

HOW MANY REAL ZEROS DOES A RANDOM DIRICHLET SERIES HAVE?

MARCO AYMONE, SUSANA FRÓMETA, RICARDO MISTURINI

ABSTRACT. Let $F(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n}{n^\sigma}$ be a random Dirichlet series where $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables. We compute the expected number of zeros of $F(\sigma)$ in the interval $[T, \infty)$, say $\mathbb{E}N(T, \infty)$, and showed that as $T \rightarrow 1/2^+$

$$\mathbb{E}N(T, \infty) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T - 1/2} \right) + c_0 + O(T - 1/2),$$

where c_0 is a constant. We also showed weaker estimates for another class of random Dirichlet series, *e.g.*, when the summation is restricted to prime numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Around 1938, in a series of papers [10, 11, 12, 13], Littlewood and Offord proved estimates for the average number of real roots of a random polynomial

$$p(z) = X_0 + X_1z + \dots + X_nz^n,$$

where $(X_j)_{j=0}^n$ are random variables. In 1943, inspired in the first of these papers, Kac [9] presented a formula for the expected number of these real roots in the Gaussian case. From this formula he deduced that if n is the degree of the random polynomial, and if $(X_j)_{j=0}^n$ are independent standard Gaussian variables, then

$$\mathbb{E} \text{ Number of real roots of } p(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} + o(1) \right) \log n.$$

An analogous statement for random variables with other distributions is also true, but this has turned out to be a great challenge in the last century, when, for instance, we consider that $(X_j)_{j=0}^n$ are Rademacher random variables (see this 1956 paper [6] by Erdős and Offord for the Rademacher case, and these other papers [7, 8] by Ibragimov and Maslova for other distributions).

In the past 50 years, this beautiful theory has evolved in deepness and in many perspectives – here we refer to these papers [4, 14] by Do-Nguyen-Vu and Nguyen-Vu for a short survey and a nice state of an art on this topic.

In Analytic Number Theory, the location of zeros of certain analytic functions are of utmost importance. For instance, the location of the zeros of the analytic continuation of the Riemann

zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1,$$

have deep connections with the distribution of prime numbers.

The Riemann ζ function is a particular case of a Dirichlet series, and here we are interested in the case where we replace the constant 1 by random variables, *i.e.*,

$$F(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n}{n^\sigma},$$

where $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance 1.

This random Dirichlet series is, almost surely, well defined for all s in the complex half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$ due to the Kolmogorov Two-Series Theorem, and to classical results for general Dirichlet series. These series have been studied recently by the authors [2], where it has been proved a Law of the Iterated Logarithm (LIL) that describes the almost sure fluctuations of $F(\sigma)$ when $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$ (in the Rademacher case), and by Buraczewski et al. [3], where they considered a more general class of this particular random series and proved LIL and other convergence theorems.

A key difference between the zeros of this random Dirichlet series $F(\sigma)$ and that of the Riemann zeta function, is that, $\zeta(s)$ has no real zeros¹ in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$, while in the random case there are an infinite number of real zeros accumulating at the right of $1/2$, almost surely, see [1].

Throughout this paper, for $1/2 < T < U$, $N(T, U)$ denotes the number of real zeros of $F(\sigma)$ in the interval $[T, U]$, where U can be either a real number or ∞ . Since $F(\sigma)$ is an analytic function, $N(T, U) < \infty$ for all $T > 1/2$ and $U < \infty$, almost surely.

As far as we are aware, little attention has been given for zeros of random Dirichlet series in the literature. We found a nice geometric point of view of the expected number of zeros of a general random series of functions by Edelman and Kostlan, see [5]. For the case of our random Dirichlet series, in [5] appeared the following formula²:

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{E}N(T, U) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^U \sqrt{\left. \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \zeta(s) \right|_{s=2\sigma}} d\sigma.$$

¹Indeed $\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1-2^{1-s}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^s}$, and this alternating series is well defined for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma > 0$. The fact that ζ has no real zeros follows from the fact that the sequence $(1/n^\sigma)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing and the series is alternated.

²Indeed we can deduce formula (1) from Theorem 3.1 from [5]. But we highlight that the formula at subsection 3.2.5 of [5] that treats the random Dirichlet series case has a derivative notation that might lead to a certain confusion.

The first aim of this paper is to make quantitative the formula above.

