrt{\frac{A}{I}} w_0 \left(\frac{L}{\pi} x \right), \quad u_t(0, x) = v_0(x) := \frac{1}{\nu} \sqrt{\frac{A}{I}} w_1 \left(\frac{L}{\pi} x \right). \quad (7)$$

Remark 1. We choose the rescaling $u = \sqrt{A/I}w$ in (4) in order to have only one parameter, namely m , in equation (5). A different (natural) rescaling would be $\tilde{u} = \frac{\pi}{L}w$, giving

$$\tilde{u}_{tt} + \tilde{u}_{xxxx} - \left(m + \frac{\tilde{m}}{2} \int_0^\pi \tilde{u}_x^2 dx \right) \tilde{u}_{xx} = 0, \quad \tilde{m} := \frac{AL^2}{\pi^3 I}. \quad (8)$$

Then u and \tilde{u} are related by

$$\tilde{u} = \frac{\pi}{L} \sqrt{\frac{I}{A}} u.$$

We look for solutions $u(t, x)$ of equations (5)–(7) such that, for every fixed time t , the function $x \rightarrow u(t, x)$ belongs to the space H_*^s , $s \geq 0$, defined as the subspace of $H^s([0, \pi])$ that extend to odd 2π -periodic functions on \mathbb{R} . Here $H^s([0, \pi])$ is the Hilbert space of Sobolev functions whose (weak) s -derivative is in $L^2([0, \pi])$. An orthonormal basis of the space H_*^s is $\phi_j(x) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin jx$ with $j \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Representing $u(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j \phi_j(x)$ the norm in H_*^s is given by

$$|u|_{H_*^s}^2 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} u_j^2 j^{2s}.$$

Note that, for $s > 1/2$, all the functions $u \in H_*^s$ are continuous with

$$\max_{x \in [0, \pi]} |u(x)| \leq c_s |u|_{H_*^s}, \quad c_s := \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-2s} \right)^{1/2}$$

and satisfy $u(0) = u(\pi) = 0$. Moreover, for $s > 5/2$, $u \in H_*^s \subset \mathcal{C}^2$ satisfies $u(0) = u(\pi) = u_{xx}(0) = u_{xx}(\pi) = 0$.

Given an open interval of times $\mathcal{I} \ni 0$, $s > 1/2$ and two initial data $u_0 \in H_*^{s+2}$, $v_0 \in H_*^s$, we say that the function $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot) \in H_*^s$ is a *weak solution* of (5)–(7) if it satisfies (7) and for every test function $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty((0, \pi))$ we have

$$\int_0^\pi \left[u_{tt} \eta + u_{xx} \eta_{xx} + \left(m + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u_x^2 \right) u_x \eta_x \right] dx = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Theorem 2. Let $s > 1/2$ and assume that $u_0 \in H_*^{s+2}$ and $v_0 \in H_*^s$ satisfy

$$|u_0|_{H_*^{s+2}}, |v_0|_{H_*^s} \leq \varepsilon \leq 0.27\sqrt{\mu}, \quad \mu := \max\{2, \sqrt{m}\}. \quad (9)$$

Then the weak solution $u(t)$ of equation (5)-(7) satisfies

$$u(t) \in H_*^{s+2}, \quad |u(t)|_{H_*^{s+2}} \leq 1.6\varepsilon, \quad \forall |t| \leq 0.01\mu\varepsilon^{-4}. \quad (10)$$

The first order system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = v \\ \dot{v} = -u_{xxxx} + mu_{xx} + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u_x^2 dx\right) u_{xx} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

with initial condition

$$u(0) = u_0(x) \in H_*^{s+2}, \quad v(0) = v_0(x) \in H_*^s \quad (12)$$

in the phase space $H_*^{s+2} \times H_*^s([0, \pi]) \ni (u, v)$. The associated Hamiltonian is

$$\mathbb{H}(u, v) := \int_0^\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx}^2 + \frac{m}{2}u_x^2 \right) dx + \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi u_x^2 dx \right)^2. \quad (13)$$

