

# Slope inequality for an arbitrary divisor

Houari Benammar Ammar

February 3, 2023

## Abstract

Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a surjective morphism with connected fibers from a smooth complex projective surface  $S$  to a smooth complex projective curve  $C$ . Let  $D$  be an arbitrary divisor on  $S$  such that  $\text{rk}(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)) > 1$ . We make sense to the notion of slope inequality for  $D$  case by case. As a consequence, we prove: if  $f : S \rightarrow C$  is a relatively minimal fibration with  $g = g(F) \geq 2$  where  $F$  is the general fiber of  $f$ ,  $D = K_{S/C}$  and  $N_1|_F$  (the Miyaoka divisor for the maximal destabilizing sub-vector bundle restricted to  $F$ ) is nonspecial,  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ , then:

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{(g-1)(2g-1)}{(g-1)(2g-1)+g} \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}.$$

## 1 Introduction

Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a surjective morphism from a smooth complex projective surface  $S$  to a smooth complex projective curve  $C$  with connected fibers. We call the morphism  $f$  a fibration. Let  $D$  be a divisor on  $S$ . We consider the sheaf  $\mathcal{E} = f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ , which is torsion free because  $C$  is a curve. Since a torsion free sheaf on curve is always locally free sheaf,  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free and its rank is  $h^0(F, D|_F)$  where  $F$  is a general fiber of  $f$  of genus  $g(F) = g$ .

The fibration  $f$  is called smooth if all its fibers are smooth, isotrivial if all its smooth fibers are isomorphic to each other and locally trivial if it is both smooth and isotrivial. Let  $\omega_S$  (resp  $K_S$ ) be the canonical sheaf (resp. the canonical divisor) of  $S$ ,  $\omega_{S/C} = \omega_S \otimes f^*\omega_C^\vee$  (resp.  $K_{S/C} = K_S - f^*K_C$ ) the relative canonical sheaf (resp. the relative canonical divisor) where  $\omega_C$  (resp.  $K_C$ ) is the canonical sheaf of  $C$  (resp. the canonical divisor). In particular, if  $D = K_{S/C}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is nef vector bundle, [8], its rank is  $g$  and its degree is:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\mathcal{E}) &:= \deg(f_*\omega_{S/C}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_F) \cdot \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \\ &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1), \end{aligned}$$

for  $b = g(C)$ . By Leray spectral sequence, we remark that:

$$h^0(C, (f_*\omega_{S/C})^\vee) = h^0(C, \mathcal{R}^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_S) = q(S) - b,$$

where  $q(S) = h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  is the irregularity of the surface  $S$ .

In [7], Severi stated that if:  $S$  is a minimal smooth complex projective surface of maximal Albanese dimension, then  $K_S^2 \geq 4\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ . But the proof was not complete, the inequality was posed as a conjecture by

Reid, [22], and proved by Manetti, [16], under the assumption that the surface has ample canonical divisor. Finally, the conjecture is completely proved by Pardini, [21]. Xiao Gang, in [24], wrote a fundamental paper on fibred surfaces over curves. He discussed the geometry of the fibration where  $S$  is relatively minimal and  $g(F) \geq 2$ . He proved that if  $f$  is relatively minimal and not locally trivial i.e.,  $\deg f_*\omega_{S/C} \neq 0$  then:

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{g-1}{g} \deg f_*\omega_{S/C}.$$

Recall in this setting that  $K_{S/C}$  is nef divisor [20, Theorem 1.4].

Independently, Cornalba and Harris, see [6], proved the above inequality for semistable fibrations (i.e., fibrations where all the fibers are semistable curves in the sense of Deligne and Mumford). Recently Yuan Xinyi and Zhang Tong, [25], gave a new approach to prove the slope inequality by giving a sense to the relative Noether inequality and using Frobenius iteration techniques. Motivated by these, [24], [6], in addition to Fujita's fundamental papers, [8], [9], there has been interest in giving a sharp bound using the first and the second Fujita decomposition combined with a study of linear stability of the general fiber  $F$  of  $f$ .

Let us recall the first and second Fujita decomposition.

**Theorem 1.1** (First Fujita decomposition for fibred surface, [8]). *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration from a smooth complex projective surface  $S$  to a smooth projective curve  $C$ . Then:*

$$f_*\omega_{S/C} = \mathcal{O}_C^{q(S)-b} \oplus \mathcal{N},$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a nef sub-vector bundle and  $h^0(C, \mathcal{N}^\vee) = 0$ .

We remark that in conclusion of Theorem 1.1, the trivial part comes from a nonzero global section of the dual of  $f_*\omega_{S/C}$  i.e., from  $H^0(C, \mathcal{R}^1 f_*\mathcal{O}_S)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Second Fujita decomposition for fibred surface, [9], [3], [4], [5]). *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration as above. Then:*

$$f_*\omega_{S/C} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{U},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is ample sub-vector bundle and  $\mathcal{U}$  is unitary flat sub-vector bundle.

In the situation of Theorem 1.2, we denote by  $u_f$  the rank of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and call it the *unitary rank* of the fibred surface  $f : S \rightarrow C$ .

A proof of the second Fujita decomposition is given by Catanese and Dettweiler, [3], [4], [5]. A more recent paper using these type of arguments is due to Riva and Stoppino [23], they proved the following inequalities:

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 2 \frac{2g-2-m}{g-m} \deg(f_*\omega_{S/C}).$$

Here  $m := \min(q_f, c_f)$ ,  $q_f := q(s) - b$  is the *relative irregularity* of  $f$ ,  $c_f$  is the *Clifford index* of  $f$ .

