

Simulating the Integration of Urban Air Mobility into Existing Transportation Systems: A Survey

Xuan Jiang, Yuhan Tang, Zhiyi Tang, Junzhe Cao,
Vishwanath Bulusu, Xin Peng, Cristian Poliziani, Raja Sengupta

Abstract

Urban air mobility (UAM) has the potential to revolutionize transportation in metropolitan areas, providing a new mode of transportation that could alleviate congestion and improve accessibility. However, integrating UAM into existing transportation systems is a complex task that requires a thorough understanding of its impact on traffic flow and capacity. In this paper, we conduct a survey to investigate the current state of the art on UAM in metropolitan-scale traffic using simulation techniques. We identify key challenges and opportunities for the integration of UAM into urban transportation systems, including impacts on existing traffic patterns and congestion; safety analysis and risk assessment; potential economic and environmental benefits; and the development of shared infrastructure and routes for UAM and ground-based transportation. We also discuss the potential benefits of UAM, such as reduced travel times and improved accessibility for underserved areas. Our survey provides a comprehensive overview of the current state of research on UAM in metropolitan-scale traffic using simulation and highlights key areas for future research and development.

Keywords: Metropolitan Scale, Traffic Simulation, Urban Air Mobility, Infrastructure, Safety

1 Introduction

Urbanization is occurring at a breakneck pace, with projections indicating that by 2050, more than 70% of Europeans and over 80% of North Americans will call cities home. This trend is especially pronounced in developed regions, where nearly 80% of the population is expected to be urbanized (Leeson, 2018). However, this rapid growth has also brought with it a host of problems for large cities around the world, including issues with mobility and infrastructure as well as congestion, pollution, and conflict (Chen et al., 2008). These problems not only harm the health of people and the environment, but they also come with a hefty price tag. In Europe alone, congestion costs an estimated 130 billion euros per year (Newbery et al., 2016). Major cities such as New York, London, Paris, and Tokyo have already reported significant economic losses due to excess fuel and vehicle operating costs (Kenworthy and Laube, 1999; Byrne et al., 2019). Other than that, it’s important to also consider the economic (including the cost of time) and environmental costs of traffic jams and pollution (Litman, 2009).

In order to address issues with urban mobility and congestion, it’s necessary to consider innovative approaches to transportation. Urban Air Mobility (UAM) is one such concept that has the potential to revolutionize air travel and the way we move within cities. UAM has gained significant attention in recent years as a potential solution for addressing congestion and mobility challenges in metropolitan areas (Pons-Prats et al., 2022; Straubinger et al., 2020b). UAM refers to the use of small aircraft or drones for transportation purposes in urban environments (Mazur et al., 2022). While UAM holds promise for improving transportation efficiency and accessibility (Viswanathan et al., 2022), its integration into existing urban transportation systems is still in the early stages of development (Rothfeld et al., 2021). By leveraging technological advancements in batteries, electric propulsion, and VTOL (vertical take-off and landing) capabilities, UAM could enable point-to-point flights and bypass ground congestion (Goyal et al., 2018). Companies like AIRBUS, LILIUM, and KITTY HAWK are at the forefront of developing VTOL technologies and prototypes, while ZEPHYR Airworks, AIRBUS, and VOLOCOPTER GmbH have already conducted extensive test flights with their eVTOL (electric vertical take-off and landing) demonstrators in various countries around the world, including the United States, Japan, Singapore, New Zealand, France, and India (Rajendran and Zack, 2019). If successful, these efforts could lead to the mass production of VTOL vehicles and the development of air taxi services, disrupting not just aviation but also mobility systems and urban planning.

When it comes to addressing urban mobility challenges and developing multi-modal transportation strategies, it’s crucial to consider the potential for full integration of emerging transportation options like UAM (Urban Air Mobility) with existing modes of transportation. UAM’s innovative business models make it a potentially ideal solution for providing high-speed, user-oriented mobility services that complement and enhance existing transportation options.

This research endeavors to provide a comprehensive and nuanced analysis of the key considerations surrounding the development and implementation of UAM (Urban Air Mobility). While there have been several overview papers addressing the UAM concept (Johnson and Silva, 2022; Straubinger et al., 2020a; Rajendran and Srinivas, 2020; Cohen et al., 2021; Garrow et al., 2021), this paper aims to go beyond a mere review of the literature by carefully scrutinizing the most valuable sources and conducting a detailed analysis of the most important areas of UAM development with simulation approach. This includes an in-depth examination of the current status and prospects of the various components of UAM simulation, drawing on both literature and practical examples. In this way, the paper seeks to add value beyond a simple literature review or case study register.

The integration of UAM into urban transportation systems is a complex task that requires a thorough understanding of its impact on traffic flow and capacity (Niklaß et al., 2020). There are many challenges and opportunities associated with the integration of UAM, including infrastructure requirements, regulation, safety, and public acceptance (Cohen et al., 2021). Traffic operation simulation is a useful tool for understanding the impact of UAM on traffic flow and capacity in metropolitan areas. Simulation allows researchers to test different scenarios and analyze the consequences of UAM integration in a controlled environment (Rothfeld et al., 2018, 2021). In this paper, we aim at conducting a survey to investigate the current state of research on UAM in metropolitan-scale traffic using simulation techniques (Straubinger et al., 2020b). We will also discuss the potential benefits of UAM, such as reduced travel times and improved accessibility for underserved areas (Straubinger et al., 2020b).

To thoroughly understand the state of UAM (Urban Air Mobility) research and its integration studies

with existing transportation systems with simulation-based methods, we conducted a comprehensive review of the available literature. This included scholarly articles, project reports, and policy documents, as well as information gathered from internet searches on prototypes, demonstrations, and current experiments in the field of UAM. To access relevant scientific papers, we used a variety of valid academic databases including IEEE Xplore, Scopus, EBSCO, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. After a careful review of the search results, we were able to identify key themes in the UAM field, establish primary research areas, and categorize the collected sources.

And we concluded the current research fields regarding understanding UAM integration with simulation as shown in Figure 2. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses current traffic simulators and their problems of it for the study design of UAM. Section 3 discussed how can simulators be used to understand the change of existing traffic patterns and congestion after integrating UAM. Section 4 discusses how to do the safety analysis and risk assessment of UAM with simulation. Section 7 discusses the potential economic and environmental benefits study with UAM simulation.

2 Existing traffic simulators and their general capabilities

All the simulators in section 2 can be summarized in Table ?? according to 20 categories. We'll introduce them one by one of their pattern and relationships in the following.

Among the 20 categories, the simulators are first categorized into air and ground traffic simulation. Then, the two main functions of a transportation simulator - multi-modal and dynamic routing are listed. Since multi-modal and dynamic routing increase the complexity of the model, the simulator can be slower. Therefore, CPU and GPU parallel simulators are developed. In addition, discrete-event simulation and network flow simulation was developed earlier but agent-based simulation is then developed to handle multi-modal simulators and other challenges, which also put great effects on running speed. Super computer is also one way to handle big data quickly. Different simulators can handle different functions, as is shown in Table ??.

2.1 Existing ground traffic simulators

Transportation system modeling and simulation have a long history, and simulators can be grouped into three categories: macroscopic, mesoscopic, and microscopic. The level of aggregation determines which category a simulator falls into. Simulations of large areas tend to use macroscopic models, while simulations of small areas are more feasible for more detailed microscopic models.

2.1.1 VISSIM

VISSIM is a simulator based on the car following model (Fellendorf and Vortisch, 2010). VISSIM provides a user-friendly platform and offers a wide variety of urban and highway applications, integrating public and private transportation. The simulation time step of VISSIM can be set by the user and can range from 0.1 seconds to 10 seconds, depending on the level of detail and complexity of the simulation.

Lateral movement in VISSIM is structured in three parts - lane selection, lane-changing, and continuous lateral movement. First, during the lane selection, after the driver decides to leave the current lane, he checks the neighboring lanes if there is a better interaction situation. Second, the actual lane-changing logic is used to determine whether a driver can safely change lanes to the desired neighbor lane. Third, continuous lateral movement is allowed within and between lanes, including overtaking within the same lane sufficient lane width provided. Such lane discipline helps to simplify the behavior models in simulation tools because it allows to structure the behavior in longitudinal behavior handled by the car following logic and in lateral behavior reduced to lane changing.

Tactical Driving Behavior is the driving behavior that requires some kind of planning ahead with a temporal horizon of more than a one-time step or a spatial horizon of more than just the direct neighboring vehicles. In order to build a simulation tool used for practical planning work, the pure, academic car-following and lane-changing models need to be extended for a lot of special situations. For instance, in anticipated driving at conflict areas, individual priority rules are either defined by the user as described above or conflict areas are used where drivers compute a plan to cross the conflict area.




Figure 1: UAM integration study with simulation research fields

Table 1: A comparison between different simulators

	DynaMIT	Vissim	DynaSmart	F3	SUMO	Aimsun	Matsim	BEAM	POLARIS	DTALite	VertiSim	MORIHI	MANTA
Simulated Modes	Car (Ground)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian, bus, train (Ground)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian, bus (Ground)	Plane (Air)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian (Ground)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian, bus, train (Ground)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian (Ground)	Car, bicycle, pedestrian (Ground)	Car (Ground)	Plane (Air)	Plane (Air)	Car (Ground)	Car (Ground)
Dynamic Routing	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
Parallelism				GPU Parallel				GPU Parallel	GPU Parallel	GPU Parallel	GPU Parallel	GPU Parallel	GPU Parallel
Event/Time-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Event-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Event-based	Discrete time-based	Discrete time-based	Event-based	Event-based
Agent based Model							✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Open Source					✓		✓	✓	✓	✓			✓
Granularity	Micro /Meso	Micro	Micro	Micro	Micro/Meso	Micro /Meso	Micro /Meso	Micro /Meso	Meso	Meso	Micro	Micro /Meso	Micro
Geographic Scale	City, County	Intersection, Neighborhood, City	City, County, Region	Airport, Region	Intersection, Neighborhood, City, Geographical	Intersection, Neighborhood, City, Geographical	City, County	City, County	City, County	Airport, Region	Airport, Region	City, County	City, County
Traffic Structure	Geographical	Geographical	Geographical/Logical	Logical	Geographical/Logical	Geographical/Logical	Logical	Logical	Logical	Logical	Logical	Logical	Logical
Data Input Example	Traffic counts, Transit schedules, Real-time data	Road network, Travel demand, Traffic control	Traffic counts, Vehicle classifications, Travel demand	Aircraft specifications, Weather schedules, Flight schedules, Air traffic control	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications	Traffic counts, Vehicle classifications, Travel demand	Flight schedules, Weather information, Traffic volumes	Flight schedules, Weather information, Traffic volumes	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications	Road network, Traffic demand, Traffic control, Driving schedules, Speed and acceleration profiles, Vehicle classifications
Data Output Example	Travel times, Delay times	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions	Fuel consumption, Airspeed, Altitude	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions, Trip time distribution, Speed distribution	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Trip length distributions, Mode split distributions, Accessibility measures	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Trip length distributions, Mode split distributions, Accessibility measures	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions	Flight delay, Airspace capacity, Fuel consumption	Flight delay, Airspace capacity, Fuel consumption	Vehicle trajectories, Vehicle occupancy, Travel times, Delay times, Trip length distributions, Mode split distributions, Accessibility measures	Vehicle trajectories, Travel times, Delay times, Fuel consumption, Emissions
Compatibility Parameter		SUMO, Aimsun		MANTA, VertiSim	VISSIM, Aimsun	VISSIM, SUMO	BEAM	MATSim		MANTA, F3	MANTA, F3		VertiSim, F3
Uncertainty					✓	✓	✓	✓		✓			
Simulating Capacity Addition					✓	✓			✓	✓		Super Computer	
Additional Feature					Deployment on cloud		Deployment on cloud	Deployment on cloud		✓		Super Computer	Deployment on cloud

VISSIM makes an early try in multi-modal simulation. Pedestrian Modeling is an example. It is allowed based on the Social Force Model (Helbing and Molnar, 1995) in VISSIM. The basic idea is to model the elementary impetus for motion with forces analogously to Newtonian mechanics. From the social, psychological, and physical forces a total force results, which then sums up the entire physical parameter acceleration. The forces which influence a pedestrian’s motion are caused by his intention to reach his destination as well as by other pedestrians and obstacles. Thereby other pedestrians can have both an attractive and a repulsive influence.

