

GALOIS POINTS AND CREMONA TRANSFORMATIONS

AHMED ABOUELSAAD

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study Galois points of plane curves and the extension of the corresponding Galois group to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

If the Galois group has order at most 3, we prove that it always extends to a subgroup of the Jonquières group associated to the point P .

In degree at least 4, we prove that it is false. We provide an example of a Galois extension whose Galois group is extendable to Cremona transformations but not to a group of de Jonquières maps with respect to P . We also give an example of a Galois extension whose Galois group cannot be extended to Cremona transformations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let C be an irreducible plane curve in \mathbb{P}^2 . Giving a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, we consider the projection $\pi_P|_C : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which is the restriction of the projection $\pi_P : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with center P . Let $K_P = \pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$. We say that P is Galois if $k(C)/K_P$ is Galois and denote by G_P the corresponding Galois group in this case.

A de Jonquières map is a birational map φ for which there exist points $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}^2$ such that φ sends the pencil of lines passing through P to the pencil of lines passing through Q . The group of a de Jonquières transformations preserving the pencil of lines passing through a given point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ is denoted by $\text{Jonq}_P \subset \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

As in [Miu18], we are interested in the extension of elements of G_P to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. There are two interesting questions:

Question 1.1. *If P is Galois, does G_P extend to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$?*

Question 1.2. *If an element extends to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, does it extend to a de Jonquières map? i.e. to an element $\varphi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ with $\pi_P \circ \varphi = \pi_P$?*

Consider a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ with multiplicity m_P on an irreducible plane curve C in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d , we will show later that the extension $[k(C) : K_P]$ has degree $d - m_P$. Depending on the degree of the extension, we divided the answers to the preceding two questions. Consequently, our first main result is the following theorem.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E07, 14H05, 14H50.

Key words and phrases. Galois points, Cremona transformations, Galois groups, Jonquières maps.

The author acknowledges support from the Federal Commission for Scholarships for Foreign Students (FCS).

Theorem A. *Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve. If the extension $k(C)/K_P$ is Galois of degree at most 3, then G_P always extends to a subgroup of $\text{Jonq}_P \subseteq \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.*

Theorem A resulted from Theorem 3.2, which provides more information on the Galois extensions of degree at most 3 and the related Galois Groups at a point P . This encourages us to study higher-degree Galois extensions and determine if their Galois groups G_P can always be extended to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ as well as to the group of de Jonquières map with respect to P . The following theorem is our second argument.

Theorem B. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ containing a primitive fourth root of unity, and let C be the irreducible curve defined by the equation*

$$(1) \quad X^4 - 4ZYX^2 - ZY^3 + 2Z^2Y^2 - YZ^3 = 0,$$

then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is an outer Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 4. The group G_P extends to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ but not to Jonq_P .

Theorem B (as a result of Lemma 4.9) provides a negative answer to Question 1.2 for the Galois extension of degree at least 4. Although the Galois Group G_P can be extended to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, this does not necessarily mean that it can be extended to Jonq_P . We also get the result listed below.

Theorem C. *Let k be a field with $\text{char}(k) \neq 5$ that contains a primitive fifth root of unity, and let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by*

$$\phi : [u : v] \mapsto [uv^6 - u^7 : u^5(u^2 + v^2) : v^5(u^2 + v^2)].$$

We define $C := \overline{\phi(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ which is an irreducible curve of \mathbb{P}^2 , then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is an inner Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 5. Moreover, the identity is the only element of the Galois group that extends to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

Due to theorem C, we cannot claim that G_P can always be extended to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ for every Galois extension of degree at least 4, see Lemma 5.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my PhD advisor Jérémy Blanc for suggesting the question and for interesting discussions during the preparation of this text. I would also like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science at Basel for the hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The concept of Galois points for irreducible plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ was introduced by [MY00], [Yos09], [Fuk09]. In order to study the extension of an element in G_P to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, we need the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let $K_P = \pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$, the point P is called a Galois point for C if the field extension $k(C)/K_P$ is Galois. Moreover, if $P \in C$ [resp. $P \notin C$], then we call P an inner [resp. outer] Galois point. If P is Galois, we write $G_P = \text{Gal}(k(C)/K_P)$ and call it the Galois group at P .

