

A converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem

G. Griffith Elder

Department of Mathematics
University of Nebraska Omaha
Omaha, NE 68182
USA

elder@unomaha.edu

Kevin Keating

Department of Mathematics
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
USA

keating@ufl.edu

February 2, 2023

Abstract

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of local fields. The Hasse-Arf theorem says that if $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian then the upper ramification breaks of L/K must be integers. We prove the following converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem: Let G be a nonabelian group which is isomorphic to the Galois group of some totally ramified extension E/F of local fields with residue characteristic $p > 2$. Then there is a totally ramified extension of local fields L/K with residue characteristic p such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong G$ and L/K has at least one nonintegral upper ramification break.

1 Introduction

Let K be a local field and let L/K be a finite Galois extension. Associated to L/K are rational numbers $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \cdots \leq u_n$ known as the upper ramification breaks of L/K . The upper ramification breaks provide arithmetic information about the extension L/K . For instance, L/K is a nontrivial unramified extension if and only if -1 is the only upper ramification break of L/K , and L/K is at most tamely ramified if and only if the set of upper ramification breaks of L/K is contained in $\{-1, 0\}$. It is a classical problem to determine the possibilities for sequences of upper breaks. The Hasse-Arf theorem [8, 1] says that if $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian then every upper break of L/K is an integer. The Hasse-Arf theorem plays an important role in several areas of number theory. For instance, it is used in the construction of the Artin representation [2] and in Lubin's proof of the local Kronecker-Weber theorem [10].

The purpose of this paper is to prove a converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem. A full converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem would state that if G is a finite nonabelian group then there exists a G -extension of local fields which has a nonintegral upper ramification

break. In fact the converse to Hasse-Arf does not hold in such generality. For instance, if G is a nonabelian simple group and L/K is a G -extension then K has infinite residue field and L/K is unramified, so the only upper ramification break of L/K is -1 . In addition, if G is a nonabelian group of order prime to p then every G -extension L/K of local fields with residue characteristic p is at most tamely ramified, and hence has upper ramification breaks contained in $\{-1, 0\}$. To rule out examples like these we restrict our attention to totally ramified extensions. Furthermore, to avoid vacuous cases we only consider those nonabelian groups G which can actually occur as the Galois group of a totally ramified extension of local fields with residue characteristic p . In Theorem 6.2 we prove that if G is a such a group then for every local field K of characteristic p there exists a totally ramified G -extension L/K which has a nonintegral upper ramification break. It then follows from a theorem of Deligne that there exists a local field F of characteristic 0 and a totally ramified G -extension E/F which has a nonintegral upper ramification break.

In Section 2 we outline higher ramification theory for Galois extensions of local fields. Our approach to proving the converse to Hasse-Arf is based on constructing Galois extensions of local fields in characteristic p which have nonintegral upper ramification breaks. A benefit of working in characteristic p is that one can solve embedding problems for p -extensions, as explained in Section 3. This means that it's enough to construct extensions whose Galois groups are minimal in a certain sense. Therefore in Section 4 we classify the minimal p -groups. In Section 5 we use the results of Sections 3 and 4 to prove the converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem for totally ramified p -extensions. In Section 6 we extend the proof to cover arbitrary totally ramified extensions.

Throughout the paper we let K be a field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation, with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 2$. Let K^{sep} be a separable closure of K , and for each finite subextension L/K of K^{sep}/K let v_L be the valuation on K^{sep} normalized so that $v_L(L^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{O}_L denote the ring of integers of L , let \mathcal{M}_L denote the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_L , and let π_L be a uniformizer for L .

2 Ramification in extensions of local fields

Let L/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension. In this section we define the lower and upper ramification breaks of L/K , and the ramification subgroups of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. For more information on these topics see Chapter IV of [11].

Let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree mp^n , with $p \nmid m$. Set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. For $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma \neq \text{id}_L$ define the ramification number of σ to be $i(\sigma) = v_L(\sigma(\pi_L) - \pi_L) - 1$; also define $i(\text{id}_L) = \infty$. (Beware that $i(\sigma)$ is related to $i_G(\sigma)$ as defined in [11, IV] by $i_G(\sigma) = i(\sigma) + 1$.) One easily sees that if $0 < i(\sigma) < \infty$ then for all $\tau \in G$ we have

$$i(\sigma^p) > i(\sigma), \quad i([\sigma, \tau]) > i(\tau). \quad (2.1)$$

For real $x \geq 0$ set $G_x = \{\sigma \in G : i(\sigma) \geq x\}$. Then G_x is a normal subgroup of G , known as the x th lower ramification subgroup of G . Say $b \geq 0$ is a lower ramification break of L/K if $G_{b+\epsilon} \not\subseteq G_b$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Thus b is a lower break of L/K if and only if $b = i(\sigma)$

for some $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma \neq \text{id}_G$. It follows that every lower break of L/K is a nonnegative integer. Furthermore, a nonnegative integer b is a lower ramification break if and only if $G_{b+1} \not\subseteq G_b$.

We have $i(\sigma) = 0$ if and only if $|\sigma|$ is not a power of p . Hence $b_0 = 0$ is a lower ramification break of L/K if and only if $m > 1$. If b is a positive lower break of L/K then $|G_b : G_{b+1}| = p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. In this case we say that b is a lower break with multiplicity d . The positive lower ramification breaks of L/K , counted with multiplicities, form a multiset with cardinality n . We denote the positive lower breaks of L/K by $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$.

Let M/K be a subextension of L/K and set $H = \text{Gal}(L/M)$. It follows from the definitions that $H_x = H \cap G_x$ for all $x \geq 0$. Therefore the multiset of lower ramification breaks of L/M is contained in the multiset of lower ramification breaks of L/K . In other words, the ramification groups G_x and the lower ramification breaks are compatible with passage to subgroups of Galois groups.