Theorem 1.1. *There exist $\delta > 0$ and constants $(c_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that, for all $T \in (1/2, 1/2 + \delta)$:*

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{E}N(T, \infty) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T - 1/2} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (T - 1/2)^n.$$

In particular, as $T \rightarrow 1/2^+$,

$$\mathbb{E}N(T, \infty) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T - 1/2} \right) + c_0 + O(T - 1/2).$$

It is interesting to observe that the lower order terms in eq. (2) have a power series representation. As we show, this is a consequence of the good analytic properties of the Riemann ζ function around its simple pole together with a well known zero free region of this function.

1.1. More general random Dirichlet series. We also compute the expected number of real zeros of random Dirichlet series of the form

$$F(\sigma) := \sum_p \frac{X_p}{p^\sigma},$$

where p runs orderly over an increasing set of positive real numbers $\mathcal{P} := \{1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots\}$ with $p_n \rightarrow \infty$, and X_p are independent standard Gaussian random variables. We assume some regularity in the counting function $\pi(x) := |\{p \leq x : p \in \mathcal{P}\}|$:

$$(3) \quad \pi(x) = (1 + o(1))x(\log x)^\alpha, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where α is a real number. As an example, the positive integers satisfy the quantitative statement above with $\alpha = 0$, and the prime numbers with $\alpha = -1$, due to the Prime Number Theorem.

We denote by $N_\alpha(T, U)$ the number of zeros in the interval $[T, U]$ of the random series $F(\sigma)$ associated to \mathcal{P} satisfying (3). Regardless the value of α , we have that $F(s)$ converges for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$, and diverges for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$, almost surely.

By letting

$$\zeta_\alpha(s) := \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s},$$

we see from [5] that (1) generalizes to

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{E}N_\alpha(T, U) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^U \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \zeta_\alpha(s)} \Big|_{s=2\sigma} d\sigma.$$

It is important to observe that the assumption (3) is not enough to deduce good analytic properties of $\zeta_\alpha(s)$ around its singularity at $s = 1$. Even so, a qualitative result, weaker in comparison with Theorem 1.1, can be obtained.

Theorem 1.2. *As $T \rightarrow 1/2^+$, we have that*

$$\mathbb{E}N_\alpha(T, \infty) = (1 + o(1)) \times \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{1+\alpha}}{4\pi} \log\left(\frac{1}{T-1/2}\right), & \text{if } \alpha > -1, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\log\left(\frac{1}{T-1/2}\right)}, & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ c, & \text{if } \alpha < -1, \end{cases}$$

where $c > 0$ is a number that depends on the set \mathcal{P} .

2. NOTATION

We use the standard notation

- (1) $f(x) \ll g(x)$ or equivalently $f(x) = O(g(x))$;
- (2) $f(x) = o(g(x))$.

The case (1) is used whenever there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq |g(x)|$, for all x in a set of numbers. This set of numbers when not specified is the real interval $[L, \infty]$, for some $L > 0$, but also there are instances where this set can accumulate at the right or at the left of a given real number, or at complex number. Sometimes we also employ the notation \ll_ϵ or O_ϵ to indicate that the implied constant may depends in ϵ .

In case (2), we mean that $\lim_x f(x)/g(x) = 0$. When not specified, this limit is as $x \rightarrow \infty$ but also can be as x approaches any complex number in a specific direction.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin by recalling some well known facts about the Riemann zeta function. Classically defined for $Re(s) > 1$ as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

we have that actually ζ has analytic continuation to the all complex plane except at $s = 1$ where has simple pole with residue 1. Therefore, for all $s \neq 1$, we have that ζ has a Laurent series representation:

$$(5) \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\gamma_n}{n!} (s-1)^n,$$

where γ_n are the Stieltjes constants. It is noteworthy to say that actually $\gamma_0 = \gamma$, the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Now we have that

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \zeta(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{\zeta''(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{\zeta'(s)^2}{\zeta^2(s)}.$$

The zero of $\zeta(s)$ closest to $s = 1$ is at $s = -2$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{\zeta(2s)}$ is analytic in a open ball centered at $s = 1/2$ and with radius 1. The same is true for $\frac{1}{\zeta^2(2s)}$.

We recall that $\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}$ has a simple zero at $s = 1$. Therefore $\frac{\zeta''(2s)}{\zeta(2s)}$ is a meromorphic function with a pole of order 2 at $s = 1/2$, and $\frac{\zeta''(2s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \frac{2+o(1)}{(2s-1)^2}$ as $s \rightarrow 1/2$. Also, $\frac{\zeta'^2(2s)}{\zeta^2(2s)}$ is meromorphic with a pole of order 2 at $s = 1/2$, with $\frac{\zeta'^2(2s)}{\zeta^2(2s)} = \frac{1+o(1)}{(2s-1)^2}$ as $s \rightarrow 1/2$.