Let us introduce Fourier coordinates $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots)$,

$$u(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{q_j}{\sqrt{\omega_j}} \phi_j(x) \quad v(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} p_j \sqrt{\omega_j} \phi_j(x), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\omega_j := \sqrt{j^4 + mj^2} \approx j^2.$$

Note that ω_j^2 are the eigenvalues of the Sturm–Liouville operator $\partial_{xxxx} - m\partial_{xx}$ on $[0, \pi]$ with eigenfunctions $\phi_j(x)$. In the above coordinates the Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} H(p, q) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_j p_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\omega_j} j^4 q_j^2 + \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\omega_j} j^2 q_j^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} q_j^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_j \frac{p_j^2 + q_j^2}{2} + \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} q_j^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to complex coordinates, $i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$z_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_j + ip_j) \quad \bar{z}_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_j - ip_j) \quad (15)$$

we get

$$H(z) = \Lambda(z) + G(z) \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda(z) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \omega_j z_j \bar{z}_j \quad G(z) := \frac{1}{32\pi} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} (z_j + \bar{z}_j)^2 \right)^2. \quad (16)$$

We will also use the following notation

$$z_j^+ := z_j \quad z_j^- := \bar{z}_j \quad \sigma := (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4) \in \{-, +\}^4 \quad \sigma_i \in \{-, +\} \quad (17)$$

such that

$$\sigma_h \sigma_k = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \sigma_h = \sigma_k \\ - & \text{if } \sigma_h \neq \sigma_k \end{cases} \quad \sigma_h + \sigma_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma_h \neq \sigma_k \\ 2 & \text{if } \sigma_h = \sigma_k = + \\ -2 & \text{if } \sigma_h = \sigma_k = - \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{J} := \{(i, j, \sigma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \{+, -\}^4\}.$$

Then we write the function G in (16) as

$$G(z) = \sum_{(i,j,\sigma) \in \mathcal{J}} G_{ij} z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2} z_j^{\sigma_3} z_j^{\sigma_4} \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < G_{ij} = G_{ji} := \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{i^2 j^2}{\omega_i \omega_j} \leq \frac{1}{32\pi}. \quad (18)$$

Define the following subsets of \mathcal{J} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &:= \{(i, j, \sigma) : i \neq j \implies \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq \sigma_4 \text{ and } i = j \implies \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4 = 0\} \\ \mathcal{I}^c &:= \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Set

$$\bar{G} := \sum_{(i,j,\sigma) \in \mathcal{I}} G_{ij} z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2} z_j^{\sigma_3} z_j^{\sigma_4} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} A_{ij} |z_i|^2 |z_j|^2 \quad (20)$$

where

$$A_{ij} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{i^2 j^2}{\omega_i \omega_j} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{i^4}{\omega_i^2} & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Functional setting

We assume that z belongs to some complete subspace of ℓ^2 . More precisely, given a real sequence $\mathbf{w} = \{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{w}_j > 0$, we consider the Hilbert space¹

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}} := \left\{ z := (z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{C}) : |z|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{w}_j^2 |z_j|^2 < \infty \right\} \quad (22)$$

and fix the symplectic structure to be $i \sum_j dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$.

As examples of $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}}$ we consider:

Sobolev class: $\mathbf{w}_j = |j|^p$, which, by (14), (15) and since $\omega_j \sim j^2$, corresponds to functions

$$u(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{z_j + \bar{z}_j}{\sqrt{\pi \omega_j}} \sin(jx) \in \mathcal{H}^{p+1} := \left\{ |\partial_x^{p+1} u|_{L^2}^2 := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |\partial_x^{p+1} u|^2 dx < \infty \right\} \subset \mathcal{C}^p \quad (23)$$

¹Endowed with the scalar product $(z, v)_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}}} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{w}_j^2 z_j \bar{v}_j$.

satisfying the boundary conditions in (5).

