

CONFORMAL BLOCKS IN GENUS ZERO AND THE KZ CONNECTION

PRAKASH BELKALE AND NAJMUDDIN FAKHRUDDIN

ABSTRACT. We survey some recent work on conformal blocks in genus zero, focussing on (1) Chern classes, global generation and morphisms, and (2) the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov connection on conformal blocks (and invariants), their motivic realisations, and unitarity.

To the memory of Alberto Collino

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra over the field of complex numbers, $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra. Fix a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . Let $R = R^+ \cup R^- \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of roots and $\Delta \subset R$ be the set of simple positive roots. Let $\theta \in R^+$ be the highest root. Let $(\ , \)$ be the Killing form normalised so that $(\theta, \theta) = 2$ and let Ω denote the corresponding (normalised) Casimir element. For a dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let V_λ denote the corresponding irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} . A dominant weight λ is said to be of level $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if $(\lambda, \theta) \leq \ell$.

Let g, n be non-negative integers with $g + n - 3 \geq 0$ and let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be an n -tuple of dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} of some level ℓ . The theory of conformal blocks produces a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ of conformal blocks on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli stack of stable genus g curves with n marked points. The restriction of this vector bundle to $M_{g,n}$ carries a projective integrable connection. We will drop g or ℓ from the notation if they are clear from the context.

In genus 0, $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is surjected on by the constant vector bundle with fibres the coinvariants

$$\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}) = V(\vec{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{g}} = V(\vec{\lambda})/\mathfrak{g}V(\vec{\lambda}),$$

where $V(\vec{\lambda}) = V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}$. Working over the configuration space of n points on the affine line, the projective connection on $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ lifts to the KZ connection on the space of coinvariants $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda})$.

We survey some of the recent work and pose questions—some of which are well-known—on the following:

- (1) Chern classes of the conformal block bundles in genus 0, with focus on the positivity properties.
- (2) The monodromy and geometric realization of the KZ connection on coinvariants, as well as on conformal blocks (mostly) in genus 0.

The structure of this article is as follows:

In §2 we recall some of the basic properties of conformal block bundles. Since there exist many surveys on this material we restrict ourselves to a few statements (with references) and pose a question about the integral properties of these bundles.

Sections 3 and 4 can be read independently of each other. In §3.1 we survey some of what is known about the Chern classes of conformal blocks bundles on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. The main interest in this is due to the fact that these bundles are generated by their global sections, hence give rise to morphisms from $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to Grassmannians. A detailed understanding of the class of all morphisms that arise in this way is still missing, but we discuss various connections to morphisms arising from GIT and other classical constructions. The best understood case is when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_m$ and $\ell = 1$

in which case there is also a connection to Gromov–Witten theory. While the first Chern classes of these bundles have been explored to some extent, very little is known about the higher Chern classes and the higher codimension classes obtained by performing various algebraic operations on them. In particular, one may construct basepoint free divisor classes by pushing forward higher codimension classes constructed in this way from $\overline{M}_{0,m}$, for $m > n$, via the natural degeneracy maps. Some constructions and questions about these topics are considered in §3.2 and §3.3.

In §4 we survey some properties of the KZ connection on conformal blocks and invariants, focussing mainly on the geometrization of this connection. This part relies on the work of Looijenga, Ramadas, Schechtman and Varchenko. The main theme is that the connection is of Gauss–Manin type, so there is an underlying motivic sheaf and, in particular, a variation of mixed Hodge structure. We discuss several open questions about the numerical invariants of these structures as well as their behaviour under natural duality and symmetry operations on conformal blocks. We note that this section, though mostly expository, contains some improvements and extensions of known results.

We have not attempted to be exhaustive in this survey and have left out some topics of active research in related areas. These include the theory of twisted conformal blocks [Dam20; HK18] and a formula for their dimensions [DM19], as well as sheaves of conformal blocks on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ for vertex operator algebras (see the recent survey [DGT22]).

N.F. acknowledges the support of DAE, Government of India, under Project Identification No. RTI 4001.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF CONFORMAL BLOCK BUNDLES

The bundles of conformal blocks were first defined by Tsuchiya, Yamada and Ueno in [TUY89] (where they were called sheaves of covacua) for all g using the representation theory of the affine Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} . Their definition used local coordinates, so did not immediately give rise to bundles on the stacks $\overline{M}_{g,n}$; coordinate free definitions were later given in [Tsu93], [Sor96] and [Loo13] using which one can define the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$; see [Fak12, §2.2] for details. We will not use the explicit definition of these bundles, so we do not explain it here, but we recall some of their fundamental properties. For any dominant weight λ we denote by λ^* the weight corresponding to the dual of V_λ .

Proposition 2.1.

- (1) Let $f_i : \overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n-1}$ be the morphism given by forgetting the i -th marked point. If $\lambda_i = 0$ for some i , then $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell} \cong f_i^*(\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda}',\ell})$, where $\vec{\lambda}'$ is obtained from $\vec{\lambda}$ by deleting λ_i .
- (2) Let $\gamma : \overline{M}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2,n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g_1+g_2,n_1+n_2}$ be the gluing morphism, where we glue along the last marked point for each factor. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\gamma^*(\mathbb{V}_{g_1+g_2,n_1+n_2,\vec{\lambda},\ell}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\mu} \mathbb{V}_{g_1,n_1+1,\vec{\lambda}^1\mu,\ell} \otimes \mathbb{V}_{g_2,n_2+1,\vec{\lambda}^2\mu^*,\ell}$$

where $\vec{\lambda}^1\mu := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_1}, \mu)$ and $\vec{\lambda}^2\mu^* := (\lambda_{n_1+1}, \dots, \lambda_{n_1+n_2}, \mu^*)$.

- (3) Suppose $g > 0$. Let $\gamma : \overline{M}_{g-1,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ be the gluing morphism where we glue the last two marked points to each other. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\gamma^*(\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\mu} \mathbb{V}_{g-1,n+2,\vec{\lambda}\mu,\ell}$$

where $\vec{\lambda}\mu := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \mu, \mu^*)$.

(The sums in (2) and (3) are over all dominant integral weights μ of level ℓ of \mathfrak{g} .)

The proposition reduces the computation of the ranks of the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ to the case $g = 0$ and $n = 3$. The Verlinde formula, see [Sor96, Section 4], gives an explicit formula for these ranks.

Remark 2.2. An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that the Chern characters of the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ give rise to a cohomological field theory in the sense of [KM94, §6].

Another basic property of the $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ is the existence of the WZW connection, which was first constructed mathematically in [TUY89]; see also [Uen08], [Tsu93], [Sor96] and [Loo13].

Proposition 2.3. The restriction of $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ to $M_{g,n}$ has a natural flat projective connection with logarithmic singularities along the boundary.

The connection is quite explicit, and allows one to compute the monodromy around each boundary component (which is always semisimple and of finite order). When $g = 0$ this projective connection lifts to a flat connection on the conformal block bundles called the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (henceforth KZ) connection; we discuss this in more detail in §4.

Question 2.4. The moduli stacks $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ are defined over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and using the split form of \mathfrak{g} defined over \mathbb{Q} one can define all the conformal blocks bundles (together with the connections) over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$. Are there natural models of these bundles defined over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$?

Remark 2.5. Conformal blocks bundles can be geometrically described in terms of spaces of sections of line bundles over suitable stacks of parabolic principal bundles on curves, see e.g., [Kum22]. Although we will not use it explicitly in this paper, this geometric viewpoint is useful in proving properties of conformal block bundles.

These line bundles can be defined in any characteristic and Question 2.4 is closely related to the question whether their spaces of global sections have constant rank over all points of $\overline{M}_{g,n/\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})}$. See, e.g., [Fal03] for some relevant constructions and results.

3. CHERN CLASSES AND POSITIVITY IN GENUS 0

3.1. Chern classes. The Verlinde formula computes the ranks for the conformal block bundles $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$. To get information about more global properties of these bundles it is natural to try to compute the Chern classes of these bundles. This was carried out in [Fak12] when $g = 0$ and c_1 was (implicitly) computed for all g there;¹ an explicit formula for the Chern character for all g was later given in [Mar+17, Theorem 1]. The method of [Fak12] for c_1 depends only on the residue theorem (for curves) and computation of the residues of the WZW connection along the boundary divisors, whereas the method of [Mar+17] uses the classification of semi-simple cohomological field theories from [Tel12] (cf. Remark 2.2).