More precisely, we recall, [1], that the Clifford index for a curve  $B$  of genus  $g(B) \geq 4$  as:

$$\text{Cliff}(B) := \min\{\deg(D) - 2(\dim |D|) \mid h^0(B, D) \geq 2, h^1(B, D) \geq 2\}.$$

In the cases  $g = 2, 3$  the Clifford index is defined to be:

- If  $g = 2$ ,  $\text{Cliff}(B) := 0$ .
- If  $g = 3$ ,  $\text{Cliff}(B) := 0$  (resp. 1) if  $B$  is hyperelliptic (resp. trigonal).

Given a fibred surface  $f : S \rightarrow C$ , we define  $c_f$  as the Clifford index of the general fiber  $F$ . Riva and Stoppino [23] proved also this second inequality:

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq \begin{cases} 2 \frac{2g-2-u_f}{g-u_f}, & \text{if } u_f \leq c_f. \\ 2 \frac{(2g-2-c_f)(g-1-u_f)}{(g-u_f)(g-1-c_f)}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Konno, in [14], described directly  $K_{S/C}^2$  as a sum of two parts under some strict conditions on the fibration  $f$ . More precisely, the first part is related to  $\deg f_*\omega_{S/C}$  and the second one is described by the Horikawa index [12].

Now, we introduce the following notations:

- $N_1$  is the Miyaoka divisor [Definition 3.3] of the maximal destabilising sub-vector bundle in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration [Proposition 3.1] and:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1}, & \text{if } N_1|_F \text{ is nonspecial and } h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1. \\ 2 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

- $\mu_f$  is the final slope of the filtration.
- $F$  is the general fiber of  $f$ .
- $\epsilon$  is a suitable birational morphism.
- $Z_f$  is the fixed part of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ .
- $N_D := \frac{2\epsilon^*D \cdot Z_f - Z_f^2}{\deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)}$ , describes the negativity of  $D$  and  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$  when  $\deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \neq 0$ .
- $N_D^{\mathcal{G}} := \frac{2\epsilon^*D \cdot Z_f - Z_f^2}{\deg \mathcal{G}}$ , for a locally free sub-sheaf  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E} := f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$  and  $\deg \mathcal{G} \neq 0$ .
- $d_f := N_f \cdot F$  and  $d_f^{\mathcal{G}} := N_f^{\mathcal{G}} \cdot F$ , where  $N_f$  (resp.  $N_f^{\mathcal{G}}$ ) is the last Miyaoka divisor for  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) [Definition 3.3].

We state our main result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration from a smooth complex projective surface to a smooth complex projective curve, let  $D$  be an arbitrary divisor on  $S$  such that  $\text{rk } \mathcal{E} = \text{rk}(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)) > 1$  Then:*

- If  $D$  is nef and  $\mu_f \geq 0$  we have:

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_f}{d_f + \alpha} \deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

- If  $D$  is nef,  $\mu_f < 0$  and  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef locally free sub-sheaf, we have:

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_f^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_f^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} \deg \mathcal{G}.$$

- If ( $\mu_f < 0$  and there is no nef locally free sub-sheaf of  $\mathcal{E}$ ) or ( $D$  is not nef and ( $\mu_f > 0$  or ( $\mu_f = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is not semi-stable))), we have:

$$D^2 \geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_f}{d_f + \alpha} + N_D \right) \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

- If  $D$  is not nef,  $\mu_f < 0$  and  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef locally free sheaf such that  $\mu_f^{\mathcal{G}} > 0$  or ( $\mu_f^{\mathcal{G}} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is not semi-stable) then:

$$D^2 \geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_f^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_f^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} + N_D^{\mathcal{G}} \right) \deg \mathcal{G}.$$

- Otherwise, if  $D^2 < 0$  and  $\forall \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  nef  $\implies \deg \mathcal{G} = 0$ . There is no sense to the slope inequality.

For the particular case that  $f$  is relatively minimal and  $D = K_{S/C}$ ,  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ . The Theorem 1.3 takes the following form:

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a relatively minimal fibration with  $g(F) \geq 2$  and  $D = K_{S/C}$ , if  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ . Then:*

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{(g-1)(2g-1)}{(g-1)(2g-1) + g} \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** I am very grateful to my advisors Steven Lu and Nathan Grieve for their constant help, invaluable advice and financial support. I would like to thank Ruiran Sun for his interest in the paper.

In the next sections, we let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration, from a smooth projective surface  $S$  to a smooth projective curve  $C$ , and we let  $D$  be a divisor on  $S$ .

## 2 Rational map to a projective bundle

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)$  be a locally free sub-sheaf of rank  $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ . There exist always the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \overset{\psi}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}_C(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi \\ & & C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \overset{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}) \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \\ & & C \end{array}$$

In the above,  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  (resp  $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})$ ) is the projective bundle of one dimensional quotients (Grothendieck's notations) of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$  (resp of  $\mathcal{F}$ ), the morphism  $\pi$  (resp  $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ ) is the projective morphism from  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  to  $C$  (resp from  $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})$  to  $C$ ). The maps  $\psi$  and  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  are rational and defined by the following evaluation maps:

$$f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D),$$

resp:

$$f^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

**Remark 2.1.** • If  $D$  is  $f$ -globally generated. Then:

$$f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D)$$

is surjective and  $\psi$  is a morphism.

• If the map

$$f^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D)$$

is surjective, then  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a morphism.

