

Tail Bounds for Tensor-valued Random Process

Shih Yu Chang *

February 2, 2023

Abstract

To consider a high-dimensional random process, we propose a notion about stochastic tensor-valued random process (TRP). In this work, we first attempt to apply a generic chaining method to derive tail bounds for all p -th moments of the supremum of TRPs. We first establish tail bounds for suprema of processes with an exponential tail, and further derive tail bounds for suprema of processes with arbitrary number of exponential tails. We apply these bounds to high-dimensional compressed sensing and empirical process characterizations.

Index terms— tensor, random process, generic chaining, tail bounds, empirical processes, compressed sensing.

1 Introduction

Generic chaining is a powerful probabilistics tool developed by Talagrand to determine the expected value of the supremum of a real-valued stochastic process. This approach stems from the traditional chaining argument invented by Kolmogorov and the majorizing measures method invented by Dudley, Fernique and Talagrand [1]. Generic chaining applies γ -functionals, a quantitative measure of the metric complexity of the index set of the process, to estimate the expectation of the supremum of a random process. Such estimations are known to be sharp at several special cases, e.g., the celebrated majorizing measure theorem provides the sharpness for Gaussian random processes [2]. The applications of generic chaining can be discovered at signal processing, statistics, and harmonic analysis [1]. Besides knowing the upper bound for the expected supremum of a random process, we wish to know how probable it is that the supremum of the random process exceeds the expectation of the upper bound. To answer this question, a generic chaining bound has to provide other tail bounds for the deviation of the supremum with respect to the expected supremum of a random process. Such concentration studies of random variables have generated many research results about tail bounds estimations and produced various applications in various fields, see [3].

Tensors, high-dimensional data, have been applied to different domains in science and engineering, e.g., theoretical physics [4], signal processing [5], machine learning [6], etc. Concentration of random matrices (order 2 tensors) has begun its study since 2010 [7], and the generalization of concentration theory to random tensors with arbitrary order can be found at following works: non-independent random tensors by expander method [8], majorization approach [9], T-product tensors [10, 11], and others [12, 13]. In this work, we first attempt to apply a generic chaining method to derive tail bounds for all p -th moments of the supremum of a stochastic tensor-valued random process (TRP). Our work follows the same generic chaining approach given by [14], however, we extend this work to random tensors settings, instead of random variables, and consider the mixed TRP with an arbitrary number of exponential tails with different exponents.

*Shih Yu Chang is with the Department of Applied Data Science, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA, U. S. A. (e-mail: shihyu.chang@sjsu.edu).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will define tensor-valued random process (TRP) and review notions about tensors briefly. We then consider two types of tail bounds, exponential tail and mixed tail, based on generic chaining in Section 3. The application of exponential tail bound to compressed sensing is discussed in Section 4. The application of mixed tail bound to the empirical process is presented in Section 5.

2 Tensor-valued Random Process

We will define tensors considered in this work and tensor-valued random process. More details about basic tensor concepts can be found in [5, 12]. Without loss of generality, one can partition the dimensions of a tensor into two groups, say M and N dimensions, separately. Thus, for two order- $(M+N)$ tensors: $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ and $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$, according to [15], the *tensor addition* $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N} + b_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, for tensors $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ and $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{j_1, \dots, j_N, k_1, \dots, k_L}) \in \mathbb{C}^{J_1 \times \dots \times J_N \times K_1 \times \dots \times K_L}$, according to [15], the *Einstein product* (or simply referred to as *tensor product* in this work) $\mathcal{A} \star_N \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times K_1 \times \dots \times K_L}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{A} \star_N \mathcal{B})_{i_1, \dots, i_M, k_1, \dots, k_L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_N} a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N} b_{j_1, \dots, j_N, k_1, \dots, k_L}. \quad (2)$$

Note that we will often abbreviate a tensor product $\mathcal{A} \star_N \mathcal{B}$ to “ \mathcal{AB} ” for notational simplicity in the rest of the paper. This tensor product will be reduced to the standard matrix multiplication as $L = M = N = 1$. Other simplified situations can also be extended as tensor–vector product ($M > 1$, $N = 1$, and $L = 0$) and tensor–matrix product ($M > 1$ and $N = L = 1$). In analogy to matrix analysis, we define some basic tensors and elementary tensor operations as follows.

Definition 1 A tensor whose entries are all zero is called a zero tensor, denoted by \mathcal{O} .

Definition 2 An identity tensor $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_N \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{I})_{i_1 \times \dots \times i_N \times j_1 \times \dots \times j_N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^N \delta_{i_k, j_k}, \quad (3)$$

where $\delta_{i_k, j_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$ if $i_k = j_k$; otherwise $\delta_{i_k, j_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$.

In order to define *Hermitian* tensor, the *conjugate transpose operation* (or *Hermitian adjoint*) of a tensor is specified as follows.

Definition 3 Given a tensor $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$, its *conjugate transpose*, denoted by \mathcal{A}^H , is defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}^H)_{j_1, \dots, j_N, i_1, \dots, i_M} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}}, \quad (4)$$

where the overline notion indicates the complex conjugate of the complex number $a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_N}$. If a tensor \mathcal{A} satisfies $\mathcal{A}^H = \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{A} is a Hermitian tensor.

