

On the structure of entropy solutions to the Riemann problem for a degenerate nonlinear parabolic equation

Evgeny Yu. Panov

Yaroslav-the-Wise Novgorod State University, Veliky Novgorod, Russian Federation,
Research and Development Center, Veliky Novgorod, Russian Federation.

Abstract

We find an explicit form of entropy solutions to a Riemann problem for a degenerate nonlinear parabolic equation with piecewise constant velocity and diffusion coefficients. It is demonstrated that this solution corresponds to the minimum point of some strictly convex function of a finite number of variables.

1 Introduction

In a half-plane $\Pi = \{(t, x) \mid t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, we consider a nonlinear parabolic equation

$$u_t + v(u)u_x - t(a^2(u)u_x)_x = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $v(u), a(u) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $a(u) \geq 0$. Since the diffusion coefficient $a(u)$ may take zero value, equation (1) is degenerate. In the case when $a(u) \equiv 0$ it reduces to a first order conservation law

$$u_t + \varphi(u)_x = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi'(u) = v(u)$. Similarly, a general equation (1) can be written in the conservative form

$$u_t + \varphi(u)_x - tA(u)_{xx} = 0$$

with $A'(u) = a^2(u)$, which allows to define weak solutions of this equation. Unfortunately, weak solutions to a Cauchy problem for equation (1) are not unique in general, and some additional entropy conditions are required. We consider the Cauchy problem with initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad (3)$$

where $u_0(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Recall the notion of entropy solution (e.s. for short) in the sense of Carrillo [1].

Definition 1. A function $u = u(t, x) \in L^\infty(\Pi)$ is called an e.s. of (1), (3) if

- (i) the distribution $A(u) \in L^2_{loc}(\Pi)$;
- (ii) for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|u - k|_t + (\text{sign}(u - k)(\varphi(u) - \varphi(k)))_x - (t \text{sign}(u - k)(A(u) - A(k)))_{xx} \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

in the sense of distributions (in $\mathcal{D}'(\Pi)$);

- (iii) $\text{ess lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, \cdot) = u_0$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.

Entropy condition (4) means that for each nonnegative test function $f = f(t, x) \in C_0^2(\Pi)$

$$\int_{\Pi} [|u - k|f_t + \text{sign}(u - k)((\varphi(u) - \varphi(k))f_x + t(A(u) - A(k))f_{xx})] dt dx \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

In the case of conservation laws (2) the notion of e.s. reduces to the notion of generalized e.s. in the sense of Kruzhkov [2]. Taking in (4) $k = \pm M$, $M \geq \|u\|_{\infty}$, we derive that

$$u_t + \varphi(u)_x - tA(u)_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Pi),$$

that is, an e.s. u of (1), (3) is a weak solution of this problem. It is known that an e.s. of (1), (3) always exists and is unique. In general multidimensional setting this was demonstrated in [2] for conservation laws and in [1] for the general case. If to be precise, in [1] the case of usual diffusion term $A(u)_{xx}$ was studied but the proofs can be readily adapted to the case of the self-similar diffusion $tA(u)_{xx}$.

If $u = u(t, x)$ is a piecewise C^2 -smooth e.s. of equation (1) then it must satisfy this equation in classic sense in each smoothness domain. Applying relation (1) to a test function $f = f(t, x) \in C_0^2(\Pi)$ supported in a neighborhood of a discontinuity line $x = x(t)$ and integrating by parts, we then obtain the identity

$$(-x'(t)[u] + [\varphi(u)] - t[A(u)_x])f + t[A(u)]f_x = 0 \quad (6)$$

a.e. on the line $x = x(t)$. Here we denote by $[w]$ the jump of a function $w = w(t, x)$ on the line $x = x(t)$ so that

$$[w] = w(t, x(t)+) - w(t, x(t)-), \quad \text{where } w(t, x(t)\pm) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x(t)\pm} w(t, y).$$

Since the functions f, f_x are arbitrary and independent on the line $x = x(t)$, identity (6) implies the following two relations of Rankine-Hugoniot type

$$[A(u)] = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$-x'(t)[u] + [\varphi(u)] - t[A(u)_x] = 0. \quad (8)$$

