

# GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF DUAL $K$ -THEORETIC $P$ - AND $Q$ -FUNCTIONS AND BOSON-FERMION CORRESPONDENCE

SHINSUKE IWAO

ABSTRACT. We provide a new description of the dual  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions in terms of the boson-fermion correspondence. Our result generalizes the neutral-fermionic presentation of the Schur  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. As a corollary, we derive a generating function of the dual  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $gq_\lambda$ , which was conjectured by Nakagawa-Naruse. We also present a generating function of the dual  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ -function  $gp_\lambda$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of the author's previous work [9], in which he provided a fermionic description of the  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda$  (see §1.1). In [9], the author also attempted to give a similar description of the dual function  $gp_\lambda$ , but he only obtained some implicit formulas. In this paper, we address the issue and give a new fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$ . We also apply our method to the  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda$  and its dual  $gq_\lambda$ .

**1.1. Overview.** The factorial  $K$ - $P$ - and  $K$ - $Q$ -functions [5] are a distinguished family of symmetric functions that represent a Schubert class in the torus equivariant  $K$ -theory of the maximal isotropic symplectic and orthogonal Grassmannians. When we consider the special case in which the torus equivariant  $K$ -theory reduces to the (usual)  $K$ -theory, these functions reduce to their non-factorial versions.

The (non-factorial)  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda(x)$  and the  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda(x)$  are symmetric functions in the variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  that are parametrized by a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0)$ . Their coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , where  $\beta$  is a formal parameter. (These functions are also referred to as shifted stable Grothendieck polynomials [12].) At  $\beta = 0$  limit,  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  reduce to Schur's  $P$ - and  $Q$ -function [13, §III.8] respectively.

Both of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  are elements of the algebra  $G\Gamma$  that is characterized by the  $K$ - $Q$ -cancellation property [5, Definition 1.1]. Any element of  $G\Gamma$  is expressed as an infinite  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear combination of  $GP_\lambda$ . On the other hand, all infinite  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear combinations of  $GQ_\lambda$  form a proper subalgebra  $G\Gamma_+ \subsetneq G\Gamma$ . It was shown in [5] that, at  $\beta = -1$  limit,  $G\Gamma$  (*resp.*  $G\Gamma_+$ ) is isomorphic to the  $K$ -theory of the Grassmannian of type  $B$  and  $D$  (*resp.* type  $C$ ). Through the isomorphisms,  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  represent the Schubert class  $[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_\lambda}]$ .

In the previous paper [9], the author of the paper presented an algebraic characterization of  $GQ_\lambda$  in terms of the *boson-fermion correspondence* [3, 11]. The main idea of the construction was the use of the  $\beta$ -deformed neutral fermions  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}$  and  $\phi_n^{[\beta]}$  (Definition 3.1) and the " $\beta$ -deformed operators" listed in Figure 1.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, 05E14, 13M10, 14N15.

*Key words and phrases.*  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function, boson-fermion correspondence, neutral fermion, dual symmetric function.

$\beta$ -deformed operators		$\beta \rightarrow 0$	notable relations
$\phi_n^{(\beta)}$	$\phi_n^{[\beta]}$	$\phi_n$ (neutral fermion)	$\phi_n^{[\beta]} = (-1)^n (\phi_{-n}^{(-\beta)})^*$
$\Phi_n^{(\beta)}$	$\Phi_n^{[\beta]}$	$\frac{1}{2}\phi_n$	$[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+$ $= [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$
$b_n^{(\beta)}$	$b_n^{[\beta]}$	$b_n$ (current)	$b_n^{[\beta]} = (b_{-n}^{(\beta)})^*$
$\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x)$	$\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$	$\mathcal{H}(x)$ (Hamiltonian)	
$e^\Theta$	$e^\theta$	1	$\theta = \Theta^*$

FIGURE 1. A list of  $\beta$ -deformed operators. Operators with a superscript  $(\beta)$  are used to construct  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$ , and operators with a superscript  $[\beta]$  are used to construct  $gp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$ .

Our aim is to extend the result in [9] to  $GP_\lambda$  and the dual functions  $gq_\lambda$ ,  $gp_\lambda$ . Here,  $gq_\lambda$  and  $gp_\lambda$  are unique symmetric functions that satisfy the Cauchy identity [14, Definition 5.3] (see also [12, Definition 1.2])

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} GQ_\lambda(x)gp_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} GP_\lambda(x)gq_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad \text{where } \bar{x}_i = \frac{-x_i}{1 + \beta x_i}.$$

Our main results, Theorems 5.2, 6.1, and 6.4, are the construction of the three types of vectors  $|\lambda\rangle_P$ ,  $|\lambda\rangle_Q$ , and  $|\lambda\rangle_P$  such that

$$GP_\lambda = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P), \quad gq_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_Q), \quad gp_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_P),$$

where  $\Omega$  and  $\chi$  are the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences (see §3.6). These vectors can be written in an explicit form by using our  $\beta$ -deformed operators.

We briefly outline the construction of the  $K$ -theoretic functions  $GP_\lambda$ ,  $GQ_\lambda$ ,  $gp_\lambda$ , and  $gq_\lambda$ .

We first define the  $\beta$ -neutral operators listed in Figure 1. Each of the operators  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}$ ,  $\phi_n^{[\beta]}$ ,  $\Phi_n^{(\beta)}$ ,  $\Phi_n^{[\beta]}$  (§3.1–3.2) can be written as an infinite  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of the neutral fermions  $\phi_n$  (§2.1). They satisfy the duality property  $[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$  (Lemma 3.4), which generalizes the anti-commutation relation  $[(\frac{1}{2}\phi_m)^*, \phi_n]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$ . We also define the two types of “ $\beta$ -deformed power sums”  $p_n^{(\beta)}$ ,  $p_n^{[\beta]}$  (§3.4), which satisfy the Cauchy identity (Lemma 3.6):

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\lambda:\text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^{(\beta)}(x) p_\mu^{[\beta]}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} \cdot m_i!,$$

where  $m_i(\lambda) = \#\{k \mid \lambda_k = i\}$ . Comparing (1) with (2), we can show the existence of the non-degenerate inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (Eq. (13)) that satisfies  $\langle p_\lambda^{(\beta)}, p_\lambda^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  for odd partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , and  $\langle GQ_\lambda, gp_\mu \rangle = \langle GP_\lambda, gq_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  for strict partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ . By virtue of this property, we show the fact that the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences  $\Omega, \chi$ , which are defined in §3.6, preserve the inner product (15).

The construction of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  (Theorems 5.1, 5.2) are given by comparing the vacuum expectation values  $\Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P)$ ,  $\Omega(|\lambda\rangle_Q)$  with the generating functions of  $GP_\lambda$ ,  $GQ_\lambda$ , which were presented in the previous work [16] by Nakagawa-Naruse.