There is a different numbering system for the ramification groups of $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ which is compatible with passage to quotients G/H of G by a normal subgroup H . The upper ramification breaks of L/K are defined in terms of the lower breaks as follows: First, $u_0 = 0$ is an upper break of L/K if and only if $b_0 = 0$ is a lower break. The positive upper breaks $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_n$ of L/K are then defined recursively by $u_1 = b_1/m$ and $u_{i+1} - u_i = (b_{i+1} - b_i)/mp^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. We may view u_i as the upper ramification break of L/K which corresponds to b_i . The upper ramification breaks of L/K , counted with multiplicities, form a multiset, which we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{L/K}$. Note that if L/K is a ramified C_p -extension then L/K has a single upper and lower ramification break $u_1 = b_1$. Thus we may refer simply to the ramification break of L/K .

The upper ramification subgroups of G are defined for real $x \geq 0$ by $G^0 = G_0 = G$, $G^x = G_{b_1}$ for $0 < x \leq u_1$, $G^x = G_{b_i}$ for $u_{i-1} < x \leq u_i$, and $G^x = \{\text{id}_L\}$ for $x > u_n$. Thus $u \geq 0$ is an upper ramification break of L/K if and only if $G^{u+\epsilon} \not\subseteq G^u$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. The following theorem shows that the groups G^x and the upper ramification breaks are compatible with passage to quotients of Galois groups:

Theorem 2.1 (Herbrand). *Let L/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension and let M/K be a Galois subextension of L/K . Set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $H = \text{Gal}(L/M)$.*

(a) *For $x \geq 0$ we have $(G/H)^x = G^x H/H$.*

(b) $\mathcal{U}_{M/K} \subset \mathcal{U}_{L/K}$.

Proof. Statement (a) is proved as Proposition 14 in [11, IV]. Statement (b) follows easily from (a). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $x \geq 0$. Then $x \notin \mathcal{U}_{M/K}$ if and only if $G^x \leq G^{x+\epsilon} H$ for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. This follows from (a) since $G^x H = G^{x+\epsilon} H$ if and only if $G^x \leq G^{x+\epsilon} H$. \square

We will make frequent use of the following (presumably well-known) fact:

Lemma 2.3. *Let N/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension and set $G = \text{Gal}(N/K)$. Assume that $Z(G)$ contains a subgroup H such that $H \cong C_p^2$, and let $M = N^H$ be the fixed field of H . Suppose there are $u < v$ such that $u, v \notin \mathcal{U}_{M/K}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{u, v\}$. Let $b < c$ be the lower ramification breaks of N/K that correspond to u, v and let SS denote the set of fields L such that $M \subset L \subset N$ and $[L : M] = p$. Then there is $L_0 \in SS$ with the following properties:*

- (a) $\mathcal{U}_{L_0/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{u\}$ and N/L_0 has ramification break c .
- (b) For all $L \in SS$ such that $L \neq L_0$ we have $\mathcal{U}_{L/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v\}$ and N/L has ramification break b .

Proof. First we prove that the lower ramification breaks of N/M are b, c . Since b is a lower ramification break of L/K there exists $g \in G_b \setminus G_{b+1}$. Since $u \notin \mathcal{U}_{M/K}$, it follows from Corollary 2.2 that $G^u \leq G^{u+\epsilon}H$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Since $G^u = G_b$ and $G^{u+\epsilon} = G_{b+1}$ it follows from Theorem 2.1(a) that $G_b \leq G_{b+1}H$. Hence there are $g' \in G_{b+1}$ and $h \in H$ such that $g = g'h$. It follows that $h = (g')^{-1}g \in G_b \setminus G_{b+1}$, so we have $i(h) = b$. Thus b is a lower ramification break of N/M . A similar argument shows that c is a lower ramification break of N/M . Now since the lower ramification breaks of N/M are b, c , for each $L \in SS$ the ramification break of N/L is either b or c . Let $L_0 = N^{H_c}$ be the fixed field of $H_c = H_{b+\epsilon}$. Since the ramification break of N/L is $\geq c$ if and only if $\text{Gal}(N/L) \leq H_c$ we see that N/L_0 has ramification break c , and N/L has ramification break b for all $L \in SS$ with $L \neq L_0$.

To complete the proof let $L \in SS$ and set $A = \text{Gal}(N/L) \leq H$. Since c is the largest lower break of N/M , for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$G^{v+\epsilon} \cap H = G_{c+1} \cap H = H_{c+1} = \{\text{id}_L\}.$$

It follows that $G^{v+\epsilon} \cap A = \{\text{id}_L\}$, so we get $|G^{v+\epsilon}A : G^{v+\epsilon}| = |A| = p$. Since v is an upper break of N/K with multiplicity 1 we have $|G^v : G^{v+\epsilon}| = p$. Hence $A \leq G^v$ if and only if $G^v \leq G^{v+\epsilon}A$. By Corollary 2.2 we deduce that $v \notin \mathcal{U}_{L/K}$ if and only if $A \leq G^v = G_c$. Hence $v \notin \mathcal{U}_{L/K}$ if and only if $A \leq G_c \cap H = H_c$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{L/K}$ is equal to either $\mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{u\}$ or $\mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v\}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{U}_{L/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{u\}$ if $L = L_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_{L/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v\}$ if $L \neq L_0$. \square

3 Embedding problems in characteristic p

Let K be a field, let L/K be a finite Galois extension, and set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let \tilde{G} be a finite group and let $\phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be an onto homomorphism. A solution to the embedding problem associated to $(L/K, \tilde{G}, \phi)$ is a finite extension M/L such that M is Galois over K and there is an isomorphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(M/L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(M/K) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gal}(L/K) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \phi & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

In this section we use a theorem of Witt to show that certain embedding problems involving local fields of characteristic p always admit a solution which is a totally ramified extension.

