

# LAGAN: Deep Semi-Supervised Linguistic-Anthropology Classification with Conditional Generative Adversarial Neural Network

Rossi Kamal, Zuzana Kubincova  
Comenius University Bratislava  
kamal1,kubincova@uniba.sk

**Abstract**—Education is a right of all, however, every individual is different than others. Teachers in post-communism era discover inherent individualism to equally train all towards job market of fourth industrial revolution. We can consider scenario ethnic minority education in academic practices. Ethnic minority group such has grown in their own culture and would prefer to be taught in their native way. We have formulated such linguistic anthropology(how people learn)based engagement as semi-supervised problem. Then, we have developed an conditional deep generative adversarial network algorithm namely LA-GAN to classify linguistic ethnographic features in student engagement. Theoretical justification proves the objective, regularization and loss function of our semi-supervised adversarial model. Survey questions are prepared to reach some form of assumptions about z-generation and ethnic minority group, whose learning style, learning approach and preference are our main area of interest.

**Index Terms**—Linguistic Anthropology,Semi-Supervised Learning, Ethnicity, Adversarial Training

## I. INTRODUCTION

Deep neural network is promising technology as it uses deep or layered abstract representation for conceptualizing meaning of raw data with automated manner[1]-[8]. Its coined by often by supervised learning with possible assumption about the presence of huge amount and quality labeled data. However, in practical getting access to labeled data in massive scale is expensive, time-consuming and often requires very expensive human expertise involvement. Therefore, its always a difficult to prepare a deep neural based system with the availability of very limited number of data. However, in practical the presence of unlabeled data is large scale is very practical. Thus, relatively new concept of semi-supervised learning emerges with the promise of exploiting huge unlabeled data to achieve better learning accuracy, given that we have access to limited labeled knowledge. Deep semi-supervised learning resembles thus exploiting classic neural network architectures with classic semi-supervised learning techniques to infer data pattern both labeled and unlabeled sample. Generative Adversarial Network[4]-[8] is recognized for creating synthetic data in the absence of huge labeled

data. GAN is resembled by generator and discriminator, in which generators role is to create real-like data, on the other hand discriminator detects the real from fake data. However, in conventional GAN, there is seldom control on data mode being created. Conditional GAN, on the other hand, by putting some contextual condition, such as class class label, data modality eases the data generation.

Linguistic anthropology is a division of social science, which deals with language as social action[9]-[11]. The interdisciplinary domain intersects topics from linguistics, sociology, philosophy, and anthropology. However, knowledge includes verbal and written language as both origin and media of social culture. Thus it is an outward expression of language usage, rather than syntax or grammatical base. In other words, despite underneath phonology or syntax, the way social interactions are expressed in verbal and written media , is denoted as linguistic anthropology. Inevitably, linguistic anthropology is considered a dominant matter in educational institutes as language is the center of speech or written form of communication. Because, the way the speaker interacts with the surrounding plays a key role in mediating knowledge exchange in groups. This also defines the way information exchange occurs in institutional groups and thus helps pupils in interacting in presentation, meeting and in-person towards building a successful career. Our primary research[12]-[20] is focused with education reform in post-colonial era, where there is much a concern over presence of education inequality and absence of education fluidity . Homogeneous society which is living for centuries are now watching different children in class as consequence of arrival of foreign citizen or ethnic groups. Especially, as soon as the communism era ends, ethnic communities are accepted in mainstream schooling. Unfortunately, ethnic communities have been isolated from mainstream school for many decades and they are not ready for job-market in this fourth industrial revolution. We consider that linguistic anthropology features in ethnic community should be taken in consideration for providing them quality education. Ethnic education statistics is collected from classroom observation, in-person or group interview or

Thanks to support provided by Professor Dr Zuzana Kubincova

single/group assessment, yearly study-report. Moreover, audio-visual information is collected from Jitsi-Meet like video-conference or distance learning platforms. In aforementioned cases, it is always hard to find useful labeled data as per requirement, even though some datasets or survey results are available, however, security, privacy, unlabeled samples are major barriers in educational data.