Definition 4 Given a tensor $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_M}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_M}$, if

$$\mathcal{A}^H \star_M \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \star_M \mathcal{A}^H = \mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_M}, \quad (5)$$

then \mathcal{A} is a unitary tensor.

Definition 5 Given a square tensor $\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_{i_1, \dots, i_M, j_1, \dots, j_M}) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times I_1 \times \dots \times I_M}$, if there exists $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times I_1 \times \dots \times I_M}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} \star_M \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \star_M \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}, \quad (6)$$

then \mathcal{X} is the inverse of \mathcal{A} . We usually write $\mathcal{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ thereby.

We also list other crucial tensor operations here. The *trace* of a tensor is equivalent to the summation of all diagonal entries such that

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq i_j \leq I_j, j \in [M]} \mathcal{A}_{i_1, \dots, i_M, i_1, \dots, i_M}. \quad (7)$$

The *inner product* of two tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}^H \star_M \mathcal{B}). \quad (8)$$

According to Eq. (8), the *Frobenius norm* of a tensor \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \rangle}. \quad (9)$$

In later sections, we use $\|\mathcal{A}\|_\alpha$ to represent the general unitary invariant norm via the gauge function α defined in [9].

Below, we will define tensor-valued processes and recall important notions about generic chaining. Let \mathfrak{X} be a normed linear space made by the collection of tensors with the same dimensions and let (T, d) be a metric space. We assume that the cardinality $|T|$ of T is finite. A tensor-valued process is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_t &: \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \\ (t, \omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{X}_t(\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The diameter of T with respect to d is defined as

$$\Delta_d(T) = \sup_{s, t \in T} d(s, t). \quad (11)$$

We define that an \mathfrak{X} -valued process $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ is exponential tail with parameter β under the metric space (T, d) as

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_s\|_\alpha \geq ud(s, t)) \leq 2 \exp(-u^\beta), \quad (12)$$

where $\beta \geq 0$.

A sequence of set $\mathfrak{T} = (T_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of subsets of T is called admissible if $|T_0| = 1$ and $|T_n| \leq 2^{2^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. For any $0 < \beta < \infty$, the γ_β functional of (T, d) is defined by

$$\gamma_\beta(T, d) = \inf_{\mathfrak{T}} \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n/\beta} d(t, T_n), \quad (13)$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible sequences and $d(t, T_n)$ is obtained by $\inf_{s \in T_n} d(t, s)$.

3 Suprema and Tail Estimates for TRP

In this section, we will consider two types of tail bound analysis based on generic chaining. The first is to establish tail bounds for suprema of processes with an exponential tail, see Section 3.1. The second is to establish tail bounds for suprema of processes with mixed exponential tails, see Section 3.2.

3.1 Tail Bounds for Suprema of Processes with an Exponential Tail

In order to consider p -th moments, we have to define the following truncated γ_β functional. For a given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we use the symbol n' to represent the integer obtained by $n' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ represents the integer part. We define the truncated γ_β functional with respect to the power p as

$$\gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d) = \inf_{\mathfrak{T}} \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{n=n'}^{\infty} 2^{n/\beta} d(t, T_n), \quad (14)$$

where $n' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$. By comparing definitions given by Eq. (14) and Eq. (13), we have $\gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d) \leq \gamma_\beta(T, d)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\gamma_{\beta,1}(T, d) = \gamma_\beta(T, d)$. The sequence \mathfrak{T} that achieves the infimum is named as *optimal sequence*.

We have to prepare the following three lemmas which will be used in every generic chaining argument later.

Lemma 1 *Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, set $n' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$ and let $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of random tensors. If $|T| \leq 2^{2^{n'}}$, we have*

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 2 \sup_{t \in T} (\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p)^{1/p}. \quad (15)$$

Proof: Because $|T| \leq 2^{2^{n'}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p \leq \sum_{t \in T} \mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p \leq |T| \sup_{t \in T} \mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p \leq 2^p \sup_{t \in T} \mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t\|_\alpha^p. \quad (16)$$

□

Lemma 2 *Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \beta < \infty$, $u \geq 2^{1/\beta}$, and set $n' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$. For every $n > n'$, let $(E_{j,n})_{j \in J_n}$ be a collection of events satisfying*

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{j,n}) \leq 2 \exp(-2^n u^\beta), \quad (17)$$

where $j \in J_n$. If $|J_n| \leq 2^{2^{n+1}}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{n > n'} \bigcup_{j \in J_n} E_{j,n} \right) \leq C_1 \exp(-pu^\beta/4). \quad (18)$$