Recall that the rank of a finite p -group G is the minimum size of a generating set for G . Let $\Phi(G)$ denote the Frattini subgroup of G . It follows from the Burnside basis theorem that the Frattini quotient $G/\Phi(G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group such that $\text{rank}(G)$ is equal to $\text{rank}(G/\Phi(G))$. In [12, III], Witt proved the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic p and let L/K be a finite Galois extension such that $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a p -group. Let \tilde{G} be a finite p -group such that $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) = \text{rank}(G)$ and let $\phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be an onto homomorphism. Then there is an extension M/L which solves the embedding problem associated to $(L/K, \tilde{G}, \phi)$.*

We will use the following application of Witt's theorem:

Corollary 3.2. *Let K be a local field of characteristic p and let L/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension whose Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is a p -group. Let \tilde{G} be a finite p -group and let $\phi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be an onto group homomorphism. Then there is a totally ramified field extension M/L which solves the embedding problem associated to $(L/K, \tilde{G}, \phi)$.*

Proof. Let $N = \ker \phi$. It suffices to consider the case where $N \cong C_p$. If the extension \tilde{G} of G by N is split then $\tilde{G} \cong C_p \times G$. In this case choose a ramified C_p -extension F/K whose ramification break is greater than all the upper breaks of L/K . Then L and F are linearly disjoint over K , so $M = LF$ is a totally ramified Galois extension of K with $\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong C_p \times G \cong \tilde{G}$. Hence M solves the given embedding problem. If the extension \tilde{G} of G by N is not split we claim that $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) = \text{rank}(G)$. We clearly have $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) \geq \text{rank}(G)$. Let A be a generating set for G such that $|A| = \text{rank}(G)$ and let $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{G}$ satisfy $|\tilde{A}| = |A|$ and $\phi(\tilde{A}) = A$. If $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle \neq \tilde{G}$ then $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle \cong G$ and $\tilde{G} = N \times \langle \tilde{A} \rangle$. This contradicts the assumption that our extension is not split, so we must have $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle = \tilde{G}$. Hence $\text{rank}(\tilde{G}) = \text{rank}(G)$. It follows by Theorem 3.1 that there is a field extension M/L which solves the given embedding problem. If M/L is unramified let F denote the unramified extension of K of degree p . Then $F \subset M$, so we get $M = LF$ and $\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong C_p \times G$. This is a contradiction, so M/L is a totally ramified extension. \square

4 Minimal nonabelian p -groups

We put a partial order on finite p -groups by $H \preceq G$ if H is isomorphic to a quotient of G . We are interested in the groups which are \preceq -minimal among nonabelian p -groups. We call such a group a minimal nonabelian p -group.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $p > 2$ and let G be a p -group. Then G is a minimal nonabelian p -group if and only if G satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) G is nilpotent of class 2.

(ii) $Z(G)$ is cyclic of order p^d for some $d \geq 1$.

(iii) $[G, G]$ is the subgroup of $Z(G)$ of order p .

(iv) $\overline{G} := G/Z(G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group of rank $2n$ for some $n \geq 1$, and $[\ , \]$ induces a nondegenerate skew-symmetric \mathbb{F}_p -bilinear form $(\ , \)_{\overline{G}}$ on \overline{G} with values in $[G, G]$.

Proof. Suppose G is a minimal nonabelian p -group. Since $Z(G)$ is nontrivial, \overline{G} is abelian by the minimality of G . Hence G is nilpotent of class 2, which gives (i). Let N be a nontrivial normal subgroup of G . Then G/N is abelian by the minimality of G , so $[G, G] \leq N$. Hence $[G, G]$ is contained in all nontrivial subgroups of $Z(G)$, so $Z(G)$ is cyclic and $[G, G]$ is the unique subgroup of $Z(G)$ of order p . This proves (ii) and (iii).

Let z be a generator for $Z(G) \cong C_{p^d}$ and set $w = z^{p^{d-1}}$. Then $[G, G] = \langle w \rangle$. For $x, y \in G$ we have $xyx^{-1} = yw^a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $xy^p x^{-1} = y^p w^{pa} = y^p$, so $y^p \in Z(G)$. It follows that \overline{G} is an elementary abelian p -group. Let $x, y_1, y_2 \in G$. Then there are $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $xy_j x^{-1} = y_j w^{a_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. It follows that $xy_1 y_2 x^{-1} = y_1 y_2 w^{a_1 + a_2}$, and hence that $[x, y_1 y_2] = [x, y_1][x, y_2]$. Since $[y, x] = [x, y]^{-1}$, we deduce that $[\ , \]$ induces a skew-symmetric \mathbb{F}_p -bilinear pairing $(\ , \)_{\overline{G}}$ on \overline{G} . If $[x, y] = 1$ for all $y \in G$ then $x \in Z(G)$, so the pairing is nondegenerate. Therefore \overline{G} has even \mathbb{F}_p -rank. This proves (iv).

Conversely, suppose (i)–(iv) hold. Then G is nonabelian by (i) or (iii). Let N be a nontrivial normal subgroup of G . Then $N \cap Z(G)$ is nontrivial, so $[G, G] \leq N$ by (ii) and (iii). Hence G/N is abelian, so G is a minimal nonabelian p -group. \square

The minimal nonabelian p -groups can be described more explicitly. For $n, d \geq 1$ we define a group $H(n, d)$ of order p^{2n+d} generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$, with $|x_i| = |y_i| = p$ and $|z| = p^d$. All these generators commute with each other, except for x_i and y_i , which satisfy $[x_i, y_i] = z^{p^{d-1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $H(1, 1)$ is the Heisenberg p -group, and $H(n, 1)$ is an extraspecial p -group.

We define another group $A(n, d)$ of order p^{2n+d} generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z$. In $A(n, d)$ we have $|x_i| = p$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$, $|y_i| = p$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $x_1^p = z$ with $|z| = p^d$. As with $H(n, d)$, all generators commute with each other except for x_i and y_i , which satisfy $[x_i, y_i] = z^{p^{d-1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $A(1, 1)$ is the metacyclic group of order p^3 , and $A(n, 1)$ is an extraspecial p -group.