The advantage of VISSIM is that this simulator has the ability to model OD-based traffic demand and assign vehicles dynamically, which is useful when UAM and ground vehicles are both simulated. The dynamic assignment is calculated based on the node–edge topology. This feature makes VISSIM very attractive for evacuation modeling and will allow the analysis of the effect of lane closures due to incidents or flooding. (Williams et al., 2007) Such flexibility also matters when UAMs are added into the simulator.

The disadvantage of VISSIM is that it doesn’t take the parallel calculation into account. The calculation speed is limited. Also, as a commercial software, if the scale of the data exceeds the limit, VISSIM cannot handle it and users cannot know what the exact scale limit or how to improve it.

2.1.2 DynaMIT

Though VISSIM is can do multi-modal simulation while DynaMIT can’t, DynaMIT put the rudiment of dynamic routing (called ”dynamic traffic assignment system” in DynaMIT) into the simulator. DynaMIT, developed by (Ben-Akiva et al., 2002), is designed to intervene in the behavior of individual vehicles as they move through a transportation network, and it can be used to study a wide range of traffic management and control strategies. It includes tools for modeling the interactions between vehicles, pedestrians, and other traffic, as well as tools for analyzing traffic flow and predicting the impacts of different management strategies.

DynaMIT is a real-time simulation system that is designed to estimate the current state of a transportation network, predict future traffic conditions, and generate guidance strategies that maximize the utility of travelers. It consists of a demand simulator, a supply simulator, and algorithms that capture the interactions between supply and demand in the presence of information. The demand simulator models the decisions of travelers in the presence of both pre-trip and en-route information, and estimates and predicts OD flows. The supply simulator, on the other hand, is based on a mesoscopic representation of the network and uses speed-density and queuing models to capture traffic dynamics and interactions. DynaMIT is intended to help transportation planners and operators improve the efficiency and effectiveness of their networks.

2.1.3 DynaSmart

VISSIM cannot solve dynamic routing simulations and fail to give a good solution to the multilayered urban system. However, dynamic routing is important in microscopic simulations. As is concluded by Zhao et al. (2017), dynamic rerouting behaviour enlarges the stable equilibrium region and decreases the parameter sensitivity of the model. Also, dynamic rerouting behaviour improves the convergence speed and decreases the cost and flow oscillations caused by travellers frequently changing their routes according to the current traffic conditions, making the system to deviate from the equilibrium state.

To implement dynamic rerouting in DynaSmart, the ”Rerouting” function can be used. This function allows users to specify a set of alternative routes that vehicles can take, and conditions under which they will switch to these routes. For example, users can set up the simulation to switch vehicles to an alternative route if the travel time on their current route becomes too high.

DynaSmart made the first try in dynamic routing. It is a traffic simulation tool that was developed to model both the static components of transportation networks, such as roads and intersections, and the dynamic aspects, such as the behavior of individual vehicles and travelers. The simulator is designed to be used for evaluating advanced traveler information systems (ATIS) and advanced traffic management systems (ATMS).

The DynaSmart simulator was developed to address some of the limitations of existing traffic simulation tools. According to (Mahmassani, 1998), the DynaSmart simulator is able to provide more accurate and realistic simulations by combining both types of modeling in a single tool.

2.1.4 SUMO

Without open source, it is difficult to know the simulator’s logic - unless well documented - and impossible to develop it based on your own purpose, ending up using it as a black box. Therefore, SUMO (Simulation of Urban Mobility), a multi-modal traffic simulation package, is developed to support the traffic research community with an open simulator in order to a) make the results of investigations more transparent and b) ease the testing of algorithms developed within traffic research. It is one of the earliest simulation platforms to be open-source, is a Space-continuous simulation and each vehicle has its own route and is simulated individually. To model the interactions between vehicles in SUMO, users can specify the specific parameters, including the type of vehicles being used, the road network being simulated, and the traffic patterns and conditions being modeled. The software then uses these parameters to simulate the movement of the vehicles on the road and calculate the resulting traffic flow and congestion. Around 100,000-200,000 vehicles can be simulated in real-time on a desktop PC, including the simulation of traffic lights, right-of-way rules, and lane changing.

SUMO has made further extensions to make it usable for rescue and catastrophe simulations. The simulation is based on the simulation of normal traffic. An incident can be modelled which yields in a reduction of the network. The simulation is capable to reroute vehicles by assigning them a new path to their destination or a completely new destination.

Rerouting of vehicles can be triggered by an external application or at the graphical user interface. The routing algorithm used in SUMO calculates the shortest or fastest route between two points based on a set of criteria, such as the distance and travel time between different road segments, the presence of obstacles or restrictions on the road, and the capacity and speed of different road types. When a vehicle in SUMO needs to be rerouted, the software uses this algorithm to calculate the new route based on the current traffic conditions and the vehicle’s destination, as well as any other factors specified by the user. The new route is then assigned to the vehicle, and it follows this route until it reaches its destination or is rerouted again. The simulation can also handle road closures, so that in conjunction with rerouting, situations where streets are blocked may be simulated.

2.1.5 MATSim

The preferences, behaviors, and experiences of people are essential to understand and predict the impacts of emerging technologies and urban development. Researchers should center their methodological approach on humans and represent their preference and behavior endogenously in their modeling framework. For this reason, agent-based simulation is developed to simulate people’s daily activities, how this is impacted on several scenarios and how daily attributes affect the simulation - e.g. the first mode choice will affect the others. MATSim is the typical model of agent-based simulation: it is an activity-based, extendable, multi-agent simulation framework implemented in Java, able to consider parallelism. The framework is designed for large-scale scenarios, meaning that all models’ features are stripped down to efficiently handle the targeted functionality(W Axhausen et al., 2016) .

During each iteration, the demand is optimized individually by each agent. Every agent possesses a memory containing a fixed number of day plans, where each plan is composed of a daily activity chain and an associated score. In every iteration, prior to the simulation of the network loading with the MATSim mobsim (mobility simulation), each agent selects a plan from its memory. This selection is dependent on the plan scores, which are computed after each mobsim run, based on the executed plans’ performances. A certain share of the agents are allowed to clone the selected plan and modify this clone (replanning). Four dimensions are usually considered for MATSim at this time: departure time, route, mode and destination. Downsample is usually used to the population while they are using this simulator.

MATSIM also use queue-based approach: A car entering a network link from an intersection is added to the tail of the waiting queue. It remains there until the time for traveling the link with free flow has passed and until he or she is at the head of the waiting queue and until the next link allows entering. The approach comes at the price of reduced resolution, i.e., car following effects are not captured. In other words, there is no time-step-based updating process of any agent in the scenario. Instead, agents are only touched if they actually require an action.

2.1.6 BEAM

With MATSim, both multi-modal simulations and dynamic routing can be done, but the functions are still limited. Then, the Behavioral Energy Autonomous Mobility Model (BEAM), a mesoscopic agent-based travel demand simulation framework based on the Multi-Agent Mobility Simulation Model (MATSim)(Sheppard et al., 2017), is developed. With MATSim, both multi-modal simulations and dynamic routing can be done, but the functions are still limited. Then, the Behavioral Energy Autonomous Mobility Model (BEAM), a mesoscopic agent-based travel demand simulation framework based on the Multi-Agent Mobility Simulation Model (MATSim)(Sheppard et al., 2017), is developed. With MATSim, both multi-modal simulations and dynamic routing can be done, but the functions are still limited. Then, the Behavioral Energy Autonomous Mobility Model (BEAM), a mesoscopic agent-based travel demand simulation framework based on the Multi-Agent Mobility Simulation Model (MATSim), is developed as an expansion of MATSim with several modules.

BEAM outperforms MATSim in the following part.

First, BEAM specifies a power threshold range constraint for electric vehicles.(Sheppard et al., 2016) The ride hail manager and the freights are also the innovative parts of BEAM.

Second, BEAM permits an unprecedented level of realism and richness in the simulation.

However, BEAM still exists some limitations. Running a single day of mobility and charging for 60,000 agents takes anywhere from 15 to 60 minutes of time on a modestly powerful personal computer.(Sheppard et al., 2017) Also, the travel analysis zones have to be aggregated in a region from hundreds of thousands to dozens in order to reduce the dimension of the search space. However, these kinds of simplifications do not allow us to easily account for detailed heterogeneity within a zone despite the fact that our data sources permit such resolution (Sheppard et al., 2016). Moreover, Current parallel simulators typically use conservative parallelism to divide the simulation time into intervals and split events in each time interval across multiple worker threads. The threads then synchronize with each other at the end of each interval to ensure all events in the previous interval are completed before processing any event in the next interval. Using a sufficiently short time interval, this method can actually guarantee that no events are processed out of order (i.e. identical to a serial simulation); however, this restriction is often relaxed to allow faster execution, trading accuracy for simulation speed (Chan et al., 2018).

The different mode choices of MATSim and BEAM also give the possibility of incorporating airspace management. Since MATSim and BEAM can both deal with multimodal transportation modes, the functions of geofencing, mission management, and conflict management have been already considered in these two simulators to handle multi modal transportation. Such functions are also useful when UAM is added to the simulator.

2.1.7 POLARIS

Except for BEAM, other simulators are also trying to use CPU parallel to speed up. POLARIS, developed by Auld et al. (2016) is an example. It is an agent-based modeling software development kit. POLARIS allows for the modeling of various aspects of transportation systems in a high-performance and extensible manner. It has the ability to integrate models that are typically handled by separate software applications, such as dynamic traffic assignment and disaggregate demand models. This integrated approach helps to resolve the longstanding issue of integrating these models in a cohesive manner. The simulation model created using the POLARIS framework is a single process that handles all aspects of urban simulation in a shared memory system. This allows for a more efficient and streamlined approach to transportation modeling.

In POLARIS, the use of a simulation-oriented memory allocator and a parallelized discrete event engine for transportation modeling is a new innovation that has the potential to bring significant performance benefits and standardize the structure of activity-based models and traffic flow simulation through the use of agent-based modeling techniques. The integration of database technologies with C++ bindings is also innovative and has the potential to improve the clarity of data input and output systems. These approaches have the potential to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of transportation modeling.