Now, we have that

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \zeta(2s) = \frac{1}{(2s-1)^2} (1 + A(s)),$$

where $A(s)$ is an analytic function in a open ball centered at $s = 1/2$ and with radius 1. Moreover, $A(s) = O(|s - 1/2|)$, and hence, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|A(s)|$ does not exceed $1/2$ for all s in an open ball B of center $1/2$ and radius δ .

Thus, the function

$$\sqrt{1 + A(s)}$$

is analytic in this open ball B and has power series representation

$$\sqrt{1 + A(s)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n (2s - 1)^n.$$

Therefore, since for real $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1/2 + \delta/2$

$$\sqrt{\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \log \zeta(s)} \Big|_{s=2\sigma} = \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} \sqrt{1 + A(\sigma)},$$

and the power series converges absolutely, the integral of the sum is the sum of integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N(T, 1/2 + \delta/2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^{1/2+\delta/2} \left(\frac{1}{(2\sigma - 1)} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1} (2\sigma - 1)^n \right) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T - 1/2} \right) + c_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (T - 1/2)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that $\mathbb{E}N(1/2 + \delta/2, \infty)$ is a constant. Indeed, for $Re(s) > 1$

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s},$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is the classical von Mangoldt function³. Therefore

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \log n}{n^s}.$$

By the general theory of Dirichlet series, $\frac{d}{ds} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}$ is a continuous function in the real interval $[1 + \delta, 100]$, and hence $\mathbb{E}N(1/2 + \delta/2, 100)$ is a real number. Let $L > 100$. Since $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$ for all $a, b \geq 0$, and $0 \leq \Lambda(n) \leq \log n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{100}^L \sqrt{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \log n}{n^{2\sigma}}} d\sigma &\leq \int_{100}^L \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{\sigma}} d\sigma \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \log n \int_{100}^{\infty} \exp(-\sigma \log n) d\sigma = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{100}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the interchange between the integration and summation is justified by the fact that the Dirichlet series converges absolutely for σ in the range $[100, L]$, for any large $L > 100$. Therefore, the limit

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}N(100, L)$$

exists and is a real number. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Just as in Theorem 1.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}N_{\alpha}(T, U) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^U \sqrt{\frac{\zeta''_{\alpha}(2\sigma)}{\zeta_{\alpha}(2\sigma)} - \left(\frac{\zeta'_{\alpha}(2\sigma)}{\zeta_{\alpha}(2\sigma)}\right)^2} d\sigma.$$

Thus, we need to estimate, as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$, quantities of the form

$$\zeta_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}(2\sigma) = \sum_p \frac{(\log p)^{\beta}}{p^{2\sigma}} = \int_2^{\infty} \frac{(\log x)^{\beta}}{x^{2\sigma}} d\pi(x) + O(1),$$

where $\beta = 0, 1, 2$ and the last integral above is in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense. Integration by parts gives, as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$:

$$\zeta_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}(2\sigma) = (2\sigma + o(1)) \int_2^{\infty} \frac{\pi(x)(\log x)^{\beta}}{x^{2\sigma+1}} dx = (1 + o(1)) \int_2^{\infty} \frac{(\log x)^{\alpha+\beta}}{x^{2\sigma}} dx.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let γ be a real number. Then, as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$:*

$$J(\gamma, \sigma) := \int_2^{\infty} \frac{(\log x)^{\gamma}}{x^{2\sigma}} dx = (1 + o(1)) \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{(2\sigma-1)^{\gamma+1}}, \gamma > -1 \\ \log\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-1/2}\right), \gamma = -1, \\ c(\gamma), \gamma < -1, \end{cases}$$

where Γ is the classical Euler's Gamma function, and $c(\gamma)$ is a constant that depends on γ .