Proof: From union bound and the fact that $u^\beta \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{n>n'} \bigcup_{j \in J_n} E_{j,n} \right) &\leq \sum_{n>n'} 2^{2^{n+1}} 2 \exp(-2^n u^\beta) \\
&= 2 \sum_{n>n'} \exp(2(\log 2)2^n) \exp(-2^n u^\beta) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{n>n'} \exp((\log 2 - 1)2^n u^\beta) \\
&= \exp(-2^{n'} u^\beta / 2) \sum_{n>n'} \exp((\log 2 - 1)2^n u^\beta + 2^{n'} u^\beta / 2) \\
&\leq \exp(-2^{n'} u^\beta / 2) \sum_{n>0} \exp((\log 2 - 1)2^n u^\beta + 2^n u^\beta / 4). \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n>0} \exp((\log 2 - 1)2^n u^\beta + 2^n u^\beta / 4) &= \sum_{n>0} \exp(2^n u^\beta (\log 2 - 0.75)) \\
&\leq_1 \sum_{n>0} \exp(2^{n+1} (\log 2 - 0.75)) \\
&\leq \sum_{n>0} \exp(2n (\log 2 - 0.75)) \\
&= C_1. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply $u \geq 2^{1/\beta}$ at \leq_1 and $(\log 2 - 0.75) < 0$. Then, we have Eq. (19) since $2^{n'} \geq p/2$. \square

Lemma 3 Given a positive random variable x , ratio $r \geq 0$, boundary constant $u_b > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \beta < \infty$, if we have

$$\mathbb{P}(x > ru) \leq d \exp(-pu^\beta / 4), \tag{21}$$

where $u \geq u_b$. Then, we have

$$(\mathbb{E}x^p)^{1/p} \leq r(c_\beta d + u_b), \tag{22}$$

where the constant c_β depends only on β .

Proof: By integration by parts and a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}x^p &= \int_0^\infty p x^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(x > u) du \\
&= r^p \int_0^\infty p v^{p-1} \mathbb{P}(x > rv) dv \\
&\leq r^p \left(\int_{u_b}^\infty p v^{p-1} d \exp(-pv^\beta / 4) dv + \int_0^{u_b} p v^{p-1} dv \right) \\
&= r^p \left(d \int_{u_b}^\infty p v^{p-1} \exp(-pv^\beta / 4) dv + u_b^p \right), \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Eq. (21) in \leq_1 . We need to analyze the term $\int_{u_b}^{\infty} pv^{p-1} \exp(-pu^\beta/4)dv$ further. Because we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{u_b}^{\infty} pv^{p-1} \exp(-pu^\beta/4)dv &\leq \int_0^{\infty} pv^{p-1} \exp(-pu^\beta/4)dv \\ &= {}_1 \frac{2p^{1-p/\beta} 2^{p/\beta}}{\beta} \int_0^{\infty} w^{2p/\beta-1} e^{-w^2/2} dw \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi} p^{1-p/\beta} 2^{p/\beta}}{2\beta} \mathbb{E}|y|^{2p/\beta-1} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $=_1$ is obtained by change of variable and y is a standard normal random variable. From the work [16], we have

$$\mathbb{E}|y|^{2p/\beta-1} = \frac{2^{p/\beta-1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(p/2\beta). \quad (25)$$

The result follows by combining all of the above estimations. \square

We are ready to present the following theorem about tail bounds for suprema of tensor random processes with an exponential tail.

Theorem 1 *Given $0 < \beta < \infty$ and $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ satisfying Eq. (12), then there exist constants $C_\beta, D_\beta > 0$, which depends only on β , such that for any $t_0 \in T$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have*

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_\beta \gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d) + 2 \sup_{t \in T} (\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p)^{1/p}. \quad (26)$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha \geq e^{1/\beta} (C_\beta \gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d) + u D_\beta \Delta_d(T)) \right) \leq \exp(-u^\beta/\beta). \quad (27)$$

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{T} = (T_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be an optimal admissible sequence for $\gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d)$ and let $\varpi_n : T \rightarrow T_n$ be a sequence of functions defined as $\varpi_n(t) = \arg \min_{s \in T_n} d(s, t)$. By setting $n' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$, we have the following decomposition

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (28)$$

For the first term on R.H.S. of Eq. (28), we will apply telescoping sum representation for $\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)}$. It is

$$\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} = \sum_{n > n'} \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_n(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n-1}(t)}. \quad (29)$$

Due to that the tensor random process $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ satisfies Eq. (12), we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_n(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n-1}(t)}\|_\alpha \geq u 2^{n/\beta} d(\varpi_n(t), \varpi_{n-1}(t)) \right) \leq 2 \exp(-u^\beta 2^n), \quad (30)$$

where $n > n'$. If $u \geq 2^{1/\beta}$, we use $E_{u,p}$ to represent the following event:

$$\|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_n(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n-1}(t)}\|_\alpha \leq u 2^{n/\beta} d(\varpi_n(t), \varpi_{n-1}(t)), \quad (31)$$

where $\forall n > n'$ and $\forall t \in T$. Then, by Lemma 2, we have the following probability bound for the complement of the event $E_{u,p}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{u,p}^c) \leq C_1 \exp(-pu^\beta/4). \quad (32)$$

If the event $E_{u,p}$ happens, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n>n'} \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_n(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n-1}(t)} \right\|_\alpha &\leq \sum_{n>n'} \|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_n(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n-1}(t)}\|_\alpha \\ &\leq u \sum_{n>n'} 2^{n/\beta} d(\varpi_n(t), \varpi_{n-1}(t)) \\ &\leq u(1 + 2^{1/\beta})\gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)}\|_\alpha u(1 + 2^{1/\beta})\gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d) \right) \leq C_1 \exp(-pu^\beta/4). \quad (34)$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_\beta \gamma_{\beta,p}(T, d). \quad (35)$$

For the second term on R.H.S. of Eq. (28), we can estimate this by Lemma 1. It is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq 2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Then, Eq. (26) follows by combining Eq. (28), Eq. (35) and Eq. (36).