2.1.8 Aimsun

Aimsun, developed by Casas et al. (2010), is a traffic simulation software that allows users to model and analyze the movements of vehicles and pedestrians in transportation networks. It can be used to study the

impacts of various factors on traffic flow, including road design, traffic signals, public transportation, and traffic demand. Aimsun can also be used to evaluate the performance of transportation systems and to assess the impacts of proposed changes or improvements.

The capabilities and performance of Aimsun and SUMO is compared by ? using the same PC. They simulated around 800000 units. They used both tools to simulate the same highway traffic scenario and compared the results in terms of accuracy and computational efficiency.

The results of the comparison showed that both Aimsun and SUMO were able to accurately reproduce the traffic conditions of the highway scenario, and the results from both tools were in good agreement with each other. However, SUMO was more computationally efficient than Aimsun, with a faster simulation speed and lower memory requirements. Roughly, the root mean square error value of SUMO is 13.9 lower than Aimsun. However, Aimsun has a more user-friendly interface and a wider range of analysis and visualization tools, which may make it more appealing to some users.

Aimsun and VISSIM are based on different approaches to traffic simulation. Aimsun uses a microsimulation approach, which means that it models the behavior of individual vehicles and pedestrians rather than aggregating them into flow rates or averages. This allows Aimsun to capture the detailed interactions between different road users and the effects of individual decisions on traffic flow. VISSIM, on the other hand, models traffic flow base on road geometry, traffic demand, vehicle characteristics, driver behavior, and signal timing and control. VISSIM also includes some level of detail about individual vehicles and pedestrians. This allows VISSIM to capture some of the interactions between road users, but at a lower level of detail than Aimsun. Aimsun and VISSIM have different user interfaces and feature sets. Aimsun has a more user-friendly interface and includes a wider range of analysis and visualization tools, while VISSIM has a more technical interface and is designed for more advanced users.

2.1.9 Mobiliti

Mobiliti, a proof-of-concept, agent-based, scalable transportation system simulator that implements parallel discrete event simulation on high-performance computers. Mobiliti instantiated millions of nodes, links, and agents to simulate the movement of the population through the San Francisco Bay Area road network and provide estimates of the associated congestion, energy usage, and productivity loss(Chan et al., 2018).

Mobiliti can be used to deal with agent-based models and discrete event simulation. The transportation system can be simulated by defining agents in the system that interact with one another by sending discrete events, each tagged with a simulation time (or time stamp) at which the event occurs.

Instead of using conservative parallelization, mobiliti can use optimistic parallelization(Fujimoto et al., 2017) . Events that do not influence each other may execute in parallel without affecting the outcome of the simulation. Several conservative simulators relax this constraint to allow faster performance at the cost of processing some events out-of-order(Miller et al., 2010). The main advantage of the optimistic approach is that no time is spent waiting in global barriers for all workers to reach the end of the time step, and there is no serial bottleneck in the master thread to coordinate progress at the end of each time step. The main disadvantage of this approach is that extra time is taken rolling back events, so care must be taken to ensure the number of mis-speculatively executed events does not overwhelm the advantage of parallelization. They designed Mobiliti to partition the road network across workers in a way so that the number of events crossing between partitions is reduced, thus reducing the number of events that cause roll back.

There's two ways that Mobiliti is different from MATSim and BEAM. First, the scale is larger (i.e. millions of nodes and links in an urban-scale road network) Second, currently using a meso-scale simulation view of the system.

2.1.10 MANTA

MANTA (Microsimulation Analysis for Network Traffic Assignment) is introduced for traffic microsimulation at the metropolitan-scale.

It has an enhanced computational speed. Aiming to solve the trade-off between increasing the level of detail of a model and decreasing computational performance, a highly parallelized GPU implementation is designed to run metropolitan-scale simulations within a few minutes (Yedavalli et al., 2021b). This computational performance significantly improves the state of the art in large-scale traffic microsimulation.

MANTA is suitable to urban mobility. Microscopic traffic simulation models provide greater granularity, considering the interactions between individual vehicles within a traffic stream and employing characteristics such as vehicle lengths, speeds, accelerations, time, and space headways (Toledo et al., 2005). Only a microsimulation model can capture these intricacies of individual components and complex interactions with reasonable accuracy (Yang and Koutsopoulos, 1996). MANTA expands the capacity to analyze detailed travel patterns and travel choices of individuals for infrastructure planning.

MANTA is capable of simulating real-world traffic demand with a fine level of granularity on very large-scale networks. The runtime efficiency of MANTA is achieved by efficiently coupling a distributed CPU-parallelized routing algorithm and a massively parallelized GPU simulation that utilizes a novel traffic atlas to map the spatial distribution of vehicles as contiguous bytes in memory (Yedavalli et al., 2021b).

MANTA can also improve the predictive accuracy of near-real-time simulations. It has the functionality to leverage other driver models. Incorporating dynamic routing, where the edge weights are based on travel times on the edge rather than the length of the edge, will improve the predictive accuracy of near-real-time simulations (Yedavalli et al., 2021b).

Existing simulators have typically revealed a tradeoff among accuracy, computational speed, and geographic scale of the simulation. However, MANTA exhibits performance benefits in all three of these areas, enabling it to be used for agile scenario planning, particularly with an emergent mode such as UAM, whose deployment is still in its inchoate stages.

The limitation of MANTA is as follows: Firstly, the use of simplified intersection modeling means the accuracy isn't good. Secondly, MANTA uses a static traffic assignment as the demand profile. A more realistic model could integrate a dynamic travel demand model, such as ActivitySim, with MANTA. Finally, MANTA only allows single-modal choice and doesn't allow for multimodal choices, such as walking, biking, driving alone, riding in a car, and a variation of walking-riding-driving.

2.2 Existing air traffic simulators

2.2.1 The Flexible engine for Fast-time evaluation of Flight environments (Fe³)

The Flexible engine for Fast-time evaluation of Flight environments (Fe³) is a tool that allows stakeholders to analyze and study the impacts of high-density, high-fidelity, low-altitude traffic systems without the need for costly and logistically challenging flight tests (Xue et al., 2018). This simulation capability enables stakeholders to identify and define the requirements, policies, and protocols needed to support a safe and efficient traffic system, assess operational risks, and optimize flight schedules. Fe³ provides a valuable resource for stakeholders looking to understand and improve upon traffic systems without incurring the high costs and logistical challenges associated with real-world testing.

Fe³ is composed of two main functions: trajectory generation and collision avoidance. To generate a simulated trajectory, Fe³ first determines the initial state of the aircraft, including its position, velocity, and attitude. It then uses this information, along with the aircraft's physical characteristics (such as its mass, aerodynamic coefficients, and thrust capabilities) and the environmental conditions (such as wind, temperature, and air density), to calculate the forces acting on the aircraft at each time step. Based on these forces, Fe³ can then calculate the aircraft's acceleration and update its velocity and position at each time step. This process is repeated over a series of time steps to generate a simulated trajectory for the aircraft.

Databases that are involved are vehicle model parameters, communication and sensor models, terrain, airspace constraints, and avoidance rules. Inputs to Fe³ include flight plans, wind, weather, and geo-fence data. The simulation configuration input data defines simulation related parameters, such as the number of Monte Carlo simulations, temperature and humidity, the type of avoidance algorithm, and, if needed, it also provide the opportunity of overwriting some vehicle or device model parameters for research purposes. Fe³ incorporates the data into the simulation by reading in the relevant data files and using them to modify the behavior of the simulated aircraft and its environment. The simulator can use wind data to determine the effect of wind on the aircraft's flight path, or use weather data to simulate the impact of various meteorological conditions on the aircraft's performance. Similarly, Fe³ can use geo-fence data to simulate the constraints imposed by virtual boundaries on the aircraft's movements, or use flight plans to determine the intended route and actions of the simulated aircraft.

Parallelized computation is also used in Fe^3 . To meet the demanding computational performance requirements of Monte Carlo simulations of the traffic system, Fe^3 is highly-parallelized using the CUDA programming language on GPUs. The web-based highly parallelized implementation using Amazon Web Service (AWS) was introduced and showed acceptable computational performance.

2.2.2 AirTraf 2.0

The air traffic simulator AirTraf, as a submodel of the European Center HAMburg general circulation model (ECHAM) and Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model. This submodel introduces seven new aircraft routing options for air traffic simulations: the fuel use, the NOx emissions, the H2O emissions, the contrail formation, the simple operating cost, the cash operating cost, and the climate impact options. The flight trajectory optimization methodology of AirTraf 2.0 consists of genetic algorithms.

The particular strength of AirTraf is to enable a flight trajectory optimization for a global flight movement set in the atmosphere which is comprehensively described by EMAC. The novel routing option, i.e., the climate impact option, has been integrated in AirTraf 2.0. This option uses meteorological variables in terms of aviation climate impact estimated by the algorithmic climate change functions, and optimizes flight trajectories by minimizing their anticipated climate impact.

AirTraf 2.0 is a traffic simulation tool that is designed to simulate the movement of aircraft in an air traffic control environment, whereas Fe^3 is a flight simulator that is focused on simulating the performance of individual aircraft. As such, AirTraf 2.0 may be more suitable for tasks related to air traffic control, whereas Fe^3 may be more suitable for tasks related to the flight characteristics of individual aircraft. This method will be useful in reducing the total delay of UAM.

2.2.3 VertiSim: Vertiport Ground-Air Interface Modeling

Vertiports are facilities designed to handle the landing, taxiing, parking, loading and unloading, charging, repairing, and takeoff of aircraft, as well as the movement of passengers and cargo. These facilities are designed to provide all necessary infrastructure within a limited land area, which can limit their throughput capacity. As a result, vertiports may act as bottlenecks within the urban air mobility (UAM) system, limiting the overall capacity of the system. It is important for vertiports to be designed and managed efficiently in order to maximize their capacity and support the smooth operation of the UAM system (Vascik and Hansman, 2019).

In order to simulate vertiports, VertiSim has been developed. It is a tool that simulates the operations of a vertiport, or vertical airport. It consists of three major components: the vertiport layout designer, the flight generator, and the vertiport manager (Yedavalli et al., 2021a). The vertiport layout designer is responsible for creating the node-link model of the input file, which defines the layout and structure of the vertiport. The flight generator generates flights based on the number of passengers seeking to travel in the same direction and assigns them to available aircraft. If no aircraft is available, it puts them in a departure queue. The flight generator also generates flights for passengers who have waited for more than the maximum waiting time threshold (Tmax), which is set at 10 minutes. If there are underbooked flights in the departure queue, the flight generator can assign new passengers to them if they are traveling in the same direction. The vertiport manager oversees the allocation of resources at the vertiport, such as parking spaces and takeoff and landing pads, and creates taxi routes for aircraft. VertiSim is able to simulate the operations of the vertiport, including the movement of departing and arriving passengers and aircraft.

2.2.4 DTALite

DTALite is an air traffic simulation software developed by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to model and analyze the National Airspace System (NAS). It is used to predict the impact of changes to the NAS on capacity, efficiency, and safety, and to evaluate the performance of new technologies and procedures.

DTALite is based on the Digital Terminal Area Prediction System (DTAPS), which was developed in the 1980s to support the FAA's Terminal Area Productivity (TAP) program. DTALite has been updated and enhanced over the years to incorporate more advanced modeling capabilities and improved data sources.

