

LS-CATEGORY AND TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF SEVERAL FAMILIES OF FIBRE BUNDLES

NAVNATH DAUNDKAR AND SOUMEN SARKAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study upper bounds for the topological complexity of the total spaces of some classes of fibre bundles. We calculate a tight upper bound for the topological complexity of an n -dimensional Klein bottle. We also compute the exact value of the topological complexity of 2 and 3-dimensional Klein bottles. We describe the cohomology rings of several classes of generalized projective product spaces with \mathbb{Z}_2 -coefficients. Then we study the LS-category and topological complexity of infinite families of generalized projective product spaces. We also compute the exact value of these invariants in many specific cases. We calculate the equivariant LS-category and equivariant topological complexity of several product spaces equipped with \mathbb{Z}_2 -action.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lusternik and Schnirelmann [16] introduced a homotopy invariant of a topological space, known as the ‘LS-category’, to study some problems in variational calculus. This invariant has been studied widely since the 1940s, see [12, 17, 3]. Two decades later, the ‘genus’, a generalization of LS-category, of a fibration was introduced by Schwarz in [24]. This overused term ‘genus’ was replaced by ‘sectional category’ in the exposition [15] and subsequent articles on this topic. The notion of LS-category in the presence of group action has been studied first in [18].

In a different context, Farber [8] introduced the notion of topological complexity to study motion planning in mechanical systems. The configuration space of a mechanical system is the set of all admissible points of the system. Usually, this space has a topology. The continuous motions in the system can be characterized by continuous paths in the space. Topological complexity is a homotopy invariant and a close relative of the LS-category. Later, generalizing the concept of sectional category, Colman and Grant [13] introduced the equivariant sectional category. It was noted that the topological complexity is a particular case of the sectional category. However, an excellent physical interpretation of topological complexity has been mentioned in [8, 9].

Let G be a group and E, B be two G -spaces such that $f: E \rightarrow B$ is an equivariant map. The equivariant sectional category of f , denoted by $\text{secat}_G(f)$, is the least positive integer k such that there exists a G -invariant open cover $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of B and a G -map $\sigma_i: V_i \rightarrow E$ satisfying $f\sigma_i \simeq_G \iota_{V_i}: V_i \hookrightarrow B$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. If no such k exists, we say $\text{secat}_G(f) = \infty$. If f is a G -fibration, then one can replace the G -homotopy \simeq_G by the equality $=$. Moreover, if G is trivial then $\text{secat}_G(f)$ is called the sectional category of f , and in addition, if E is contractible then it becomes the LS-category of B , denoted by $\text{cat}(B)$. If X and Y are the path connected spaces and $X \times Y$ is completely normal, then Fox [12] showed that

$$\text{cat}(X \times Y) \leq \text{cat}(X) + \text{cat}(Y) - 1. \quad (1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M30, 55P15, 57N65.

Key words and phrases. LS-category, topological complexity, fibre bundle, projective product spaces.

Marzantowicz [18] introduced equivariant LS-category, denoted by $\text{cat}_G(B)$ for a G -space B , generalizing the notion of LS-category. The $\text{cat}_G(B)$ is the smallest integer r such that B can be covered by r many G -invariant open subsets U_1, \dots, U_r of B with each inclusion $U_j \hookrightarrow B$ is G -homotopic to an orbit inclusion $Gb_j \hookrightarrow B$ for some $b_j \in B$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. The sets U_j 's are called G -categorical open subsets of B . We note that if $b \in B^G$ and B is a G -connected space then $\text{secat}_G(\iota) = \text{cat}_G(B)$ for the inclusion map $\iota: \{b\} \rightarrow B$, see [13, Corollary 4.7]. Also, if G is trivial then $\text{cat}_G(B) = \text{cat}(B)$.

Let $PB := \{\gamma \mid \gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow B \text{ is a path in } B\}$. Consider the compact open topology on PB . Then PB is a G -space defined by $(g \cdot \gamma)(t) = g\gamma(t)$, and the fibration

$$\pi_B: PB \rightarrow B \times B$$

defined by $\pi_B(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1))$ is a G -fibration. The *equivariant topological complexity* of B , denoted by $\text{TC}_G(B)$, is defined by $\text{TC}_G(B) = \text{secat}_G(\pi_B)$, see [13]. A G -invariant open cover $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of $B \times B$ is called an (equivariant) *motion planning cover* for B if it satisfies the definition of the equivariant sectional category of π_B . If G is trivial in $\text{secat}_G(\pi_B)$ then it is called the topological complexity of B , and denoted by $\text{TC}(B)$. Farber [8] proved that the global section of π_B cannot be continuous unless the space B is contractible. The following inequalities show how LS-category and topological complexity are related.

$$\text{cat}(B) \leq \text{TC}(B) \leq \text{cat}(B \times B) \leq 2\text{cat}(B) - 1.$$

If X and Y are path connected spaces. Then Farber [8, Theorem 11] showed that

$$\text{TC}(X \times Y) \leq \text{TC}(X) + \text{TC}(Y) - 1. \quad (2)$$

We note that a product space is an example of a fiber bundle, see [23]. The topological complexity of fibrations has been studied in several articles such as [7, 10, 21]. We recall some related results here. Let G be a group acting properly on a space F . In [7, Section 3], Dranishnikov introduced the strong equivariant topological complexity $\text{TC}_G^*(F)$ of F and showed that

$$\text{TC}(E) \leq \text{TC}(B) + \text{TC}_G^*(F) - 1 \quad (3)$$

where E, B are locally compact metric ANR-spaces and $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibre bundle with fibre F having structure group G . On the other hand, Farber and Grant [10, Lemma 7] showed that

$$\text{TC}(E) \leq \text{TC}(F) \cdot \text{cat}(B \times B) \quad (4)$$

where $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a Hurewicz fibration with fibre F and base B . Later, Grant [14, Theorem 3.1] improved the upper bound in the above inequality. Therefore, it is natural to ask the following.

Question 1. *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a fibre bundle with fibre F . When can we say that*

$$\text{TC}(E) \leq \text{TC}(B) + \text{TC}(F) - 1?$$

In this paper, we answer Question 1 for a class of fibre bundles, see Theorem 2.2. Then, as a consequence, we compute the tight upper bounds for the topological complexity of a class of generalized projective product spaces and Dold manifolds [22] which includes n -dimensional Klein bottle introduced by Davis in [5]. We also study the LS-category and topological complexity of several generalized projective product spaces which includes ‘Dold manifolds of Grassmann type’. We note that Theorem 2.2 gives much better upper bounds than (3) and (4) for many fibre bundles.

We recall the definition of generalized projective product spaces following [22]. Let M and N be manifolds with involutions $\tau: M \rightarrow M$ and $\sigma: N \rightarrow N$ such that σ is fixed point free. Define the identification space:

$$X(M, N) := \frac{M \times N}{(x, y) \sim (\tau(x), \sigma(y))}. \quad (5)$$

Then $X(M, N)$ is a manifold of dimension $\dim(M) + \dim(N)$ and there is a fibre bundle

$$M \hookrightarrow X(M, N) \xrightarrow{p} N/\sigma \quad (6)$$

defined by $p([(x, y)]) = [y]$, where N/σ is the orbit space induced by the involution σ . Note that this class of manifolds contains all projective product spaces [4] and Dold manifolds [6]. Note that M, N in (6) can be replaced by topological spaces with similar involutions. However, in this paper, we are interested in the manifold category, unless it is mentioned.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a counter-example of [21, Theorem 3.4]. Then, we obtain an upper bound for the topological complexity of the total space of a class of fibre bundles as the sum of the topological complexities of the base and the fiber, see Theorem 2.2. We recall the result [21, Theorem 2.6] which is used in the later Sections to compute LS-category of several fibre bundles. We discuss some applications.