We do not reproduce these general formulas here but to give the reader the flavour we write down the formula in the case $g = 0$ and $n = 4$, in which case $\overline{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. To simplify notation we denote the rank of the bundle $\mathbb{V}_{0,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ by $r_{\vec{\lambda}}$; the integer n is determined by $\vec{\lambda}$ and ℓ is assumed to be fixed. For any dominant weight λ we denote by $c(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda + 2\rho)$ the value of the Casimir element Ω acting on V_λ , where ρ is half the sum of positive roots of \mathfrak{g} . Then we have the following formula ([Fak12, Corollary 3.5]):

¹A formula for c_1 of the restriction of these bundles to $M_{0,n}$ also follows from [Tsu93].

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra, ℓ a non-negative integer and $\vec{\lambda}$ a 4-tuple of dominant weights for \mathfrak{g} of level ℓ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \deg(\mathbb{V}_{4,\vec{\lambda},\ell}) = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee)} \times \left\{ \left\{ r_{\vec{\lambda}} \sum_{i=1}^4 c(\lambda_i) \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{\lambda} c(\lambda) \{ r_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda)} \cdot r_{(\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda^*)} + r_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\lambda)} \cdot r_{(\lambda_2,\lambda_4,\lambda^*)} + r_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_4,\lambda)} \cdot r_{(\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda^*)} \} \right\} \right\}.$$

Here λ runs through all dominant integral weights of level ℓ of \mathfrak{g} .

Proposition 2.1 and the fact that $CH_1(\overline{M}_{0,n})$ is generated by the classes of its 1-dimensional strata (see [Kee92]) implies that (3.1) determines the first Chern class when $g = 0$; for general g one also needs a formula for the degree of conformal block bundles on $\overline{M}_{1,1}$ ([Fak12, Theorem 6.1]).

Example 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, let $\ell = 1$ and let each λ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, be the fundamental weight. In this case the formula (3.1) implies that the degree is equal to 1.

In [Fak12, Lemma 2.5] it was observed that when $g = 0$ all the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ are generated by their global sections. Therefore, these bundles give rise to morphisms from $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to projective spaces (using their first Chern class) or, in general, to Grassmannians. The fact that these morphisms are interesting, e.g., not just constant, follows from the computations of the Chern classes (see Example 3.2). On the other hand, for $g > 0$ it was observed in [Fak12, §6.1] that the conformal block bundles are usually not even nef and so are perhaps not very useful as a tool for investigating the geometry of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$.

We also remark that for $g = 0$ and fixed n and $\vec{\lambda}$, the bundles are trivial for large ℓ and so the positivity properties of these bundles range from being ample to being trivial, but in general it is not known when exactly either of these cases occur (even if $n = 4$).

Remark 3.3. It has been shown in [DG21] that global generation may fail even in genus zero for the wider class of conformal blocks given by (appropriate) VOAs.

Two special cases have been studied in some detail: The first Chern classes of level one conformal block bundles for \mathfrak{sl}_n and the first Chern classes of conformal block bundles for \mathfrak{sl}_2 [Fak12; AGS14; Ara+12; BG15; GG12; Gib+13]. The numerical computations were carried out in many of these papers using Swinarski's software package [Swi18]. The first Chern classes of level one conformal block bundles on \mathfrak{sl}_n arise in many different geometric settings (see, e.g., [Fed13, §3]) and they can be considered the best studied class of conformal block divisors. On the other hand, a large class of basepoint free divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is constructed in [BG21] using the Gromov–Witten theory of projective homogenous spaces, and in the special case of projective space these classes are identified with level one conformal block divisor for \mathfrak{sl}_n , [BG21, Theorem 3.1].

We now briefly describe some of the known results about the level 1 classes, mostly for the \mathfrak{sl}_m , and also some results for \mathfrak{sl}_2 classes at higher levels.

3.1.1. Level one conformal block bundles for \mathfrak{sl}_2 give a natural, S_n -equivariant basis for the Picard group of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ consisting of basepoint free line bundles ([Fak12, Theorem 4.3]). The basic case is when n is even and $\vec{\lambda}$ is given by $\lambda_i = \varpi$, the fundamental weight, for all i . The first Chern classes of the bundles with the same $\vec{\lambda}$, but for all ℓ , have been studied in [AGS14]; in particular, for $\ell = 1, 2, g - 1, g$, where $n = 2g + 2$, they show that the morphisms corresponding to these divisors

have natural geometric descriptions. For example, when $\ell = 1$ the line bundle is pulled back from the Satake compactification A_g^* of A_g (the moduli stack of principally polarised abelian varieties of dimension g) via the morphism given by taking the double cover of the genus 0 curve ramified along the marked points and then composing with the Torelli map ([AGS14, Theorem 6.2]).

3.1.2. Continuing with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, but now letting $\vec{\lambda}$ be arbitrary with all $\lambda_i \neq 0$, we define the associated critical level to be $(\sum_i \lambda_i/2) - 1$ using the identification of dominant integral weights with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, with ϖ corresponding to 1. In this case, it was shown in [Fak12, Theorem 4.5] that the determinants of the conformal bundles are pulled back from ample bundles on GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n // \mathrm{SL}_2$, with the polarisation determined by $\vec{\lambda}$. For general ℓ , the bundles are always pulled back from a map $\overline{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,A,\vec{\lambda}}$, where the target is one of Hassett's moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves of genus 0 (see [Fak12, Proposition 4.7]), however the bundle on the Hassett space need not be ample; the precise morphism defined by the base point free linear system is unknown in general.

3.1.3. A natural question is to ask for the structure of the subcone of the nef cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ generated by the first Chern classes of conformal blocks bundles on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. Not much seems to be known about this question, even for a fixed \mathfrak{g} , but it is shown in [GG12, Theorem 1.1] that the cones generated by level 1 divisors for \mathfrak{sl}_m , with m varying, are finitely generated. The proof shows that these cones are spanned by finitely many cones arising from GIT constructions, each of which is known to be finitely generated. However, it is known that the subcone of the nef cone spanned by all conformal block divisors (even just those of type A) is strictly larger than this cone: in [Swi] it is shown that for $n = 8$ there is a level 2 conformal block divisor for \mathfrak{sl}_2 which is not contained in the level 1 cone.

3.1.4. Further connections with GIT are given in, among others, [Gib+13] and [BG15]. In [Gib+13], certain conformal block divisors for \mathfrak{sl}_m are related to GIT quotients of spaces of pointed rational normal curves, the so-called Veronese quotients constructed in [GJM13]. In [BG15, Theorem 1.7], new proofs of results from [GG12] and [Fed11] are given by axiomatising the factorisation formula for conformal block bundles (Proposition 2.1) and showing that certain line bundles arising from GIT have a similar property [BG15, Theorem 1.2].

3.1.5. For general \mathfrak{g} , some basic properties of the bundles with the λ_i of level 1, but ℓ arbitrary were established in [Fak12, §5.1]. For type D , it can be seen by using [Fak12, Proposition 5.6] that the *closure* of the cone spanned by all the level 1 divisors (allowing the rank of the Lie algebra to vary) is the same as the cone spanned by \mathfrak{sl}_2 level 1 divisors and the same is true for E_7 . For E_6 the cone is the same as for \mathfrak{sl}_3 at level 1. The most interesting case at level 1, aside from type A , is perhaps type C (since all fundamental weights are of level 1, as in type A).

All the available evidence suggests that the first Chern class of conformal block bundles for arbitrary \mathfrak{g} is contained in the subcone of the nef cone generated by the first Chern class of type A bundles, though a conceptual reason for this seems to be missing. In any case, we ask:

Question 3.4. Is the first Chern class of all $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ contained in the subcone of the nef cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ spanned by all the first Chern classes of type A conformal block bundles on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$?