DTALite uses real-world data on air traffic patterns, aircraft performance, and weather conditions to create simulations of the NAS. It can model different scenarios, such as changes to airspace management procedures or the introduction of new technologies, to predict the impact on capacity, efficiency, and safety. DTALite can also be used to evaluate the performance of new technologies and procedures, such as NextGen, the FAA’s modernization program for the NAS.

DTALite is a powerful tool for the FAA and other aviation authorities to help ensure the safe and efficient operation of the NAS. It is used in a variety of applications, including airspace redesign, aircraft separation standards, capacity analysis, and safety analysis.

2.3 Existing ground-air traffic simulators

2.3.1 The UAM extension of MATSim

The work of Maciejewski et al. (2016) on dynamic vehicle routing provides modeling of one-way, autonomous, and on-demand vehicle usage, and makes extensive use of its Application Program Interface. Based on that, the Urban Air Mobility (UAM) extension for MATSim, is being presented by Rothfeld et al. (2018), which enables first analyses of system-wide urban transport performance considering the effects of variations in Vertical Take-off and Landing vehicle properties and dedicated Vertical Take-off and Landing infrastructure placement.

In the UAM extension for MATSim, Maciejewski et al. (2016) first declared the UAM Infrastructure, Vehicle, and Network Modeling. In the current implementation of the UAM network, UAM vehicles are able to navigate through airspace by following predefined air routes and waypoints, similar to the way that vehicles on the ground follow roads and intersections. These air routes and waypoints are referred to as links and nodes, respectively, and are organized into a network similar to a road network. Each UAM station is represented by two nodes: a ground-access node and a flight-access node, which are connected by a short link called the station link. The station link serves as the anchor for the UAM station and should be designed to minimize travel time. This implementation allows UAM vehicles to move through the network in a similar way to how ground vehicles move through a road network.

Then, UAM Operation Modeling is discussed. In the simulation, UAM vehicles are dynamically routed and dispatched to UAM stations as needed. When an agent departs from an activity or facility, they request a UAM vehicle using a newly developed DepartureHandler. The UAMDispatcher then assigns the closest, first-available UAM vehicle to the requesting agent. If the assigned vehicle is not already at the departure UAM station, it is sent there in advance of the agent’s arrival. If no vehicle is available when the request is made, it is placed in a queue and the UAMDispatcher checks for availability at each simulation time step. If the agent arrives at the departure UAM station before the assigned vehicle, they will wait for the vehicle to land. If the vehicle arrives before the agent, it will wait for the agent to board before departing to the destination station. This process ensures that UAM vehicles are efficiently routed and dispatched to meet the needs of agents in the simulation.

All the simulations in Section 2 and their relationships can be summarized into Figure 2

3 UAM’s impact on existing traffic patterns and potential travel time benefit

The methods that researchers usually use to understand UAM’s impact on existing traffic patterns, potential travel time benefits, and general simulator requirements are summarized in table 2.

3.1 Generation and simulation of vertiports

The second section summarizes several simulators, with Vertiport being a significant input for UAM simulations. In other words, before simulating, it is necessary to decide where and how many vertiports will be used. Vertiport decision-making techniques can be broadly categorized into three methodologies: clustering algorithms, GIS-based analysis, and integer programming models. A comparison of the three methodologies is shown in table 3.




Figure 2: Simulators Evolution Diagram

Table 2: Requirements of UAM simulation

Reference	Simulator	Scale Simulation	Vehicle Dynamic Simulation	Vertiport Network Generation	Vertiport Location Generation
Lim and Hwang (2019)	Numerical Analysis	city scale	speed, noise, accident rate	three-scenario route	k-mean clustering algorithm
Peng et al. (2022)	MANTA	regional scale	speed, noise	multi-modal trip generation, access leg, egress leg	k-median clustering algorithm
Arellano (2020)	MITO	regional scale	speed, public transportation interzonal trip		GIS-based analysis
Wu and Zhang (2021)	MATSim	regional scale	speed, capacity		integer programming method

In the study by Lim and Hwang (2019), the potential distribution of vertiports along three busy routes is determined using the k-means algorithm as part of scenario selection. The authors compare different travel modes with multi-modal UAM services and show that appropriate vertiport distribution reduces congestion by decreasing the number of users relying solely on ground transportation in busy areas. On the other hand, Arellano (2020) use a GIS-based analysis to identify potential vertiport locations based on accessibility, cost-effectiveness, and user demand. Their approach employs data-driven metrics and travel demand to identify suitable locations for UAM stations and is demonstrated using a case study in Munich, Germany.

Determining the location and number of vertiports is a crucial aspect of UAM system design, and the objective function plays a significant role in this process. It allows for the optimization of various factors, such as travel time, cost, and congestion reduction, which directly impact the overall efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the UAM system. Moreover, the objective function can be tailored to specific goals, such as minimizing travel expenses for all users or maximizing the number of passengers served, providing a flexible approach to vertiport decision-making. In Wu and Zhang’s work (Wu and Zhang, 2021), an objective function was used to minimize travel expenses for all users when simulating multi-modal UAM services and ground transportation, based on a deterministic integer programming (IP) model. They proposed a preprocessing method to greatly reduce the IP’s feasible region due to the long computational time of the original IP model. To address this, the k-means clustering algorithm was used to determine vertiport locations by setting the k-value and inputting origin and destination (OD) pairs. This algorithm has high scalability and efficiency when processing large datasets. To mitigate the impact of outliers, the k-median algorithm was used as an extension of the k-means algorithm to solve the vertiport location problem. The result indicates that expanding vertiport locations to improve vertiport accessibility could further enhance the efficiency of UAM services.

In Peng et al. (2022), the authors propose an on-demand UAM service that considers passenger needs and allocation, unlike scheduled UAM services. Their hierarchical UAM network design accounts for dynamic transferring times and vertiport generation in two stages, enabling effective utilization of UAM services that adapt to changes in demand. The clustering algorithm determines the set of candidate vertiport locations in the first stage, while the objective function considers passenger demand, network connectivity, and travel times to select the optimal locations in the second stage. Simulation results demonstrate that this approach can enhance the overall efficiency of the UAM system while reducing travel times. Moreover, it can be used to make informed decisions about the location and number of vertiports in a dynamic urban environment.

3.2 UAM vehicle interactions with other vehicles

This subsection focuses on the methodology used by researchers to simulate interactions between UAM vehicles and other vehicles using various simulators. After determining the optimal distribution of vertiports, researchers use a variety of methods to simulate the potential impact of UAM services on existing traffic patterns, particularly congestion, which poses a significant challenge in urban areas. As a future advanced

alternative transportation mode, UAM can be introduced to improve the efficiency and sustainability of existing traffic patterns. To understand how UAM services interact with other vehicles and how they may alter existing traffic patterns, researchers employ different simulators to compare ground traffic congestion before and after the integration of UAM services. By analyzing traffic flow indicators such as travel time, speed, and volume, researchers can assess the potential impact of UAM services on traffic congestion and inform policy decisions for future urban transportation planning.

The introduction of UAM vehicles in the San Francisco Bay Area can potentially reduce traffic congestion on roads near vertiport locations, but can also create new congestion due to ground-air interface bottlenecks as discussed in Yedavalli et al. (2021a). The research uses microsimulation to simulate the movement of individual vehicles in the system, considering factors such as traffic flow, vehicle speed, and road network layout. The study evaluates two different scenarios: one where UAM vehicles operate alongside existing ground vehicles, and another where UAM vehicles replace some ground vehicles on selected routes.

The study conducted by Arellano (2020) utilized MATSim, an agent-based traffic simulator, to assess the potential impact of introducing UAM services on the ground transportation system in a specific urban area. To achieve this, the authors simulated travel demand and traffic flow before and after the implementation of UAM services. Various scenarios, such as the introduction of UAM services with different market penetrations, were included in the simulation. The authors analyzed the impact of UAM services on traffic congestion by examining traffic flow indicators like travel time, travel speed, and traffic volume. By comparing these indicators between scenarios with and without UAM services, the authors were able to evaluate the potential impact of UAM services on traffic congestion.

In the study of Wu and Zhang (2021), researchers used a traffic flow simulation model to investigate the impact of UAM services on the existing traffic patterns. They considered the UAM services as an additional mode of transportation alongside road-based travel options. The researchers then compared the traffic flow patterns and congestion levels with and without the introduction of UAM services. It demonstrates that the introduction of UAM services could decrease the number of vehicles on the road by up to 19%, leading to reduced congestion.

The recent studies on UAM have primarily focused on evaluating the time savings of selected trips and assessing the potential for relieving traffic congestion with the introduction of UAM services. However, these studies have not provided a comprehensive analysis of the total travel times of all potential trips, including UAM multi-modal trips and road trips, before and after the simulation. This could lead to a situation where only a small number of individuals experience a reduction in travel time, while the majority of people face an increase in their travel time. Moreover, there is a risk of traffic congestion simply being shifted to another area rather than being genuinely relieved. Therefore, there is a need for further research to fully understand the impact of UAM services on overall travel times and traffic patterns.

3.3 UAM travel time saving for non-UAM users and UAM users

This subsection aims to highlight the time-saving benefits of UAM services for both UAM and non-UAM users by summarizing the varying degrees of benefits observed in the sample studies.

In the research by Yedavalli et al. (2021a), it was found that the introduction of UAM services can not only benefit UAM users but also non-UAM users by reducing congestion on the roads. The study showed that with the integration of UAM services, the number of vehicles on the road decreased by up to 5%, which led to a reduction in travel time for non-UAM users. Therefore, with the introduction of UAM vehicles, congestion can be significantly reduced during peak travel times. Furthermore, when UAM vehicles replace ground vehicles on selected routes, the reduction in congestion is even greater, resulting in improved travel times and reduced greenhouse gas emissions.

According to the findings of Wu and Zhang (2021), UAM services can offer significant time savings for most users, ranging from 8 to 40 minutes. Some users may even benefit from time savings of more than 120 minutes. On average, air travel time constitutes approximately 30% of the total UAM service time. Furthermore, the study shows that the decreasing number of road vehicles due to UAM services can help alleviate congestion, resulting in time savings for both UAM and non-UAM users.

In Arellano (2020), the simulation results show that the proposed approach can help identify optimal locations for UAM stations that can reduce travel time and distance for users while improving the overall efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the UAM system. In other words, the introduction of UAM services could

reduce travel time for both UAM users and non-UAM users, and it could also reduce traffic volume on the ground transportation system. The study contributes to the ongoing discussion on the development of UAM systems and provides insights into the importance of data-driven approaches in identifying suitable locations for UAM stations.

Since UAM simulation generates both favorable and unfavorable candidate trips, some travelers may end up taking more time when using UAM. However, if some unbeneficial trips are resumed, the congestion will worsen. According to a simulation based on MANTA, UAM was beneficial for 78% of candidate trips. However, if the remaining 22% of candidate trips take longer were to be taken out of the simulation and placed back on the roads, they would cause more traffic and lengthen the time it takes for passengers to consolidate, which would somewhat alter the time savings statistics (Peng et al., 2022).

Overall, summarizing the methodologies for vertiport location optimization reveals that demand-driven location optimization presents some issues that need to be resolved. Air and ground traffic are often taken into account separately, and potential congestion resulting from the introduction of new vertiports has not yet been fully addressed.