Lemma 2.2. *The field extension induced by π_P , i.e., $\pi_P^* : k(\mathbb{P}^1) \hookrightarrow k(C)$, i.e. the extension $k(C)/K_P$ has degree $d - m_P$, where m_P is the multiplicity of C at P , and d is the degree of C .*

Proof. Let $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ be the defining equation of C of degree d . By changing coordinates, we may fix the point P to be the point $[1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and choose that C is not the line $Z = 0$. Since P has multiplicity m_P , so we can write the equation of the curve in the following form.

$$(2) \quad F(X, Y, Z) = F_{m_P}(Y, Z)X^{d-m_P} + \dots + F_{d-1}(Y, Z)X + F_d(Y, Z),$$

where $F_i(Y, Z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of Y and Z of degree i ($m_P \leq i \leq d$) and $F_{m_P}(Y, Z) \neq 0$. Since $F(X, Y, Z)$ is irreducible in $k[X, Y, Z]$ and not a multiple of Z , then $f = F(X, Y, 1) \in k[X, Y]$ is also irreducible in $k[X, Y]$. We take affine chart $Z = 1$, so we can see f as an irreducible polynomial in $\tilde{k}[X]$ with $\deg_X(f) = d - m_P$ where $\tilde{k} = k(Y)$. And hence the extension $k(C)/K_P$ is isomorphic to $(k(Y)[X]/(f))/(k(Y))$ and thus it has the same degree equal to the degree of the irreducible polynomial $f \in \tilde{k}[X]$, so we get $[k(C) : \tilde{k}] = \deg_X(f) = d - m_P$. \square

Definition 2.3. Let E/F be a field extension. An element $\alpha \in E$ is said to be algebraic over F if there is a non-constant polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$; if α is not algebraic over F , it is said to be transcendental over F , and if every element of E is algebraic over F , then E is said to be an algebraic extension of F .

Definition 2.4. Let E/F be a field extension, and $\alpha \in E$ be an algebraic element. The monic polynomial of the least degree among all polynomials in the set $\{f(x) \in F[x]; f(\alpha) = 0\}$ is the minimal polynomial of α .

Definition 2.5. Let E/F be an algebraic extension, an element $\alpha \in E$ is said to be separable if its minimal polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$ does not have multiple roots in an algebraic closure of E . If every element of E is separable, then E is said to be separable.

Definition 2.6. A rational map of \mathbb{P}_k^n is a map of the type $[z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] \dashrightarrow [\phi_0(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) : \phi_1(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) : \dots : \phi_n(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)]$ where the ϕ_i 's $\in k[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ denote homogeneous polynomials of the same positive degree, we may always assume these to be without common factor.

Definition 2.7. A birational map ϕ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is a rational map of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ such that there exists a rational map ψ of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ with the following property $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi = id$, where $id : [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] \dashrightarrow [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n]$. The degree of $\phi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ is the degree of the ϕ_i 's, when taken without common factor.

Theorem 2.8. [Har77, Theorem 4.4] *For any two varieties X and Y , there is a bijection between the set of dominant rational maps $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$, and the set of field homomorphisms $\varphi^* : k(Y) \rightarrow k(X)$. This bijection is given by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \overset{\varphi}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow a \\
 & & k
 \end{array}$$

$\varphi^*(a) = a \circ \varphi$

Corollary 2.9. *For each variety X , we have a group isomorphism $\text{Bir}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}_k(k(X))$ which sends φ to φ^* .*

Lemma 2.10. *For any field k , we have $\text{Aut}_k(k(x)) = \{x \mapsto (ax + b)/(cx + d); a, b, c, d \in k, ad - bc \neq 0\}$ and $\text{Aut}_k(k(x)) \cong \text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^1)$.*

Proof. As $k(x)$ is the function field of \mathbb{A}^1 and \mathbb{P}^1 , we have $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^1) \cong \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \text{Aut}_k(k(x))$ by Corollary 2.9. Let $a, b, c, d \in k$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the map f given by $x \mapsto (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ has g as an inverse given by $x \mapsto (dx - b)/(-cx + a)$ since $f \circ g = g \circ f = \text{id}$, hence $f \in \text{Aut}_k(k(x))$.