³The von Mangoldt function is defined as follows: If n is the power of a prime, say $n = p^m$, then $\Lambda(n) = \log p$. If n is not a prime power, then $\Lambda(n) = 0$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. The case $\gamma < -1$ is easy. Let then $\gamma > -1$. Let $u = (2\sigma - 1) \log x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(\gamma, \sigma) &= \frac{1}{(2\sigma - 1)^{1+\gamma}} \int_{(2\sigma-1)\log 2}^{\infty} u^{(1+\gamma)-1} e^{-u} du \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{(2\sigma - 1)^{1+\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $\gamma = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(-1, \sigma) &= \int_{(2\sigma-1)\log 2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du \\ &= \int_{(2\sigma-1)\log 2}^{1/100} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du + O(1) \\ &= \int_{(2\sigma-1)\log 2}^{1/100} \frac{1 + O(u)}{u} du + O(1) \\ &= \log \left(\frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2} \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Lemma. □

Now we continue with the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin by estimating $\mathbb{E}N_{\alpha}(T, 1/2 + \delta)$ for some small delta.

Case $\alpha > -1$. In this case, by Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N_{\alpha}(T, 1/2 + \delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^{1/2+\delta} \left(\frac{(1 + o(1))\Gamma(3 + \alpha)(2\sigma - 1)^{-(3+\alpha)}}{(1 + o(1))\Gamma(1 + \alpha)(2\sigma - 1)^{-(1+\alpha)}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{(1 + o(1))\Gamma(2 + \alpha)(2\sigma - 1)^{-(2+\alpha)}}{(1 + o(1))\Gamma(1 + \alpha)(2\sigma - 1)^{-(1+\alpha)}} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the property that $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$, the last expression simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N_{\alpha}(T, 1/2 + \delta) &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{1 + \alpha}}{2\pi} \int_T^{1/2+\delta} \frac{1}{2\sigma - 1} d\sigma \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{1 + \alpha}}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T - 1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case $\alpha = -1$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N_{-1}(T, 1/2 + \delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^{1/2+\delta} \left(\frac{(1+o(1))\Gamma(2)(2\sigma-1)^{-2}}{\log(1/(2\sigma-1))} \right)^{1/2} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{(1+o(1))}{4\pi} \int_{2T-1}^{2\delta} \frac{1}{x\sqrt{-\log x}} dx \\ &= \frac{(1+o(1))}{4\pi} \int_{-\log(2T-1)}^{-\log(2\delta)} -v^{-1/2} dv \\ &= \frac{(1+o(1))}{2\pi} \sqrt{\log\left(\frac{1}{T-1/2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case $\alpha < -1$. In this case we have that $\zeta_\alpha(2\sigma) = c + o(1)$, as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$, for some $c > 0$. The proof of this case follows the idea of the previous ones, in which the function $J(\gamma, \sigma)$ of Lemma 3.1 is analyzed. For that, we will have to divide our proof in the cases $-2 < \alpha < -1$, $\alpha = -2$, $-3 < \alpha < -2$, $\alpha = -3$ and $\alpha < -3$. We will present the details only for the case $-2 < \alpha < -1$. The other cases can be treated similarly.

Let then $-2 < \alpha < -1$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N_\alpha(T, 1/2 + \delta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T^{1/2+\delta} \left(\frac{(1+o(1))\Gamma(3+\alpha)(2\sigma-1)^{-(3+\alpha)}}{c+o(1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{(1+o(1))\Gamma(2+\alpha)(2\sigma-1)^{-(2+\alpha)}}{c+o(1)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The function inside the square-root above behaves, as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$, as a constant times

$$(2\sigma-1)^{-\frac{3+\alpha}{2}}.$$

Apart from the fact that this function blows as $\sigma \rightarrow 1/2^+$, we have that the exponent $(3+\alpha)/2$ lies in the interval $(1/2, 1)$, and hence the hole function is integrable in the interval $[1/2^+, 1]$.

Now we will show that in any case, $\mathbb{E}N(1/2 + \delta, \infty)$ is a real number. The function $\zeta_\alpha(\sigma)$ converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$, and hence it is an analytic function. Further, $\zeta_\alpha(\sigma)$ is a series of positive numbers, and hence $\zeta_\alpha(\sigma) \neq 0$ for all $\sigma > 1$. Hence $\mathbb{E}N_\alpha(1/2 + \delta, 100)$ is the definite integral of a continuous function, a real number. Consider now

$$F(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_{p_n}}{p_n^\sigma} = p_1^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_{p_n}}{(p_n/p_1)^\sigma} := p_1^{-\sigma} G(\sigma).$$

