

A note on connectedness of Blaschke products

Yue Xin and Bingzhe Hou

Abstract. Consider the space \mathcal{F} of all inner functions on the unit open disk under the uniform topology, which is a metric topology induced by the H^∞ -norm. In the present paper, a class of Blaschke products, denoted by \mathcal{H}_{SC} , is introduced. We prove that for each $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$, B and zB belong to the same path-connected component of \mathcal{F} . It plays an important role of a method to select a fine subsequence of zeros. As a byproduct, we obtain that each Blaschke product in \mathcal{H}_{SC} has an interpolating and one-component factor.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 30J05, 30J10; Secondary 54C35.

Keywords. Blaschke products; path-connectedness; pseudo-hyperbolic distance; interpolating; one-component.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit open disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and let $\partial\mathbb{D}$ be the boundary of \mathbb{D} , i.e., the unit circle. The pseudo-hyperbolic distance on the unit open disk \mathbb{D} , denoted by ρ , is given by

$$\rho(z, w) = \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{z}w} \right|, \quad \text{for any } z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let H^∞ be the Banach algebra of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} equipped with the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. A bounded analytic function f on \mathbb{D} is called an inner function if it has unimodular radial limits almost everywhere on the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of \mathbb{D} . Furthermore, denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all inner functions. We are interested in the space \mathcal{F} under uniform topology, which is a metric topology induced by the H^∞ -norm. Notice that the uniform topology on \mathcal{F} is very complicated and interesting, see [6, 9, 10] for instance.

A Blaschke product is an inner function of the form

$$B(z) = \lambda z^m \prod_n \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z},$$

where m is a nonnegative integer, λ is a complex number with $|\lambda| = 1$, and $\{z_n\}$ is a sequence of points in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the Blaschke condition $\sum_n (1 - |z_n|) < \infty$. Moreover, if $\lambda = 1$, we say that B is normalized.

If for every bounded sequence of complex numbers $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, there exists f in H^∞ satisfying $f(z_n) = w_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then both the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and the Blaschke product $B(z)$ are called interpolating. Following from a celebrated result of Carleson [3], one can see that $B(z)$ is an interpolating Blaschke product if and only if $\{z_n\}$ is a uniformly separated sequence, i.e.,

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{k \neq n} \left| \frac{z_k - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_k z_n} \right| > 0.$$

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k \neq n} \left| \frac{z_k - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_k z_n} \right| = 1,$$

both the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and the Blaschke product $B(z)$ are called thin. In addition, A Blaschke product is called Carleson-Newman if it is a product of finitely many interpolating Blaschke products. Interpolating Blaschke products and Carleson-Newman Blaschke products play an important role in the study of H^∞ . As well-known, inner functions can be approximated uniformly by Blaschke products, and Carleson-Newman Blaschke products can be approximated uniformly by interpolating Blaschke products [8]. However, there is still an open problem whether the set of all interpolation Blaschke products is dense in the inner function space \mathcal{F} . There has been obtained some related results. For instance, Marshall ([7]) proved that finite linear combinations of Blaschke products are dense in H^∞ ; Nicolau and Suárez [11] characterize the connected components of the subset CN^* of H^∞ formed by the products bh , where b is a Carleson-Newman Blaschke product and $h \in H^\infty$ is an invertible function.

In particular, a result of K. Tse [13] tells us that a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of points contained in a Stolz domain

$$\{z \in \mathbb{D} : |1 - \bar{\xi}z| \leq C(1 - |z|)\},$$

where ξ is a constant with $|\xi| = 1$, is interpolating if and only if it is separated, i.e.,

$$\inf_{m \neq n} \rho(z_m, z_n) > 0.$$

Then, if the zero points lie in a Stolz domain, we may say something more about the Blaschke products. For example, A. Reijonen gave a sufficient condition for a Blaschke product with zeros in a Stolz domain to be a one-component inner function in [12]. An inner function u in H^∞ is said to be one-component if there is $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that the level set $\Omega_u(\eta) := \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |u(z)| < \eta\}$ is connected. More details of one-component inner functions, we refer to [1, 4, 12].

In this paper, we focus on the path-connected components of the space \mathcal{F} under the uniform topology. Recall that the topology of the uniform convergence on the set \mathcal{F} is induced by the following metric

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z) - g(z)| = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ess} |f(e^{i\theta}) - g(e^{i\theta})|.$$

For any two inner functions f and g , if they belong to the same path-connected component in the space \mathcal{F} , we denote $f \sim g$.