Conversely, suppose $f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, given by $[u : v] \mapsto [f_1(u, v) : f_2(u, v)]$, where f_1 and f_2 have the same degree d_1 and no common factor. If f has an inverse g of degree d_2 , then the composition $f \circ g$ is of degree $d_1 d_2$, as there is no simplification; otherwise a common factor of $f_1(g_1, g_2)$ and $f_2(g_1, g_2)$ would give a point of \mathbb{P}^1 sent by g onto $(0, 0)$ or onto a point of \mathbb{P}^1 sent by f onto $[0 : 0]$. But $f \circ g = \text{id}$, so $1 = \deg(\text{id}) = \deg(f \circ g) = d_1 d_2$. Since there is no simplification in \mathbb{P}^1 , so $d_1, d_2 < 2$, and hence $\deg(f) = 1$. Hence f is given by $[u : v] \mapsto [au + bv : cu + dv]$ where $ad - bc \neq 0$. \square

Lemma 2.11. *For any field k , let $f : \mathbb{A}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ be a birational map, given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, where $f_i \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n), i = 1, \dots, n$, then $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.*

Proof. Let f be a birational map. By Corollary 2.9, f^* is an automorphism of the k -algebra $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, hence it is surjective, which yields $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. \square

Definition 2.12. The group of birational maps of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is denoted $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)$ and called the Cremona group. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is an irreducible curve and $\phi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, we say that ϕ preserves C (or leaves C invariant) if ϕ restricts to a birational transformation of C . If this transformation is the identity, we say that ϕ fixes C .

Definition 2.13. Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, we write

$$\text{Jonq}_P = \{\varphi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2) \mid \exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1); \pi_P \circ \varphi = \alpha \circ \pi_P\}$$

and call it the Jonquière's group of P . A de Jonquière's map with respect to P is a birational map of \mathbb{P}^2 that belongs to Jonq_P , this corresponding to ask that ϕ preserves a pencil of lines through the point P .

Lemma 2.14. *Let $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$, by taking an affine chart, a de Jonquières map with respect to P is a special case of a Cremona transformation, of the form*

$$\iota^{-1} \circ \text{Jonq}_P \circ \iota = \left\{ (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{ax + b}{cx + d}, \frac{r_1(x)y + r_2(x)}{r_3(x)y + r_4(x)} \right) \right\}$$

and $\iota : \mathbb{A}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, $(x, y) \mapsto [x : y : 1]$, where $a, b, c, d \in k$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$ and $r_1(x), r_4(x), r_2(x), r_3(x) \in k(x)$ with $r_1(x)r_4(x) - r_2(x)r_3(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^2 & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ (x, y) & \mapsto & [x : y : 1] \\ \pi_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_P \\ \mathbb{A}^1 & \xhookrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ x & \mapsto & [x : 1] \end{array}$$

which gives the equality,

$$\iota^{-1} \circ \text{Jonq}_P \circ \iota = \{f \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^2) \mid \exists \alpha \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{A}^1); \alpha \circ \pi_x = \pi_x \circ f\}.$$

Let $f \in \iota^{-1} \circ \text{Jonq}_P \circ \iota$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y))$, then $\pi_x \circ f : (x, y) \mapsto f_1(x, y)$, but $\alpha \circ \pi_x = \alpha(x)$, it follows that $f_1(x, y)$ depends only on x , and is of this form $f_1(x, y) = (ax + b)/(cx + d)$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $ad - bc \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.10. From Lemma 2.11, we have $k(x, y) = k((ax + b)/(cx + d), f_2(x, y))$, to describe the second component $f_2(x, y)$, let us define the birational map $\tau : (x, y) \mapsto ((dx - b)/(-cx + a), y)$, hence $\tau \circ f : (x, y) \mapsto (x, f_2(x, y))$ is a birational map since both f and τ are birational, by Lemma 2.11 again we have $k(x)(f_2(x, y)) = k(x)(y)$. Apply Lemma 2.10 over the field $k(x)$ we get $f_2(x, y) = (r_1(x)y + r_2(x))/(r_3(x)y + r_4(x))$. \square

Lemma 2.15. *Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and $C, D \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be two irreducible curves, if $\phi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ and $\phi|_C : C \dashrightarrow D$ is birational map, we assume that there exists $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ such that $\pi_Q \circ \phi = \theta \circ \pi_P$, then P is a Galois point of C if and only if Q is a Galois point of D . Moreover, if P is Galois, an element of G_P extends an element of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (respectively Jonq_P) if and only if its image in G_Q extends an element of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ (respectively Jonq_Q)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\phi} & D \\ \pi_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_Q \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\phi|_C$ is birational map from C to D , then $\phi^*|_C : k(D) \rightarrow k(C)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, as $\pi_Q \circ \phi = \theta \circ \pi_P$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k(D) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*|_C} & k(C) \\ \pi_Q^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi_P^* \\ k(\mathbb{P}^1) & \xrightarrow{\theta^*} & k(\mathbb{P}^1) \end{array}$$

Hence, $k(D)/\pi_Q^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is Galois if and only if $k(D)/\pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is Galois. Moreover, ϕ conjugates Jonq_P to Jonq_Q and sends any element of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ that preserves C onto element of $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ that preserves D . \square

Example 2.16. Let $P = Q \in \{[1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1]\}$ $\phi : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [YZ : XZ : XY]$ and $\theta : [Y : Z] \mapsto [Z : Y]$, let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve not equal to $x = 0$, $y = 0$ or $z = 0$ and let $D = \phi(C)$, so we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\phi} & D \\ \pi_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_P \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

which shows that, if P is a Galois point for C , then P becomes a Galois point for D , this is a particular case of Lemma 2.15 corresponding to [Miu08, Corollary 3].