Before proving Eq. (27), we have to state two facts about a random variable. Their proof can be found at Chapter 7 in [17]. If X is a random variable satisfying

$$(\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq ap^{1/\beta} + b, \quad (37)$$

where $0 < a, b, \beta < \infty$ and $p \geq 1$, then, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|X| \geq e^{1/\beta}(au + b) \right) \leq \exp(-u^\beta/\beta), \quad (38)$$

where $u \geq 1$. The second fact is about how to bound the random variable moment from its tail bound. If a random variable X satisfies the following:

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq e^{1/\beta}au) \leq be^{-u^\beta/\beta}, \quad (39)$$

where $0 < a, b, \beta, u < \infty$; we have

$$(\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq e^{1/2e} ap^{1/\beta} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\beta}} be^{\beta/12} \right)^{1/p}. \quad (40)$$

From the condition provided by Eq. (12) and the fact given by Eq. (40), we have

$$\sup_{t \in T} (\mathbb{E} \|\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0}\|_\alpha)^{1/p} \leq D_\beta \Delta_d(T) p^{1/\beta}. \quad (41)$$

Then Eq. (27) is obtained by applying Eq. (41) to Eq. (26) with Eq. (38). \square

We use the symbol \preceq in $\mathcal{X} \preceq \mathcal{Y}$ to represent that the tensor $\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{X}$ is a positive definite tensor.

Since Theorem 1 does not need any independence assumptions on the increments of the tensor process $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$, we can apply Theorem 1 to martingale context. If $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{i=0,1,2,\dots,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times I_1 \times \dots \times I_M}$ is a discrete-time Hermitian tensor-valued martingale and its i -th difference tensor is by $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{X}_i - \mathcal{X}_{i-1}$, then, from Theorem 14 (Tensor Azuma Inequality) in [12], we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{X}_n - \mathcal{X}_0) \geq u) \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n I_i \right) \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{8\sigma^2}\right), \quad (42)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_i^2 \right\|_{\max \text{ spec}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\max \text{ spec}}$ represents the maximum spectral norm. Combing this Tensor Azuma Inequality given by Eq. (42) with Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let $\mathcal{X}_t = (\mathcal{X}_{t,i})_{i=0,1,\dots,n}$, $t \in T$ be a family of discrete-time martingales with respect to the same filtration. We define the following metric:*

$$d_{s,t} = \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_i^2 \right\|_{\max \text{ spec}} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (43)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_i = (\mathcal{X}_{t,i} - \mathcal{X}_{s,i}) - (\mathcal{X}_{t,i-1} - \mathcal{X}_{s,i-1})$. For any $u \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in T} \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{X}_{t,n} - \mathcal{X}_{t,0}) \geq e^{1/2} (C_2 \gamma_2(T, d) + D_2 \Delta_d(T) u)\right) \leq e^{-u^2/2}. \quad (44)$$

3.2 Tail Bounds for Suprema of Processes with Mixed Exponential Tails

The purpose of this section is to establish tail bounds for suprema of tensor processes with mixed exponential tails. We have to prepare following two lemmas which will be used in proving tail bounds for suprema of tensor processes.

Lemma 4 *If X is a random variable satisfying*

$$(\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{n=1}^m a_n p^{1/n} + a_{n+1}, \quad (45)$$

where $p \geq 1$ and $0 \leq a_n < \infty$; then we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X| \leq e \left(\sum_{n=1}^m a_n p^{1/n} + a_{n+1} \right)\right) \leq \exp(-u), \quad (46)$$

where $u \geq 1$.

Proof: The proof is a straightforward consequence of Markov's inequality. \square

Lemma 5 *If a random variable X satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X| \geq \sum_{n=1}^m a_n p^{1/n}\right) \leq \exp(-u), \quad (47)$$

where $u \geq 0$ and $0 \leq a_n < \infty$; then,

$$(\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{n=1}^m m a_n f_n(p) p^{1/n}, \quad (48)$$

where $f_n(p)$ are positive real-valued functions related to the parameter p and $p \geq 1$.

Proof: From Eq. (48), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{m}|X| \geq u\right) \leq \begin{cases} e^{-u^m/a_m^m}, & \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq a_m^m/a_{m-1}^{m-1}; \\ \vdots, & \vdots; \\ e^{-u^3/a_3^3}, & \text{if } a_4^4/a_3^3 \leq u \leq a_3^3/a_2^2; \\ e^{-u^2/a_2^2}, & \text{if } a_3^3/a_2^2 \leq u \leq a_2^2/a_1; \\ e^{-u/a_1}, & \text{if } u \geq a_2^2/a_1. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Using integration by parts and a change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-p} \mathbb{E}|X|^p &= p \int_0^\infty u^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{m}|X| \geq u\right) du \\ &\leq p \int_0^{a_m^m/a_{m-1}^{m-1}} u^{p-1} e^{-u^m/a_m^m} du + p \sum_{n=2}^{m-1} \int_{a_{m-n+2}^{m-n+2}/a_{m-n+1}^{m-n+1}}^{a_{m-n+1}^{m-n+1}/a_{m-n}^{m-n}} u^{p-1} e^{-u^{m-n+1}/a_{m-n+1}^{m-n+1}} du \\ &\quad + p \int_{a_2^2/a_1}^\infty u^{p-1} e^{-u/a_1} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} p a_m^p \Gamma(p/m) + \sum_{n=2}^{m-1} \frac{1}{n} p a_n^p \Gamma(p/n) + p a_1^p \Gamma(p) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} p a_n^p \Gamma(p/n) \\ &\leq_1 \sum_{n=1}^m m a_n f_n(p) p^{1/n}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function and \leq_1 comes from estimation for Stirling's formula. The Stirling's formula of $\Gamma(p)$ can be expressed as

