

HYPERSURFACES OF $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ WITH CONSTANT SECTIONAL CURVATURE

HAIZHONG LI, LUC VRANCKEN, XIANFENG WANG AND ZEKE YAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we classify the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature. By applying the so-called Tsinghua principle, which was first discovered by the first three authors in 2013 at Tsinghua University, we prove that the constant sectional curvature can only be $\frac{1}{2}$ and the product angle function C defined by Urbano is identically zero. We show that any such hypersurface is a parallel hypersurface of a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$, and we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the involving minimal hypersurface and the famous “sinh-Gordon equation”

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\right)h = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh(\sqrt{2}h).$$

As a byproduct, we give a complete classification of the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant mean curvature and constant product angle function C .

1. INTRODUCTION

Besides the four-dimensional unit sphere \mathbb{S}^4 and the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, the Riemannian product $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ of two unit spheres is the most interesting compact 4-manifold. It is well known that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2$ are the only compact Hermitian symmetric 4-manifolds. In recent years, the study of the canonical submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ have become very active. When the codimension is 2, there have been many interesting results on minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (cf. [3, 14, 19], etc.) and surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ (cf. [18], etc.). In hypersurface case (i.e., codimension 1), it is remarkable that Urbano [20] classified the homogenous hypersurfaces and the isoparametric hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and he also studied the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant principal curvatures and gave some other important classification results. Recently, Gao, Hu, Ma and the fourth author [7] studied the Hopf hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and the hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow. Lu, Wang and Wang [16] constructed some new examples of cohomogeneity one hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant C . Nevertheless, many fundamental problems remain open.

From the point of view of Riemannian geometry, the classification problem of submanifolds with constant sectional curvature in different ambient spaces is an important and attractive topic in differential geometry, and there have been lots of interesting results in this respect. When the ambient space is a real space form, the classification of hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature has a long history and have been well understood. In non-flat complex space forms, there do not exist hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature cf. [4, 8, 9, 10, 17], etc. When the curvature tensor of ambient space does not have a simple expression, the classification problem of hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature becomes very difficult, if one uses the Gauss and Codazzi equations

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C42; Secondary 53B25.

Key words and phrases. constant sectional curvature, minimal hypersurface, product angle function, parallel hypersurface, sinh-Gordon equation.

directly. To overcome this difficulty, the first three authors introduced a new approach, the so-called *Tsinghua principle*, which applies the Codazzi equation and the Ricci identity in an indirect way to obtain some nice linear equations involving the components of the second fundamental form. By using such approach, some canonical submanifolds with constant sectional curvature (even under more general conditions) in some canonical Riemannian manifold have been classified, cf. [1, 5, 6, 14, 21, 22], etc. The main purpose of this paper is to classify the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature.

Before stating our main result, we first recall some basis properties and some canonical examples. Note that there is a natural product structure P on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ defined by

$$P(X_1, X_2) = (X_1, -X_2)$$

for any tangent vector field X_1, X_2 on \mathbb{S}^2 . Then, as observed by Urbano [20], the geometry of the hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ is closely related to a smooth function C . Here, for an orientable hypersurface M of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with N a unit normal vector field, the function C is defined by

$$C = g(PN, N),$$

where g denotes the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Hereafter, we call C the *product angle function* of M . It is clear that $-1 \leq C \leq 1$. Urbano [20] proved that any hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C^2 \equiv 1$ is locally the product of a curve in \mathbb{S}^2 and an open subset of \mathbb{S}^2 . We denote the standard metric on \mathbb{S}^2 by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then, as typical examples, for $t \in (-1, 1)$ and unit vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$, Urbano [20] showed that the following three kinds of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ have constant $C = 0$ and vanishing Gauss-Kronecker curvature:

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle p, q \rangle = t\}; \\ M_{a,b} &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle p, a \rangle + \langle q, b \rangle = 0\}; \\ \hat{M}_{a,b} &= \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle p, a \rangle^2 + \langle q, b \rangle^2 = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the above examples have the following properties [20] :

- (i) M_t is a homogeneous isoparametric hypersurface with three constant principal curvatures (hence with constant mean curvature). Among all the M_t , only M_0 is minimal.
- (ii) $M_{a,b}$ is minimal with non-constant scalar curvature.
- (iii) $\hat{M}_{a,b}$ has constant sectional curvature $1/2$ (hence with constant scalar curvature) with non-constant mean curvature.

As the first important result in this paper, we classify the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature κ . Then $\kappa = 1/2$, the product angle function $C = 0$ and M is congruent to an open part of a parallel hypersurface of a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$. More precisely, M is locally either*

- (1) an open part of $\hat{M}_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$; or
- (2) an open part of a parallel hypersurface of \tilde{M} at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, where \tilde{M} is a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$ described by Theorem 3.5.

Remark 1.1. (i) In Theorem 3.5, we establish a one-to-one correspondence between the involving minimal hypersurface \tilde{M} in Case (2) of Theorem 1.1 and the famous ‘‘sinh-Gordon equation’’

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\right)h = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh(\sqrt{2}h).$$

In fact, we prove that \tilde{M} admits coordinates (u, v, t) and there is a non-zero function $h : (u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that h satisfies the above “sinh-Gordon equation”. Conversely, for any non-zero solution $h : (u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we construct a metric g and two $(1, 1)$ -tensors A, \tilde{P} (see (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22)), then by the existence theorem and uniqueness theorems in [11, 15], up to an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, there exists a unique minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $C = 0$ and its first fundamental form and shape operator are given by g and A , respectively. (ii) The hypersurface $\hat{M}_{a,b}$ in Case (1) of Theorem 1.1, is actually a parallel hypersurface of the minimal hypersurface $M_{a,b} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle p, a \rangle + \langle q, b \rangle = 0\}$. Note that $M_{a,b}$ corresponds to a trivial solution of “sinh-Gordon equation”: $h \equiv 0$.

As the second main result, we obtain a complete classification of the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant C and constant mean curvature (or constant scalar curvature), which completes the the classification result by Urbano (see Theorem 1 in [20]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant product angle function C . Then*

- (1) *M has constant mean curvature if and only if either*
 - (a) *$C^2 = 1$ and M is an open part of $\mathbb{S}^1(r) \times \mathbb{S}^2$ for some $r \in (0, 1]$, or*
 - (b) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of M_t for some $t \in (-1, 1)$, or*
 - (c) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of $M_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$, or*
 - (d) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of a minimal hypersurface described by Theorem 3.5.*
- (2) *M has constant scalar curvature if and only if either*
 - (a) *$C^2 = 1$ and M is an open part of $\mathbb{S}^1(r) \times \mathbb{S}^2$ for some $r \in (0, 1]$, or*
 - (b) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of M_t for some $t \in (-1, 1)$, or*
 - (c) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of $\hat{M}_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$, or*
 - (d) *$C = 0$ and M is an open part of a parallel hypersurface of \tilde{M} at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, where \tilde{M} is a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$ described by Theorem 3.5.*

Remark 1.2. Our contributions in Theorem 1.2 are Case (1d) and Case (2d). Case (1a)–Case(1c) and Case (2a)–Case(2c) were obtained by Urbano (see Theorem 1 in [20]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some basic properties of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and some preliminaries of the geometry of the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. In Section 3, we give a complete classification of minimal hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$ (see Theorem 3.7). It turns out that the resulting hypersurfaces can only be $M_0, M_{a,b}$, or one of the hypersurfaces described by Theorem 3.5. In order to obtain the classification, we discuss all the possibilities of components of the second fundamental form. It is worth mentioning that we construct new examples of hypersurfaces with constant C and give local characterizations of them. In fact, these examples are all the hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C \neq \pm 1$ and $b_2 = 0$ (see (2.9) for the definition of b_2). See Proposition 3.6 for more details. In Sections 4, we present the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. We first apply the so-called Tsinghua principle to prove that the constant sectional curvature can only be $\frac{1}{2}$ and the product angle function C is identically zero. This is one of key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Another important ingredient in the proof is an observation that any hypersurface with constant sectional curvature is a parallel hypersurface of a minimal hypersurface with $C = 0$ (see Theorem 4.4). Thus we can apply the classification result in Section 3 to complete the proofs.

Acknowledgments: H. Li was supported by NSFC Grant No. 11831005, NSFC Grant No. 12126405 and NSFC-FWO Grant No. 11961131001. L. Vrancken was supported by NSFC-FWO 11961131001. X. Wang was supported by NSFC Grant No. 11971244 and the Fundamental Research

Funds for the Central Universities. Z. Yao was supported by NSFC Grant No. 12171437 and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No.2022M721871).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The geometric structure on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

Let \mathbb{S}^2 be the 2-dimensional unit sphere with standard metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and complex structure J defined by

$$J_p v = p \wedge v,$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $v \in T_p \mathbb{S}^2$, where \wedge stands for the cross product in \mathbb{R}^3 . On the product space $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric denoted by g , we have two complex structures

$$J_1 = (J, J), \quad J_2 = (J, -J),$$

which define two Kähler structures on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, so that $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ becomes a Kähler surface.

