

New bounds and constructions for neighbor-locating colorings of graphs

DIPAYAN CHAKRABORTY^a, FLORENT FOUCAUD^a, SOUMEN NANDI^b,
SAGNIK SEN^c, D K SUPRAJA^{b,c}

(a) LIMOS, Université Clermont Auvergne, France

(b) Netaji Subhas Open University, India

(c) Indian Institute of Technology Dharwad, India

February 1, 2023

Abstract

A proper k -coloring of a graph G is a *neighbor-locating k -coloring* if for each pair of vertices in the same color class, the sets of colors found in their neighborhoods are different. The neighbor-locating chromatic number $\chi_{NL}(G)$ is the minimum k for which G admits a neighbor-locating k -coloring. A proper k -coloring of a graph G is a *locating k -coloring* if for each pair of vertices x and y in the same color-class, there exists a color class S_i such that $d(x, S_i) \neq d(y, S_i)$. The locating chromatic number $\chi_L(G)$ is the minimum k for which G admits a locating k -coloring. It follows that $\chi(G) \leq \chi_L(G) \leq \chi_{NL}(G)$ for any graph G , where $\chi(G)$ is the usual chromatic number of G .

We show that for any three integers p, q, r with $2 \leq p \leq q \leq r$ (except when $2 = p = q < r$), there exists a connected graph $G_{p,q,r}$ with $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = q$ and $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$. We also show that the locating chromatic number (resp., neighbor-locating chromatic number) of an induced subgraph of a graph G can be arbitrarily larger than that of G .

Alcon *et al.* showed that the number n of vertices of G is bounded above by $k(2^{k-1} - 1)$, where $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$ and G is connected (this bound is tight). When G has maximum degree Δ , they also showed that a smaller upper-bound on n of order $k^{\Delta+1}$ holds. We generalize the latter by proving that if G has order n and at most $an + b$ edges, then n is upper-bounded by a bound of the order of $k^{2a+1} + 2b$. Moreover, we describe constructions of such graphs which are close to reaching the bound.

Keywords: coloring, neighbor-locating coloring, neighbor-locating chromatic number.

1 Introduction

Taking cues from the concepts of location [15], neighbor-location [16] and locally identifying colorings [10], recently, two variants of graph coloring were introduced, namely, *locating coloring* [8] and *neighbor-locating coloring* [2, 4]. While the former concept has been well-studied since 2002 [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19]), our focus of study is the latter, which was introduced in 2014 in [4] under the name of *adjacency locating coloring*, renamed in 2020 in [2] and studied in a few papers since then [1, 3, 11, 12].

Throughout this article, we will use the standard terminologies and notations used in “Introduction to Graph Theory” by West [20].

Given a graph G , a (*proper*) k -coloring is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $f(u) \neq f(v)$ whenever u is adjacent to v . The value $f(v)$ is called the *color* of v . The *chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum k for which G admits a k -coloring.

Given a (proper) k -coloring f of G , its i^{th} color class is the collection S_i of vertices that have received the color i . The distance between a vertex x and a set S of vertices is given by $d(x, S) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in S\}$, where $d(x, y)$ is the number of edges in a shortest path connecting x and y . Two vertices x and y are *metric-distinguished* with respect to f if either $f(x) \neq f(y)$ or $d(x, S_i) \neq d(y, S_i)$ for some color class S_i . A (proper) k -coloring f of G is a *locating k -coloring* if any two distinct vertices are metric-distinguished with respect to f . The *locating chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_L(G)$, is the minimum k for which G admits a locating k -coloring.

Given a (proper) k -coloring f of G , suppose that a neighbor y of a vertex x belongs to the color class S_i . In such a scenario, we say that i is a *color-neighbor* of x (with respect to f). The set of all color-neighbors of x is denoted by $N_f(x)$. Two vertices x and y are *neighbor-distinguished* with respect to f if either $f(x) \neq f(y)$ or $N_f(x) \neq N_f(y)$. A (proper) k -coloring f is *neighbor-locating k -coloring* if each pair of distinct vertices are neighbor-distinguished. The *neighbor-locating chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_{NL}(G)$, is the minimum k for which G admits a neighbor-locating k -coloring.

Observe that a neighbor-locating coloring is, in particular, a locating coloring as well. Therefore, we have the following obvious relation among the three parameters [2]:

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_L(G) \leq \chi_{NL}(G).$$

Note that for complete graphs, all three parameters have the same value, that is, equality holds in the above relation. Nevertheless, the difference between the pairs of values of parameters $\chi(\cdot), \chi_{NL}(\cdot)$ and $\chi_L(\cdot), \chi_{NL}(\cdot)$, respectively, can be arbitrarily large. Moreover, it was proved that for any pair p, q of integers with $3 \leq p \leq q$, there exists a connected graph G_1 with $\chi(G_1) = p$ and $\chi_{NL}(G_1) = q$ [2] and a connected graph G_2 with $\chi_L(G_2) = p$ and $\chi_{NL}(G_2) = q$ [12]. The latter of the two results positively settled a conjecture posed in [2]. We strengthen these results by showing that for any three integers p, q, r with $2 \leq p \leq q \leq r$, there exists a connected graph $G_{p,q,r}$ with $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = q$ and $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$, except when $2 = p = q < r$.