$$\Gamma(p) = \sqrt{2\pi} p^{p-1/2} e^{-p} e^{\mu(p)/12p}, \quad (51)$$

where $0 \leq \mu(p) \leq 1$. This Lemma is proved by finding $f_n(p)$ to bound $\frac{1}{n} p \Gamma(p/n)$ for each n . For example, if $m = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(p) &= \sqrt{2\pi} p p^p e^{-p+1/12p}, \\ f_2(p) &= \sqrt{\pi} e^{1/6p} (2e)^{-p/2} e^{p/2e} p^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

□

With these two lemmas, we are ready to present the following theorem about tail bounds for suprema of tensor processes with mixed exponential tails.

Theorem 2 If $(\mathcal{X}_t)_{t \in T}$ has a mixed tail which satisfies the following:

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_s \right\|_\alpha \geq \sum_{n=1}^m u^{1/n} d_n(s, t) \right) \leq 2e^{-u}, \quad (53)$$

where $d_n(s, t)$ are metrics defined on T and $u \geq 0$. Then, there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \leq C_2 \sum_{n=1}^m \gamma_n(T, d_n) + 2 \sup_{t \in T} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (54)$$

Accordingly, there are constants C_2, C_3 such that for any $u \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_\alpha \geq C_2 \sum_{n=1}^m \gamma_n(T, d_n) + C_3 \sum_{n=1}^m u^{1/n} \Delta_{d_n}(T) \right) \leq e^{-u}. \quad (55)$$

Proof: We select m admissible sequences of partitions $\mathfrak{Q}^{(n)} = (\mathbf{Q}_i^{(n)})_{i \geq 0}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that

$$\sup_{t \in T} \sum_{i \geq 0} 2^{i/n} \Delta_{d_n}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{(n)}(t)) \leq 2\gamma'_n(T, d_n), \quad (56)$$

where $\gamma'_n(T, d_n)$ is defined as

$$\gamma'_n(T, d_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{\mathfrak{Q}^{(n)}} \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{i/n} \Delta_{d_n}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{(n)}(t)). \quad (57)$$

For $i \geq 0$, let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be the partition generated by $\mathbf{Q}_{i-j}^{(n)}$ by

$$A_i = \left\{ \bigcap_{n=1}^m Q^{(n)} : Q^{(n)} \in \mathbf{Q}_{i-j}^{(n)} \right\} \quad (58)$$

where $j = \lceil \log_2 m \rceil$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{-j}^{(n)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{Q}_0^{(n)}$ so that $A_0 = T$. Then, $(A_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is increasing and

$$|A_i| \leq \prod_{n=1}^m |\mathbf{Q}_{i-j}^{(n)}| \leq 2^{2^{i-j}} \cdot 2^{2^{i-j}} \dots 2^{2^{i-j}} = 2^{2^i}, \quad (59)$$

which shows that \mathfrak{A} is admissible. For every $i \geq 0$, we define T_i of T by selecting exactly one point from each A_i . We define $\varpi_i(t) : T \rightarrow T_i$ be the unique element of $T_i \cap A_i(t)$, then we have a sequence $(\varpi_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of maps.

By setting $i' = \lfloor \log_2(p) \rfloor$, we have the following decomposition

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i'}(t)} \right\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i'}(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_\alpha^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (60)$$

We will focus the estimation for the first term on R.H.S. of Eq. (60) since the second term term on R.H.S. of Eq. (60) can be derived similarly in the proof of Theorem 1. For the first term, we can apply the telescoping sum as

$$\mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i'}(t)} = \sum_{i > i'} \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_i(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i-1}(t)}. \quad (61)$$

From condition of mixed tail given by Eq. (53), for $i > i'$ and $u \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\| \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_i(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i-1}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} \geq \sum_{n=1}^m u^{1/n} 2^{i/n} d_n(\varpi_i(t), \varpi_{i-1}(t)) \right) \leq 2e^{-u2^i}. \quad (62)$$

Let $E_{u,p}$ represent the event

$$\left\| \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_i(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i-1}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} \geq \sum_{n=1}^m u^{1/n} 2^{i/n} d_n(\varpi_i(t), \varpi_{i-1}(t)), \quad (63)$$

where $i > i'$ and $t \in T$. If the event $E_{u,p}$ happens, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i>i'} \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_i(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i-1}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} &\leq \sum_{i>i'} \left\| \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_i(t)} - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{i-1}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m u^{1/n} \sum_{i>i'} 2^{i/n} d_n(\varpi_i(t), \varpi_{i-1}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