The product structure P on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ is defined by $P : T(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that

$$P(X_1, X_2) = (X_1, -X_2), \quad \forall X_1, X_2 \in T\mathbb{S}^2.$$

P satisfies that $P^2 = -J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1$, $P^2 = \text{Id}$ and $\bar{\nabla} P = 0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Moreover,

$$g(PY, Z) = g(Y, PZ), \quad \forall Y, Z \in T(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2).$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor \bar{R} of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(U, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ g(Y, Z)g(U, W) - g(U, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + g(PY, Z)g(PU, W) - g(PU, Z)g(PY, W) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $U, Y, Z, W \in T(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$. Thus, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ is an Einstein manifold with scalar curvature 4 and nonnegative sectional curvature.

2.2. Hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

Let M be an orientable hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with N a unit normal vector field and still g the induced metric on M . Then, with respect to the product structure P , we define by

$$\begin{aligned} C &:= g(PN, N) = g(J_1 N, J_2 N), \\ X &:= PN - CN, \end{aligned}$$

the product angle function $C : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a vector field X tangent to M . It is clear that $-1 \leq C \leq 1$ and $|X|^2 := g(X, X) = 1 - C^2$. For any tangential vector field Y of M , acting by the product structure P , we have the following decomposition

$$PY = TY + \mu(Y)N,$$

where TY and $\mu(Y)N$ are the tangential and normal part of PY . Thus T is a tensorial field of type $(1, 1)$, and μ is a 1-form over M . Moreover, $\mu(Y) = g(PY, N)$.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of the induced metric g on M . The Gauss and Weingarten formulae say that

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y Z = \nabla_Y Z + g(AY, Z)N, \quad \bar{\nabla}_Y N = -AY,$$

where A is the shape operator of M .

The Gauss and Codazzi equations of M are given by

$$R(U, Y)Z = \frac{1}{2}[g(Y, Z)U - g(U, Z)Y + g(TY, Z)TU - g(TU, Z)TY] + g(AY, Z)AU - g(AU, Z)AY, \quad (2.1)$$

$$(\nabla_Y A)Z - (\nabla_Z A)Y = \frac{1}{2}[g(Y, X)TZ - g(Z, X)TY], \quad (2.2)$$

where $U, Y, Z \in TM$, and R denotes the curvature tensor of M with respect to the metric g . Thus the Ricci curvature tensor is given by

$$\text{Ric}(Y) = \frac{1}{2}(Y - CTY + g(Y, X)X) + 3HAY - A^2Y, \quad (2.3)$$

where $H = \frac{1}{3}\text{Tr}A$ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface M . It follows that the scalar curvature ρ of M is given by

$$\rho = 2 + 9H^2 - \|A\|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\nabla^2 A$ denote the second covariant derivative of A , i.e.,

$$(\nabla^2 A)(U, Y, Z) := \nabla_U[(\nabla_Y A)Z] - (\nabla_{\nabla_U Y} A)Z - (\nabla_Y A)\nabla_U Z.$$

Then the Ricci identity states that

$$g((\nabla^2 A)(U, Y, Z), W) - g((\nabla^2 A)(Y, U, Z), W) = -g(R(U, Y)Z, AW) - g(R(U, Y)W, AZ). \quad (2.5)$$

The following lemma, obtained in [7, 20], describes some properties of the function C , the vector field X and the covariant derivatives of T and μ , which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. Lemma 1 of [20] and Lemma 2.1 of [7]). *Let $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ be an orientable hypersurface and A the shape operator associated to the unit normal field N . Then the gradient of C , the covariant derivatives of X , T and μ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla C &= -2AX, \quad \nabla_Y X = CAY - TAY, \\ (\nabla_Y T)Z &= g(AY, Z)X + \mu(Z)AY, \\ (\nabla_Y \mu)Z &= Cg(AY, Z) - g(TZ, AY). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. The formulae of the gradient of C and the covariant derivative of X can be directly found in Lemma 1 of [20]. For the rest two formulae, by the definitions of T , μ and the Gauss and Weingarten formulae, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y T)Z &= (\bar{\nabla}_Y(TZ))^\top - T(\nabla_Y Z) \\ &= [\bar{\nabla}_Y(PZ - \mu(Z)N)]^\top - T(\nabla_Y Z) \\ &= [P\bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \mu(Z)\bar{\nabla}_Y N]^\top - T(\nabla_Y Z) \\ &= g(AY, Z)(PN)^\top + \mu(Z)AY \\ &= g(AY, Z)X + \mu(Z)AY, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y \mu)Z &= Y\mu(Z) - \mu(\nabla_Y Z) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Y PZ, N) + g(PZ, \bar{\nabla}_Y N) - g(P\bar{\nabla}_Y Z - g(AY, Z)PN, N) \\ &= -g(TZ, AY) + Cg(AY, Z), \end{aligned}$$

where \cdot^\top denotes the tangential part. □

In [20], Urbano proved that hypersurface with $C^2 \equiv 1$ is locally the following hypersurfaces.

Lemma 2.2 ([20]). *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C^2 \equiv 1$. Then, up to isometries of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, M is locally the product of a curve Γ in \mathbb{S}^2 and an open subset of \mathbb{S}^2 .*

2.3. A canonical frame related to hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$.

In this subsection, we assume that $|C| < 1$ holds on M , and choose an appropriate local orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ of M as follows:

$$E_1 = \frac{J_1 N + J_2 N}{\sqrt{2(1+C)}}, \quad E_2 = \frac{J_1 N - J_2 N}{\sqrt{2(1-C)}}, \quad E_3 = \frac{X}{\sqrt{1-C^2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

This frame has the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} PE_1 = E_1, & PE_2 = -E_2, & PE_3 = \sqrt{1-C^2}N - CE_3, & PN = CN + \sqrt{1-C^2}E_3. \\ TE_1 = E_1, & TE_2 = -E_2, & TE_3 = -CE_3, & \mu(E_1) = \mu(E_2) = 0, \quad \mu(E_3) = \sqrt{1-C^2}. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

We assume that

$$\begin{aligned} AE_1 &= b_1 E_1 + b_2 E_2 + b_3 E_3, \\ AE_2 &= b_2 E_1 + b_4 E_2 + b_5 E_3, \\ AE_3 &= b_3 E_1 + b_5 E_2 + b_6 E_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 and b_6 are smooth functions on M .

By (2.3), under the frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, the Ricci tensor is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(E_1) &= \left(\frac{1-C}{2} + b_1 b_4 + b_1 b_6 - b_2^2 - b_3^2\right)E_1 + (b_2 b_6 - b_3 b_5)E_2 + (b_3 b_4 - b_2 b_5)E_3, \\ \text{Ric}(E_2) &= (b_2 b_6 - b_3 b_5)E_1 + \left(\frac{1+C}{2} + b_1 b_4 + b_4 b_6 - b_2^2 - b_5^2\right)E_2 + (b_1 b_5 - b_2 b_3)E_3, \\ \text{Ric}(E_3) &= (b_3 b_4 - b_2 b_5)E_1 + (b_1 b_5 - b_2 b_3)E_2 + (1 + b_1 b_6 + b_4 b_6 - b_3^2 - b_5^2)E_3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

When C is constant which is not equal to ± 1 on M , we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3 (cf. P.1395 of [20]). *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C \neq \pm 1$. Then, under the local orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} b_3 &= b_5 = b_6 = 0, \quad AE_1 = b_1 E_1 + b_2 E_2, \quad AE_2 = b_2 E_1 + b_4 E_2, \quad AE_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= b_1 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = -b_1 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_1 + b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -b_4 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_1 + b_4 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Moreover, the Gauss and Codazzi equations are equivalent to the following equations:

$$E_3 b_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} + b_1^2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_2^2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$E_3 b_2 = b_2 \left(b_1 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_4 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} \right), \quad (2.13)$$

$$E_3 b_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} + b_2^2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_4^2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$E_1 b_2 - E_2 b_1 = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$E_1 b_4 - E_2 b_2 = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Remark 2.1. Due to the differences between the subscripts of the frame, the formulae in Lemma 2.3 are slightly different from that on Page 1395 of [20].

3. MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES WITH $C = 0$

In this section, we give the classification of the minimal hypersurfaces with $C = 0$, which plays an very important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$. Then, under the frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined by (2.7), we have three cases of b_1, b_2 and b_4 on M as follows.*

Case 1:

$$b_1 = b_4 = 0, \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

Case 2:

$$b_1 = -b_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tan\left(\frac{t-k}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad b_2 = 0,$$

Case 3:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 = -b_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{t-l}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cos\left(\frac{t-l}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\cos^2\left(\frac{t-l}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sinh^2\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}, \\ b_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\cos^2\left(\frac{t-l}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sinh^2\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the function t satisfies $E_3 t = 1$ and the functions $h, k, l : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $h \neq 0$ and $E_3 h = E_3 k = E_3 l = 0$.

Proof. By using $H = \frac{1}{3}(b_1 + b_4) = 0$ and $C = 0$, the equations (2.12) and (2.13) become

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 b_1 &= \frac{1}{2} + b_1^2 - b_2^2, \\ E_3 b_2 &= 2b_1 b_2. \end{aligned}$$

When b_2 is a constant on M , we have $b_1 b_2 = 0$. Furthermore, if $b_1 = 0$, then up to a sign of the unit normal N , we are in the situation of **Case 1**. If $b_2 = 0$, then b_1 satisfies $E_3 b_1 = \frac{1}{2} + b_1^2$. By integrating this equation along the integral curves of E_3 , we obtain **Case 2**.