One fundamental difference between coloring and locating coloring (resp., neighbor-locating coloring) is that the restriction of a coloring of G to an (induced) subgraph H is necessarily a coloring, whereas the analogous property is not true for locating coloring

(resp., neighbor-locating coloring). Interestingly, we show that the locating chromatic number (resp., neighbor-locating chromatic number) of an induced subgraph H of G can be arbitrarily larger than that of G .

Alcon *et al.* [2] showed that the number n of vertices of G is bounded above by $k(2^{k-1} - 1)$, where $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$ and G has no isolated vertices, and this bound is tight. This exponential bound is reduced to a polynomial one when G has maximum degree Δ , indeed it was further shown in [2] that the upper-bound $n \leq k \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta} \binom{k-1}{j}$ holds (for graphs with no isolated vertices and when $\Delta \leq k-1$). It was left open whether this bound is tight. The *cycle rank* c of a graph G , denoted by $c(G)$, is defined as $c(G) = |E(G)| - n(G) + 1$. Alcon *et al.* [3] gave the upper bound $n \leq \frac{1}{2}(k^3 + k^2 - 2k) + 2(c-1)$ for graphs of order n , neighbor-locating chromatic number k and cycle rank c . Further, they also obtained tight upper bounds on the order of trees and unicyclic graphs in terms of the neighbor-locating chromatic number [3], where a unicyclic graph is a connected graph having exactly one cycle.

As a connected graph with cycle rank c and order n has $n + c - 1$ edges and a graph of order n and maximum degree Δ has at most $\frac{\Delta}{2}n$ edges, the two latter bounds can be seen as two approaches for studying the neighbor-locating coloring for sparse graphs. We generalize this approach by studying graphs with given average degree, or in other words, graphs of order n having at most $an + b$ edges for some constants a, b (such graphs have average degree $2a + 2b/n$). For such graphs, we prove the upper bound $n \leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i}$. Furthermore, we show that this bound is asymptotically tight, by a construction of graphs with $an + b$ edges (where $2a$ is any positive integer and $2b$ any integer) and neighbor-locating chromatic number $\Theta(k)$, whose order is $\Theta(k^{2a+1})$. Moreover, when $b = 0$, the graphs can be taken to have maximum degree $2a$. This implies that our bound and the one from [2] are roughly tight.

In Section 2, we study the connected graphs with prescribed values of chromatic number, locating chromatic number and neighbor-locating chromatic number. We also study the relation between the locating chromatic number (resp., neighbor-locating chromatic number) of a graph and its induced subgraphs. Finally, in Section 3 we study the density of graphs having bounded neighbor-locating chromatic number.

2 Gap between $\chi(G)$, $\chi_L(G)$ and $\chi_{NL}(G)$

The first result we would like to prove involves three different parameters, namely, the chromatic number, the locating chromatic number, and the neighbor-locating chromatic number.

Theorem 2.1. *For all $2 \leq p \leq q \leq r$, except when $p = q = 2$ and $r > 2$, there exists a connected graph $G_{p,q,r}$ satisfying $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = q$, and $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$.*

Proof. First of all, let us assume that $p = q = r$. In this case, for $G_{p,q,r} = K_p$, it is trivial to note that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = \chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = \chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = p$. This completes the case when $p = q = r$.

Second of all, let us handle the case when $p < q = r$. If $2 = p < q = r$, then take $G_{p,q,r} = K_{1,q-1}$. Therefore, we have $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = 2$ as it is a bipartite graph, and it is known that $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = \chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = q$ [2, 8].

If $3 \leq p < q = r$, then we construct $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: start with a complete graph K_p , on vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} , take $(q-1)$ new vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{q-1} , and make them adjacent to v_0 . It is trivial to note that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$ in this case. Moreover, note that we need to assign q distinct colors to $v_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{q-1}$ under any locating or neighbor-locating coloring. On the other hand, $f(v_i) = i + 1$ and $f(u_j) = j + 1$ is a valid locating q -coloring as well as neighbor locating q -coloring of $G_{p,q,r}$. Thus we are done with the cases when $p < q = r$.