By our choice of $\Omega^{(n)}$, we can find constants h_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\sum_{i>i'} 2^{i/n} d_n(\varpi_i(t), \varpi_{i-1}(t)) \leq h_n \gamma'_n(T, d_n). \quad (65)$$

Due to the fact that $\sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{n=1}^m h_n \gamma'_n(T, d_n)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha} > C'_2 u \sum_{n=1}^m \gamma'_n(T, d_n) \right) \leq c \exp(-pu/4), \quad (66)$$

where $C'_2 = \max_{n=1,2,\dots,m} h_n$. By Lemma 3 and the fact $\gamma_n(T, d_n) \leq \gamma'_n(T, d_n) \leq g(n)\gamma_n(T, d_n)$, where $g(n)$ is a constant depending only on n []; we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{\varpi_{n'}(t)} \right\|_{\alpha}^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C'_2 u \sum_{n=1}^m \gamma'_n(T, d_n) \leq C_2 u \sum_{n=1}^m \gamma_n(T, d_n). \quad (67)$$

Then, we have establish Eq. (54).

For the tail bound, Lemma 5 and the mixed tail condition provided by Eq. (53) give us

$$\sup_{t \in T} (\mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{X}_t - \mathcal{X}_{t_0} \right\|_{\alpha}^p)^{1/p} \leq C_3 \sum_{n=1}^m p^{1/n} \Delta_{d_n}(T). \quad (68)$$

Eq. (55) can be obtained by applying Eq. (68) to Eq. (54) with Lemma 4. \square

4 Application: Compressed Sensing

In this section, we will apply Theorem 1 to high-dimensional compressed sensing. We begin with some basic notations for high-dimensional compressed sensing based on tensors. Note that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the standard Frobenius norm.

We say that a tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$ is ξ -sparse if

$$\|\mathcal{X}\|_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) : x_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N} \neq 0\}| \leq \xi. \quad (69)$$

For a given $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$, the ξ -th restricted isometry constant τ_ξ of an $I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N$ tensor \mathcal{A} with the restriction $\prod_{i=1}^M I_i \leq \prod_{j=1}^N J_j$ is the smallest constant τ such that

$$(1 - \tau) \|\mathcal{X}\|_F^2 \leq \|\mathcal{A} \star_N \mathcal{X}\|_F^2 \leq (1 + \tau) \|\mathcal{X}\|_F^2, \quad (70)$$

for all ξ -sparse tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_1 \times \dots \times J_N}$. We also can define a set for ξ -sparse tensors \mathcal{X} as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)} = \{\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_1 \times \dots \times J_N} : \|\mathcal{X}\|_F = 1, \|\mathcal{X}\|_0 \leq \xi\}, \quad (71)$$

then, we have

$$\tau_\xi(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \|\mathcal{A} \star_N \mathcal{X}\|_F^2 - 1 \right|. \quad (72)$$

The restricted isometry constant $\tau_\xi(\mathcal{A})$ is an important parameter in compressed sensing.

Let $[u_{i_1, \dots, i_N, j_1, \dots, j_N}] \in \mathcal{U} \in J_1 \times \dots \times J_N \times J_1 \times \dots \times J_N$ be a unitary tensor and we have some positive constant $\Upsilon \geq 1$ such that

$$\sup_{i_1, \dots, i_N, j_1, \dots, j_N} \sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^N J_j} |u_{i_1, \dots, i_N, j_1, \dots, j_N}| \leq \Upsilon. \quad (73)$$

We consider a sequence $(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})_{1 \leq j_i \leq J_j}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of i.i.d. copies of the random selector, i.e., a Bernoulli random variable that satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta = 1) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}{\prod_{j=1}^N J_j}. \quad (74)$$

Let $\Pi = \{(j_1, \dots, j_N) : \theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N} = 1\}$ be the random set of selected indices with expected cardinality as $\mathbb{E}|\Pi| = \prod_{i=1}^M I_i$. Let \mathcal{U}_Π be a sampled tensor obtained by the unitary tensor \mathcal{U} as

$$\mathcal{U}_\Pi = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{j=1}^N J_j}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \mathcal{S}_\Pi \star_N \mathcal{U}, \quad (75)$$

where \mathcal{S}_Π is the operator which restricts a tensor to its entries in Π of \mathcal{U} .

We are ready to present following theorem about the restricted isometry constant τ_ξ .

