

Halving formulae for points on elliptic curves

Lorenz Halbeisen

Department of Mathematics, ETH Zentrum, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
lorenz.halbeisen@math.ethz.ch

Norbert Hungerbühler

Department of Mathematics, ETH Zentrum, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
norbert.hungerbuehler@math.ethz.ch

key-words: Elliptic curves, halving formulae, finite fields

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: **11G05** 94A60 11R16

Abstract

Let P be an arbitrary point on an elliptic curve over the complex numbers of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_4 x + a_6$ or of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x$. We provide explicit formulae to compute the points $P/2$, i.e., the points Q such that $2 * Q = P$.

1 Introduction

Even though it is well-known how to double point on elliptic curves, very little is known about halving points. One of the few results, which we obtain as Corollary 2, is that a rational point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ on an elliptic curve $E : y^2 = (x - e_0)(x - e_1)(x - e_2)$ (with $e_i \in \mathbb{Q}$) is in $2E(\mathbb{Q})$, if and only if $x_0 - e_0$, $x_0 - e_1$, $x_0 - e_2$ are all squares of rational numbers (see [2, Ch. I, §9, Prp. 20]). For related results we refer the reader to [1, 4, 5, 6].

The natural approach to finding formulas for halving points is to invert the doubling formula. However, this leads in general to quartic equations which are hard to solve. Another approach is to extend first the basic field in order to make the equations bi-quadratic, which is what we do below.

In the next section, we provide explicit formulae for halving points on elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_4 x + a_6$ and of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x$, respectively. Notice that since every elliptic curve can be transformed into this form, similar formulae for halving points exist for arbitrary elliptic curves. Moreover, the formulae work also if we replace \mathbb{C} by some finite field. As an example we show how to halve points on the curves $y^2 = x^3 - n^2 + x$ for positive integers n and on curves of the form $y^2 = x^3 + a_2 x + a_6$ over prime fields.

2 Formulae for $P/2$

Theorem 1. *Let E_{a_4, a_6} and E_{a_2, a_4} be non-singular elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} defined by*

$$E_{a_4, a_6} : y^2 = x^3 + a_4 x + a_6 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{a_2, a_4} : y^2 = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x$$

where $a_2, a_4, a_6 \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be a point on E_{a_4, a_6} or on E_{a_2, a_4} .

If $P \in E_{a_4, a_6}$, let

$$r = -9a_6 + \sqrt{3} \sqrt{4a_4^3 + 27a_6^2}, \quad d = -a_4 \left(\frac{2}{3r} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left(\frac{r}{18} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad k = -(a_4 + 3d^2),$$

and

$$e_0 = d, \quad e_1 = \frac{-d + \sqrt{9d^2 + 4k}}{2}, \quad e_2 = \frac{-d - \sqrt{9d^2 + 4k}}{2}.$$

If $P \in E_{a_2, a_4}$, let

$$e_0 = 0, \quad e_1 = \frac{-a_2 + \sqrt{a_2^2 - 4a_4}}{2}, \quad e_2 = \frac{-a_2 - \sqrt{a_2^2 - 4a_4}}{2}.$$

Finally, in both cases let

$$\gamma = \sqrt{x_0 - e_0}, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{x_0 - e_1}, \quad \beta = \sqrt{x_0 - e_2}.$$

Then, both elliptic curves E_{a_4, a_6} and E_{a_2, a_4} are of the form

$$y^2 = (x_0 - e_0)(x_0 - e_1)(x_0 - e_2)$$

and the x -coordinates of the four points Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 with $2 * Q_i = P$ are

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11} &= x_0 + \alpha\beta + \gamma(\alpha + \beta), \\ x_{12} &= x_0 + \alpha\beta - \gamma(\alpha + \beta), \\ x_{21} &= x_0 - \alpha\beta + \gamma(\alpha - \beta), \\ x_{22} &= x_0 - \alpha\beta - \gamma(\alpha - \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With respect to the curve E_{a_2, a_4} we obviously have $-e_0 - e_1 - e_2 = a_2$, $e_0e_1 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_0 = a_4$, and $-e_0e_1e_2 = 0$.

With respect to the curve E_{a_4, a_6} we have to show that $-e_0 - e_1 - e_2 = 0$, which is obvious, that $e_0e_1 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_0 = a_4$, and that $-e_0e_1e_2 = a_6$.