It is clear from the constructions that the groups $H(n, d)$ and $A(n, d)$ satisfy conditions (i)–(iv) of Proposition 4.1. We now prove the converse, which states that every minimal nonabelian p -group is isomorphic to one of these groups.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $p > 2$ and let G be a minimal nonabelian p -group. Then either $G \cong H(n, d)$ or $G \cong A(n, d)$ for some $n, d \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since G is a minimal nonabelian p -group, G satisfies conditions (i)–(iv) of Proposition 4.1. Let z be a generator for $Z(G)$; then $|z| = p^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. Set $w = z^{p^{d-1}}$,

so that $\langle w \rangle = [G, G]$. It follows from (iv) that $x^p \in Z(G)$ for all $x \in G$. For $x \in G$ set $\bar{x} = xZ(G) \in \bar{G}$.

Suppose that $x^p \in Z(G)^p$ for all $x \in G$. Since $[,]$ induces a nondegenerate \mathbb{F}_p -linear pairing $(,)_{\bar{G}}$ on \bar{G} , there is an \mathbb{F}_p -basis $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n\}$ for \bar{G} such that $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j)_{\bar{G}} = (\bar{y}_i, \bar{y}_j)_{\bar{G}} = w^0$ and $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_j)_{\bar{G}} = w^{\delta_{ij}}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $x'_i \in G$ be such that $\bar{x}_i = x'_i Z(G)$. Then there is $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x'_i)^p = z^{pa_i}$. Therefore $x_i = x'_i z^{-a_i}$ satisfies $x_i Z(G) = \bar{x}_i$ and $|x_i| = p$. Similarly, there are $y_i \in G$ with $y_i Z(G) = \bar{y}_i$ and $|y_i| = p$. It follows that $G \cong H(n, d)$.

Now assume that there exists $x \in G$ such that $x^p \notin Z(G)^p$. Define $\phi : \bar{G} \rightarrow Z(G)/Z(G)^p$ by $\phi(xZ(G)) = x^p Z(G)^p$. Then ϕ is clearly well-defined. We claim that ϕ is a group homomorphism, and hence an \mathbb{F}_p -linear map. Let $x, y \in G$; then $[x, y] = z^a$ for some integer a . Thus $yx = xyz^{-a}$, so we get $(xy)^p = x^p y^p z^{pb}$ with $b = -\frac{1}{2}(p-1)a$. Hence $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$. By our assumption, ϕ is nontrivial, so $\phi(\bar{G}) = Z(G)/Z(G)^p$ is cyclic of order p . Set $V = \ker \phi$ and let V^\perp be the orthogonal complement of V with respect to the pairing $(,)_{\bar{G}}$. Then V and V^\perp are \mathbb{F}_p -subspaces of \bar{G} , with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) = 2n - 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V^\perp) = 1$. Let $y'_1 \in G$ be such that $\bar{y}'_1 = y'_1 Z(G)$ generates V^\perp . Since $[y'_1, y'_1] = w^0$ we have $\bar{y}'_1 \in (V^\perp)^\perp = V = \ker \phi$. Hence there is $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(y'_1)^p = z^{ap}$. Then $y_1 = y'_1 z^{-a}$ satisfies $\bar{y}_1 = \bar{y}'_1$ and $|y_1| = p$. Now let $x_1 \in G$ be such that $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1)_{\bar{G}} = w^1$. Then $\bar{x}_1 \notin V$, so x_1^p is a generator for $Z(G)$. Therefore we may assume that $x_1^p = z$. Let W denote the span of $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1\}$ in \bar{G} . Then the restriction of $(,)_{\bar{G}}$ to W is nondegenerate, so $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ and the restriction of $(,)_{\bar{G}}$ to W^\perp is a nondegenerate skew-symmetric \mathbb{F}_p -bilinear form. Hence there is a basis $\{\bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_2, \dots, \bar{y}_n\}$ for W^\perp such that $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j)_{\bar{G}} = (\bar{y}_i, \bar{y}_j)_{\bar{G}} = w^0$ and $(\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_j)_{\bar{G}} = w^{\delta_{ij}}$ for $2 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $x'_i \in G$ be such that $\bar{x}_i = x'_i Z(G)$, and let $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $(x'_i)^p = z^{a_i}$. Since $2 \leq i \leq n$ we have $a_i = pb_i$ for some $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $x_i = x'_i z^{-b_i}$ satisfies $x_i Z(G) = \bar{x}_i$ and $|x_i| = p$. Similarly for $2 \leq i \leq n$ there are $y_i \in G$ such that $y_i Z(G) = \bar{y}_i$ and $|y_i| = p$. Therefore $G \cong A(n, d)$. \square

Remark 4.3. A p -group G is said to be of symplectic type if every abelian characteristic subgroup of G is cyclic. Philip Hall, in unpublished notes, showed that for $p > 2$ the nonabelian p -groups of symplectic type are precisely the groups $H(n, d)$ and $A(n, d)$ for $n, d \geq 1$. Therefore for $p > 2$ the minimal nonabelian p -groups are the same as the nonabelian p -groups of symplectic type. A proof of Hall's result can be found in [7, 5.4.9]. We thank Peter Sin for pointing us to this reference.

Let G be a group and let N_1, N_2 be subgroups of G . Say that G is a central product of N_1 and N_2 if $N_1 \cup N_2$ generates G and every element of N_1 commutes with every element of N_2 . In that case there is a subgroup A of $Z(N_1) \times Z(N_2)$ such that $G \cong (N_1 \times N_2)/A$.

We wish to express minimal nonabelian p -groups as central products, with $H(1, 1)$ as one of the factors. For convenience we extend the definition of $H(n, d)$ by setting $H(0, d) = C_{p^d}$.

Proposition 4.4. (a) Let $n, d \geq 1$. Then $H(n, d)$ is a central product of subgroups N_1 and N_2 , with $N_1 \cong H(n-1, d)$ and $N_2 \cong H(1, 1)$. More precisely,

$$H(n, d) \cong (H(n-1, d) \times H(1, 1))/B \quad (4.1)$$

for some subgroup B of $Z(H(n-1, d)) \times Z(H(1, 1))$ of order p .