Similarly, it follows from entropy relation (5), after integration by parts, that

$$(-x'(t)[|u - k|] + [\text{sign}(u - k)(\varphi(u) - \varphi(k))] - t[\text{sign}(u - k)A(u)_x])f + t[\text{sign}(u - k)(A(u) - A(k))]f_x \leq 0. \quad (9)$$

Since the function $A(u)$ increases, it follows from (7) that $A(u) = \text{const}$ when u lies between the values $u(t, x(t)-)$ and $u(t, x(t)+)$. This implies that $[\text{sign}(u - k)(A(u) - A(k))] = 0$ and in view of arbitrariness of $f \geq 0$ it follows from (9) that

$$-x'(t)[|u - k|] + [\text{sign}(u - k)(\varphi(u) - \varphi(k))] - t[\text{sign}(u - k)A(u)_x] \leq 0. \quad (10)$$

In the case when k lies out of the interval with the endpoints $u^{\pm} \doteq u(t, x(t)\pm)$ relation (10) follows from (8) and fulfils with equality sign. When $u^- < k < u^+$ this relation reads

$$-x'(t)(u^+ + u^- - 2k) + \varphi(u^+) + \varphi(u^-) - 2\varphi(k) - t(A(u)_x^+ + A(u)_x^-) \leq 0,$$

where $A(u)_x^\pm = A(u)_x(t, x(t)\pm)$. Adding (8) to this relation and dividing the result by 2, we arrive at the following analogue of the famous Oleinik condition (see [3]) known for conservation laws.

$$-x'(t)(u^+ - k) + \varphi(u^+) - tA(u)_x^+ - \varphi(k) \leq 0 \quad \forall k \in [u^-, u^+]. \quad (11)$$

In the case $u^+ < u^-$ this condition has the form

$$-x'(t)(u^+ - k) + \varphi(u^+) - tA(u)_x^+ - \varphi(k) \geq 0 \quad \forall k \in [u^+, u^-] \quad (12)$$

and can be derived similarly. Geometric interpretation of these conditions is that the graph of the flux function $\varphi(u)$ lies not below (not above) of the segment connecting the points $(u^-, \varphi(u^-) - tA(u)_x^-)$, $(u^+, \varphi(u^+) - tA(u)_x^+)$ when $u^- \leq u \leq u^+$ (respectively, when $u^+ \leq u \leq u^-$), see Figure 1. We take here into account that in view of condition (8) the vector $(-x'(t), 1)$ is a normal to the indicated segment. We also notice that it follows from relations (11), (12) with $k = u^\pm$ and from the Rankine-Hugoniot condition (8) that $A(u)_x^\pm \geq 0$ ($A(u)_x^\pm \leq 0$) whenever $u^+ > u^-$ ($u^+ < u^-$).




Figure 1: Oleinik condition.

2 The case of piecewise constant coefficients.

Below we will assume that the functions $v(u)$, $a(u)$ are piecewise constant, $v(u) = v_k$, $a(u) = a_k$ when $u_k < u < u_{k+1}$, $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, where

$$\alpha = u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{n-1} < u_n = \beta.$$

We will study problem (1), (3) with the Riemann data $u_0(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & x < 0, \\ \beta, & x > 0. \end{cases}$ Since this problem is invariant under the scaling transformations $t \rightarrow \lambda t$, $x \rightarrow \lambda x$, $\lambda > 0$ then, by the uniqueness, the e.s. $u = u(t, x)$ is self-similar: $u(t, x) = u(\lambda t, \lambda x)$. This implies that $u = u(x/t)$. Suppose that $a_k > 0$. Then in a domain where $u_k < u(\xi) < u_{k+1}$ with $\xi = x/t$ equation (1) reduces to the second order ODE

$$(v_k - \xi)u' - a_k^2 u'' = 0,$$

the general solution of which is $u = C_1 F((\xi - v_k)/a_k) + C_2$; $C_1, C_2 = \text{const}$, where