Thirdly, we are going to consider the case when $p = q < r$. If $3 = p = q < r$, then let $G_{p,q,r} = C_n$ where C_n is an odd cycle of suitable length, that is, a length which will imply $\chi_{NL}(C_n) = r$. It is known that such a cycle exists [1, 4]. As we know that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = 3$, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = 3$ [8], and $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$ [1, 4], we are done.

If $4 \leq p = q < r$, then we construct $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: start with a complete graph K_p on vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} , and an odd cycle C_n on vertices u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} , and identify the vertices v_0 and u_0 . Moreover, we say that the length of the odd cycle C_n is a suitable length, that is, it is of a length which ensures $\chi_{NL}(C_n) = r$. It is known that such a cycle exists [1, 4]. Notice that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$. A locating coloring f can be assigned to $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: $f(v_i) = i + 1$, $f(u_j) = a$ for odd integers $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $f(u_l) = b$ for even integers $2 \leq l \leq n - 1$, where $a, b \in \{2, 3, \dots, p\}$. A vertex $v_i \in K_p$ (other than v_0) and a vertex $u_j \in C_n$ such that $f(v_i) = f(u_j)$ are metric-distinguished with respect to f since $d(v_i, S_l) = 1 \neq d(u_j, S_l)$ for at least one $l \in \{2, 3, \dots, p\} \setminus \{a, b\}$. Thus, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = p$. On the other hand, as the neighborhood of the vertices of the cycle C_n (subgraph of $G_{p,q,r}$) does not change if we consider it as an induced subgraph except for the vertex $v_0 = u_0$. Thus, we will need at least r colors to color C_n while it is contained inside $G_{p,q,r}$ as a subgraph. Assign a neighbor-locating coloring c to $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: assign p distinct colors to the complete graph K_p . Use p colors from K_p and $r - p$ new colors to color the odd cycle C_n . A vertex $v_i \in K_p$ (other than v_0) and a vertex $u_j \in C_n$ such that $c(v_i) = c(u_j)$ are neighbor-distinguished with respect to c since v_i has $p - 1$ distinct color neighbors whereas u_j can have at most two distinguished color neighbors. Hence $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$. Thus, we are done in this case also.

Finally, we are into the case when $p < q < r$. If $2 = p < q < r$, then refer [12] for this case.

If $3 = p < q < r$, then we start with an odd cycle C_n on vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , where $n = k = \frac{r(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$ if k is odd and $n = k - 1$ if k is even. It is known that $\chi_{NL}(C_n) = r$ from [1, 4]. Take $q - 1$ new vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{q-1} and make all of them adjacent to v_0 . This so obtained graph is $G_{p,q,r}$. It is trivial to note that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = 3$ in this case. Note that we need to assign q distinct colors to $v_1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{q-1}$ under any locating or neighbor-locating coloring. Now, we assign a locating coloring f to $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: $f(v_1) = 1$, $f(v_i) = 2$ for all even integers $2 \leq i \leq n$, $f(v_j) = 3$ for all odd integers $3 \leq j \leq n$, $f(u_s) = s + 1$ for all $1 \leq s \leq q - 1$. This gives us $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = q$.

On the other hand, as the neighborhood of the vertices of the cycle C_n (subgraph of $G_{p,q,r}$) does not change if we consider it as an induced subgraph except for the vertex v_1 .

Thus, we will need at least r colors to color C_n while it is contained inside $G_{p,q,r}$ as a subgraph. Assign a neighbor-locating coloring c to $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: assign a neighbor-locating r -coloring to the odd cycle C_n such that each vertex has two distinct color neighbors in case of $n = k$ and all vertices except the two vertices say v_i and v_j , have two distinct color neighbors in case of $n = k - 1$ (refer [1] for such a neighbor-locating r -coloring). Assign distinct colors to the $q - 1$ leaf vertices by choosing any $q - 1$ colors from r colors (except $c(v_i)$ and $c(v_j)$ in case of $n = k - 1$) given to the cycle C_n . A vertex v_i ($i \neq 1$) in the cycle and a leaf vertex u_j such that $f(v_i) = f(u_j)$ are neighbor distinguished since v_i has two distinct color neighbors whereas u_j has only one color neighbor. Hence we have $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$.