The path of inner functions has always been of great interest and is related to many important issues. D. Herrero [6] considered the path-connected components of the space \mathcal{F} . He showed that a component of \mathcal{F} can contain nothing but Blaschke products with infinitely many zeroes, exactly one (up to a constant factor) singular inner function or infinitely many pairwise coprime singular inner functions, which answered a problem of Douglas. Furthermore, V. Nestoridis studied the invariant and noninvariant connected components of the inner functions space \mathcal{F} . He proved that the inner functions $d(z) = \exp\{(z+1)/(z-1)\}$ and zd belong to the same connected component [9], and gave a family of inner functions, denoted by H , such that for every $B \in H$, B and zB don't belong to the same component [10]. In particular, the family H contains only Blaschke products, and contains all of thin Blaschke products. In addition, several authors have studied the connected component of inner functions in the context of model spaces and operator theory (see [2, 5] for instance).

In the present paper, we aim to give a class of Blaschke products, denoted by \mathcal{H}_{SC} , such that each $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$, B and zB belong to the same connected component. Firstly, let us define a class of subsets of the unit open disk, named strip cones and denoted by $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. In this paper, we study the Blaschke products with zeros lying in a strip cone.

Definition 1.1. Let $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, T_1 and T_2 be two nonzero real numbers. Denote by J_i the arc on the circle

$$|z - (1 - T_i e^{i\theta})\xi| = |T_i|$$

in the unit open disk, for $i = 1, 2$. Write ξ_i as the intersection point of J_i and $\partial\mathbb{D}$ other than ξ , $i = 1, 2$. We define $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ is the region bounded by J_1 , J_2 and $\widehat{\xi_1 \xi_2}$ which is the arc on the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$ from ξ_1 to ξ_2 without ξ , and call it a strip cone. If $T_1 = T_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ is just the arc $J_1 = J_2$. In particular, if T_1 and T_2 are infinity, then $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ is just the segment $J_1 = J_2 = (-1, 1)$.

One can see some examples of strip cones in Figure 1. Next, we explain why we name it strip cone.

Definition 1.2. Let $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, and L_1 and L_2 be two parallel straight lines with an angle of θ to the real axis. Denote by $SL(\theta, L_1, L_2)$ the strip region between L_1 and L_2 in the right half plane.

Given any strip cone $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. Consider the fractional linear transformation $\varphi_\xi(z) = (\xi + z)/(\xi - z)$, where $|\xi| = 1$. It is easy to see





FIGURE 1. Examples of strip cones

that φ_ξ maps the unit open disk onto the right half plane, and map the arcs J_1 and J_2 in Definition 1.1 to some parallel straight lines L_1 and L_2 with the angle of θ to the imaginary axis in the right half plane. Then, φ_ξ is an analytic bijection from $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ to $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, L_1, L_2)$ (see Figure 2 for instance). This is the reason we call the subset $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ strip cone. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi = 1$, because it is no difference to deal with $\xi = 1$ and general ξ with $|\xi| = 1$. Moreover, we always denote $\varphi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$ through this paper.

FIGURE 2. $\varphi(z)$ maps $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ onto $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, L_1, L_2)$

Definition 1.3. Denote by \mathcal{H}_{SC} the family of all Blaschke products B satisfying the following conditions,

- (i) the zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of B lie in some certain strip cone $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$;
- (ii) $|z - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to 0;
- (iii) there exists a positive number $\delta < 1$, such that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we show our main result as the following theorem.

Main Theorem For any $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$, B and zB belong to the same path-connected component of the inner functions space \mathcal{F} under the uniform topology.

In the next section, we will introduce a method to select a "fine" factor of a Blaschke product in \mathcal{H}_{SC} , which plays an important role to prove the main theorem. As a byproduct, we obtain that each Blaschke product in \mathcal{H}_{SC} has an interpolating and one-component factor. Then, we will complete the proof of the main theorem in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

First of all, let us start from the following simple result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f = \varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2$ and $g = \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2$, where $f, g, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. If $\varphi_1 \sim \psi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \sim \psi_2$, then $f \sim g$. In particular, if $\varphi_1 \sim z\varphi_1$, then $f \sim zf$.*

To prove $B \sim zB$, it suffices to prove $\widetilde{B} \sim z\widetilde{B}$, if \widetilde{B} is factor of B . In this section, it will be shown that we can select a factor \widetilde{B} of B such that \widetilde{B} satisfies more conditions than B . The major is how to choose a subsequence of the zeros sequence of B . Recall that for a Blaschke product $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$ with zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, there exists a positive number $\delta < 1$, such that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let a, a', b, b' be real numbers satisfying*

$$0 \leq a \leq a' < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq b \leq b' < 1.$$