When b_2 is not a constant on M , let $F = b_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2$, we get

$$E_3 F = E_3(b_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2) = \frac{1}{2} + (b_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2)^2 = \frac{1}{2} + F^2.$$

This equation can be directly integrated along the integral curves of E_3 to obtain $F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tan\left(\frac{t+z_0}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, where $z_0 = -l + \mathbf{i}h$, h and l are functions on M which satisfy $h \neq 0$ and $E_3 h = E_3 l = 0$. By $F = b_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2$, it follows that b_1 and b_2 are the real part and the imaginary part of F , respectively, which corresponds to **Case 3**. \square

In the following, we deal with **Case 3** of Lemma 3.1. Inspired by the techniques in [12], we use the integrability conditions to find appropriate local coordinates. We assume that

$$\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \\ T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & -a_2 d_1 + a_1 d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2 are smooth functions on M .

Lemma 3.2. *$\{U, V, T\}$ is a local coordinate frame if and only if the following system of equations is satisfied:*

$$E_3 a_1 = -a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2, \quad E_3 a_2 = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$E_1 a_2 + E_2 a_1 = 0, \quad E_1 a_1 - E_2 a_2 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$E_3d_1 = b_1d_1 - b_2d_2, \quad E_3d_2 = b_1d_2 + b_2d_1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$E_1d_2 - E_2d_1 = 2b_2. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. We will show that our system of equations is equivalent to that all the commutators $[T, U]$, $[T, V]$ and $[U, V]$ vanish. Using $[E_i, E_j] = \nabla_{E_i}E_j - \nabla_{E_j}E_i$, $C = 0$ and (2.11), we get

$$[E_1, E_2] = -2b_2E_3, \quad [E_1, E_3] = -b_1E_1 + b_2E_2, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -b_2E_1 + b_4E_2 = -b_2E_1 - b_1E_2. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [T, U] = & (E_3a_1 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)E_1 + (E_3a_2 - a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)E_2 \\ & + (d_1E_3a_1 + a_1E_3d_1 + d_2E_3a_2 + a_2E_3d_2)E_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then $[T, U] = 0$ is equivalent to (3.3) and

$$a_1E_3d_1 + a_2E_3d_2 = (a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)d_1 + (a_2b_1 - a_1b_2)d_2. \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [T, V] = & (-E_3a_2 - a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)E_1 + (E_3a_1 + a_2b_2 + a_1b_1)E_2 \\ & + (-d_1E_3a_2 - a_2E_3d_1 + d_2E_3a_1 + a_1E_3d_2)E_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.3), we have the following equation

$$-a_2E_3d_1 + a_1E_3d_2 = (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)d_1 + (a_1b_1 + a_2b_2)d_2. \quad (3.9)$$

A short calculation implies that (3.8) and (3.9) are equivalent to (3.5). Finally, a straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} [U, V] = & \left(-a_1(E_1a_2 + E_2a_1) + a_2(E_1a_1 - E_2a_2) \right) E_1 \\ & + \left(a_2(E_1a_2 + E_2a_1) + a_1(E_1a_1 - E_2a_2) \right) E_2 \\ & + \left((a_1^2 + a_2^2)(E_1d_2 - E_2d_1 - 2b_2) - a_1d_1(E_1a_2 + E_2a_1) + a_2d_1(E_1a_1 - E_2a_2) \right. \\ & \left. + a_2d_2(E_1a_2 + E_2a_1) + a_1d_2(E_1a_1 - E_2a_2) \right) E_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1^2 + a_2^2 \neq 0$, we obtain that $[U, V]$ vanishes if and only if both (3.4) and (3.6) hold. \square

Any solution to the system of equations (3.3)–(3.6) provides us adapted coordinates. We present a special solution as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that b_1, b_2 and b_4 are given by (3.1) on M , let f be any function satisfying $E_3f = -1$. Then the functions a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2 defined by*

$$(a_1 + ia_2)^2 = \frac{1}{2(b_1 - ib_2)^2 + 1}, \quad d_1 = E_1f, \quad d_2 = E_2f, \quad (3.10)$$

are a solution to the system of differential equations (3.3)–(3.6).

Proof. Observe that the system of equations splits into two independent subsystems, (3.3)–(3.4) and (3.5)–(3.6).

Assume that a_1, a_2 are functions of b_1, b_2 . Using the equations (2.12)–(2.16) and $C = 0$, we express the first subsystem (3.3)–(3.4) with respect to b_1, b_2 and obtain that

$$(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_1} + 2b_1b_2\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_2} = -a_1b_1 - a_2b_2, \quad (3.11)$$

$$(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_1} + 2b_1 b_2 \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_2} = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_1} E_1 b_1 + \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_2} E_1 b_2 + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_1} E_2 b_1 + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_2} E_2 b_2 = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_1} E_1 b_1 + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_2} E_1 b_2 - \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_1} E_2 b_1 - \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_2} E_2 b_2 = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Equations (3.13) – (3.14) are satisfied if (a_1, a_2) satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations with respect to b_1, b_2 , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_1} = \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_2}, \quad \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_2} = -\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_1}.$$

We assume that $a_1(z) + \mathbf{i}a_2(z)$ is a holomorphic function with respect to $z = b_1 - \mathbf{i}b_2$, then

$$(z^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2) = (b_1^2 - b_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_1} + 2b_1 b_2 \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial b_2} + \mathbf{i} \left((b_1^2 - b_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_1} + 2b_1 b_2 \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial b_2} \right),$$

and

$$-z(a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2) = (-a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2) + \mathbf{i}(-b_1 a_2 + b_2 a_1).$$

Then (3.11) and (3.12) are equivalent to

$$(z^2 + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2) = -z(a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2).$$

Thus, we obtain a special solution to the equation system (3.11) – (3.14): $(a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2)^2 = \frac{1}{2(b_1 - \mathbf{i}b_2)^2 + 1}$.

Let f be any function satisfying that $E_3 f = -1$. We denote $d_1 = E_1 f, d_2 = E_2 f$, by using (3.7), we obtain the following integrability conditions for f .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [E_1, E_3]f + b_1 E_1(f) - b_2 E_2(f) = -E_3 d_1 + b_1 d_1 - b_2 d_2, \\ 0 &= [E_2, E_3]f + b_2 E_1(f) + b_1 E_2(f) = -E_3 d_2 + b_2 d_1 + b_1 d_2, \\ 0 &= [E_1, E_2]f + 2b_2 E_3(f) = E_1 d_2 - E_2 d_1 - 2b_2, \end{aligned}$$

which immediately implies that $d_1 = E_1 f, d_2 = E_2 f$ is a solution to the second subsystem (3.5)–(3.6). \square

We denote the coordinates obtained from the solution given in Lemma 3.3 by (u, v, t) , i.e., $\partial_u = U, \partial_v = V, \partial_t = T = E_3$. We will further make a special choice for f to simplify (3.1).

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a function f with $E_3 f = -1$ and a constant c such that replacing t by $t - c$ one has $l = 0$. Moreover, f is given by*

$$f = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}b_1}{\sqrt{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 4b_1^2 b_2^2}} \right).$$

The variable t is given by $t = -f + \text{const}$.

Proof. A short calculation implies that our choice of f satisfies $E_3 f = -1$. Using the defining equation (3.2) for T, U, V , we get

$$E_1 = \frac{a_1}{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \partial_u - \frac{a_2}{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \partial_v - d_1 \partial_t, \quad (3.15)$$

$$E_2 = \frac{a_2}{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \partial_u + \frac{a_1}{a_1^2 + a_2^2} \partial_v - d_2 \partial_t, \quad (3.16)$$

$$E_3 = \partial_t. \quad (3.17)$$

From $E_1 f = d_1, E_2 f = d_2, E_3 f = -1$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f = 0.$$

We insert (3.1) into the definition of f and obtain $\sin(-\sqrt{2}f) = \sin(\sqrt{2}(t-l))$, hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} l = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} l = 0$. Recall that $E_3 l = 0$ (see Lemma 3.1), we obtain that l must be a constant. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with product angle function $C = 0$. Assume that with respect to frame field $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ (see (2.7)), the function b_2 defined in (2.9) is not constant on M . Then M admits local coordinates (u, v, t) and there is a non-zero function $h : (u, v) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that the functions b_1, b_2, b_4 defined in (2.9) are given by*

$$b_1 = -b_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) \cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}})}{\cos^2(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) + \sinh^2(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}})}, \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sinh(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}) \cosh(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}})}{\cos^2(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) + \sinh^2(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}})}, \quad (3.18)$$

where h satisfies the following ‘‘sinh-Gordon equation’’:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right) h = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh(\sqrt{2}h). \quad (3.19)$$