3.2. **Positivity.** To formulate the positivity results and questions it is useful to introduce the notion of strongly base point free cycles following [FL17]. We use the following variant [BG21]:

Definition 3.5. An effective integral Chow cycle $\alpha \in A_k(X)$ of codimension k on an equidimensional projective variety X is said to be rationally strongly basepoint free if there is a flat morphism

$s : U \rightarrow X$ from an equidimensional quasi-projective scheme U of finite type and a proper morphism $p : U \rightarrow W$ of relative dimension $\dim X - k$, where W is isomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{A}^m for some m , such that each component of U surjects onto W , and $\alpha = (s|_{F_p})_*[F_p]$, where F_p is a general fibre of p .

Denote the semigroup of rationally strongly basepoint free classes of codimension k on a projective variety X by $SBPF_k(X) \subseteq A_k(X)$.

Strongly basepoint free classes are base point free: for every cycle V , they can be represented by a cycle intersecting V in no more than the expected dimension. They are compatible with various operations in intersection theory [FL17] and [BG21, Lemma 1.3] like intersections, flat pushforward and pullback. A divisor class on a smooth projective variety is base point free if and only if it is strongly base point free.

Schur polynomials of globally generated vector bundles are (rationally) strongly base free. Applying this to the globally generated vector bundle of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, we obtain the following: Let $e = \text{rk } \mathbb{V}$, and let $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu_m \geq 0)$ be a partition with $\mu_1 \leq e$. Then, the Schur polynomial $s_\mu = \det(c_{\mu_i+j-i})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$ in the Chern classes $c_i = c_i(\mathbb{V})$ is (rationally) a strongly base point free class of codimension $|\mu| = \sum \mu_i$. This is because these Schur classes are pullbacks of Schubert varieties via the map from $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to the Grassmannian (which is homogenous) corresponding to the globally generated \mathbb{V} .

Basepoint free divisor classes on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ determine morphisms from $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to projective spaces. It is of interest to classify the images of such morphisms and also study the extremality property of the corresponding element of the nef cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$.

The first Chern classes of $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ give such divisor classes, but we also get a collection of classes from the Schur classes s_μ as above on $\overline{M}_{n-|\mu|+1}$ if we push down the class s_μ by a marked point forgetting flat map $\overline{M}_{0,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,n-|\mu|+1}$. Similarly, we may get nef divisor classes by intersecting two (or more) of these classes (for possibly different conformal block bundles) on $\overline{M}_{0,m}$, for some $m > n$, and pushing down via marked point forgetting maps.

Question 3.6. The (nonzero) level one conformal block bundles for \mathfrak{sl}_m are of rank one. As discussed in §3.1.3, the subcone of the nef cone generated by all level one conformal block bundles is finitely generated. Does one obtain a larger subcone of the nef cone on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ by intersecting Chern classes of several level one conformal block bundles on $\overline{M}_{0,m}$ for some $m > n$ and then pushing down to $\overline{M}_{0,n}$?

Similarly, do higher Chern classes and algebraic operations produce a bigger cone of nef divisors for \mathfrak{sl}_2 than the one generated by the first Chern classes?

See [Swi11] for some computational work on conformal block divisors. It would be very interesting to have computational data related to higher codimension classes, especially in relation to Question 3.6.

3.2.1. The variety $M_{0,n}$ can be viewed as the complement of a hyperplane arrangement in \mathbb{A}^{n-3} by fixing three of the marked points to be 0, 1 and ∞ . A consequence of the Quillen–Suslin theorem is that all vector bundles on such a variety are trivial.² However, as we have seen, conformal blocks bundles are not in general trivial on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$.

There are some sufficient conditions that guarantee that the first Chern class of a conformal block bundle is trivial, see [Fak12; BGM15; BGM16]: all these follow from criteria under which conformal blocks coincide with coinvariants.

²See <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/426957/triviality-of-vector-bundles-on-affine-open-subsets-of-affine-space>.

Question 3.7. Can one find necessary and sufficient conditions for the non-vanishing of the first Chern class of a conformal block bundle?

Note that the first Chern class is the pullback of an ample bundle on a Grassmannian, hence if the first Chern class vanishes on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ then the map to the Grassmannian is trivial, and the conformal blocks bundle is actually trivial. This question has been answered for \mathfrak{sl}_2 [BGM16]. There are examples where conformal blocks are nonzero and do not coincide with coinvariants, and yet their first Chern classes are zero [BGM16, Section 4.2].

Remark 3.8. One of the main motivations for studying basepoint free and nef classes on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is the F -conjecture which gives a very natural conjectural description of the nef cone of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, see [GKM02] for a discussion. While the results discussed in this section provide a large family of basepoint free classes, the F -conjecture is still open. The related conjecture that $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is a Mori dream space is now known to be false [CT15] but, as far as we are aware, it is not known whether the nef cone is generated by finitely many semi-ample classes. We also note that [Pix13] gives an example of a basepoint free divisor which is not an effective linear combination of boundary divisors and a family of nef divisors which are not known to be basepoint free is constructed in [Fed13, §4].

3.3. Symmetries. The Chern classes of the bundles $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ have some symmetry properties: These symmetries involve a change of Lie algebras and levels.

- (1) Symmetries from strange dualities [Muk16].
- (2) Critical level symmetry: Recall that dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} are in one-one correspondence with “normalised” Young diagrams $\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)} \geq \lambda^{(2)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda^{(r)} \geq \lambda^{(r+1)})$ with the normalisation $\lambda^{(r+1)} = 0$. Suppose $\vec{\lambda}$ is an n -tuple of normalised dominant integral weights for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} . Define the “critical level” ℓ_0 by the equality $\sum |\lambda_i| = (r+1)(\ell_0 + 1)$. Then the first Chern classes of $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ vanish for $\ell > \ell_0$ and for $\ell = \ell_0$ we have an equality of the first Chern classes of the vector bundles $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ for the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} and $\mathbb{V}_{n,\vec{\lambda}^T,r}$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{\ell+1}$. These properties conjectured by Gibney were proved in [BGM15], using the Gepner–Witten equality relating the ranks of conformal blocks in genus zero with structure constants in the small quantum cohomology of Grassmannians. These results in the case $r = 1$ are due to [Fak12].

Question 3.9. Are there more examples of such symmetries, perhaps involving Schur polynomials and higher codimension basepoint free classes?

4. MONODROMY OF THE KZ CONNECTION AND KZ MOTIVES

We first consider the KZ connection in its most general form (see [BK01, Section 1.4], and [Loo99]). Let \mathcal{C}_n be the configuration space of n distinct points (z_1, \dots, z_n) on the complex affine line \mathbb{A}^1 . There is a KZ connection on the constant bundle on \mathcal{C}_n with fibre $V(\vec{\lambda}) = V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}$. The connection equations take the form: (Here $f \in V(\vec{\lambda}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n}$)

$$\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f = \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\Omega_{ij}}{z_i - z_j} \right) f.$$

Here Ω_{ij} is the Casimir element acting on the i and j tensor factors, and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The KZ connection is flat and commutes with the diagonal action of \mathfrak{g} , hence it induces a connection on the constant bundle of coinvariants $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}) = V(\vec{\lambda})_{\mathfrak{g}} = V(\vec{\lambda})/\mathfrak{g}V(\vec{\lambda})$. It also induces a connection on the various weight spaces $V(\vec{\lambda})_{\nu}$, for the diagonal action of \mathfrak{h} on $V(\vec{\lambda})$. Here $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Remark 4.1. The monodromy of the KZ connection gives rise to a representation of the fundamental group of \mathcal{C}_n , the pure braid group on n strands. There is an extensive theory of these representations (the Drinfeld–Kohno theorem, see, e.g., [Kas95, Theorem XIX.4.1]) when κ is generic or irrational, with connections to the theory of quantum groups. Here we are mostly interested in the case when κ is an integer when these general results do not directly apply.

Following [Loo99], write

$$V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} = \bigoplus \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\nu}, V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}) \otimes V_{\nu},$$

where the sum is over all dominant integral weights ν of \mathfrak{g} , so that the KZ connection induces a connection on each $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\nu}, V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n})$.

