

THE MOMENT MAP FOR THE VARIETY OF LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

ZHIQI CHEN, SAIYU WANG, AND HUI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We consider the moment map $m : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{iu}(n)$ for the action of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ on $V_n = \otimes^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, and study the functional $F_n = \|m\|^2$ restricted to the projectivizations of the algebraic varieties of all n -dimensional Leibniz algebras L_n and all n -dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras S_n , respectively. Firstly, we give a description of the maxima and minima of the functional $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, proving that they are actually attained at the symmetric Leibniz algebras. Then, for an arbitrary critical point $[\mu]$ of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we characterize the structure of $[\mu]$ by virtue of the nonnegative rationality. Finally, we classify the critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 2, 3$, respectively.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [16], Lauret studied the moment map for the variety of Lie algebras and obtained many remarkable results for example, a stratification of the Lie algebras variety and a description of the critical points, which turned to be very useful in proving that every Einstein solvmanifold is standard ([18]) and in the characterization of solitons ([4, 19]). It is thus natural and interesting to ask whether Lauret's results can be generalized, in some way, to varieties of algebras beyond Lie algebras.

Motivated by the idea, the study has recently been extended to the variety of 3-Lie algebras in [31]. Here, a 3-Lie algebra is a natural generalization of the concept of a Lie algebra to the case where the fundamental multiplication operation is 3-ary. See also [9] and [32] for the study of the moment map in Jordan and associative algebras.

In this article, we study the moment map for the variety of *Leibniz algebras*, which are nonanticommutative versions of Lie algebras. A Leibniz algebra is a vector space with a multiplication such that every left multiplication operator is a derivation, which was at first introduced by Bloh ([3]) and later independently rediscovered by Loday in the study of cohomology theory (see [22, 23]). Leibniz algebras play an important role in different areas of mathematics and physics [6, 10, 14, 20, 21, 27, 28, 29], and we refer to [8] for a nice survey of Leibniz algebras.

For the moment map in the frame of Leibniz algebras, it is defined as follows: Let $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ be the complex reductive Lie group acting naturally on the complex vector space $V_n = \otimes^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., the space of all n -dimensional complex algebras. The usual Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n induces an $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -invariant Hermitian inner product on V_n , which is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Since $\mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(n) + \mathfrak{iu}(n)$, we may

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L30, 17B30, 53D20.

Key words and phrases. Moment map; Variety of Leibniz algebras; Critical point.

define a function as follows

$$m : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{iu}(n), \quad (m([\mu]), A) = \frac{(\mathrm{d}\rho_\mu)_e A}{\|\mu\|^2}, \quad 0 \neq \mu \in V_n, A \in \mathfrak{iu}(n),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is an $\mathrm{Ad}(U(n))$ -invariant real inner product on $\mathfrak{iu}(n)$, and $\rho_\mu : \mathrm{GL}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\rho_\mu(g) = \langle g \cdot \mu, g \cdot \mu \rangle$. The function m is the moment map from symplectic geometry, corresponding to the Hamiltonian action $U(n)$ of V_n on the symplectic manifold $\mathbb{P}V_n$ (see [13, 26]). In this article, we shall study the critical points of the functional $F_n = \|m\|^2 : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and emphasize those critical points that lie in L_n and S_n . Here, L_n, S_n denote the projectivizations of the algebraic varieties of all n -dimensional Leibniz algebras, and all n -dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras, respectively.

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some fundamental results of Leibniz algebras (Def. 2.1) and symmetric Leibniz algebras (Def. 2.3).

In Section 3, we first give the explicit expression of the moment map $m : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{iu}(n)$ in terms of M_μ , in fact $m([\mu]) = \frac{M_\mu}{\|\mu\|^2}$, $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ (Lemma 3.4). Then we show that $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ is a critical point of $F_n = \|m\|^2 : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$ for some $c_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_\mu \in \mathrm{Der}(\mu)$ (Thm. 3.6).

In Section 4, we prove that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the eigenvalues of cD_μ are integers for any critical point $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$, and if moreover $[\mu] \in S_n$, we show that the eigenvalues are necessarily nonnegative (Thm. 4.1), which generalizes the nonnegative rationality from Lie algebras to symmetric Leibniz algebras (see [16, Thm 3.5]). Besides, we give a description of the extremal points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, proving that the minimum value is attained at semisimple Lie algebras (Thm. 4.7), while the maximum value is attained at the direct sum of the two-dimensional non-Lie symmetric Leibniz algebra with the trivial algebra (Thm. 4.9). Finally, for an arbitrary critical point $[\mu]$ of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we characterize the structure of $[\mu]$ by virtue of the nonnegative rationality of D_μ (Thm. 4.10–Thm. 4.13).

In Section 5, we classify the critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $n = 2, 3$, which shows that there exist many critical points that are not Lie algebras. Moreover, we prove that every 2-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebra is isomorphic to a critical point of F_2 ; and there exist 3-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras which are not isomorphic to any critical point of F_3 .

Finally in Section 6, we summary the article and collect some natural questions concerning the critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and results of Leibniz algebras. The ambient field is always assumed to be the complex number field \mathbb{C} unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.1 ([8, 22]). A vector space \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{C} with a bilinear map $\mathfrak{l} \times \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}$, denoted by $(x, y) \mapsto xy$, is called a *Leibniz algebra*, if every left multiplication is a derivation, i.e.,

$$x(yz) = (xy)z + y(xz) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{l}$.

Remark 2.2. Leibniz algebras are sometimes called *left* Leibniz algebras in the literature, and there is a corresponding notion of *right* Leibniz algebra, i.e., an algebra with the property that every right multiplication is a derivation. In some studies, the authors prefer to call a right Leibniz algebra a Leibniz algebra. We point out that for our purpose, it actually does not matter which notion is used since the opposite algebra of a left Leibniz algebra is a right Leibniz algebra and vice versa.

Following Mason and Yamskulna [24], we introduce the notion of the symmetric Leibniz algebra as follows.

Definition 2.3 ([24]). An algebra \mathfrak{l} is called a *symmetric Leibniz algebra* if it is at the same time a left and a right Leibniz algebra, that is

$$x(yz) = (xy)z + y(xz), \quad (2.2)$$

$$(xy)z = (xz)y + x(yz), \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{l}$.

Every Lie algebra is clearly a symmetric Leibniz algebra, and the converse is not true. In the following, we make the convention that an ideal of a Leibniz algebra always means a two-side ideal.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathfrak{l} be a Leibniz algebra. \mathfrak{l} is called *solvable* if $\mathfrak{l}^{(r)} = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathfrak{l}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{l}$, $\mathfrak{l}^{(k+1)} = \mathfrak{l}^{(k)}\mathfrak{l}^{(k)}$, $k \geq 0$.

If I, J are any two solvable ideals of \mathfrak{l} , then $I + J$ is also a solvable ideal of \mathfrak{l} , so the maximum solvable ideal is unique, called the *radical* of \mathfrak{g} and denoted by $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{l})$ ([8]).