In Section 3, we recall the definition of an n -dimensional Klein bottle K_n for $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we show that $\text{TC}(K_2) = 5$ and $\text{TC}(K_3) = 6$. For higher n , we calculate almost tight upper bounds on the topological complexity. Here, the computation $\text{TC}(K_2) = 5$ is an alternative proof for the topological complexity of classical 2-dimensional Klein bottle.

We begin Section 4 by recalling the definition of projective product spaces and some generalized projective product spaces. Then we compute the mod-2 cohomology ring of certain generalized projective product spaces, see Theorem 4.1. This result extends some previous results in [4] and [22]. Then, we compute bounds (probably tight) as well as exact values for the LS-category and topological complexity of an infinite families of generalized projective product spaces.

In Section 5, we introduce a new class of generalized projective product spaces called ‘Dold manifolds of Grassmann type’ whose topological properties have not been studied till now. Then we describe their cohomology ring and compute their LS-category, see Theorem 5.1. We discuss some lower and upper bounds for the topological complexity of these spaces, see Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 5.5.

In Section 6, we study the equivariant LS-category and equivariant topological complexity of several \mathbb{Z}_2 -spaces related to the generalized projective product spaces and generalized Dold manifolds. We compute them for several cases, see Proposition 6.1 and Example 6.6.

2. LS-CATEGORY AND TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF SOME FIBER BUNDLES

An upper bound for the topological complexity of the total space of a fibre bundle has been studied in [10, 14] as the product of the topological complexity of the base and the fiber and in [21] as the sum of the topological complexity of the base and the fibre (under a hypothesis). The authors of this paper found that the arguments in the proof of [21, Theorem 3.4] is inadequate. However, importantly, this observation does not affect the main goals (computing LS-category and topological complexity of Dold manifolds) of the paper [21]. We begin this section with a counter-example to [21, Theorem 3.4] observed by the first author of this paper. Then, we study upper bounds for the topological complexity of the total spaces of a class of fibre bundles. We compute an upper bound for the LS-category and topological complexity of manifolds defined in (5).

Example 2.1 (Counter-example to [21, Theorem 3.4]). Let K_2 be the classical 2-dimensional Klein bottle. Note that K_2 can be considered as the total space of a fibre bundle over S^1 with fibre S^1 , see [23, Chapter 1]. We now construct an open cover for $S^1 \times S^1$ with three open sets satisfying the hypothesis of [21, Theorem 3.4]. Let $a_i \in S^1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ be three distinct points on the circle. We write a_i instead $\{a_i\}$ for short. Suppose that

$$R_i := S^1 \setminus a_i, \text{ and } V_i := R_i \times R_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Note that $S^1 = \cup_{i=1}^3 R_i$ and $S^1 \times S^1 = \cup_{i=1}^3 V_i$. Let $(x, y) \in V_i$. Then $x \neq a_i$ and $y \neq a_i$. Therefore there is a unique geodesic $\gamma_{(x,y)}^i$ from x to y which does not contain a_i . Thus the path $\gamma_{(x,y)}^i$ lies inside R_i . Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we can define continuous sections

$$\sigma_i: V_i \rightarrow PS^1 \text{ by } \sigma_i(x, y) := \gamma_{(x,y)}^i$$

of $\pi_{S^1}: PS^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$. Note that $\sigma_i(V_i) \subseteq PR_i$. Since each V_j is contractible, we have local trivialization

$$h_j: V_j \times (S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow (p \times p)^{-1}(V_j)$$

for the bundle $K_2 \times K_2 \xrightarrow{p \times p} S^1 \times S^1$ with fibre $S^1 \times S^1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

The above discussion shows that the hypothesis of [21, Theorem 3.4] are satisfied for this fibre bundle. From the conclusion of [21, Theorem 3.4], we get $\text{TC}(K_2) \leq 2 + 3 - 1 = 4$. Which is not possible, since $\text{TC}(K_2) = 5$, see [2].

Theorem 2.2. Let $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibre bundle where $F \times F$, $E \times E$ and $B \times B$ are completely normal spaces. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ and $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ be motion planning covers of $B \times B$ and $F \times F$, respectively. Let

$$h_i: (p \times p)^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times F \times F$$

be a local trivialization of $F \times F \hookrightarrow E \times E \xrightarrow{p \times p} B \times B$ such that $(U_i \cap U_j) \times V_k$ is invariant under $h_j^{-1}h_i$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then $\text{TC}(E) \leq m + n - 1$.

Proof. Let $X_i := U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_i$ and $Y_j := V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, by [9, Proposition 4.12], we have a section (as a map) $s: B \times B \rightarrow PB$ of π_B and $s': F \times F \rightarrow PF$ of π_F with

$$\emptyset = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_m = B \times B \text{ and } \emptyset = Y_0 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_n = F \times F$$

such that $s|_{U_{i+1}-U_i}$ and $s'|_{V_{j+1}-V_j}$ are continuous for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Now we define a sequence of open sets which converges to $E \times E$ using X_i 's and Y_j 's. For each $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m + n - 1\}$, define

$$Q_\ell := Q_{\ell-1} \cup \left(\bigcup_{r=1}^{\ell} h_r^{-1}((X_r - X_{r-1}) \times (Y_{\ell-r+1} - Y_{\ell-r})) \right).$$

Observe that $\emptyset = Q_0 \subseteq Q_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q_{m+n-1} = E \times E$. We note that X_i 's and Y_j 's are empty if the corresponding index of X and Y exceeds m and n , respectively. Note that all Q_ℓ 's are open subsets of $E \times E$ and

$$Q_{\ell+1} - Q_\ell = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\ell+1} h_r^{-1}((X_r - X_{r-1}) \times (Y_{\ell+2-r} - Y_{\ell+1-r})). \quad (7)$$

Now our aim is to define a section $s_E: E \times E \rightarrow PE$ of π_E such that $s_E|_{Q_{\ell+1}-Q_\ell}$ is continuous for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, m + n - 1$. Let $(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E$. We want to define a path between e_1 and e_2 . Note that $(p(e_1), p(e_2)) \in B \times B$. Recall that there is a section $s: B \times B \rightarrow PB$ of π_B . Therefore, we have a path $s(p(e_1), p(e_2))$ between $p(e_1)$ and $p(e_2)$. By the lifting

property there exists a unique lifting of $s(p(e_1), p(e_2))$. We denote this lift by \tilde{s} . Notice that $\tilde{s}(1) \in p^{-1}(p(e_2))$. Again recall that we have a section $s': F \times F \rightarrow PF$ of π_F . Therefore, we have a path $s'(\tilde{s}(1), e_2)$. Now we can define a $s_E(e_1, e_2)$ as a concatenation of paths \tilde{s} and $s'(\tilde{s}(1), e_2)$, i.e. ,

$$s_E(e_1, e_2)(t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{s}(2t) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ s'(\tilde{s}(1), e_2)(2t - 1) & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Next we show that $s_E|_{Q_{\ell+1}-Q_\ell}$ is continuous for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, m+n-1$. First we use complete normality and condition of invariantness on transition functions to show that the right hand side of (7) is in fact a disjoint union. For each $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n-1\}$, we write $A_r^\ell := (X_r - X_{r-1}) \times (Y_{\ell+2-r} - Y_{\ell+1-r})$. We now show that $\overline{h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)} \cap \overline{h_{r'}^{-1}(A_{r'}^\ell)} = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq r < r' \leq \ell+1$. On contrary, suppose $x \in h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell) \cap \overline{h_{r'}^{-1}(A_{r'}^\ell)}$. Since h_r is a homeomorphism, $\overline{h_r^{-1}(S)} = h_r^{-1}(\overline{S})$. Therefore, $h_{r'}(x) \in h_{r'}(h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)) \cap \overline{A_{r'}^\ell}$. Observe that invariantness condition of the transition functions gives, $h_{r'}(h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)) = A_{r'}^\ell$. This implies $h_{r'}(x) \in A_{r'}^\ell \cap \overline{A_{r'}^\ell}$. Let $h_{r'}(x) = (a_{r'}, b_{r'}) \in A_{r'}^\ell$. i.e., $a_{r'} \in X_r - X_{r-1}$. Now we note that

$$(a_{r'}, b_{r'}) \in \overline{A_{r'}^\ell} \subseteq \overline{(X_{r'} - X_{r'-1})} \times \overline{(Y_{\ell+2-r'} - Y_{\ell+1-r'})}.$$