For describing the KZ connection on $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}$, it suffices therefore to describe the connection on $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\nu}, V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n})$. This is equivalent to describing the connection on the spaces

$$(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*)_{\mathfrak{g}} = (V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*) / \mathfrak{g}(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*).$$

We will think of these spaces as being attached to the representations $V_{\lambda_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_n}$ at z_1, \dots, z_n respectively, and the representation V_{ν}^* at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

There is an isomorphism (which tensors with a lowest weight vector for V_{ν}^*), [FSV95, Lemma 2.7.1]

$$(V(\vec{\lambda})_{n^-})_{\nu} \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*)_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

When $\kappa = \ell + h^{\vee}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and ν are of level ℓ , the connection on $(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*)_{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces the KZ connection on the vector bundles of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_{n+1, \vec{\lambda}, \nu^*, \ell}$ (with one insertion at infinity).

Some of the basic questions concerning the KZ connection are:

- (1) When is the image of the monodromy representation finite/infinite?
- (2) When is the monodromy representation irreducible?
- (3) When does the connection preserve a unitary metric?

In the next section we will see that motivic properties of the KZ connection allow one to address some of these questions in certain cases. However, even some basic local properties of the KZ connection are unknown:

Question 4.2. Can one describe the conjugacy classes of the local monodromy of the KZ connection around the boundary of \mathcal{C}_n (for example, in the Fulton–MacPherson compactification)?

The answer is known for (suitably) general values of κ as well as for the quotient of conformal blocks when $\kappa = \ell + h^{\vee}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In general the semi-simplifications of the conjugacy classes are known. See e.g., [BK01, Section 6.5, Proposition 7.8.6] and [TUY89, Theorem 6.2.2].

4.1. Motivic realization of the KZ connection. We consider the KZ connection on the constant bundle on \mathcal{C}_n with fibre (one thinks of V_{ν}^* as placed at infinity)

$$\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*) = (V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n} \otimes V_{\nu}^*)_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

and follow [Loo12] (completed in [BBM19]) to construct the motivic realization. Note that both [Loo12] and [BBM19] restrict to the case $\nu = 0$; the proofs for arbitrary dominant ν will be given in [BFM].

We will assume that $\sum \lambda_i - \nu$ is a sum of positive simple roots since otherwise $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$ is zero. Write

$$\sum \lambda_i - \nu = \sum_{p=1}^r n_p \alpha_p, \quad n_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad \text{and } \alpha_p \in \Delta.$$

Fix a map $\beta : [M] = \{1, \dots, M\} \rightarrow \Delta$, so that $\sum \lambda_i - \nu = \sum_{b=1}^M \beta(b)$ with $M = \sum_{p=1}^r n_p$.

4.1.1. Fix a point $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Let $W = \mathbb{C}^M$. The coordinate variables of W will be denoted by t_1, \dots, t_M . We will consider the variable t_b to be coloured by the simple root $\beta(b)$. Let κ be an arbitrary nonzero complex number and consider the weighted hyperplane arrangement (W, \mathcal{C}, a) in W given by the following collection of hyperplanes, and their attached weights (see [BBM19] for the notation):

- (1) For $i \in [1, n]$ and $b \in [1, M]$, the hyperplane $t_b - z_i = 0$, with weight $\frac{(\lambda_i, \beta(b))}{\kappa}$.
- (2) $b, c \in [1, M]$ with $b < c$, the hyperplane $t_b - t_c = 0$, with weight $-\frac{(\beta(b), \beta(c))}{\kappa}$.

Let U be the complement of the above hyperplane arrangement in W . Let

$$(4.1) \quad \eta_a = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^M (\lambda_i, \beta(b)) \frac{d(t_b - z_i)}{t_b - z_i} - \sum_{1 \leq b < c \leq M} (\beta(b), \beta(c)) \frac{d(t_b - t_c)}{t_b - t_c} \right).$$

Definition 4.3. The Aomoto subalgebra $A^\bullet(U)$ of $\Omega^\bullet(U)$ generated over \mathbb{C} by the closed forms $d \log(t_b - z_i)$ and $d \log(t_b - t_c)$ corresponding to the hyperplanes in the arrangement. It is equipped with a differential $d\omega := \eta_a \wedge \omega$. We call the pair $(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge)$, the Aomoto complex. Let $\mathcal{L}(a)$ be the rank one local system on U corresponding to the one-form η_a (the kernel of $d + \eta_a$).

Let Σ_M be the subgroup of the symmetric group S_M on $[M] = \{1, \dots, M\}$ formed by permutations σ that preserve colour, i.e. $\beta(\sigma(a)) = \beta(a)$ for all $a \in [M]$; Σ_M acts on U , the complex $(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge)$ as well as the local system $\mathcal{L}(a)$ (over the action on U).

Let P be any Σ_M equivariant smooth projective compactification of U , with $P - U = \bigcup_\alpha E_\alpha$ a divisor with normal crossings. Let $V = P - \bigcup'_\alpha E_\alpha$, where the union is restricted to α such that $a_\alpha = \text{Res}_{E_\alpha} \eta_a$, the residue of η , is not a strictly positive integer and let $j : U \rightarrow V$ be the inclusion.

Lemma 4.4. [Loo99, Proposition 4.2] There is a Σ_M -equivariant isomorphism $H^*(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(V, j_* \mathcal{L}(a))$.

Note that the spaces $H^*(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge)$ remain the same as η_a is scaled, while their topological description changes.

4.1.2. There is a map constructed by Schechtman and Varchenko [SV91]

$$(4.2) \quad V(\vec{\lambda})_{-\nu}^* \rightarrow A^M(U)^\chi$$

where χ is the sign character on S_M restricted to Σ_M . Note that $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$ is a subspace of $(V(\vec{\lambda})_{-\nu}^*)$.

Remark 4.5. Suppose $\kappa = \ell + h^\vee$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\widehat{U} \rightarrow U$ be the cover corresponding to the Schechtman–Varchenko *master function*, i.e., a multivalued function whose differential is η_a . Note that there is a natural map $A^M(U) \rightarrow \Omega^M(\widehat{U})$ given by multiplication with the master function.

Dual conformal blocks at level ℓ on \mathbb{P}^1 with insertions λ_i at z_i and ν^* at ∞ inject into $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$ and hence into $(V(\vec{\lambda})_{-\nu}^*)$. The image of (4.2) on elements from dual conformal blocks give us top degree differential forms on \widehat{U} . A key property, conjectured by Gawedzki and his collaborators [FGK91; GK91], and proved by Ramadas [Ram09] for \mathfrak{sl}_2 and in [Bel12] in general for $\nu = 0$, is that these forms extend to any smooth projective compactification of \widehat{U} . It can be checked using the method of proof of [Bel12] that this is true for all ν . We sketch the changes that need to be made below.

Briefly, in the proof of [Bel12, Theorem 3.5] we have to work with the insertion of the lowest weight vector of V_ν^* at ∞ in the correlation function Ω which has weight $-\nu$. We first observe that the correlation functions remain holomorphic as $t_i = \infty$. We then only need to revisit the calculations as a bunch of the t -variables approach infinity, i.e., the (S3) strata. The formula (6.4) in [Bel12] changes to (γ, γ) replaced by $2(\nu, \gamma) + (\gamma, \gamma)$. The bound from representation theory from [Bel12, Lemma 4.5] is now $(2(\nu, \gamma) + (\gamma, \gamma))/2k$ instead of $(\gamma, \gamma)/2k$. The rest of the argument just replaces (γ, γ) by $2(\nu, \gamma) + (\gamma, \gamma)$.

Claim 4.6. The composite

$$\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^* \rightarrow V(\vec{\lambda})_{-\nu}^* \rightarrow A^M(U)^\times \rightarrow H^M(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge)^\times$$

is injective (for arbitrary $\kappa \neq 0$).

This property is proved in [BBM19, Proposition 1.5] for $\nu = 0$. The argument holds for all ν : For sufficiently large integers $\ell > 0$, and $\kappa = \ell + h^\vee$, $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$ coincides with the space of conformal blocks (with insertions of λ_i at z_i , and ν^* at ∞) and for conformal blocks, the composite to $H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))$ is injective by [Ram09; Bel12] (see Remark 4.5) and results of Hodge theory (top degree holomorphic differential forms on a smooth projective variety inject into the cohomology of any non-empty open subset). Since the groups $H^M(A^\bullet(U), \eta_a \wedge)$ are insensitive to scaling of η , the desired injection follows for all $\kappa \neq 0$.