If $4 \leq p < q < r$, then we start with a path P_n on n vertices, where $n = \frac{r(r-1)(r-2)}{2}$. It is known that $\chi_{NL}(P_n) = r$ from [1, 4]. Let $P_n = u_0u_1 \cdots u_{n-1}$. Now let us take a complete graph on p vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1} . Identify the two graphs at u_0 and v_0 to obtain a new graph. Furthermore, take $(q - 2)$ independent vertices w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{q-2} and make them adjacent to u_{n-2} . This so obtained graph is $G_{p,q,r}$. It is trivial that $\chi(G_{p,q,r}) = p$. Note that under any locating or neighbor-locating coloring, q distinct colors have to be given to the vertices $u_{n-2}, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{q-2}, u_{n-1}$. Now, define a locating coloring f of $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: $f(v_0) = f(v_{n-3}) = 1$, $f(v_i) = 2$ for all odd integers $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $f(v_j) = 3$ for all even integers $2 \leq j \leq n-2$, $f(v_{n-2}) = 2$, $f(v_{n-1}) = 3$ if n is even ($f(v_{n-2}) = 3$, $f(v_{n-1}) = 2$ if n is odd), colors $1, 4, 5, 6, \dots, q$ to the leaf vertices and $f(k_s) = s + 1$ for all $1 \leq s \leq p - 1$. Thus, $\chi_L(G_{p,q,r}) = q$.

Moreover, as the neighborhood of the vertices of the path P_n (subgraph of $G_{p,q,r}$) does not change if we consider it as an induced subgraph except for the vertices u_0 and u_{n-2} . Thus, we will need at least r colors to color P_n while it is contained inside $G_{p,q,r}$ as a subgraph. Assign a neighbor-locating coloring c to $G_{p,q,r}$ as follows: assign a neighbor-locating r -coloring to the path P_n such that each vertex (except the end vertices u_0 and u_{n-1}) has two distinct color-neighbors (refer [1] for such a neighbor-locating r -coloring). Choose any $p - 1$ distinct colors from r colors (except $c(u_0)$) used in neighbor-locating r -coloring of P_n and assign them to the remaining $p - 1$ vertices of the complete graph. Assign distinct colors to the $q - 2$ leaf vertices by choosing any $q - 2$ colors from r colors of P_n except the colors $c(u_0)$, $c(u_{n-2})$ and $c(u_{n-1})$. A vertex u_i ($i \neq 0, n - 2, n - 1$) in the path and a leaf vertex w_j such that $c(u_i) = c(w_j)$ are neighbor distinguished since u_i has two distinct color neighbors whereas w_j has only one color neighbor. Hence, we have $\chi_{NL}(G_{p,q,r}) = r$. \square

Furthermore, we show that, unlike the case of chromatic number, an induced subgraph can have an arbitrarily higher locating chromatic number (resp., neighbor-locating chromatic number) than that of the graph.

Theorem 2.2. *For every $k \geq 0$, there exists a graph G_k having an induced subgraph H_k such that $\chi_L(H_k) - \chi_L(G_k) = k$ and $\chi_{NL}(H_k) - \chi_{NL}(G_k) = k$.*

Proof. The graph G_k is constructed as follows. We start with $2k$ independent vertices a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k} and k disjoint edges $b_1b'_1, b_2b'_2, \dots, b_kb'_k$. After that we make all the above mentioned vertices adjacent to a special vertex v to obtain our graph G_k . Notice that

v and the a_i s must all receive distinct colors under any locating coloring or neighbor-locating coloring. On the other hand, the coloring f given by $f(v) = 1$, $f(a_i) = i + 1$, $f(b_i) = 2i + 1$, and $f(b'_i) = 2i$ is indeed a locating coloring as well as a neighbor-locating coloring of G_k . Hence we have $\chi_L(G_k) = \chi_{NL}(G_k) = (2k + 1)$.

Now take H_k as the subgraph induced by v , a_i s and b_i s. It is the graph $K_{1,3k}$. Hence we have $\chi_L(H_k) = \chi_{NL}(H_k) = (3k + 1)$ [2, 8].

This completes the proof. \square

3 Bounds and constructions for sparse graphs

In this section, we study the density of graphs having bounded neighbor-locating chromatic number.

3.1 Bounds

The first among those results provides an upper bound on the number of vertices of a graph in terms of its neighbor-locating chromatic number. This, in particular shows that the number of vertices of a graph G is bounded above by a polynomial function of $\chi_{NL}(G)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices and m edges such that $m \leq an + b$, where $2a$ is a positive integer and $2b$ is an integer. If $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$, then*

$$n \leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

In particular, any graph whose order attains the upper bound must be of maximum degree $2a + 1$ and with exactly $k \binom{k-1}{i}$ number of vertices of degree i .