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-s^2/2} ds$$

is the error function. Therefore, it is natural to seek the e.s. of our problem in the following form

$$u(\xi) = \begin{cases} u_k + \frac{u_{k+1} - u_k}{F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)} (F((\xi - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)) & , \quad a_k > 0, \\ u_k & , \quad a_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\xi_k < \xi < \xi_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, d,$$

where

$$d = \begin{cases} n - 1 & , \quad a_{n-1} > 0, \\ n & , \quad a_{n-1} = 0, \end{cases} \quad -\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_d < \xi_{d+1} = +\infty,$$

and we agree that $a_n = 0$, $F(-\infty) = 0$, $F(+\infty) = 1$. We also assume that $\xi_{k+1} > \xi_k$ whenever $a_k > 0$. The rays $x = \xi_k t$ for finite ξ_k are (weak or strong) discontinuity lines of u , they correspond to discontinuity points ξ_k of the function $u(\xi)$. Observe that conditions (7), (8) turns into the following relations at points ξ_k

$$[A(u)] = A(u(\xi_k+)) - A(u(\xi_k-)) = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$-\xi_k[u] + [\varphi(u)] - [A(u)'] = -\xi_k(u(\xi_k+) - u(\xi_k-)) + \varphi(u(\xi_k+)) - \varphi(u(\xi_k-)) - A(u)'(\xi_k+) + A(u)'(\xi_k-) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Here $w(\xi_k \pm)$ denotes unilateral limits of a function $w(\xi)$ at the point ξ_k . Similarly, the Oleinik condition (11) reads

$$-\xi_k(u(\xi_k+) - k) + \varphi(u(\xi_k+)) - A(u)'(\xi_k+) - \varphi(k) \leq 0 \quad \forall k \in [u(\xi_k-), u(\xi_k+)]. \quad (16)$$

Notice that our solution (13) is a nonstrictly increasing function of the self-similar variable ξ and, therefore, $u(\xi_k-) \leq u(\xi_k+)$.

Let us firstly analyze the solution (13) in the case $\xi_{k-1} < \xi_k < \xi_{k+1}$. If $a_{k-1}, a_k > 0$ then $u(\xi_k-) = u(\xi_k+) = u_k$ so that condition (14) fulfils while (15) reduces to the equality $[A(u)'] = 0$, which is revealed as

$$\frac{a_k(u_{k+1} - u_k)}{F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)} F'((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k) = \frac{a_{k-1}(u_k - u_{k-1})}{F((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}) - F((\xi_{k-1} - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1})} F'((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}). \quad (17)$$

In this situation $\xi = \xi_k$ is a weak discontinuity point, the function $u(\xi)$ itself is continuous, only its derivative $u'(\xi)$ may be discontinuous. Moreover, it follows from (17) that both functions $u(\xi)$ and $u'(\xi)$ are continuous at point ξ_k if $a_k = a_{k-1} > 0$.

If $a_{k-1} > a_k = 0$ then again $u(\xi)$ is continuous at u_k and (15) reduces to the relation

$$\frac{a_{k-1}(u_k - u_{k-1})}{F((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}) - F((\xi_{k-1} - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1})} F'((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}) = 0, \quad (18)$$

which is impossible. If $a_k > a_{k-1} = 0$ then $u(\xi_k-) = u_{k-1} < u_k = u(\xi_k+)$, that is, ξ_k is a strong discontinuity point. Condition (14) holds because $A(u)$ is constant on $[u_{k-1}, u_k]$ ($A'(u) = a_{k-1}^2 = 0$) while (15) turns into

$$(v_{k-1} - \xi_k)(u_k - u_{k-1}) - \frac{a_k(u_{k+1} - u_k)}{F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)} F'((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where we use the fact that $\varphi(u_k) - \varphi(u_{k-1}) = v_{k-1}(u_k - u_{k-1})$. It remains to analyze the situation when $a_k = a_{k-1} = 0$. In this case again $u(\xi_k-) = u_{k-1} < u_k = u(\xi_k+)$ and $A(u_{k-1}) = A(u_k)$ while condition (15) turns into the simple relation

$$\xi_k = v_{k-1}. \quad (20)$$

Finally, since the function $\varphi(u)$ is affine on the segment $[u_{k-1}, u_k]$ and $A(u)'(\xi_k \pm) \geq 0$, then entropy relation (16) is always satisfied.