Therefore, we have a sequence $a_{r'}(n)$ in $(X_{r'} - X_{r'-1})$ that converges to $a_{r'}$. Since $X_{r'-1}^c$ is closed, $a_{r'} \in X_{r'-1}^c$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $a_{r'} \in X_r - X_{r-1} \subseteq X_{r'-1}$. Similarly, we can show that $\overline{h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)} \cap \overline{h_{r'}^{-1}(A_{r'}^\ell)} = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq r < r' \leq \ell+1$. Therefore, complete normality shows that the right hand side of (7) is a disjoint union.

Now it is enough to show that the restriction of the section $s_E: E \times E \rightarrow PE$ to the sets $h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)$'s is continuous. Note that when we restrict the section s_E to $(p \times p)^{-1}(U_i)$ then it looks like $s \times s'$. Therefore, $s_E|_{h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell)}$ is continuous, since

$$h_r^{-1}(A_r^\ell) \subseteq h_r^{-1}((U_r - U_{r-1}) \times (V_{\ell+2-r} - V_{\ell+1-r})).$$

Finally, we have a section $s_E: E \times E \rightarrow PE$ of π_E and an increasing sequence of $m+n-1$ open sets $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q_{m+n-1} = E \times E$ with the property that $s_E|_{Q_{\ell+1}-Q_\ell}$ is continuous for each $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, m+n-1$. Note that here s_E is just a set map. Now the proof follows from [9, Proposition 4.12]. \square

Naskar and the second author [21] discussed an upper bound for the LS-category of the total spaces of certain fibre bundles. We recall that result in the following.

Theorem 2.3 ([21, Theorem 2.6]). *Let $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a fibre bundle, where F, E and B are path-connected, Hausdorff, second countable topological spaces. Suppose that E is completely normal. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ be a categorical cover of B such that $\phi_i: p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow \overline{U_i} \times F$ is a homeomorphism for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, there is a categorical cover $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ of F such that $(U_{i'} \cap U_i) \times V_j$ are invariant under $\phi_{i'}\phi_i^{-1}$ for all $\{i, i'\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{cat}(E) \leq \text{cat}(F) + \text{cat}(B) - 1$.*

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $X(M, N)$ be a generalized projective product space as define in (5). Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ be an $\langle \tau \rangle$ -invariant categorical cover of M . Then $\text{cat}(X(M, N)) \leq q + \text{cat}(N/\sigma) - 1$.*

Proof. Let $\text{cat}(N/\sigma) = r$ and $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ be a categorical cover of N/σ . Let $\pi: N \rightarrow N/\sigma$ be the orbit map which is a double cover. Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have the following.

$$p^{-1}(U_i) = \frac{M \times \pi^{-1}(U_i)}{(x, y) \sim (\tau(x), \sigma(y))} \cong U_i \times M.$$

Let $\phi_i: p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times M$ be this homeomorphism. So, it is a local trivialization of $M \hookrightarrow X(M, N) \xrightarrow{p} N/\sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Now $\phi_i^{-1}([y], x) = [(x, y)] \in M \times \pi^{-1}(U_i)/\sim$. Since the structure group for the bundle $M \hookrightarrow X(M, N) \xrightarrow{p} N/\sigma$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \langle \tau \rangle$, then either

$$\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}([y], x) = ([y], x) \text{ or } \phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}([y], x) = ([y], \tau(x)).$$

Thus, $(U_i \cap U_j) \times V_k$ is invariant under $\phi_j \circ \phi_i^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$. Then, the proposition follows from Theorem 2.3, since M, N and $X(M, N)$ are manifolds. \square

Let $\langle \tau \rangle$ be the group generated by the involution τ on M . So, $\langle \tau \rangle$ acts on M via τ . Then we have the following as an application of Theorem 2.2.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $X(M, N)$ be a generalized projective product space as define in (5). Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ be an $(\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle)$ -invariant motion planning cover of M . Then*

$$\text{TC}(M) \leq q + \text{cat}(N/\sigma \times N/\sigma) - 1.$$

In particular,

$$\text{TC}(M) \leq \text{TC}_{\langle \tau \rangle}(M) + \text{cat}(N/\sigma \times N/\sigma) - 1.$$

Proof. The proof is somewhat similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4, and we briefly write the arguments. Let U_1, \dots, U_m be a categorical cover of $N/\sigma \times N/\sigma$. So, each U_i is a subset of a contractible subset of $N/\sigma \times N/\sigma$ and U_1, \dots, U_m is a motion planning cover of $N/\sigma \times N/\sigma$. Therefore, there is a trivialization $h_i: (p \times p)^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times (M \times M)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since, $\{V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ is an $(\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle)$ -invariant motion planning cover of M , the set $(U_i \cap U_j) \times V_\ell$ is invariant under $h_j^{-1}h_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq q$. Thus U_i 's and V_ℓ 's satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 2.6. (1) *The conclusion of Theorem 2.2 and [21, Theorem 3.4] is same. However, the hypotheses in those theorems are different.*

(2) *The arguments of the proof of Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.4 can be applied if M, N are metric spaces.*

3. TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF AN n -DIMENSIONAL KLEIN BOTTLE

Consider $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z\bar{z} = 1\}$ and the n -dimensional torus $(S^1)^n$ and an identification \sim on $(S^1)^n$ defined by $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n) \sim (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n-1}, -z_n)$. Then the identification space $K_n := (S^1)^n / \sim$ is called an n -dimensional Klein bottle in [5]. Observe that K_n is a generalized projective product space, where τ is the involution on $M = (S^1)^{n-1}$ generated by conjugation on each component and σ is the antipodal involution on S^1 . In this section, we compute an upper bound for $\text{TC}(K_n)$ and show that this bound coincides with $\text{TC}(K_n)$ for $n = 2$ and 3. Therefore, in some sense, we give an alternative proof for the computation of the topological complexity of the classical 2-dimensional Klein bottle.

Theorem 3.1. *We have the following bounds for $\text{TC}(K_n)$.*

$$n + 3 \leq \text{TC}(K_n) \leq \begin{cases} 3k + 3 & \text{if } n=2k+1 \\ 3k + 2 & \text{if } n=2k. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\text{TC}(K_2) = 5$ and $\text{TC}(K_3) = 6$.

Proof. Note that we have a fibre bundle

$$(S^1)^{n-1} \hookrightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{p_n} S^1/\sigma = \mathbb{R}P^1$$

as in (6) for each $n \geq 2$, and it induces a product bundle

$$(S^1)^{n-1} \times (S^1)^{n-1} \hookrightarrow K_n \times K_n \xrightarrow{p_n \times p_n} \mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1.$$

Recall that the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on $(S^1)^{n-1}$ is defined by the conjugation on each component. So, $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ acts on $(S^1)^{n-1} \times (S^1)^{n-1}$ diagonally. First we prove the claim for $n = 2$ and 3. Note that $\mathbb{R}P^1 \cong S^1$. Then, using these cases, we get an upper bound for the higher dimension cases.