We have

$$e_0e_1 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_0 = -d^2 + \frac{d^2 - 9d^2 - 4k}{4} = -3d^2 - k$$

and

$$-e_0e_1e_2 = -d \frac{d^2 - 9d^2 - 4k}{4} = d(2d^2 + k).$$

So, we have to show that

$$a_4 = -(3d^2 + k) \quad \text{and} \quad a_6 = d(2d^2 + k).$$

By definition of k we have

$$-(3d^2 + k) = -(3d^2 - a_4 - 3d^2) = a_4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d(2d^2 + k) &= 2d^3 - da_4 - 3d^3 = -d^3 - da_4 = \\
&\left(a_4^3 \left(\frac{2}{3r} \right) - \underbrace{a_4^2 \left(\frac{2}{3r} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + a_4 \left(\frac{r}{18} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{r}{18}}_{=da_4} \right) - da_4 = a_4^3 \frac{2}{3r} - \frac{r}{18} = \\
\frac{12a_4^3 - r^2}{18r} &= \frac{12a_4^3 - 81a_6^2 - 12a_4^3 - 81a_6^2 + 18a_6 \sqrt{4a_4^3 + 27a_6^2}}{-162a_6 + 18\sqrt{4a_4^3 + 27a_6^2}} = \\
&\frac{a_6(-162a_6 + 18\sqrt{4a_4^3 + 27a_6^2})}{-162a_6 + 18\sqrt{4a_4^3 + 27a_6^2}} = a_6.
\end{aligned}$$

By shifting the curve, we may assume that $e_0 = 0$. In particular, we may assume that the elliptic curve is E_{a_2, a_4} . To show that $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}$ are the the x -coordinates of points $Q \in E_{a_2, a_4}$ such that $2 * Q = P$, it is enough to show that the x -coordinate of the point $Q_{ij} := (x_{ij}, y)$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $y = \sqrt{x_{ij}^3 + a_2 x_{11}^2 + a_4 x_{11}}$, is equal to x_0 . Now, the x -coordinate x_{2ij} of the point $2 * Q_{ij}$ is given by the formula

$$x_{2ij} = \frac{x_{ij}^4 - 2a_4 x_{ij}^2 + a_4^2}{4(x_{ij}^3 + a_2 x_{11}^2 + a_4 x_{11})} = \frac{(x_{ij}^2 - a_4)^2}{4x_{ij}(x_{ij}^2 + a_2 x_{ij} + a_4)}.$$

Now, if $e_0 = 0$, then $x_0 = \gamma^2$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{11} &= (\alpha + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma), \\
x_{12} &= (\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma), \\
x_{21} &= (\alpha + \gamma)(-\beta + \gamma), \\
x_{22} &= (\alpha - \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $a_2 = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 2\gamma^2$ and $a_4 = (\alpha^2 - \gamma^2)(\beta^2 - \gamma^2)$, and if we write x_{ij}, a_2, a_4 in terms of γ, α, β , it is not hard to verify that

$$(x_{ij}^2 - a_4)^2 = 4x_{ij}\gamma^2(x_{ij}^2 + a_2 x_{ij} + a_4),$$

which shows that $x_{2ij} = x_0$.

q.e.d.

Remarks. (a) As a matter of fact we would like to mention that the lines through the pairs of points with x -coordinate x_{11}, x_{12} and x_{21}, x_{22} , respectively, meet in a point $S = (x_S, y_S)$ on the curve E with

$$x_S = d + \frac{k}{d - x_0} \text{ for } E = E_{a_4, a_6} \quad \text{and} \quad x_S = \frac{a_4}{x_0} \text{ for } E = E_{a_2, a_4} \text{ (i.e., } d = 0, k = -a_4).$$

(b) If E is an elliptic curve of the form E_{a_4, a_6} or E_{a_2, a_4} over the field \mathbb{F} and $P, Q \in E(\mathbb{F}) - 0$ are such that $2 * Q = P$, then Q is unique if and only if there is no element in the group $(E(\mathbb{F}), +)$ of order 2.