Theorem 2.5 ([2]). A Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{l} over a field of characteristic 0 admits a *Levi decomposition*, i.e., $\mathfrak{l} = \mathcal{S} + \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{l})$ decomposes into the sum of a semisimple Lie subalgebra \mathcal{S} and the radical satisfying $\mathcal{S} \cap \text{Rad}(\mathfrak{l}) = 0$.

Definition 2.6. A Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{l} is called *nilpotent* if there exists a positive integer n such that any product of n elements in \mathfrak{l} , no matter how associated, is zero.

For a Leibniz algebra, we define ${}^1I := I$, ${}^{k+1}I := I^{(k)}$, $k \geq 1$. Furthermore, we define

$$I_1 := I, \quad I_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i I_{k-i}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 ([8]). *For any integer $k \geq 1$, then ${}^kI = I_k$. Moreover, I is nilpotent if and only if there exists an positive integer n such that $I_n = 0$.*

If I, J are two nilpotent ideals of a Leibniz algebra I , then $I+J$ is also a nilpotent ideal of I , consequently the maximum nilpotent ideal is unique, called the *nilradical*, denoted by $N(I)$ ([8, 30]).

Proposition 2.8 ([30]). *Let I be a Leibniz algebra over a field of characteristic zero, then $\text{Rad}(I)I$, $I\text{Rad}(I) \subset N(I)$.*

3. THE MOMENT MAP FOR COMPLEX ALGEBRAS

In this section, we first recall Lauret's idea: *varying brackets instead of metrics*, for the study of metric algebras, then we introduce the moment map for complex algebras.

Let \mathbb{C}^n be the n -dimensional complex vector space. A metric algebra is a triple $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a bilinear map and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . The triple $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ will be abbreviated as $(\mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ in this article.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mu_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ and $(\mu_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ be two metric algebras.

- (1) They are said to be isomorphic if there exists linear isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\varphi(\mu_1(\cdot, \cdot)) = \mu_2(\varphi(\cdot), \varphi(\cdot))$, and in this case, φ is called an algebra isomorphism.
- (2) They are said to be isometric if there exists an algebra isomorphism φ such that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \langle \varphi(\cdot), \varphi(\cdot) \rangle_2$.
- (3) They are said to be isometric up to scaling if there exists an algebra isomorphism φ and $c > 0$ such that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = c \langle \varphi(\cdot), \varphi(\cdot) \rangle_2$.

Remark 3.2. The Definition 3.1 is an analogy of [11, 25], where the (real) metric Lie algebras and their relations with Riemannian geometry, such as sectional curvatures, left-invariant Einstein metrics and Ricci solitons, are studied.

Let $V_n = \otimes^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ be the space of all bilinear maps, and

$$\mathfrak{M}_n = \{ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ is a Hermitian inner product on } \mathbb{C}^n \},$$

be the moduli space of all Hermitian inner products on \mathbb{C}^n , respectively. Consider the natural action of $\text{GL}(n) = \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ on V_n , i.e.,

$$g \cdot \mu(X, Y) = g\mu(g^{-1}X, g^{-1}Y), \quad g \in \text{GL}(n), X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (3.1)$$

then by Definition 3.1, we know that $\text{GL}(n).\mu$ is precisely the isomorphism class of μ . Moreover, differentiating (3.1), we obtain the natural action $\text{gl}(n)$ on V_n :

$$A.\mu(X, Y) = A\mu(X, Y) - \mu(AX, Y) - \mu(X, AY), \quad A \in \text{gl}(n), \mu \in V_n. \quad (3.2)$$

It follows that $A.\mu = 0$ if and only if $A \in \text{Der}(\mu)$, the derivation algebra of μ . On the other hand, one knows that the linear group $\text{GL}(n)$ also acts on \mathfrak{M}_n , i.e.,

$$g.\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle g^{-1}(\cdot), g^{-1}(\cdot) \rangle, \quad g \in \text{GL}(n),$$

and this action is obviously transitive.

Lemma 3.3. *Two metric algebras $(\mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ and $(\lambda, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ are isometric up to scaling if and only if there exist $g \in \text{GL}(n)$ and $c \neq 0$ such that $\lambda = g.\mu$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = (cg).\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$. In particular, $((cg)^{-1}.\mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(\mu, g.\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ are isometric up to scaling.*

Fix a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{C}^n , then by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\bigcup_{g \in \text{GL}(n)} (g.\mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \bigcup_{g \in \text{GL}(n)} (\mu, g^{-1}.\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$$

in the sense of isometry (Definition 3.1). This is precisely the idea: *varying brackets instead of metrics*, for the study of metric algebras. By this idea, Lauret introduced the moment map for Lie algebras, which has motivated much of the recent study of homogeneous Riemannian geometry [4, 5, 15, 18, 19].

Now, we introduce the moment map for complex algebras. Fix a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathbb{C}^n , then it makes each $\mu \in V_n$ an metric algebra, and $\text{U}(n).\mu$ is precisely the isometry class of μ (see Definition 3.1). Moreover, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces a natural $\text{U}(n)$ -invariant Hermitian inner product on V_n as follows

$$\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle := \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \mu(X_i, X_j), X_k \rangle \overline{\langle \lambda(X_i, X_j), X_k \rangle}, \quad \mu, \lambda \in V_n, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Note that there is an $\text{Ad}(\text{U}(n))$ -invariant Hermitian inner product on $\text{gl}(n)$, i.e.,

$$(A, B) = \text{tr} AB^*, \quad A, B \in \text{gl}(n). \quad (3.4)$$

where $*$ denotes the conjugate transpose relative to $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. The moment map, corresponding to the Hamiltonian action of $\text{U}(n)$ on the symplectic manifold $\mathbb{P}V_n$, is defined by

$$m : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \text{iu}(n), \quad (m([\mu]), A) = \frac{(d\rho_\mu)_e A}{\|\mu\|^2}, \quad 0 \neq \mu \in V_n, A \in \text{iu}(n), \quad (3.5)$$

where $\rho_\mu(g) = \langle g.\mu, g.\mu \rangle$, $g \in \text{GL}(n)$. Denote by $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F_n([\mu]) = \|m([\mu])\|^2 = (m([\mu]), m([\mu]))$, the square norm of the moment map. Then it is easy to see that the moment map is $\text{U}(n)$ -invariant, i.e., $m(k.[\mu]) = \text{Ad}(k)m([\mu])$, $\forall k \in \text{U}(n)$. In particular, $F_n(k.[\mu]) = F_n([\mu])$, $\forall k \in \text{U}(n)$.