Analytic class: $\mathbf{w}_j = e^{a|j|}$, corresponding to analytic functions.

Here and in the following, given $r > 0$, by $B_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ we mean the closed ball of radius r centered at the origin of $\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w}$.

Definition 3 (Regular Hamiltonians). *For $r > 0$, let $\mathcal{A}_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ be the space of Hamiltonians*

$$H : B_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that there exists a point-wise absolutely convergent power series expansion²

$$H(z) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{N}}, \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| < \infty}} H_{\alpha, \beta} z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta, \quad z^\alpha := \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} u_j^{\alpha_j} \quad (24)$$

with³ $H_{\alpha, \beta} = \overline{H_{\beta, \alpha}}$. We say that $H \in \mathcal{A}_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ is a majorant analytic Hamiltonian if its majorant, namely $\underline{H} : B_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\underline{H}(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| < \infty} |H_{\alpha, \beta}| z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta, \quad (25)$$

is point-wise absolutely convergent. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ the subspace of all $H \in \mathcal{A}_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ with $H(0) = 0$ such that

$$|H|_{r, \mathbf{w}} := r^{-1} \left(\sup_{|u|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq r} |X_{\underline{H}}|_{\mathbf{w}} \right) < \infty, \quad (26)$$

where $X_{\underline{H}}$ is the (complex) Hamiltonian vector field of \underline{H} whose entries are defined as $X_{\underline{H}}^{(j)} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \underline{H}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathbf{h}_\mathbf{w})$ endowed with the norm $|\cdot|_{r, \mathbf{w}}$ is a Banach space.

By (25) and (26) it is simple to see that H and H' , written as in (24), satisfy the monotonicity property, namely

$$|H_{\alpha, \beta}| \leq |H'_{\alpha, \beta}|, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \implies |H|_{r, \mathbf{w}} \leq |H'|_{r, \mathbf{w}}. \quad (27)$$

We now show that G defined in (16) is a regular Hamiltonian. First we write it as in (24), namely

$$G(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = 4} G_{\alpha, \beta} z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta, \quad \text{for suitable } 0 \leq G_{\alpha, \beta} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi}.$$

For example⁴ $G_{e_i + e_j, e_i + e_j}$ is equal to $2A_{ij}$ defined in (21) when $i \neq j$ and to A_{ij} when $i = j$. Note that, recalling (25) and since the coefficients $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ are non negative, G coincides with its majorant, namely $G = \underline{G}$. Then, by (16), for $h \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$X_{\underline{G}}^{(h)}(z) = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_h} G(z) = \frac{i}{8\pi} \frac{h^2}{\omega_h} (z_h + \bar{z}_h) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} (z_j + \bar{z}_j)^2. \quad (28)$$

²As usual $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ is a multi-index with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $|k| := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |k_j|$.

³This means that H is real analytic in the real and imaginary part of z .

⁴As usual e_i is the infinite vector whose i -th entry is 1 and all the others are 0.

Recalling (22), let us consider now the sequence

$$\mathbf{w}^0 := \{j/\sqrt{\omega_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{inducing the norm} \quad |z|_{\mathbf{w}^0}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} |z_j|^2, \quad (29)$$

which is equivalent to the ℓ^2 -norm $|z|_{\ell^2}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |z_j|^2$, since

$$0 < \inf_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j/\sqrt{\omega_j} \leq 1. \quad (30)$$

Note that with u as in (23)

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j^2}{\omega_j} (z_j + \bar{z}_j)^2 = |z + \bar{z}|_{\mathbf{w}^0}^2 = |u_x|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then for every weight \mathbf{w} greater than \mathbf{w}^0 , namely satisfying $\mathbf{w}_j \geq \mathbf{w}_j^0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{w}^0} \leq |\cdot|_{\mathbf{w}}$ we have that by (28) and (30) we get

$$|X_{\underline{G}}^{(h)}(z)|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} |z + \bar{z}|_{\mathbf{w}} |z + \bar{z}|_{\mathbf{w}^0}^2 \leq \frac{1}{8\pi} |z + \bar{z}|_{\mathbf{w}}^3.$$