For the dual functions  $gp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$ , we show the fermionic descriptions  $gq_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_Q)$  (Theorem 6.1) and  $gp_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_P)$  (Theorem 6.4) by proving the orthonormality  ${}_Q \langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_P = {}_P \langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_Q = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$ . As an immediate application of our description, we present a generating function of  $gq_\lambda$  (Eq. (25)) and  $gp_\lambda$  (Eq. (31)).

**1.2. Related works.** A Pfaffian formula of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  was first given in [4] in the context of the connective  $K$ -theory of Grassmann bundles. Nakagawa-Naruse [14, 15, 16] introduced universal cohomology versions of these functions. The generating function of  $gq_\lambda$  (25) was first conjectured in [15]. They also conjectured in [16] a combinatorial description of  $gq_\lambda$  and  $qp_\lambda$  in terms of shifted plane partitions, which was proved by Lewis-Marberg [12]. In [2], Chiu-Marberg showed that  $\bigoplus_\lambda \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cdot GP_\lambda \subsetneq G\Gamma$ , the subspace of *finite* linear combinations of  $GP_\lambda$ , is closed under the multiplication. The same property for  $GQ_\lambda$  was proved by Lewis-Marberg [12].

Our results generalize also the fermionic description of  $K$ -theoretic functions of type  $A$  such as the stable Grothendieck polynomials [6, 8], the multi-Schur functions [7], and the canonical Grothendieck functions [10].

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Prof. Ikeda and Prof. Naruse for their valuable comments on the earlier version. This work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 19K03065 and 22K03239.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section gives a brief summary of the neutral fermion Fock space. We recommend Baker's paper [1] and Jimbo-Miwa's paper [11] for readers who are interested in this theme. Let  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  be the commutator and  $[A, B]_+ = AB + BA$  be the anti-commutator.

**2.1. Fock space.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -algebra of *neutral fermions* generated by  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfying the anti-commutation relation  $[\phi_m, \phi_n]_+ = 2(-1)^m \delta_{m+n, 0}$ . In particular, we have  $\phi_0^2 = 1$  and  $\phi_n^2 = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ .

Let  $|0\rangle$  and  $\langle 0|$  denote the *vacuum vectors*:

$$\phi_{-n}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|\phi_n = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

The *Fock space*  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{A} \cdot |0\rangle$  is the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module generated by  $|0\rangle$ , and the *dual Fock space*  $\mathcal{F}^* := \langle 0| \cdot \mathcal{A}$  is the right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module generated by  $\langle 0|$ . The *vacuum expectation value* is the unique bilinear form

$$\mathcal{F}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta); \quad \langle u| \otimes |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u|v\rangle$$

that satisfies (i)  $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$ , (ii)  $\langle 0|\phi_0|0\rangle = 0$ , and (iii)  $(\langle u|\phi_n)|v\rangle = \langle u|(\phi_n|v\rangle)$ . We use the abbreviations  $\langle u|X|v\rangle := (\langle u|X)|v\rangle = \langle u|(X|v\rangle)$  and  $\langle X\rangle := \langle 0|X|0\rangle$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  is split into two subspaces as  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$  (*resp.*  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ ) is the subspace generated by all vectors obtained from  $|0\rangle$  by applying odd (*resp.* even) numbers of  $\phi_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). The dual Fock space is also split as  $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}^* \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*$ . If  $\langle u| \otimes |v\rangle$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$  or in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ , the vacuum expectation value  $\langle v|u\rangle$  annihilates automatically. By restriction, it induces the nondegenerate bilinear form

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta).$$

There exists an anti-algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ;  $x \leftrightarrow x^*$  defined by  $\phi_n^* = (-1)^n \phi_{-n}$  and  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ . It induces the involution  $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ ;  $v \leftrightarrow v^*$  with  $|0\rangle^* = \langle 0|$ .

**2.2. Wick's theorem.** For a  $2r \times 2r$  matrix  $X$ ,  $\text{Pf}(X)$  denotes the *Pfaffian*

$$\text{Pf}(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(2r-1) \\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(2), \sigma(3) < \sigma(4), \dots, \sigma(2r-1) < \sigma(2r)}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(3), \sigma(4)} \dots a_{\sigma(2r-1), \sigma(2r)}.$$

For  $n_1, \dots, n_{2r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have *Wick's theorem*

$$(4) \quad \langle \phi_{n_1} \phi_{n_2} \dots \phi_{n_{2r}} \rangle = \text{Pf}(\langle \phi_{n_i} \phi_{n_j} \rangle)_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2r}.$$

For a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0)$  of length  $r$ , we define the vector  $|\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  as

$$|\lambda\rangle = \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1} \phi_{\lambda_2} \cdots \phi_{\lambda_r} |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1} \phi_{\lambda_2} \cdots \phi_{\lambda_r} \phi_0 |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

The orthogonality  $\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$  is derived directly from Wick's theorem (4).

### 3. DEFINITION OF $\beta$ -DEFORMED OPERATORS

**3.1.  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\phi^{(\beta)}(z), \phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .** The *neutral fermion field*  $\phi(z)$  is the formal series  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n z^n$ , which determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$  by left multiplication, and a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$  by right multiplication.

**Definition 3.1.** We define the  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n$  and  $\phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \left(z + \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n, & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} z^{-n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-n} \left(\frac{z^{-1}}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z^{-1}}\right)^n, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n \left(\frac{z}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z}\right)^n, & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} z^{-n} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{-n} \left(z^{-1} + \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

By right multiplication,  $\phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$ . By left multiplication,  $\phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$ .

Informally, Definition 3.1 is rewritten as

$$(5) \quad \phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \phi\left(z + \frac{\beta}{2}\right), \quad \phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \phi\left(\frac{z}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z}\right).$$

For positive  $n > 0$ , the operators  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}, \phi_n^{[\beta]}$  are expressed as a linear combination of  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$ , and  $\phi_{-n}^{(\beta)}, \phi_{-n}^{[\beta]}$  are expressed as a linear combination of  $\phi_{-1}, \phi_{-2}, \dots$ . Then, we have the annihilation rule

$$(6) \quad \langle 0 | \phi_n^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 | \phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0, \quad \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle = \phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

The actions of  $\phi_0^{(\beta)}$  and  $\phi_0^{[\beta]}$  on the vacuum vectors are expressed as

$$(7) \quad \langle 0 | \phi_0^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 | \phi_0, \quad \langle 0 | \phi_0 \phi_0^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 |, \quad \phi_0^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = \phi_0 | 0 \rangle, \quad \phi_0^{[\beta]} \phi_0 | 0 \rangle = | 0 \rangle.$$

**Lemma 3.2** ([9, §9.1]). *We have the anti-commutation relation:*

$$[(\phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = \begin{cases} 2 & (m = n) \\ \beta & (m = n - 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma is derived directly from  $[(\phi_m)^*, \phi_n]_+ = 2\delta_{m,n}$  and Definition 3.1. For details of the proof, see [9, §9.1].  $\square$