Proof. Let D_i and d_i denote the set and the number of vertices in G having degree equal to i , respectively, and let D_i^+ and d_i^+ denote the set and the number of vertices in G having degree at least i , for all $i \geq 1$. Using the handshaking lemma, we know that

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) = 2|E(G)| = 2m \leq 2(an + b).$$

Notice that, as G is connected, and hence does not have any vertex of degree 0, it is possible to write

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{2a} i \cdot d_i + \sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} \deg(v).$$

Moreover, the number of vertices of G can be expressed as

$$n = (d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{2a}) + d_{2a+1}^+ = d_{2a+1}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} d_i.$$

Therefore, combining the above equations and inequalities, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2a} i \cdot d_i + \sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} \deg(v) \leq 2b + 2a \left(d_{2a+1}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} d_i \right)$$

which implies

$$d_{2a+1}^+ \leq \sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} (\deg(v) - 2a) \leq \left(\sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} \deg(v) \right) - 2ad_{2a+1}^+ \leq 2b + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a - i)d_i$$

since there are exactly d_{2a+1}^+ terms in the summation $\sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} (\deg(v) - 2a)$ where each term is greater than or equal to 1, as $\deg(v) \geq 2a + 1$ for all $v \in D_{2a+1}^+$.

Let f be any neighbor-locating k -coloring of G . Consider an ordered pair $(f(u), N_f(u))$, where u is a vertex having degree at most s . Thus, u may receive one of the k available colors, while its color neighborhood may consist of at most s of the remaining $(k - 1)$ colors. Thus, there are at most $k \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{k-1}{i}$ choices for the ordered pair $(f(u), N_f(u))$. As for any two vertices u, v of degree at most s , the following ordered pairs $(f(u), N_f(u))$ and $(f(v), N_f(v))$ must be distinct, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \leq k \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

Using the above relation, we can show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i)d_i = \sum_{s=1}^{2a} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s d_i \right) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{2a} \left(k \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{k-1}{i} \right) = k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

As

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i)d_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2a} d_i + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a - i)d_i \text{ and } d_{2a+1}^+ \leq 2b + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a - i)d_i,$$

we have

$$n = d_{2a+1}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{2a} d_i \leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

This completes the first part of the proof.

For the proof of the second part of the Theorem, we notice that if the order of a graph G^* attains the upper bound, then equality holds in all of the above inequations. In particular, we must have $d_{2a+1}^+ = \sum_{v \in D_{2a+1}^+} (\deg(v) - 2a)$ which implies that G^* cannot have a vertex of degree more than $2a + 1$. Moreover, we also have the following equality.

$$\sum_{i=1}^s d_i = k \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{k-1}{i} \text{ for } s = 1, 2, \dots, 2a + 1.$$

This proves that G^* has exactly $k \binom{k-1}{i}$ vertices of degree i . \square

Next we are going to present some immediate corollaries of Theorem 3.1. A *cactus* is a connected graph in which no two cycles share a common edge.

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a cactus on n vertices and m edges. If $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$, then*

$$n \leq \frac{k^4 + 11k^2 - 12k - 6}{6}.$$

Moreover, if the cactus has exactly t cycles, then we have

$$n \leq 2(t-1) + \frac{k^3 + k^2 - 2k}{2}.$$

Proof. Observe that G has at most $\frac{3(n-1)}{2}$ edges. So, by substituting $a = \frac{3}{2}$ and $b = -\frac{3}{2}$ in the bound for n established in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i} = -3 + k \sum_{i=1}^3 (4-i) \binom{k-1}{i} \\ &= -3 + 3k \binom{k-1}{1} + 2k \binom{k-1}{2} + k \binom{k-1}{3} \\ &= \frac{k^4 + 11k^2 - 12k - 6}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if the cactus G has exactly t cycles, then G has exactly $(n+t-1)$ edges. Hence, replacing $a = 1$ and $b = (t-1)$ in the bound for n established in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i} = 2(t-1) + k \sum_{i=1}^2 (3-i) \binom{k-1}{i} \\ &= 2(t-1) + 2k \binom{k-1}{1} + k \binom{k-1}{2} \\ &= 2(t-1) + \frac{k^3 + k^2 - 2k}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

A graph is *t-degenerate* if its every subgraph has a vertex of degree at most t .

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a t -degenerate graph on n vertices and m edges. If $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$, then*

$$n \leq k \sum_{i=1}^{2t} (2t + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i} - t(t+1).$$

Proof. Observe that the number of edges in a t -degenerate graph is $m \leq tn - \frac{t(t+1)}{2}$. Substituting $a = t$ and $b = -\frac{t(t+1)}{2}$ in the bound for n established in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$n \leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i} = -t(t+1) + k \sum_{i=1}^{2t} (2t + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

□

A planar graph is 5-degenerate, thus using the above corollary, we know that for a planar graph G one can obtain an upper bound of $|V(G)|$. However, since $|E(G)| \leq 3|V(G)| - 6$, we are able to obtain a better bound.