Recalling the definition in (26), for $r > 0$ we have

$$|G|_{r, \mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} r^2. \quad (31)$$

Analogously by (27), (20) and (31) we get

$$|\bar{G}|_{r, \mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} r^2, \quad |\bar{G} - G|_{r, \mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} r^2. \quad (32)$$

From now on we fix the functional space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and, therefore, for simplicity we drop the index \mathbf{w} ; in particular we write $|H|_r$ instead of $|H|_{r, \mathbf{w}}$.

The Poisson brackets are defined as

$$\{F, G\} := i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\partial_{z_j} F \partial_{\bar{z}_j} G - \partial_{\bar{z}_j} F \partial_{z_j} G).$$

The following result is proved in [BMP] (Proposition 2.1).

Proposition 4. *For $0 < \rho \leq r$ we have*

$$|\{F, G\}|_r \leq 4 \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho}\right) |F|_{r+\rho} |G|_{r+\rho}. \quad (33)$$

A typical way to produce symplectic transformation on the phase space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is by the hamiltonian flow Φ_S^t , for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, generated by an auxiliary Hamiltonian $S : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbf{w}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (the "generating function"). Then the composition $H \circ \Phi_S^1$ can be written through the Lie series

$$H \circ \Phi_S^t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} L_S^n[H], \quad (34)$$

where $L_S[\cdot] := \{S, \cdot\}$, L_S^0 is the identity operator and $L_S^n := L_S^{n-1} \circ L_S$.

The following result is a simple corollary of Proposition 4 (see Lemma 2.1 of [BMP] for the proof).

Proposition 5. Let $0 < \rho < r$, and $S \in \mathcal{H}_{r+\rho}(\mathfrak{h}_w)$ with

$$|S|_{r+\rho} \leq \delta := \frac{\rho}{8e(r+\rho)}. \quad (35)$$

Then the time 1-Hamiltonian flow $\Phi_S^1 : B_r(\mathfrak{h}_w) \rightarrow B_{r+\rho}(\mathfrak{h}_w)$ is well defined, analytic, symplectic with

$$\sup_{u \in B_r(\mathfrak{h}_w)} |\Phi_S^1(u) - u|_{\mathfrak{h}_w} \leq (r+\rho)|S|_{r+\rho} \leq \frac{\rho}{8e}. \quad (36)$$

For any $H \in \mathcal{H}_{r+\rho}(\mathfrak{h}_w)$ we have that $H \circ \Phi_S^1 \in \mathcal{H}_r(\mathfrak{h}_w)$ and

$$|H \circ \Phi_S^1 - H|_r \leq \delta^{-1}|S|_{r+\rho}|H|_{r+\rho}. \quad (37)$$

Analogously, for any sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $|c_n| \leq 1/n!$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n L_S^n[H] \right|_r \leq \delta^{-1}|S|_{r+\rho}|H|_{r+\rho}. \quad (38)$$

Birkhoff Normal Form

Noting that

$$\{z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2}, z_i^+ z_i^-\} = i(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2}$$

we have that

$$\{z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2} z_j^{\sigma_3} z_j^{\sigma_4}, \Lambda\} = i\Delta_{ij}^\sigma z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2} z_j^{\sigma_3} z_j^{\sigma_4} \quad (39)$$

where

$$\Delta_{ij}^\sigma := (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)\omega_i + (\sigma_3 + \sigma_4)\omega_j.$$

Set

$$S := \sum_{(i,j,\sigma) \in \mathcal{I}^c} S_{ij}^\sigma z_i^{\sigma_1} z_i^{\sigma_2} z_j^{\sigma_3} z_j^{\sigma_4}, \quad S_{ij}^\sigma := \frac{iG_{ij}}{\Delta_{ij}^\sigma}, \quad (40)$$

where \mathcal{I}^c was defined in (19).