(c) If we set $t = x_0^2 + dx_0 - 2d^2 - k$ and

$$w = \sqrt{t}, \quad w_1 = \sqrt{(x_0 - d)(d + 2w + 2x_0)}, \quad w_2 = \sqrt{(x_0 - d)(d - 2w + 2x_0)},$$

then, for E_{a_4, a_6} , we have

$$x_{11} = x_0 + w + w_1, \quad x_{12} = x_0 + w - w_1, \quad x_{21} = x_0 - w + w_2, \quad x_{22} = x_0 - w - w_2.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain the following

Corollary 2. *A rational point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ on an elliptic curve*

$$E : y^2 = (x - e_0)(x - e_1)(x - e_2) \quad \text{with } e_0, e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

*is equal to $2 * Q$ for some rational point Q on E , if and only if $x_0 - e_0, x_0 - e_1, x_0 - e_2$ are all squares of rational numbers.*

Proof. Notice first that since the torsion group of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, for any rational point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ on E , either none or all four points Q_i with $2 * Q_i$ are rational. Now, since we have $y_0 = -\lambda x_0 + \lambda x_{ij} - y_{ij}$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{3x_{ij}^2 + 2a_2x_{ij} + a_4}{2y_{ij}},$$

and y_0 is rational, y_{ij} is rational if and only if x_{ij} is rational. In particular, either none or all four values $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}$ are rational. So, it is enough to show that x_{11} is rational if and only if $x_0 - e_0, x_0 - e_1, x_0 - e_2$ are all squares of rational numbers.

By shifting the curve E , we may assume that $e_0 = 0$, which gives us

$$x_{11} = (\alpha + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma).$$

(\Leftarrow) If $x_0 = \gamma^2, x_0 - e_1 = \alpha^2, x_0 - e_2 = \beta^2$ are squares of rational numbers γ, α, β , respectively, then x_{11} is obviously a rational number.

(\Rightarrow) If $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}$ are rational, then all

$$(\alpha + \gamma)(\beta + \gamma), \quad (\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma), \quad (\alpha + \gamma)(-\beta + \gamma), \quad (\alpha - \gamma)(-\beta - \gamma),$$

are rational, which happens just in the case when γ, α, β are all rational. *q.e.d.*

3 Two Examples

Example 1. For natural numbers n , let us consider the curve

$$E_n : y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$$

over the field \mathbb{Q} . It is well known that n is a congruent number if and only if there is a rational point (x_0, y_0) on E_n with $y_0 \neq 0$. Further it is known that the torsion group of

$E_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ —notice that the three points of order 2 are $(0, 0)$ and $(\pm n, 0)$.

Now, for $a_4 = -n^2$ and $a_6 = 0$, we have $e_0 = 0$, $e_1 = n$, and $e_2 = -n$. Assume that (x_0, y_0) is a rational point on E with $y_0 \neq 0$. In particular, (x_0, y_0) is a point of infinite order. Then our halving formulae give us

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11} &= x_0 + \sqrt{x_0^2 - n^2} + \sqrt{x_0} (\sqrt{x_0 - n} + \sqrt{x_0 + n}), \\ x_{12} &= x_0 + \sqrt{x_0^2 - n^2} - \sqrt{x_0} (\sqrt{x_0 - n} + \sqrt{x_0 + n}), \\ x_{21} &= x_0 - \sqrt{x_0^2 - n^2} + \sqrt{x_0} (\sqrt{x_0 - n} - \sqrt{x_0 + n}), \\ x_{22} &= x_0 - \sqrt{x_0^2 - n^2} - \sqrt{x_0} (\sqrt{x_0 - n} - \sqrt{x_0 + n}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 6$, $x_0 = -3$, and $y_0 = 9$, the point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ is a point of E_n of infinite order and since $\sqrt{x_0^2 - n^2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, there is no rational point $Q \in E_n$ such that $2 * Q = P$. On the other hand, $2 * P = (25/4, -35/8)$, and for the four points

$$Q_1 = (18, -72), \quad Q_1 = (-2, -8), \quad Q_1 = (-3, 9), \quad Q_1 = (12, 36),$$

we have that $2 * Q_i = 2 * P$.