For each algebra $\mu \in V_n$, we define $M_\mu \in \mathfrak{iu}(n)$ as follows

$$M_\mu = 2 \sum_i L_{X_i}^\mu (L_{X_i}^\mu)^* - 2 \sum_i (L_{X_i}^\mu)^* L_{X_i}^\mu - 2 \sum_i (R_{X_i}^\mu)^* R_{X_i}^\mu, \quad (3.6)$$

where $L_X^\mu, R_X^\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ are given by $L_X^\mu(Y) = \mu(X, Y)$ and $R_X^\mu(Y) = \mu(Y, X)$, $\forall Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $*$ denotes the conjugate transpose relative to $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. One immediately sees that $M_{k\mu} = \text{Ad}(k)M_\mu$ for any $k \in \text{U}(n)$, and $M_{c\mu} = |c|^2 M_\mu$ for any $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_\mu X, Y \rangle &= 2 \sum_{i,j} \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, X_j), X \rangle} \langle \mu(X_i, X_j), Y \rangle - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X_i, X), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, Y), X_j \rangle} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(Y, X_i), X_j \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that if the algebra μ is anticommutative, then M_μ coincides with [16].

The next lemma establishes the relation between $m([\mu])$ and M_μ , which follows from a straightforward calculation (see also [32]).

Lemma 3.4. *For any $0 \neq \mu \in V_n$, we have $m([\mu]) = \frac{M_\mu}{\|\mu\|^2}$. In particular, $(M_\mu, A) = 2\langle A \cdot \mu, \mu \rangle$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{iu}(n)$.*

Corollary 3.5. *For any $\mu \in V_n$, then*

- (i) $\text{tr } M_\mu D = 0$ for any $D \in \text{Der}(\mu) \cap \mathfrak{iu}(n)$;
- (ii) $\text{tr } M_\mu [A, A^*] \geq 0$ for any $A \in \text{Der}(\mu)$, and equality holds if and only if $A^* \in \text{Der}(\mu)$.

Proof. For (i), it follows from Lemma 3.4 and the fact that D is a Hermitian derivation of μ . For (ii), it follows from that $\text{tr } M_\mu [A, A^*] = 2\langle A^* \cdot \mu, A^* \cdot \mu \rangle \geq 0$ for any $A \in \text{Der}(\mu)$, and the fact $A^* \cdot \mu = 0$ if and only if $A^* \in \text{Der}(\mu)$. \square

Theorem 3.6 ([32]). *For the square norm of the moment map $F_n = \|m\|^2 : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ is a critical point of F_n .
- (2) $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ is a critical point of $F_n|_{\text{GL}(n) \cdot [\mu]}$.
- (3) $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$ for some $c_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_\mu \in \text{Der}(\mu)$.

If one of the statements holds, then

- (i) $c_\mu = \frac{\text{tr } M_\mu^2}{\text{tr } M_\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{tr } M_\mu^2}{\|\mu\|^2} < 0$.
- (ii) If $\text{tr } D_\mu \neq 0$, then $c_\mu = -\frac{\text{tr } D_\mu^2}{\text{tr } D_\mu}$ and $\text{tr } D_\mu > 0$.

Remark 3.7. By Lemma 3.6, we know that $\text{tr } M_\mu = -2\langle \mu, \mu \rangle = -2\|\mu\|^2$ for all $0 \neq \mu \in V_n$. On the other hand

$$\|m[\mu] - \frac{\text{tr } m[\mu]}{n}I\|^2 = \|m[\mu]\|^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{\text{tr } m[\mu]}{n} \cdot \text{tr } m[\mu] + \left(\frac{\text{tr } m[\mu]}{n}\right)^2 \cdot n.$$

So by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\|m[\mu] - \frac{\text{tr } m[\mu]}{n}I\|^2 = F_n([\mu]) - \frac{4}{n}.$$

That is, $F_n([\mu])$ measures in some sense how far $m([\mu])$ is from the identity. If we interpret M_μ as some 'curvature' of the metric algebra $(\mu, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, which is of course invariant under isometry (Definition 3.1), then the critical point of F_n can be thought to find the 'best' Hermitian inner product (relative to the curvature) in an isomorphism class of an algebra.

Moreover, note that for any $\mu \in V_n$, 0 lies in the boundary of $\text{GL}(n) \cdot \mu$, so a result due to Ness can be stated as follows

Theorem 3.8 ([26]). *If $[\mu]$ is a critical point of the functional $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ then*

- (i) $F_n|_{\text{GL}(n) \cdot [\mu]}$ attains its minimum value at $[\mu]$.
- (ii) $[\lambda] \in \text{GL}(n) \cdot [\mu]$ is a critical point of F_n if and only if $[\lambda] \in \text{U}(n) \cdot [\mu]$.

The Ness theorem says that if $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ is a critical point, then $\text{U}(n) \cdot [\mu]$ is precisely the set of critical points that are contained in $\text{GL}(n) \cdot [\mu]$. Those $\text{GL}(n)$ -orbits, which contain a critical point, are called distinguished orbits in the literature.

4. THE CRITICAL POINTS OF THE VARIETY OF LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

The spaces $\mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{S}_n$ of all n -dimensional Leibniz algebras and symmetric Leibniz algebras are algebraic sets since they are given by polynomial conditions. Denote by L_n and S_n the projective algebraic varieties obtained by projectivization of \mathcal{L}_n and \mathcal{S}_n , respectively. Then by Theorem 3.6, we know that the critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are precisely the critical points of $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which lie in L_n and S_n , respectively.

4.1. The rationality and nonnegative property. The following rationality and nonnegative property are generalizations of [16] from Lie algebras to Leibniz algebras and symmetric Leibniz algebras, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ be a critical point of $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$ for some $c_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_\mu \in \text{Der}(\mu)$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the eigenvalues of cD_μ are integers prime to each other, say $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with multiplicities $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r \in \mathbb{N}$. If moreover $[\mu] \in S_n$, then the integers are nonnegative.*

Proof. The first part follows from [31] (see also [16]). We only prove the last statement. The case $D_\mu = 0$ is trivial. In the sequel, we assume that D_μ is nonzero. Noting that D_μ is Hermitian, there is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \mathfrak{l}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_r, \quad r \geq 2$$

where $\mathfrak{l}_i := \{X \in \mathbb{C}^n | D_\mu X = c_i X\}$ are the eigenspaces of D_μ corresponding to the eigenvalues $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_r \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively. Suppose that $[\mu] \in S_n$, and $0 \neq X \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $D_\mu X = c_1 X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 L_X^\mu &= [D_\mu, L_X^\mu], \\ c_1 R_X^\mu &= [D_\mu, R_X^\mu]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$c_1 \operatorname{tr} L_X^\mu (L_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} [D_\mu, L_X^\mu] (L_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} [M_\mu, L_X^\mu] (L_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} M_\mu [L_X^\mu, (L_X^\mu)^*], \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$c_1 \operatorname{tr} R_X^\mu (R_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} [D_\mu, R_X^\mu] (R_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} [M_\mu, R_X^\mu] (R_X^\mu)^* = \operatorname{tr} M_\mu [R_X^\mu, (R_X^\mu)^*]. \quad (4.2)$$