Case 1: Suppose $n = 2$. Note that K_2 is the usual 2-dimensional Klein bottle. Consider the fibre bundles $S^1 \hookrightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbb{R}P^1$ and $S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow K_2 \times K_2 \xrightarrow{p_2 \times p_2} \mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1$. Let $A := \{e^{i\theta} \in S^1 \mid \theta \neq \pm\pi/2\}$. Define,

$$U_1 := S^1 \setminus \{1\} \times S^1 \setminus \{1\}, U_2 := S^1 \setminus \{-1\} \times S^1 \setminus \{-1\} \text{ and } U_3 = A \times A.$$

Note that all U_i 's are $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant and covers $S^1 \times S^1$. Moreover, U_3 is a disjoint union of contractible subsets and U_1, U_2 are contractible. Thus, the open cover $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ forms a categorical open cover of $S^1 \times S^1$. So, U_1, U_2, U_3 are motion planning cover for $S^1 \times S^1$. Therefore, Proposition 2.5 gives $\text{TC}(K_2) \leq \text{cat}(\mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1) + 3 - 1 = 5$. Recall that [5, Proposition 5.2] gives $5 \leq \text{TC}(K_2)$. Hence, $\text{TC}(K_2) = 5$.

Case 2: Suppose $n = 3$. In this case, we have the fibre bundles $S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow K_3 \xrightarrow{p_3} \mathbb{R}P^1$ and $(S^1)^2 \times (S^1)^2 \hookrightarrow K_3 \times K_3 \xrightarrow{p_3 \times p_3} \mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1$. Let

$$V_1 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid z_1 \neq -z_2\} \text{ and } V_2 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid z_1 \neq z_2\}.$$

Note that these are Farber's motion planning cover of S^1 . Observe that both V_1 and V_2 are \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant open sets of $(S^1)^2$. For each $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, the set $V_i \times V_j$ is $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant open set and $\{V_i \times V_j\}_{i,j=1}^2$ covers $(S^1)^2 \times (S^1)^2$. Thus, the product of local continuous sections on V_i and V_j of π_{S^1} gives a local continuous section on $V_i \times V_j$ of $\pi_{(S^1)^2}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Therefore, $\text{TC}(K_3) \leq \text{cat}(\mathbb{R}P^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^1) + 4 - 1 = 6$. Now [5, Proposition 5.2] gives $6 \leq \text{TC}(K_3)$. Hence, we have $\text{TC}(K_3) = 6$.

Case 3: Suppose $n = 2k + 1$ where $k \geq 2$. Note that we have to find $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant open subsets of $(S^1)^{2k} \times (S^1)^{2k}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} (S^1)^{2k} \times (S^1)^{2k} &= (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k) \times (Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_k) \\ &= X_1 \times Y_1 \times \cdots \times X_k \times Y_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = (S^1)^2 = Y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Using Case 2 and the proof of the (generalized) product formula for the topological complexity [13, Theorem 4.2], we get a motion planning cover for $(S^1)^{2k} \times (S^1)^{2k}$ consisting of $4k - (k - 1) = 3k + 1$ many $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant open subsets. Therefore, Proposition 2.5 and [5, Proposition 5.2] give $2k + 4 \leq \text{TC}(K_{2k+1}) \leq 3k + 3$.

Case 4: Suppose $n = 2k$ where $k \geq 2$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} (S^1)^{2k-1} \times (S^1)^{2k-1} &= ((X \times Y) \times (X \times Y)) \\ &= (X \times X) \times (Y \times Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $X = (S^1)^{2(k-1)}$ and $Y = S^1$. Using Case 3 and the proof of the product formula for the topological complexity (2), we get a motion planning cover for $(S^1)^{2k-1} \times (S^1)^{2k-1}$ consisting of $3k - 2 + 3 - 1 = 3k$ many $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant open subsets. Therefore, Proposition 2.5 and [5, Proposition 5.2] give $2k + 3 \leq \text{TC}(K_{2k+1}) \leq 3k + 2$. \square

4. LS-CATEGORY AND TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF SOME GENERALIZED PROJECTIVE PRODUCT SPACES

Let $S^n := \{(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid u_1^2 + \dots + u_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$. Davis [4] introduced the projective product spaces and studied some of its topological properties. Let (n_1, \dots, n_r) be a tuple of non-negative integers. Define

$$P(n_1, \dots, n_r) := \frac{S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r}}{(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r) \sim (-\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, -\mathbf{x}_r)}. \quad (8)$$

Then $P(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is called a projective product space corresponding to the tuple (n_1, \dots, n_r) .

Now, consider an involution τ_j on $S^{n_j} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1}$ defined as follows:

$$\tau_j((y_1, \dots, y_{p_j}, y_{p_j+1}, \dots, y_{n_j+1})) := (y_1, \dots, y_{p_j}, -y_{p_j+1}, \dots, -y_{n_j+1}), \quad (9)$$

for some $0 \leq p_j \leq n_j$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then we have \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on the product $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r}$ via the product of involutions $\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_r$. Note that if $p_j = 0$ then τ_j acts antipodally on S^{n_j} and if $p_j = n_j$, then τ_j is a reflection across the hyper-plane $y_{n_j+1} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^{n_j+1} .

Let N be a topological space with a free involution σ . Consider the identification space:

$$X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N) := \frac{S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N}{(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, y) \sim (\tau_1(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \tau_r(\mathbf{x}_r), \sigma(y))}, \quad (10)$$

where τ_j is a reflection defined as in (9) for $1 \leq j \leq r$. So, $X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)$ is a generalized projective product space. In this section, we study the LS-category and topological complexity of these spaces for several N . We note that, in particular, if $p_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $N = S^{n_r+1}$ with the involution σ given by the antipodal action, then $X((n_1, 0), \dots, (n_r, 0), N)$ is the projective product space $P(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$.

We consider the trivial sphere bundle

$$S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_{i-1}} \times S^{n_i} \times N \rightarrow S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_{i-1}} \times N$$

for $i = 2, \dots, r$. This induces the sphere bundle

$$S^{n_i} \hookrightarrow X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_i, p_i), N) \xrightarrow{q_i} X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_{i-1}, p_{i-1}), N)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Similarly, we can have a sphere bundle

$$S^{n_1} \hookrightarrow X((n_1, p_1), N) \xrightarrow{q_1} N/\sigma. \quad (11)$$

Let α be the (first) Stiefel-Whitney class of the line bundle associated to the principal \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundle $N \rightarrow N/\sigma$. We denote an exterior algebra by $\Lambda(-)$ and the total Steenrod square by $\text{Sq} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Sq}^n$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. Then $H^*(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is isomorphic as a graded \mathbb{Z}_2 -algebra to*

$$H^*(N/\sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \Lambda(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r),$$

where $|\beta_j| = n_j$, $\text{Sq}(\beta_j) = (1 + \alpha)^{n_j+1-p_j} \beta_j$, and $p_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Proof. Consider the trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1} \times N \rightarrow N$. This induces an $(n_1 + 1)$ -vector bundle

$$\frac{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+1} \times N}{((x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}), y) \sim (\tau_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}), \sigma(y))} \xrightarrow{\eta_1} \frac{N}{(y \sim \sigma(y))} = N/\sigma.$$

Then we have the cofibre sequence $S(\eta_1) \rightarrow D(\eta_1) \rightarrow \text{Th}(\eta_1)$ where $S(\eta_1)$ and $D(\eta_1)$ are the total spaces of the sphere bundle and disk bundle of η_1 , and $\text{Th}(\eta_1)$ is the Thom space of