Conversely, let \mathcal{D} be an open subset in \mathbb{R}^2 and $h : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (u, v) \mapsto h(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}$ be a non-zero function which satisfies the differential equation (3.19). Let $\Omega_0 = \{(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R} \mid h(u, v) = 0, \sqrt{2}t = (2p+1)\pi, p \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \Omega = \{(u, v, t) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}\} - \Omega_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, we define a metric g on Ω by

$$g = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)) + h_u^2 \right) du^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)) + h_v^2 \right) dv^2 + dt^2 - 2h_u h_v dudv + 2h_v dudt - 2h_u dvdt, \quad (3.20)$$

and a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field A on $T\Omega$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A\partial_u &= \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{2}h) \sin(\sqrt{2}t)}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_u + \frac{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_v \\ &\quad - \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{2}h) \sin(\sqrt{2}t) h_v - \cos(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h) h_u}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_t, \\ A\partial_v &= \frac{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_u - \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{2}h) \sin(\sqrt{2}t)}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_v \\ &\quad - \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{2}h) \cos(\sqrt{2}t) h_u + \sin(\sqrt{2}t) \cosh(\sqrt{2}h) h_v}{\sqrt{2}(\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h))} \partial_t, \\ A\partial_t &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Let ν be a vector bundle over Ω of rank 1 with metric \tilde{g}, ∇^\perp a connection on ν compatible with the metric \tilde{g} , and N a unit vector field in ν . We define a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field \tilde{P} on $T\Omega \otimes \nu$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}\partial_u &= \frac{1 + \cos(\sqrt{2}t) \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_u - \frac{\sin(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_v \\ &\quad - \frac{h_u + h_v \cos(\sqrt{2}t) \cosh(\sqrt{2}h) + h_u \sin(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_t + h_u N \\ \tilde{P}\partial_v &= -\frac{\sin(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_u - \frac{1 + \cos(\sqrt{2}t) \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_v \\ &\quad - \frac{h_u + h_u \cos(\sqrt{2}t) \cosh(\sqrt{2}h) - h_v \sin(\sqrt{2}t) \sinh(\sqrt{2}h)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)} \partial_t - h_u N, \\ \tilde{P}\partial_t &= N, \\ \tilde{P}N &= \partial_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Then the integrability conditions are satisfied on Ω and hence on any simply connected subset Ω_1 of Ω , up to an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, there is a unique isometric immersion Ψ from (Ω_1, g) into $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ such that A is the shape operator of Ω_1 into $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, ν is isometric to the normal bundle of $\Psi(\Omega_1)$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ by an isomorphism $\tilde{\Psi} : \nu \rightarrow T^\perp \Psi(\Omega_1)$, and for any $Y \in T\Omega_1$, we have

$$P(\Psi_*Y) = \Psi_*((\tilde{P}Y)^T) + \tilde{\Psi}((\tilde{P}Y)^\perp), \quad P(\tilde{\Psi}N) = \Psi_*(\partial_t), \quad (3.23)$$

where P is the product structure of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $(\tilde{P}Y)^T$ and $(\tilde{P}Y)^\perp$ denote the projections of $\tilde{P}Y$ onto $T\Omega_1$ and ν , respectively. Moreover, Ψ is minimal with the product angle function $C = 0$.

Proof. Let M be a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$. By Lemmas 3.1-3.4, M admits local coordinates (u, v, t) and there is a function $h : (u, v) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that b_1, b_2, b_4 are given by (3.18). From Lemma 3.3, we obtain that

$$a_1 = \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad a_2 = \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right). \quad (3.24)$$

Using (3.15), (3.16) and (3.18), we get that (2.15) and (2.16) are equivalent to

$$d_1 = \frac{2\left(\cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)h_v + \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)h_u\right)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)}, \quad d_2 = \frac{2\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)h_v - \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}}\right)h_u\right)}{\cos(\sqrt{2}t) + \cosh(\sqrt{2}h)}.$$

Then we can check the compatibility conditions of b_1, b_2, b_4 with respect to the Lie brackets $[E_i, E_j]$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, and obtain that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}\right)h = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh(\sqrt{2}h).$$

In fact, the compatibility conditions of b_1, b_2, b_4 with respect to the Lie brackets $[E_1, E_3]$ and $[E_2, E_3]$ are automatically satisfied and the compatibility conditions of b_1, b_2, b_4 with respect to the Lie bracket $[E_1, E_2]$ imply the above differential equation.

Conversely, assume that the function h satisfies (3.19), the metric g is defined according to (3.20), the $(1, 1)$ -tensor A is defined by (3.21), and we have a $(1, 1)$ -tensor \tilde{P} defined by (3.22), then it can be checked directly that all the integrability conditions are satisfied. Therefore, the conclusions can be obtained by applying the existence and uniqueness theorems in [11, 15] directly. \square

Remark 3.1. (i) According to Corollary 1 (3) of [20], M_0 is the only hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ which is minimal and has the scalar curvature and the function C constants. Under the frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined in (2.7), $b_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ on M_0 . Hence, if M is a minimal hypersurface described in Theorem 3.5, then M can not have constant scalar curvature. (ii) **Case 2** of Lemma 3.1 corresponds to a trivial solution of ‘‘sinh-Gordon equation’’: $h \equiv 0$, and the corresponding example is the hypersurface $M_{a,b}$, see Theorem 3.7 for more details.

Before dealing with **Case 1** and **Case 2** of Lemma 3.1, we present the following classification result for hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C \neq \pm 1$ and constant b_2 . Meanwhile, for any given constant $C \neq \pm 1$, we construct a large family of new examples of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant product angle function C .

Proposition 3.6. *Let M be a hypersurface with constant $C \neq \pm 1$ in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Then, under the frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined in (2.7), b_2 is constant on M if and only if either*

- (1) $b_2 \neq 0$ and M is an open part of M_t for some $t \in (-1, 1)$, or

(2) $b_2 = 0$ and M is locally given by the immersion $\Phi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 : (t, r, s) \rightarrow (p, q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, r) &= \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t\right)\gamma(r) + \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t\right)N(r), \\ q(t, s) &= \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}t\right)\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}t\right)\tilde{N}(s), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ are two arbitrary smooth regular curves in \mathbb{S}^2 with arc length parameters r and s , and $N(r)$ and $\tilde{N}(s)$ are the unit normal vector fields of $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ in \mathbb{S}^2 , respectively.

Proof. We assume that b_2 is constant on M . If b_2 is a non-zero constant, then by (2.13) we have

$$b_1\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_4\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} = 0,$$

which implies that $b_4 = \frac{1-C}{1+C}b_1$. Substituting $b_4 = \frac{1-C}{1+C}b_1$ into (2.12) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_3b_1 &= -\frac{1+C}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} + b_2^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} - b_1^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}}, \\ E_3b_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} + b_1^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_2^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above two equations that b_1 and b_4 are also constant on M , hence M has constant principal curvatures. Therefore, M has constant C , constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature. According to Corollary 1 (3) of [20], M is an open part of M_t for some $t \in (-1, 1)$. Conversely, one can verify directly that the hypersurfaces $\{M_t, t \in (-1, 1)\}$ have constant C and satisfy that b_2 is a non-zero constant (cf. Section 3.2 of [20]).

In the following, we consider the case with $b_2 = 0$ on M . By (2.12) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_3b_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} + b_1^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}}, \\ E_3b_4 &= -\frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} - b_4^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above two equations can be integrated along the integral curves of E_3 directly and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}} \tan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}(t + h_1)\right), \\ b_4 &= -\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}} \tan\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}(t + h_2)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where h_1 and h_2 are two local functions on M which satisfy that $E_3h_1 = E_3h_2 = 0$.

Next, we choose two appropriate non-zero functions ρ_1 and ρ_2 , such that the new frame

$$\{X_1 = \rho_1E_1, X_2 = \rho_2E_2, X_3 = E_3\}$$

satisfies that $[X_1, X_2] = [X_1, X_3] = [X_2, X_3] = 0$. Specifically, by using $[E_i, E_j] = \nabla_{E_i}E_j - \nabla_{E_j}E_i$, (2.11) and $b_2 = 0$, we get

$$[E_1, E_2] = 0, [E_1, E_3] = -b_1\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}}E_1, [E_2, E_3] = b_4\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}E_2.$$

Therefore

$$[X_1, X_3] = -\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}}\rho_1b_1 + E_3\rho_1\right)E_1.$$

Then $[X_1, X_3] = 0$ is equivalent to

$$E_3\rho_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}}\rho_1b_1. \quad (3.27)$$

Similarly, we get

$$[X_2, X_3] = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}\rho_2 b_4 - E_3 \rho_2\right)E_2, \quad [X_1, X_2] = \rho_1(E_1 \rho_2)E_2 - \rho_2(E_2 \rho_1)E_1.$$

Then $[X_2, X_3] = [X_1, X_2] = 0$ is equivalent to

$$E_3 \rho_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}\rho_2 b_4, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\rho_1 E_1 \rho_2 = \rho_2 E_2 \rho_1 = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

Then by using (3.26), we can integrate the equations (3.27) and (3.28) along the integral curves of E_3 directly and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= h_3 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}(t+h_1)\right), \\ \rho_2 &= h_4 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}(t+h_2)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where h_3 and h_4 are functions on M which satisfy $E_3 h_3 = E_3 h_4 = 0$. In particular, we chose $h_3 = h_4 = 1$, and then $\rho_1 = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}(t+h_1)\right)$, $\rho_2 = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}(t+h_2)\right)$.

On the other hand, from (3.26), we have

$$t+h_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-C}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+C}}b_1\right), \quad t+h_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1+C}} \arctan\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{1-C}}b_4\right).$$

Combining these equations with $\rho_1 = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}(t+h_1)\right)$, $\rho_2 = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}(t+h_2)\right)$, we have

$$\rho_1 = \cos\left(\arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{1+C}}b_1\right)\right), \quad \rho_2 = \cos\left(\arctan\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{1-C}}b_4\right)\right).$$

From (2.15), (2.16) and $b_2 = 0$, it follows that $E_1 \rho_2 = E_2 \rho_1 = 0$, which implies that $[X_1, X_2] = [X_1, X_3] = [X_2, X_3] = 0$.