Now we consider the case when there exists a nontrivial family of mutually equaled values ξ_i , $\xi_i = \xi_k$ for $i = k, \dots, l$, where $l > k$. We can assume that this family is maximal, that is,

$$-\infty \leq \xi_{k-1} < \xi_k = \dots = \xi_l < \xi_{l+1} \leq +\infty.$$

Then $a_i = 0$ for $i = k, \dots, l-1$, and the point $\xi = c \doteq \xi_k$ is a discontinuity point of $u(\xi)$ with the unilateral limits

$$u(c+) = u_l, \quad u(c-) = u_{k'}, \quad \text{where } k' = \begin{cases} k & , \quad a_{k-1} > 0, \\ k-1 & , \quad a_{k-1} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Since $a(u) = 0$ for $u(c-) < u < u(c+)$, we find that $A(u(c-)) = A(u(c+))$ and condition (14) is satisfied. Further, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=k'}^{l-1} (-\xi_{i+1}(u_{i+1} - u_i)) &= -c \sum_{i=k'}^{l-1} (u_{i+1} - u_i) = -c(u_l - u_{k'}), \\ \sum_{i=k'}^{l-1} v_i(u_{i+1} - u_i) &= \sum_{i=k'}^{l-1} (\varphi(u_{i+1}) - \varphi(u_i)) = \varphi(u_l) - \varphi(u_{k'}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, condition (15) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i=k'}^{l-1} (v_i - \xi_{i+1})(u_{i+1} - u_i) - (A(u)'(c+) - A(u)'(c-)) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where, as is easy to verify,

$$A(u)'(c-) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad a_{k-1} = 0, \\ \frac{a_{k-1}(u_k - u_{k-1})}{F((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}) - F((\xi_{k-1} - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1})} F'((\xi_k - v_{k-1})/a_{k-1}) & , \quad a_{k-1} > 0, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$A(u)'(c+) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad a_l = 0, \\ \frac{a_l(u_{l+1} - u_l)}{F((\xi_{l+1} - v_l)/a_l) - F((\xi_l - v_l)/a_l)} F'((\xi_l - v_l)/a_l) & , \quad a_l > 0. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

In the similar way we can write the Oleinik condition (16) as follows

$$\sum_{i=j}^{l-1} (v_i - \xi_{i+1})(u_{i+1} - u_i) - A(u)'(c+) \leq 0 \quad k' < j < l. \quad (24)$$

We use here the fact the function $\varphi(u)$ is piecewise affine and, therefore, it is enough to verify the Oleinik condition (16) only at the nodal points $k = u_j$.

The above reasoning remains valid also in the case when $l = k$. In this case, relation (21) reduces to one of conditions (17), (18), (19), (20) while (24) is trivial.

3 The entropy function

We introduce the convex cone $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ consisting of points $\bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ with increasing coordinates, $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_d$ such that $\xi_{k+1} > \xi_k$ whenever $a_k > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, d-1$. Each point $\bar{\xi} \in \Omega$ determines a function $u(\xi)$ in correspondence with formula (13). Assume firstly that $\bar{\xi} \in \text{Int } \Omega$, that is, the values ξ_k are strictly increasing. Then conditions (17), (18), (19), (20) coincides with the equality $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} E(\bar{\xi}) = 0$, where

$$E(\bar{\xi}) = - \sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k > 0} (a_k)^2 (u_{k+1} - u_k) \ln(F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k = 0} (u_{k+1} - u_k)(\xi_{k+1} - v_k)^2, \quad \bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \Omega. \quad (25)$$

We will call this function **the entropy** because it depends only on the discontinuities of a solution. Thus, for $\bar{\xi} \in \text{Int } \Omega$ the e.s. (13) corresponds to a critical point of the entropy. We are going to demonstrate that the entropy is strictly convex and coercive in Ω . Therefore, it has a unique global minimum point in Ω . In the case when this minimum point lies in $\text{Int } \Omega$ it is a unique critical point.

Obviously, $E(\bar{\xi}) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Notice that for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, such that $a_k > 0$

$$\ln(F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)) < 0.$$

Therefore, all terms in expression (25) are nonnegative and, in particular, $E(\bar{\xi}) \geq 0$.