3. EXTENSIONS OF DEGREE AT MOST THREE

Lemma 3.1. *Let k be a field and let $L = k[x]/(x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0)$ where $f = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ is a separable irreducible polynomial in $k[x]$, then the field extension L/K is Galois if and only if there exists an element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ of order 3 such that,*

$$\sigma : x \mapsto \frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\gamma x + \delta}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k$ with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$.

Proof. As f is a separable irreducible polynomial of degree 3, the extension L/K is separable of degree 3. It is then Galois if and only if there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$ of order 3, so it remains to prove that we can choose σ with the right form. If $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$ where, $\sigma : x \mapsto \nu_2x^2 + \nu_1x + \nu_0$ and $\nu_i \in k$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, so the question here is can we find $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\} \subset K$ with $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$, such that the following equality holds.

$$(3) \quad \nu_2x^2 + \nu_1x + \nu_0 = \frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\gamma x + \delta}$$

we can find a solution

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= a_2\nu_1\nu_2 - a_1\nu_2^2 + \nu_0\nu_2 - \nu_1^2 \\ \beta &= a\nu_0\nu_2 - a_0\nu_2^2 - \nu_0\nu_2 \\ \delta &= a_2\nu_2 - \nu_1 \\ \gamma &= \nu_2. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma \neq 0$, otherwise we have $\sigma(x) \in K$ and this gives a contradiction as $x \notin K$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve of degree d : with multiplicity m_P at P . Thus by Lemma 2.2, $[k(C) : K_P] = d - m_P$, hence we consider the following cases.*

- (1) *If $d - m_P = 1$, then $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a birational.*
- (2) *If $d - m_P = 2$, P is Galois if and only if the extension is separable, and if this holds, then the non-trivial element $\sigma \in G_P$ of order 2 extends to a de Jonquières map with respect to P .*
- (3) *If $d - m_P = 3$, and P is Galois, then there is a de Jonquières map with respect to P extending the action.*

Proof. We may change coordinates and assume $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$. Let $x = X/Z$ and $y = Y/Z$ be affine coordinates. Since the field extension $k(C)/\pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is of degree $d - m_P$, then $k(C) = k(y)[x]/(f)$, where $f \in k[x, y]$ is the equation of C in these affine coordinates.

- (1) If $d - m_P = 1$, then $k(C) = \pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ so $\pi_P^* : k(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow k(C)$ is an isomorphism, so $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is birational.
- (2) If $d - m_P = 2$, then the extension $k(C)/\pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is of degree 2 and it is thus Galois if and only if it is separable. $k(C)/\pi_P^*(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is Galois \Leftrightarrow there exists element $\sigma \in G_P$ of order 2 that permutes the roots of $f \Leftrightarrow f$ is separable \Leftrightarrow the extension is separable. Moreover, the element $\sigma \in G_P$ of order 2 is given by $x \mapsto -x$ up to a suitable change of coordinates.
- (3) If $d - m_P = 3$, one may write the equation of the curve in the following form $f = F_{d-3}(y, 1)x^3 + F_{d-2}(y, 1)x^2 + F_{d-1}(y, 1)x + F_d(y, 1)$, so we get the result directly from Lemma 3.1 by replacing k by $k(y)$.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let k be a field with $\text{char}(k) \neq 3$ that contains a primitive third root of unity. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $\phi : [u : v] \mapsto [uv^2 + u^2v : u^3 : v^3]$. We define $C := \overline{\phi(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ is a curve of \mathbb{P}^2 , then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is a Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 3. The element of order 3 extends to an element of Jonq_P*