Given the above circumstances, we formulate the ethnic Linguistic Anthropology classification as semi-supervised machine learning problem (Section III). Then, we have developed a deep conditional generative adversarial neural network algorithm LAGAN (section IV) for solving the classification problem. We also have summarized the motivation of education reform (Section II-A) with ethnic education and explored the relevant linguistic anthropology research (section II-B) to find and survey what motivates the ethnic community in interacting comfortably at an academic environment.

## II. POST-COLONIAL EDUCATION REFORM -LINGUISTIC ANTHROPOLOGY PERSPECTIVE

In this section, we describe post-colonial education reform necessity by illustrating education inequality, ethnicity background[12]-[14]. We also introduce key linguistic anthropological features, such as basic-right, human-right, social-norm, career guidance, child-care, recognition, adult-education, community-schooling, new curriculum-development found in contemporary ethnic education[15]-[20].

### A. Education Reform in Post-Colonial Period

During communism, education is not welcome as a medium by which people could live better. This is evident through contemporary low graduation ratio, as well as very low higher study rate. However, after war, people rethink about advanced life and culture of western industrialization. They realize the importance of inclusive education in cultural and social transformation. The importance of ethnic community within educational inequality is realized at this period. Because, ethnic community is restricted into segregated schooling and is deprived of required connections with society in colonial period. Their misery continues decades long even after colonization since there is still influence of right wing ideology in the eastern education, so that ethnic community is seldom considered from socio-political importance. Soon, inequality in education pushes policy makers who start to re-assess socio-economic condition of ethnic community. They gradually plan about developing educational resources and curriculum by integrating ethnic community inside mainstream education.

Eastern European countries have been a more or less homogeneous society since world war two. However, with the end of communism, countries are vibrant with arrival of foreign citizens as well as ethnic minority groups travelling from nearby places. Thus, gradually local people are considering the foreign and ethnic community integrated into their social structure and education policies. The process involves tailored observations whether ethnic groups express themselves in peer relation or culture properly in typical daily class activities. In

social science or anthropological perspective, peer activities in classroom are good representative of regular socialization behavior. Hence, whether ethnicity matters in daily class lesson or not, if matters then to what extent ethnicity surfaces, these typical assessments are conducted by teachers or examiners. Social scientists also believe that when students are allowed to take part in group assignment or talk, they overcome their communication limitations and thus lean in better way than being in segregated classroom. Furthermore, qualitative observation data, group interviews and personal interviews all indicate when ethnic pupil are engaged into mixed class, they more or less face some difficulties, however, at the end overcome those barriers by learning to accept help or assist other in a collaborative environment.

Fluidity is a representative of how open/ closed is the education of a society, often resembled by education level/choice from generation to generation. In socialism era, people are committed to abide by state-government policies, which often enforces that unequal opportunity must not prevail anywhere. As such parent having education reputation was not allowed to train their children in higher level. However, parent with loyal family with only education apprentice experience is allowed to send children for higher education. Education fluidity as such is kept strict or within range until the termination of communism. As soon as colonization is over, more education opportunities and access are created. Gradually, education fluidity increases since there is new concept of diversification in every spheres of education. However, despite such fluidity slogan, socio-economic condition plays significant role in decision making whether children should go for higher education based on his parents social status. Kids having parents with lower class of society are encouraged to for job market after basic education, rather than exploring higher education for future career. In a nutshell, education fluidity is realized from diversified education opportunity creation, however, the fluidity expansion or opportunity access is determined by socio-economic condition of pupil's parent.