Proposition 1 (coercivity). *The sets $E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c$ are compact for each constant $c \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c$ then it follows from nonnegativity of all terms in (25) that for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$

$$-(a_k)^2 (u_{k+1} - u_k) \ln(F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)) \leq E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c \text{ if } a_k > 0, \quad (26)$$

$$(u_{k+1} - u_k)(\xi_{k+1} - v_k)^2/2 \leq c \text{ if } a_k = 0. \quad (27)$$

Relation (26) implies the estimate

$$F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k) \geq \delta \doteq \exp(-c/m) > 0, \quad (28)$$

where $m = \min_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k > 0} (a_k)^2 (u_{k+1} - u_k) > 0$. If $a_0 > 0$ relation (28) with $k = 0$ reads $F((\xi_1 - v_0)/a_0) > \delta$ (notice that $F((\xi_0 - v_0)/a_0) = F(-\infty) = 0$), which implies that

$$\xi_1 \geq v_0 + a_0 F^{-1}(\delta).$$

On the other hand, if $a_0 = 0$ then $(u_1 - u_0)(\xi_1 - v_0)^2 \leq 2c$, in view of (27) with $k = 0$, and

$$\xi_1 \geq v_0 - (2c/(u_1 - u_0))^{1/2}.$$

In any case,

$$\xi_1 \geq r_1 \doteq v_0 + \min(a_0 F^{-1}(\delta), -(2c/(u_1 - u_0))^{1/2}). \quad (29)$$

To get an upper bound, we remark that in the case $a_{n-1} > 0$ it follows from (28) with $k = d = n - 1$ that $F(-(\xi_{n-1} - v_{n-1})/a_{n-1}) = 1 - F((\xi_{n-1} - v_{n-1})/a_{n-1}) \geq \delta$ (observe that $F((\xi_n - v_{n-1})/a_{n-1}) = F(+\infty) = 1$), which implies the estimate

$$\xi_d \leq v_{n-1} - a_{n-1} F^{-1}(\delta).$$

If $a_{n-1} = 0$ then $d = n$ and in view of inequality (27) with $k = n - 1$ we find $(u_n - u_{n-1})(\xi_n - v_{n-1})^2/2 \leq c$, that is,

$$\xi_d \leq v_{n-1} + (2c/(u_n - u_{n-1}))^{1/2}.$$

In both cases

$$\xi_d \leq r_2 \doteq v_{n-1} + \max(-a_{n-1} F^{-1}(\delta), (2c/(u_n - u_{n-1}))^{1/2}). \quad (30)$$

Since all coordinates of $\bar{\xi}$ lie between ξ_1 and ξ_d , estimates (29), (30) imply the bound

$$|\bar{\xi}|_\infty = \max_{k=1, \dots, d} |\xi_k| \leq r \doteq \max(|r_1|, |r_2|).$$

Further, since $F'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} < 1$, the function $F(x)$ is Lipschitz with constant 1 and it follows from (28) that

$$(\xi_{k+1} - \xi_k)/a_k \geq F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k) \geq \delta, \quad k = 1, \dots, d-1, a_k > 0.$$

We find that

$$\xi_{k+1} - \xi_k \geq a_k \delta$$

(this also includes the case $a_k = 0$). We conclude that the set $E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c$ lies in the compact set

$$K = \{ \bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\bar{\xi}|_\infty \leq r, \xi_{k+1} - \xi_k \geq a_k \delta \forall k = 1, \dots, d-1 \}.$$

By the continuity of $E(\bar{\xi})$ the set $E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c$ is a closed subset of K and therefore is compact. \square

We take $c > N \doteq \inf E(\bar{\xi})$. Then the set $E(\bar{\xi}) \leq c$ is not empty. By Proposition 1 this set is compact and therefore the continuous function $E(\bar{\xi})$ reaches the minimal value on it, which is evidently equal to N . We proved the existence of global minimum $E(\bar{\xi}_0) = \min E(\bar{\xi})$. The uniqueness of the minimum point is a consequence of strict convexity of the entropy, which is stated in Proposition 2 below. The following lemma plays a key role.