Take  $A$ , a sufficiently very ample divisor such that  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)$  is a very ample vector bundle. Then the rank of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)$  is

$$r = H^0(F, D|_F).$$

And

$$\deg(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) = \deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) + r \cdot \deg(A).$$

**Remark 2.2.** Since  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)$  is globally generated, i.e.,  $\exists n > 0$  such that we have a surjective map

$$\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A),$$

or, equivalently, for any  $y \in C$  we have a surjective map giving by evaluation of section:

$$H^0(C, f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)|_y,$$

we remark that each section in  $H^0(F, D|_F)$  comes from some section of  $H^0(C, f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$ . In other words, the following map is surjective

$$H^0(C, f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \simeq H^0(S, D + f^*A) \longrightarrow H^0(F, D|_F).$$

Now,  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$  and  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  are isomorphic by an isomorphism  $s$ , see [11, Lemma 7.9]. Since

$$\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times C,$$

we can identify  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$  with  $X \times C$  such that  $X$  is a projective variety in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The rational map

$$\phi : S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)),$$

defined by:

$$f^*(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}(A)$$

is the rational map given by the linear system  $|D + f^*A|$ , and for a general fibre  $F$  of  $f$ ,  $\phi|_F$  is the map defined by  $|D|_F$ .

The line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A))}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A))$  is very ample. Then it gives an embedding of this last projective bundle to a projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ . We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_A \\ & & C \end{array}$$

Where  $\pi_A$  is the projection map, again we have  $\psi = s \circ \phi$ , the rational map  $\phi$  is defined by the complete linear system  $|D + f^*A|$ , if it has no nontrivial fixed part then its image is contained in no hyperplane.

We assume that there is a fixed part  $Z$  of  $|D + f^*A|$ , so the linear system  $|D - Z + f^*A|$  factorize the map defined by  $|D + f^*A|$  and it defines a rational map  $\phi'$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X' \times C \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_A \\ & & C \end{array}$$

Where  $X'$  is a closed sub-variety of  $X$ ,  $i$  is an injection from  $X' \times C$  to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$  and  $i \circ \phi' = \phi$ .

- The fixed part  $Z$  of  $|D + f^*A|$  restricted in  $F$  is just the fixed part of the complete linear system  $|D|_F$ .
- The system  $|D - Z + f^*A|$  has no fixed part, so it has only a finite number of base points.
- The fixed part  $Z$  is a divisor such that the homomorphism:

$$f^*(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D - Z)\otimes f^*\mathcal{O}(A),$$

is surjective in codimension 1.

- If  $D = K_{S/C}$  and  $g(F) \geq 2$ , then the fixed part  $Z$  has no horizontal components.

**Theorem 2.3.** *There exist a surface  $\tilde{S}$  birational to  $S$  (i.e  $\exists \epsilon : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  which is birational) and a morphism*

$$\lambda' : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow X' \times C$$

*such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\lambda'} & X' \times C \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)\otimes\mathcal{O}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N \\ \downarrow \epsilon & \searrow \phi' & \downarrow \pi_A \\ S & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & C \end{array}$$

$\phi' \circ \epsilon = \lambda'$  and:

$$(\lambda')^* \mathcal{O}_{X' \times C}(1) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).$$

Where  $E$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\epsilon$ .

**Remark 2.4.**  $\epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$  is globally generated.

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* If  $|D - Z + f^*A|$  has no base point, then  $\phi'$  is a morphism and there is nothing to prove. We suppose that there is a base point  $x$  in  $|D - Z + f^*A|$ . We take the blow-up in  $x$  defined by  $\epsilon^1$ , so  $|(\epsilon^1)^*(D - Z + f^*A)|$  has a fixed part  $k_1 E_1$  with  $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_1 \geq 1$  and  $|D_1| = |(\epsilon^1)^*(D - Z + f^*A) - k_1 E_1|$  has no fixed part. Hence it defines a rational map:  $\lambda^1 : S_1 \dashrightarrow X' \times C$  which is identical to  $\phi' \circ \epsilon^1$ . If  $\lambda^1$  is a morphism, then we are done; if not, we repeat the process. Thus, we get by induction a sequence  $\epsilon^i : S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}$  of blow-ups and a linear system  $|D_i|$  with no fixed part, where  $D_i = (\epsilon^i)^* D_{i-1} - k_i E_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . But we have:

$$D_i^2 = D_{i-1}^2 - k_i^2 < D_{i-1}^2.$$

Since  $D_i$  has no fixed part,  $D_i^2 \geq 0$  and so this process must terminate. In other words, we arrive at a system  $D_n$  with no base points, which defines a morphism:

$$\epsilon = \epsilon^1 \circ \dots \circ \epsilon^n : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S.$$

We conclude that  $|\epsilon^*(D - Z + f^*A) - E|$  define a morphism  $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{\lambda'} X' \times C$  such that:

$$\epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E) = (\lambda')^* \mathcal{O}_{X' \times C}(1).$$

Where  $E = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} K_i E_i$  is the exceptional divisor. □

The last proof is inspired by the proof of [2, Theorem 2.7].