η_1 . So $S(\eta_1) \cong X((n_1, p_1), N)$ and $D(\eta_1) \simeq N/\sigma$. Thus we have the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots H^*(\text{Th}(\eta_1)) \rightarrow H^*(N/\sigma) \rightarrow H^*(X((n_1, p_1), N)) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(\text{Th}(\eta_1)) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (12)$$

The point $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{n_1}$ is a fixed point under the involution τ_1 on S^{n_1} . Thus the map $N \rightarrow S^{n_1} \times N$ defined by $y \mapsto ((1, 0, \dots, 0), y)$ induces a section $s: N/\sigma \rightarrow X((n_1, p_1), N)$ of η_1 defined by $[y] \mapsto [((1, 0, \dots, 0), y)]$. Therefore, (12) is a split exact sequence, that is;

$$H^*(X((n_1, p_1), N)) \cong H^*(N/\sigma) \oplus H^{*+1}(\text{Th}(\eta_1)).$$

Let β_1 be the image of the Thom class (in $H^{n_1+1}(\text{Th}(\eta_1))$) under this isomorphism. Then, by the Thom isomorphism, we have

$$H^*(X((n_1, p_1), N)) \cong H^*(N/\sigma) \oplus H^*(N/\sigma) \cdot \beta_1.$$

Note that as a real bundle, η_1 is isomorphic to the sum of p_1 many trivial bundle ϵ and $(n_1 + 1 - p_1)$ many the line bundle ζ_1 associated with the double cover $N \rightarrow N/\sigma$. Therefore, the total Steenrod square and the total Stiefel–Whitney class have the following relation in our setting, using the arguments in [20, Page 94].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}(\beta_1) &= W(n_1\epsilon \oplus (n_1 + 1 - p_1)\zeta_1)\beta_1 \\ &= (1 + \alpha)^{n_1+1-p_1}\beta_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = w_1(\zeta_1)$ and $|\beta_1| = n_1$. So, $\beta_1^2 = \binom{n_1+1-p_1}{n_1}\alpha^{n_1}\beta_1$ if $p_1 = 1$ and $\beta_1^2 = 0$ if $p_1 > 1$.

One can prove the claim for $r > 1$ inductively using similar arguments and the naturality of the Steifel–Whitney classes. \square

Remark 4.2. *We observe the following things.*

- (1) *When $r = 1$ and $n_r = 1$, then Theorem 4.1 is [5, Lemma 2.1].*
- (2) *Suppose $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_k \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $N = S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}$ with the involution σ generated by the antipodal on each sphere S^{m_j} for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then N/σ is a projective product space $P(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, and Theorem 4.1 coincides with [22, Theorem 4.1].*

Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Let X be the path connected topological space with its cohomology ring $H^*(X; R)$. The cup-length of X over R is the maximal number r such that there exists $x_i \in H^*(X; R)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ with $\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \neq 0$. We denote this number by $\text{cl}_R(X)$. It is well known [3, Proposition 1.5] that the number gives a lower bound for the $\text{cat}(X)$, that is $\text{cl}_R(X) + 1 \leq \text{cat}(X)$. Let

$$\cup: H^*(X; R) \otimes H^*(X; R) \longrightarrow H^*(X; R)$$

be the cup product. Then the zero-divisor cup-length of X with respect to coefficient ring R is defined as the maximal number k such that there exist elements $u_i \in H^*(X; R) \otimes H^*(X; R)$ such that $\cup(u_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\prod_{i=1}^k u_i \neq 0$. We denote this number by $\text{zl}_R(X)$. It is known [8, Theorem] that the number $\text{zl}_R(X)$ gives a lower bound for the $\text{TC}(X)$, that is $\text{zl}_R(X) + 1 \leq \text{TC}(X)$.

We now compute some bounds on the LS-category and the topological complexity of $X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $1 \leq p_j \leq n_j$. Then, we have the following inequalities.*

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + r + 1 \leq \text{cat}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)) \leq \text{cat}(N/\sigma) + r.$$

Proof. Let $u = \prod_{i=1}^{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma)} y_i$ be a largest non-zero product in $H^*(N/\sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then the product $u \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r \beta_j$ is non-zero in $H^*(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), M); \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then we get the left inequality using [3, Proposition 1.5].

We use Proposition 2.4 to obtain the upper bound. Let $e_{n_i}(1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{n_i}$. Consider $U_{i_1} = S^{n_i} \setminus \{e_{n_i}(1)\}$ and $U_{i_2} = S^{n_i} \setminus \{-e_{n_i}(1)\}$. Then $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}\}$ is an $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ -invariant open cover of S^{n_i} . Observe that they are also $\langle \tau_i \rangle$ -categorical for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Now using (1), we have $\text{cat}(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}) \leq r + 1$. Thus, one can construct a categorical open cover of $\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}$ with $r + 1$ open sets invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_2 action. Then we get the right inequality using Proposition 2.4. \square

Remark 4.4. *We observe the following things.*

- (1) Recall that the LS-category of the projective product spaces was computed in [11]. If $N = S^{n_{r+1}}$ and σ is the antipodal action on N , then Proposition 4.3 coincides with [11, Theorem 1.2].
- (2) Suppose $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ and $N = S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_k}$ with the involution σ generated by the antipodal on each sphere S^{m_j} for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $N/\sigma = P(m_1, \dots, m_k)$. Recall that the cup-length of $P(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ is $m_1 + k - 1$ and $\text{cat}(P(m_1, \dots, m_k)) = m_1 + k$. Therefore, $\text{cat}((X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N))) = m_1 + k + r$ if $1 \leq p_i \leq n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Recall the involution τ_i on the sphere S^{n_i} defined in (9). Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \langle \tau_i \rangle$. We compute the \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant topological complexity of S^{n_i} .

Example 4.5. *Let $n_i \geq 2$ and $p_i \geq 2$. Then $\text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) = 3$ if either n_i is even or p_i is odd and $2 \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) \leq 3$ if n_i is odd and p_i is even. Suppose $p_i \geq 2$. Then $(S^{n_i})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = S^{p_i-1}$ is path connected. If n_i is even then*

$$3 = \text{TC}(S^{n_i}) \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) \leq 2\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) - 1 = 3.$$

Now Suppose both n_i and p_i are odd, using [13, Corollary 5.4] then

$$3 = \text{TC}(S^{p_i-1}) \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) \leq 2\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) - 1 = 3,$$

since S^{p_i-1} is an even dimensional sphere. Now if n_i is odd and p_i is even, then clearly, $2 \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_i}) \leq 3$.

We now prove the corresponding result for the topological complexity.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $2 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $p_j > 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then*

$$\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + r + 1 \leq \text{TC}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)) \leq \text{cat}(N/\sigma \times N/\sigma) + 2r. \quad (13)$$

Proof. The following inequality follows from Proposition 2.5

$$\text{TC}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)) \leq \text{cat}(N/\sigma \times N/\sigma) + \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}\right) - 1.$$

Since for each i , $n_i \geq 2$ and $p_j \geq 2$, the fixed point set $(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is path connected. Therefore, by [13, Corollary 5.8], we have $\text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}) \leq 2\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}) - 1$. Using product inequality of equivariant LS-category (see [1, Theorem 2.23]), it can be shown that $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}) \leq r + 1$. Thus we get the right inequality of (13).

The left inequality of (13) follows from the zero-divisor cup-length calculation using Theorem 4.1. This proves the proposition. \square

Let Σ_g be the orientable surface of genus g embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 such that it admits the antipodal action. Observe that the quotient of Σ_g by the antipodal action is the non-orientable

surface N_{g+1} of genus $g + 1$. Then the following result is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.6.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $2 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $p_j > 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Then*

- (1) $\text{cat}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), \Sigma_g)) = r + 3$.
- (2) $r + 4 \leq \text{TC}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), \Sigma_g)) \leq 2r + 5$.