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a planar graph on n vertices and m edges. If $\chi_{NL}(G) = k$, then*

$$n \leq k \sum_{i=1}^6 (7-i) \binom{k-1}{i} - 12.$$

Proof. Note that the number of edges in a planar graph is at most $3n - 6$. Substituting $a = 3$ and $b = -6$ in the bound for n established in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$n \leq 2b + k \sum_{i=1}^{2a} (2a + 1 - i) \binom{k-1}{i} = -12 + k \sum_{i=1}^6 (7-i) \binom{k-1}{i}.$$

□

3.2 Tightness

Next we show the asymptotic tightness of Theorem 3.1. To that end, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $2a$ be a positive integer and let $2b$ be an integer. Then, there exists a graph G on n vertices and m edges satisfying $m \leq an + b$ such that $n = \Theta(k^{2a+1})$ and $\chi_{NL}(G) = \Theta(k)$. Moreover, when $b = 0$, G can be taken to be of maximum degree $2a$.*

The proof of this theorem is contained within a number of observations and lemmas. Also, the proof is constructive, and the constructions depend on particular partial colorings. Therefore, we are going to present a series of graph constructions, their particular colorings, and their structural properties. We are also going to present the supporting observations and lemmas in the following.

Lemma 3.6. *Let us consider a $(p \times q)$ matrix whose ij^{th} entry is $m_{i,j}$, where $p < q$. Let M be a complete graph whose vertices are the entries of the matrix. Then there exists a matching of M satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *The endpoints of an edge of the matching are from different columns.*
- (ii) *Let e_1 and e_2 be two edges of the matching. If one endpoint of e_1 and e_2 are from the same column, then the other endpoints of them must belong to distinct columns.*
- (iii) *The matching saturates all but at most one vertex of M per column.*

Proof. Consider the permutation $\sigma = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ q)$. The matching consists of edges of the type $m_{(2i-1),j} m_{2i,\sigma^i(j)}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. We will show that this matching satisfies all listed conditions.

Observe that, a typical edge of the matching is of the form $m_{(2i-1),j}m_{2i,\sigma^i(j)}$. As the second co-ordinates of the subscript of the endpoints of the said edge is different, condition (i) from the statement is verified.

Suppose that there are two edges of the type $m_{(2i-1),j}m_{2i,\sigma^i(j)}$ and $m_{(2i'-1),j'}m_{2i',\sigma^{i'}(j')}$. If $m_{(2i-1),j}$ and $m_{(2i'-1),j'}$ are from the same column, that is, $j = j'$, then we must have $i \neq i'$ as they are different vertices. Thus $\sigma^i(j) \neq \sigma^{i'}(j) = \sigma^{i'}(j')$ as $i \neq i'$. If $m_{(2i-1),j}$ and $m_{2i',\sigma^{i'}(j')}$ are from the same column, then we have $j = \sigma^{i'}(j')$. Moreover, if we have $j' = \sigma^i(j)$, then it will imply that

$$j = \sigma^{i'}(\sigma^i(j)) = \sigma^{i+i'}(j).$$

This is only possible if $q \mid (i + i')$, which is not possible as $i, i' \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor\}$. Therefore, we have verified condition (ii) of the statement.

Notice that, the matching saturates all the vertices of M when p is even, whereas it saturates all except the vertices in the p^{th} row of the matrix when p is odd. This verifies condition (iii) of the statement. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a graph with an independent set M of size $(p \times q)$, where $M = \{m_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ and $p < q$. Moreover, let ϕ be a $(k' + q)$ -coloring of G satisfying the following conditions:*

1. $k' + 1 \leq \phi(x) \leq k' + q$ if and only if $x \in M$,
2. x and y are neighbor-distinguished unless both belong to M ,
3. $\phi(m_{ij}) = k' + j$.

Then it is possible to find spanning supergraph G' of G by adding a matching between the vertices of M which will make ϕ a neighbor-locating $(k' + q)$ -coloring of G' .

Proof. First of all build a matrix whose ij^{th} entry is the vertex m_{ij} . After that, build a complete graph whose vertices are entries of this matrix. Now using Lemma 3.6, we can find a matching of this complete graph that satisfies the three conditions mentioned in the statement of Lemma 3.6. We construct G' by including exactly the edges corresponding to the edges of the matching, between the vertices of M . We want to show that after adding these edges and obtaining G' , indeed ϕ is a neighbor-locating $(k' + q)$ -coloring of G' .

Notice that by the definition of ϕ , $(k' + q)$ colors are used. So it is enough to show that the vertices of G' are neighbor-distinguished with respect to ϕ . To be precise, it is enough to show that two vertices x, y from M are neighbor-distinguished with respect to ϕ in G' . If $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$, then they must have different color-neighborhood inside M according to the conditions of the matching. This is enough to make x, y neighbor-distinguished. \square

Now we are ready to present our iterative construction. However, given the involved nature of it, we need some specific nomenclatures to describe it. For convenience, we will list down some points to describe the whole construction.