**Corollary 2.5.** *There exist a morphism  $\lambda^A : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(A))$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & \lambda^A & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & \\
 \tilde{S} & & & & \\
 \downarrow \epsilon & \searrow \lambda' & & & \\
 S & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & X' \times C & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}_C(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N \\
 & \searrow f & & & \downarrow \pi_A \\
 & & & & C
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We take  $\lambda^A = i \circ \lambda'$  and it is a well-defined morphism defined from  $\tilde{S}$  to  $\mathbb{P}_C(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$  and clearly verifies the property that:

$$(\lambda^A)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))}(1) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}(A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E),$$

also:

$$\pi_A \circ \lambda^A = f \circ \epsilon.$$

□

**Corollary 2.6.** *There exist a morphism  $\lambda : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  such that the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{S} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)) \\
\downarrow \epsilon & \dashrightarrow \psi & \downarrow \pi \\
S & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)) \\
& \searrow f & \downarrow \pi \\
& & C
\end{array}$$

and we have:

$$(\lambda)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))}(1)) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5, there exist a morphism  $\lambda^A : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$  which has the property that:

$$(\lambda^A)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))}(1) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z) \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}(A)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).$$

But  $\exists s : \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  which is an isomorphism such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))}(1) &= s^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))}(1) \otimes \pi_A^*\mathcal{O}(A) \\
\implies (s \circ \lambda^A)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))}(1) &\otimes (\pi_A \circ \lambda^A)^*\mathcal{O}(A) \\
&= \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z)) \otimes (f \circ \epsilon)^*\mathcal{O}(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E) \\
\implies (s \circ \lambda^A)^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))}(1) &= \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).
\end{aligned}$$

We take  $\lambda = s \circ \lambda^A$ . □

**Remark 2.7.** More generally, for  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$  a locally free sub-sheaf, we take  $A$  a sufficiently very ample divisor such that  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A)$  is very ample. Let  $L_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a sub-linear system of  $|D + f^*A|$  which correspond to a sections of  $H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$ . Let  $Z_{\mathcal{F}}$  a fixed part of  $L_{\mathcal{F}}$ , so  $L_{\mathcal{F}} - Z_{\mathcal{F}}$  has no fixed part and it corresponds to a rational map from  $S$  to a projective sub-variety of  $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$ . By the same arguments above  $\exists \tilde{S}_{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}}} S$  which is birational and  $\exists \lambda_{\mathcal{F}} : \tilde{S}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{S}_{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}) \\
\downarrow \epsilon_{\mathcal{F}} & \dashrightarrow \psi_{\mathcal{F}} & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \\
S & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}) \\
& \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{F}} \\
& & C
\end{array}$$

and

$$(\lambda_{\mathcal{F}})^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)) = \epsilon_{\mathcal{F}}^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z_{\mathcal{F}})) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

where  $E_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\epsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ .



### 3 Harder-Narasimhan filtration

In this section, we study Harder-Narasimhan filtration within the context of fibred surfaces.

**Proposition 3.1** ([10]). *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  a vector bundle over a smooth projective curve  $B$ . There exists a unique sequence of vector sub-bundles of  $\mathcal{E}$ :*

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{E},$$

that satisfy the following conditions:

- for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  is a semi-stable vector bundles.
- for any  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , setting  $\mu_i := \mu(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \frac{\deg(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1})}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1})}$ , we have that:

$$\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_k.$$

In the context of Proposition 3.1 above, the filtration is called the *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We set  $\mu_f = \mu_k$  and call it the *final slope* of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The following elementary lemma is important in what follows.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $r_i$  be the rank of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Then:*

$$\deg \mathcal{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + r_k \mu_k.$$

*Proof.* Indeed, we consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the additivity of degree, we have that:

$$\deg \mathcal{F}_k = \deg \mathcal{F}_{k-1} + \deg \mathcal{F}_k/\mathcal{F}_{k-1}.$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\deg \mathcal{F}_{k-1} = \deg \mathcal{F}_{k-2} + \deg \mathcal{F}_{k-1}/\mathcal{F}_{k-2}.$$

And so, by induction, we can conclude that:

$$\deg \mathcal{F}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \deg \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}.$$

From the definition of slope, for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we have:  $\deg \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1} = \mu_i(r_i - r_{i-1})$  and we obtain the desired formula.  $\square$

Consider now a fibred surface  $f : S \rightarrow C$  and let  $(\mathcal{F}_i)$  be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $\mathcal{E} = f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ . By Corollary 2.6 and Remark 2.7, there exists a suitable smooth projective surface  $\tilde{S}$  and a birational morphism  $\epsilon : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  such that:

$$\lambda^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D))}(1)) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E),$$

moreover, for any  $\mathcal{F}_i$  in the filtration, we have:

$$\lambda_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}_i)}(1)) = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{O}(D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i})) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E).$$

Where  $\lambda_i := \lambda_{\mathcal{F}_i}$ ,  $Z$  (resp.  $Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}$ ) is a fixed part of  $|D + f^*A|$  (resp. of  $L_{\mathcal{F}_i} \subseteq |D + f^*A|$ ) which correspond to a sections of  $H^0(\mathcal{F}_i \otimes \mathcal{O}(A))$ . Here  $E$ , is the exceptional divisor of  $\epsilon$ .

**Definition 3.3** (See [23, Definition 3.11]). In this setting, just described above, we define:

- $Z(D, \mathcal{F}_i) = \epsilon^*Z_{\mathcal{F}_i} + E$  the *fixed part* of the vector sub-bundle  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .
- $M(D, \mathcal{F}_i) = \lambda_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}_i)}(1))$  the *moving part* of the vector sub-bundle  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .
- Set  $N(D, \mathcal{F}_i) := M(D, \mathcal{F}_i) - \mu_i F$ . We call it the *Miyaoaka divisor*.

Applying, [17], [19] and [15, Proposition 6.4.11] we prove the following result:

**Lemma 3.4.**  $N(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$  are nef divisors on  $\tilde{S}$ .

*Proof.* Let's see that  $\mathcal{E}\langle -\frac{c_1(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}_{k-1})}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}_{k-1})} \rangle$  is nef vector bundle.