### B. Student Motivation-Linguistic-Anthropology-based Perspectives

Education is a right of pupil by which he/she can interact and learn with surrounding socio-economic conjecture. However, social status of pupil is also dependent on it since based on overall economic condition of family, he/she is well-supported toward education. Pre-school, Career-Counseling, Social Norm, Regular Presence are pre-requisites of student motivation. Preschooling helps pupil understanding language, culture and norms which will help him in the regular presence in senior classes. Career counselling encourages students about current job market and prospects of education in this regard. In this way, students are cautious about possible life uncertainty if he leaves school for temporal or permanent periods. Furthermore, social status of family is important since they will be supported by government so that they are encouraged to send children for education.

Ethnic segregated school allows development of individual in native learning context. However, teachers are found in more reluctant about academic norm, discipline and curriculum. Ethnic groups are thus grown up in isolated world and cannot adjust with job market requirement. Even though they are recognized in local community, when they come out to outside world, they found themselves nowhere in ongoing social trends. On the contrary, inter-school segregation allows students to grow up in some form of hostile environment. They are developed as they feel they are hatred, neglected and teachers mix with them with pre-determined thoughts. Pupils are found in losing their identities and thus reluctant to social cooperation. They are raised with negative feelings about teacher, schoolmates and lessons and gradually become irregular in class attendance and in the long run leave schools.

Pre-schooling choices are made by considering the facilities such as teachers, location, day-care facilities etc. However, recently there is trend about personalized form of childcare where kids are grown according to guardian-demanded pedagogy developed for individual or community. Personalized form of childcare is good for child development, however, in the long run it creates inequality in state or society, moreover, in latter part of career, mainstream school/ higher education might not fulfil such self-made requirements. Ethnic minority group comprises a large portion of overall population or European Union and their neighbors. However, there is frequently media about discrimination, socially non-advanced or sometimes uneducated community when there are concerned raised for them. In this context, education is regarded as a key tool for how their situation can be improved and any form of discrimination or xenophobia against them can be overcome. Creating ethnic group as leader during their learning period, facilitating adult education of minority community, arraignment of community school complex, English and native language and computer training for all, career counselling are steps which can help them in large scale by motivating towards education and thus overcoming current social conditions.

Education is a human right of society, thereby, when it is compromised in a society, it means people basic right such as freedom, democracy might be altered as well. Thus, whenever a society needs to be judged in terms of human right, experimental lenses are put over education, larger institutions of any civilization. Thus, policy makers give priority on social, family or individual regulation on educational practices, so that it is very easy to understand why human right such as education is compromised and how we can overcome the limitations in providing basic and required rights of human in any community.

Ethnic groups generally hold significant perspectives from anthropological, historical or even linguistic points. Whenever such a group carries a socio-linguistic dialect, which is raised and carried by a large population, its impact is further sensed. Absorbing ethnic language or culture into the curriculum, enables all players to be well-aware and respectful about culture and society. This practice demands curriculum




Fig. 1. Labeled and unlabeled data in same domain but same/different label space in Linguistic-Anthropology Classification

development with lesson plans, user-experience and pedagogy. Ethnic people to some extent are afraid that the counter-part/majority community will raise negative impressions on it. Whenever socio-linguistic/ ethnic dialect is well practiced in home and education through a curriculum, they discover their own identity and learn to express themselves in a better way.

### III. SEMI-SUPERVISED LINGUISTIC ANTHROPOLOGY CLASSIFICATION PROBLEM

In this section, we describe how linguistic anthropology is modeled as a semi-supervised classification problem. In early section, we have seen linguistic anthropological issues in a post-colonial education scenario. We have mainly retrieved features such as self-identity, human-right, social-norm, social-service, basic right, career guidance which help ethnic community to interact lively from that segment. For example, when he/she sees his language is in curriculum, he finds own identity in the system. Similarly, he/she wants to get rid of the media norm against him/her and gets a social norm with decent dignity. He/she deserves equal education as basic right', seeks pre-schooling care so that it's easier in school to communicate better. He/she deserves education for adult parents and often wants to earn recognition as teaching assistance which are relevant with social-status. He/she deserves that complete curriculum will be taught to them in professional environment before career guidance to job market. Unfortunately, there is scarcity of labeled knowledge on those ethnic features. With in-person meeting, classroom attendance, performance or email/interactive survey, we might get some statistical knowledge. However, it's hard to define or label information such as social norm, self-identity, or human right with any sample label space. As most information is unlabeled/ quite difficult to manually label and some supervisory knowledge is available, semi-supervised classification is applicable for this type of features extraction.