4.1.3. Using Lemma 4.4 we get an injective map $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^* \hookrightarrow H^M(V, j_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$ which is known to preserve connections (KZ on one side and Gauss–Manin on the other, see [Loo12, Theorem 3.6]). To determine the image, Looijenga [Loo12] proceeds as follows: he considers the same map for $-\kappa$ and dual weights. He then dualizes this map and gets a surjection

$$H^M(V', q_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}^*, \nu)$$

where $V' = P - \bigcup'_\alpha E_\alpha$, the union is restricted to α such that $a_\alpha = \text{Res}_{E_\alpha} \eta$, is not a non-negative integer. Note that $V' \supseteq V$, and $q : U \rightarrow V'$. Using the identity $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}^*, \nu) = \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$, Looijenga realizes the image of $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^* \hookrightarrow H^M(V, j_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$ as the image of the map

$$H^M(V', q_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \rightarrow H^M(V, j_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times.$$

That this map defined here using representation theory coincides with the natural map from topology is proved in [BBM19, Theorem 7.3] for $\nu = 0$. The argument (which uses a formula for the topological map proved in [BBM19], as well as results from [SV91]) extends to all ν . All in all, one has the following topological description of invariants:

Proposition 4.7. $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$ equals (compatibly with the KZ connection) the image of the map $H^M(V', q_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \rightarrow H^M(V, j_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$.

Denote the image of $H^M(V', q_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \rightarrow H^M(V, j_! \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$ by $\mathcal{KZ}_\kappa(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*, \vec{z})$, which is the stalk at \vec{z} of a local system $\mathcal{KZ}_\kappa = \mathcal{KZ}_\kappa(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$ on \mathcal{C}_n . The corresponding vector bundle is the trivial bundle with fibres $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$.

It is noted in [Loo12] that the above proposition implies that $\mathcal{KZ}_\kappa(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*, \vec{z})$ carries a mixed Hodge structure with coefficients in a cyclotomic subfield k^3 of \mathbb{C} (depending on κ , $\vec{\lambda}$ and ν) if κ

³ This means, see [Del79, §2.1, B], that it is an object of the Karoubian envelope of the “base change” to k of the \mathbb{Q} -linear abelian category of \mathbb{Q} -mixed Hodge structures. In this article, an MHS, VMHS or a motive is always allowed to have coefficients in a cyclotomic field (which we do not always specify).

is a nonzero rational number. The varieties, sheaves and maps occurring in Proposition 4.7 may be spread out over \mathcal{C}_n , the key ingredient is the (multivalued) master function

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{R} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i - z_j)^{\frac{(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{\kappa}} \prod_{b=1}^M \prod_{j=1}^n (t_b - z_j)^{\frac{(\lambda_j, \beta(b))}{\kappa}} \prod_{1 \leq b < c \leq M} (t_b - t_c)^{-\frac{(\beta(b), \beta(c))}{\kappa}}$$

where now the z_i 's are variables. Let C be the minimal positive integer such that $C\kappa$ multiplied by all the exponents occurring in (4.3) are integers. Then by the theory of mixed Hodge modules, one gets an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structure (VMHS) on \mathcal{C}_n (with coefficients in $k = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{C\kappa}) \subset \mathbb{C}$) with fibre over any point \vec{z} being the MHS defined as the image of the map in Proposition 4.7.

In [MI19] the authors define a category $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of perverse mixed motivic sheaves on any variety defined over a subfield of \mathbb{C} and construct functors on $D^b(\mathcal{M}(X))$ which are analogs of the usual operations on the derived category of constructible sheaves. In particular, they construct f_* and $f_!$ functors from $D^b(\mathcal{M}(X))$ to $D^b(\mathcal{M}(Y))$ for any map of varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defined over k . It follows that the VMHS defined above can be upgraded to an object of $D^b(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}_n))$ defined over k and with coefficients also in k . The Betti realisation of this object is a local system, so this object can be viewed as a motivic local system. The stalk of this motivic local system at each point $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{C}_n$ gives a Nori motive (see, e.g., [HM17]) defined over the residue field $k(\vec{z})$ of the point, where now we view \mathcal{C}_n as being defined over k . We will refer to these motives as *KZ motives*. We note that these motives, therefore also the corresponding mixed Hodge structures, are independent⁴ of the choice of compactification P of U .

Question 4.8. Can one compute the ranks of the Hodge and weight filtrations of KZ motives, and their behavior under factorization?

Such computations would have important consequences for the monodromy of the KZ system. For example, if the MHS is pure then the monodromy must be semi-simple and if the MHS is mixed Tate then the monodromy representation has a filtration such that the action of $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_n)$ on the associated graded vector space factors through a finite quotient. However, even in the cases $n = 2, 3$, when the monodromy is (projectively) trivial, we do not know any simple method for computing the numerical invariants of the MHS.

Example 4.9. Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $n = 4$ and each λ_i is the fundamental weight (and $\nu = 0$). The rank of the coinvariants in this case is 2.

- (1) $\kappa = 1$: The KZ motives are Tate motives of weight 0.
- (2) $\kappa = 2$: The KZ motivic local system is a twist of the local system associated to the H^1 of the family of double covers of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified along the 4 marked points of \mathbb{A}^1 corresponding to each \vec{z} .
- (3) $\kappa = 3$: The KZ motives in this case are mixed with nonzero Hodge numbers $h^{2,0} = h^{2,1} = 1$. The coefficient field is $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_3)$ which is an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} , so by taking the sum of these motives with their complex conjugates one obtains motives with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients. In each weight equal to 2 or 3 one gets a rank 2 motive with CM by $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_3)$, so the Hodge structure arises from any elliptic curve E over \mathbb{C} with CM by this field as follows: Let M be $H^{1,0}(E)$, viewed as an MHS with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_3)$. Then the weight 2 Hodge structure must be $M^{\otimes 2}$ and the weight 3 Hodge structure must be $M(-1)$. In particular, these pure subquotients do not depend on the point \vec{z} , but the extension does depend on the point (see [BBM19, §9]). One can make a guess as to the precise nature of this extension, but this seems difficult to verify.

⁴See, for example, §2.6 and §2.7 of the arXiv version (<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1611.01861.pdf>) of [BBM19].

- (4) $\kappa > 3$: In this case the coinvariants are equal to the conformal blocks. It follows from [Ram09] (see also Proposition 4.17 below) that the KZ motives are pure and the only nonzero Hodge number is $h^{2,0}$.

Further examples of explicit computations of KZ motives will appear in [BFM].

Remark 4.10. It would also be interesting to compute the $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugates of a KZ motives, in particular their Hodge numbers, where the Galois group acts on the coefficient field. It is not clear how to do this in general, even in the case when coinvariants are equal to conformal blocks.

Lemma 4.11. Let M be a (geometric) VHS on an algebraic variety with coefficients in a number field k . If all the $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugates of M have no non-vanishing adjacent Hodge numbers, i.e., no two numbers of the form $h^{p,q}$ and $h^{p-1,q+1}$ are simultaneously nonzero, then the monodromy of M is finite. If the monodromy of M is irreducible, then it is finite if and only if every $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugate of M has a unique non-vanishing Hodge number.

Proof. Let M' be the sum of the $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugates of M , so M' is a \mathbb{Q} -VHS and can be given a \mathbb{Z} -structure (by geometricity). Now the fact that each conjugate has no non-vanishing adjacent Hodge numbers (and is preserved by the connection on M') implies by Griffiths transversality and polarisability that the local system underlying M' has a unitary structure. Since the \mathbb{Z} -structure implies that the monodromy of M' is discrete, it follows that the monodromy of M' , therefore also M , must be finite.

If the monodromy is irreducible, then by Griffiths transversality the non-zero Hodge numbers of any $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugate must be of the form $h^{p,q}, h^{p-1,q+1}, \dots, h^{p-r,q+r}$, for some $r \geq 0$. If the monodromy is also finite then r must be 0 since a non-degenerate invariant Hermitian form on an irreducible representation of a finite group must be definite. □

Remark 4.12. The use of the Galois action to prove finiteness of monodromy of KZ equations has also been used in the physics literature (see, e.g., [ST95]).