3.2.  **$\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z), \Phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .** We next define another two  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n$  and  $\Phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n$  as follows:

**Definition 3.3.** We define the  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z), \Phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  by

$$\Phi^{(\beta)}(z) := \frac{1}{2 + \beta z^{-1}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z), \quad \Phi^{[\beta]}(z) := \frac{1}{2 + \beta z} \phi^{[\beta]}(z).$$

By right multiplication,  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$ . By left multiplication,  $\Phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Phi_n^{(\beta)}$  and  $\Phi_n^{[\beta]}$  expand as

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_n^{(\beta)} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n+1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n+2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots, \\ \Phi_n^{[\beta]} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n-2}^{[\beta]} - \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

From (8), we have the annihilation rule

$$(9) \quad \langle 0 | \Phi_n^{(\beta)} = 0, \quad \Phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

However, unexpectedly, the vectors  $\Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle 0 | \Phi_n^{[\beta]}$  do not annihilate for  $n > 0$ . In fact, by using  $\phi_0 = \phi_0^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{2} \phi_1^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \phi_2^{(\beta)} - \cdots = \phi_0^{[\beta]} - \frac{\beta}{2} \phi_{-1}^{[\beta]} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \phi_{-2}^{[\beta]} - \cdots$ , we rewrite (8) as

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{-n+1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{-n+2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots + \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \phi_0, \\ \Phi_n^{[\beta]} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n-1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n-2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots + \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \phi_0 \end{aligned}$$

for non-negative  $n \geq 0$ . These equations imply

$$(11) \quad \langle 0 | \Phi_n^{[\beta]} = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \langle 0 | \phi_0 \neq 0, \quad \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \phi_0 | 0 \rangle \neq 0, \quad (n \geq 0)$$

**Lemma 3.4** (Duality of the  $\beta$ -deformed fermions). *We have the following commutation relation:*

$$[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}.$$

*Proof.* It is straightforward to derive the commutation relation from Lemma 3.2 and (8).  $\square$

3.3.  **$\beta$ -deformed current and Hamiltonian operators.** For any odd integer  $m$ , the *current operator*  $b_m$  is the formal sum  $b_m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \phi_{-i-m} \phi_i$ .

**Definition 3.5.** For any (possibly even) integer  $m \neq 0$ , we define the  $\beta$ -deformed current operators  $b_m^{(\beta)}, b_m^{[\beta]}$  by

$$b_m^{(\beta)} = \left. \frac{(X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m - (-X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m}{2} \right|_{X^k \mapsto b_k} \quad \text{and} \quad b_m^{[\beta]} = (b_{-m}^{(\beta)})^*.$$

Here,  $\frac{(X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m - (-X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m}{2}$  is expanded as a polynomial in  $X$  if  $m > 0$ , and as a power series of  $X^{-1}$  if  $m < 0$ . For example, we have  $b_1^{(\beta)} = b_1$ ,  $b_2^{(\beta)} = -\beta b_1$ ,  $b_3^{(\beta)} = b_3 + \frac{3\beta^2}{4} b_1$ ,  $b_{-1}^{(\beta)} = b_{-1} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} b_{-3} + \frac{\beta^4}{16} b_{-5} + \cdots$ .

Let  $p_n(x) = x_1^n + x_2^n + \cdots$  denote the  $n$ -th power sum. We define the *Hamiltonian operator*  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  by

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n.$$

The  $\beta$ -deformed *Hamiltonian operators*  $\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x), \mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$  are obtained by substituting  $b_n^{(\beta)}, b_n^{[\beta]}$  in the place of  $b_n$  respectively:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n^{(\beta)}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n^{[\beta]}.$$

For brevity, we often use the abbreviations  $\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]} = \mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$ .

**3.4.  $\beta$ -deformed power sums.** The  $\beta$ -deformed *power sums*  $p_n^{(\beta)}(x), p_n^{[\beta]}(x)$  are defined by

$$p_n^{(\beta)}(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{i} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^i p_{n+i}(x), \quad p_n^{[\beta]}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^i p_i(x).$$

It is useful to remember the informal expressions

$$p_n^{(\beta)}(x) = p_n\left(\frac{x}{1+\frac{\beta}{2}x}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n^{[\beta]}(x) = p_n\left(x + \frac{\beta}{2}\right) - p_n\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right).$$

For any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$ , we put  $p_\lambda^{(\beta)} := p_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} p_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} \cdots$  and  $p_\lambda^{[\beta]} := p_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} p_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} \cdots$ .

Let  $\widehat{G\Gamma} = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[[p_1^{(\beta)}, p_3^{(\beta)}, p_5^{(\beta)}, \dots]]$  and  $g\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[p_1^{[\beta]}, p_3^{[\beta]}, p_5^{[\beta]}, \dots]$ . Any element of  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  is expressed as a (possibly) infinite  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of  $p_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ , where  $\lambda$  runs over all odd partitions. There exist two natural isomorphisms

$$\iota^{(\beta)} : \widehat{G\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{G\Gamma}; \quad p_n \mapsto p_n^{(\beta)}, \quad \iota^{[\beta]} : \Gamma \rightarrow g\Gamma; \quad p_n \mapsto p_n^{[\beta]},$$

where  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[p_1, p_3, \dots]$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[[p_1, p_3, \dots]]$ . The map  $\iota^{(\beta)}$  coincides with the substitution map  $x_i \mapsto \frac{x_i}{1+\frac{\beta}{2}x_i}$ .

Let  $Q_\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$  be the *Schur  $Q$ -function* [13, §III.8], where  $\lambda$  is a strict partition. The  $\beta$ -deformed Hamiltonians and power sums are related as

$$(12) \quad \iota^{(\beta)}(Q_\lambda) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} | \lambda \rangle, \quad \iota^{[\beta]}(Q_\lambda) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} | \lambda \rangle,$$

Set  $Q_\lambda^{(\beta)} := \iota^{(\beta)}(Q_\lambda)$  and  $Q_\lambda^{[\beta]} := \iota^{[\beta]}(Q_\lambda)$ .

**3.5.  $\beta$ -deformed bilinear form.** We define the bilinear form

$$(13) \quad \widehat{G\Gamma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} g\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta); \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle$$

by  $\langle p_\lambda^{(\beta)}, p_\mu^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$  for all odd partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ .

**Lemma 3.6** ([9, §8]). *We have the Cauchy identity*

$$\sum_{\lambda: \text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^{(\beta)}(x) p_\mu^{[\beta]}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad \text{where } \bar{x} = -\frac{x}{1 + \beta x}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma is obtained from the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda: \text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda(x) p_\mu(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 + x_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

by substituting  $x_i \mapsto \frac{x_i}{1+\frac{\beta}{2}x_i}$  and  $p_n(y) \mapsto p_n(y + \frac{\beta}{2}) - p_n(\frac{\beta}{2})$ . For details, see [9, §8].  $\square$

Through the bilinear form (13),  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  is identified with a subspace of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(g\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\beta))$ . By construction, they are in fact isomorphic:

$$(14) \quad \widehat{G\Gamma} \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(g\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\beta)).$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any strict partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , we have  $\langle Q_\lambda^{(\beta)}, Q_\mu^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle f, g \rangle' := \langle \iota^{(\beta)}(f), \iota^{[\beta]}(g) \rangle$  for  $f, g \in \Gamma$ . Then, the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  coincides with the Hall inner product on  $\Gamma$  [13, §III.8]. The lemma follows from the orthogonality  $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle' = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ .  $\square$

**3.6.  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondence.** Let  $\Omega_0, \chi$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 : \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow \widehat{G\Gamma}; & |v\rangle &\mapsto \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} |v\rangle, \\ \chi : \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow g\Gamma; & |v\rangle &\mapsto \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (12) and Lemma 3.7, we show that they preserve the inner products:  $\langle u^* | v \rangle = \langle \Omega_0(|u\rangle), \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle$ , where  $\langle u^* | = |u\rangle^*$ .

Through the inner product (3),  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  is identified with a subspace of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*, \mathbb{Q}(\beta))$ . For any  $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$ , we let  $[\varphi]$  denote the unique element of  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  that satisfies

$$\langle [\varphi], \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle = \varphi(\langle v^* |), \quad \forall |v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}.$$

Then, the map  $\Omega_0$  naturally extends to

$$\Omega : \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \widehat{G\Gamma}; \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi],$$

which satisfies

$$(15) \quad \langle u^* | v \rangle = \langle \Omega(|u\rangle), \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle$$

for any  $|u\rangle \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$  and  $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ . One can easily check that  $\Omega$  and  $\chi$  are bijective. We call these linear maps  $\Omega, \chi$  the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences.

#### 4. COMMUTATION RELATIONS BETWEEN $\beta$ -DEFORMED OPERATORS

In this section, we give a list of useful commutation relations between  $\beta$ -deformed operators. Most proofs are given in the previous paper [9].

Define the bilinear operators  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  by

$$x \oplus y = x + y + \beta xy, \quad x \ominus y = \frac{x - y}{1 + \beta y}.$$

In particular, we have  $\bar{t} = 0 \ominus t = \frac{-t}{1 + \beta t}$ . Let

$$\Theta = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n \frac{b_{-n}}{n}, \quad \theta = \Theta^* = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n \frac{b_n}{n}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have the following commutation relations:*

- (1)  $\langle 0 | e^\Theta = \langle 0 |$ .
- (2)  $e^{-\Theta} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^\Theta = \frac{1}{1 + \beta z^{-1}} \cdot \phi^{(\beta)}(z)$ .
- (3)  $e^{-\Theta} e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} e^\Theta = \prod_i (1 + \beta x_i) \cdot e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}$ .
- (4)  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} = \prod_i \frac{z^{-1} \oplus x_i}{z^{-1} - x_i} \cdot \phi^{(\beta)}(z)$ .

(5)  $\langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z)\phi^{(\beta)}(w) \rangle = \frac{w^{-1}-z^{-1}}{w^{-1}\oplus z^{-1}}$ , where  $\frac{w^{-1}-z^{-1}}{w^{-1}\oplus z^{-1}}$  expands as

$$\frac{1-wz^{-1}}{1+wz^{-1}+\beta z^{-1}} = 1 - (2w + \beta)z^{-1} + (2w^2 + 3\beta w + \beta^2)z^{-2} - \dots$$

in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))((z^{-1}))$  of formal Laurent series<sup>1</sup>.

*Proof.* (1) follows from  $\langle 0|b_{-n} = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . (2) and (3) are given in [9, §4.3]. (4) follows from the following equation, which is given in [9, §4.2],

$$e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^{\mathcal{H}^{-(\beta)}} = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} (z^n - (-z - \beta)^n)\right) \phi^{(\beta)}(z)$$

and the identity  $\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} A^n\right) = \prod_i \frac{1}{1-x_i A}$ . (5) is given in [9, §3.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have the following commutation relations:*

- (1)  $e^\theta|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ .
- (2)  $e^{-\theta}\phi^{[\beta]}(z)e^\theta = \frac{1}{1+\beta z} \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .
- (3)  $e^\theta$  and  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}}$  commute with each other.
- (4)  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}}\phi^{[\beta]}(z)e^{-\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} = \prod_i \frac{1-x_i \bar{z}}{1-x_i z} \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .
- (5)  $\langle \phi^{[\beta]}(z)\phi^{[\beta]}(w) \rangle = \frac{z-w}{z\oplus w}$ , where  $\frac{z-w}{z\oplus w}$  expands as

$$\frac{1-wz^{-1}}{1+wz^{-1}+\beta w} = 1 - (2z^{-1} + \beta)w + (2z^{-2} + 3\beta z^{-1} + \beta^2)w^2 - \dots$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z))((w))$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from  $b_n|0\rangle = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . (2) and (5) are given in [9, §10.1]. (3) follows from  $[b_m, b_n] = 0$  for  $m, n > 0$ . (4) is given in [9, §9.1].  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *We have*

- (1)  $e^\theta \phi_n^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} = \phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}$ ,
- (2)  $e^{-\theta} \phi_n^{[\beta]} e^\theta = \phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} + \beta^2 \phi_{n-2}^{[\beta]} - \dots$ ,
- (3)  $e^\theta (\phi_n^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} = (\phi_n^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_{n+1}^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \phi_{n+2}^{(\beta)} - \dots)^*$ .

## 5. $GP_\lambda$ AND $GQ_\lambda$ -FUNCTIONS

5.1. **The fermionic presentation of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$ .** Define the vector  $|\lambda\rangle_Q$  by

$$(16) \quad |\lambda\rangle_Q = \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_0^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

Note that  $|\lambda\rangle_Q$  is an element of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$ , but not  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ .

The main theorem of the previous paper [9] is described as follows:

**Theorem 5.1** ([9, §7]). *The  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$GQ_\lambda(x) = \langle 0|e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}|\lambda\rangle_Q = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_Q).$$

<sup>1</sup>We should note the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))((z^{-1})) = \{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))\}((z^{-1}))$  is not the same as  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z^{-1}))((w^{-1}))$ . In fact, the former contains  $1 + wz^{-1} + w^2z^{-2} + w^3z^{-3} + \dots$ , while the latter does not.