*Lemma 1:* Linguistic-anthropology classification is a semi-supervised learning problem.

**Proof:** In our classification problem, there exists labeled data and huge unlabeled data, which is assumed to be in




Fig. 2. Linguistic Anthropology Classifier's Semi-Supervised Learning Objective

same probability distribution as labeled counterpart. In some instances, labeled and unlabeled counterpart are originated from same domain with same class-set. However, in many cases, label spaces are completely separate and class sets are unlabeled or mislabeled. Classifier needs to learn from both labeled and unlabeled sample, given that training dataset consists of both labeled and unlabeled samples. Thus, linguistic-anthropology classification is a semi-supervised learning problem. Let  $X = \{X_L, X_U\}$  be entire dataset with labeled and unlabeled  $X_L, X_U$  datasets respectively.

Let  $X_L = \{x_i\}_1^L$  be labeled subset with labels  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_L\}$  and unlabeled subset  $X_U = \{x_i\}_1^U$ .

Let's assume that  $L < U$ . Let's also assume that dataset has  $K$  classes. The first  $L$  examples within  $X$  are labeled by

$$\{y_i\}_1^L \in \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$$

Let  $L_s, L_u, R$  be supervised loss, unsupervised loss and regularization loss, respectively. The optimization problem of semi-supervised learning stands as

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{x \in X_L, y \in Y_L} L_s(x, y, \theta) + \alpha \sum_{x \in X_U} L_u(x, \theta) + \beta \sum_{x \in X} R(x, \theta)$$

**Lemma 2:** Linguistic-Anthropology Classification is amenable to semi-supervised Deep generative architecture.

**Proof:** Let  $x_1$  be real labeled sample belong to one of  $K$  classes, while  $x_G$  be generated sample of  $k+1$  class. Let's determine the supervised and unsupervised learning objectives for  $K+1$  class discriminator. The supervised classification loss is represented as

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{x \in D} \log p(y|x_i, y \leq K+1)$$

The unsupervised GAN loss is represented by

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{x \in D} \log(1 - p(y = K+1|x_1)) \log p(y = K+1|x_G)$$

Supervised classification loss is applicable with real labeled samples  $x_i$ , while unsupervised GAN discriminates real samples  $x_i$  and fake samples  $x_G$

Therefore, one layer of supervisory knowledge works with discriminator of conventional GAN, so that supervised learning on real samples and unsupervised learning of generates samples function together. Thus, semi-supervised variant of




Fig. 3. Linguistic Anthropology Classification is amenable to Semi-supervised GAN




Fig. 4. Generation versus discrimination in AI

GAN, both supervised and unsupervised knowledge is exploited from combination of supervised discriminative model and unsupervised generative technique. In general, deep generative model is an unsupervised learning approach to approximate unlabelled data. However, in semi-supervised GAN, a supervised learning model is integrated into unsupervised generative model to learn from both labeled and unlabeled data with linguistic-anthropology problem. Thus, linguistic-anthropology classification problem is amenable to semi-supervised deep generative architecture.




Fig. 5. GAN versus CGAN




Fig. 6. LAGAN Architecture

#### IV. PROPOSED DEEP GENERATIVE ADVERSARIAL MECHANISM

In this section, we describe proposed Deep Generative Adversarial learning based algorithm namely LAGAN. We also provide theoretical justification how LAGAN is a conditional GAN and how it operates in semi-supervised mode, unlike a typical conditional GAN.