Lemma 1. *The function $P(x, y) = -\ln(F(x) - F(y))$ is strictly convex in the half-plane $x > y$.*

Proof. The function $P(x, y)$ is infinitely differentiable in the domain $x > y$. To prove the lemma, we need to establish that the Hessian D^2P is positive definite at every point. By the direct computation we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}P(x, y) &= \frac{(F'(x))^2 - F''(x)(F(x) - F(y))}{(F(x) - F(y))^2}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}P(x, y) &= \frac{(F'(y))^2 - F''(y)(F(y) - F(x))}{(F(x) - F(y))^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}P(x, y) = -\frac{F'(x)F'(y)}{(F(x) - F(y))^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We have to prove positive definiteness of the matrix $Q = (F(x) - F(y))^2 D^2P(x, y)$ with the components

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{11} &= (F'(x))^2 - F''(x)(F(x) - F(y)), \\ Q_{22} &= (F'(y))^2 - F''(y)(F(y) - F(x)), \quad Q_{12} = Q_{21} = -F'(x)F'(y).\end{aligned}$$

Since $F'(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$, then $F''(x) = -xF'(x)$ and the diagonal elements of this matrix can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{11} &= F'(x)(x(F(x) - F(y)) + F'(x)) = \\ &F'(x)(x(F(x) - F(y)) + (F'(x) - F'(y))) + F'(x)F'(y), \\ Q_{22} &= F'(y)(y(F(y) - F(x)) + (F'(y) - F'(x))) + F'(x)F'(y).\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy mean value theorem there exists such a value $z \in (y, x)$ that

$$\frac{F'(x) - F'(y)}{F(x) - F(y)} = \frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)} = -z.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{11} &= F'(x)(F(x) - F(y))(x - z) + F'(x)F'(y), \\ Q_{22} &= F'(y)(F(x) - F(y))(z - y) + F'(x)F'(y),\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $Q = R_1 + F'(x)F'(y)R_2$, where R_1 is a diagonal matrix with the positive diagonal elements $F'(x)(F(x) - F(y))(x - z)$, $F'(y)(F(x) - F(y))(z - y)$ while $R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $R_1 > 0$, $R_2 \geq 0$, then the matrix $Q > 0$, as was to be proved. \square

Corollary 1. *The functions $P(x, -\infty) = -\ln F(x)$, $P(+\infty, x) = -\ln(1 - F(x))$ of single variable are strictly convex.*

Proof. Since $1 - F(x) = F(-x)$, we see that $P(+\infty, x) = P(-x, -\infty)$, and it is sufficient to prove the strict convexity of the function $P(x, -\infty) = -\ln F(x)$. By Lemma 1 in the limit as $y \rightarrow -\infty$ we obtain that this function is convex, moreover,

$$0 \leq (F(x))^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2}P(x, -\infty) = \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} Q_{11} = F'(x)(xF(x) + F'(x)).$$

If $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}P(x, -\infty) = 0$ at some point $x = x_0$ then $0 = x_0F(x_0) + F'(x_0)$ is the minimum of the nonnegative function $xF(x) + F'(x)$. Therefore, its derivative $(xF + F')'(x_0) = 0$. Since $F''(x) = -xF'(x)$, this derivative

$$(xF + F')'(x_0) = F(x_0) + x_0F'(x_0) + F''(x_0) = F(x_0) > 0.$$

But this contradicts our assumption. We conclude that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}P(x, -\infty) > 0$ and the function $P(x, -\infty)$ is strictly convex. \square

Proposition 2 (convexity). *The entropy function $E(\bar{\xi})$ is strictly convex on Ω .*

Proof. For $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ we denote $P_k(\bar{\xi}) = -\ln(F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k))$ if $a_k > 0$, and $P_k(\bar{\xi}) = (\xi_{k+1} - v_k)^2$ if $a_k = 0$. In view of (25) the entropy $E(\bar{\xi})$ is a linear combination of the functions P_k with positive coefficients, and convexity of the entropy readily follows from the statements of Lemma 1 and Corollary 1. To establish the strict convexity, we have to demonstrate that the Hessian matrix $D^2E(\bar{\xi})$ is strictly positive. Assume that for some $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$D^2E(\bar{\xi})\zeta \cdot \zeta = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 E(\bar{\xi})}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} \zeta_i \zeta_j = 0. \quad (31)$$