Then,

$$\frac{a+b}{1+ab} \leq \frac{a'+b'}{1+a'b'}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a'+b'}{1+a'b'} - \frac{a+b}{1+ab} &= \frac{(a'+b'+a'ab+abb') - (a+b+aa'b'+a'bb')}{(1+ab)(1+a'b')} \\ &= \frac{(a'-a)(1-bb') + (b'-b)(1-a'a)}{(1+ab)(1+a'b')} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers in the unit open disk, satisfying that*

1. *for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho(z_m, z_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;*
2. *there exists a positive number $0 < \delta < 1$, such that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Then, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we can choose a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}_{n_k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{1 + \varepsilon \delta} < 1.$$

Proof. Given any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Put $z_{n_1} = z_1$. Since $\rho(z_{n_1}, z_i) \rightarrow 1$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose

$$n_2 = \min\{i; \rho(z_{n_1}, z_i) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

It is obvious that $\rho(z_{n_1}, z_{n_2}) \geq \varepsilon$.

With the same method, we choose n_{k+1} by

$$n_{k+1} = \min\{i; i > n_k, \rho(z_{n_k}, z_i) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, $\rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}}) \geq \varepsilon$. Moreover, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$0 \leq \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}-1}) < \varepsilon < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \rho(z_{n_{k+1}-1}, z_{n_{k+1}}) < \delta < 1.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\frac{\rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}-1}) + \rho(z_{n_{k+1}-1}, z_{n_{k+1}})}{1 + \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}-1})\rho(z_{n_{k+1}-1}, z_{n_{k+1}})} \leq \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{1 + \varepsilon\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \frac{\rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}-1}) + \rho(z_{n_{k+1}-1}, z_{n_{k+1}})}{1 + \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}-1})\rho(z_{n_{k+1}-1}, z_{n_{k+1}})} \leq \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{1 + \varepsilon\delta} < 1.$$

□

Lemma 2.4. Let α and β be two complex numbers in the unit open disk with $|1 - \alpha| < |1 - \beta|$. Denote $\alpha = s_1 + \mathbf{i}(1 - s_1) \cot \theta_1$ and $\beta = s_2 + \mathbf{i}(1 - s_2) \cot \theta_2$, where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, \pi)$. For the pair of α and β , let the positive numbers $\varepsilon, \delta, \theta_0, C, \tau$ and η satisfy the following conditions,

- (1) $0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(\alpha, \beta) \leq \delta < 1$;
- (2) $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi)$ and $C = |1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_0|$;
- (3) $|\cot \theta_i - \cot \theta_0| < \tau < \sqrt{\frac{3C^2\varepsilon^2}{16C^2(1-\varepsilon^2)+3\varepsilon^2}}$, for $i = 1, 2$;
- (4) $3 \leq 4 - 2\eta \leq (1 + s_1 - (1 - s_1) \cot^2 \theta_1)(1 + s_2 - (1 - s_2) \cot^2 \theta_2) \leq 4$.

Then

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \beta} \right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} \left(\frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{2\delta^2 - 2\sqrt{\delta^4 + \delta^2(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \delta^2)}}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \delta^2)} \right),$$

$$C_2 = \frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} \left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2 - \sqrt{(2 - \eta)^2\varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(2 - \eta)(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{(C - \tau)^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)} \right).$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, it follows from condition (3) that

$$|(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_i) - (1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_0)| < \tau,$$

and consequently,

$$0 < C - \tau < |1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_i| < C + \tau.$$

Denote

$$K = \frac{1 - s_1}{1 - s_2}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < (1 - s_1)(C - \tau) &\leq |1 - \alpha| = (1 - s_1)|1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1| \leq (1 - s_1)(C + \tau), \\ 0 < (1 - s_2)(C - \tau) &\leq |1 - \beta| = (1 - s_2)|1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2| \leq (1 - s_2)(C + \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{K(C - \tau)}{C + \tau} \leq \left| \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \beta} \right| \leq \frac{K(C + \tau)}{C - \tau}. \quad (2.1)$$