Note:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}. \\ \delta_i = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{G}_i)}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}_i)}. \end{cases}$$

$\mathcal{G}_i\langle -\delta_i \rangle$  is nef vector bundle, [15, Proposition 6.4.11], and  $\deg \delta_i = \mu_i$ . So:

$$-\deg \delta_1 < -\deg \delta_2 < \dots < -\deg \delta_k.$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{G}_i\langle -\delta_k \rangle$  is nef vector bundle. We have:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\langle -\delta_k \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}\langle -\delta_k \rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_k\langle -\delta_k \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\mathcal{G}_k\langle -\delta_k \rangle$  is nef vector bundle and  $\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\langle -\delta_k \rangle$  is nef by induction.  $\implies \mathcal{E}\langle -\delta_k \rangle$  is nef.  $\implies N(D, \mathcal{E})$  is nef. The proof is the same for  $N(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.**  $r_i = \text{rk } \mathcal{F}_i \leq h^0(F, N(D, \mathcal{F}_i)|_F)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_i$  be the projection form  $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}_i)$  to  $C$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_i \circ \lambda_i)_*(M(D, \mathcal{F}_i)) &= (\pi_i)_*((\lambda_i)_*M(D, \mathcal{F}_i)) \\ &= (\pi_i)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}_i)}(1) \otimes \lambda_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) \supseteq (\pi_i)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}_i)}(1)) = \mathcal{F}_i \\ &\implies r_i \leq h^0(F, M(D, \mathcal{F}_i)|_F) \\ &\implies r_i \leq h^0(F, N(D, \mathcal{F}_i)|_F). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

For simplification, set  $N_i = N(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$  and  $M_i = M(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $Z_i = Z(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $d_i = \deg(N_i|_F) = N_i \cdot F$ , we have:*

$$d_k \geq d_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq d_1 \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is a fibre,  $F^2 = 0$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &= N_i \cdot F = (M_i - \mu_i F) \cdot F = M_i \cdot F \\ &= \lambda_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^C(\mathcal{F}_i)}(1)) \cdot F = (\epsilon^*(D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}) - E) \cdot F \\ &= \epsilon^*(D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}) \cdot F = (D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}) \cdot F \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

But :  $Z_{\mathcal{F}_i} \geq Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i+1}}$ . Thus,  $D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i+1}} = D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i} + (Z_{\mathcal{F}_i} - Z_{\mathcal{F}_{i+1}})$

$$\implies d_{i+1} \geq d_i.$$

□

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial divisor on  $F$ . Then  $N_j|_F$  is nonspecial divisor on  $F$  for any  $j \geq 1$ . Or more generally: if  $\exists i \geq 1$  such that  $N_i|_F$  is nonspecial. Then  $N_j|_F$  is nonspecial divisor on  $F$  for  $j \geq i$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that

$$Z_{\mathcal{F}_1} \geq \dots \geq Z_{\mathcal{F}_k}.$$

Thus

$$N_1|_F = \epsilon^*(D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_1})|_F = (D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_1})|_F \leq (D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i})|_F.$$

So, if  $h^1(F, D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_1}|_F) = 0$ . Then  $h^1(F, D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}|_F) = 0$ . □

Now, it is natural to ask about the sequence  $(\frac{d_i}{h^0(F, N_i|_F) - 1})_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}}$ . For instance, is it increasing finite sequence? Is it decreasing? Is it bounded from below by strictly positive number? So we have the following results:

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $\exists t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $h^0(F, N_t|_F) = 1$ . Then  $t = 1$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $h^0(F, N_t|_F) \geq \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_t)$ . So, if  $h^0(F, N_t|_F) = 1$  the only possibility is  $t = 1$  and more than that the degree:  $d_1 = g(F) - h^1(F, N_1|_F)$ . □

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration as above,  $D$  be a divisor on  $S$  such that  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{rk}(f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)) > 1$  and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ . Consider the Harder-Narasimhan filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_i)$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then, we have the following result:*

- If  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial divisor and if:

–  $g(F) = 0$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} &= \dots = \frac{d_{i+1}}{h^0(N_{i+1}|_F) - 1} = \frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} \\ &= \dots = \frac{d_1}{h^0(N_1|_F) - 1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

–  $g(F) \geq 1$ . Then:

$$1 + \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1} \leq \frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{d_{i+1}}{h^0(N_{i+1}|_F) - 1} \leq \frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{d_1}{h^0(N_1|_F) - 1}.$$

• if  $\exists t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $N_t|_F$  is special and  $N_{t+1}|_F$  is nonspecial and if:

–  $g(F) = 0$ . Then:

$$\frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} = \dots = \frac{d_{t+1}}{h^0(N_{t+1}|_F) - 1} = 1.$$

–  $g(F) \geq 1$ . Then:

$$1 + \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1} \leq \frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{d_{t+1}}{h^0(N_{t+1}|_F) - 1}.$$

And we have:  $\frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} \geq 2, \quad \forall i \leq t$ .