Proposition 6. We have

$$|\Delta_{ij}^\sigma| \geq \mu, \quad \forall (i, j, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}^c. \quad (41)$$

PROOF. We consider only the case $i \geq j$, the case $i < j$ being analogous. Then $\omega_i \geq \omega_j$. Recalling (19), for $(i, j, \sigma) \in \mathcal{I}^c$ we have three cases:

- 1) $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \neq \sigma_4$ or $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = \sigma_4$
- 2) $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2, \sigma_3 = \sigma_4, \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_3, i > j$
- 3) $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_4$.

Case 1). We have

$$|\Delta_{ij}^\sigma| \geq 2\omega_j \geq 2\sqrt{1+m} \geq \mu.$$

Case 2). We have

$$|\Delta_{ij}^\sigma| = 2(\omega_i - \omega_j) = 2 \frac{\omega_i^2 - \omega_j^2}{\omega_i + \omega_j} \geq \frac{\omega_i^2 - \omega_j^2}{\omega_i} = \frac{i^4 - j^4 + m(i^2 - j^2)}{\omega_i}.$$

We distinguish two cases: $m \geq i^2$ or $m < i^2$.

In the case $m \geq i^2$, since $i > j \geq 1$, then $i \geq 2$ and $m \geq 4$,

$$\frac{i^4 - j^4 + m(i^2 - j^2)}{\omega_i} \geq \frac{m(i^2 - j^2)}{\omega_i} \geq \frac{m[i^2 - (i-1)^2]}{i\sqrt{2m}} = \sqrt{2m} \frac{i-1/2}{i} \geq 2\sqrt{m} \geq \mu.$$

In the case $m < i^2$,

$$\frac{i^4 - j^4 + m(i^2 - j^2)}{\omega_i} \geq \frac{i^4 - j^4}{\sqrt{2}i^4} \geq \frac{i^4 - (i-1)^4}{\sqrt{2}i^2} \geq \frac{15}{8\sqrt{2}}i \geq i \geq \mu.$$

Case 3). We finally have

$$|\Delta_{ij}^\sigma| = 2(\omega_i + \omega_j) \geq 2\omega_i,$$

concluding the proof. \square

By Proposition 6, (40), (27) and (31) we get

$$|S|_{r,\mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi\mu} r^2. \quad (42)$$

Note that by (20), (39) and (40) we get⁵

$$G + \{S, \Lambda\} = \bar{G}. \quad (43)$$

Theorem 7 (Birkhoff Normal Form). *Let*

$$0 < r \leq \frac{10}{22} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\mu}{2e}}. \quad (44)$$

Then there exist two close-to-the-identity invertible symplectic transformations

$$\Psi, \Psi^{-1} : B_r(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}) \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}$$

satisfying

$$\sup_{|z|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq r} |\Psi^{\pm 1}(z) - z|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{11^3}{10^3 \pi \mu} r^3 \leq \frac{11}{80e} r \quad (45)$$

$$\Psi(\Psi^{-1}(z)) = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(z)) = z, \quad \forall z \in B_{(1-\frac{11}{80e})r}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}) \quad (46)$$

such that in the new coordinates

$$H := H \circ \Psi = \Lambda + \bar{G} + R \quad (47)$$

with

$$|R|_{r,\mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{88e}{\pi^2 \mu} \left(\frac{11r}{10} \right)^4. \quad (48)$$

⁵Equation (43) is usually called the "homological equation".

PROOF. We use Proposition 5 with $\rho = r/10$. Set $\Psi^{\pm 1} := \Phi_S^{\pm 1}$ with S defined in (40). By (42) and (44) we have that the smallness condition on S contained in (35) holds, indeed

$$|S|_{\frac{11}{10}r, \mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{11^2}{10^2 \pi \mu} r^2 \leq \delta := \frac{1}{88e}. \quad (49)$$

Then Proposition 5 applies. By (36) and (49) we get (45). An analogous estimate holds for Ψ^{-1} . (46) follows by (45).