Proof. The curve C is birational to \mathbb{P}^1 via ϕ , with inverse $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X + Y : X + Z]$. Define the projection by $\pi_P : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [Y : Z]$. Let $\psi = \pi_P \circ \phi$, then $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ maps $[u : v]$ to $[u^3 : v^3]$, which is a 3 : 1 map, and the extension is Galois of degree 3 with Galois group G_P generated by $\sigma : x \mapsto \omega \cdot x$, where ω is a primitive cubic root of unity. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\
 & \searrow \psi & \vdots \pi_P \\
 & & \mathbb{P}^1.
 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we know that every element of order 3 extends to an element of Jonq_P . Explicitly σ extends to the map that is given by

$$[X : Y : Z] \mapsto \left[\frac{(Y - \omega Z)X + YZ(1 - \omega)}{(\omega - 1)X + Y\omega - Z} : Y : Z \right].$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let k be a field with $\text{char}(k) = 3$ and $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ given by the polynomial $f = X^3 - Y^2X + Z^3$, then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 3.*

Proof. Define the birational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow C$ by $\phi : [u : v] \mapsto [v^3 : u^3 : u^2v - v^3]$ with inverse $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [Y : Z + X]$. Define the projection by $\pi_P : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [Y : Z]$. Let $\psi = \pi_P \circ \phi$, then $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ maps $[u : v]$ to $[u^3 : u^2v - v^3]$, which is a 3 : 1 map, and the extension is Galois of degree 3 with Galois group G_P generated by $\sigma : [u : v] \mapsto [u : u + v]$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \pi_P \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we know that every element of order 3 extends to an element of Jonq_P . Explicitly σ extends to the map that is given by σ that is given by $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X + Y : Y : Z]$. □

4. CURVES THAT ARE CREMONA EQUIVALENT TO A LINE

Definition 4.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety and D a divisor in X . Let K_X denote a canonical divisor of X . We define the Kodaira dimension of $D \subset X$, written $\mathcal{K}(D, X)$ to be the dimension of the image of $X \mapsto P(H^0(m(D + K_X)))$ for $m \gg 0$. By convention we say that the Kodaira dimension is $\mathcal{K}(D, X) = -\infty$ if $|m(D + K_X)| = \emptyset \forall m > 0$.

Theorem 4.2. [MKM83, Corollary 2.4] *Let X be smooth rational surface and $D \subset X$ is a curve isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{K}(D, X) = -\infty$
- (2) $|2K_X + D| = \emptyset$.
- (3) $|2(K_X + D)| = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.3. Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve. If C is a smooth curve then we define $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(C, \mathbb{P}^2)$ to be $\mathcal{K}(C, \mathbb{P}^2)$, and if C is a singular curve, we take $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ to be an embedded resolution of singularities of C in \mathbb{P}^2 where \tilde{C} is the strict transform of C , then we define $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(C, \mathbb{P}^2)$ to be $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{C}, X)$. By [MKM83], this does not depend on the choice of the resolution.

Definition 4.4. Let C be an irreducible smooth plane curve. The curve C is said to be Cremona equivalent to a line if there is a birational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ that sends C to a line.

Theorem 4.5. (Coolidge) [MKM83, Theorem 2.6] *Let $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible rational curve. Then there exists a Cremona transformation σ of \mathbb{P}^2 such that $\sigma(C)$ is a line if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(C, \mathbb{P}^2) = -\infty$.*

Lemma 4.6. *If $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is an irreducible rational curve of degree $d < 6$, then C is equivalent to a line.*

Proof. Let $\pi_1 : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at P_1 , and let $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}$ the blow-up of X_{i-1} at $P_i \in X_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 2$, $\mathcal{E}_i = \pi_i^{-1}(P_i)$ is a (-1) -curve, where $\mathcal{E}_i^2 = -1$ and $\mathcal{E}_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. After blowing up n points, let $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the composition of the blow-ups π_i , where $Y = X_n$ we choose enough points such that the strict transform of C is smooth. By induction, we have $E_i = (\pi_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ \pi_n)^*(\mathcal{E}_i)$, $\text{Pic}(Y) = \pi^*(\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_n$, and $E_i^2 = -1$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $E_i \cdot E_j = 0$ for every $i \neq j$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} K_Y &= \pi_n^* \dots \pi_1^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_n^* \dots \pi_{i+1}^*(\epsilon_i) \\ &= \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n E_i \\ &= -3\pi^*(L) + \sum_{i=1}^n E_i. \end{aligned}$$