Next, we consider the following class of generalized projective product spaces.

$$X_g^{n-2} := \frac{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \times \Sigma_g}{(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}, x) \sim (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_{n-2}, -x)}. \quad (14)$$

Note that for any $g \geq 0$ and $n \geq 2$ the spaces X_g^{n-2} are closed, smooth manifolds of dimension n . If $n = 2$, $X_g^{n-2} = N_{g+1}$. Moreover, we have a fibre bundle $(S^1)^{n-2} \hookrightarrow X_g^{n-2} \rightarrow N_{g+1}$. Observe that $X_g^{n-2} = X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), \Sigma_g)$, where $r = n - 2$ and $n_i = p_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$. The following proposition is a consequence of [5, Lemma 2.1] and Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.8. *The cohomology ring of X_g^{n-2} is given by the following.*

$$H^*(X_g^{n-2}; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2 \langle x_1, \dots, x_{g+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2} \rangle}{\langle \{x_i x_j, x_i^2, y_s^2 - w_1 y_s \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq g+1, 1 \leq s \leq n-2\} \rangle},$$

where $|x_i| = 1 = |y_i|$ and $w_1 \in H^1(N_{g+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ classifies the double cover $\Sigma_g \rightarrow N_{g+1}$.

Now we are ready to compute the LS-category and some bounds for the topological complexity of X_g^{n-2} .

Proposition 4.9. *The LS-category of X_g^{n-2} is $\text{cat}(X_g^{n-2}) = n + 1$.*

Proof. From the description of the cohomology ring of X_g^{n-2} , one can show that the product $x_1 \cdot w_1 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} y_i$ is non-zero in $H^*(X_g^{n-2}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Therefore, $n + 1 \leq \text{cat}(X_g^{n-2})$. Also, $\text{cat}(X_g^{n-2}) \leq \dim(X_g^{n-2}) + 1 = n + 1$. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then we have the following bounds for $\text{TC}(X_g^{n-2})$.*

$$n + 4 \leq \text{TC}(X_g^{n-2}) \leq \begin{cases} 3k + 2 & \text{if } n=2k, \\ 3k + 4 & \text{if } n=2k+1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\text{TC}(X_g^1) = 7$ and $\text{TC}(X_g^2) = 8$.

Proof. First we compute a lower bound for the topological complexity using zero-divisor cup-length. Consider the basic divisors $X_1 = 1 \otimes x_1 + x_1 \otimes 1$ and $Y_i = 1 \otimes y_i + y_i \otimes 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq n - 2$. Consider the product

$$X_1^3 \cdot Y_1^3 \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n-2} Y_i = (x_1^2 \otimes x_1 + x_1 \otimes x_1^2) \cdot (w_1^2 y_1 \otimes 1 + w_1 y_1 \otimes y_1 + y_1 \otimes w_1 y_1 + 1 \otimes w_1^2 y_1) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n-2} Y_i.$$

Note that the above product contains a term $x_1 w_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} y_i \otimes x_1^2 y_1$ which is not killed by any other term in the product. Therefore, $n + 4 \leq \text{TC}(X_g^{n-2})$.

Consider the fibre bundle

$$(S^1)^{n-2} \times (S^1)^{n-2} \hookrightarrow X_g^{n-2} \times X_g^{n-2} \rightarrow N_{g+1} \times N_{g+1}.$$

We have to find a categorical cover of $N_{g+1} \times N_{g+1}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant motion planning cover of $(S^1)^{n-2} \times (S^1)^{n-2}$. Recall that we have computed such an open cover in Theorem 3.1 consisting $3k$ many open sets if $n = 2k + 1$ and $3k - 2$ many open sets if

$n = 2k$. Using Kunneth formula, we get the cup-length of $H^*(N_{g+1} \times N_{g+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 4$, since the cup-length of $H^*(N_{g+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 2$. Therefore, $\text{cat}(N_{g+1} \times N_{g+1}) = 5$. Thus, we get the desired upper bound using Proposition 2.5. \square

Let τ be an involution on M . This map induces an automorphism

$$\tau^* : H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let M be a compact, simply connected and path-connected space with an involution τ such that τ^* is identity. Let N be a simply connected path-connected space with free involution σ . Then*

$$H^*(X(M, N); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^*(N/\sigma; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Proof. The projection $M \times N \rightarrow N$ induces a fibre bundle $M \hookrightarrow X(M, N) \xrightarrow{p} N/\sigma$ with fiber M , see (6). By the hypothesis, we have $\pi_1(X(M, N)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since M is compact, the cohomology groups of the fibre and base of this bundle have finite dimension over the field \mathbb{Z}_2 . The fibre M and the base N/σ are path connected, and the map τ^* is identity. Then, by applying [19, Proposition 5.5], one gets that the corresponding spectral sequence collapses at E_2 . Hence M is totally non-homologous to zero in $X(M, N)$ with respect to \mathbb{Z}_2 . Therefore, by [19, Theorem 5.10], one gets the claim in the theorem. \square

If n_1, \dots, n_r are positive integers greater than one then $N = \prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}$ is simply connected. Consider the involution σ on N determined by the antipodal action on each factors. Let us denote the generalized projective product space for this M and N by $X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)$. Note that if $M = N$ with a free involution and $p_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ in (10) then $X((n_1, 0), \dots, (n_r, 0), N) = X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)$. We have the following result.

Corollary 4.12. *Let M be a compact, simply connected and path-connected space with an involution τ such that τ^* is identity, and n_1, \dots, n_r be positive integers greater than one. Then $H^*(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^*(P(n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

Proposition 4.13. *Let M be a compact, simply connected and path-connected space with an involution τ such that τ^* is identity, and $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M)$ be the cup-length of $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $2 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. Then $\text{cat}(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)) \geq \text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M) + r + n_1$.*

Proof. Let $\prod_{i=1}^{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M)} y_i$ be non-zero in $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Then the cup product $\prod_{i=1}^{\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}} y_i \alpha_1^{n_1} \prod_{j=2}^r \alpha_j$ is non-zero in $H^*(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2)$, by Corollary 4.12. Therefore, the result follows from [3, Proposition 1.5] \square

Proposition 4.14. *Let M be a compact, simply connected and path-connected space with an involution τ such that τ^* is identity. Let $\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M)$ be the zero-divisor cup-length of $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $2 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. Then $\text{TC}(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)) \geq \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M) + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) + r$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.11 and the computation of the zero-divisor cup-length of the space $X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)$. \square

Remark 4.15. *If the space M in Proposition 4.14 satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem 2.2, then $\text{TC}(X(M, n_1)) \leq \text{TC}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) + \text{TC}(M) - 1$. In particular, if M is the product of a finitely many spheres with anti-podal actions, then we get [11, Theorem 1.3].*

5. LS-CATEGORY AND TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF SOME GENERALIZED DOLD MANIFOLDS

In this section, we study LS-category and topological complexity of certain generalized projective product spaces called ‘Dold manifolds of Grassmann type’. Let $1 \leq d < n$ and $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the set of all d -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . The space $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is called a

complex Grassmann manifold and its complex dimension is $d(n-d)$. Note that $\text{Gr}_1(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Let

$$X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r) := \frac{\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \times S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r}}{(y, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r) \sim (\tau(y), -\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, -\mathbf{x}_r)},$$

where τ is the conjugation involution, whose fixed point set is the real Grassmann manifold $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We call $X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)$ a *Dold manifold of Grassmann type*. We have a fibre bundle

$$\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \hookrightarrow X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r) \xrightarrow{p} P(n_1, \dots, n_r),$$

where $P(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is the projective product space defined in (8). If $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$, by Theorem 4.11, we have

$$H^*(X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \approx H^*(P(n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^*(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{Z}_2). \quad (15)$$

We recall the cell structure on $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$. A d -tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is called a Schubert symbol if $1 \leq \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_d \leq n$. Consider $\mathbb{C}^\ell := \{(z_1, \dots, z_\ell, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$. For a Schubert symbol $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$, define

$$E(\lambda) := \{H \in \text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid \dim(H \cap \mathbb{C}^{\lambda_j}) = j, \dim(H \cap \mathbb{C}^{\lambda_j-1}) = j-1 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Then $E(\lambda)$ is even dimensional and is called a Schubert cell for the Schubert symbol λ . These Schubert cells give a cell structure on $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$, see [20]. The cup-length of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is $d(n-d)$. Observe that each Schubert cell is invariant under the conjugation action and any two same dimensional cells corresponding to different Schubert symbols are disjoint.