In [9], the author proved Theorem 5.1 by comparing the vacuum expectation value  $\langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}(\beta)} | \lambda \rangle_Q$  with Hudson-Ikeda-Matsumura-Naruse's Pfaffian formula [4]. However, for later use, we now give another proof using the generating function

$$(17) \quad GQ_\lambda(x) = [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i},$$

which was given by Nakagawa-Naruse [16, §5.2]. Here, the rational functions in (17) expand in

$$\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((u_r)) \dots ((u_2))((u_1))[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$$

and  $[u_1^{n_1} \dots u_r^{n_r}] F(u_1, \dots, u_r)$  is the coefficient of the monomial  $u_1^{n_1} \dots u_r^{n_r}$  in the expansion of  $F$ . This expansion coincides with the Laurent expansion on the domain  $D_{(r)} := \{|x_j| < |u_1| < |u_2| < \dots < |u_r| < |\beta^{-1}|; \forall j\}$ . When substituting  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  to (17) formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} GQ_{(\lambda,0)} &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r} u_{r+1}^0] \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r+1} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{u_{r+1} \in D_{(r+1)}} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_{r+1}} \prod_j \frac{u_{r+1} \oplus x_j}{u_{r+1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{u_{r+1} \ominus u_i}{u_{r+1} \oplus u_i} \frac{du_{r+1}}{u_{r+1}} \\ &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{Res}_{w=-\beta} \left( -\frac{1}{1 + \beta w^{-1}} \prod_j \frac{w^{-1} \oplus x_j}{w^{-1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{w^{-1} \ominus u_i}{w^{-1} \oplus u_i} \frac{dw}{w} \right). \quad (w^{-1} = u_{r+1}) \end{aligned}$$

By a straightforward calculation, it follows that the residue is 1. Then, we have  $GQ_{(\lambda,0)} = GQ_\lambda$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* We can assume  $r$  to be even without the loss of generality by adding  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  to the end of a strict partition if needed. The generating function of  $\langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}(\beta)} | \lambda \rangle_Q$  expands as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}(\beta)} \phi^{(\beta)}(z_1) e^\Theta \dots \phi^{(\beta)}(z_r) e^\Theta \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \left\langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z_1) \dots \phi^{(\beta)}(z_r) \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \cdot \operatorname{Pf} \left( \langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z_i) \phi^{(\beta)}(z_j) \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Eq. (4)}) \\ &= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \cdot \operatorname{Pf} \left( \frac{z_j^{-1} - z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Lemma 4.1 (5)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(*)}{=} \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_j^{-1} - z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}} \\
& = \prod_i \frac{1}{1 + \beta z_i^{-1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_j^{-1} \ominus z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the equality (\*), we use the following formula from Ikeda-Naruse [5, Lemma 2.4]:

$$(18) \quad \text{Pf} \left( \frac{T_i - T_j}{T_i \oplus T_j} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{T_i - T_j}{T_i \oplus T_j}.$$

Putting  $z_i = u_i^{-1}$  and taking the coefficients of  $z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_r^{\lambda_r}$ , we obtain  $GQ_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} | \lambda \rangle_Q$ .  $\square$

We extend this result to the  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda(x)$ . Define  $|\lambda\rangle_P \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{even}$  by

$$(19) \quad |\lambda\rangle_P = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_0^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *The  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$GP_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} | \lambda \rangle_P = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P).$$

*Proof.* This theorem is given by comparing the definition of  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  (Definition 3.3) and the generating function

$$(20) \quad GP_\lambda(x) = [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_i \frac{1}{2 + \beta u_i} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i},$$

which was given in [16, §4.1]<sup>2</sup>.  $\square$

From Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, the  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda$  and the  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GQ_\lambda$  are contained in the algebra  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$ .

## 6. $gp_\lambda$ AND $gq_\lambda$ -FUNCTIONS

Comparing (1) with Lemma 3.6, we find that  $gp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$  are unique elements of  $g\Gamma$  that satisfy

$$\langle GQ_\lambda, gp_\mu \rangle = \langle GP_\lambda, gq_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

In this section, we present a fermionic description of these functions and their generating functions.

<sup>2</sup>In [16, §4.1], Nakagawa-Naruse presented the generating function

$$HP_\lambda(x) = HP_\lambda(x|0) = [u^{-\lambda}] \prod_i \frac{u_i}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{u}_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{L}}(u_i)} \cdot \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{x}_j}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{x}_j} \cdot \prod_{j < i} \frac{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{u}_j}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{u}_j}$$

of the universal  $P$ -function  $HP_\lambda(x)$ . Here,  $HP_\lambda(x|b)$  is the universal factorial  $P$ -function. For the  $K$ -theory setting, we substitute  $HP_\lambda(x) \mapsto GP_\lambda(x)$ ,  $x +_{\mathbb{L}} y \mapsto x \oplus y$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{L}}(u) \mapsto 1 + \beta u$ ,  $[t] \bar{u} \mapsto u$  to obtain (20).

6.1. **Fermionic presentation of  $gq_\lambda$ .** Define  $|\lambda\rangle_q \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  by

$$|\lambda\rangle_q := \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *The dual  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $gq_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$gq_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda\rangle_q = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_q).$$

Let  ${}_P\langle\mu| := (|\mu\rangle_P)^*$  for a strict partition  $\mu$ . We prove Theorem 6.1 by showing  ${}_P\langle\mu|\lambda\rangle_q = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  (see (15)). For strictly decreasing sequences  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r \geq 0)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ , we define new vectors  $(\mu| \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*$  and  $|\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  by

$$(\mu| = \begin{cases} \langle 0 | e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_r}^{(\beta)})^* \dots e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* & (\mu_r > 0) \\ \langle 0 | e^{\theta} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_r}^{(\beta)})^* \dots e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* & (\mu_r = 0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\lambda\rangle = \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle.$$

Here,  $\lambda_r$  and  $\mu_s$  are possibly 0. Then, the desired equation is equivalent to

$$(21) \quad (\mu|\lambda) = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

**Lemma 6.2.** *We have the following facts:*

- (A) *If  $n > 0$ , then  $\langle 0 | e^{\theta} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \cdot \phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0$ .*
- (B) *If  $\mu \neq \emptyset$  and  $n > \mu_1$ , then  $(\mu|\phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0$ .*
- (C) *If  $\lambda \neq \emptyset$  and  $m > \lambda_1$ , then  $\Phi_m^{(\beta)}|\lambda) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (A): If  $n = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | e^{\theta} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \cdot \phi_1^{[\beta]} &= \langle 0 | e^{\theta} \left\{ [(\phi_0^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_1^{[\beta]}]_+ - \phi_1^{[\beta]} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \right\} \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^{\theta} - \langle 0 | e^{\theta} \phi_1^{[\beta]} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \quad (\text{Lemma 3.2}) \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^{\theta} - \langle 0 | (\phi_1^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_0^{[\beta]}) (\phi_0^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_1^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \phi_2^{(\beta)} - \dots)^* e^{\theta} \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3}) \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^{\theta} - \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^{\theta} \quad (\text{Eqs. (6), (7)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 1$  case, (A) is proved immediately from  $[(\phi_0^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = 0$  and the annihilation rule (6).