Table 3: Comparison of Methodologies to Optimize Vertiport generation

	Methodology	Advantages
Clustering Algorithm	K-mean algorithm (Lim and Hwang, 2019)	- It maintains scalability and effectiveness for processing large data sets.
	Multi-stages K-median algorithm (Peng et al., 2022)	- It contains two-stage analysis, considering dynamic transferring time.
GIS-based analysis	Impedance minimization location-allocation algorithm (Arellano, 2020)	- It enables the execution of problem-solving to be more quickly and efficiently. - With minimal impedance, vertiports can be distributed more throughout the city.
Integer Programming (IP) Model	Deterministic IP model (Wu and Zhang, 2021)	- It reduces the computational time, tracts the problem, and makes the UAM network design scalable by a preprocessing method. - All users' generalized travel expenses are reduced, including those for multimodal UAM services and just ground transportation.

4 Ground side safety analysis and risk assessment of UAM

4.1 Evaluating the potential risks associated with UAM operations

When it comes to the urban transportation system, nothing is more crucial than safety. With the development of UAM and rising air traffic demand, the importance of ensuring the safe and efficient operation of the air traffic control system grows. Therefore, when simulating the integration of UAM, it is necessary to evaluate air traffic control scheduling capability. One of the biggest challenges UAM faces is the variety of environments in which it might have to interact with commercial aviation and the unmanned aircraft system (UAS) ecosystem (Cohen et al., 2021).

Borener et al. (2015) carried out a UAS modeling and simulation that examined the effectiveness and safety of UAS backup operations in the event of a communication connection failure. Although this research made significant contributions to the field of UAS and UAM interaction, the absence of actual historical UAS data when simulating remains a non-negligible problem (Neto et al., 2019).

A comprehensive risk management framework has been proposed to analyze the risk of an UAS under the control of UAM. In this frame, by assuming the condition of severe weather, modeling ground infrastructure, and simulating the route of UAM aircraft, a collision risk model was built to assess the safety of UAM, which can evaluate the performance of Communication, Navigation, and Surveillance (CNS) systems in UAM. This study demonstrated the viability of integrating UAS and UAM by simultaneously taking into account future low-altitude and very high-altitude airspace (Bijjahalli et al., 2022).

For the hazard assessment of UAM, Graydon et al. (2020) performed the Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA) and Systems Theoretic Process Analysis (STPA) techniques. A large city with heavy air traffic served as the setting for the work’s scenario, which included representative temperature ranges, precipitation types and rates, and wind profiles for both northern US winters and southern US summers(Graydon et al., 2020). In this way, it demonstrated validity in terms of risk identification, but it could be more convincing if UAM dependability were tested under adverse weather conditions.

Different safety precautions and technological advancements are outlined above. Table 3 compares various methodologies for determining the safety and risk of UAM. In conclusion, safety is a critical concern for the successful integration of UAM into the urban transportation system, and different methodologies have been proposed to evaluate the safety and risk of UAM. Each approach has its strengths and limitations, and further research is needed to comprehensively assess the safety of UAM.

Table 4: Comparison of Methodologies Evaluating the Potential Risks

Methodology	Advantages	Deficiencies
Monte Carlo Method Negative Binomial (NB) Regression (Borener et al., 2015)	- Generated various weather conditions - Avoided the analytical bias	Based on random events, not historical data
CNS Performance-Based Collision Risk Modeling (Bijjahalli et al., 2022)	Considered different terrain features, infrastructure, aircraft dynamics, inclement weather conditions, and pilot response times	Only investigated navigation performance from current RNP standards
FHA and STPA (Graydon et al., 2020)	Simulated in typical urban scenarios	Lack of extreme weather scenario simulations

5 UAM’s influence on equity

Equity analysis is a critical component in helping people understand this advanced technology and its potential issues. Tang et al. (2021) utilized integer programming to minimize total flying costs in order to promote the fairness of customers with varying incomes and companies with varying market shares. By reducing system-wide generalized cost and ensuring equity, the summation model and multiplication model were developed to obtain conflict-free trajectories for all flights. The minimum temporal separation to avoid flight conflicts and minimize temporal cost could be found through integer programming and simulation. From the standpoint of multiple operators, equity could be maintained by avoiding favoring any one of them due to their greater number of operations or various operational patterns. Two models were created using these principles, and an appropriate equity indicator was used to calculate the "unit benefit" that each operator receives. In that case, a healthy competitive environment can maintain fairness principles and reasonable prices.

In addition to cost concerns, the equity of UAM is also a source of worry for the public due to the potential for congestion and emissions. The question of whether equity among people living in different locations can be ensured after the widespread adoption of UAM is an important issue that deserves consideration. Chen (2022) performed agent-based simulation and implemented it in SimMobility. However, the findings revealed that UAM presents a niche market and that the majority of its potential users are high-income and car-oriented, indicating equity issues. In the near-term, the gap between high- and middle-income could be enlarged. In the long-term scenario, however, the equity gap would decrease compared to both at-launch and near-term scenarios (Chen, 2022). In other words, while the appropriate steps should be taken in the short term to maintain price equity and prevent the gaps between different social classes from widening, the long-term benefits of this cutting-edge transportation service to social development should not be underestimated. Furthermore, if vertiport locations are accessible, UAM costs are reduced to affordable levels, and aircraft noise and emissions are reduced or eliminated, UAM may have a positive equity impact (Yedavalli et al., 2021c). By simulating UAM travel times versus driving, MANTA was used to understand how vertiport placement could affect traffic patterns. Yedavalli et al. (2021b) noted that although this research

used quantitative methods to evaluate the technical viability of vertiport concepts, community engagement and local policy should be taken into account when simulating the equity.

Table 5: Comparison of Methodologies Understanding the UAM’s Influence on Equity

Reference	Methodology	Key Findings
Chen (2022)	SimMobility	simulating equity gap in three scenerios
Tang et al. (2021)	IP	minimizing total flying cost promoting the fairness among service companies
Yedavalli et al. (2021b) Yedavalli and Mooberry (2019)	Survey	understanding potential users’ concerns of equity

6 UAM affects on existing on-demand ground transportation services

The current on-demand ground transportation services, such as Uber and Lyft, have significantly transformed our daily lives. As a future on-demand service, the advanced transportation system known as UAM has been envisioned. To evaluate how UAM may affect the pattern of these already-existing on-demand services, Yoo (2022) utilized simulation within SimMobility. The simulation accounted for complex operational details of UAM, such as queuing at vertiports, charging, and optimizations for rebalancing and matching requests. To further enhance UAM service design, Yoo (2022) suggested separating the elements of passenger waiting and travel times and simulating them separately. To enable the simulation of on-demand UAM services with uncertainty, they developed a replicable architecture that can be emulated by future mobility controllers and integrated it with SimMobility.

Similarly, Rimjha (2022) utilized a discrete-event simulation (DES) model developed by Rimjha et al. (2021) to simulate the potential trips of UAM. Compared to other simulation platforms such as MATSim and MITO, the DES model developed by MATLAB captured the entire airport access traffic, while the share of airport passengers was underestimated in the other two simulators. The simulation assumed that walking and rideshare modes, such as Uber and Lyft, were the only two options available to customers in the first and last legs of the UAM simulation in the arrival and departure sections of the DES model. If the vertiport was more than 0.2 miles away, the simulation utilized ridesharing characteristics to access the vertiport, while it was assumed that the vertiport access trip would be made on foot if it was within 0.2 miles. The findings indicated that the overall efficiency of the UAM system is highly dependent on vertiports, and the disparity between arrival and departure requests governs the need for repositioning operations at any vertiport. Furthermore, the slight increase in UAM demand for adding more vertiports was presumably brought on by the region’s intense concentration of demand for airport access trips. The requirements of UAM simulation for assessing its impact on existing on-demand ground transportation services are presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Requirements of UAM simulation for UAM’s impact on existing on-demand ground transportation services

Reference	Simulator Requirements	
Yoo (2022)	scale simulation	multiple scale (SimMobility)
	vehicle dynamic simulation	the behavior of ground on-demand vehicles
	demand estimation	sufficient iterations of day-to-day learning
Rimjha (2022)	scale simulation	regional scale (DES)
	vehicle dynamic simulation	the queue events of ground on-demand vehicles
	demand estimation	calibrated logit models

7 Potential economic and environmental benefits

UAM's concept has gained significant attention in recent years due to its potential to revolutionize urban transportation and address the growing challenges of traffic congestion and air pollution. The implementation of UAM has the potential to bring about significant economic and environmental impacts. The article by Thippavong et al. (2018) outlines the operational procedures for Urban Air Mobility (UAM) under NASA's concept. It describes the background of UAM emergence in the US and highlights UAM services around the world (Lewis and Magalhaes, 2017) (Sorkin, 2018) (Moon, 2022) (win). Thippavong et al. (2018) categorizes UAM based on its tempo and density and sets goals to provide safe, efficient, predictable and non-disruptive operations. The article discusses the barriers faced by UAM including ride quality, emissions, and noise perception. However, UAM has huge economic value with a significant time-saving potential and the ability to reduce uncertainty in cities (ant). The need for a robust flight management system to manage UAM flights is also emphasized, especially as the operation becomes more mature. Yun and Hwang (2020) conducted research on the efficiency of developing UAM. Yun and Hwang (2020) provides a summary of different types of UAM vehicles and their infrastructure requirements, including those outlined by NASA (Garrett-Glaser, 2019). Yun and Hwang (2020) highlights global efforts to reduce CO₂ and NO_x emissions and notes a target for a 37% reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2023 (Oh et al., 2010). The article uses a methodology created by the FAA (Cointin, 2010), Georgia Tech University's GREAT (Kirby et al., 2010), and AEDT to analyze the environmental impact of UAM development (Roof et al., 2015). Yun and Hwang (2020) shows that electric-powered UAM vehicles have the lowest GHG emissions, and UAM infrastructure development must consider the impact on the environment. Yun and Hwang (2020)'s study concludes that UAM development should prioritize electric-powered vehicles to achieve significant GHG reduction targets and ensure that infrastructure development is environmentally sustainable. The paper titled "Urban Air Mobility: History, Ecosystem, Market Potential, and Challenges" by Cohen et al. (2021) provides an overview of the emission of UAM. From the literature review, thorough analysis, and references Kasliwal et al. (2019), Cohen et al. (2021) concluded that the environmental impact of eVTOLs and found that an eVTOL carrying three passengers resulted in lower emissions than conventional vehicles and battery electric vehicles with average occupancy of 1.54. However, eVTOLs with one occupant had 35% lower greenhouse gas emissions than a single occupant gas-powered vehicle but 28% higher emissions than a battery electric vehicle with the same occupancy. Cohen et al. (2021) did not consider life cycle emissions. It is also noted that in the early years of operation, UAM will likely rely on non-renewable sources for powering their vehicles, and battery technology still needs improvement to make passenger UAM competitive.