The strict transform $\tilde{C} \subset C$ is equivalent to $\tilde{C} = d \cdot \pi^*(L) - \sum_{i=1}^n m_{P_i}(C)E_i$. Hence we have

$$2K_Y + \tilde{C} = (-6 + d) \cdot \pi^*(L) + \sum_{i=1}^n (2 - m_{P_i}(C))E_i,$$

so $\pi^*(L) \cdot (2K_Y + \tilde{C}) = -6 + d$, hence $|2K_Y + \tilde{C}| = \phi$ for every curve of degree $d < 6$ and according to 4.2, we have $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(C, \mathbb{P}^2) = -\infty$, so C is equivalent to a line. \square

Lemma 4.7. *If C is a Cremona equivalent to a line $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$, P is a Galois point, then every non-trivial element in G_P extends to an element in $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ that sends C onto a line L . For each $g \in G_P$, $\varphi|_C : C \dashrightarrow L$ conjugates g to an element of $\text{Aut}(L)$, that extends to $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Hence, g extends to $\varphi^{-1}\hat{g}\varphi \in \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. \square

Remark 4.8. Let C be the smooth conic given by $C = \{[X : Y : Z] \mid Y^2 = XZ\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, then the natural embedding of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2, C) = \{g \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \mid g(C) = C\} = PGL_2$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) = PGL_3$ is the one induced from the injective group homomorphism

$$GL_2(k) \rightarrow GL_3(k), \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad+bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\rho : [u : v] \mapsto [u^2 : uv : v^2]$, and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \tilde{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \hat{g} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ containing a primitive fourth root of unity, and let C be the irreducible curve defined by the equation*

$$(4) \quad X^4 - 4ZYX^2 - ZY^3 + 2Z^2Y^2 - YZ^3 = 0,$$

then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is an outer Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 4. The group G_P extends to $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ but not to Jonq_P .

Proof. Define the birational map $\phi : \mathbb{A}^1 \dashrightarrow C$ by $\phi : t \mapsto [t + t^3 : t^4 : 1]$ with inverse $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto (X(Y + Z)) / (X^2 - YZ + Z^2)$. Hence C is a rational irreducible curve of degree 4. Therefore, because of Coolidge theorem 4.5, we know that every non-trivial element in G_P extends to an element in $\text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2)$. We will also prove it explicitly below. We have $K(C) = k(t)$ and define the projection by $\pi_P : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [Y : Z]$. Let $x = X/Z$ and $y = Y/Z$ be affine coordinates. Hence the affine equation $x^4 - 4yx^2 - y^3 + 2y^2 - y = 0$ is defining the extension field $k(y)[x]/k(y) = k(t)/k(t^4)$. As k contains the 4th root of unity, the extension is Galois of degree 4 with basis $\{1, t, t^2, t^3\}$, and we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}^1 & \dashrightarrow^{\phi} & C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2 \\ & \searrow^{\psi} & \downarrow f \\ & & \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

where ψ is a 4 : 1 map given by $\psi : t \mapsto t^4$. By contradiction, we prove that there is a de Jonquières map f extending the action. Let us assume that there exists a de Jonquières map g that extends the action, *i.e.* there exists $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\delta} \in k(y)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\delta} - \tilde{\beta}\tilde{\gamma} \neq 0$ such that $g : (x, y) \mapsto (\frac{\tilde{\alpha}x + \tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\gamma}x + \tilde{\delta}}, y)$. As $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ \sigma$, writing $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}(t^4), \beta = \tilde{\beta}(t^4), \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}(t^4)$ and $\delta = \tilde{\delta}(t^4)$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in k(t^4)$. We obtain the equation

$$it - it^3 = \frac{\alpha(t + t^3) + \beta}{\gamma(t + t^3) + \delta}.$$

This gives $\beta = \beta(t) = -(it^6 - i)\gamma t^2 - (i\delta + \alpha)t^3 + (\delta i - \alpha)t \in k(t^4)$ and then we have $(it^4 - i)\gamma = 0, i\delta + \alpha = 0$ and $\delta i - \alpha = 0$. This gives $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0$ and this gives a contradiction, so there is no de Jonquières map f extending the action.