(B): We prove (B) by induction on  $s \geq 1$ . For  $s = 1$  and  $\mu_1 = 0$  case, (B) is nothing but (A). For  $s = 1$  and  $\mu_1 > 0$  case, (B) follows from

$$(\mu|\phi_n^{[\beta]} = \langle 0 | e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_n^{[\beta]} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.4}}{=} -\langle 0 | e^{\theta} \phi_n^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = -\langle 0 | (\phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}) e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = 0,$$

in which the last equality is derived from (6). For general  $s > 1$ , put  $\mu' = (\mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ . Then, we have

$$(\mu|\phi_n^{[\beta]} = (\mu'| e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_n^{[\beta]} = -(\mu'| e^{\theta} \phi_n^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = -(\mu'| (\phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}) e^{\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis.

(C): The claim (C) follows from the fact that  $|\lambda\rangle$  is expressed as a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of vectors of the form  $\phi_{n_1}^{[\beta]} \phi_{n_2}^{[\beta]} \dots \phi_{n_r}^{[\beta]} |0\rangle$  with  $m > n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_r \geq 0$  (see Corollary 4.3 (2)).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Let  $E = (\mu|\lambda)$ . Note that  $E$  is automatically 0 when  $r + s$  is odd.

(i) The case when  $s = 0$ . If  $r = 0$ , we have  $E = \langle 0|0 \rangle = 1$ . If  $r = 1$ , we have  $E = 0$  since  $r + s$  is odd. If  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda_1$  must be positive. Therefore, we have  $E = (\emptyset|\lambda) = 0$  by the annihilation rule (6).

(ii) For  $r = 0$  case, we can show  $E = 0$  in a similar manner to (i).

(iii) For general  $r, s \geq 0$ , we prove the theorem by induction on  $s \geq 0$ . If  $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$ ,  $E = 0$  follows from Lemma 6.2 (B). If  $\mu_1 > \lambda_1$ ,  $E = 0$  follows from Lemma 6.2 (C). Assume  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$ . If  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \langle 0|e^\theta(\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta}|0 \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{Cor. 4.3}}{=} \left\langle (\phi_0^{(\beta)} - \beta\phi_1^{(\beta)} + \beta^2\phi_2^{(\beta)} - \dots)^* (\phi_0^{[\beta]} + \beta\phi_1^{[\beta]}) \right\rangle \stackrel{(6)}{=} \left\langle (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} \right\rangle = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 > 0$ , putting  $\lambda' = (\lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r \geq 0)$  and  $\mu' = (\mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (\mu|\lambda) = (\mu'|e^\theta(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta}|\lambda') \\ &= (\mu'|e^\theta\{1 - \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*\}e^{-\theta}|\lambda') \quad (\text{Lemma 3.4}) \\ &= (\mu'|\lambda') - (\mu'|e^\theta\phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*e^{-\theta}|\lambda') \\ &= (\mu'|\lambda') - (\mu'|e^\theta\phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]}e^{-\theta}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)} - \beta\Phi_{\mu_1+1}^{(\beta)} + \dots)^*|\lambda') \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3}). \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the last expression is 0 by Lemma 6.2 (C). Hence, we have  $E = (\mu'|\lambda')$ . By induction hypothesis, we conclude  $E = \delta_{\mu,\lambda}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3.** Let  $gq_n(x) = gq_{(n)}(x)$  and  $gq(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} gq_n(x)z^n$ . Then we have

$$(22) \quad gq(z) = \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) \phi_0 \right\rangle = \prod_i \frac{1 - x_i \bar{z}}{1 - x_i z}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(23) \quad e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} = gq(z) \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z).$$

**6.2. Generating function for  $gq_\lambda$ .** As a corollary of Theorem 6.1, we derive a Pfaffian formula for  $gq_\lambda(x)$ . Assume that  $r$  is even. By Wick's theorem, the generating function of  $gq_\lambda$  is calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) e^{-\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_2) e^{-\theta} \dots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) e^{-\theta} \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_2) \dots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_i) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_j) \right\rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( gq(z_i) gq(z_j) \langle \phi^{[\beta]}(z_i) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_j) \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Eq. (23)}) \\ (24) \quad &= \text{Pf} \left( \frac{gq(z_i)}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \frac{gq(z_j)}{(1 + \beta z_j)^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r}. \end{aligned}$$

From (18), the Pfaffian (24) is written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{gq(z_i)}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j} = \prod_{i=1}^r gq(z_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i \ominus z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j}.$$

Then, we have

$$(25) \quad gq_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r gq(z_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i \ominus z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j},$$

where the rational functions expand in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)[x_1, x_2, \dots]((z_1)) \cdots ((z_r))$ . This generating function was conjectured in [15, Conjecture 5.3]. The expression (25) admits a substitution  $\lambda_r = 0$  and  $gq_{(\lambda, 0)} = gq_\lambda$ .

**6.3. Fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$ .** A fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$  is significantly different from that of  $gq_\lambda$ . In terms of  $\beta$ -deformed fermions, the difference is due to the inequality  $\langle 0 | \Phi_n^{[\beta]} \neq 0$  while  $\langle 0 | \phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0$  for positive  $n$ .

By analogy, one may expect the symmetric function

$$(26) \quad gp'_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \cdots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \cdots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

to be a possible candidate for  $gp_\lambda(x)$ . However, we unfortunately have

$$(27) \quad \langle GQ_\lambda, gp'_\mu \rangle \neq \delta_{\lambda, \mu},$$

which contradicts the expectation. In fact, we have

$$\langle GQ_\emptyset, gp'_n \rangle = \langle e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \Phi_n^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2n+1} \langle e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2n+1} \neq 0.$$

To address the issue, we define the new vector  $|\lambda\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}$  by

$$|\lambda\rangle_p = (\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1}) e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_2}) e^{-\theta} \cdots (\Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_r}) (\phi_0 + 1) |0\rangle$$

for a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0)$ . We should note that  $|\lambda\rangle_p$  is not an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** *For a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0)$ , we have*

$$(28) \quad gp_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda\rangle_p.$$

Recall that, if  $\langle v | \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*$  and  $|w\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$ , the expectation value  $\langle v | w \rangle$  vanishes automatically. Let  $|\lambda\rangle_p = |\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p + |\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p$  be the unique decomposition satisfying  $|\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  and  $|\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$ . Since  $\langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p$  vanishes, (28) is equivalent to  $gp_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p$ . On the other hand, we have  ${}_Q\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_p = {}_Q\langle \mu | \lambda_{\text{even}} \rangle_p$  by the same reason. Finally, we know that Theorem 6.4 is equivalent to

$$(29) \quad {}_Q\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_p = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad (\forall \mu).$$