Now note that, by (43), $\{S, \Lambda\} = \bar{G} - G$. Recalling that (16) and (34) with $t = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H \circ \Phi_S^1 &= \Lambda + G + \{S, \Lambda\} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} L_S^n[\Lambda] + (G \circ \Phi_S^1 - G) \\ &= \Lambda + \bar{G} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} L_S^{n-1}[\bar{G} - G] + (G \circ \Phi_S^1 - G) \\ &= \Lambda + \bar{G} + R, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R := R_1 + R_2, \quad R_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(n+1)!} L_S^n \left[\frac{\bar{G} - G}{2} \right], \quad R_2 := (G \circ \Phi_S^1 - G). \quad (50)$$

Since $2/(n+1)! \leq 1/n!$ for $n \geq 1$ by (38), (49) and (32) (with $r \rightarrow r + \rho = 3r/2$) we get

$$|R_1|_{r, \mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{44e}{\pi^2 \mu} \left(\frac{11r}{10} \right)^4.$$

By (37) we get

$$|R_2|_{r, \mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{44e}{\pi^2 \mu} \left(\frac{11r}{10} \right)^4.$$

Then (48) follows. □

Estimates on the solutions

We need the following result, which is Lemma 7.1 of [BMP] with different constants.

Lemma 8. *On the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}$ consider the Hamilton equation*

$$\dot{z} = X_{\mathcal{N}} + X_R, \quad z(0) = z_0, \quad |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} < \frac{5}{6}r,$$

where $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{A}_r(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}})$ and $R \in \mathcal{H}_r(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}})$ for some $r > 0$. Assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(X_{\mathcal{N}}, z)_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}} = 0.$$

Then

$$\left| |z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} - |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| \leq \frac{r}{6}, \quad \forall |t| \leq \frac{1}{6|R|_{r, \mathfrak{w}}}. \quad (51)$$

PROOF. Let us look at the time evolution of $|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}}^2$. By construction and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \left| \frac{d}{dt} |z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| &= \left| \frac{d}{dt} |z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}}^2 \right| = 2|\operatorname{Re}(z, \dot{z})_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}}| = 2|\operatorname{Re}(z, X_R)_{\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{w}}}| \\ &\leq 2|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} |X_R|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq 2r|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} |R|_{r,\mathfrak{w}} \end{aligned}$$

as long as $|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq r$; namely

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} |z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| \leq r|R|_{r,\mathfrak{w}} \quad (52)$$

as long as $|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq r$. Assume by contradiction that there exists a time⁶

$$0 < T_0 < \frac{1}{6|R|_{r,\mathfrak{w}}}$$

such that

$$\left| |z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} - |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| < \frac{r}{6}, \quad \forall 0 \leq t < T_0, \quad \text{but} \quad \left| |z(T_0)|_{\mathfrak{w}} - |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| = \frac{r}{6}. \quad (53)$$

Then

$$|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} + \frac{r}{6} < r \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T_0.$$

By (52) we get

$$\left| |z(T_0)|_{\mathfrak{w}} - |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| \leq r|R|_{r,\mathfrak{w}}T_0 < \frac{r}{6},$$

which contradicts (53), proving (51). \square

We now apply the above lemma to the Hamiltonian $H = \Lambda + \bar{G} + R$ in (47) with $\mathcal{N} = \Lambda + \bar{G}$. Then, by (48) we get the following

Corollary 9. *Consider the Hamilton equation of the Hamiltonian H in (47):*

$$\dot{\zeta} = X_H, \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta_0, \quad |\zeta_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} < \frac{5}{6}r,$$

with $r > 0$ satisfying (44). Then

$$\left| |\zeta(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} - |\zeta_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \right| < \frac{r}{6}, \quad |\zeta(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq r \quad \forall |t| \leq \left(\frac{10}{11}\right)^4 \frac{\pi^2}{88e} \mu r^{-4}. \quad (54)$$