##### A. LAGAN Algorithm

We now describe the workflow of LAGAN algorithm (Algorithm 1). LAGAN is characterized by three major steps, namely supervised loss calculation, unsupervised loss calculation and regularization. In this process, unlabeled data is included into training mechanism with generation of supervised data with unsupervised regularization. This training process alternates in between above three steps for certain iterative numbers.

##### B. Theoretical Analysis

*Lemma 3:* LAGAN is a conditional GAN

**Proof:** In LAGAN, given generator and discriminator are conditioned on prior assumption, generator is trained to fill up missing information. Thus, discriminator is provided thus complete sample, as missing information is already overcome by generator.

**Data:**  $\{x^i, y^i\}$  from labeled dataset  $D_L$ ;  
 $x^u$  from unlabeled dataset  $D_U$ ;  
classification model  $M$

**Result:** Trained classification model  $M$   
**while not converge do**

- (a) Calculate supervised loss  $L_{sup}(\theta, D_L)$
  - (b) Calculate unsupervised loss  $L_{unsup}(\theta, D_U)$
  - (c) Update classification model by combining supervised loss  $L_{sup}$  and unsupervised loss  $L_{unsup}$
- Algorithm 1:** LAGAN

Let  $m \in R^d$  be mask used for dropping input portion. Lets assume that  $m \odot x$  be input of generator and  $x_G = G(m \odot x, z) \in R^d$  be output of generator, therefore, input stands as  $x_I = (1 - m) \odot x_G + m \odot x$

The objective function of LAGAN classifier is  $\min_G \max_D E_{x \sim X} [\log D(x)] + E_{x \sim X, m \sim M'} [\log(1 - D(x_1))]$

Thus, generator is able to generate output it is provided input sample with masked out information. On the other hand, discriminator is provided with complete information, rather than masking patch, separate context. Thus, LAGAN is a conditional GAN.

*Lemma 4:* LAGAN is a Semi-supervised Conditional GAN

**Proof:** Given the condition, LAGAN determines if part of sample is real or fake, thus makes more fruitful estimation in long run. Thus, LAGAN is able to predict better in large dataset which is diverse.

Let  $X_L = \{(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n)\}$  be dataset of labeled samples and  $D_c(x)$  be output of the classifier on the discriminator and  $\lambda$  be a balance between classification and adversarial losses.

The objective function of semi-supervised LAGAN classifier is

$$\min_G \max_D E_{x \sim X} [\log D(x)] + E_{x \sim X, m \sim M'} [\log(1 - D(x_1))] + \lambda_C E_{x, y \sim X_L} [\log D_C(y|x)]$$

Thus, LAGAN is applicable in scenarios when assumptions are like 'inputs are assumed to be not drawn from limited domain' or 'samples are not coherent'. Thus, LAGAN is a semi-supervised GAN.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this research, we shed some light into ethnic education, which proliferated from dire demand of post-colonial education reform by alleviating inequality or facilitating fluidity. Our research is an attempt to enlighten the ethnic minorities who are isolated from regular society and need assistance to adjust with native people and then get an opportunity in the job market of the fourth industrial revolution. We have considered that estimation of linguistic anthropological features such as pre-schooling, career advice, co-operation is a semi-supervised machine learning problem and amenable to deep generative architecture. In this context, we have developed a deep generative adversarial neural network algorithm namely LA-GAN,

which is able to estimate linguistic anthropological features and to help and engage ethnic communities in education.