- (i) An i -triple is a 3-tuple of the type (G_i, ϕ_i, X_i) where G_i is a graph, ϕ_i is a neighbor-locating (ik) -coloring of G_i , X_i is a set of $(i+1)$ -tuples of vertices of G_i , each having non-repeating elements. Also, two $(i+1)$ -tuples from X_i do not have any entries in common.
- (ii) Let us describe the 1-triple (G_1, ϕ_1, X_1) explicitly. Here G_1 is the path $P_t = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_t$ on t vertices where $t = 4 \left\lfloor \frac{k^2(k-1)}{8} \right\rfloor$. As

$$\frac{(k-1)^2(k-2)}{2} < 4 \left\lfloor \frac{k^2(k-1)}{8} \right\rfloor \leq \frac{k^2(k-1)}{2},$$

we must have $\chi_{NL}(P_t) = k$ (see [1]). Let ϕ_1 be any neighbor-locating k -coloring of G_1 and

$$X_1 = \{(v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}) : i \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}\}.$$

- (iii) Suppose an i -triple (G_i, ϕ_i, X_i) is given. We will (partially) describe a way to construct an $(i+1)$ -triple from it. To do so, first we will construct an intermediate graph G'_{i+1} as follows: for each $(i+1)$ -tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}) \in X_i$ we will add a *new vertex* x_{i+2} adjacent to each vertex from the $(i+1)$ -tuple. Moreover, $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2})$ is designated as an $(i+2)$ -tuple in G'_{i+1} . After that, we will take k copies of G'_{i+1} and call this so-obtained graph as G''_{i+1} . Furthermore, we will extend ϕ_i to a function ϕ_{i+1} by assigning the color $(ik+j)$ to the new vertices from the j^{th} copy of G'_{i+1} . The copies of the $(i+2)$ -tuples are the $(i+2)$ -tuples of G''_{i+1} .
- (iv) Consider the $(i+1)$ -triple $(G''_{i+1}, \phi_{i+1}, X''_{i+1})$ where X''_{i+1} denotes the set of all $(i+2)$ -tuples of G''_{i+1} . The *color of an $(i+2)$ -tuple* $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+2})$ is the set

$$C((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i+2})) = \{\phi_i(x_1), \phi_i(x_2), \dots, \phi_i(x_{i+2})\}.$$

Let us partition the set of new vertices based on the colors used on the elements (all but the last one) of the $(i+2)$ -tuple of which it is (uniquely) part of. To be explicit, the last elements of two $(i+2)$ -tuples are in the same partition if and only if they have the same color neighbors. Let this partition be denoted by $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{is_i}$, for some integer s_i .

- (v) First fix a partition X_{ir} of X_i . Next construct a matrix with its ℓ^{th} column having vertices from X_{ir} as its entries if they are also from the ℓ^{th} copy of G'_{i+1} in G''_{i+1} . Thus the matrix is a $(p \times q)$ matrix where $p = |X_{ir}|$ and $q = k$. We are going to show that, $p < q$. However, for convenience, we will defer it to a later part (Lemma 3.8).
- (vi) Let us delete all the new vertices from G''_{i+1} except for the ones in X_{ir} . This graph has the exact same properties of the graph G from Corollary 3.7 where X_{ir} plays the role of the independent set M . Thus it is possible to add a matching and extend the coloring (like in Corollary 3.7). We do that for each value of r and add the corresponding matching to our graph G''_{i+1} . After adding all such matchings, the graph we obtain is G_{i+1} .




Figure 1: Construction of G_2 from $G_1 = P_{24}$. Here $\chi_{NL}(P_{24}) = 4$, the red and blue edges are the two sets of newly added matchings.

Lemma 3.8. *We have $|X_{ir}| < k$, where X_{ir} is as in $\text{Item}(v)$ of the above list.*

Proof. We show that the set of new vertices having the same color neighbors in G_1 is strictly less than k as follows:

Any vertex (other than the end vertices) in G_1 has two color neighbors say i and j (i may or may not be equal to j). Having fixed the two color neighbors, this vertex will have at most $k - 1$ choices of colors. This implies that there can be at most $k - 1$ new vertices with given color neighbors, which would also ensure that the set of new vertices having the same color neighbors in G_1 (that is the set of 2-tuples having the same color in G_1) is strictly less than k .

After that we are done by induction. \square

Lemma 3.9. *The function ϕ_{i+1} is a neighbor-locating coloring of G_{i+1} .*

Proof. The function ϕ_{i+1} is constructed from ϕ_i , alongside constructing the triplet G_{i+1} from G_i . While constructing, we use the same steps from that of Corollary 3.7. Thus, the newly colored vertices become neighbor-distinguished in G_{i+1} under ϕ_{i+1} . \square

The above two lemmas validate the correctness of the iterative construction of G_i s. However, it remains showing how G_i s help us prove our result. To do so, let us prove certain properties of G_i s.