By the definition of the frame $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ and using $[X_1, X_2] = [X_1, X_3] = [X_2, X_3] = 0$, we can identify M with an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 locally and express the hypersurface M by an immersion

$$\Phi : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad (t, r, s) \mapsto (p(t, r, s), q(t, r, s)),$$

such that $\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\right) = E_3$, and $\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial r}\right), \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial s}\right)$ are collinear with E_1, E_2 , respectively. By the definition of P and using

$$PE_1 = E_1, \quad PE_2 = -E_2,$$

we obtain that $dp, dq : T(\Omega) \rightarrow T\mathbb{S}^2$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \left(dp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right), 0\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) + Pd\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) = d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right), \\ \left(0, dq\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) - Pd\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\right) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left(dp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right), 0\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) + Pd\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\right) = 0, \\ \left(0, dq\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) - Pd\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)\right) = d\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right). \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

The first equation of (3.32) shows that p depends only on the (t, r) . Similarly, from the second equation in (3.31) we derive that q depends only on the (t, s) . By $g(PE_3, E_3) = -C$, $AE_3 = 0$ and

$\nabla_{E_3} E_3 = 0$, we have that integral curves of E_3 are geodesics of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, r) &= \cos(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t + c_1(r))\gamma(r) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t + c_1(r))(m_1(r)\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr} + m_2(r)N(r)), \\ q(t, s) &= \cos(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}t + c_2(s))\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{2}}t + c_2(s))(n_1(s)\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds} + n_2(s)\tilde{N}(s)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(r), \tilde{\gamma}(s) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $N(r)$ and $\tilde{N}(s)$ are unit normal vector fields of $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ in \mathbb{S}^2 , respectively. We can re-parametrize the curves to use arc length parameters (which we still denote by r and s), then $|\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr}| = |\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds}| = m_1^2(r) + m_2^2(r) = n_1^2(s) + n_2^2(s) = 1$. Assume that $k(r)$ and $\tilde{k}(s)$ are the curvatures of $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$, respectively, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\gamma(r)}{dr^2} &= -\gamma(r) + k(r)N(r), & \frac{dN(r)}{dr} &= -k(r)\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr}, \\ \frac{d^2\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds^2} &= -\tilde{\gamma}(s) + \tilde{k}(s)\tilde{N}(s), & \frac{d\tilde{N}(s)}{ds} &= -\tilde{k}(s)\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

By using the fact $g(E_1, E_3) = g(E_2, E_3) = 0$, we have

$$g\left(\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\right), \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial r}\right)\right) = g\left(\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\right), \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial s}\right)\right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{dc_1(r)}{dr} + m_1(r) = \frac{dc_2(s)}{ds} + n_1(s) = 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Finally, we take a transformation to simplify the expression of (p, q) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, r) &= \cos(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t + c_1(r))\gamma(r) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t + c_1(r))(m_1(r)\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr} + m_2(r)N(r)) \\ &= \cos(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t)V_1(r) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{2}}t)V_2(r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(r) &= \cos(c_1(r))\gamma(r) + \sin(c_1(r))(m_1(r)\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr} + m_2(r)N(r)), \\ V_2(r) &= -\sin(c_1(r))\gamma(r) + \cos(c_1(r))(m_1(r)\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr} + m_2(r)N(r)). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.34), it can be checked directly that $|V_1(r)| = |V_2(r)| = 1$ and $\langle V_1(r), V_2(r) \rangle = \langle \frac{dV_1(r)}{dr}, V_2(r) \rangle = 0$, i.e., $V_2(r)$ is the unit normal vector field of $V_1(r) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$. For $q(t, s)$, we can take a similar transformation. Furthermore, by taking re-parameterizations to make r and s being arc length parameters, M is locally given by map $\Phi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 : (t, r, s) \rightarrow (p, q)$ defined by (3.25). Conversely, one can verify directly that the hypersurfaces constructed by (3.25) have constant C and satisfy that $b_2 = 0$. \square

Armed with Lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, we obtain the following classification result for minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C = 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a minimal hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C = 0$. Then, either*

- (1) M is an open part of M_0 ; or
- (2) M is an open part of $M_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$; or
- (3) M is an open part of a minimal hypersurface described by Theorem 3.5.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, under the frame $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, there are three cases of b_1, b_2, b_4 on M , and Case 3 has been classified by Theorem 3.5.

For Case 1, it is not hard to see that M has constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature. Then, by Corollary 1 (3) of [20] and the fact that M_0 is the only minimal hypersurface among M_t , we know that Case 1 corresponds to the hypersurface $M_0 = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle p, q \rangle = 0\}$.

For Case 2, by Proposition 3.6, M is locally given by the immersion $\Phi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$: $(t, r, s) \rightarrow (p, q)$ defined by (3.25) with $C = 0$. Hence, we only need to determine the specific curves $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$. We assume as in the proof of Proposition 3.6 that $\kappa(r)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(s)$ are the curvatures of $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$, respectively. Then, (3.33) hold on $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$. By direct calculations, we have

$$E_1 = \left(\frac{d\gamma(r)}{dr}, 0 \right), \quad E_2 = \left(0, \frac{d\tilde{\gamma}(s)}{ds} \right), \quad E_3 = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \right), \quad N = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, -\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \right),$$

and

$$b_1 = g(AE_1, E_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) + \cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}})\kappa(r)}{\cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}})\kappa(r)}, \quad b_2 = g(AE_1, E_2) = 0,$$

$$b_4 = g(AE_2, E_2) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) + \cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}})\tilde{\kappa}(s)}{\cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}})\tilde{\kappa}(s)}.$$

From $H = \frac{1}{3}(b_1 + b_4) = 0$, we have that $\kappa(r)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(s)$ are equal to the same constant. Up to an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, we assume that $\gamma(r)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(s)$ are both $\mathbb{S}^1(r_1) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ such that the third coordinate component is $\sqrt{1-r_1^2}$, then M satisfies that $\langle p, (0, 0, 1) \rangle + \langle q, (0, 0, -1) \rangle = 0$ for any $(p, q) \in M$. Therefore, in general, M is an open part of $M_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. As the first key step, by using the so-called Tsinghua principle, which was first discovered by the first three authors in 2013 at Tsinghua University [1], we establish a very useful identity on any hypersurface M of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature κ . Then, for any tangent vector fields $W, U, Y, Z \in TM$, the following equation holds:*

$$\mathfrak{S}_{W,U,Y} \mathbf{I}(W, U, Y, Z) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where the symbol \mathfrak{S} stands for the cyclic summation, and $\mathbf{I}(W, U, Y, Z)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{I}(W, U, Y, Z) := \frac{1}{2} \{-g(TY, Z)g(AW, TU) + g(TU, Z)g(AW, TY)\}.$$

Proof. In order to prove Lemma 4.1, we calculate the expression of the cyclic summation

$$\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{S}_{W,U,Y} \{g((\nabla^2 A)(W, U, Y), Z) - g((\nabla^2 A)(W, Y, U), Z)\} \quad (4.2)$$

in two different ways. Specifically, on the one hand, we take the covariant derivative of the Codazzi equation (2.2) and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla^2 A)(W, U, Y), Z) - g((\nabla^2 A)(W, Y, U), Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{g((\nabla_W T)Y, Z)\mu(U) + g(TY, Z)(\nabla_W \mu)U \\ &\quad - g((\nabla_W T)U, Z)\mu(Y) - g(TU, Z)(\nabla_W \mu)Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations, with the use of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla^2 A)(W, U, Y), Z) - g((\nabla^2 A)(W, Y, U), Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{g(AW, Y)\mu(Z)\mu(U) - g(TY, Z)g(AW, TU) + Cg(TY, Z)g(AW, U) \\ &\quad - g(AW, U)\mu(Z)\mu(Y) + g(TU, Z)g(AW, TY) - Cg(TU, Z)g(AW, Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it can be checked directly that

$$\mathfrak{A} = \underset{W, U, Y}{\mathfrak{S}} \mathbf{I}(W, U, Y, Z). \quad (4.3)$$

On the other hand, the cyclic sum \mathfrak{A} can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{A} = \underset{W, U, Y}{\mathfrak{S}} \{g((\nabla^2 A)(W, U, Y), Z) - g((\nabla^2 A)(U, W, Y), Z)\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then we can apply the Ricci identity (2.5) and obtain that

$$\mathfrak{A} = - \underset{W, U, Y}{\mathfrak{S}} \{g(R(W, U)Y, AZ) + g(R(W, U)Z, AY)\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since M has constant sectional curvature κ of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, we have

$$g(R(W, U)Y, Z) = \kappa \{g(U, Y)g(W, Z) - g(W, Y)g(U, Z)\}. \quad (4.6)$$

It follows directly that

$$\mathfrak{A} = - \underset{W, U, Y}{\mathfrak{S}} \{g(R(W, U)Y, AZ) + g(R(W, U)Z, AY)\} = 0,$$

which combined with (4.3) leads to the conclusion of Lemma 4.1. \square

Remark 4.1. The method used in the proof of Lemma 4.1 is called the Tsinghua principle. This remarkable technique has been applied in many different situations since its first successful attempt in [1], see [5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22] for details.