Since $E(\bar{\xi})$ is a linear combination of convex functions $P_k(\bar{\xi})$ with positive coefficients, we find that

$$D^2P_k(\bar{\xi})\zeta \cdot \zeta = 0 \quad \forall k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

This can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i,j=k,k+1} \frac{\partial^2 P_k(\bar{\xi})}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j} \zeta_i \zeta_j = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < k < n-1, a_k > 0;$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 P_k(\bar{\xi})}{\partial \xi_{k+1}^2} \zeta_{k+1}^2 \quad \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } a_k = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 the functions P_k in above equalities are strictly convex as functions of either two variables (ξ_k, ξ_{k+1}) or single variable ξ_{k+1} . Therefore, these equalities imply that in any case $\zeta_{k+1} = 0$, $k = 0, \dots, n-2$, and $\zeta_n = 0$ if $a_{n-1} = 0$ (when $d = n$). We conclude that all coordinates $\zeta_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Hence, equality (31) can hold only for $\zeta = 0$ and the matrix $D^2P(\bar{\xi}) > 0$ for all $\bar{\xi} \in \Omega$. This completes the proof. \square

4 The variational formulation

Let $\bar{\xi}_0 = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \Omega$ be the unique minimum point of $E(\bar{\xi})$. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\bar{\xi}_0$ to be a minimum point is the following one

$$\nabla E(\bar{\xi}_0) \cdot p \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in T(\bar{\xi}_0) = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \exists h > 0 \bar{\xi}_0 + hp \in \Omega \}, \quad (32)$$

so that $T(\bar{\xi}_0)$ is the tangent cone to Ω at the point $\bar{\xi}_0$. If $\bar{\xi}_0 \in \text{Int} \Omega$ then $T(\bar{\xi}_0) = \mathbb{R}^d$ and (32) reduces to the requirement $\nabla E(\bar{\xi}_0) = 0$. As we have already demonstrated, this requirement coincides with jump conditions (17), (18), (19), (20) for all $k = 1, \dots, d$. But these conditions are equivalent to the statement that the function (13) is an e.s. of (1), (3). In the general situation when $\bar{\xi}_0$ can belong to the boundary of Ω , the coordinates of $\bar{\xi}_0$ may coincide. Let $\xi_k = \dots = \xi_l = c$ be a maximal family of coinciding coordinates, that is, $\xi_{k-1} < \xi_k = \xi_l < \xi_{l+1}$ (it is possible here that $k = l$). Then, as is easy to realize, the vector $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d)$, with arbitrary increasing coordinates $p_k \leq \dots \leq p_l$ and with zero remaining coordinates, belong to the tangent cone $T(\bar{\xi}_0)$. In view of (32)

$$\sum_{i=k}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} E(\bar{\xi}_0) p_i \geq 0$$

for any such a vector. Using the summation by parts formula, we realize that the above condition is equivalent to the following requirements

$$\sum_{i=k}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} E(\bar{\xi}_0) = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\sum_{i=j}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} E(\bar{\xi}_0) \geq 0, \quad k < j \leq l. \quad (34)$$

Recall that $a_i = 0$ for $k \leq i < l$. By the direct computation we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} E(\bar{\xi}_0) &= (u_i - u_{i-1})(\xi_i - v_{i-1}), \quad k < i < l, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} E(\bar{\xi}_0) &= \begin{cases} (u_k - u_{k-1})(\xi_k - v_{k-1}) & , \quad a_{k-1} = 0, \\ -A(u)'(c-) & , \quad a_{k-1} > 0; \end{cases} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l} E(\bar{\xi}_0) &= A(u)'(c+), \end{aligned}$$

where $A(u)'(c\pm)$ are given by (22), (23). Putting these expressions into (33), (34), we obtain exactly the jump conditions (21), (24). Therefore, the function (13) corresponding to the point $\bar{\xi}_0$ is an e.s. of (1), (3). Conversely, if (13) is an e.s. then relations (33), (34) holds for all groups of coinciding coordinates. As is easy to verify, this is equivalent to the criterion (32). We have proved our main result.