For a string of decreasing integers  $\mu = (\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_s \geq 0)$  (possibly,  $\mu_s = 0$ ), we define the new vectors  $\langle\langle \mu |$  and  $|\lambda \rangle\rangle$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \mu | &= \langle 0 | (\phi_0 + 1) e^{\theta} (\phi_{\mu_s}^{(\beta)})^* \cdots e^{\theta} (\phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^{\theta} (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*, \\ |\lambda \rangle\rangle &= (\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1}) e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_2}) e^{-\theta} \cdots (\Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_r}) |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\langle\langle (\phi_0 + 1)X \rangle\rangle = \langle X(\phi_0 + 1) \rangle$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , (29) is equivalent to

$$(30) \quad \langle\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle\rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *We have the following facts:*

- (A). *If  $\mu = \emptyset$  and  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\langle\langle \emptyset | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) \rangle\rangle = 0$ .*
- (B). *If  $\mu \neq \emptyset$  and  $n > \mu_1$ , then  $\langle\langle \mu | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) \rangle\rangle = 0$ .*

(C). If  $\lambda \neq \emptyset$  and  $m > \lambda_1$ , then  $(\phi_m^{(\beta)})^*|\lambda\rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* (A): It is straightforward to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \emptyset | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) = \langle 0 | (\phi_0 + 1) (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) = \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n \langle 0 | (\phi_0 + 1) (\phi_0 - 1) \quad (\text{Eq. (10)}) \\ = \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n \langle 0 | (\phi_0^2 - 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(B): We prove (B) by induction on  $s \geq 1$ . For any  $s \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \mu | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) = \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) = \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta (-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \\ = \langle\langle \mu' | (-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta \Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3 (1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta \Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n = -(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) - \beta(\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{n-1}),$$

the term  $\langle\langle \mu | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)$  is a linear combination of  $\langle\langle \mu' | (\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)$  and  $\langle\langle \mu' | (\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{n-1})$ . For  $s = 1$ , the desired equation reduces to (A). For general  $s > 1$ , (B) is proved by induction hypothesis.

(C): This claim is proved in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 6.2 (C).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Let  $E = \langle\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle\rangle$ .

(i) The case when  $s = 0$ . If  $r = 0$ , we have  $E = \langle 0 | (\phi_0 + 1) | 0 \rangle = 1$ . If  $r > 0$ , we have  $E = 0$  from Lemma 6.5 (A).

(ii) For  $r = 0$  case, we have  $E = 0$  from Lemma 6.5 (C).

(iii) For general  $s, r > 0$ , we prove (30) by induction on  $s$ . If  $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$ , then  $E = 0$  by Lemma 6.5 (B). If  $\mu_1 > \lambda_1$ , then  $E = 0$  by Lemma 6.5 (C). For the case when  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 (> 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* (\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1}) e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta \left[ (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*, \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} \right]_+ e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1} \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle \mu' | \lambda' \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1} \langle\langle \mu' | e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle \quad (\text{Lemma 3.4}), \end{aligned}$$

in which the last two terms equal to 0 because

$$(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} | \lambda' \rangle\rangle = e^{-\theta} (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_{\mu_1+1}^{(\beta)} + \dots)^* | \lambda' \rangle\rangle \stackrel{\text{Lemma 6.5 (C)}}{=} 0.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have  $E = \langle\langle \mu' | \lambda' \rangle\rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ .  $\square$

6.4. **Generating function of  $gp_\lambda$ .** The generating function of  $gp_\lambda$  is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \left( \Phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) - \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_1} \right) e^{-\theta} \cdots e^{-\theta} \left( \Phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) - \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_r} \right) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \left( \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) - 1 \right) e^{-\theta} \cdots e^{-\theta} \left( \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) - 1 \right) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} e^{-(i_1-1)\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_1}) e^{-(i_2-i_1)\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_2}) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \cdots e^{-(i_a-i_{a-1})\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_a}) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-1}} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_1}) \cdots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_a}) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-1}} \prod_{i_\kappa < i_\theta} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} - z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-\kappa}} \prod_{i_\kappa < i_\theta} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} \ominus z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(31) \quad gp_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-\kappa}} \prod_{1 \leq \kappa < \theta \leq a} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} \ominus z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}}.$$

If we substitute  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  formally, we obtain  $gp_{(\lambda,0)} = 0$ .

For the case of  $r = 1$ , we have the generating function of  $gp_n = gp_{(n)}$ , where  $\lambda = (n)$  is a one-row partition:

$$(32) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} gp_n z^n = \frac{1}{2 + \beta z} (gq(z) - 1).$$

Hence, we have

$$gp_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( gq_n - \frac{\beta}{2} gq_{n-1} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} gq_{n-2} - \cdots + \left( -\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^{n-1} gq_1 \right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] TH Baker. Symmetric function products and plethysms and the boson-fermion correspondence. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 28(3):589, 1995.
- [2] Yu-Cheng Chiu and Eric Marberg. Expanding  $K$ -theoretic Schur  $Q$ -functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08993*, 2021.
- [3] Etsuro Date, Michio Jimbo, and Tetsuji Miwa. Method for generating discrete soliton equations. V. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 52(3):766–771, 1983.
- [4] Thomas Hudson, Takeshi Ikeda, Tomoo Matsumura, and Hiroshi Naruse. Degeneracy loci classes in  $K$ -theory — determinantal and Pfaffian formula. *Advances in Mathematics*, 320:115–156, 2017.
- [5] Takeshi Ikeda and Hiroshi Naruse.  $K$ -theoretic analogues of factorial Schur  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 243:22–66, 2013.

- [6] Shinsuke Iwao. Grothendieck polynomials and the boson-fermion correspondence. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 3(5):1023–1040, 2020.
- [7] Shinsuke Iwao. Free fermions and Schur expansions of multi-Schur functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.02604*, 2021.
- [8] Shinsuke Iwao. Free-fermions and skew stable Grothendieck polynomials. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 56(2):493–526, 2022.
- [9] Shinsuke Iwao. Neutral-fermionic presentation of the  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 55(2):629–662, 2022.
- [10] Shinsuke Iwao, Kohei Motegi, and Travis Scrimshaw. Free-fermions and canonical Grothendieck polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05002*, 2022.
- [11] Michio Jimbo and Tetsuji Miwa. Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 19(3):943–1001, 1983.
- [12] Joel Brewster Lewis and Eric Marberg. Combinatorial formulas for shifted dual stable Grothendieck polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03551*, 2022.
- [13] Ian G Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford university press, 1998.
- [14] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. Generalized (co)homology of the loop spaces of classical groups and the universal factorial Schur  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 71:337–417, 12 2016.
- [15] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. The universal factorial Hall-Littlewood  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04791*, 2017.
- [16] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. Universal factorial Schur  $P, Q$ -functions and their duals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.03328*, 2018.

#### APPENDIX A. A LIST OF DUAL $K$ - $P$ - AND $K$ - $Q$ -FUNCTIONS

In this appendix, we give a list of  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$   $gp_\lambda(x, y)$  in 2 variables for strict partitions  $\lambda \subset (3, 2, 1)$  by using the generating functions (25) and (31). We let  $\mathbf{x}_N = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $x = x_1$  and  $y = y_1$ .