- (b) Let $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$. Then $A(n, d)$ is a central product of subgroups N_1 and N_2 , with $N_1 \cong A(n-1, d)$ and $N_2 \cong H(1, 1)$. More precisely,

$$A(n, d) \cong (A(n-1, d) \times H(1, 1))/B \quad (4.2)$$

for some subgroup B of $Z(H(n-1, d)) \times Z(H(1, 1))$ of order p .

Proof. (a) Let N_1 be the subgroup of $H(n, d)$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z$ and let N_2 be the subgroup of $H(n, d)$ generated by $x_n, y_n, z^{p^{d-1}}$. Then $N_1 \cong H(n-1, d)$, $N_2 \cong H(1, 1)$, and N_1, N_2 satisfy the conditions for a central product. Therefore there is B satisfying (4.1). Since $|H(n, d)| = p^{2n+d}$, $|H(n-1, d)| = p^{2n+d-2}$, and $|H(1, 1)| = p^3$, we must have $|B| = p$.

(b) Let N_1 be the subgroup of $A(n, d)$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z$ and let N_2 be the subgroup of $A(n, d)$ generated by $x_n, y_n, z^{p^{d-1}}$. Then $N_1 \cong A(n-1, d)$, $N_2 \cong H(1, 1)$, and N_1, N_2 satisfy the conditions for a central product. Therefore there is B satisfying (4.2). Since $|A(n, d)| = p^{2n+d}$, $|A(n-1, d)| = p^{2n+d-2}$, and $|H(1, 1)| = p^3$, we must have $|B| = p$. \square

Proposition 4.4(b) does not apply to groups of the form $A(1, d)$. Instead, we use the following description:

Proposition 4.5. Let $d \geq 1$, and write $H(1, 1) = \langle x_1, y_1, z \rangle$, $C_{p^{d+1}} = \langle w \rangle$. Define a subgroup G_d of $H(1, 1) \times C_{p^{d+1}}$ by $G_d = \langle x_1 w, y_1, z \rangle$, and set

$$\overline{G}_d = G_d / \langle (x_1 w)^{p^d} z^{-1} \rangle = G_d / \langle w^{p^d} z^{-1} \rangle.$$

Then $\overline{G}_d \cong A(1, d)$.

Proof. We have $[x_1 w, y_1] = [x_1, y_1] = z$ and $(x_1 w)^p = w^p$. Let $\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1, \overline{z}$ denote the images in \overline{G}_d of $x_1 w, y_1, z$. Then $|\overline{x}_1| = p^{d+1}$, $|\overline{y}_1| = p$, and $[\overline{x}_1, \overline{y}_1] = \overline{z} = \overline{x}_1^{p^d}$. Hence $\overline{G}_d \cong A(1, d)$. \square

5 p -extensions with a nonintegral upper break

Let $p > 2$, let G be a nonabelian p -group, and let K be a local field of characteristic p with perfect residue field k . In this section we prove that there exists a totally ramified Galois extension L/K with Galois group G such that L/K has an upper ramification break which is not an integer. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that every embedding problem over K which only involves p -groups can be solved with a totally ramified extension. Therefore we only need to give an example of a G -extension with a nonintegral upper break for each G which is \preceq -minimal among nonabelian p -groups. These groups are classified in Proposition 4.2.

Our proof uses a bootstrap argument, based on constructing $H(1, 1)$ -extensions with a nonintegral upper ramification break. As a first step, we give an easy method for building $H(1, 1)$ -extensions using Artin-Schreier extensions. Recall that if $\beta \in K$ satisfies $v_K(\beta) = -b$ with $b \geq 1$ and $p \nmid b$ then the roots of $X^p - X - \beta$ generate a C_p -extension of K with ramification break b (see Proposition 2.5 in [6, III]).

Lemma 5.1. *Let a, b be positive integers with $a > b$, $p \nmid a$, and $p \nmid b$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in K$ satisfy $v_K(\alpha) = -a$ and $v_K(\beta) = -b$ and let $x, y \in K^{sep}$ satisfy $x^p - x = \alpha$ and $y^p - y = \beta$. Set $M = K(x, y)$ and let $\gamma \in K$. Let $z \in K^{sep}$ satisfy $z^p - z = \alpha y + \gamma$ and set $L = M(z)$. Then L/K is a totally ramified $H(1, 1)$ -extension.*

Proof. By construction M/K is a totally ramified C_p^2 -extension. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ satisfy $\sigma(x) = x + 1$, $\sigma(y) = y$, $\tau(x) = x$, and $\tau(y) = y + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma - 1)(\alpha y + \gamma) &= 0 \\(\tau - 1)(\alpha y + \gamma) &= \alpha = \wp(x).\end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in M$ it follows that L/K is Galois. Furthermore, we may extend σ, τ to $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau} \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ by setting $\tilde{\sigma}(z) = z$ and $\tilde{\tau}(z) = z + x$. We easily find that $|\tilde{\sigma}| = |\tilde{\tau}| = p$, $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}] \in \text{Gal}(L/M)$, and $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}](z) = z + 1$. The last formula implies that $[\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}]$ generates $\text{Gal}(L/M)$. Therefore $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong H(1, 1)$. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let K be local field of characteristic $p > 2$ and let F/K be a ramified C_p -extension. Let b be the ramification break of F/K , and let a be an integer such that $a > b$ and $a \not\equiv 0, -b \pmod{p}$. Then there is a totally ramified extension L/F such that L/K is an $H(1, 1)$ -extension with $\mathcal{U}_{L/K} = \{b, a, a + p^{-1}b\}$. In particular, L/K has an upper ramification break which is not an integer.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.4 in [6, III] that there is $y \in F$ such that $F = K(y)$, $v_F(y) = -b$, and $\beta := y^p - y \in K$. Since $p \nmid b$ we can write $a = bt + ps$ with $0 \leq t < p$; by our assumptions on a we get $1 \leq t \leq p - 2$. Set $\alpha = \pi_K^{-ps} \beta^t$; then $v_K(\alpha) = -a$. Let $x \in K^{sep}$ satisfy $x^p - x = \alpha$. Then $M := F(x) = K(x, y)$ is a C_p^2 -extension of K with upper ramification breaks b, a . Let r be the inverse of $t + 1$ in \mathbb{F}_p^\times , let $z \in K^{sep}$ satisfy $z^p - z = \alpha y + r\alpha\beta$, and set $L = M(z)$. Then L/K is an $H(1, 1)$ -extension by Lemma 5.1. Furthermore, $\text{Gal}(L/M) \cong C_p$ is the commutator subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Hence by (2.1), $\text{Gal}(L/M)$ is the smallest nontrivial ramification subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Therefore by the corollary to Proposition 3 in [11, IV], the lower ramification breaks of M/K are also lower ramification breaks of L/K .