Example 4.13. We consider the case (4) of Example 4.9 with $\kappa = 4, 6$. In both these cases the field k can be taken to be an imaginary quadratic field. Since the only nonzero Hodge number of the VHS is $h^{2,0}$, the only nonzero Hodge number of its complex conjugate is $h^{0,2}$, so by Lemma 4.11 we recover the known fact that the monodromy is finite in these cases. The only other case in which the monodromy is finite is $\kappa = 10$ [Mas99; LPS13]⁵; finiteness in this case can also be proved by analysing the action of $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q})$, but the argument is more elaborate.

Question 4.14. Is there an algorithm to determine when the monodromy of $\mathcal{KZ}_\kappa(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$ is finite?

We ask for an algorithm instead of a classification since the latter seems like a much more difficult question. Aside from Hodge theoretic methods as above, one might also try to use more arithmetic methods: The relevance of Grothendieck's p -curvature conjecture to this question (in the case of conformal blocks) is pointed out in [And04, Appendix A]. We also note that the results of [Kat72] and Propositions 4.7 and 4.17 imply that Grothendieck's conjecture is true for any pure subquotient of $\mathcal{KZ}_\kappa(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$ and also for conformal blocks (for which see also [BFS98, Corollary 19.9]).

4.1.4. The following is an elaboration of [BBM19, Question 7.6].

⁵This is also proved in [ST95, Theorem 3.3] under the assumption that the monodromy is irreducible.

Example 4.15. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be highest weights for \mathfrak{sl}_2 with $\sum \lambda_i = 2m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $0 < \lambda_i < m$. Consider the local system $A(\vec{\lambda})^*$ on \mathcal{C}_n at level $\ell = m - 2$, so that $\kappa = m$. It has a sublocal system given by dual conformal blocks \mathbb{V}^* . The quotient $A(\vec{\lambda})^*/\mathbb{V}^*$ on \mathbb{C}^n is essentially the Lauricella system considered in [DM86] for the weights $\mu_i = \lambda_i/m$: The number of t variables is m . The stratum when $\ell + 1$ of the t points are at infinity detects conformal blocks. Therefore we can take residues and the $A(\vec{\lambda})^*/\mathbb{V}^*$ maps to the cohomology of an open curve, which is the one corresponding to the Lauricella system (more details will appear in [BFM]). We note that the Hodge numbers of the associated motives are computed in [DM86, Proposition 2.20].

4.2. Motives associated to conformal blocks.

4.2.1. Let M be a pure Nori motive of weight w over k with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Definition 4.16. A polarisation on M is a morphism of motives $\langle, \rangle : M \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(-w)$ such that each Hodge realisation is a polarisation of the corresponding \mathbb{Q} -Hodge structure ([Del71, Définition 2.1.15]).

We analogously define a polarisation of a pure motivic local system.

A polarised \mathbb{Q} -motive is a \mathbb{Q} -motive together with a polarisation. A polarised (pure) motive with coefficients in L , where L is any extension of \mathbb{Q} , is a direct summand of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ (see footnote 3) where M is a polarised \mathbb{Q} -motive (and similarly for motivic local systems).

4.2.2. Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and ν are dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} of level ℓ . Let \mathcal{V}_ℓ be the fibre of the conformal block bundle $\mathbb{V}_{n+1, \vec{\lambda}, \nu^*, \ell}$ at \vec{z} (i.e., with an insertion of ν^* at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$); it is a quotient of $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$.

Proposition 4.17. Suppose $\kappa = \ell + h^\vee$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, the space of dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* coincides with the subquotient of the image of $H^M(V', q_1 \mathcal{L}(a))^x \rightarrow H^M(V, j_1 \mathcal{L}(a))^x$ given by the image of

$$(4.4) \quad H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x.$$

Therefore, dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* give a canonical (polarised) motivic local system such that the Hodge realisation for the inclusion $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a (polarised) VHS on \mathcal{C}_n concentrated in degree $(M, 0)$.

Proof. There is a commutative diagram:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}^*, \nu) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^* \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ H^M(V', q_1 \mathcal{L}(a))^x & \longrightarrow & H^M(V, j_1 \mathcal{L}(a))^x \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x & \longrightarrow & H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x. \end{array}$$

Since correlation functions for dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* (the image under (4.2) of $\mathcal{V}_\ell^* \subseteq \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^* \subseteq V(\vec{\lambda})_{-\nu}^*$) extend to smooth projective compactifications of \widehat{U} [Bel12] (see Remark 4.5), \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* is naturally a subspace of the image $H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^x$. (Here we use the fact, which follows from the long exact sequence of cohomology with compact support in the category of mixed Hodge structures, that global top degree holomorphic forms on a smooth proper variety lift to compactly supported cohomology classes on Zariski open subsets. Also, top degree holomorphic differential

forms on a smooth projective variety inject into the cohomology of any non-empty open subset. This gives that \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* injects into $H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$.)

We only need to show that this image is not any bigger. Using (4.5), it suffices to prove that the composite,

$$(4.6) \quad H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)^*$$

lands inside dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* .

By duality, this is the same as asking that the map $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*) \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a))^\times$ factors through the space of conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ . This is proved in [FSV95, Theorem 4.3.1] by showing that elements in the kernel of the map from $\mathbb{A}(\vec{\lambda}, \nu^*)$ to conformal blocks give rise to exact forms on U (i.e., $d\omega$ where ω is the master function times a form with (possibly) non-log poles, see the example on page 287 in [Var95].)

A canonical polarisation on the image of $H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^\times \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))^\times$ is inherited from the cohomology of a smooth projective compactification of \hat{U} . This polarisation is independent of the compactification because conformal blocks are of type $(M, 0)$ on the compactification, and the corresponding polarisation comes from integrals over \hat{U} . \square

Proposition 4.17 answers [Loo09, Question 6.2] in the affirmative. It also shows that [BM14, Theorem 1.1] holds without restrictions on the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by an essentially different argument: the space of all suitably invariant top degree holomorphic differential forms on any smooth compactification Y of \hat{U} (as in Remark 4.5) is naturally isomorphic to the space of dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* .

Corollary 4.18. The image of (4.6) is exactly the space of dual conformal blocks \mathcal{V}_ℓ^* . Dualising, we obtain an injective map $\mathcal{V}_\ell \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a))^\times$. The image of this map is the image of $H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a))^\times \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a))^\times$.

This affirmatively answers the question following the theorem on page 220 of [FSV95].

Proof. Proposition 4.17 gives $H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}_\ell^* \hookrightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(a))$, we dualise to get

$$H_c^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{V}_\ell \hookrightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a)),$$

which shows $\mathcal{V}_\ell \rightarrow H^M(U, \mathcal{L}(-a))$ is injective, and also identifies its image. \square

4.2.3. It is shown in [Bel22, Theorem 7.2.2] that (irreducible) unitary rigid local systems (in the sense of [Kat96]) on $\mathbb{P}^1 - S$, S a finite subset, with finite local monodromies arise essentially as solutions of KZ equations on conformal blocks for special linear groups (one fixes all but one of the z_i). There are examples of unitary rigid (irreducible) local systems with infinite monodromy (see, e.g., [BH89]), and therefore the corresponding KZ connections on conformal blocks have infinite monodromy. We note that examples of TQFT representations, which are equivalent to the monodromy of WZW/KZ equations on conformal blocks, with infinite monodromy were first given in [Mas99].

Question 4.19. Do all rigid local systems on $\mathbb{P}^1 - S$, S a finite subset, with quasi-unipotent local monodromies arise as subquotients of KZ equations on spaces of coinvariants (allowing negative, or rational values for κ)?

Remark 4.20. The monodromy representations associated to conformal blocks need not always be irreducible and it would be very interesting to have a criterion for irreducibility. Several reducible examples are given in [ST95, §3.3], in particular, it is shown that the monodromy representation

on conformal blocks for \mathfrak{sl}_2 with $n = 4$, $\ell = 10$ and all $\lambda_i = \lambda$, is reducible when $\lambda = 4\varpi$ or 6ϖ (with ϖ the fundamental weight).

4.2.4. Another question relates to strange duality isomorphisms. These relate conformal blocks for one \mathfrak{g} with another simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}' (see e.g., Mukhopadhyay's appendix in [Kum22] for the background) with these isomorphisms being flat for the KZ connection. Since we have motivic realizations for conformal blocks, we may ask

Question 4.21. Are the isomorphisms arising from strange dualities isomorphisms of polarised motives?