It is well known that the integral cohomology ring of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is described as follows:

$$H^*(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathbb{Z}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_d]}{\langle h_{n-d-1}, \dots, h_n \rangle},$$

where $|c_i| = 2i$ and h_j is defined as the $2j$ -th degree term in the expansion of $(1 + c_1 + \dots + c_d)^{-1}$. Note that $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a Kähler manifold with $\text{rank}(H^2(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{Z})) = 1$. Therefore, $c_1^{d(n-d)} \neq 0$. Thus $\text{cl}(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)) = d(n-d)$. Since, $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is simply connected, $\text{cat}(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)) \leq d(n-d) + 1$. Therefore, we have $\text{cat}(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)) = d(n-d) + 1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. Then $\text{cat}(X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)) = d(n-d) + n_1 + r$.*

Proof. The cohomology of the projective product spaces and (15) give that the cup-length of $X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is $d(n-d) + n_1 + r - 1$. So,

$$\text{cat}(X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2) \geq d(n-d) + n_1 + r.$$

Let $0 \leq i \leq d(n-d)$ and $U_i := \bigcup_{|\lambda| \leq i} E(\lambda)$. So, U_i is a subcomplex of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Thus there is a conjugation invariant open neighborhood V_i of U_i such that V_i retracts on U_i . Then, $\{V_i - V_{i-1}\}_{i=0}^{d(n-d)}$ gives a conjugation invariant categorical cover with $d(n-d) + 1$ many open sets. Here $V_{-1} = \emptyset$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.4 and $\text{cat}(P(n_1, \dots, n_r)) = n_1 + r$, we get the following inequality

$$\text{cat}(X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)) \leq d(n-d) + n_1 + r.$$

This proves the claim. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$. Then*

$$\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)) + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) + r \leq \text{TC}(X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)) \leq 2d(n-d) + 2(n_1 + r) - 1. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Using (15), one can get that

$$\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}((X(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n), n_1, \dots, n_r)) = \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)) + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) + r - 1.$$

So, the left inequality of (16) follows.

To get the right inequality, we have to find the $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -invariant motion planning cover of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We get such cover with $2d(n-d) + 1$ sets, since we have conjugation invariant categorical cover with $d(n-d) + 1$ many open sets of $\text{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Now observe that $\text{cat}(P(n_1, \dots, n_r) \times P(n_1, \dots, n_r)) \leq 2(n_1 + r) - 1$. This proves the right inequality of (16). \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let N be a simply connected space with a free involution σ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with the conjugation involution. Then*

$$n + \text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + 1 \leq \text{cat}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, N)) \leq n + \text{cat}(N/\sigma). \quad (17)$$

Proof. Using Theorem 4.11, we get the cup-length $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}X(\mathbb{C}P^n, N) = n + \text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma)$. Therefore, we have $\text{cat}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, N)) \geq n + \text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + 1$. Note that $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) = n + 1$, see the proof of [21, Proposition 3.10]. Therefore, using Theorem 2.3, we get the right inequality of (17). \square

Remark 5.4. *Observe that if $\text{cat}(N/\sigma) = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + 1$ then $\text{cat}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, N)) = n + \text{cat}(N/\sigma)$. In particular, $\text{cat}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, n_1, \dots, n_r)) = n + n_1 + r$, and $\text{cat}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, n_1)) = n + n_1 + 1$ which is [21, Corollary 2.7].*

Theorem 5.5. *Let $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r$ and $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$ with the conjugation involution. Then*

$$\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) + r + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) \leq \text{TC}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, n_1, \dots, n_r)) \leq \text{TC}(\mathbb{R}P^{n_1}) + r + k + 2n,$$

where k is the number of evens in n_1, \dots, n_r .

Proof. The left inequality can be obtained from Theorem 4.11 and the computation of the zero-divisor cup-length of $X(\mathbb{C}P^n, n_1, \dots, n_r)$. Recall that the categorical cover of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ consists of $n + 1$ many open sets homeomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n . These open sets are invariant under conjugation action. Therefore, we can have conjugation invariant categorical cover of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ consists of $2n + 1$ many open sets. But this categorical cover of $\mathbb{C}P^n \times \mathbb{C}P^n$ can be regarded as a motion planning cover, since $\text{TC}(\mathbb{C}P^n) = 2n + 1$. Thus, using Theorem 2.2, we get the following inequality.

$$\text{TC}(X(\mathbb{C}P^n, n_1, \dots, n_r)) \leq \text{TC}(P(n_1, \dots, n_r)) + 2n + 1 - 1.$$

Now the right inequality follows from [11, Theorem 1.3]. \square

6. EQUIVARIANT LS-CATEGORY AND TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF A CLASS OF \mathbb{Z}_2 -SPACES

Let N be a topological space and σ a fixed point free involution on N . Recall the involution τ_i on S^{n_i} for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Define an involution $\tau': S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N \rightarrow S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N$ by

$$\tau'(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, y) = (\tau_1(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, \tau_r(\mathbf{x}_r), \sigma(y)). \quad (18)$$

Then τ' is a free involution on $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 acts freely on $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N$ via τ' . We denote the orbit space by $X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)$. In this section, we study equivariant LS-category and equivariant topological complexity of several \mathbb{Z}_2 -spaces related to the generalized projective product spaces and generalized Dold manifolds.

Proposition 6.1. *Let N be a metrizable space with a free involution σ and $1 \leq p_i \leq n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action determined by (18), we have*

$$\text{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N/\sigma) + r + 1 \leq \text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N) \leq \text{cat}(N/\sigma) + r. \quad (19)$$

In particular, if $N = \Sigma_g$ and σ is the antipodal involution on Σ_g , then $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times \Sigma_g) = r + 3$.

Proof. Since N is metrizable, then $S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N$ is so. Therefore, it follows from [18, Theorem 1.15] that

$$\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N) = \text{cat}(X((n_1, p_1), \dots, (n_r, p_r), N)).$$

Then the inequalities follow from Proposition 4.3.