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature κ . Then $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and the product angle function $C = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that hypersurface M has constant sectional curvature κ . Since our concern is only local, in order to prove this proposition, we will divide the discussions into two cases depending on the value of the product angle function C on M .

Case I. $C \neq \pm 1$ on M .

In this case, we can take the local orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined by (2.7). Then, the shape operator A , (1, 1)-tensor T and 1-form μ are given by (2.8) and (2.9). By using $\bar{\nabla}P = 0$, (2.8), Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} E_1 C = -2b_3 \sqrt{1 - C^2}, & E_2 C = -2b_5 \sqrt{1 - C^2}, & E_3 C = -2b_6 \sqrt{1 - C^2}, \\ \nabla_{E_1} E_1 = b_1 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = -b_1 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_1 + b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 = b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -b_4 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -b_2 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_1 + b_4 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 = b_3 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = -b_5 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_3, & \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = -b_3 \sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} E_1 + b_5 \sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} E_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Taking in (4.1), $(W, U, Y, Z) = (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_1)$, (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_2) , (E_1, E_2, E_3, E_3) , respectively, we obtain that $b_3(1 + C) = b_5(1 - C) = b_2 C = 0$. Since $C \neq \pm 1$, we have $b_3 = b_5 = 0$.

Claim. $C = 0$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ on M .

Proof of the Claim: We use reduction to absurdity. If $C \neq 0$ holds in an open subset of M , then $b_2 = 0$. By direct calculations, we have

$$g(R(E_1, E_2)E_2, E_1) = b_1b_4, \quad g(R(E_1, E_3)E_3, E_1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{C}{2} + b_1b_6, \quad g(R(E_2, E_3)E_3, E_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{C}{2} + b_4b_6.$$

Since $C \neq 0$, we have $b_6 \neq 0$. As $C \neq \pm 1$, it follows that

$$\kappa \neq 0, \quad b_6 = \frac{2\kappa-1+C}{2b_1} = \frac{2\kappa-1-C}{2b_4}, \quad b_6^2 = \frac{(2\kappa-1)^2-C^2}{4\kappa}.$$

If $\kappa < 0$, then $(2\kappa-1)^2 - C^2 > 0$, which contradicts $b_6^2 = \frac{(2\kappa-1)^2-C^2}{4\kappa} > 0$. It follows that $\kappa > 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$b_6 = -\frac{\sqrt{(2\kappa-1)^2-C^2}}{2\sqrt{\kappa}}, \quad b_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{\kappa(2\kappa-1+C)}}{\sqrt{(2\kappa-1)^2-C^2}}, \quad b_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{\kappa(2\kappa-1-C)}}{\sqrt{(2\kappa-1)^2-C^2}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Next, taking $(Y, Z) = (E_3, E_1)$ and $(Y, Z) = (E_3, E_2)$ into Codazzi equation (2.2), with the use of (2.8), (4.7), we compare the components of E_1 and E_2 , respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_3(b_1) - E_1(b_3) &= \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} + 2b_3^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_6b_1\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} + b_1^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_2^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}, \\ E_3(b_4) - E_2(b_5) &= -\frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}}{2} - 2b_5^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} + b_6b_4\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}} + b_2^2\sqrt{\frac{1-C}{1+C}} - b_4^2\sqrt{\frac{1+C}{1-C}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using $b_2 = b_3 = b_5 = 0$, the above two equations become

$$E_3b_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1-C}(1+C+2b_1(b_1-b_6))}{2\sqrt{1+C}}, \quad E_3b_4 = \frac{\sqrt{1+C}(-1+C+2b_4(b_6-b_4))}{2\sqrt{1-C}}. \quad (4.9)$$

In the following, we take the derivatives of b_1 and b_4 with respect to E_3 , with the use of (4.8) and $E_3C = -2b_6\sqrt{1-C^2}$, we obtain that

$$E_3b_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}(2\kappa-1)}{1+C-2\kappa}, \quad E_3b_4 = \frac{\sqrt{1-C^2}(2\kappa-1)}{-1+C+2\kappa}. \quad (4.10)$$

By (4.9) and (4.10), we have $\sqrt{1-C^2}(2\kappa-1) = 0$, from which we get $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$. However, this contradicts $C \neq 0$ and $\sqrt{(2\kappa-1)^2 - C^2} \geq 0$. Therefore, the product angle function $C = 0$.

Finally, by Lemma 2.1, we have $b_3 = b_5 = b_6 = 0$. By direct calculations, we have

$$g(R(E_1, E_2)E_2, E_1) = b_1b_4 - b_2^2, \quad g(R(E_1, E_3)E_3, E_1) = g(R(E_2, E_3)E_3, E_2) = \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies that the sectional curvature of M is $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b_1b_4 - b_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ holds on M . We have completed the proof of above Claim.

Case II. $C^2 = 1$ on M .

In this case, by Lemma 2.2, we know that M is an open part of $\Gamma \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where Γ is a curve of \mathbb{S}^2 . It follows that the tangent bundle of M has a decomposition $TM = T\Gamma \oplus T\mathbb{S}^2$ and we have $A|_{T\mathbb{S}^2} = 0$. Next, we take an orthonormal basic $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ with $v_1 \in T\Gamma$ and $v_2, v_3 \in T\mathbb{S}^2$, after direct calculations, we have $g(R(v_1, v_2)v_2, v_1) = 0$ and $g(R(v_2, v_3)v_3, v_2) = 1$. Therefore, in this case, there exists no hypersurface with constant sectional curvature. \square

Remark 4.2. As a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2, we obtain that there exists no hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature and constant mean curvature. In fact, let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature κ , by Proposition 4.2, $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and M has constant $C = 0$. According to Corollary 1 (3) of [20], $\{M_t, t \in (-1, 1)\}$ are the only hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ which have the mean curvature, the scalar curvature and the function C constants. However, for any $t \in (-1, 1)$, M_t does not have constant sectional curvature.

Before we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we need some preparations. For any hypersurface M of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with product angle function $C \neq \pm 1$ in local, let $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ be the orthonormal frame on M defined in (2.7). We define the map

$$\Phi_r : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \quad (p, q) \mapsto \Phi_r((p, q)) = \text{e}\tilde{\text{x}}\text{p}_{(p,q)}(rN_{(p,q)}), \quad \Phi_0(M) = M,$$

where $(p, q) \in M$ and $\text{e}\tilde{\text{x}}\text{p}$ is the Riemannian exponential map of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. For any $(p, q) \in M$, denote $\gamma(t) = \text{e}\tilde{\text{x}}\text{p}_{(p,q)}(tN_{(p,q)})$ the geodesic satisfying $\gamma(0) = (p, q)$ and $\gamma'(0) = N_{(p,q)}$. Let $\{E_1^r, E_2^r, E_3^r\}$ be the parallel translation of $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ along $\gamma(t)$ at point $\Phi_r((p, q))$, it follows that $\{E_1^r, E_2^r, E_3^r\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $(T\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \setminus \{\gamma'(r)\}$ at $\Phi_r((p, q))$.

For a fixed r , $\Phi_r(M)$ is not necessarily a submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, but at least locally and for r small enough, it is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. $\Phi_r(M)$ is a hypersurface if and only if the tangent map $(\Phi_r((p, q)))_*$ is non-degenerate for any $(p, q) \in M$. In this case, the corresponding frame $\{E_1^r, E_2^r, E_3^r\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $T_{\Phi_r((p,q))}\Phi_r(M)$.

In the following, for any hypersurface M and any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we give some detailed geometric properties of the map Φ_r when M has constant product angle function $C = 0$, by applying the theory of Jacobi fields. More precisely, we determine the tangent map of Φ_r , and when $\Phi_r(M)$ is a hypersurface, we determine the shape operator of $\Phi_r(M)$. These properties will be used in the proofs of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 4.3. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with $C = 0$, and $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ be the frame field on M defined by (2.7). Then, for any $(p, q) \in M$, the tangent map of Φ_r has the following expression:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\Phi_r)_* E_1 \\ (\Phi_r)_* E_2 \\ (\Phi_r)_* E_3 \end{pmatrix} = (B_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$(B_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sqrt{2}b_1 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & -\sqrt{2}b_2 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}b_2 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sqrt{2}b_4 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.12)$$

The determinant of (B_{ij}) is given by

$$\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - 2b_2^2 + 2b_1b_4 + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r) - \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r) \right). \quad (4.13)$$

Furthermore, when $\Phi_r(M)$ is a hypersurface, which is equivalent to $\text{Det}(B_{ij}) \neq 0$. Let A_r be the shape operator of $\Phi_r(M)$, then for any $(p, q) \in M$, the expression of A_r at $\Phi_r((p, q))$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_r E_1^r \\ A_r E_2^r \\ A_r E_3^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (A_r)_{11} & (A_r)_{12} & 0 \\ (A_r)_{21} & (A_r)_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (A_r)_{11} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(b_1 - b_4 + (b_1 + b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)) + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)}{\sqrt{2}\left(1 - 2b_2^2 + 2b_1b_4 + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r) - \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)\right)}, \\ (A_r)_{12} &= (A_r)_{21} = \frac{2b_2}{1 - 2b_2^2 + 2b_1b_4 + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r) - \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)}, \\ (A_r)_{22} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(-b_1 + b_4 + (b_1 + b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)) + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)}{\sqrt{2}\left(1 - 2b_2^2 + 2b_1b_4 + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r) - \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We choose the orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined by (2.7) and assume that (2.9) holds. Since M has constant $C = 0$, it follows that $b_3 = b_5 = b_6 = 0$.