Roughly speaking we are asking if the strange duality maps arise from algebro-geometric maps or correspondences. In particular, this would imply that they preserve the unitary metrics coming from Proposition 4.17. This compatibility of metrics was also raised as a question by Minwalla to the first named author.

Note that strange dualities arise from conformal embeddings $\mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ (e.g., for the well studied type A case the embedding is the tensor product $\mathfrak{sl}_m \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_{mn}$). One then restricts suitably to get dual conformal blocks for \mathfrak{g}_2 at level one (which are one dimensional) to map to dual conformal blocks for \mathfrak{g}_1 , with the map projectively flat for KZ connections. We are asking if this map is motivic. In the type A case considered above conformal blocks for \mathfrak{g}_1 break up as a tensor product of \mathfrak{sl}_m blocks at level n and \mathfrak{sl}_n blocks at level m and the one dimensional \mathfrak{g}_2 conformal blocks give a perfect duality (therefore the duality involves tensoring with the one dimensional motive for the \mathfrak{g}_2 blocks).

Question 4.21 is subtle because the number of variables M for \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are in general different for a conformal embedding. Also, since the κ corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 is in general not the same, one might need to enlarge the coefficient field as well as the base field in order for the strange duality isomorphisms to be defined motivically.

Example 4.22. Consider a 2 dimensional complex vector space V , and the map of groups $\mathrm{SL}(V) \times \mathrm{SL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V \otimes V)$, i.e., a map $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_4$. The standard representation W of SL_4 restricts to the tensor product of standard representations. Therefore we have a map

$$(V^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_2} \otimes (V^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_2} \rightarrow (W^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_4}$$

which gives a map of constant vector bundles on \mathcal{C}_4 . The coinvariants are conformal blocks, at level 1 for SL_4 and level 2 for the \mathfrak{sl}_2 factors. Dualizing, we get a map

$$(W^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_4}^* \rightarrow (V^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^* \otimes (V^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}^*.$$

This is an example of strange duality: The rank of $(W^{\otimes 4})_{\mathfrak{sl}_4}$ is one and the map is projectively flat for connections. The (central) monodromy as any of the 4 points go around infinity can be computed: the exponents are $2c(\omega_1)/(2+2)$ on the \mathfrak{sl}_2 side which equals $c(\omega_1)/5$ on the \mathfrak{sl}_4 side, so perhaps the map is actually flat.

For the motive associated to the \mathfrak{sl}_2 conformal blocks we have two t points each, so the tensor product gives us four points. For \mathfrak{sl}_4 we have $4L_1 = 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, so 6 points. Naively, we have the type $(6, 0)$ cohomology of a 6-dimensional variety mapping to the type $(4, 0)$ cohomology of a 4-dimensional one. Is there a motivic mechanism for such a map?

4.2.5. The center $Z(G)$ of the simply connected form G of \mathfrak{g} acts on the set of level ℓ weights of \mathfrak{g} [FS99]. Denote this action by $\lambda \mapsto c\lambda$ with $c \in Z(G)$. Suppose we have elements $c_1, \dots, c_n \in Z(G)$ with $c_1 c_2 \dots c_n = 1$, then there is an isomorphism ([FS99], see also [Muk13]) of conformal blocks bundles (preserving projective KZ connections) at level ℓ on \mathcal{C}_n with insertions $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n; 0)$ (0 insertion at infinity), and insertions $(c_1 \lambda_1, \dots, c_n \lambda_n; 0)$.

Question 4.23. Are the isomorphisms of conformal blocks bundles arising from the action of the center of G isomorphisms of polarised motives, perhaps after twisting by a rank one motive?

(We need to allow twisting by rank one motives since the number of t variables, therefore the Hodge numbers, can be different.)

4.2.6. In higher genus, there is a projective WZW/Hitchin connection on the bundle of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ on $M_{g,n}$ (but there is no analogue of the surjection from the larger space of coinvariants). After pulling back $\mathbb{V}_{g,n,\vec{\lambda},\ell}$ to a suitable cover of $M_{g,n}$, one can get an actual connection and then one asks:

Question 4.24. Is there a motivic realization of the WZW/Hitchin connection in higher genus as a variation of pure motives (with coefficients in a cyclotomic field) of type $(M, 0)$ (so that one gets a unitary connection)?

In [BFS98, Corollary 19.9], using results of [Ark97], it is shown that the local system of conformal blocks is a subquotient of a motivic local system which is pure, hence semisimple. One would also like to show the unitarity of the local systems in a geometric way as in the genus zero case [Ram09; Bel12].

Remark 4.25. In [BFS98] conformal blocks are defined using the category of factorizable sheaves \mathcal{FS} , which is equivalent to a category \mathcal{C} constructed using Lusztig’s small quantum group (see sections I.10, and V.19 of [BFS98]). The ribbon structure on the category \mathcal{C} gives conformal blocks defined this way the structure of a local system on moduli of pointed curves with formal coordinates. The equivalence of this definition with that of conformal blocks from the WZW model follows from results of [BFS98, Section IV.9.2]. Perhaps one can think of the above question as the de Rham counterpart of the Betti picture of [BFS98].

By [Ark97, Theorem 5.3], conformal blocks defined using \mathcal{C} inject into a semi-infinite Tor (an object in \mathcal{C}) which is shown to arise as a geometric local system [BFS98, Theorem IV.8.11]. The image of the injection of conformal blocks into the semi-infinite Tor can perhaps be characterized in \mathcal{C} (see [BFS98, p. I.10.1]) as the image of a map in \mathcal{C} but it is not immediately clear why the corresponding local system, and the resulting maps come from topology (somewhat in parallel to Section 4.1.3).

REFERENCES

- [AGS14] V. Alexeev, A. Gibney, and D. Swinarski. “Higher-level \mathfrak{sl}_2 conformal blocks divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ ”. In: *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* 57.1 (2014), pp. 7–30.
- [And04] Y. André. “Sur la conjecture des p -courbures de Grothendieck-Katz et un problème de Dwork”. In: *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004, pp. 55–112.
- [Ara+12] M. Arap, A. Gibney, J. Stankewicz, and D. Swinarski. “ sl_n level 1 conformal blocks divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ ”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 7 (2012), pp. 1634–1680.
- [Ark97] S. M. Arkhipov. “Semiinfinite cohomology of quantum groups”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 188.2 (1997), pp. 379–405.
- [BK01] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*. Vol. 21. University Lecture Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. x+221.
- [Bel12] P. Belkale. “Unitarity of the KZ/Hitchin connection on conformal blocks in genus 0 for arbitrary Lie algebras”. In: *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 98.4 (2012), pp. 367–389.
- [Bel22] P. Belkale. “Rigid local systems and the multiplicative eigenvalue problem”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 195.3 (2022), pp. 911–995.