**A.1. Explicit form of  $gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N)$ .** By expanding the generating function (22), we obtain

$$gq_n(x) = 2x^n - \beta x^{n-1} + \beta^2 x^{n-2} - \dots + (-\beta)^{n-1} x, \quad gq_0(x) = 1,$$

and

$$(33) \quad gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N = n} gq_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots gq_{i_N}(x_N).$$

For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} gq_1(x, y) &= 2x + 2y, & gq_2(x, y) &= 2x^2 + 4xy + 2y^2 - \beta x - \beta y, \\ gq_3(x, y) &= 2x^3 + 4x^2y + 4xy^2 + 2y^3 - \beta x^2 - 4\beta xy - \beta y^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

**A.2. A list of  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$  for  $\lambda \subset (3, 2, 1)$ .** Let  $[l, m, n] = gq_l(\mathbf{x}_N)gq_m(\mathbf{x}_N)gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N)$ . The rational function  $\frac{z \oplus w}{z \oplus w} \frac{z \oplus t}{z \oplus t} \frac{w \oplus t}{w \oplus t}$  is uniquely expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - (2z^{-1} + 2\beta)w + (2z^{-2} + 5\beta z^{-1} + 3\beta^2)w^2 + \dots \\ &- \{2w^{-1} - 2z^{-1} - (2\beta z^{-1} + 2\beta^2)w + (4\beta z^{-2} + 8\beta^2 z^{-1} + 4\beta^3)w^2 + \dots\} t + \dots \end{aligned}$$

in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z))(w)((t))$ . Therefore, from the generating function (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} gq_{(l, m, n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= [l, m, n] - 2[l + 1, m - 1, n] - 2\beta[l, m - 1, n] + 2[l + 2, m - 2, n] + 5\beta[l + 1, m - 2, n] \\ &+ 3\beta^2[l, m - 2, n] - 2[l, m + 1, n - 1] + 2[l + 1, m, n - 1] + 2\beta[l + 1, m - 1, n - 1] \\ &+ 2\beta^2[l, m - 1, n - 1] - 4\beta[l + 2, m - 2, n - 1] - 8\beta^2[l + 1, m - 2, n - 1] - 4\beta^3[l, m - 2, n - 1] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (33) to this equation, we obtain the following list of  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} gq_{(2,1)}(x, y) &= 4x^2y + 4xy^2 - 4\beta x^2 - 4\beta xy - 4\beta y^2, \\ gq_{(3,1)}(x, y) &= 4x^3y + 8x^2y^2 + 4xy^3 - 4\beta x^3 - 10\beta x^2y - 10\beta xy^2 - 4\beta y^3 + 2\beta^2 x^2 + 2\beta^2 xy + 2\beta^2 y^2, \\ gq_{(3,2)}(x, y) &= 4x^2y^3 + 4x^3y^2 - 10\beta x^3y - 16\beta x^2y^2 - 10\beta xy^3 + 6\beta^2 x^2y + 9\beta^2 xy^2 \\ &\quad + 6\beta^2 x^3 - \beta^3 x^2 - \beta^3 xy - \beta^3 y^2, \\ gq_{(3,2,1)}(x, y) &= -16\beta x^2y^3 - 16\beta x^3y^2 + 16\beta^2 x^3y + 16\beta^2 x^2y^2 + 16\beta^2 xy^3 \\ &\quad - 8\beta^3 x^3 - 8\beta^3 x^2y - 8\beta^3 xy^2 - 8\beta^3 y^3. \end{aligned}$$

Even when  $\lambda$  is not a strict partition,  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$  can be non-trivial:

$$gq_{(-1,1)}(x, y) = -2, \quad gq_{(0,1)}(x, y) = -2x - 2y - 2\beta, \quad gq_{(1,1)}(x, y) = -2\beta x - 2\beta y.$$

It is proved from degree counting that  $l + m + n < 0$  implies  $gq_{(l,m,n)} = 0$ .

**A.3. A list of  $gp_n(x, y)$  for  $n \leq 3$ .** From (32), we have

$$gp_n(\mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{2}gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{\beta^2}{4}gq_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \cdots + \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n gq_1(\mathbf{x}_N).$$

For  $N = 1$ , we easily obtain the explicit formula

$$gp_n(x) = x^n - \beta x^{n-1} + \beta^2 x^{n-2} - \cdots + (-\beta)^{n-1} x.$$

For  $N = 2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} gp_1(x, y) &= x + y, \quad gp_2(x, y) = x^2 + 2xy + y^2 - \beta x - \beta y \\ gp_3(x, y) &= x^3 + 2x^2y + 2xy^2 + y^3 - \beta x^2 - 3\beta xy - \beta y^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

**A.4. A list of  $gp_{(m,n)}(x, y)$  for  $(m, n) \subset (3, 2)$ .** From the generating function (31) for  $r = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} gp_{(m,n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{i+j} gq_{(m-i,n-j)}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{n+i} gq_{m-i}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) gq_{n-j}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the explicit form of  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$  (§A.2) to this equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} gp_{(2,1)}(x, y) &= x^2y + xy^2 - \beta x^2 - \beta xy - \beta y^2, \\ gp_{(3,1)}(x, y) &= x^3y + 2x^2y^2 + xy^3 - \beta x^3 - 3\beta x^2y - 3\beta xy^2 - \beta y^3, \\ gp_{(3,2)}(x, y) &= x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 - 3\beta x^3y - 5\beta x^2y^2 - 3\beta xy^3 \\ &\quad + 2\beta^2 x^3 + 4\beta^2 x^2y + 4\beta^2 xy^2 + 2\beta^2 y^3 - \beta^3 x^2 - \beta^3 xy - \beta^3 y^2. \end{aligned}$$

A.5. **The function**  $gp_{(3,2,1)}(x, y)$ . From the generating function (31) for  $r = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
gp_{(l,m,n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{i+j+k} gq_{(l-i,m-j,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{n+i+j} gq_{(l-i,m-j)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+i} (-\beta)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{(l-i,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^l \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^{j+k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{(m-j,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+n+i} gq_{l-i}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) gq_{m-j}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^k \left(k + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{n-k}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{8} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting the explicit form of  $gq_{\lambda}(x, y)$  (§A.2) to this equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
gp_{(3,2,1)}(x, y) &= -2\beta x^3 y^2 - 2\beta x^2 y^3 + 2\beta^2 x^3 y + 2\beta^2 x^2 y^2 + 2\beta^2 x y^3 - \beta^3 x^3 - \beta^3 x^2 y - \beta^3 x y^2 - \beta^3 y^3.
\end{aligned}$$

(S. Iwao) FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, KEIO UNIVERSITY, HIYOSI 4-1-1, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, KANAGAWA 223-8521, JAPAN

*Email address:* iwao-s@keio.jp