Theorem 1. *The function (13) is an e.s. of (1), (3) if and only if $\bar{\xi}_0 = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$ is the minimum point of the entropy $E(\bar{\xi})$.*

Remark 1. Adding to the entropy (25) the constant

$$\sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k > 0} (a_k)^2 (u_{k+1} - u_k) \ln((u_{k+1} - u_k)/a_k),$$

we obtain the alternative variant of the entropy

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\bar{\xi}) &= - \sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k > 0} (a_k)^2 (u_{k+1} - u_k) \ln \left(\frac{F((\xi_{k+1} - v_k)/a_k) - F((\xi_k - v_k)/a_k)}{(u_{k+1} - u_k)/a_k} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1, a_k = 0} (u_{k+1} - u_k)(\xi_{k+1} - v_k)^2. \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

If we consider the values v_k, a_k as a piecewise constant approximation of an arbitrary velocity function $v(u)$ and, respectively, a diffusion function $a(u) \geq 0$ then, passing in (35) to the limit as $\max(u_{k+1} - u_k) \rightarrow 0$, we find that the entropy $E_1(\bar{\xi})$ turns into the variational functional

$$\begin{aligned} J(\xi) &= - \int_{\{u \in [\alpha, \beta], a(u) > 0\}} (a(u))^2 \ln(F'((\xi(u) - v(u))/a(u))\xi'(u)) du + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{u \in [\alpha, \beta], a(u) = 0\}} (\xi(u) - v(u))^2 du, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(u)$ is an increasing function on $[\alpha, \beta]$, which is expected to be the inverse function to a self-similar solution $u = u(\xi)$ of the problem (1), (3). Taking into account that

$$\ln(F'((\xi(u) - v(u))/a(u))\xi'(u)) = \ln F'((\xi(u) - v(u))/a(u)) + \ln \xi'(u) = -\frac{(\xi(u) - v(u))^2}{2a^2(u)} + \ln \xi'(u),$$

we may simplify the expression for the functional $J(\xi)$

$$J(\xi) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} [(\xi(u) - v(u))^2/2 - (a(u))^2 \ln(\xi'(u))] du. \quad (36)$$

We see that this functional is strictly convex. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation has the form

$$\xi(u) - v(u) + ((a(u))^2/\xi'(u))' = 0. \quad (37)$$

Since $u'(\xi) = 1/\xi'(u)$, $u = u(\xi)$, we can transform (37) as follows

$$\xi(u) - v(u) + ((a(u))^2 u'(\xi))'_u = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by $u'(\xi)$, we obtain the equation

$$(a^2 u')' = (v - \xi)u', \quad u = u(\xi),$$

which is exactly our equation (1) written in the self-similar variable.

Remark 2. In the case of conservation laws (2) the e.s. $u = u(\xi)$ of (2), (3) is piecewise constant, and, by expression (13),

$$u(\xi) = u_k, \quad \xi_k < \xi < \xi_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n,$$

where $-\infty = \xi_0 < \xi_1 \leq \dots \leq \xi_n < \xi_{n+1} = +\infty$. In this case the entropy function is particularly simple, it is the quadratic function

$$E(\bar{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k - u_{k-1})(\xi_k - v_{k-1})^2,$$

defined on the closed polyhedral cone

$$\Omega = \{ \bar{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \xi_{k+1} \geq \xi_k \ \forall k = 1, \dots, n-1 \}.$$

Existence and uniqueness of a minimal point in this case is trivial. By Theorem 1 and Remark 1 we obtain new, variational formulation of the entropy solution.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, grant 22-21-00344.

References

- [1] J. Carrillo, Entropy solutions for nonlinear degenerate problems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 147 (1999), 269–361.
- [2] S. N. Kruzhkov, First order quasilinear equations in several independent variables, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 81 (1970), 228–255.
- [3] O. A. Oleinik, Uniqueness and stability of the generalized solution of the Cauchy problem for a quasi-linear equation, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 14:2(86) (1959), 165–170.