Our scope of the UAM Study includes three legs: origin to vertiport, vertiport to vertiport, and vertiport to destination. In the studies about UAM's economic and environmental benefits mentioned above, we can find that there is usually an assumption that is made one or a few variables about the trip, to simplify the calculation and analysis. They might include a fixed dwell time at the vertiport, a constant speed or a constant fuel burn. We can see that there is a model that is commonly used within the study of UAM, which is the Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT) by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). It provides a framework for consistent modelling and assessment of aviation environmental effects by merging existing tools and new modules. These non-simulation methods have a common problem is that they cannot model complex situations (i.e. dynamic transfer/ multi-model) since the models may not provide a complete understanding of the UAM system's behaviour. Simulations are better than models in UAM because they provide a more accurate and comprehensive evaluation of the UAM system's performance, allowing researchers and developers to make more informed decisions about the design and implementation of UAM systems. They can be used to test different routing strategies, airspace configurations, and emergency response plans, to identify potential issues and improve the UAM system's performance.

7.1 Reduced congestion

Pukhova et al. (2021) determined whether Urban Air Mobility can reduce road congestion using an incremental mode choice model together with a SP survey. More emphasis are being laid on those trips to and from vertiports. The model used is based on the agent-based travel demand model MITO (Moeckel et al., 2020), and the agent-based transportation simulation network MATSim (W Axhausen et al., 2016). MITO is used to generate demand and MATSim is a dynamic traffic assignment (DTA) assignment model for in-

dividual vehicles in the network. Therefore, this research also need a UAM extension that has "capacity constraint" assignment for the passengers Moreno et al. (2019). The second part is to have a logit model that is survey-based and incremental, as proposed by (Koppelman, 1983). For the logit model, utility is used to calculate adapt train by the statistics of the summary done by Fu et al. (2019). This research define the access point being trip the origin and the vertiports and the egress leg. They used the senario of Munich and all together, they have 74 vertiports (Ploetner et al., 2020). To find out how the impact on the road congestion would be, they estimated the total vehicle kilometers traveled (VKT) from the simulation results. The UAM scenario is generating more of 0.14 million VKT, at an increase of 0.27 %. Also, it show that UAM could not be reducing auto travel since people are travelling to and from the vertiports mostly by car Pukhova et al. (2021).

Balac et al. (2019) used eqasim, a novel extension of the MATSim (W Axhausen et al., 2016) to simulate the environment in Zurich, Switzerland and to see the prospectus of the Urban Air Mobility in that city. The models are applied as follows: Before each network simulation, the share of agents (usually around 20 %) is allowed to change the mode of transportation for all daily trips. Also, there are constraints simulating real-life situations including the eligibility and availability of each mode to the individual agent. They define the UAM Trip as having three legs: access, flight, and egress; while the flight part have three states: vertical take-off, cruise flight and vertical landing. To get the travel demand, Balac et al. (2019) selected the HTS (Household Travel Survey) that is widely available, selected the agenctis who interact with an area of 30 km around Zurich. Finally, a subset of 10 % is selected and their behavior is assumed using the publication from Zurich airport. Then they define utility in this senario and also the UAM service. From the result, it shows that there is significantly more trips in the peak hours, whether in the morning or evening. That indicated UAM can substantially reduce travel time for its users during those times. Also, they present a wider evening peak as people would like to visit other places after dinner (Balac et al., 2019).

In the Urban Air Mobility study prospected by (Goyal et al., 2018) from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) in 2018, demand modeling is performed using the five-step process (Trip Generation, Scoping, Trip Distribution, and Mode Choice) to show the potential of UAM in an urban area. The modeling takes in the database obtained from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Aviation Environment Design Tool (AEDT) to simulate the first few years of operation. Also, since the existing vertiports are non-existent in any major city around the world, existing infrastructure like heliports and airports are used for the simulation. Using a gravity model assuming equal likeliness of individual trip tract interchange and 1 3 passengers per ticket. Finally, several constraints, including Willingness to Pay (WTP), Infrastructure Constraint Scenario, Infrastructure Capacity, Time of Day, and Weather, are applied to get the result closer to a real-life situation. It shows that there might be a de-urbanization thus the de-urbanization method will indicate the distance fluctuating between -25% and 25% at the interval of 10%. The average driving speed is also fluctuating between -25% and 25%, also at the interval of 10%.




Figure 3: UAM’s Evolution and Future Impact on Congestion

7.2 Reduced emissions

Urban Air Mobility (UAM) has the potential to significantly reduce emissions and contribute to a more sustainable future. The use of electric vertical takeoff and landing (eVTOL) vehicles in UAM can result in reduced emissions compared to traditional modes of transportation, such as cars and helicopters, which rely on fossil fuels.

From the UAM study from NASA that is done in 2018, the initial analysis conducted revealed that a 5-passenger piloted electric Vertical Take-Off and Landing (eVTOL) vehicle operating at a 75%-load capacity is projected to produce twice the well-to-wheel CO₂ emissions per passenger mile in comparison to an electric car, such as the Tesla Model S 75D, with an average occupancy rate of 1.54 individuals per vehicle Goyal et al. (2018).

Mudumba et al. (2021) from Purdue University on the topic of “Modeling CO₂ Emissions from Trips using Urban Air Mobility and Emerging Automobile Technologies”, (Mudumba et al., 2021) discovered the effect of carbon dioxide based within the city of Chicago and Dallas. (Mudumba et al., 2021) uses a computation chart. The inputs are the details of the trip, eVTOL aircraft characteristics and emission index of the designated region of the vehicle. The study is conducted in the metropolitan areas of Chicago and Dallas and uses a database of existing regional airports, helipads, and major airports to choose the UAM aerodrome pairs. The choice of a specific UAM aerodrome is outside the scope of this study and does not significantly affect its outcomes. The departure and arrival UAM aerodrome are fixed for all identified trips in each area, and the origin and destination points of the trips are varied to generate insights on how UAM trips and automobile-based trips contribute to carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions in the two metropolitan areas. Surface-to-Air Distance (STAD) Ratio and Detour Ratio are calculated from the output by Origin-Destination Pairs. The results shows that the eVTOL aircraft consumed more energy and released more CO₂ emissions compared to non-autonomous BEV. However, the amount of CO₂ emissions released was dependent on the grid emission index of the region and could be regulated by policymakers. When the STAD ratio is increased from 30% to 40%, for detour ratio of 220%, the UAM trip emissions also increase for both the Chicago and Dallas areas.

7.3 Enhanced Land use

Yedavalli and Cohen (2022) research on the possibility of locating the vertiports in an land area that is strained like the one in San Francisco. The paper describes a clustering algorithm for optimal vertiport locations based on existing travel demand in the San Francisco Bay Area. It presents the methodology for determining the location of every vertiport in the metropolitan area with all the other vertiport locations in mind. The paper uses the Microsimulation Analysis for Network Traffic Assignment (MANTA), a fast, parallelized, GPU-based traffic microstimulator, to simulate millions of trips along a given street network in only a few minutes. The street network is constructed from the OpenStreetMap (OSM) network of the San Francisco Bay Area. The study uses a granular microsimulation that randomly assigns origins and destination, sampled from a uniform distribution, within a traffic analysis zone (TAZ) to a network node in that TAZ. The vertiport network design problem is viewed as an incapacitated variant of the facility location problem (FLP) and is reformulated using an unsupervised k-medians clustering approach. For each cluster, the objective function is transformed to determine the optimal vertiport location. Finally, the paper discusses the land use and zoning constraints that make these optimal locations feasible. The study found that mean travel times with UAM increase as parcel area constraints increase, confirming that land parcels of larger areas are less accessible and prevalent. It suggests that a larger network of smaller vertiports would be more efficient than a smaller network of larger facilities, and that parcel areas of 2 acres or less are feasible if vertiports are designed efficiently. The study recommends building vertically or creating seamlessly multiplexed FATOs and TOLAs in small spaces. Therefore, we can conclude that UAM are better with efficiently using land, especially in constrained cities(Thippavong et al., 2018)(Li et al., 2020).

7.4 Noise Impacts

From the Urban Air Mobility Study by Goyal et al. (2018) from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) in 2018, Goyal et al. (2018) also discovered that the maximum revenue per passenger per mile can be reached around \$2.50 to \$2.85 across all ten urban areas selected in the United States. The

study also shows that it is projected that the industry will possibly have a market rate of 2.5 billion USD and a potential fleet size of approximately 4000 aircraft if all constraints are applied. From also the first-order analysis using existing data measured by the National Park Service (Mennitt et al., 2013), the paper determined the percentage of operation at 4 different background noise levels (with percentage boundary of 40, 50 and 55). Depending on the background noise and the neighborhood's acceptance, those ten urban areas have different perceptions, with Hawaii having 100 percent of its operation below 50 dBA, and Washington DC has 93 percent of its operations above 50 dBA (Goyal et al., 2018).

Wieland et al. (2014) came up with a way of simulating flights within the New York Metroplex (N90) area. Metrosim, as it is called, is used for the overall planning of a metro area (in this paper's case, New York) to maximize efficiency given required perimeters. The Integrated Arrival, Departure, and Surface (HADS) optimization can also be used to prioritize a certain fact, as in the paper written later by Glaab et al. (2019), where noise level was the output for operation frequency of 8, 32 and 128 operations per hour. Patricia's research simulated the traffic from 3 current heliports in Manhattan, NY, to a virtual vertiport in all three international airports in New York City's greater area, John F. Kennedy International Airport (JFK), Newark Liberty International Airport (EWR), and La Guardia International Airport (LGA). Also, the base background noise is simulated with existing noise situation and a proposal 20 percent reduction level. In the other scenario, 5 vertiports are proposed through Manhattan, from Fort Lee up the north to Staten Island down the south. The routes are created with minimal disruption to residential neighborhood and surrounding buildings, so they are mostly near or above water. The same simulation is done using the existing data as well as a subject of 20 percent reduction in noise. Finally, the results are compared with the FAA regulation level of 50dB. In Scenario 1, that only the 8 operations per hour works and with the deduction of 32 operations per hour works as well, while Manhattan 55dB and vicinity of vertiports at 65 percent. Scenario 2, all parts are clear with the Baseline at the maximum of 45dBA with 20 percent reduction and 35 percent reduction of noise in Manhattan at 40dB in at vertiports. But, with the simulation of this research, it is found out that there is a possible disturbance down 5 miles from the vertiport in Staten Island.

Researchers Rimjha et al. (2021) from Virginia Tech estimated the noise levels in the Northern California and Dallas-Fort Worth Region (DFW) using comparison with an R-44 light utility helicopter with a UAM vehicle having 2-4 seats, similar to that of the R-44 (4 seats). Jia and Lee's study show that the 15 dBA of reduction that Uber claims is difficult to achieve, and studies also show that sound difference lower than 10dBA is less perceptible in the human ear (Jia and Lee, 2020). Mihir's research uses the output of previous commuter demand estimation study, as well as UAM Maturity Levels (UMLs) for the UAM Coordination and Assessment Team (UCAT) at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate. The paper also takes in the assumption that the Concept of Operation (ConOps) work independently with commercial airport Air Traffic Control (ATC). As a result, it needs to avoid commercial airport departure or landing guideway/area within the 5-6 counties and 12 counties selected in the Northern California and DFW Region respectively. Mihir uses the Noise Power Distance (NPD) curve with the AEDT tool from FAA, which is the determining factor of the noise, done by Sound Exposure Level (SEL). The research first takes in the block group and in the trajectory, the SEL is determined by the centroid of the block. After that, the Day-Night Average Sound Level (DNL) is calculated, using the FAA most up-to-date policy of annoyance of noise measured in DNL and Day-Evening-Night-Level (DENL). Then, the result of the survey done by FAA is used to calculate the percentage of HA (Highly Annoyed) population in the area, and also with the collaboration of American Community Survey (ACS) for the estimation in population. Then researchers simulate operation again using AEDT Version 3c. It is found out that in northern California that cities like San Francisco, with its high employment density and high economic development, will lead to high demand. That will result in noise higher than 50dBA. But in Oakland Central Business District (CBD) and San Jose's CBD, DNL will get lower than 60 dBA in the Financial District at least, and the DNL will reduce to under 50 dBA in the CBD. But that noise won't be added onto the existing condition. Achieving a 15 dBA reduction shall be challenging while, at the same time, if this goal is met, then the HA will be reduced by 80 percent. In the DFW Region, a limited block group will have a DNL over 45dBA. Compared to the Bay Area, the HA is significantly reduced due to a smaller population density. Most HA blocks are found in major feeder vertiports. If the reduction can go from 10 dBA to 15 dBA, then the HA can be reduced by 74 percent with the Area under the noise influence by 78%.