Viewing C as an irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree 4, there are three singular points on the curve $[0 : 1 : 1], [i\sqrt{2} : -1 : 1], [i\sqrt{2} : 1 : -1]$. After suitable change of coordinates $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [-i\sqrt{2}X - i\sqrt{2}Z : 2X + Y - Z : 2X - Y + Z]$, this map sends the curve C to \tilde{C} , which is given by $\tilde{f} = X^2Y^2 + 6X^2YZ + X^2Z^2 + 4Y^2Z^2 = 0$ and this new equation has $\{[1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1]\}$ as multiple points of order 2. After blowing up the three points $\{[1 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1]\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 and contract again, we find that the strict transform curve is of degree

$d' = 2 \cdot d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 = 2 \cdot 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 = 2$, so it is a conic given by the equation $F = 4X^2 + Y^2 + 6YZ + Z^2 = 0$, after a suitable change of coordinates using the following matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4\mathbf{I} & 0 & -\mathbf{I} \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 8 & -6\sqrt{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

we can send the conic to $Y^2 - XZ = 0$. We can then extend G_P explicitly using Remark 4.8 \square

5. EXAMPLE WHERE G_P CAN NOT BE EXTENDED TO $Bir(\mathbb{P}^2)$

Lemma 5.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field, $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve, $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a birational map sends the curve C to itself, and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is an embedded resolution of singularities of C in \mathbb{P}^2 where \tilde{C} is the strict transform of C . If all singular points of C have a multiplicity $m_P(C) < \deg(C)/3$, then f is an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 .*

Proof. Let $\deg(f) = d$, and assume for contradiction that $d > 1$. We take a commutative diagram where π and η are sequences of blow-ups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \tau \swarrow & & \searrow \eta \\ \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$

and we can assume that the strict transform of C is smooth, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^*(L) &= d \cdot \pi^*(L) - \sum m_i E_i \\ K_X &= -3\pi^*(L) + \sum E_i \\ \eta^*(C) &= \tilde{C} = \deg(C) \cdot \pi^*(L) - \sum m_{P_i}(C) E_i. \end{aligned}$$

But $\deg(C) = C \cdot L = \eta^*(C) \cdot \eta^*(L) = d \cdot \deg(C) - \sum m_i \cdot m_{P_i}(C)$, hence $\deg(C)(d-1) = \sum m_i \cdot m_{P_i}(C) < \sum m_i \cdot \deg(C)/3$, and then $3(d-1) < \sum m_i$ is a contradiction as $\sum m_i = 3(d-1)$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let k be a field with $\text{char}(k) \neq 5$ that contains a primitive 5th root of unity, and let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given by*

$$\phi : [u : v] \mapsto [uv^6 - u^7 : u^5(u^2 + v^2) : v^5(u^2 + v^2)].$$

We define $C := \overline{\phi(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ is a curve of \mathbb{P}^2 , then the point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is an inner Galois point of C and the extension induced by the projection $\pi_P : C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is Galois of degree 5. Moreover, there is no birational map f extending the action of the generator of the Galois group.

Proof. The curve C is birational to \mathbb{P}^1 via ϕ , with inverse $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X^4 Y + 4X^3 Y^2 - 2X^3 Z^2 + 6X^2 Y^3 - 2X^2 Y Z^2 + 4X Y^4 + X Z^4 + Y^5 : Z(X^4 + 2X^3 Y + X^2 Y^2 - 3X^2 Z^2 - 3X Y Z^2 + Z^4)]$. Define the projection by $\pi_P : [X : Y : Z] \mapsto [Y : Z]$. So let $\psi = \pi_P \circ \phi$ then $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ maps

$[u : v]$ to $[u^5 : v^5]$, which is a $5 : 1$ map, and the extension is Galois of degree 5 with Galois group G_P generated by $\sigma : x \mapsto \zeta \cdot x$, where ζ is the 5th root of unity and we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \pi_P \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

We now prove that the curve C does not have a point P of multiplicity $m_P(C) \geq 3$. By contradiction, we take a point $P = [P_0 : P_1 : P_2]$ of multiplicity 3, and then we take two distinct lines $a_1x + a_2y - a_3z = 0$ and $b_2y - b_3z = 0$ passing through the point P . We take the preimage in \mathbb{P}^1 , so we get a common factor of degree at least 3.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u, v) &= a_1(-u^7 + uv^6) + a_2u^5(u^2 + v^2) + a_3v^5(u^2 + v^2), \\ f_2(u, v) &= (u^2 + v^2)(b_2u^5 + b_3v^5). \end{aligned}$$