Example 2. Let p be an odd prime with $p > \max\{|a_4|, |a_6|\}$, and let E be an elliptic curve of the form

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + a_4 x + a_6$$

over the field \mathbb{F}_p . Furthermore, let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be a point on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Assume that the polynomial $X^3 + a_4 X + a_6 \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p , which implies that no element of the group $(E, +)$ has order 2. Then there exists a unique $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $Q = P/2$, i.e., $2 * Q = P$. Now, consider the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^3} := \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(X^3 + a_4 X + a_6)$. Then, for $d = X$ and $k = -(a_4 + 3X^2)$, the calculations to compute x_{ij} (for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$) take place in the field \mathbb{F}_{p^3} , where exactly one of x_{ij} belongs to \mathbb{F}_p , which is the x -coordinate of $P/2$. We would like mention that in the case when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^3}$ which is a square we have $\sqrt{a} = \pm a^{(p^3+1)/4}$ (for the computations of roots in the case when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ see [3]).

To illustrate the algorithm, let

$$p = 170000000000000071, \quad E : y^2 = x^3 + 17x + 71, \quad P = (17071, 4145148307074498).$$

Then $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and the polynomial $f = X^3 + 17X + 71$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p . In order to compute $P/2$, we work in the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^3} = \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(f)$ and compute first $\pm w$, w_1 and w_2 —given in Remark (c)—with respect to $x_0 = 170000000000000071$, $d = X$, and $k = -(17 + 3X^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= 14551045313109763 X^2 + 6554553633085449 X + 7788921359847751 \\ -w &= 2448954686890308 X^2 + 10445446366914622 X + 9211078640152320 \\ w_1 &= 2448954686890308 X^2 + 10445446366914622 X + 9211078640152320 \\ w_2 &= 11464660070096309 X^2 + 3152403672687182 X + 16599480794424362. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $w + w_1 = w_1 - (-w) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and since $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ we have

$$x_{11} = x_0 + w + w_1 = 4631223433830370 \in \mathbb{F}_p$$

which gives us the point

$$P/2 = (4631223433830370, 13664114850453464),$$

and since $x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22} \notin \mathbb{F}_p$, $P/2$ is the unique point on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with the property that $2 * (P/2) = P$.

As a matter of fact we would like to mention that we obtain the point $P/2$ also by first computing the order of P in the finite group $(E, +)$: The order of P is $m = 16999999816127027$ (which is the same as the order of E), and since the multiplicative inverse of 2 in \mathbb{Z}_m is $\bar{2} = 8499999908063514$, we have $P/2 = \bar{2} * P$.

Remark. By our halving formulae we can invert the Diffi-Hellman key exchange algorithm with respect to some elliptic curves E over a finite field and a point P on E : If the order of the elliptic curve E is odd, then, since the group $(E, +)$ is a finitely generated abelian group, for each point P on E , $P/2$ is unique. Now, after Alice and Bob have exchanged a common secret key k , Alice can encrypt a positive integer T as follows. First, Alice multiplies T by some integer 10^m and searches a point $Q = (10^m \cdot T + i, y_i)$ on E where $i < 10^m$. Then, Alice goes step by step through the binary representation of k , and in each step, beginning with Q , she doubles the point and add the point $b_n * P$, where b_n is the n th entry in the binary representation of k . Alice sends the result Q' to Bob, who can invert Alice's algorithm, starting with Q' , by reading the binary representation of the key k from the back, by replacing addition with subtraction of P , and by replacing doubling by halving points.

References

- [1] B. M. Bekker and Yu. G. Zarkhin. Division by 2 of rational points on elliptic curves, *Algebra i Analiz*, 29(4):196–239, 2017.
- [2] Neal Koblitz. *Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms* (2nd. ed.), [Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 97], Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [3] Siguna Müller. On the computation of square roots in finite fields, *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 31(3):301–312, 2004.
- [4] Mohammad Sadek and Tuğba Yesin. Divisibility by 2 on quartic models of elliptic curves and rational Diophantine $D(q)$ -quintuples, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, 116(3):paper no. 139 (17 pp.), 2022.
- [5] Jeffrey Yelton. A note on 8-division fields of elliptic curves, *Eur. J. Math.*, 3(3):603–613, 2017.
- [6] Yu. G. Zarkhin. Division by 2 on odd degree hyperelliptic curves and their Jacobians, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 83(3):93–112, 2019, translation in *Izv. Math.*, 83(3):501–520, 2019.