Table 7: Comparison of Methodology of Noise Impact of UAM

Name and Paper	Methodology	Key Findings
Wieland et al. (2014)	The Integrated Arrival, Departure, and Surface (HADS) optimization (Glaab et al.)	Different backgrounds and environments will have different perceptions towards the noise
Rimjha et al. (2021)	NPD curve with the AEDT tool from FAA	Maximum possible reduction of 55dB with minor areas of noise increase
Goyal et al. (2018)	AEDT tool from FAA	Those deemed Highly Annoyed will be reduced by 74%

Table 8: Simulation Requirements: Future Economic & Environmental Benefits

Name and Paper	Requirements	
Pukhova et al. (2021)	Scale of Simulation	Regional (MATsim, MITO)
	Vertiport Location Generation	Previous Analysis (Ploetner et al., 2020)
	Features	Multi-modal Ground/ Air Traffic Sensitivity to Constraints Input (Cost, Boarding Time, Fleet Size)
Balac et al. (2019)	Scale of Simulation	Regional (Eqasin, extension of MATsim)
	Vertiport Location Generation	Local Expertise of Commuting Flow
	Features	Road Network Input Traffic Demand Input Delay Times Output Mode Split Distributions Output Sensitivity to Constraints Input (Speed, Per Mile Cost)
Goyal et al. (2018)	Scale of Simulation	Regional (AEDT)
	Vertiport Location Generation	Existing Infrastructure (Helipads and Airports)
	Features	Road network Input Emissions Output Delay times Output Mode split distributions Output
Yedavalli and Cohen (2022)	Scale of Simulation	Regional (MANTA)
	Vertiport Location Generation	K-median Clustering Algorithm
	Features	Travel Times Output Vertiport Location's Sensitivity to Constraint (Land Area)
Glaab et al. (2019)	Scale of Simulation	New York Metroplex (N90) (Metrosim, AEDT)
	Vertiport Location Generation	Pre-selected Including Existing Heliports
	Features	Road Network Input Traffic Demand Input Noise Contour (DNL) Output

8 UAM integration into existing transportation system challenges and conclusions

In conclusion, this paper has provided a comprehensive survey of the current state of research on the integration of UAM into urban transportation systems using simulation techniques. We have reviewed the general capabilities of existing traffic simulators and highlighted key areas for further research and development. Our analysis of UAM's potential impact on existing traffic patterns and travel time benefits underscores the promise of this technology for improving mobility in metropolitan areas. However, we have also identified important considerations related to ground-side safety analysis and risk assessment, equity, and the impact of UAM on existing on-demand ground transportation services.

Furthermore, we have explored the potential economic and environmental benefits of UAM, including reduced travel times and improved accessibility for underserved areas. While there is significant promise in the integration of UAM into existing transportation systems, we recognize the need for continued research and development to fully understand the potential impacts of UAM on traffic flow and capacity, as well as the development of regulations, infrastructure, and public acceptance.

While UAM has the potential to revolutionize transportation in metropolitan areas by reducing travel times and improving accessibility for underserved areas, its integration into existing transportation systems is a complex task that requires a thorough understanding of its impact on traffic flow and capacity, as well as the development of regulations, infrastructure, and public acceptance.

Overall, the use of simulation to understand the impacts of UAM on large-scale traffic is a promising approach that can provide valuable insights into the potential benefits and challenges of UAM implementation. We conclude that the integration of UAM into urban transportation systems holds great promise for improving mobility and reducing congestion in metropolitan areas. However, it also underscores the need for further research and development in this area. One way to do this is through the use of large-scale traffic simulators that can model the integration of UAM into a city's transportation network. In the future, researchers might harness the full potential of UAM to revolutionize transportation in metropolitan areas, improve mobility and reduce congestion while also addressing important considerations related to equity, safety, and the environment.

References

- S Arellano. A data-and demand-based approach at identifying accessible locations for urban air mobility stations. *Masterthesis, Department of Civil, Geo, and Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Munich, München*, 2020.
- Joshua Auld, Michael Hope, Hubert Ley, Vadim Sokolov, Bo Xu, and Kuilin Zhang. Polaris: Agent-based modeling framework development and implementation for integrated travel demand and network and operations simulations. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 64:101–116, 2016.
- Milos Balac, Raoul L Rothfeld, and Sebastian Hörl. The prospects of on-demand urban air mobility in zurich, switzerland. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, pages 906–913. IEEE, 2019.
- Moshe Ben-Akiva, Michel Bierlaire, Haris N Koutsopoulos, and Rabi Mishalani. Real time simulation of traffic demand-supply interactions within dynamit. In *Transportation and network analysis: current trends*, pages 19–36. Springer, 2002.
- Suraj Bijjahalli, Alessandro Gardi, Nichakorn Pongsakornsathien, Roberto Sabatini, and Trevor Kistan. A unified airspace risk management framework for uas operations. *Drones*, 6(7):184, 2022.
- Sherry S Borener, Derek Hufty, Vitaly S Guzhva, Kenny Martin, and Rafael Fraga. Modeling emergent risks in complex airspace: Uas operations in a metroplex environment. In *2015 IEEE/AIAA 34th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC)*, pages 5B3–1. IEEE, 2015.
- John Byrne, Job Taminiau, Kyung N Kim, Joohee Lee, and Jeongseok Seo. Multivariate analysis of solar city economics: Impact of energy prices, policy, finance, and cost on urban photovoltaic power plant implementation. *Advances in Energy Systems: The Large-scale Renewable Energy Integration Challenge*, pages 491–506, 2019.
- Jordi Casas, Jaime L Ferrer, David Garcia, Josep Perarnau, and Alex Torday. Traffic simulation with aimsun. In *Fundamentals of traffic simulation*, pages 173–232. Springer, 2010.
- Cy Chan, Bin Wang, John Bachan, and Jane Macfarlane. Mobiliti: Scalable transportation simulation using high-performance parallel computing. In *2018 21st International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, pages 634–641. IEEE, 2018.
- Haiyan Chen, Beisi Jia, and SSY Lau. Sustainable urban form for chinese compact cities: Challenges of a rapid urbanized economy. *Habitat international*, 32(1):28–40, 2008.
- Kexin Chen. *Analysis of Potential Demand of On-Demand Urban Air Mobility Via Agent-Based Simulation*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2022.
- Adam P Cohen, Susan A Shaheen, and Emily M Farrar. Urban air mobility: History, ecosystem, market potential, and challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 22(9):6074–6087, 2021.
- R Cointin. Aivation environmental tools suite overview and integration. In *Federal Aviation Administration, Aviation Environmental Tools Colloquium*, 2010.
- Martin Fellendorf and Peter Vortisch. Microscopic traffic flow simulator vissim. In *Fundamentals of traffic simulation*, pages 63–93. Springer, 2010.
- Mengying Fu, Raoul Rothfeld, and Constantinos Antoniou. Exploring preferences for transportation modes in an urban air mobility environment: Munich case study. *Transportation Research Record*, 2673(10): 427–442, 2019.

- Richard M Fujimoto, Rajive Bagrodia, Randal E Bryant, K Mani Chandy, David Jefferson, Jayadev Misra, David Nicol, and Brian Unger. Parallel discrete event simulation: The making of a field. In *2017 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC)*, pages 262–291. IEEE, 2017.
- Brian Garrett-Glaser. Nasa launches urban air mobility grand challenge program, Sep 2019. URL <https://www.aviationtoday.com/2019/08/30/\nasa-launches-uam-grand-challenge-program-accelerate-operational-maturity/>.
- Laurie A Garrow, Brian J German, and Caroline E Leonard. Urban air mobility: A comprehensive review and comparative analysis with autonomous and electric ground transportation for informing future research. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 132:103377, 2021.
- Patricia Glaab, Frederick Wieland, Michel Santos, Rohit Sharma, Ralph Tamburro, and Paul U Lee. Simulating fleet noise for notional uam vehicles and operations in new york. In *2019 IEEE/AIAA 38th Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC)*, pages 1–10. IEEE, 2019.
- Rohit Goyal, Colleen Reiche, Chris Fernando, Jacquie Serrao, Shawn Kimmel, Adam Cohen, and Susan Shaheen. Urban air mobility (uam) market study. Technical report, 2018.
- Mallory Graydon, Natasha A Neogi, and Kimberly Wasson. Guidance for designing safety into urban air mobility: Hazard analysis techniques. In *AIAA Scitech 2020 Forum*, page 2099, 2020.
- Dirk Helbing and Peter Molnar. Social force model for pedestrian dynamics. *Physical review E*, 51(5):4282, 1995.
- Zhongqi Jia and Seongkyu Lee. Acoustic analysis of urban air mobility quadrotor aircraft. In *Vertical Flight Society (VFS) Aeromechanics for Advanced Vertical Flight Technical Meeting*, volume 38, 2020.
- W Johnson and C Silva. Nasa concept vehicles and the engineering of advanced air mobility aircraft. *The Aeronautical Journal*, 126(1295):59–91, 2022.
- Akshat Kasliwal, Noah J Furbush, James H Gawron, James R McBride, Timothy J Wallington, Robert D De Kleine, Hyung Chul Kim, and Gregory A Keoleian. Role of flying cars in sustainable mobility. *Nature communications*, 10(1):1555, 2019.
- Jeffrey R Kenworthy and Felix B Laube. Patterns of automobile dependence in cities: an international overview of key physical and economic dimensions with some implications for urban policy. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 33(7-8):691–723, 1999.
- Michelle Kirby, Keith Becker, Steve Isley, Graham Burdette, and Dimitri Mavris. Development of an interactive capability to trade off new technologies and future aircraft to reduce aviation environmental impacts. In *ICAS*, volume 4, pages 2–645, 2010.
- Frank S Koppelman. Predicting transit ridership in response to transit service changes. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 109(4):548–564, 1983.
- George W Leeson. The growth, ageing and urbanisation of our world. *Journal of Population Ageing*, 11(2):107–115, 2018.
- Jeffrey Lewis and Luciana Magalhaes. Here come helicopters on demand, Jun 2017. URL <https://www.wsj.com/articles/here-come-helicopters-on-demand-1498010700>.
- Sheng Li, Maxim Egorov, and Mykel J Kochenderfer. Analysis of fleet management and infrastructure constraints in on-demand urban air mobility operations. In *AIAA AVIATION 2020 FORUM*, page 2907, 2020.
- Eunha Lim and Hoyon Hwang. The selection of vertiport location for on-demand mobility and its application to seoul metro area. *International Journal of Aeronautical and Space Sciences*, 20(1):260–272, 2019.
- Todd Litman. Transportation cost and benefit analysis. *Victoria Transport Policy Institute*, 31:1–19, 2009.