*Proof.* If  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial. Then by Riemann-Roch:

$$h^0(N_i|_F) = d_i + 1 - g(F).$$

• If  $g(F) = 0$ , then:

$$h^0(N_i|_F) = d_i + 1,$$

and so evidently:

$$\frac{d_{i+1}}{h^0(N_{i+1}|_F) - 1} = \frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} = 1.$$

• If  $g(F) \geq 1$ , since:

$$h^0(N_{i+1}|_F) = h^0(N_i|_F) + d_{i+1} - d_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{d_{i+1}}{h^0(N_{i+1}|_F) - 1} = \frac{d_i + d_{i+1} - d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) + d_{i+1} - d_i - 1} \leq \frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1}.$$

Now we have  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $h^0(F, N_i|_F) \leq h^0(F, D|_F)$  because  $N_i|_F = (D - Z_{\mathcal{F}_i})|_F$  and  $Z_{\mathcal{F}_i}$  is a effective divisor. Using Riemann-Roch, we have:

$$\frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} \geq 1 + \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1}.$$

Now, suppose that  $\exists t \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $N_t|_F$  is special and  $N_{t+1}|_F$  is nonspecial. So  $N_i|_F$  is special for  $i \leq t$  and  $N_i|_F$  is nonspecial for  $i \geq t + 1$  and as above:

- If  $g(F) = 0$  then:

$$\frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} = \dots = \frac{d_{t+1}}{h^0(N_{t+1}|_F) - 1} = 1.$$

- If  $g(F) \geq 1$  then:

$$1 + \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1} \leq \frac{d_k}{h^0(N_k|_F) - 1} \leq \dots \leq \frac{d_{t+1}}{h^0(N_{t+1}|_F) - 1}.$$

For  $i \leq t$ , by Clifford theorem, [1], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &\geq 2(h^0(N_i|_F) - 1) \\ \implies \frac{d_i}{h^0(N_i|_F) - 1} &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Slope inequality.

Now, we are ready to present the technical lemma to our method, we called it the **Modified Xiao Lemma**. Note that it is a more general form of Xiao [24, Lemma 2].

**Lemma 4.1** (Modified Xiao Lemma). *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration,  $D$  be a divisor on  $S$  and suppose that there exist a sequence of effective divisors:*

$$Z_1 \geq Z_2 \geq \dots \geq Z_k,$$

and a sequence of rational numbers:

$$\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_k,$$

such that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  we have:

$$\mathcal{N}_i := D - Z_i - \mu_i F$$

are nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. Then:

$$D^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + 2D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 + 2\mu_k d_k,$$

where  $d_i = \mathcal{N}_i \cdot F$ .

*Proof.* First observe that  $\mathcal{N}_1^2 \geq 0$  by nefness. And we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i^2 &= \mathcal{N}_i(\mathcal{N}_{i-1} + (Z_{i-1} - Z_i) + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)F) \\ &\geq \mathcal{N}_i(\mathcal{N}_{i-1} + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)F) \\ &\geq (\mathcal{N}_{i-1} + (Z_{i-1} - Z_i) + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)F)(\mathcal{N}_{i-1} + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)F) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \mathcal{N}_{i-1}^2 + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)(2\mathcal{N}_{i-1}F + (Z_{i-1} - Z_i)F) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{i-1}^2 + (\mu_{i-1} - \mu_i)(d_{i-1} + d_i). \end{aligned}$$

So, by induction, we have:

$$\mathcal{N}_k^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}).$$

Hence

$$(D - Z_k - \mu_k F)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (D - Z_k - \mu_k F)^2 &= (D - Z_k)^2 - 2\mu_k(D - Z_k)F \\ &= D^2 - 2D \cdot Z_k + Z_k^2 - 2\mu_k d_k. \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$D^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + 2D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 + 2\mu_k d_k.$$

□

**Remark 4.2.** • When  $\mu_k \geq 0$  and  $D$  is nef, we set  $Z_{k+1} = 0$  and  $\mu_{k+1} = 0$ ,  $d_{k+1} = D \cdot F$ . With the techniques of the previous Lemma 4.1, we have:

$$D^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^k (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}).$$

This is the original result of Xiao Gang [24, Lemma 2].

- The part:  $2D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 + 2\mu_k d_k$  describes the negativity of  $f_*(\mathcal{O}_S(D))$  and  $D$ .

**Example 4.3.** If  $D = K_{S/C} + L$  with  $L$  is nef and  $f$ -big (resp. trivial), then we have by [18], [13] (resp. [8])  $f_*(\omega_{S/C} \otimes L)$  is nef vector bundle. if  $f$  is relatively minimal fibration then  $K_{S/C}$  is nef. So  $K_{S/C} + L$  is also nef. By the discussion above  $K_{S/C} + L$  is big if  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$  is not semi stable.

Prior to stating our main result, we recall the following notation:

- $N_1$  is the Miyaoka divisor of the maximal destabilising sub-vector bundle in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration and :

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{g(F)}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1} & \text{if } N_1|_F \text{ is non special and } h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1 \\ 2 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

- $F$  is the general fiber of  $f$ .
- $\epsilon$  is a suitable birational morphism.
- $Z_f$  : is the fixed part of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ .

- $N_D := \frac{2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_f - Z_f^2}{\deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)}$  describes the negativity of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  when  $\deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) \neq 0$ .
- $N_D^{\mathcal{G}} := \frac{2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_f - Z_f^2}{\deg \mathcal{G}}$  for  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  and  $\deg \mathcal{G} \neq 0$ .
- $d_f = N_f \cdot F$  and  $d_f^{\mathcal{G}} = N_f^{\mathcal{G}} \cdot F$  where  $N_f$  (resp.  $N_f^{\mathcal{G}}$ ) is the last Miyaoka divisor for  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

Now, we are ready to prove our main results:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a fibration from a smooth complex projective surface to a smooth complex projective curve, let  $D$  be an arbitrary divisor on  $S$  such that  $\text{rk } \mathcal{E} = \text{rk}(f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)) > 1$ . Then:*

1. *If  $D$  is nef and  $\mu_f \geq 0$ . Then:*

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_f}{d_f + \alpha} \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

2. *If  $D$  is nef,  $\mu_f < 0$  and  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef locally free sub-sheaf, we have:*

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_f^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_f^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} \deg \mathcal{G}.$$

3. *If ( $\mu_f < 0$  and there is no nef locally free sub-sheaf of  $\mathcal{E}$ ) or ( $D$  is not nef and ( $\mu_f > 0$  or ( $\mu_f = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is not semi-stable))), we have:*

$$D^2 \geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_f}{d_f + \alpha} + N_D \right) \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

4. *If  $D$  is not nef,  $\mu_f < 0$  and  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef locally free sub-sheaf such that:  $\mu_f^{\mathcal{G}} > 0$  or ( $\mu_f^{\mathcal{G}} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is not semi-stable). Then:*

$$D^2 \geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_f^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_f^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} + N_D^{\mathcal{G}} \right) \deg \mathcal{G}.$$

5. *Otherwise, if  $D^2 < 0$  and  $\forall \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  nef locally free sub-sheaf  $\implies \deg \mathcal{G} = 0$ . There is no sense to the slope inequality.*

*Proof.* For 1., Let  $D$  is nef and  $\mu_f \geq 0$  such that:

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{E}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Following the previous discussion in section 2, we consider a suitable blow up  $\epsilon : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  and over  $\tilde{S}$ , we define the fixed part  $Z_i = Z(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$  and the moving part  $M_i = M(D, \mathcal{F}_i)$  of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . By (Lemma 4.1, see Remark 4.2) we have:

$$D^2 = (\epsilon^* D)^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^k (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}).$$

By the Theorem 3.9, if  $N_1|_F$  is non special and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ . Then we take:

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{g}{h^0(D, D|_F) - 1},$$

and else  $\alpha = 2$  such that:

$$D^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\alpha(r_i - 1) + \alpha(r_{i+1} - 1))(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + 2d_k\mu_k.$$

Because  $d_i \geq \alpha(r_i - 1)$  and  $d_{k+1} \geq d_k$ . Since  $r_{i+1} \geq r_i + 1$ . Thus:

$$D^2 \geq 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) - \alpha(\mu_1 - \mu_k) + 2d_k\mu_k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &\geq 2\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + r_k\mu_k \right) - 2\alpha r_k\mu_k - \alpha(\mu_1 - \mu_k) + 2d_k\mu_k \\ &= 2\alpha \deg(f_*(\mathcal{O}_S(D))) - \alpha\mu_1 + (\alpha - 2\alpha r_k + 2d_k)\mu_k \\ &\implies D^2 \geq 2\alpha \deg(f_*(\mathcal{O}_S(D))) - \alpha(\mu_1 + \mu_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if:

$$\frac{d_k + \alpha}{2\alpha}(\mu_1 + \mu_k) \leq \deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

Then:

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_k}{d_k + \alpha} \deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

Else, we apply [24, Lemma 2] for:

$$Z_1 \geq Z_k,$$

and

$$\mu_1 > \mu_k.$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &\geq (\mu_1 - \mu_k)(d_1 + d_k) + \mu_k(d_k + d_{k+1}) \\ &\implies D^2 \geq d_k(\mu_1 + \mu_k) \\ &D^2 > \frac{2\alpha d_k}{d_k + \alpha} \deg f_*\mathcal{O}_S(D). \end{aligned}$$

For 2., if  $D$  is nef,  $\mu_k < 0$  and if  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef sub-vector bundle, using the same method for the first point with  $\mathcal{G}$ , we deduce:

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_k^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_k^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} \deg \mathcal{G}.$$



For 3., if  $(\mu_f < 0$  and there is no nef locally free sub-sheaf of  $\mathcal{E})$  or  $(D$  is not nef and  $(\mu_f > 0$  or  $(\mu_f = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is not semi-stable)). By the Lemma 4.1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
D^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (d_i + d_{i+1})(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 + 2\mu_k d_k \\
\implies D^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\alpha(r_i - 1) + \alpha(r_{i+1} - 1))(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + 2d_k \mu_k + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 \\
\implies D^2 &\geq 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) - \alpha(\mu_1 - \mu_k) + 2d_k \mu_k + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 \\
\implies D^2 &\geq 2\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i (\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}) + r_k \mu_k \right) - 2\alpha r_k \mu_k - \alpha(\mu_1 - \mu_k) + 2d_k \mu_k + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2 \\
\implies D^2 &\geq 2\alpha \deg(f_*(\mathcal{O}_S(D))) - \alpha(\mu_1 + \mu_k) + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\frac{d_k + \alpha}{2\alpha} (\mu_1 + \mu_k) \leq \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D).$$

Then:

$$D^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_k}{d_k + \alpha} \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2.$$

Else, we apply the Lemma 4.1 for:

$$Z_1 \geq Z_K,$$

and

$$\mu_1 > \mu_k.$$

So:

$$D^2 > \frac{2\alpha d_k}{d_k + \alpha} \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D) + 2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
N_D &:= \frac{2\epsilon^* D \cdot Z_k - Z_k^2}{\deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)} \\
\implies D^2 &\geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_k}{d_k + \alpha} + N_D \right) \deg f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D).
\end{aligned}$$