Let $E = F(z)$. We can't directly compute the ramification break of the C_p -extension E/F , since $v_F(\alpha y + r\alpha\beta) = v_F(r\alpha\beta)$ is divisible by p . So instead we consider the Artin-Schreier equation

$$X^p - X = \alpha y + r\alpha\beta - \wp(r\pi_K^{-s} y^{t+1}).$$

Since $r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1} \in F$, the roots of this equation generate E over F . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha y + r\alpha\beta - \wp(r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1}) &= \alpha y + r\alpha\beta + r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1} - (r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1})^p \\ &= \alpha y + r\alpha\beta + r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1} - r\pi_K^{-ps}(y + \beta)^{t+1} \\ &= \alpha y + r\alpha\beta + r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1} - r\pi_K^{-ps} \sum_{i=0}^{t+1} \binom{t+1}{i} \beta^{t+1-i} y^i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = \pi_K^{-ps}\beta^t$, the $i = 0$ term in the sum is $-r\alpha\beta$ and the $i = 1$ term is $-\alpha y$. It follows that

$$\alpha y + r\alpha\beta - \wp(r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1}) = r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1} - r\pi_K^{-ps} \sum_{i=2}^{t+1} \binom{t+1}{i} \beta^{t+1-i} y^i.$$

Since $1 \leq t \leq p-2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_F \left(r\pi_K^{-ps} \binom{t+1}{2} \beta^{t-1} y^2 \right) &= -p^2s - (t-1)pb - 2b \\ &= -pa + pb - 2b. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a > b$ we have

$$v_F(r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1}) = -ps - (t+1)b = -a - b > -pa + pb - 2b.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v_F(\alpha y + r\alpha\beta - \wp(r\pi_K^{-s}y^{t+1})) &= v_F \left(r\pi_K^{-ps} \binom{t+1}{2} \beta^{t-1} y^2 \right) \\ &= -pa + pb - 2b, \end{aligned}$$

which is not divisible by p . Hence the ramification break of E/F is $2b + p(a-b)$.

Since b is the ramification break of F/K , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the ramification break of M/F is $b + p(a-b)$. Hence the upper breaks of the C_p^2 -extension L/F are $b + p(a-b)$, $2b + p(a-b)$, and the lower breaks are $b + p(a-b)$, $b + pa$. Since the upper breaks of the C_p^2 -extension M/K are b, a , with $b < a$, the lower breaks of this extension are $b, b + p(a-b)$. As we observed above, these are also lower breaks of L/K . Hence the lower breaks of L/K are $b, b + p(a-b), b + pa$. We conclude that the upper ramification breaks of L/K are b, a , and

$$a + p^{-2}((b + pa) - (b + p(a-b))) = a + p^{-1}b. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let N/K be a totally ramified Galois extension such that $\text{Gal}(N/K)$ is isomorphic to either $H(n, d)$ (with $n \geq 0$ and $d \geq 1$) or $A(n, d)$ (with $n, d \geq 1$). Let $G = \text{Gal}(N/K)$, let H be the unique subgroup of $Z(G)$ of order p , and let $M \subset N$ be the fixed field of H . Then $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v\}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $v > w$ for all $w \in \mathcal{U}_{M/K}$. Furthermore, $H = G^v$ is the smallest nontrivial ramification subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma \notin Z(G)$. Then there is $\tau \in G$ such that $[\sigma, \tau]$ generates $H \cong C_p$. Hence by (2.1), for $\rho \in H$ we have $i(\rho) > i(\sigma)$. Suppose $\sigma \in Z(G)$ but $\sigma \notin H$. Then there is $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ such that σ^{p^i} generates H . Once again by (2.1) we get $i(\rho) > i(\sigma)$ for all $\rho \in H$. It follows that H is the smallest nontrivial ramification subgroup of G . Therefore $H = G^v$, with v the largest upper ramification break of N/K . Using Theorem 2.1(b) we deduce that $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v\}$. \square

We now construct $H(n, d)$ -extensions and $A(n, d)$ -extensions which have at least one nonintegral upper break.

Proposition 5.4. *Let K be a local field of characteristic $p > 2$ and let $n, d \geq 1$.*

- (a) *There is a totally ramified Galois extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong H(n, d)$ and the largest upper ramification break of L/K is not an integer.*
- (b) *There is a totally ramified Galois extension L/K such that $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong A(n, d)$ and the largest upper ramification break of L/K is not an integer.*