Let $N = (N_1, N_2)$ be the unit normal field to M , then for any given $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we define a map $\Phi_r : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ by

$$\Phi_r((p, q)) = \text{e}\tilde{\text{x}}\text{p}_{(p,q)}(rN_{(p,q)}) = (\text{exp}_p rN_1, \text{exp}_q rN_2), \quad (p, q) \in M,$$

where exp denotes the exponential map in \mathbb{S}^2 . As $C = 0$, we have $|N_1| = |N_2| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then

$$\Phi_r(M) = \left(\cos\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)p + \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)N_1, \cos\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)q + \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}\right)N_2 \right), \quad (p, q) \in M.$$

For any $(p, q) \in M$, let $\gamma(t)$ be the geodesic such that $\gamma(0) = (p, q)$ and $\gamma'(0) = N_{(p,q)}$. Along $\gamma(t)$, by using $\bar{\nabla}P = 0$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)}\gamma'(t) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(P\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) = g(\bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)}P\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$g(P\gamma'(r), \gamma'(r)) = g(P\gamma'(0), \gamma'(0)) = C = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Let

$$E_1^t = \frac{J_1\gamma'(t) + J_2\gamma'(t)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad E_2^t = \frac{J_1\gamma'(t) - J_2\gamma'(t)}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad E_3^t = P\gamma'(t), \quad (4.16)$$

then $\{E_1^t, E_2^t, E_3^t\}$ forms three orthonormal parallel vector fields along $\gamma(t)$, and $E_1^0 = E_1$, $E_2^0 = E_2$, $E_3^0 = E_3$. Furthermore, along $\gamma(t)$, it holds that

$$PE_1^t = E_1^t, \quad PE_2^t = -E_2^t, \quad PE_3^t = \gamma'(t), \quad P\gamma'(t) = E_3^t. \quad (4.17)$$

Recall that as described in Section 10.2.1 of [2], a Jacobi field $Y(t)$ along $\gamma(t)$ is called an M -Jacobi field if its initial values satisfy the following two conditions:

$$Y(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}M \quad \text{and} \quad Y'(0) + AY(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}^\perp M.$$

We define $\mathfrak{J}(M, \gamma(t))$ as the 3-dimensional vector space consisting of all M -Jacobi vector fields along $\gamma(t)$ which are perpendicular to the M -Jacobi vector field $t \rightarrow t\gamma'(t)$. Then, at $\gamma(r) \in \Phi_r(M)$, the tangent space of $\Phi_r(M)$ is obtained by

$$T_{\gamma(r)}\Phi_r(M) = \text{Span}\{Y(r) \mid Y(t) \in \mathfrak{J}(M, \gamma(t))\}.$$

Let $Y_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be the M -Jacobi fields along the geodesic $\gamma(t)$ such that $Y_i(0) = E_i$ and $Y_i'(0) = -AE_i$. Then, by direct calculations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i(t) &= \left(\delta_{1i} \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \sqrt{2}g(AE_1, E_i) \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right) E_1^t + \left(\delta_{2i} \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \sqrt{2}g(AE_2, E_i) \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right) E_2^t \\ &\quad + (\delta_{3i} - tg(AE_3, E_i)) E_3^t. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $t = r$, we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\Phi_r)_* E_1 \\ (\Phi_r)_* E_2 \\ (\Phi_r)_* E_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1(r) \\ Y_2(r) \\ Y_3(r) \end{pmatrix} = (B_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$(B_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sqrt{2}b_1 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & -\sqrt{2}b_2 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}b_2 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) - \sqrt{2}b_4 \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - 2b_2^2 + 2b_1b_4 + (1 + 2b_2^2 - 2b_1b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r) - \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r) \right).$$

In the following, we assume that $\Phi_r(M)$ is a parallel hypersurface of M at distance r . Then, for any $(p, q) \in M$, the corresponding $\{E_1^r, E_2^r, E_3^r\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $T_{\Phi_r((p,q))}\Phi_r(M)$. It is straightforward to check that $N^r = (N_1^r, N_2^r)$ defined by

$$N_1^r = \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}})N_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}})p, \quad N_2^r = \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}})N_2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}})q, \quad (p, q) \in M,$$

is a unit normal vector field to the hypersurface $\Phi_r(M)$. By (4.15), we know that $\Phi_r(M)$ also has constant product angle function $C = 0$. Next, we calculate the derivatives of $Y_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, with respect to t and obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_r Y_1(r) \\ A_r Y_2(r) \\ A_r Y_3(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_1'(r) \\ -Y_2'(r) \\ -Y_3'(r) \end{pmatrix} = (D_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.19)$$

where A_r is the shape operator of hypersurface $\Phi_r(M)$ with respect to N^r and

$$(D_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & b_2 \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ b_2 \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & b_4 \cos(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin(\frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, using (4.18) and (4.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_r E_1^r \\ A_r E_2^r \\ A_r E_3^r \end{pmatrix} = (B_{ij})^{-1} (D_{ij}) \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (4.14) follows immediately and we have completed the proof of the Proposition 4.3. \square

In the following, by using Proposition 4.3, we establish the relationship between hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature and minimal hypersurfaces with $C = 0$, which is one of the key observations in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be a hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant sectional curvature κ . Then, the parallel hypersurface $\Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}(M)$ of M at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, is a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C = 0$.*

Proof. Since M has constant sectional curvature κ , by Proposition 4.2, M has constant $C = 0$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$. We choose the orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined by (2.7) and assume that (2.9) holds. It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2 that $b_3 = b_5 = b_6 = 0$ and $b_1b_4 - b_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Notice that, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi_r(M)$ is a parallel hypersurface of M if and only if $\text{Rank}((\Phi_r)_*) = 3$ on M . For a general hypersurface M , $\Phi_r(M)$ may not always be a hypersurface. However, when M has constant sectional curvature, we will prove that $\text{Rank}((\Phi_r)_*) = 3$ holds on M for any r .

In fact, by Proposition 4.3, we know that, for any $(p, q) \in M$, the tangent map of Φ_r satisfies the expression (4.11). The determinant of (B_{ij}) is given by (4.13). Since $b_1 b_4 - b_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we can simplify $\text{Det}(B_{ij})$ and obtain that

$$\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r).$$

If there exists some r such that $\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = 0$ in local, then M has constant mean curvature, which contradicts Remark 4.2. Since our concern is local, we may assume that $\text{Det}(B_{ij}) \neq 0$ for any $(p, q) \in M$ and any fixed r . It follows that $\text{Rank}((\Phi_r)_*) = 3$ and $\Phi_r(M)$ is a parallel hypersurface of M at distance r .

In the following, we still use A_r to denote the shape operator of $\Phi_r(M)$. By using Proposition 4.3 again, we have that, for any $(p, q) \in M$, the expression of A_r at $\Phi_r((p, q))$ is given by (4.14). Then, by using $b_1 b_4 - b_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_r E_1^r \\ A_r E_2^r \\ A_r E_3^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-b_1 + b_4 - (b_1 + b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)}{-2 + \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)} & \frac{-2b_2}{-2 + \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)} & 0 \\ \frac{-2b_2}{-2 + \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)} & \frac{b_1 - b_4 - (b_1 + b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)}{-2 + \sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that the mean curvature $H(r)$ of the parallel hypersurface $\Phi_r(M)$ is given by

$$H(r) = \frac{2(b_1 + b_4) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)}{6 - 3\sqrt{2}(b_1 + b_4) \sin(\sqrt{2}r)}.$$

Thus, if we take $r = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, then $H(\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}) = 0$. This means that parallel hypersurface $\Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}M$ is a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with constant $C = 0$. \square

Finally, we apply Theorem 3.7, Proposition 4.2, Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Since M has constant sectional curvature κ , from Proposition 4.2, we know that M has constant $C = 0$ and the sectional curvature $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$. According to Theorem 4.4, M is a parallel hypersurface of a minimal hypersurface \tilde{M} at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, in which \tilde{M} also has constant $C = 0$ and such \tilde{M} has been classified in Theorem 3.7. However, conversely, the parallel hypersurface of the hypersurface in Theorem 3.7 may not always has constant sectional curvature. In the following, we will check the three cases of Theorem 3.7, and find out in which case the parallel hypersurface has constant sectional curvature.

Case (1): \tilde{M} is an open part of M_0 .

In this case, we point out that M_t , $t \in (-1, 1)$, themselves are a family of parallel hypersurfaces, and their focal submanifold is the diagonal surface $\Sigma = \{(p, p) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid p \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$. It can be checked directly that M_t does not have constant sectional curvature for any $t \in (-1, 1)$.

Hence, Case (1) can not occur.