Corollary 10. *Consider the Hamilton equation of the Hamiltonian H in (16):*

$$\dot{z} = X_H, \quad z(0) = z_0, \quad |z_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{11}{80e}\right)r,$$

with $r > 0$ satisfying (44). Then

$$|z(t)|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq \left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{11}{80e}\right)r \quad \forall |t| \leq \left(\frac{10}{11}\right)^4 \frac{\pi^2}{88e} \mu r^{-4}. \quad (55)$$

PROOF. Recalling (45) we define $\zeta_0 := \Psi^{-1}(z_0)$ with

$$|\zeta_0|_{\mathfrak{w}} \leq \frac{4}{5}r + \frac{11}{80e}r < \frac{5}{6}r.$$

Then by (54) and (45) we get (55). \square

⁶The case $T_0 < 0$ is analogous.

Proof of Theorem 2

By (9) we have

$$|u_0|_{H^{s+2}}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{2s+4} u_{0j}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2, \quad |v_0|_{H^s}^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{2s} v_{0j}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2. \quad (56)$$

By (14) and (15) we have that the initial datum z_0 satisfies

$$|z_{0j}|^2 = \frac{q_{0j}^2 + p_{0j}^2}{2} = \frac{\omega_j u_{0j}^2 + \omega_j^{-1} v_{0j}^2}{2}. \quad (57)$$

Defining the weight \mathbf{w} through

$$\mathbf{w}_j := j^{s+2} \omega_j^{-1/2} \sim j^{s+1},$$

by (56) and (57) we have that

$$|z_0|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Setting

$$r := \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{11}{80e} \right)^{-1} \varepsilon,$$

by (9) the hypotheses of Corollary 10 (in particular (44)) are satisfied since

$$0.27 < \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{11}{80e} \right) \frac{10}{22} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2e}}$$

Then by (55) we get

$$|z(t)|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \frac{36\varepsilon}{25} \quad (58)$$

Recalling (23) we get

$$|u|_{H^{s+2}} \leq \sqrt{2} |z|_{\mathbf{w}}$$

and (10) follows by (58) since

$$\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{11}{80e} \right) \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{11}{80e} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{2} < 1.6$$

and

$$0.01 < \left(\frac{10}{11} \right)^4 \frac{\pi^2}{88e} \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{11}{80e} \right)^4.$$

□

References

- [BB05] Bambusi D., Berti M. *A Birkhoff-Lewis-Type Theorem for some Hamiltonians PDEs*, SIAM, Journal of Math. An. 2005, 37, pp.35-36.
- [BMP] Biasco, L., Massetti J. E., Procesi, M. *An Abstract Birkhoff Normal Form Theorem and Exponential Type Stability of the 1d NLS*, Commun. Math. Phys. 375, 2089–2153, 2020.
- [D70] Dickey R.W. *Free Vibrations and Dynamic Buckling of the Extensible Beam*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1970, 29, pp.443-454.
- [GG19] Garrione, M., Gazzola, F. *Nonlinear equations for beams and degenerate plates with piers*. SpringerBriefs in Applied Sciences and Technology, 2019.
- [LRN02] Lacarbonara W., Rega G., Nayfeh A. *Resonant non-linear normal modes. Part I: analytical treatment for structural one-dimensional systems*, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, 2002, 37.
- [LRN03] Lacarbonara W., Rega G. *Resonant non-linear normal modes. Part II: activation/orthogonality conditions for shallow structural systems*, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, 2003, 38, pp. 873-887.
- [MN95] Mook D., Nayfeh A. *Nonlinear oscillations*, Wiley Classic Library (1995).
- [WK50] Woinowsky-Krieger S. *The Effect of an Axial Force on the Vibration of Hinged Bars*, Journal of Applied Mechanics, 1950, 17, pp.83-102.