Theorem 3 *If there are universal constants $C_4, C_5 > 0$ such that for any given $\xi \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $0 < \tau, \eta < 1$ satisfying*

$$\prod_{i=1}^M I_i \geq \xi \Upsilon^2 \tau^2 \max\{C_4 \log^2 \xi \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^M I_i \right) \log \left(\prod_{j=1}^N J_j \right), C_5 \log(\eta^{-1})\}, \quad (76)$$

then,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_\xi(\mathcal{U}_\Pi) \geq \tau) \leq \eta. \quad (77)$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{U}_{j_1, \dots, j_N}$ be the (j_1, \dots, j_N) -th projection tensor of \mathcal{U} . For every tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}$, we define following function

$$f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) = \theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N} \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{j=1}^N J_j}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \langle \mathcal{U}_{j_1, \dots, j_N}, \mathcal{X} \rangle. \quad (78)$$

Because \mathcal{U} is an unitary tensor, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \mathbb{E} f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^N J_j}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \mathbb{E}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) |\langle \mathcal{U}_{j_1, \dots, j_N}, \mathcal{X} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} |\langle \mathcal{U}_{j_1, \dots, j_N}, \mathcal{X} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \|\mathcal{U} \star_N \mathcal{X}\|_2^2 = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

We can express $\tau_{\xi}(\mathcal{U}_{\Pi})$ as

$$\tau_{\xi}(\mathcal{U}_{\Pi}) = \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} (f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - \mathbb{E} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})) \right|. \quad (80)$$

Let $\epsilon_{j_1, \dots, j_N}$ be a Rademacher sequence, i.e., a sequence of independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \tau_{\xi}^p(\mathcal{U}_{\Pi}) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2 \left(\mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{j_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (81)$$

By Hoeffding's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{j_1, \dots, j_N} ((f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}))^2)^{1/2} \right) \leq \exp(-u^2/2). \quad (82)$$

Because we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} (f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} (f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}))^2 (f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) + f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}))^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right)^{1/2} \max_{\substack{1 \leq j_i \leq J_i, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N}} |f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})|, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

then, we can hve the following subgaussian process

$$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{j_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}), \quad (84)$$

with respect to the metric

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) &= 2 \sup_{\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right)^{1/2} \max_{\substack{1 \leq j_i \leq J_i, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N}} |f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})| \\ &= 2 \sup_{\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{Z}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right)^{1/2} d_{\theta}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where we represent the metric $d_{\theta}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ as

$$d_{\theta}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) = \max_{\substack{1 \leq j_i \leq J_i, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N}} |f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})|. \quad (86)$$

From Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \lesssim \gamma_2(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}) \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad + \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where \lesssim is a less than similar to comparison with a scalar constant difference. Since we have the following estimation from generic chaining:

$$\gamma_2(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}) \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} (\log N(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}, u))^{1/2} du, \quad (88)$$

where $N(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}, u)$ denote the covering number of the set $\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}$, i.e., the smallest number of balls of radius u in $(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}; d_{\theta})$ needed to cover $\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}$. From [18], we have the following bound about $\int_0^{\infty} (\log N(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}, u))^{1/2} du$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} (\log N(\mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}, d_{\theta}, u))^{1/2} du \lesssim \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \log \left(\xi \sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j} \right). \quad (89)$$

From Hoeffding's inequality, we have the following estimation for the term $\left(\mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p\right)^{1/p}$ in Eq. (87):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \sqrt{p} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})\right)^{1/2} \max_{\substack{1 \leq j_i \leq J_i, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N}} |f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})|. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

From the ξ -sparsity of \mathcal{X} , we can have the following bound:

$$\max_{\substack{1 \leq j_i \leq J_i, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N}} |f_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})| \leq \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}}. \quad (91)$$

From estimations provided by Eqs. (89), (90) and (91), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} \epsilon_{i_1, \dots, j_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left(\sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N})\right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \left(\sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j} \cdot \log \xi + \sqrt{p}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

From Eq. (81) and L^p norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - \mathbb{E} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ & \lesssim \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\xi; (J_1, \dots, J_N)}} \left| \sum_{j_1=1, \dots, j_N=1}^{J_1, \dots, J_N} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) - \mathbb{E} f_{\mathcal{X}}^2(\theta_{j_1, \dots, j_N}) \right|^p\right)^{1/2p} \\ & \quad \times \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \left(\sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j} \cdot \log \xi + \sqrt{p}\right) \\ & \quad + \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \left(\sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j} \cdot \log \xi + \sqrt{p}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Since Eq. (93) is the quadratic inequality related to $\left(\mathbb{E} \tau_{\xi}^p(\mathcal{U}_{\Pi})\right)^{1/2p}$, we can solve Eq. (93) with respect to

$(\mathbb{E}\tau_\xi^p(\mathcal{U}_\Pi))^{1/2p}$ and obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbb{E}\tau_\xi^p(\mathcal{U}_\Pi))^{1/p} &\lesssim \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \left(\sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j \cdot \log \xi} \right) \\
&\quad + \Upsilon^2 \frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \left(\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i \right) \left(\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j \right) \cdot \log^2 \xi \\
&\quad + \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{p\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} + \Upsilon^2 \frac{p\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}. \tag{94}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4 and the condition provided by Eq. (76), we have

$$P(\tau_\xi \geq \tau) \leq P(\tau_\xi \geq G(\eta)) \leq \eta, \tag{95}$$

where $G(\eta)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\eta) &= \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} \left(\sqrt{\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \sqrt{\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j \cdot \log \xi} \right) \\
&\quad + \Upsilon^2 \frac{\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i} \left(\log \prod_{i=1}^M I_i \right) \left(\log \prod_{j=1}^N J_j \right) \cdot \log^2 \xi + \Upsilon \sqrt{\frac{\log(\eta^{-1})\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}} + \Upsilon^2 \frac{\log(\eta^{-1})\xi}{\prod_{i=1}^M I_i}. \tag{96}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this theorem is proved. \square

5 Application: Empirical Process

In this section, we will apply Theorem 2 to study tail bounds for suprema of empirical processes. We require following lemma about Bernstein's inequality for random tensors.