We check now that it is not possible for the polynomials f_1 and f_2 to have a factor of degree 3 in common. Assume first that $u + Iv$ divides both polynomials, so we should have $f_1(1, I) = f_2(1, I) = 0$ implies to $a_1 = 0$, hence $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ is a smooth point and this gives a contradiction. If we assume that $u - Iv$ divides both polynomials, so we should have $f_1(1, -I) = f_2(1, -I) = 0$, again we have $a_1 = 0$, so the factor of degree 3 must divide $b_2u^5 + b_3v^5$. If we assume that u divides the polynomial f_2 , then $b_3 = 0$ and u^3 should divide f_1 , but this is not true as $f_1 = -u(u^6 - v^6)$. If we assume that v divides the polynomial f_2 , then $b_2 = 0$ and v^3 should divide f_1 , but this is not true as $f_1 = uv^2(u^4 + v^4)$. So the factor of degree 3 must divide $b_2u^5 + b_3v^5$, $b_2 \neq 0$ and $b_3 \neq 0$. Hence we can assume that $a_1 = 1$ and replace f_1 by $f_1 - a_3f_2/b_3$ so we can put $a_3 = 0$ and up to multiple, we can assume that $b_3 = 1, a_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = -\xi^5$. So $f_2 = -u^5\xi^5 + v^5$, $f_1 = (-u^7 + uv^6) + a_2u^5(u^2 + v^2)$ so the roots of f_2 are $(u, v) = (1, \xi^i)$, where ξ is a 5-th root of unity. and since f_1 and f_2 should have three roots in common, therefore let $\{(1, \xi), (1, \xi\zeta), (1, \xi\rho)\}$ are the three roots in common where $\rho^5 = 1$ and $\zeta^5 = 1$, and $\rho^5 \neq \zeta$ and they are not equal to 1, so f_1 should vanish on these three roots. This gives three equations

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= \xi^6 - 1 + a_2(\xi^2 + 1) = 0 \\ q_2 &= a_2(\xi^2\zeta^2 + 1) + \xi^6\zeta - 1 = 0 \\ q_3 &= a_2(\rho^2\xi^2 + 1) + \rho\xi^6 - 1 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by solving this system in a_2, ζ and ρ , we found that $\zeta = \rho = (\xi^4 + 1)/(\xi^6 - 1)$, which is a contradiction as $\zeta \neq \rho$. So f_1 and f_2 can not have a factor of degree $d \geq 3$. Since $m_P(C) < 3$ for each $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$, let us assume that there exists a birational map g that extends the generator of the Galois group, then by Lemma 5.1, g is a linear automorphism of \mathbb{P}^2 , so it is given by a

matrix lets say $A \in PGL_3$, Since $g \circ \phi = \phi \circ \sigma$, so we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} UV^6 - U^7 \\ U^5(U^2 + V^2) \\ V^5(U^2 + V^2) \end{bmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \zeta UV^6 - \zeta^2 U^7 \\ U^5(\zeta^2 U^2 + V^2) \\ V^5(\zeta^2 U^2 + V^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where ζ is the 5th root of unity. Since UV^6, U^7, U^5V^2 , and V^7 are linearly independent, after checking the calculation we found that A should be diagonal, but $U^5(\zeta^2 U^2 + V^2)$ is not a multiple of $U^5(\zeta^2 U^2 + V^2)$, then we have a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [Fuk09] FUKASAWA, Satoru: Galois points for a plane curve in arbitrary characteristic. In: *Geom. Dedicata* 139 (2009), 211–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-008-9325-2>. – ISSN 0046–5755 2
- [Har77] HARTSHORNE, Robin: *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977 (Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52). – xvi+496 S. – ISBN 0–387–90244–9 2.8
- [Miu08] MIURA, Kei: Galois points for plane curves and Cremona transformations. In: *J. Algebra* 320 (2008), Nr. 3, 987–995. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.04.018>. – ISSN 0021–8693 2.16
- [Miu18] MIURA, Kei: Birational transformations belonging to Galois points for a certain plane quartic. (2018). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08195> 1
- [MKM83] MOHAN KUMAR, N. ; MURTHY, M. P.: Curves with negative self-intersection on rational surfaces. In: *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 22 (1982/83), Nr. 4, 767–777. <https://doi.org/10.1215/kjm/1250521679>. – ISSN 0023–608X 4.2, 4.3, 4.5
- [MY00] MIURA, Kei ; YOSHIHARA, Hisao: Field Theory for Function Fields of Plane Quartic Curves. In: *Journal of Algebra* 226 (2000), Nr. 1, 283–294. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021869399981735>. – ISSN 0021–8693 2
- [Yos09] YOSHIHARA, Hisao: Rational curve with Galois point and extendable Galois automorphism. In: *J. Algebra* 321 (2009), Nr. 5, 1463–1472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.11.035>. – ISSN 0021–8693 2

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Basel, 4051 Basel, Switzerland

Email address: a.abouelsaad@unibas.ch

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT

Email address: abuelsaad@mans.edu.eg