Since L_X^μ, R_X^μ are derivations of μ , we conclude from Corollary 3.5 that

$$c_1 \operatorname{tr} L_X^\mu (L_X^\mu)^* \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 \operatorname{tr} R_X^\mu (R_X^\mu)^* \geq 0.$$

If L_X^μ or R_X^μ is not zero, then $c_1 \geq 0$. If L_X^μ and R_X^μ are both zero, then X necessarily lies in the center of μ . By (3.7), we have

$$\langle M_\mu X, X \rangle = 2 \sum_{i,j} |\langle \mu(X_i, X_j), X \rangle|^2 \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Since $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$, then $0 \leq \langle M_\mu X, X \rangle = (c_\mu + c_1) \langle X, X \rangle$. Using Theorem 3.6, we know $c_1 \geq -c_\mu > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $[\mu]$ be a critical point of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$ for some $c_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_\mu \in \operatorname{Der}(\mu)$. If $[\mu]$ is nilpotent, then D_μ is positive definite. Consequently, all nilpotent critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are \mathbb{N} -graded.*

Proof. Indeed, assume that $0 \neq X \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies $D_\mu X = c_1 X$, where c_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of D_μ . By Theorem 4.1, we know that $c_1 \geq 0$. Suppose that $c_1 = 0$, then $\operatorname{tr} M_\mu [L_X^\mu, (L_X^\mu)^*] = 0$, and $\operatorname{tr} M_\mu [R_X^\mu, (R_X^\mu)^*] = 0$. Using Corollary 3.5, $(L_X^\mu)^*$ and $(R_X^\mu)^*$ are derivations of μ . Let \mathfrak{l} be the symmetric Leibniz algebra (\mathbb{C}^n, μ) . Consider the orthogonal decomposition of \mathfrak{l}

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{n}_p, \quad p \geq 2,$$

where $\mu(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}) = \mathfrak{n}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{n}_p$, $\mu(\mathfrak{l}, \mu(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l})) = \mathfrak{l}_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_p, \cdots$. Since $(L_X^\mu)^*$ is a derivation of μ , then $(L_X^\mu)^*$ necessarily leaves each \mathfrak{l}_i invariant. Note that $L_X^\mu(\mathfrak{l}_i) \subset \mathfrak{l}_{i+1}$ for each i , then $\text{tr } L_X^\mu(L_X^\mu)^* = 0$, and consequently, $L_X^\mu = 0$. Similarly, one concludes that $R_X^\mu = 0$. That is, X lies in the center of \mathfrak{l} , which is a contradiction since in this case we have $c_1 \geq -c_\mu > 0$. So D_μ is positive definite. \square

The positive argument in Theorem 4.2 for real nilpotent Lie algebras plays a fundamental role in [18].

Remark 4.3. So far, it is still unclear for us whether the nonnegative property in Theorem 4.1 and positive property in Theorem 4.2 hold for $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or not. However, we have the following partial result. For an arbitrary critical point $[\mu]$ of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{l}_+,$$

the direct sum of eigenspaces of D_μ with eigenvalue smaller than zero, equal to zero and larger than zero, respectively. Then $R_X^\mu \notin \text{Der}(\mu)$ for any $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{L}$, which in turn is equivalent to $[R_X^\mu, (R_X^\mu)^*] \neq 0$, i.e., not normal. Indeed, assume that $R_X^\mu \in \text{Der}(\mu)$ (or $[R_X^\mu, (R_X^\mu)^*] = 0$) for some $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{L}$, then by the proof of Theorem 4.1, we see that X necessarily lies in the center of μ , which contradicts $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{L}$.

4.2. The minima and maxima of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Following from [16], we introduce the notion of the type of a critical point.

Definition 4.4. The data set $(k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ in Theorem 4.1 is called the type of the critical point $[\mu]$.

To study the minima and maxima of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we recall two simple but useful results as follows.

Lemma 4.5 ([31]). *Let $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$ be a critical point of F_n with type $\alpha = (k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_r)$.*

Then we have

- (i) *If $\alpha = (0; n)$, then $F_n([\mu]) = \frac{4}{n}$.*
- (ii) *If $\alpha \neq (0; n)$, then $F_n([\mu]) = 4 \left(n - \frac{(k_1 d_1 + k_2 d_2 + \cdots + k_r d_r)^2}{(k_1^2 d_1 + k_2^2 d_2 + \cdots + k_r^2 d_r)} \right)^{-1}$.*

Lemma 4.6. *Assume $[\mu] \in \mathbb{P}V_n$, then $[\mu]$ is a critical point of $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with type $(0; n)$ if and only if $F_n([\mu]) = \frac{4}{n}$. Moreover, $\frac{4}{n}$ is the minimum value of $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Using Remark 3.7 \square

The following theorem shows that even in the frame of Leibniz algebras, the semisimple Lie algebras are still the only critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attaining the minimum value.

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that there exists a semisimple Lie algebra of dimension n . Then $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its minimum value at a point $[\lambda] \in \text{GL}(n).[\mu]$ if and only if μ is a semisimple Lie algebra. In such a case, $F_n([\lambda]) = \frac{4}{n}$.*

Proof. Assume that μ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra, then it follows from [16, Theorem 4.3] that $F_n([\lambda]) = \frac{4}{n}$ for some $[\lambda] \in \text{GL}(n).[\mu]$.

Conversely, assume $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its minimum value at a point $[\lambda] \in \text{GL}(n).[\mu]$. Then by hypothesis, there exists a semisimple Lie algebra of dimension n . The first part of the proof and Lemma 4.6 imply that $M_\lambda = c_\lambda I$ with $c_\lambda < 0$. To prove μ is semisimple, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{l} = (\lambda, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is semisimple. Consider the following orthogonal decompositions: (i) $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$, where \mathfrak{s} is the radical of λ ; (ii) $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\lambda$, where $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda = \lambda(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s})$ is a nilpotent ideal of \mathfrak{l} ; (iii) $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_\lambda$, where $\mathfrak{z}_\lambda = \{Z \in \mathfrak{n}_\lambda : \lambda(Z, \mathfrak{n}_\lambda) = \lambda(\mathfrak{n}_\lambda, Z) = 0\}$ is the center of \mathfrak{n}_λ . Clearly, \mathfrak{z}_λ is an ideal of \mathfrak{l} . We have $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}_\lambda$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{z}_\lambda \neq 0$. Let $\{H_i\}, \{A_i\}, \{V_i\}, \{Z_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{v}$, and \mathfrak{z}_λ , respectively. Put $\{X_i\} = \{H_i\} \cup \{A_i\} \cup \{V_i\} \cup \{Z_i\}$. For any $0 \neq Z \in \mathfrak{z}_\lambda$, by hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \langle M_\lambda Z, Z \rangle &= 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(X_i, X_j), Z \rangle|^2 - 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(Z, X_i), X_j \rangle|^2 - 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(X_i, Z), X_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{ij} \left\{ |\langle \lambda(Z_i, H_j), Z \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(H_i, Z_j), Z \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(Z_i, A_j), Z \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(A_i, Z_j), Z \rangle|^2 \right\} + \alpha(Z) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{ij} \left\{ |\langle \lambda(Z, H_i), Z_j \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(Z, A_i), Z_j \rangle|^2 \right\} - 2 \sum_{ij} \left\{ |\langle \lambda(H_i, Z), Z_j \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(A_i, Z), Z_j \rangle|^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(Z) = 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(Y_i, Y_j), Z \rangle|^2 \geq 0$, $\{Y_i\} = \{H_i\} \cup \{A_i\} \cup \{V_i\}$. This implies