Lemma 6 *Given a finite sequence of independent Hermitian tensors $\{\mathcal{X}_i \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times \dots \times I_M \times I_1 \times \dots \times I_M}\}$ that satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{X}_i = 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{X}_i^p) \preceq \frac{p! \Upsilon^{p-2}}{2} \mathcal{A}_i^2, \tag{97}$$

where $p = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, some positive constant Υ .

Define the total variance σ^2 as: $\sigma^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i^2 \right\|_{\text{spec}}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{spec}}$ represents the spectral norm. Then, we have following inequalities:

$$\Pr \left(\lambda_{\max} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i \right) \geq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \sqrt{u} + \frac{\Upsilon}{n} u \right) \leq 2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^M I_i \right) \exp(-u). \tag{98}$$

Proof: Slight modification from Theorem 13 in [12]. \square

We consider n probability spaces (Ω_i, \mathbb{P}_i) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Given a parameter set T consisting of n -tuples $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, for every $t \in T$, we will have n -tuple $\mathcal{X}_t = (\mathcal{X}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{t_n})$ of random Hermitian tensors satisfying those conditions required by Lemma 6. We consider the following empirical tensor process

$$\mathcal{E}_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{X}_{t_i} - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{X}_{t_i}). \quad (99)$$

From Lemma 6, we have the tensor process $(\mathcal{E}_t)_{t \in T}$ has a mixed tail with respect to the following metrics

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(s, t) &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{X}_{t_i} - \mathcal{X}_{s_i}), \\ d_2(s, t) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{\max}(\mathcal{X}_{t_i} - \mathcal{X}_{s_i})^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

Theorem 4 Let \mathcal{E}_t be defined as Eq. (99) and satisfy the following:

$$\mathcal{X}_{t_i} - \mathbb{E}\mathcal{X}_{t_i} \preceq \frac{p! \Upsilon^{p-2}}{2} \mathcal{A}_i^2, \quad (101)$$

then, for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{E}_t\|_{\text{spec}}^p \right)^{1/p} \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \gamma_2(T, d_2) + \frac{1}{n} \gamma_1(T, d_1) \right) + \sqrt{p} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} + p \frac{\Upsilon}{n}, \quad (102)$$

where $\sigma^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i^2 \right\|_{\text{spec}}$.

Moreover, there exist constants $C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that for any $u \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in T} \|\mathcal{E}_t\|_{\text{spec}} \geq C_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \gamma_2(T, d_2) + \frac{1}{n} \gamma_1(T, d_1) \right) + C_3 \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{u} + \frac{\Upsilon}{n} u \right) \right) \leq e^{-u}. \quad (103)$$

Proof: Apply Theorem 2 with Lemma 6 by setting $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ as $\|\cdot\|_{\text{spec}}$. \square

References

- [1] M. Talagrand, *The generic chaining: upper and lower bounds of stochastic processes*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005.
- [2] —, “Regularity of gaussian processes,” 1987.
- [3] M. Ledoux, *The concentration of measure phenomenon*. American Mathematical Soc., 2001, no. 89.
- [4] S. Hess, *Tensors for physics*. Springer, 2015.
- [5] S. Y. Chang and H.-C. Wu, “Tensor wiener filter,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 70, pp. 410–422, 2022.
- [6] Y. Ji, Q. Wang, X. Li, and J. Liu, “A survey on tensor techniques and applications in machine learning,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 162 950–162 990, 2019.

- [7] J. A. Tropp, “User-friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices,” *Foundations of computational mathematics*, vol. 12, pp. 389–434, 2012.
- [8] S. Y. Chang, “Tensor expander chernoff bounds,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.06471*, 2021.
- [9] S. Y. Chang and Y. Wei, “General tail bounds for random tensors summation: majorization approach,” *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 416, p. 114533, 2022.
- [10] —, “T-square tensors—part i: inequalities,” *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 1, p. 62, 2022.
- [11] —, “T-product tensors—part ii: tail bounds for sums of random t-product tensors,” *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 3, p. 99, 2022.
- [12] S. Y. Chang and W.-W. Lin, “Convenient tail bounds for sums of random tensors,” *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–36, 2021.
- [13] S. Y. Chang, “Generalized hanson-wright inequality for random tensors,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.00659*, 2022.
- [14] S. Dirksen, “Tail bounds via generic chaining,” *Electronic Journal of Probability*, vol. 20, no. 53, pp. 1–29, 2015.
- [15] M. Liang and B. Zheng, “Further results on Moore-Penrose inverses of tensors with application to tensor nearness problems,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 1282–1293, March 2019.
- [16] A. Winkelbauer, “Moments and absolute moments of the normal distribution,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.4340*, 2012.
- [17] S. Foucart, H. Rauhut, S. Foucart, and H. Rauhut, *An invitation to compressive sensing*. Springer, 2013.
- [18] M. Rudelson and R. Vershynin, “On sparse reconstruction from fourier and Gaussian measurements,” *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 1025–1045, 2008.