Lemma 3.10. *The graph G_i is not a regular graph and has maximum degree $(i + 1)$.*

Proof. As we have started with a path, our G_1 has maximum degree 2 and is not regular. In the iteration step for constructing the graph G_{i+1} from G_i , the degree of an old vertex (or its copy) can increase at most by 1, while a new vertex of G_{i+1} is adjacent to exactly $(i + 1)$ old vertices and at most one new vertex. Hence, a new vertex in G_{i+1} can have degree at most $(i + 2)$. Therefore, the proof is done by induction. \square

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 3.5.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Given a and b , to build the example that will prove the theorem, one can consider $G = G_{2a+1}$. \square

Acknowledgements: This work is partially supported by the following projects: “MA/IFCAM/18/39”, “SRG/2020/001575”, “MTR/2021/000858” and “NBHM/RP-8 (2020)/Fresh”. Research by the first and second authors is partially sponsored by a public grant overseen by the French National Research Agency as part of the “Investissements d’Avenir” through the IMobS3 Laboratory of Excellence (ANR-10-LABX-0016), the IDEX-ISITE initiative CAP 20-25 (ANR-16-IDEX-0001) and the ANR project GRALMECO (ANR-21-CE48-0004-01).

References

- [1] L. Alcon, M. Gutierrez, C. Hernando, M. Mora, and I. M. Pelayo. The neighbor-locating-chromatic number of pseudotrees. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11937*, 2019.
- [2] L. Alcon, M. Gutierrez, C. Hernando, M. Mora, and I. M. Pelayo. Neighbor-locating colorings in graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 806:144–155, 2020.
- [3] L. Alcon, M. Gutierrez, C. Hernando, M. Mora, and I. M. Pelayo. The neighbor-locating-chromatic number of trees and unicyclic graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 2021.
- [4] A. Behtoei and M. Anbarloei. The locating chromatic number of the join of graphs. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 40(6):1491–1504, 2014.
- [5] A. Behtoei and A. Mahdi. A bound for the locating chromatic numbers of trees. *Transactions on Combinatorics*, 4(1):31–41, 2015.
- [6] A. Behtoei and B. Omoomi. On the locating chromatic number of kneser graphs. *Discrete applied mathematics*, 159(18):2214–2221, 2011.
- [7] A. Behtoei and B. Omoomi. On the locating chromatic number of the cartesian product of graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 126:221–235, 2016.
- [8] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, M. Henning, P. Slater, and P. Zhang. The locating-chromatic number of a graph. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, 36:89 – 101, 2002.
- [9] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, M. A. Henning, P. J. Slater, and P. Zhang. Graphs of order n with locating-chromatic number $n-1$. *Discrete mathematics*, 269(1-3):65–79, 2003.
- [10] L. Esperet, S. Gravier, M. Montassier, P. Ochem, and A. Parreau. Locally identifying coloring of graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 19(2):40, 2012.
- [11] C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, L. Alc3n, and M. Gutierrez. Neighbor-locating coloring: graph operations and extremal cardinalities. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 68:131–136, 2018. Discrete Mathematics Days 2018.

- [12] D. A. Mojdeh. On the conjectures of neighbor locating coloring of graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 922:300–307, 2011.
- [13] I. Purwasih, E. T. Baskoro, H. Assiyatun, D. Suprijanto, and M. Baca. The locating-chromatic number for halin graphs. *Communications in Combinatorics and Optimization*, 2(1):1–9, 2017.
- [14] I. A. Purwasih, E. T. Baskoro, H. Assiyatun, and D. Suprijanto. The bounds on the locating-chromatic number for a subdivision of a graph on one edge. *Procedia Computer Science*, 74:84–88, 2015.
- [15] P. J. Slater. Leaves of trees. In *Proceedings of the 6th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing*, volume 14 of *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 549–559, 1975.
- [16] P. J. Slater. Dominating and reference sets in a graph. *Journal of Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 22(4):445–455, 1988.
- [17] D. K. Syofyan, E. T. Baskoro, and H. Assiyatun. The locating-chromatic number of binary trees. *Procedia Computer Science*, 74:79–83, 2015.
- [18] D. Welyyanti, E. T. Baskoro, R. Simajuntak, and S. Uttungadewa. On the locating-chromatic number for graphs with two homogenous components. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, volume 893, page 012040. IOP Publishing, 2017.
- [19] D. Welyyanti, E. T. Baskoro, R. Simanjuntak, and S. Uttungadewa. On locating-chromatic number for graphs with dominant vertices. *Procedia Computer Science*, 74:89–92, 2015.
- [20] D. B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*, volume 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River, 2001.