$$0 > \sum_k \langle M_\lambda Z_k, Z_k \rangle = \sum_k \alpha(Z_k) \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. So $\mathfrak{z}_\lambda = 0$, and consequently, $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda = \lambda(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}) = 0$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{s} \neq 0$. Let $\{H_i\}, \{A_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{s}$, respectively. For any $0 \neq A \in \mathfrak{s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \langle M_\lambda A, A \rangle &= 2 \sum_{ij} \left\{ |\langle \lambda(H_i, A_j), A \rangle|^2 + |\langle \lambda(A_i, H_j), A \rangle|^2 \right\} + \beta(A) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(A, H_i), A_j \rangle|^2 - 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(H_i, A), A_j \rangle|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(A) = 2 \sum_{ij} |\langle \lambda(H_i, H_j), A \rangle|^2 \geq 0$. This implies

$$0 > \sum_k \langle M_\lambda A_k, A_k \rangle = \sum_k \beta(A_k) \geq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. So $\mathfrak{s} = 0$. Therefore λ is a semisimple Lie algebra. \square

Remark 4.8. By the proof of Theorem 4.7, we know that if $[\mu] \in L_n$ for which there exists $[\lambda] \in \text{GL}(n).[\mu]$ such that M_λ is negative definite, then μ is a semisimple Lie algebra.

The next theorem shows that in the frame of Leibniz algebras, the maximum value of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is attained at symmetric Leibniz algebras that are non-Lie.

Theorem 4.9. *The functional $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its maximal value at a point $[\mu] \in L_n$, $n \geq 2$ if and only if μ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the two-dimensional non-Lie symmetric Leibniz algebra with the trivial algebra. In such a case, $F_n([\mu]) = 20$.*

Proof. Assume that $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ attains its maximal value at a point $[\mu] \in L_n$, $n \geq 2$. By Theorem 3.6, we know that $[\mu]$ is also a critical of $F_n : \mathbb{P}V_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then it follows Theorem 3.8 that $F_n|_{\text{GL}(n).[\mu]}$ also attains its minimum value at a point $[\mu]$, consequently $F_n|_{\text{GL}.[\mu]}$ is a constant, so

$$\text{GL}(n).[\mu] = \text{U}(n).[\mu] \quad (4.4)$$

The relation (4.4) implies that the only non-trivial degeneration of μ is 0 ([17, Theorem 5.1]), consequently the degeneration level of μ is 1. By [12], a Leibniz algebra of degeneration level 1 is necessarily isomorphic to one of the following

- (i) μ_{hy} is a Lie algebra: $\mu_{hy}(X_1, X_i) = X_i$, $i = 2, \dots, n$;
- (ii) μ_{he} is a Lie algebra: $\mu_{he}(X_1, X_2) = X_3$;
- (iii) μ_{sy} is a symmetric Leibniz algebra: $\mu_{sy}(X_1, X_1) = X_2$;

where $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ is a basis. It is easy to see that the critical point $[\mu_{hy}]$ is of type $(0 < 1; 1, n - 1)$, $[\mu_{he}]$ is of type $(2 < 3 < 4; 2, n - 3, 1)$ and $[\mu_{sy}]$ is of type $(3 < 5 < 6; 1, n - 2, 1)$. By Lemma 4.5, we know

$$F_n([\mu_{hy}]) = 4, \quad F_n([\mu_{he}]) = 12, \quad F_n([\mu_{sy}]) = 20.$$

The theorem therefore is proved. □

4.3. The structure for the critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Note that the maxima and minima of the functional $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are actually attained at symmetric Leibniz algebras. In the sequel, we characterize the structure for the critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by Theorem 4.1. These are main results of this article.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $[\mu] \in S_n$ be a critical point of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$ of type $(0 < k_2 < \dots < k_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ and consider*

$$I = I_0 \oplus I_+, \quad (4.5)$$

the direct sum of eigenspaces of D_μ with eigenvalues equal to zero, and larger than zero, respectively.

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $(L_A^\mu)^*, (R_A^\mu)^* \in \text{Der}(\mu)$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{l}_0$.
- (ii) \mathfrak{l}_0 is a reductive Lie subalgebra, i.e., a direct sum of the center and a semisimple ideal.
- (iii) L_Z^μ, R_Z^μ are normal operators for any $Z \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}_0)$, where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}_0)$ denotes the center of \mathfrak{l}_0 .
- (iv) \mathfrak{l}_+ is the nilradical of μ , and it corresponds to a critical point of type $(k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ for the functional $F_m : S_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $m = \dim \mathfrak{l}_+$.

Proof. For (i), since D_μ, L_A^μ and R_A^μ are derivations of μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\mu, L_A^\mu] &= L_{D_\mu A}^\mu = 0, \\ [D_\mu, R_A^\mu] &= R_{D_\mu A}^\mu = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{l}_0$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } M_\mu[L_A^\mu, (L_A^\mu)^*] &= \text{tr}(c_\mu I + D_\mu)[L_A^\mu, (L_A^\mu)^*] \\ &= \text{tr } D_\mu[L_A^\mu, (L_A^\mu)^*] \\ &= \text{tr}[D_\mu, L_A^\mu](L_A^\mu)^* \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $(L_A^\mu)^* \in \text{Der}(\mu)$ by Corollary 3.5. Similarly, we have $(R_A^\mu)^* \in \text{Der}(\mu)$. This proves (i).