Thus, $F(\sigma)$ share same zeros with $G(\sigma)$. Now we can write

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma) := \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{1}{q^\sigma},$$

where $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1 = 1 < q_2 < q_3 < \dots\}$ and $q_n = p_n/p_1$, for all n . Thus, $\zeta_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma) > 1$ for all $\sigma > 1$ and $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{\mathcal{Q}}(\sigma) = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}N_\alpha(100, L) &\ll \int_{100}^L \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_Q''(2\sigma)}{\zeta_Q(2\sigma)}} d\sigma \\
&\ll \int_{100}^L \sqrt{\zeta_Q''(2\sigma)} d\sigma \\
&\ll \int_{100}^L \sum_{q>1} \frac{\log q}{q^\sigma} d\sigma \\
&\ll \sum_{q>1} \frac{1}{q^{100}} \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathbb{E}N(100, \infty)$ is a real number, and this ends the proof. \square

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is interesting to observe from formula (4) that a number $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\pi(x) = (\lambda + o(1))x(\log x)^\alpha$$

has no effect in the asymptotics of $\mathbb{E}N_\alpha(T, U)$.

Another interesting remark comes from the fact that we could deal with a slight more general random Dirichlet series if we allow to put extra weights $\{a_p \geq 0 : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$:

$$F(\sigma) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{a_p X_p}{p^\sigma}.$$

All we need to do is to make regularity assumptions on the partial sums

$$\pi_*(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} a_p.$$

In this case, formula (4) remains valid if we replace ζ_α by

$$\zeta_*(s) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{a_p^2}{p^s}.$$

The results of Theorem 1.2 remains unchanged if we worked out with assumptions on $\pi_*(x)$ instead of $\pi(x)$, since all what matters is the behaviour of $\zeta_*(s)$ around its singularity.

An interesting example comes when we consider $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n = \sqrt{\tau(n)}$, where $\tau(n)$ is the number of positive divisors of n . In this case, $\zeta_*(s) = \zeta^2(s)$ and the expected number of zeros will be just $\sqrt{2}$ times $\mathbb{E}N(T, \infty)$ given by Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by CNPq, grant Universal no. 403037/2021-2.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. AYMONE, *Real zeros of random Dirichlet series*, Electron. Commun. Probab., 24 (2019), pp. Paper No. 54, 8.
- [2] M. AYMONE, S. FRÓMETA, AND R. MISTURINI, *Law of the iterated logarithm for a random Dirichlet series*, Electron. Commun. Probab., 25 (2020), pp. Paper No. 56, 14.
- [3] D. BURACZEWSKI, C. DONG, A. IKSANOV, AND A. MARYNYCH, *Limit theorems for random Dirichlet series*, arXiv:2211.00145, (2022).
- [4] Y. DO, H. NGUYEN, AND V. VU, *Real roots of random polynomials: expectation and repulsion*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 111 (2015), pp. 1231–1260.
- [5] A. EDELMAN AND E. KOSTLAN, *How many zeros of a random polynomial are real?*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 32 (1995), pp. 1–37.
- [6] P. ERDÖS AND A. C. OFFORD, *On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 6 (1956), pp. 139–160.
- [7] I. A. IBRAGIMOV AND N. B. MASLOVA, *The average number of real roots of random polynomials*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 199 (1971), pp. 13–16.
- [8] ———, *The mean number of real zeros of random polynomials. II. Coefficients with a nonzero mean*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen., 16 (1971), pp. 495–503.
- [9] M. KAC, *On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1943), pp. 314–320.
- [10] J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND A. C. OFFORD, *On the Number of Real Roots of a Random Algebraic Equation*, J. London Math. Soc., 13 (1938), pp. 288–295.
- [11] ———, *On the number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. III*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S., 12(54) (1943), pp. 277–286.
- [12] ———, *On the distribution of the zeros and a -values of a random integral function. I*, J. London Math. Soc., 20 (1945), pp. 130–136.
- [13] ———, *On the distribution of zeros and a -values of a random integral function. II*, Ann. of Math. (2), 49 (1948), pp. 885–952; errata 50, 990–991 (1949).
- [14] O. NGUYEN AND V. VU, *Roots of random functions: a framework for local universality*, Amer. J. Math., 144 (2022), pp. 1–74.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS (UFMG), AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS, 6627, CEP 31270-901, BELO HORIZONTE, MG, BRAZIL.

Email address: `aymone.marco@gmail.com`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL (UFRGS), AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500 PRÉDIO 43-111 – AGRONOMIA 91509-900 PORTO ALEGRE, RS, BRAZIL.

Email address: `sfrometa@gmail.com`, `ricardomisturini@gmail.com`