Case (2): \tilde{M} is an open part of $M_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}} = \{(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \langle \tilde{p}, \tilde{a} \rangle + \langle \tilde{q}, \tilde{b} \rangle = 0\}$ for some $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

In this case, up to an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, we assume that $\tilde{a} = (0, 0, 1)$, $\tilde{b} = (0, 0, -1)$. Then, \tilde{M} is locally given by the immersion $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (\tilde{p}(t_1, t_2), \tilde{q}(t_1, t_3)) = \cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)((\cos t_2, \sin t_2, 0), (\cos t_3, \sin t_3, 0)) + \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\tilde{a}, -\tilde{b}),$$

with unit normal field given by

$$N = (N_1, N_2) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)((\cos t_2, \sin t_2, 0), -(\cos t_3, \sin t_3, 0)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}).$$

We define the map $\tilde{\Phi}_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}((\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})) &= (\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\tilde{p}(t_1, t_2) + \sqrt{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)N_1, \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\tilde{q}(t_1, t_3) + \sqrt{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)N_2) \\ &= \left(\frac{\cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos t_2, \sin t_2, 0) + \frac{\cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{a}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos t_3, \sin t_3, 0) + \frac{\cos\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{b}\right), \quad (\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) \in \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked directly that $M = \tilde{\Phi}_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}(\tilde{M})$ is a parallel hypersurface of \tilde{M} at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, and M satisfies that $\langle p, \tilde{a} \rangle^2 + \langle q, \tilde{b} \rangle^2 = 1$ for any $(p, q) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}((\tilde{p}, \tilde{q})) \in M$. Furthermore, M has constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{2}$ and $C = 0$.

Hence, in Case (2), M is locally an open part of $\hat{M}_{a,b}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

Case (3): \tilde{M} is a minimal hypersurface described by Theorem 3.5.

In this case, we still choose the orthonormal frame fields $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ defined by (2.7) on \tilde{M} and assume that (2.9) holds, then $b_3 = b_5 = b_6 = 0$ and $H = \frac{b_1 + b_4}{3} = 0$ on \tilde{M} .

We adapt the notations in Proposition 4.3. By Proposition 4.3, we know that, for any $(p, q) \in \tilde{M}$, the tangent map of Φ_r satisfies the expression (4.11). The determinant of (B_{ij}) is given by (4.13). $H = 0$ implies that $b_4 = -b_1$, we can simplify $\text{Det}(B_{ij})$ and obtain that

$$\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2b_1^2 - 2b_2^2 + (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\cos(\sqrt{2}r)).$$

If there exists some r such that $\text{Det}(B_{ij}) = 0$ in local, then \tilde{M} has constant scalar curvature, which contradicts Remark 3.1. Since our concern is local, we may assume that $\text{Det}(B_{ij}) \neq 0$ for any $(p, q) \in \tilde{M}$ and any fixed r . It follows that $\text{Rank}((\Phi_r)_*) = 3$ and $\Phi_r(\tilde{M})$ is a parallel hypersurface of \tilde{M} at distance r .

We still use A_r to denote the shape operator of $\Phi_r(\tilde{M})$. By applying Proposition 4.3 again, we have that, for any $(p, q) \in \tilde{M}$, the expression of A_r at $\Phi_r((p, q))$ is given by (4.14). Then, by using $b_4 = -b_1$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_r E_1^r \\ A_r E_2^r \\ A_r E_3^r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4b_1 + \sqrt{2}(1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\sin(\sqrt{2}r)}{2(1 - 2b_1^2 - 2b_2^2 + (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\cos(\sqrt{2}r))} & \frac{2b_2}{1 - 2b_1^2 - 2b_2^2 + (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\cos(\sqrt{2}r)} & 0 \\ \frac{2b_2}{1 - 2b_1^2 - 2b_2^2 + (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\cos(\sqrt{2}r)} & \frac{-4b_1 + \sqrt{2}(1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\sin(\sqrt{2}r)}{2(1 - 2b_1^2 - 2b_2^2 + (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2)\cos(\sqrt{2}r))} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_1^r \\ E_2^r \\ E_3^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Armed with (2.10), by direct calculations, we obtain that the Ricci curvature tensor of the hypersurface $M = \Phi_r(\tilde{M})$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(E_1^r) &= \frac{-1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2}{(-1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2) - (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)} E_1^r, \\ \text{Ric}(E_2^r) &= \frac{-1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2}{(-1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2) - (1 + 2b_1^2 + 2b_2^2) \cos(\sqrt{2}r)} E_2^r, \\ \text{Ric}(E_3^r) &= E_3^r. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $r = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$, then $\text{Ric}(E_1^r) = E_1^r$, $\text{Ric}(E_2^r) = E_2^r$, $\text{Ric}(E_3^r) = E_3^r$. Since $\dim M = 3$, by applying Schur Lemma, M has constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{2}$.

Hence, in Case (3), the parallel hypersurface $\Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}}(\tilde{M})$ of \tilde{M} at distance $\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$ has constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{2}$. \square

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Based on Theorem 1 in [20], by applying the classification results in Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 1.1, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Miroslava Antić, Haizhong Li, Luc Vrancken and Xianfeng Wang, *Affine hypersurfaces with constant sectional curvature*, Pacific J. Math. **310** (2021), no. 2, 275–302. MR 4229240
- [2] Jürgen Berndt, Sergio Console and Carlos Enrique Olmos, *Submanifolds and holonomy*, second ed., Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016. MR 3468790
- [3] Ildefonso Castro and Francisco Urbano, *Minimal Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$* , Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 2, 217–248. MR 2344322
- [4] Thomas E. Cecil and Patrick J. Ryan, *Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), no. 2, 481–499. MR 637703
- [5] Xiuxiu Cheng, Zejun Hu, Marilena Moruz and Luc Vrancken, *On product affine hyperspheres in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}* , Sci. China Math. **63** (2020), no. 10, 2055–2078. MR 4159399
- [6] Bart Diios, Luc Vrancken and Xianfeng Wang, *Lagrangian submanifolds in the homogeneous nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **53** (2018), no. 1, 39–66. MR 3746515
- [7] Dong Gao, Zejun Hu, Hui Ma and Zeke Yao, *On real hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (2022), no. 10, 4447–4461. MR 4470187
- [8] Thomas A. Ivey and Patrick J. Ryan, *Hopf hypersurfaces of small Hopf principal curvature in CH^2* , Geom. Dedicata **141** (2009), 147–161. MR 2520069
- [9] Hyang Sook Kim and Patrick J. Ryan, *A classification of pseudo-Einstein hypersurfaces in CP^2* , Differential Geom. Appl. **26** (2008), no. 1, 106–112. MR 2393977
- [10] Masahiro Kon, *Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in complex space forms*, J. Differential Geometry **14** (1979), no. 3, 339–354 (1980). MR 594705
- [11] Daniel Kowalczyk, *Isometric immersions into products of space forms*, Geom. Dedicata **151** (2011), 1–8. MR 2780734
- [12] Marcus Kriele and Luc Vrancken, *An extremal class of three-dimensional hyperbolic affine spheres*, Geom. Dedicata **77** (1999), no. 3, 239–252. MR 1716673
- [13] Cece Li, Cheng Xing and Huiyang Xu, *Locally strongly convex affine hypersurfaces with semi-parallel cubic form*, J. Geom. Anal. **33** (2023), no. 3, 81. MR 4531058
- [14] Haizhong Li, Hui Ma, Joeri Van der Veken, Luc Vrancken and Xianfeng Wang, *Minimal Lagrangian submanifolds of the complex hyperquadric*, Sci. China Math. **63** (2020), no. 8, 1441–1462. MR 4125728

- [15] J. H. Lira, R. Tojeiro and F. Vitório, *A Bonnet theorem for isometric immersions into products of space forms*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **95** (2010), no. 5, 469–479. MR 2738866
- [16] Xiaoge Lu, Peng Wang and Xiaozhen Wang, *On the Geometry of Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$* , Results Math. **78** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 10. MR 4510548
- [17] Sebastián Montiel, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), no. 3, 515–535. MR 792990
- [18] Francisco Torralbo and Francisco Urbano, *Surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 2, 785–813. MR 2846353
- [19] ———, *Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$* , J. Geom. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 2, 1132–1156. MR 3319965
- [20] Francisco Urbano, *On hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$* , Comm. Anal. Geom. **27** (2019), no. 6, 1381–1416. MR 4052731
- [21] Joeri Van der Veken and Anne Wijffels, *Lagrangian submanifolds of the complex hyperbolic quadric*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **200** (2021), no. 5, 1871–1891. MR 4285103
- [22] Zeke Yao, Bangchao Yin and Zejun Hu, *Non-existence of conformally flat real hypersurfaces in both the complex quadric and the complex hyperbolic quadric*, Canad. Math. Bull. **65** (2022), no. 1, 68–83. MR 4396624

Haizhong Li:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY,
BEIJING, 100084, P.R. CHINA.
E-mails: lihz@tsinghua.edu.cn.

Luc Vrancken:

UNIV. POLYTECHNIQUE HAUTS-DE-FRANCE, CERAMATHS-LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉRIAUX
CÉRAMIQUES ET DE MATHÉMATIQUES, F-59313 VALENCIENNES, FRANCE;
INSA HAUTS-DE-FRANCE, CERAMATHS, F-59313 VALENCIENNES, FRANCE;
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KU LEUVEN, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, BOX 2400, BE-3001
LEUVEN, BELGIUM.
E-mail: luc.vrancken@uphf.fr.

Xianfeng Wang:

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY,
TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA.
E-mail: wangxianfeng@nankai.edu.cn.

Zeke Yao:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY,
BEIJING, 100084, P.R. CHINA.
E-mails: yaozkleon@163.com.