For (ii), let $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ be the orthogonal decomposition, where $\mathfrak{h} = \mu(\mathfrak{l}_0, \mathfrak{l}_0)$. We claim that \mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{l}_0 . Indeed, by the orthogonal decomposition of eigenspaces (4.5), we have

$$L_A^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} L_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0} & 0 \\ 0 & L_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_+} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_A^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} R_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0} & 0 \\ 0 & R_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_+} \end{pmatrix},$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{l}_0$. Since \mathfrak{h} is $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{l}_0)$ -invariant, then by (i) we know that $L_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0}, R_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{l}_0)$ are of the form

$$L_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0} = \begin{pmatrix} L_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{l}_0} = \begin{pmatrix} R_A^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{l}_0$. So $\mu(\mathfrak{l}_0, \mathfrak{z}) = \mu(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{l}_0) = 0$, i.e., \mathfrak{z} lies in the center of \mathfrak{l}_0 . Moreover, it follows that $\mathfrak{h} = \mu(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h})$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{r}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the orthogonal decomposition, where $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the radical of \mathfrak{h} . Since $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ is $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ -invariant, then by (i), we know that $L_H^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}}, R_H^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{h})$ are of the form

$$L_H^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \begin{pmatrix} L_H^\mu|_{\bar{\mathfrak{r}}} & 0 \\ 0 & L_H^\mu|_{\bar{\mathfrak{s}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_H^\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \begin{pmatrix} R_H^\mu|_{\bar{\mathfrak{r}}} & 0 \\ 0 & R_H^\mu|_{\bar{\mathfrak{s}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

for any $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Clearly, $\bar{\mathfrak{r}}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{h} , and $\mathfrak{h} = \mu(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}) = \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{r}}, \bar{\mathfrak{r}}) \oplus \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}})$. So $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} = \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{s}}, \bar{\mathfrak{s}})$. Since $\bar{\mathfrak{s}}$ is solvable, we conclude that $\bar{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$. Therefore \mathfrak{h} is a semisimple Lie algebra by Theorem 2.5, and moreover we deduce that \mathfrak{z} is the center of \mathfrak{f} . This proves (ii).

For (iii), assume that $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, then by (i) we know that the derivations $(L_Z^\mu)^*, (R_Z^\mu)^*$ vanish on \mathfrak{l}_0 , and in particular, $(L_Z^\mu)^* Z = 0, (R_Z^\mu)^* Z = 0$. Hence

$$[(L_Z^\mu)^*, L_Z^\mu] = 0, \quad [(R_Z^\mu)^*, R_Z^\mu] = 0.$$

That is, L_Z^μ and R_Z^μ are normal. This proves (iii).

For (iv), it follows from (ii) that $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_+$ is the radical of \mathfrak{l} . Assume that $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ belongs to the nilradical of μ , then L_Z^μ and $R_Z^\mu : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}$ are necessarily nilpotent. Together with (iii), we see that L_Z^μ and R_Z^μ are both normal and nilpotent, so $L_Z^\mu = R_Z^\mu = 0$, i.e., Z lies in the center of \mathfrak{l} . This, however, contradicts $Z \in \mathfrak{l}_0$. So $Z = 0$, and \mathfrak{l}_+ is the nilradical of \mathfrak{l} . Set $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{l}_+$, and denote by $\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the corresponding element in S_m , where $m = \dim \mathfrak{l}_+$. Assume that $\{A_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{l}_0 , then by (3.7), we have

$$M_{\mu|_{\mathfrak{n}}} = M_{\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}} + 2 \sum_i ([L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*] + [R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*])|_{\mathfrak{n}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (i) and Corollary 3.5, it follows that

$$\operatorname{tr} M_{\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}}[L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*]|_{\mathfrak{n}} = \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu_{\mathfrak{n}}}[R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*]|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{tr} M_{\mu}[L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*] = \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu}[R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*] = 0$, by (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu}[L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*] &= \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu|_{\mathfrak{n}}}[L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*]|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0, \\ \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu}[R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*] &= \operatorname{tr} M_{\mu|_{\mathfrak{n}}}[R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*]|_{\mathfrak{n}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put $T = \sum_i ([L_{A_i}^\mu, (L_{A_i}^\mu)^*] + [R_{A_i}^\mu, (R_{A_i}^\mu)^*])|_{\mathfrak{n}}$, then we have $\operatorname{tr} T^2 = 0$. Noting that T is Hermitian, we conclude $T = 0$. So $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{l}_+$ corresponds to a critical point of type $(k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ for the functional $F_m : S_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. \square

Remark 4.11. Assume that $[\mu] \in L_n$ is an arbitrary critical point of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $R_A^\mu \in \operatorname{Der}(\mu)$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{n}^\perp$ where \mathfrak{n} denotes the direct sum of eigenspaces of D_μ with eigenvalues larger than zero. Then we obtain the same conclusions as in Theorem 4.10, except for that the nilradical of μ might be a non-symmetric Leibniz algebra (see Remark 4.3).

In the sequel, we characterize the critical points that lie in S_n in terms of those which are nilpotent.

Theorem 4.12 (Solvable extension). *Assume that \mathfrak{a} is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension d_1 , and $[\lambda]$ is a critical point of $F_m : S_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of type $(k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ where $k_2 > 0$. Consider the direct sum*

$$\mu = \mathfrak{a} \times_{\rho} \lambda,$$

where $\rho = (L^\rho, R^\rho)$, and $L^\rho : \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $R^\rho : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ are bilinear mappings, such that μ is a symmetric Leibniz algebra with bracket relations given by

$$\mu(A + X, B + Y) := L_A^\rho(Y) + R_B^\rho(X) + \lambda(X, Y)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $[D_\lambda, L_A^\rho] = 0, [D_\lambda, R_A^\rho] = 0, \forall A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$.
- (ii) $[L_A^\rho, (L_A^\rho)^*] = 0, [R_A^\rho, (R_A^\rho)^*] = 0, \forall A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$; and for each $0 \neq A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, L_A^ρ or R_A^ρ is not zero.

If we extend the Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^m by setting

$$\langle A, B \rangle = -\frac{2}{c_\lambda}(\operatorname{tr} L_A^\rho (L_B^\rho)^* + \operatorname{tr} R_A^\rho (R_B^\rho)^*), \quad A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1},$$

then $[\mu]$ is a solvable critical point of type $(0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ for $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = d_1 + m$.

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{n} = (\mathbb{C}^m, \lambda)$, and let $\{X_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^m . It follows from the condition (ii) that $(L_A^\rho)^*, (R_A^\rho)^* \in \operatorname{Der}(\lambda)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_\mu X, A \rangle &= -2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X_i, X), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \lambda(X_i, X), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \lambda(X, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2 \operatorname{tr} (R_A^\rho)^* R_X^\lambda - 2 \operatorname{tr} (L_A^\rho)^* L_X^\lambda \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^m$ since λ is nilpotent and $(L_A^\rho)^*, (R_A^\rho)^* \in \operatorname{Der}(\lambda)$. So M_μ leaves \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{n} invariant, and moreover, it is not hard to see that $M_\mu|_{\mathfrak{n}} = M_\lambda = c_\lambda I + D_\lambda$ by (3.7). On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_\mu A, B \rangle &= -2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, B), X_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(B, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2(\operatorname{tr} L_A^\rho (L_B^\rho)^* + \operatorname{tr} R_A^\rho (R_B^\rho)^*) \\ &= c_\lambda \langle A, B \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$. So $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$, where $c_\mu = c_\lambda$ and

$$D_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_\lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Der}(\mu).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.13 (General extension). *Assume that $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ is a reductive Lie algebra of dimension d_1 , and $[\lambda]$ is a critical point of $F_m : S_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of type $(k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_2, \dots, d_r)$ where $k_2 > 0$. Consider the direct sum*