- Michał Maciejewski, Andreas Horni, Kai Nagel, and K Werner Axhausen. Dynamic transport services. *The multi-agent transport simulation MATSim*, 23:145–152, 2016.
- Hani S Mahmassani. Dynamic traffic simulation and assignment: Models, algorithms and application to atis/atms evaluation and operation. In *Operations research and decision aid methodologies in traffic and transportation management*, pages 104–135. Springer, 1998.
- Anna Maria Mazur, Jens ten Thije, Joost Vreeken, Henk Hesselink, Bartosz Dziugiel, Sylwester Wyka, Adam Liberacki, Teresa Idzikowska, Anna Dorota Stanczyk, Agata Utracka, et al. Regulatory framework on the uam operational concepts of the assured-uam project. *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology*, (ahead-of-print), 2022.
- Daniel Mennitt, KM Frstrup, Kirk Sherrill, and Lisa Nelson. Mapping sound pressure levels on continental scales using a geospatial sound model. In *Proceedings of Inter-Noise*, volume 4, pages 1–11, 2013.
- Jason E Miller, Harshad Kasture, George Kurian, Charles Gruenwald, Nathan Beckmann, Christopher Celio, Jonathan Eastep, and Anant Agarwal. Graphite: A distributed parallel simulator for multicores. In *HPCA-16 2010 The Sixteenth International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture*, pages 1–12. IEEE, 2010.
- Rolf Moeckel, Nico Kuehnel, Carlos Llorca, Ana Tsui Moreno, and Hema Rayaprolu. Agent-based simulation to improve policy sensitivity of trip-based models. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2020:1–13, 2020.
- Mariella Moon. Dubai tests a passenger drone for its flying taxi service, Mar 2022. URL <https://www.engadget.com/2017-09-26-dubai-volocopter-\passenger-drone-test.html>.
- Ana Moreno, Carlos Llorca, Qin Zhang, Rolf Moeckel, and Constantinos Antoniou. Modeling induced demand by urban air mobility. In *mobil. Tum-International Scientific Conference on Mobility and Transport*, 2019.
- Sai V Mudumba, Hsun Chao, Apoorv Maheshwari, Daniel A DeLaurentis, and William A Crossley. Modeling co2 emissions from trips using urban air mobility and emerging automobile technologies. *Transportation Research Record*, 2675(9):1224–1237, 2021.
- Euclides C Pinto Neto, Derick M Baum, Jorge Rady de Almeida Junior, João Batista Camargo Junior, and Paulo Sérgio Cugnasca. Trajectory-based urban air mobility (uam) operations simulator (tus). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.08651*, 2019.
- David Newbery, Goran Strbac, and Ivan Viehoff. The benefits of integrating european electricity markets. *Energy Policy*, 94:253–263, 2016.
- Malte Niklaß, Niclas Dzikus, Majed Swaid, Jan Berling, Benjamin Lühns, Alexander Lau, Ivan Terekhov, and Volker Gollnick. A collaborative approach for an integrated modeling of urban air transportation systems. *Aerospace*, 7(5):50, 2020.
- S Oh, GJ Lee, and SH Nah. Aerodynamic design and aviation procedure considering global warming. *The Korean Society for Aeronautical & Space Sciences*, 2010(1):387–390, 2010.
- Xin Peng, Vishwanath Bulusu, and Raja Sengupta. Hierarchical vertiport network design for on-demand multi-modal urban air mobility. In *2022 IEEE/AIAA 41st Digital Avionics Systems Conference (DASC)*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2022.
- KO Ploetner, C Al Haddad, C Antoniou, F Frank, M Fu, S Kabel, C Llorca, R Moeckel, AT Moreno, A Pukhova, et al. Long-term application potential of urban air mobility complementing public transport: an upper bavaria example. *CEAS Aeronautical Journal*, 11:991–1007, 2020.
- Jordi Pons-Prats, Tanja Živojinović, and Jovana Kuljanin. On the understanding of the current status of urban air mobility development and its future prospects: Commuting in a flying vehicle as a new paradigm. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 166:102868, 2022.

- A Pukhova, C Llorca, A Moreno, C Staves, Q Zhang, and R Moeckel. Flying taxis revived: Can urban air mobility reduce road congestion? *Journal of Urban Mobility*, 1:100002, 2021.
- Suchithra Rajendran and Sharan Srinivas. Air taxi service for urban mobility: A critical review of recent developments, future challenges, and opportunities. *Transportation research part E: logistics and transportation review*, 143:102090, 2020.
- Suchithra Rajendran and Joshua Zack. Insights on strategic air taxi network infrastructure locations using an iterative constrained clustering approach. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 128:470–505, 2019.
- Mihir Rimjha. *Urban Air Mobility: Demand Estimation and Feasibility Analysis*. PhD thesis, Virginia Tech, 2022.
- Mihir Rimjha, Antonio Trani, and Susan Hotle. Urban air mobility: Preliminary noise analysis of commuter operations. In *AIAA Aviation 2021 Forum*, page 3204, 2021.
- Christopher J Roof et al. The aviation environmental design tool (aedt). 2015.
- Raoul Rothfeld, Milos Balac, Kay O Ploetner, and Constantinos Antoniou. Agent-based simulation of urban air mobility. In *2018 Modeling and Simulation Technologies Conference*, page 3891, 2018.
- Raoul Rothfeld, Mengying Fu, Miloš Balać, and Constantinos Antoniou. Potential urban air mobility travel time savings: An exploratory analysis of munich, paris, and san francisco. *Sustainability*, 13(4):2217, 2021.
- Colin Sheppard, Rashid Waraich, Andrew Campbell, Alexei Pozdnukov, and Anand R Gopal. Modeling plug-in electric vehicle charging demand with beam: The framework for behavior energy autonomy mobility. Technical report, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.(LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States), 2017.
- Colin JR Sheppard, Andrew Harris, and Anand R Gopal. Cost-effective siting of electric vehicle charging infrastructure with agent-based modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Transportation Electrification*, 2(2): 174–189, 2016.
- Andrew Ross Sorkin. Larry page’s flying taxis: Exiting stealth mode, March 2018. URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/12/business/dealbook/\flying-taxis-larry-page.html>.
- Anna Straubinger, Ulrike Kluge, Mengying Fu, Christelle Al Haddad, Kay Olaf Ploetner, and Constantinos Antoniou. Identifying demand and acceptance drivers for user friendly urban air mobility introduction. In *Towards User-Centric Transport in Europe 2*, pages 117–134. Springer, 2020a.
- Anna Straubinger, Raoul Rothfeld, Michael Shamiyeh, Kai-Daniel Büchter, Jochen Kaiser, and Kay Olaf Plötner. An overview of current research and developments in urban air mobility—setting the scene for uam introduction. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 87:101852, 2020b.
- Hualong Tang, Yu Zhang, Vahid Mohmoodian, and Hadi Charkhgard. Automated flight planning of high-density urban air mobility. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 131:103324, 2021.
- David P Thippavong, Rafael Apaza, Bryan Barmore, Vernol Battiste, Barbara Burian, Quang Dao, Michael Feary, Susie Go, Kenneth H Goodrich, Jeffrey Homola, et al. Urban air mobility airspace integration concepts and considerations. In *2018 Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference*, page 3676, 2018.
- Tomer Toledo, Haris Koutsopoulos, Moshe Ben-Akiva, and Mithilesh Jha. Microscopic traffic simulation: Models and application. In *Simulation Approaches in Transportation Analysis*, pages 99–130. Springer, 2005.
- Parker D Vascik and R John Hansman. Development of vertiport capacity envelopes and analysis of their sensitivity to topological and operational factors. In *AIAA Scitech 2019 Forum*, page 0526, 2019.

- Venkatasubramanian Viswanathan, Alan H Epstein, Yet-Ming Chiang, Esther Takeuchi, Marty Bradley, John Langford, and Michael Winter. The challenges and opportunities of battery-powered flight. *Nature*, 601(7894):519–525, 2022.
- Kay W Axhausen, Andreas Horni, and Kai Nagel. *The multi-agent transport simulation MATSim*. Ubiquity Press, 2016.
- Frederick Wieland, Ankit Tyagi, Vivek Kumar, and William Krueger. Metrosim: a metroplex-wide route planning and airport scheduling tool. In *14th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference*, page 2162, 2014.
- Billy M Williams, Anthony P Tagliaferri, Stephen S Meinhold, Joseph E Hummer, and Nagui M Roupail. Simulation and analysis of freeway lane reversal for coastal hurricane evacuation. *Journal of urban planning and development*, 133(1):61–72, 2007.
- Zhiqiang Wu and Yu Zhang. Integrated network design and demand forecast for on-demand urban air mobility. *Engineering*, 7(4):473–487, 2021.
- Min Xue, Joseph Rios, Joseph Silva, Zhifan Zhu, and Abraham K Ishihara. Fe3: An evaluation tool for low-altitude air traffic operations. In *2018 Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference*, page 3848, 2018.
- Qi Yang and Haris N Koutsopoulos. A microscopic traffic simulator for evaluation of dynamic traffic management systems. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 4(3):113–129, 1996.
- Pavan Yedavalli and Adam Cohen. Planning land use constrained networks of urban air mobility infrastructure in the san francisco bay area. *Transportation Research Record*, 2676(7):106–116, 2022.
- Pavan Yedavalli and Jessie Mooberry. An assessment of public perception of urban air mobility (uam). *Airbus UTM: Defining Future Skies*, pages 2046738072–1580045281, 2019.
- Pavan Yedavalli, Emin Burak Onat, Xin Peng, Raja Sengupta, Paul Waddell, Vishwanath Bulusu, and Min Xue. Simuam: A comprehensive microsimulation toolchain to evaluate the impact of urban air mobility in metropolitan areas. 2021a.
- Pavan Yedavalli, Krishna Kumar, and Paul Waddell. Microsimulation analysis for network traffic assignment (manta) at metropolitan-scale for agile transportation planning. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science*, pages 1–22, 2021b.
- Pavan S Yedavalli, Emin Onat, Xin Peng, Raja Sengupta, Paul Waddell, Vishwanath Bulusu, and Min Xue. Assessing the value of urban air mobility through metropolitan-scale microsimulation: A case study of the san francisco bay area. In *AIAA AVIATION 2021 FORUM*, page 2338, 2021c.
- Lisa Y Yoo. *Simulating Urban Air Mobility Supply*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2022.
- Ju-Yeol Yun and Ho-Yon Hwang. Requirement analysis of efficiency, reliability, safety, noise, emission, performance and certification necessary for the application of urban air mobility (uam). *Journal of advanced navigation technology*, 24(5):329–342, 2020.
- Xiaomei Zhao, Chunhua Wan, Huijun Sun, Dongfan Xie, and Ziyou Gao. Dynamic rerouting behavior and its impact on dynamic traffic patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 18(10): 2763–2779, 2017.