Now assume that $N = \Sigma_g$. We know that the cup-length of $H^*(N_{g+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is 2. Moreover, $\text{cat}(N_{g+1}) = 3$. Therefore,

$$2 + r + 1 \leq \text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times \Sigma_g) \leq 3 + r.$$

Hence the proposition follows. \square

Remark 6.2. *Let $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_\ell$ and $N = S^{m_1} \times \dots \times S^{m_\ell}$. Consider the involution σ generated by the antipodal on each sphere S^{m_j} for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then $N/\sigma = P(m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$. Recall that the cup-length of $P(m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$ is $m_1 + \ell - 1$ and $\text{cat}(P(m_1, \dots, m_\ell)) = m_1 + \ell$. Therefore, $\text{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N) = m_1 + \ell + r$.*

Proposition 6.3. *If N is a smooth manifold with a free involution σ and $n_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action determined by (18), we have the following.*

$$r + k + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N) + 1 \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N) \leq r + k + \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N), \quad (20)$$

where k is the number of even n_i 's and $p_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Moreover,

$$r + k + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N) + 1 \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N) \leq 2r + \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N), \quad (21)$$

when $p_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. Observe that

$$H^*(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-k}) \otimes \otimes_{j=1}^k \frac{\mathbb{Q}[\beta_j]}{\langle \beta_j^2 \rangle} \otimes H^*(N; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $\bar{\alpha}_i := \alpha_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r - k$. Let $\bar{\beta}_j := \beta_j \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \beta_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Suppose that $\text{zl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N)$ is the zero-divisor cup-length of $H^*(N; \mathbb{Q})$. That is, there are elements X_1, \dots, X_ℓ in the kernel of $\Delta_N^*: H^*(N \times N) \rightarrow H^*(N)$, where $\Delta_N(x) = (x, x)$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} X_i \neq 0$. Then note that the product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r-k} \bar{\alpha}_i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \bar{\beta}_j^2 \cdot \prod_{s=1}^{\ell} X_s \neq 0.$$

Therefore, $r + k + \text{zl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(N) \leq \text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \dots \times S^{n_r} \times N)$. Consider the case $p_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then it follows from [13, Lemma 4.1],

$$\text{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^n) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 3 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, using generalized additive inequality of topological complexity [13, Theorem 4.2], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{m_1} \times \cdots \times S^{m_r} \times N) &\leq \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{m_i}\right) + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N) - 1 \\ &= 2(r - k) + 3k - r + 1 + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N) - 1 \\ &= r + k + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $p_i \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r} \times N) &\leq \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}\right) + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N) - 1 \\ &\leq 2\mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}\right) - 1 + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N) - 1 \\ &= 2r + \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(N), \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\prod_{i=1}^r S^{n_i}) \leq r + 1$ when $p_i \geq 2$. This proves the proposition. \square

Remark 6.4. We observe the following.

- (1) If the \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant topological complexity of N coincides with the zero-divisor cup-length of N plus one, then we have the equality in (20).
- (2) If $k = r$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant topological complexity of N coincides with the zero-divisor cup-length of N plus one, then we have the equality in (21).

Let M be a topological space and τ be an involution M with non-empty fixed point set. Define an involution $\sigma' : M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r} \rightarrow M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}$ by

$$\sigma'(y, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r) = (\tau(y), -\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, -\mathbf{x}_r).$$

Then \mathbb{Z}_2 acts freely on $M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}$ via σ' . We denote the orbit space by $X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)$.

Proposition 6.5. Let M be a compact, simply connected and path-connected metrizable space with an involution τ such that τ^* is identity. Then

$$n_1 + r + \mathrm{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M) \leq \mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}) \leq n_1 + r + \mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M) - 1, \quad (22)$$

Proof. Since $M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}$ is a metrizable space and σ' acts freely on it, then it follows from [18, Theorem 1.15] that

$$\mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}) = \mathrm{cat}(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r)).$$

Thus the right inequality of (22) follows from the fact that $\mathrm{cat}(P(n_1, \dots, n_r)) = n_1 + r$ and Proposition 2.4.

One can compute the cup-length of $H^*(X(M, n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ using Theorem 4.11 which is $n_1 - 1 + r + \mathrm{cl}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(M)$. So, the left inequality of (22) follows. \square

Example 6.6. Let $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$ with the conjugation involution. One can show that $\mathrm{cat}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) = n + 1$, see the proof of [21, Proposition 3.10]. Therefore, $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \leq 2n + 1$. Moreover, $\mathrm{zl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}P^n) = 2n$. Now using Proposition 6.3, we get

$$\mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{C}P^n \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}) = r + k + 2n + 1,$$

where k be the number of even n_i 's. More generally, for $M = \mathrm{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and τ a conjugation on $\mathrm{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have

$$2d(n - d) + r + k + 1 \leq \mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathrm{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}) \leq 2d(n - d) + r + k + 1,$$

where k is the number of even n_i 's. That is $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathrm{Gr}_d(\mathbb{C}^n) \times S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}) = 2d(n-d) + r + k + 1$.

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Mark Grant and Stephan Mescher for some helpful discussion. The first author thank IIT Bombay and the second author thank ICSR of IIT Madras.

REFERENCES

- [1] Marzieh Bayeh and Soumen Sarkar. Some aspects of equivariant LS-category. *Topology Appl.*, 196(part A):133–154, 2015.
- [2] Daniel C. Cohen and Lucile Vandembroucq. Topological complexity of the Klein bottle. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 1(2):199–213, 2017.
- [3] Octav Cornea, Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, and Daniel Tanré. *Lusternik–Schnirelmann category*, volume 103 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [4] Donald M. Davis. Projective product spaces. *J. Topol.*, 3(2):265–279, 2010.
- [5] Donald M. Davis. An n -dimensional Klein bottle. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 149(5):1207–1221, 2019.
- [6] Albrecht Dold. Erzeugende der Thomschen Algebra \mathcal{N} . *Math. Z.*, 65:25–35, 1956.
- [7] Alexander Dranishnikov. On topological complexity of twisted products. *Topology Appl.*, 179:74–80, 2015.
- [8] Michael Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 29(2):211–221, 2003.
- [9] Michael Farber. *Invitation to topological robotics*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.
- [10] Michael Farber and Mark Grant. Robot motion planning, weights of cohomology classes, and cohomology operations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(9):3339–3349, 2008.
- [11] Seher Fişekci and Lucile Vandembroucq. On the LS-category and topological complexity of projective product spaces. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 16(4):769–780, 2021.
- [12] Ralph H. Fox. On the Lusternik–Schnirelmann category. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 42:333–370, 1941.
- [13] Jesús González, Mark Grant, Enrique Torres–Giese, and Miguel Xicoténcatl. Topological complexity of motion planning in projective product spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1027–1047, 2013.
- [14] Mark Grant. Topological complexity, fibrations and symmetry. *Topology Appl.*, 159(1):88–97, 2012.
- [15] Ioan M. James. On category, in the sense of Lusternik–Schnirelmann. *Topology*, 17(4):331–348, 1978.
- [16] Lazar Lyusternik and Levi Šnirelmann. Méthodes topologiques dans les problèmes variationnels. *Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, vol. 188, Exposés sur l'analyse mathématique et ses applications, vol.3*, Hermann, Paris, 42, 1934.
- [17] Lazar Lyusternik and Levi Šnirelmann. Topological methods in variational problems and their application to the differential geometry of surfaces. *Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.)*, 2(1(17)):166–217, 1947.
- [18] Waław Marzantowicz. A G -Lusternik–Schnirelman category of space with an action of a compact Lie group. *Topology*, 28(4):403–412, 1989.
- [19] John McCleary. *A user's guide to spectral sequences*, volume 58 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2001.
- [20] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [21] Bikramaditya Naskar and Soumen Sarkar. On LS-category and topological complexity of some fiber bundles and Dold manifolds. *Topology Appl.*, 284:107367, 14, 2020.
- [22] Soumen Sarkar and Peter Zvengrowski. On generalized projective product spaces and Dold manifolds. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 24(2):265–289, 2022.
- [23] Norman Steenrod. *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 14. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.
- [24] Albert S. Švarc. The genus of a fiber space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 119:219–222, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI, 400076 INDIA.
Email address: `navnathd@iitb.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI, 600036, INDIA.
Email address: `soumen@iitm.ac.in`