$$\mu = \mathfrak{f} \ltimes_\rho \lambda,$$

where $\rho = (L^\rho, R^\rho)$, and $L^\rho : \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, $R^\rho : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ are bilinear mappings, such that μ is a symmetric Leibniz algebra with bracket relations given by

$$\mu(A + X, B + Y) := \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} A(B) + L_A^\rho(Y) + R_B^\rho(X) + \lambda(X, Y)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) $[D_\lambda, L_A^\rho] = 0, [D_\lambda, R_A^\rho] = 0, \forall A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$.
- (ii) $[L_Z^\rho, (L_Z^\rho)^*] = 0, [R_Z^\rho, (R_Z^\rho)^*] = 0, \forall Z \in \mathfrak{z}$; and for each $0 \neq Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, L_Z^ρ or R_Z^ρ is not zero.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ be a Hermitian inner product on \mathfrak{f} and $\{H_i \mid H_i \in \mathfrak{h}\} \cup \{Z_i \mid Z_i \in \mathfrak{z}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $(\mathfrak{f}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ such that $(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} H_i)^{*1} = -\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} H_i$, $(L_{H_i}^\rho)^* = -L_{H_i}^\rho$, $(R_{H_i}^\rho)^* = -R_{H_i}^\rho$ for all i . If we extend the Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^m by setting

$$\langle A, B \rangle = -\frac{2}{c_\lambda} (\text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} A (\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} B)^{*1} + \text{tr } L_A^\rho (L_B^\rho)^* + \text{tr } R_A^\rho (R_B^\rho)^*), \quad A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1},$$

then $[\mu]$ is a critical point of type $(0 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ for $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = d_1 + m$.

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{n} = (\mathbb{C}^m, \lambda)$, and let $\{A_i\} = \{H_i, Z_i\}$ be the orthonormal basis of $(\mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ as in hypothesis, and $\{X_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^m . Then for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_\mu X, A \rangle &= -2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X_i, X), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \lambda(X_i, X), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \lambda(X, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2 \text{tr}(R_A^\rho)^* R_X^\lambda - 2 \text{tr}(L_A^\rho)^* L_X^\lambda \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since λ is nilpotent and $(L_A^\rho)^*, (R_A^\rho)^* \in \text{Der}(\lambda)$. So M_μ leaves \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{n} invariant, and it is not hard to see that $M_\mu|_{\mathfrak{n}} = M_\lambda = c_\lambda I + D_\lambda$ by (3.7). Moreover, for any $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_\mu A, B \rangle &= 2 \sum_{i,j} \overline{\langle \mu(A_i, A_j), A \rangle} \langle \mu(A_i, A_j), B \rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(A_i, A), A_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(A_i, B), A_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X_i, A), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X_i, X), X_j \rangle} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(A, A_i), A_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(B, A_i), A_j \rangle} - 2 \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(A, X_i), X_j \rangle \overline{\langle \mu(X, X_i), X_j \rangle} \\ &= -2(\text{tr ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} A (\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{f}} B)^{*1} + \text{tr } L_A^\rho (L_B^\rho)^* + \text{tr } R_A^\rho (R_B^\rho)^*) \\ &= c_\lambda \langle A, B \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So $M_\mu = c_\mu I + D_\mu$, where $c_\mu = c_\lambda$, and

$$D_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_\lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Der}(\mu).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.14. The condition in Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.13 can be relaxed as follows: $[\lambda]$ is a critical point of $F_m : L_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of type $(k_2 < \cdots < k_r; d_2, \cdots, d_r)$ where $k_2 > 0$, and the constructed algebra μ is a Leibniz algebra with $R_A^\rho \in \text{Der}(\mu)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}$.

5. EXAMPLES

In this section, we classify the critical points of the functional $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $n = 2$ and 3 , respectively.

5.1. **Two-dimensional case.** Note that there are only two non-abelian two-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras up to isomorphism, which is defined by

$$\text{Lie: } [e_1, e_2] = e_2;$$

$$\text{non-Lie: } [e_1, e_1] = e_2.$$

Indeed, endow the two algebras with the Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, so that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Then it is easy to see that the Lie algebra is a critical point of F_2 with type $(0 < 1; 1, 1)$, and the critical value is 4; The non-Lie symmetric Leibniz algebra is a critical point of F_2 with type $(1 < 2; 1, 1)$, and the critical value is 20.

5.2. **Three-dimensional case.** The classification of 3-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras over \mathbb{C} can be found in [1, 7]. We classify the critical points of the functional $F_3 : S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

TABLE I. non-zero 3-dimensional symmetric Leibniz algebras, critical types and critical values.

g	Type	Multiplication table	Critical type	Critical value
L_1	Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_2] = e_3$	$(1 < 2; 2, 1)$	12
L_2	Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_2] = e_2$	$(0 < 1; 1, 2)$	4
$L_3(\alpha), \alpha \neq 0$	Lie	$\{ [e_3, e_1] = e_1, [e_3, e_2] = \alpha e_2,$	$(0 < 1; 1, 2)$	4
L_4	Lie	$\{ [e_3, e_1] = e_1 + e_2, [e_3, e_2] = e_2$	–	–
L_5	Lie	$\{ [e_3, e_1] = 2e_1, [e_3, e_2] = -2e_2$ $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$	$(0; 3)$	$\frac{4}{3}$
S_1	non-Lie	$\{ [e_3, e_3] = e_1$	$(3 < 5 < 6; 1, 1, 1)$	20
S_2	non-Lie	$\{ [e_2, e_2] = e_1, [e_3, e_3] = e_1$	$(1 < 2; 2, 1)$	12
$S_3(\frac{1}{4})$	non-Lie	$\{ [e_2, e_2] = \frac{1}{4}e_1, [e_3, e_2] = e_1,$ $[e_3, e_3] = e_1$	–	–
$S_3(\beta), \beta \neq \frac{1}{4}$	non-Lie	$\{ [e_2, e_2] = \beta e_1, [e_3, e_2] = e_1,$ $[e_3, e_3] = e_1$	$(1 < 2; 2, 1)$	12
S_4	non-Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_3] = e_1$	$(0 < 1; 1, 2)$	4
$S_5(\alpha), \alpha \neq 0$	non-Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_1, [e_2, e_3] = e_2,$ $[e_3, e_2] = -e_2$	$(0 < 1; 1, 2)$	4
S_6	non-Lie	$\{ [e_2, e_3] = e_2, [e_3, e_2] = -e_2,$ $[e_3, e_3] = e_1$	–	–
$S_7(\alpha), \alpha \neq 0$	non-Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_1, [e_2, e_3] = e_2$	$(0 < 1; 1, 2)$	4
S_8	non-Lie	$\{ [e_1, e_3] = e_1 + e_2, [e_3, e_3] = e_1$	–	–

Indeed, TABLE I are obtained from the following four steps

- (1) For the cases L_1, S_1, S_2 , endow them with the Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ so that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is an orthonormal basis.
- (2) For the cases $L_2, L_3, S_4, S_5(\alpha), S_7(\alpha)$, use Theorem 4.12.
- (3) For the cases L_4, S_6, S_8 , use Theorem 4.10.