## References

1. Veselý, K., Hannemann, M. and Burget, L., 2013, December. Semi-supervised training of deep neural networks. In 2013 IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding (pp. 267-272). IEEE.
2. Šmídl, L., Švec, J., Pražák, A. and Trmal, J., 2018. Semi-supervised training of DNN-based acoustic model for ATC speech recognition. In *Speech and Computer: 20th International Conference, SPECOM 2018, Leipzig, Germany, September 18–22, 2018, Proceedings 20* (pp. 646-655). Springer International Publishing.
3. Hajic, J., Raab, J. and Spousta, M., 2009, March. Semi-supervised training for the averaged perceptron POS tagger. In *Proceedings of the 12th Conference of the European Chapter of the ACL (EACL 2009)* (pp. 763-771).
4. Ružička, M., Vološin, M., Gazda, J., Maksymyuk, T. and Bobalo, Y., 2021, September. Correcting Defective Trajectories using Conditional GAN. In 2021 IEEE 4th International Conference on Advanced Information and Communication Technologies (AICT) (pp. 206-211). IEEE.
5. Peppes, N., Alexakis, T., Adamopoulou, E. and Demestichas, K., 2023. The Effectiveness of Zero-Day Attacks Data Samples Generated via GANs on Deep Learning Classifiers. *Sensors*, 23(2), p.900.
6. Ružička, M., Vološin, M., Gazda, J. and Maksymyuk, T., 2020, April. The extension of existing end-user mobility dataset based on generative adversarial networks. In 2020 30th International Conference Radioelektronika (RADIOELEKTRONIKA) (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
7. Ružička, M., Vološin, M., Gazda, J., Maksymyuk, T., Han, L. and Dohler, M., 2022. Fast and computationally efficient generative adversarial network algorithm for unmanned aerial vehicle-based network coverage optimization. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*, 18(3), p.15501477221075544.
8. Bakkay, M.C., Rashwan, H.A., Salmane, H., Khoudour, L., Puig, D. and Ruichek, Y., 2018, October. BSCGAN: Deep background subtraction with conditional generative adversarial networks. In 2018 25th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP) (pp. 4018-4022). IEEE.
9. Duranti, A. ed., 2009. *Linguistic anthropology: A reader*. John Wiley Sons.
10. Wortham, S., 2008. Linguistic anthropology of education. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 37, pp.37-51.
11. Jourdan, C. and Tuite, K. eds., 2006. *Language, culture, and society: Key topics in linguistic anthropology* (Vol. 23). Cambridge University Press.
12. Obrovská, J., Jarkovská, L. and Lišková, K., 2021. 'Since they are here in Czechia, they should talk in Czech'. *Ethnicity in peer groups at school*. *Intercultural Education*, 32(1), pp.62-82.
13. Fónadová, L., Katrňák, T. and Simonová, N., 2019. The Czech Republic: From ethnic discrimination to social inclusion in the educational system. In *The Palgrave handbook of race and ethnic inequalities in education* (pp. 379-419). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
14. Katrňák, T., 2016. Trends in Educational Fluidity after the Fall of Socialism in the Czech Republic. *Orbis scholae*, 10(3), pp.49-69.
15. Merhaut, M., Fulkova, M. and Rudorfer, L.F., 2022. Social Services Management in the Context of Ethnic Roma Issues in the Czech Republic with a Focus on Education for Roma Children. *Social Sciences*, 11(10), p.477.
16. Messing, V., 2017. Differentiation in the making: Consequences of school segregation of Roma in the Czech Republic, Hungary, and Slovakia. *European Education*, 49(1), pp.89-103.
17. Kampichler, M., Dvořáčková, J. and Jarkovská, L., 2018. Choosing the right kindergarten: Parents' reasoning about their ECEC choices in the context of the diversification of ECEC programs. *Journal of Pedagogy/Pedagogický Casopis*, 9(2).
18. Gulová, L. and Střelec, S., 2016. Programmes of Supporting the Educational Needs of Romani Pupils in the Czech Republic. *Czech-Polish Historical Pedagogical Journal*, 8(1).
19. Levínská, M. and Bittnerová, D., 2022. Social justice in education from the perspective of the Roma in the Czech Republic—A case study. *Hungarian Educational Research Journal*, 12(1), pp.12-36.
20. Sadílková, H., 2015. Romani teaching in the Czech Republic. *Roma und Travellers. Identitäten im Wandel*.