- [BBM19] P. Belkale, P. Brosnan, and S. Mukhopadhyay. “Hyperplane arrangements and tensor product invariants”. In: *Michigan Math. J.* 68.4 (2019), pp. 801–829.
- [BFM] P. Belkale, N. Fakhruddin, and S. Mukhopadhyay. *KZ motives*. In preparation.
- [BG21] P. Belkale and A. Gibney. “Basepoint free cycles on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ from Gromov-Witten theory”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2 (2021), pp. 855–884.
- [BGM15] P. Belkale, A. Gibney, and S. Mukhopadhyay. “Vanishing and identities of conformal blocks divisors”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 2.1 (2015), pp. 62–90.
- [BGM16] P. Belkale, A. Gibney, and S. Mukhopadhyay. “Nonvanishing of conformal blocks divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ ”. In: *Transform. Groups* 21.2 (2016), pp. 329–353.
- [BM14] P. Belkale and S. Mukhopadhyay. “Conformal blocks and cohomology in genus 0”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 64.4 (2014), pp. 1669–1719.
- [BH89] F. Beukers and G. Heckman. “Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$ ”. In: *Invent. Math.* 95.2 (1989), pp. 325–354.
- [BFS98] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, and V. Schechtman. *Factorizable sheaves and quantum groups*. Vol. 1691. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, pp. x+287.
- [BG15] M. Bolognesi and N. Giansiracusa. “Factorization of point configurations, cyclic covers, and conformal blocks”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 17.10 (2015), pp. 2453–2471.
- [CT15] A.-M. Castravet and J. Tevelev. “ $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is not a Mori dream space”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 164.8 (2015), pp. 1641–1667.
- [Dam20] C. Damiolini. “Conformal blocks attached to twisted groups”. In: *Math. Z.* 295.3-4 (2020), pp. 1643–1681.
- [DG21] C. Damiolini and A. Gibney. *On global generation of vector bundles on the moduli space of curves from representations of vertex algebras*. Arxiv preprint 2107.06923v2. 2021.
- [DGT22] C. Damiolini, A. Gibney, and N. Tarasca. “Vertex algebras of CohFT-type”. In: *Facets of algebraic geometry. Vol. I*. Vol. 472. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2022, pp. 164–189.
- [Del79] P. Deligne. “Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d’intégrales”. In: *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII. With an appendix by N. Koblitz and A. Ogus. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 313–346.
- [DM86] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow. “Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and nonlattice integral monodromy”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 63 (1986), pp. 5–89.
- [Del71] P. Deligne. “Théorie de Hodge. II”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 40 (1971), pp. 5–57.
- [DM19] T. Deshpande and S. Mukhopadhyay. *Crossed modular categories and the Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks*. Arxiv preprint 1909.10799. 2019.
- [Fak12] N. Fakhruddin. “Chern classes of conformal blocks”. In: *Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles*. Vol. 564. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., 2012, pp. 145–176.
- [FGK91] F. Falceto, K. Gawedzki, and A. Kupiainen. “Scalar product of current blocks in WZW theory”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 260.1-2 (1991), pp. 101–108.
- [Fal03] G. Faltings. “Algebraic loop groups and moduli spaces of bundles”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 5.1 (2003), pp. 41–68.
- [Fed11] M. Fedorchuk. *Cyclic covering morphisms on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* . Arxiv preprint 1105.0655. 2011.
- [Fed13] M. Fedorchuk. *New nef divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* . Arxiv preprint 1308.5993. 2013.
- [FSV95] B. Feigin, V. Schechtman, and A. Varchenko. “On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models. II”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 170.1 (1995), pp. 219–247.

- [FS99] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert. “The action of outer automorphisms on bundles of chiral blocks”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 206.3 (1999), pp. 691–736.
- [FL17] M. Fulger and B. Lehmann. “Positive cones of dual cycle classes”. In: *Algebr. Geom.* 4.1 (2017), pp. 1–28.
- [GK91] K. Gawedzki and A. Kupiainen. “SU(2) Chern-Simons theory at genus zero”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 135.3 (1991), pp. 531–546.
- [GG12] N. Giansiracusa and A. Gibney. “The cone of type A , level 1, conformal blocks divisors”. In: *Adv. Math.* 231.2 (2012), pp. 798–814.
- [GJM13] N. Giansiracusa, D. Jensen, and H.-B. Moon. “GIT compactifications of $M_{0,n}$ and flips”. In: *Adv. Math.* 248 (2013), pp. 242–278.
- [Gib+13] A. Gibney, D. Jensen, H.-B. Moon, and D. Swinarski. “Veronese quotient models of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and conformal blocks”. In: *Michigan Math. J.* 62.4 (2013), pp. 721–751.
- [GKM02] A. Gibney, S. Keel, and I. Morrison. “Towards the ample cone of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ ”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15.2 (2002), pp. 273–294.
- [HK18] J. Hong and S. Kumar. *Conformal blocks for Galois covers of algebraic curves*. Arxiv preprint 1807.00118. 2018.
- [HM17] A. Huber and S. Müller-Stach. *Periods and Nori motives*. Vol. 65. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. With contributions by Benjamin Friedrich and Jonas von Wangenheim. Springer, 2017, pp. xxiii+372.
- [Kas95] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*. Vol. 155. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kat96] N. M. Katz. *Rigid local systems*. Vol. 139. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996, pp. viii+223.
- [Kat72] N. M. Katz. “Algebraic solutions of differential equations (p -curvature and the Hodge filtration)”. In: *Invent. Math.* 18 (1972), pp. 1–118.
- [Kee92] S. Keel. “Intersection theory of moduli space of stable n -pointed curves of genus zero”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 330.2 (1992), pp. 545–574.
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin. “Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 164.3 (1994), pp. 525–562.
- [Kum22] S. Kumar. *Conformal blocks, generalized theta functions and the Verlinde formula*. Vol. 42. New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022, pp. xxvii+509.
- [LPS13] Y. Laszlo, C. Pauly, and C. Sorger. “On the monodromy of the Hitchin connection”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 64 (2013), pp. 64–78.
- [Loo99] E. Looijenga. “Arrangements, KZ systems and Lie algebra homology”. In: *Singularity theory (Liverpool, 1996)*. Vol. 263. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. xvi, 109–130.
- [Loo09] E. Looijenga. “Unitarity of SL(2)-conformal blocks in genus zero”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 59.5 (2009), pp. 654–662.
- [Loo12] E. Looijenga. “The KZ system via polydifferentials”. In: *Arrangements of hyperplanes—Sapporo 2009*. Vol. 62. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2012, pp. 189–231.
- [Loo13] E. Looijenga. “From WZW models to modular functors”. In: *Handbook of moduli. Vol. II*. Vol. 25. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM). Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 427–466.

- [Mar+17] A. Marian, D. Oprea, R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, and D. Zvonkine. “The Chern character of the Verlinde bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 732 (2017), pp. 147–163.
- [Mas99] G. Masbaum. “An element of infinite order in TQFT-representations of mapping class groups”. In: *Low-dimensional topology (Funchal, 1998)*. Vol. 233. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 137–139.
- [MI19] S. Morel and F. Ivorra. *The four operations on perverse motives*. Arxiv preprint 1901.02096. 2019.
- [Muk13] S. Mukhopadhyay. “Rank-Level Duality of Conformal Blocks”. PhD thesis. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 2013.
- [Muk16] S. Mukhopadhyay. “Rank-level duality and conformal block divisors”. In: *Adv. Math.* 287 (2016), pp. 389–411.
- [Pix13] A. Pixton. “A nonboundary nef divisor on $\overline{M}_{0,12}$ ”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 17.3 (2013), pp. 1317–1324.
- [Ram09] T. R. Ramadas. “The “Harder-Narasimhan trace” and unitarity of the KZ/Hitchin connection: genus 0”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 169.1 (2009), pp. 1–39.
- [SV91] V. V. Schechtman and A. N. Varchenko. “Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology”. In: *Invent. Math.* 106.1 (1991), pp. 139–194.
- [Sor96] C. Sorger. “La formule de Verlinde”. In: *Astérisque* 237 (1996). Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95, Exp. No. 794, 3, 87–114.
- [ST95] Y. S. Stanev and I. T. Todorov. “On the Schwarz problem for the $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}_2$ Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation”. In: *Lett. Math. Phys.* 35.2 (1995), pp. 123–134.
- [Swi] D. Swinarski. *A conformal block divisor that is not level 1*. Available on the author’s website at Fordham University.
- [Swi11] D. Swinarski. \mathfrak{sl}_2 conformal block divisors and the nef cone of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. Arxiv preprint 1107.5331. 2011.
- [Swi18] D. Swinarski. “Software for computing conformal block divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ ”. In: *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.* 8 (2018), pp. 81–86.
- [Tel12] C. Teleman. “The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories”. In: *Invent. Math.* 188.3 (2012), pp. 525–588.
- [Tsu93] Y. Tsuchimoto. “On the coordinate-free description of the conformal blocks”. In: *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 33.1 (1993), pp. 29–49.
- [TUY89] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno, and Y. Yamada. “Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries”. In: *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 19 (1989), pp. 459–566.
- [Uen08] K. Ueno. *Conformal field theory with gauge symmetry*. Vol. 24. Fields Institute Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2008, pp. viii+168.
- [Var95] A. Varchenko. *Multidimensional hypergeometric functions and representation theory of Lie algebras and quantum groups*. Vol. 21. Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995, pp. x+371.

P.B.: Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA
 email: belkale@email.unc.edu

N.F.: School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India
 email: naf@math.tifr.res.in