Proof. (a) By Corollary 3.2 there exists a totally ramified $H(n-1, d)$ -extension N_1/K . Let v be the largest upper ramification break of N_1/K and let a, b be integers such that $a > b > v$, $p \nmid b$, and $a \not\equiv 0, -b \pmod{p}$. Then by Proposition 5.2 there is an $H(1, 1)$ -extension N_2/K such that $\mathcal{U}_{N_2/K} = \{b, a, a + p^{-1}b\}$. Set $N = N_1N_2$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{N_1/K}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{N_2/K}$ are disjoint we have $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{N_1/K} \cup \mathcal{U}_{N_2/K}$, and $N_1 \cap N_2 = K$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(N/K) &\cong \text{Gal}(N_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(N_2/K) \\ &\cong H(n-1, d) \times H(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2$ let M_i be the subfield of N_i fixed by the unique subgroup of $Z(\text{Gal}(N_i/K))$ with order p . Set $M = M_1M_2$; then $\text{Gal}(N/M) \cong C_p^2$. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that $\mathcal{U}_{N_1/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M_1/K} \cup \{v\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{N_2/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M_2/K} \cup \{a + p^{-1}b\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{M/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M_1/K} \cup \mathcal{U}_{M_2/K}$ this implies $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v, a + p^{-1}b\}$. By Proposition 4.4(a) there is a subgroup $B \leq \text{Gal}(N/M)$ such that $\text{Gal}(N/M)/B \cong H(n, d)$. Let $L = N^B$ be the fixed field of B ; then L/K is a totally ramified $H(n, d)$ -extension. Since

$$\text{Gal}(N_1M_2/K) \cong H(n-1, d) \times C_p^2 \not\cong H(n, d)$$

we have $L \neq N_1M_2$. Since $a + p^{-1}b > v$ and v is the largest upper ramification break of N_1M_2/K it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $a + p^{-1}b \notin \mathbb{Z}$ is an upper ramification break of L/K .

(b) If $n \geq 2$ then we proceed as in case (a): Let N_1/K be a totally ramified $A(n-1, d)$ -extension whose largest upper ramification break is v . By Proposition 5.2 there is an $H(1, 1)$ -extension N_2/K such that $\mathcal{U}_{N_2/K} = \{b, a, a + p^{-1}b\}$, with $a > b > v$, $p \nmid b$, and $a \not\equiv 0, -b \pmod{p}$. Setting $N = N_1N_2$, we get $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{N_1/K} \cup \mathcal{U}_{N_2/K}$, $N_1 \cap N_2 = K$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(N/K) &\cong \text{Gal}(N_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(N_2/K) \\ &\cong A(n-1, d) \times H(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Defining M_i and M as in the proof of (a) we get $\text{Gal}(N/M) \cong C_p^2$ and $\mathcal{U}_{N/K} = \mathcal{U}_{M/K} \cup \{v, a + p^{-1}b\}$. Hence by Proposition 4.4(b) there is $B \leq \text{Gal}(N/M)$ such that $\text{Gal}(N/K)/B \cong A(n, d)$. Setting $L = N^B$ we get $\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong A(n, d)$. Since N_1M_2 is a C_p -extension of M such that v is an upper ramification break of N_1M_2/K , using Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $a + p^{-1}b$ is an upper ramification break of L/K .

It remains to construct an $A(1, d)$ -extension with a nonintegral upper ramification break for each $d \geq 1$. Let N_1/K be a totally ramified $C_{p^{d+1}}$ -extension and let v denote the largest upper ramification break of N_1/K . Let F/K be the C_p -subextension of N_1/K . Let b be the ramification break of F/K and let a be an integer such that $a > v$ and $a \not\equiv 0, -b \pmod{p}$. Then by Proposition 5.2 there is a totally ramified $H(1, 1)$ -extension N_2/K such that $N_1 \cap N_2 = F$ and $\mathcal{U}_{N_2/K} = \{b, a, a + p^{-1}b\}$. Set $N = N_1N_2$. Then

$$\text{Gal}(N/K) \cong \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \text{Gal}(N_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(N_2/K) : \sigma_1|_F = \sigma_2|_F\}$$

is isomorphic to the group G_d defined in Proposition 4.5. Let M_1/K be the C_{p^d} -subextension of N_1/K and let M_2/K be the C_p^2 -subextension of N_2/K . Then $M_1 \cap M_2 = F$. Set $M = M_1M_2$; then N/M is a C_p^2 -extension. By Proposition 4.5 there is a C_p -subextension L/M of N/M such that L/K is a totally ramified $A(1, d)$ -extension. On the other hand, N_1M_2/M is a C_p -subextension of N/M such that N_1M_2/K has v as an upper ramification break. Since

$$\text{Gal}(N_1M_2/K) \cong C_{p^{d+1}} \times C_p \not\cong A(1, d)$$

we have $L \neq N_1M_2$. Hence by Lemma 2.3 we see that $a + p^{-1}b$ is an upper ramification break of L/K . This completes the proof. \square

We now prove the converse of the Hasse-Arf theorem for totally ramified p -extensions.

Theorem 5.5. *Let K be a local field of characteristic $p > 2$ and let G be a finite nonabelian p -group. Then there is a totally ramified G -extension L/K which has an upper ramification break which is not an integer.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 there is a quotient $\overline{G} = G/H$ of G which is isomorphic to either $H(n, d)$ or $A(n, d)$ for some $n, d \geq 1$. By Proposition 5.4 there is a totally ramified \overline{G} -extension M/K which has a nonintegral upper ramification break. By Corollary 3.2 there is an extension L/M such that L/K is a totally ramified G -extension. Since $\mathcal{U}_{M/K} \subset \mathcal{U}_{L/K}$ it follows that L/K has a nonintegral upper ramification break. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Let $p > 2$ and let G be a finite nonabelian p -group. Then there is a local field F of characteristic 0 with residue characteristic p and a totally ramified G -extension E/F which has an upper ramification break which is not an integer.*

Proof. Let L/K be a totally ramified G -extension with a nonintegral upper ramification break and let $u_{L/K}$ be the largest upper ramification break of L/K . Let F be a local field of characteristic 0 with the same residue field as K . Assume further that the absolute ramification index $e_F = v_F(p)$ of F satisfies $e_F > u_{L/K}$. Then it follows from Théorème 2.8 of [3] that there is a totally ramified G -extension E/F with the same ramification breaks as L/K . \square

6 G -extensions with a nonintegral upper break

Let G be a nonabelian group which is the Galois group of some totally ramified extension of local fields with residue characteristic $p > 2$. In this section we prove the converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem for totally ramified extensions by showing that there exists a totally ramified G -extension of local fields of characteristic p which has a non-integral upper ramification break.