- (4) For $S_3(\beta)$, it is an associative algebra. By [32], we know that $S_3(\frac{1}{4})$ is isomorphic to d_{21} , and $S_3(\beta)$, $\beta \neq \frac{1}{4}$ is isomorphic to d_{22} .

Together with Lemma 4.5, we complete TABLE I.

6. SUMMARY AND COMMENTS

This article can be thought to find the 'best' Hermitian inner products in an isomorphism class of a given Leibniz algebra, which are characterized by the critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the 'best' Hermitian inner products if exist, are unique up to scaling and isometry, and pose a severe restriction on the algebraic structure of the given Leibniz algebra. The main results of this article are briefly summarized as follows

- (a) The eigenvalue types for the critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are necessarily nonnegative, and the nilpotent critical points of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have positive eigenvalue types (Theorem 4.1, 4.2).
- (b) The maxima and minima of the functional $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are actually attained at the symmetric Leibniz algebras (Theorem 4.7, 4.9).
- (c) The structure of an arbitrary critical point of $F_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is characterized (Theorem 4.10–4.13).

Although some generalizations are obtained (Remark 4.3, 4.11, 4.14), we still do not have a complete understanding for the critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Based on the discussion in previous sections, it is natural and interesting to ask the following questions.

Question 6.1. Do all critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ necessarily have nonnegative eigenvalue types?

Question 6.2. Do all nilpotent critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ necessarily have positive eigenvalue types?

One may also ask: *do all critical points of $F_n : L_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ necessarily lie in S_n ?* We point out that this does not hold, even for $n = 2$. Consider the two-dimensional non-symmetric Leibniz algebra μ : $e_1 e_2 = e_2$. Then $[\mu]$ is a critical point of $F_2 : L_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with type $(0 < 1; 1, 1)$.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is partially supported by NSFC (11931009 and 12131012) and NSF of Tianjin (19JCY-BJC30600).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ayupov and B. Omirov: On Leibniz algebras, in: Algebra and Operator Theory (Tashkent,1997), KluwerAcad. Publ. Dordrecht, 1998, pp.1–12.
- [2] D. Barnes: On Levi's theorem for Leibniz algebras, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **86** (2012), no. 2, 184–185.
- [3] A. Bloh: On a generalization of the concept of Lie algebra (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **165** (1965), 471–473; translated into English in Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 1450–1452.

- [4] C. Böhm and R. Lafuente: Immortal homogeneous Ricci flows, *Invent. Math.* **212** (2018), no. 2, 461–529.
- [5] C. Böhm and R. Lafuente: Non-compact Einstein manifolds with symmetry, to appear in *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2023).
- [6] R. Bonezzi and O. Hohm: Leibniz gauge theories and infinity structures, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **377** (2020), 2027–2077.
- [7] J. Casas, M. Insua, M. Ladra and S. Ladra: An algorithm for the classification of 3-dimensional complex Leibniz algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436** (2012), no. 9, 3747–3756.
- [8] J. Feldvoss: Leibniz algebras as nonassociative algebras, Vol. 721. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, (2019) p. 115–149.
- [9] C. Gorodski, I. Kashuba and M. Martin: A moment map for the variety of Jordan algebras, arXiv:2301.10806v1.
- [10] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben: Leibniz-Chern-Simons theory and phases of exceptional field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **369** (2019), 1055–1089.
- [11] K. Hiroshi, A. Takahara and H. Tamaru: The space of left-invariant metrics on a Lie group up to isometry and scaling, *Manuscripta Math.* **135** (2011), no 1-2, 229–243.
- [12] A. Khudoyberdiyev and B. Omirov: The classification of algebras of level one, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439**(11) (2013), 3460–3463.
- [13] K. Kirwan: Momentum maps and reduction in algebraic geometry, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **9** (1998)135–172.
- [14] A. Kotov; T. Strobl: The embedding tensor, Leibniz-Loday algebras, and their higher Gauge theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **376** (2020), 235–258.
- [15] J. Lauret: Ricci soliton homogeneous nilmanifolds, *Math. Ann.* **319** (2001), 715–733.
- [16] J. Lauret: On the moment map for the variety of Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **202** (2003), 392–423.
- [17] J. Lauret: Degenerations of Lie algebras and geometry of Lie groups, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* **18** (2003), no. 2, 177–194.
- [18] J. Lauret: Einstein solvmanifolds are standard, *Ann. Math.* **172** (2010), 1859–1877.
- [19] J. Lauret: Ricci soliton solvmanifolds, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **650** (2011), 1–21.
- [20] S. Lavau: Tensor hierarchies and Leibniz algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **144** (2019), 147–189.
- [21] S. Lavau; Palmkvist, J.: Infinity-enhancing Leibniz algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), 3121–3152.
- [22] J.-L. Loday: Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz. (French) [A noncommutative version of Lie algebras: the Leibniz algebras], *Enseign. Math. (2)* **39** (1993), no. 3-4, 269–293.
- [23] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili: Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), no. 1, 139–158.
- [24] G. Mason and G. Yamskulna: Leibniz algebras and Lie algebras, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **9** (2013), Paper 063, 10 pp.
- [25] J. Milnor: Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Adv. Math.* **21** (1976), 293–329.
- [26] L. Ness: A stratification of the null cone via the moment map, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 1281–1329 (with an appendix by D. Mumford).
- [27] Y. Sheng, R. Tang and C. Zhu: The controlling L_∞ -algebra, cohomology and homotopy of embedding tensors and Lie-Leibniz triples. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **386** (2021), 269–304.
- [28] T. Strobl: Leibniz-Yang-Mills gauge theories and the 2-Higgs mechanism, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), 115026.
- [29] T. Strobl and F. Wagemann: Enhanced Leibniz algebras: structure theorem and induced Lie 2-algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **376** (2020), 51–79.
- [30] D. Towers : On the nilradical of a Leibniz algebra, *Commun. Algebra.* **49** (2021), no. 10, 4345–4347.
- [31] H. Zhang and Z. Chen; L. Li: The moment map for the variety of 3-Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **283** (2022), No. 11, Article ID 109683.
- [32] H. Zhang and Z. Yan: The moment map for the variety of associative algebras, arXiv:2301.12142v1.

(Zhiqi Chen) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUANGDONG UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU 510520, P.R. CHINA
Email address: chen_zhiqi@nankai.edu.cn

(Saiyu Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA
Email address: 2120200040@mail.nankai.edu.cn

(Hui Zhang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210096, P.R. CHINA
Email address: 2120160023@mail.nankai.edu.cn