For 4., if  $D$  is not nef,  $\mu_k < 0$  and  $\exists \mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$  nef sub-vector bundle such that  $\mu_k^{\mathcal{G}} > 0$  or  $(\mu_k^{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is not semi stable). Then using the same arguments above, we deduce:

$$D^2 \geq \left( \frac{2\alpha d_k^{\mathcal{G}}}{d_k^{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha} + N_D^{\mathcal{G}} \right) \deg \mathcal{G}.$$

□

**Remark 4.5.** The result in the first point of the Theorem 4.4 is the same in [23, Theorem 3.20].

For the special case that  $D = K_{S/C}$ , Theorem 4.4 yields:

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a relatively minimal fibration with  $g(F) \geq 2$  and  $D = K_{S/C}$ . Then:*

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{g-1}{g} \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}.$$

*Proof.*  $K_{S/C}$  is a nef divisor and by [8],  $f_* \omega_{S/C}$  is nef vector bundle so  $\mu_k \geq 0$ . Then by the first point of the Theorem 4.4 we have:

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha d_f}{d_f + \alpha} \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}.$$

Such that:  $\alpha = \min\{2, 1 + \frac{g}{g-1}\} = 2$  and  $d_f = 2g - 2 - Z.F$ . But by construction  $Z$  is contained in the fiber. Thus:  $Z.F = 0$  and we deduce the original result of Xiao [24]

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{g-1}{g} \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}.$$

□

As a final observation, in the setting of Corollary 4.6, when the Miyaoka divisor  $N_1$  restricted to the general fiber  $F$  is nonspecial and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ , then Corollary 4.6 takes the following more refined form.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow C$  be a relatively minimal fibration with  $g(F) \geq 2$  and  $D = K_{S/C}$ , if  $N_1|_F$  is nonspecial and  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$ . Then:*

$$K_{S/C}^2 \geq 4 \frac{(g-1)(2g-1)}{(g-1)(2g-1) + g} \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}.$$

*Proof.* In this case  $\alpha = 1 + \frac{g}{g-1}$ , so we deduce the result. □

**Remark 4.8.** The inequality in the Corollary 4.7 is more sharp than from the Corollary 4.6.

**Remark 4.9.** If  $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_1) > 1$  where  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is the maximal destabilizing sub-vector bundle of  $f_* \mathcal{O}_S(D)$ . Then the condition  $h^0(F, N_1|_F) > 1$  is verified by the Lemma 3.5.

## References

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] Arnaud Beauville. *Complex algebraic surfaces*, volume 68 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983. Translated from the French by R. Barlow, N. I. Shepherd-Barron and M. Reid.
- [3] Fabrizio Catanese and Michael Dettweiler. The direct image of the relative dualizing sheaf needs not be semiample. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 352(3):241–244, 2014.

- [4] Fabrizio Catanese and Michael Dettweiler. Vector bundles on curves coming from variation of Hodge structures. *Internat. J. Math.*, 27(7):1640001, 25, 2016.
- [5] Fabrizio Catanese and Michael Dettweiler. Answer to a question by Fujita on variation of Hodge structures. In *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday*, volume 74 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 73–102. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [6] Maurizio Cornalba and Joe Harris. Divisor classes associated to families of stable varieties, with applications to the moduli space of curves. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 21(3):455–475, 1988.
- [7] Francesco di Severi. La serie canonica e la teoria delle serie principali di gruppi di punti sopra una superficie algebrica. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 4(1):268–326, 1932.
- [8] Takao Fujita. On Kähler fiber spaces over curves. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 30(4):779–794, 1978.
- [9] Takao Fujita. The sheaf of relative canonical forms of a Kähler fiber space over a curve. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 54(7):183–184, 1978.
- [10] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan. On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves. *Math. Ann.*, 212:215–248, 1974/75.
- [11] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [12] E. Horikawa. On algebraic surfaces with pencils of curves of genus 2. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 79–90. Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1977.
- [13] Andreas Høring. Positivity of direct image sheaves—a geometric point of view. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 56(1-2):87–142, 2010.
- [14] Kazuhiro Konno. Clifford index and the slope of fibered surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 8(2):207–220, 1999.
- [15] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.
- [16] Marco Manetti. Surfaces of Albanese general type and the Severi conjecture. *Math. Nachr.*, 261/262:105–122, 2003.
- [17] Yoichi Miyaoka. The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 449–476. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [18] Christophe Mourougane. Théorèmes d’annulation générique pour les fibrés vectoriels semi-négatifs. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 127(1):115–133, 1999.
- [19] Noboru Nakayama. *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, volume 14 of *MSJ Memoirs*. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [20] Koji Ohno. Some inequalities for minimal fibrations of surfaces of general type over curves. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 44(4):643–666, 1992.

- [21] Rita Pardini. The Severi inequality  $K^2 \geq 4\chi$  for surfaces of maximal Albanese dimension. *Invent. Math.*, 159(3):669–672, 2005.
- [22] Miles Reid.  $\pi_1$  for surfaces with small  $K^2$ . In *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978)*, volume 732 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 534–544. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [23] Enea Riva and Lidia Stoppino. The slope of fibred surfaces: unitary rank and Clifford index. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 124(1):83–105, 2022.
- [24] Gang Xiao. Fibered algebraic surfaces with low slope. *Math. Ann.*, 276(3):449–466, 1987.
- [25] Xinyi Yuan and Tong Zhang. Relative Noether inequality on fibered surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 259:89–115, 2014.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UQAM, C.P. 8888, SUCCURSALE CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, H3C 3P8, CANADA

*E-mail address* : `benammar_ammam.houari@courrier.uqam.ca`