Let K be a local field with residue characteristic p and let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree mp^n , with $p \nmid m$. Set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and let P be the wild ramification subgroup of G . Then $P \trianglelefteq G$ and $G/P \cong C_m$, so we get $G \cong P \rtimes_{\psi} C_m$ for some homomorphism $\psi : C_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P)$. In Remark 1 of [5] it is shown that if P is abelian but G is nonabelian then L/K has a nonintegral upper ramification break. The following result gives a larger class of groups G such that every totally ramified G -extension has a nonintegral upper ramification break.

Proposition 6.1. *Let K be a local field with residue characteristic p and let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension of degree mp^n , with $p \nmid m$. Set $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and write $G \cong P \rtimes_{\psi} C_m$ as above. If the action of C_m on P is nontrivial then L/K has a nonintegral upper ramification break.*

Proof. Let $\Phi(P)$ be the Frattini subgroup of P and let $M = L^{\Phi(P)}$ be the fixed field of $\Phi(P)$. Then $\Phi(P) \trianglelefteq G$, so M/K is a Galois extension. Set $\overline{P} = P/\Phi(P)$ and let $\overline{\psi} : C_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\overline{P})$ be the homomorphism induced by ψ . Then $\overline{\psi}$ is nontrivial by a theorem of Burnside (see Theorem 1.4 in Chapter 5 of [7]). Hence

$$\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong G/\Phi(P) \cong \overline{P} \rtimes_{\overline{\psi}} C_m$$

is nonabelian. Since \overline{P} is abelian it follows from Remark 1 in [5] that M/K has a nonintegral upper ramification break. It then follows from Theorem 2.1(b) that L/K has a nonintegral upper ramification break. \square

We now prove our converse of the Hasse-Arf theorem:

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a finite nonabelian group which is the Galois group of some totally ramified Galois extension of local fields with residue characteristic $p > 2$. Then there is a totally ramified G -extension L/K of local fields with residue characteristic p which has a nonintegral upper ramification break.*

Proof. The assumption on G implies that G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P such that $G \cong P \rtimes_{\psi} C_m$ for some m with $p \nmid m$ and some $\psi : C_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P)$. If $\psi(C_m)$ is nontrivial then it follows from Proposition 6.1 that every totally ramified G -extension L/K of local fields with residue characteristic p has a nonintegral upper ramification break. On the other hand, if $\psi(C_m)$ is trivial then $G \cong P \times C_m$, so P is nonabelian. Hence by Theorem 5.5 or Corollary 5.6 there is a local field F with residue characteristic p and a totally ramified P -extension E/F which has a nonintegral upper ramification break. Let ζ_m be a primitive m th root of unity and set $K = F(\zeta_m)$, $L_1 = E(\zeta_m)$. Then

L_1/K is a totally ramified P -extension with the same ramification breaks as E/F . In addition, there is a totally ramified C_m -extension L_2/K . Set $L = L_1L_2$. Then L/K is a totally ramified G -extension with a nonintegral upper ramification break. \square

Remark 6.3. Let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension of local fields such that for every totally ramified abelian extension E/K , the upper ramification breaks of LE/K are all integers. In [5] Fesenko proved that $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ must be abelian in this case. This gives a converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem of a different sort than the one presented here.

Remark 6.4. Let K be a local field of characteristic 2 and let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension whose Galois group is the dihedral group D_4 of order 8. It is shown in [4] that the upper ramification breaks of L/K must be integers. Hence the approach that we use here to prove the converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem by constructing extensions of local fields in characteristic p cannot be extended to the case $p = 2$. However, there are several totally ramified D_4 -extensions of \mathbb{Q}_2 which have nonintegral upper ramification breaks. For instance, the extension of \mathbb{Q}_2 generated by a root of the polynomial $X^8 + 4X^7 + 2X^4 + 4X^2 + 14$ is a D_4 -extension whose upper breaks are 1, 2, 5/2 (see [9]). As far as we know it remains an open question whether the converse to Hasse-Arf holds for totally ramified extensions of local fields with residue characteristic 2.

Remark 6.5. It would be interesting to know for which local fields K the following stronger converse to the Hasse-Arf theorem holds: For every nonabelian group G such that K admits a totally ramified G -extension, there is a totally ramified G -extension L/K which has a nonintegral upper ramification break. It follows from the proof of Theorem 6.2 that this converse to Hasse-Arf holds for local fields of characteristic $p > 2$. On the other hand, Remark 6.4 shows that this converse to Hasse-Arf does not hold for local fields of characteristic 2. As far as we know it is an open question whether this stronger converse to Hasse-Arf holds for local fields of characteristic 0.

References

- [1] C. Arf, Untersuchungen über reinverzweigte Erweiterungen diskret bewerteter perfekter Körper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **181** (1939), 1–44.
- [2] E. Artin, Die gruppentheoretische Struktur der Diskriminanten algebraischer Zahlkörper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **164** (1931), 1–11.
- [3] P. Deligne, *Les corps locaux de caractéristique p , limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0*, appearing in *Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, Hermann, Paris, 1984, 119–157.
- [4] G. G. Elder, Upper ramification sequences of nonabelian p^3 -extensions of local fields of characteristic p , preprint.

- [5] I. B. Fesenko, Hasse-Arf property and abelian extensions, *Math. Nachr.* **174** (1995), 81–87.
- [6] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [7] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Second edition, Chelsea, New York, 1980.
- [8] H. Hasse, Führer, Diskriminante und Verzweigungskörper relativ-Abelscher Zahlkörper, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **162** (1930), 169–184.
- [9] LMFDB Collaboration, The L -functions and modular forms database, home page of the p -adic field 2.8.22.83, <https://www.lmfdb.org/padicField/2.8.22.83>, accessed 24 June 2022.
- [10] J. Lubin, The local Kronecker-Weber theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **267** (1981), 133–138.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1962, translated as *Local Fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 67, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [12] E. Witt, Konstruktion von galoisschen Körpern der Charakteristik p zu vorgegebener Gruppe der Ordnung p^f , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **174** (1936), 237–245.