Since

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta)^2 = \frac{|\alpha - \beta|^2}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}\beta)(1 - \bar{\beta}\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} + 1},$$

it follows from condition (1) that

$$0 < \frac{1}{\delta^2} - 1 \leq \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Consider $\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \\ &= \frac{(1 - (s_1^2 + (1 - s_1)^2 \cot^2 \theta_1)) \cdot (1 - (s_2^2 + (1 - s_2)^2 \cot^2 \theta_2))}{|(1 - \beta) - (1 - \alpha)|^2} \\ &= \frac{(1 - s_1)(1 - s_2)(1 + s_1 - (1 - s_1) \cot^2 \theta_1)(1 + s_2 - (1 - s_2) \cot^2 \theta_2)}{|(1 - s_1)(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1) - (1 - s_2)(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$W_1 = 1 + s_1 - (1 - s_1) \cot^2 \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad W_2 = 1 + s_2 - (1 - s_2) \cot^2 \theta_2.$$

Then,

$$3 \leq 4 - 2\eta \leq W_1 \cdot W_2 \leq 4.$$

Now we give the lower bound and upper bound of K , respectively. Then, by inequality (2.1), we can complete the proof.

Lower bound of K . If $K \leq \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} &= \frac{(1 - s_1)(1 - s_2)W_1W_2}{|(1 - s_1)(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1) - (1 - s_2)(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2)|^2} \\ &= \frac{KW_1W_2}{|K(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1) - (1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4K}{(|(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2)| - |K(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1)|)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{4K}{((C - \tau) - K(C + \tau))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from inequality (2.2) that

$$\frac{4K}{((C - \tau) - K(C + \tau))^2} \geq \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \geq \frac{1}{\delta^2} - 1.$$

Then,

$$K^2 - 2 \left(\frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{2\delta^2}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \delta^2)} \right) K + \frac{(C - \tau)^2}{(C + \tau)^2} \leq 0,$$

Let a_1 and a_2 be the two roots of the above quadratic polynomial of K ,

$$a_1 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{2\delta^2 - 2\sqrt{\delta^4 + \delta^2(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \delta^2)}}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \delta^2)},$$

$$a_2 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{2\delta^2 + 2\sqrt{\delta^4 + \delta^2(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \delta^2)}}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \delta^2)}.$$

One can see that

$$0 < a_1 < \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} < a_2.$$

Then, we always have

$$K \geq a_1 > 0.$$

Moreover, put $C_1 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} \cdot a_1$,

$$\left| \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \beta} \right| \geq \frac{K(C - \tau)}{C + \tau} \geq C_1 > 0.$$

Upper bound of K . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \\ &= \frac{KW_1W_2}{|K(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_1) - (1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_2)|^2} \\ &= \frac{KW_1W_2}{|iK(\cot \theta_0 - \cot \theta_1) - i(\cot \theta_0 - \cot \theta_2) + (K - 1)(1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_0)|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{(|K(\cot \theta_0 - \cot \theta_1)| + |\cot \theta_0 - \cot \theta_2| + |(K - 1)C|)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{(|(K - 1)C + (K + 1)\tau|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, let us prove that K must be less than 1. If $K \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \geq \frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{((1 - K)C + (K + 1)\tau)^2}.$$

It follows from inequality (2.2) that

$$\frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{((K - 1)C + (K + 1)\tau)^2} \leq \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - 1.$$

Then,

$$K^2 - 2 \left(\frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon^2)(C + \tau)^2} \right) K + \frac{(C - \tau)^2}{(C + \tau)^2} \geq 0,$$

Let λ_1 and λ_2 be the two roots of the above quadratic polynomial of K ,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2 - \sqrt{(2 - \eta)^2\varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(2 - \eta)(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)},$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{(2 - \eta)^2\varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(2 - \eta)(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{(C + \tau)^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon^2)(C + \tau)^2}\right)\left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau}\right) + \frac{(C - \tau)^2}{(C + \tau)^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} - \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau}\right)^2 - \frac{(4 - 2\eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon^2)(C + \tau)^2} \cdot \left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau}\right) \\ &= \frac{16C^2\tau^2}{(C^2 - \tau^2)^2} - \frac{(4 - 2\eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon^2)(C^2 - \tau^2)} \\ &= \frac{16C^2}{(C^2 - \tau^2)^2} \left(\tau^2 - \frac{3\varepsilon^2(C^2 - \tau^2)}{16C^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}\right) \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

one can see that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} < 1 < \frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} < \lambda_2.$$

Then, we have

$$K \geq \lambda_2 > \frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} > 1.$$

However, by inequality (2.1),

$$\left|\frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \beta}\right| \geq \frac{K(C - \tau)}{C + \tau} \geq \lambda_2 \cdot \frac{C - \tau}{C + \tau} > 1.$$

It is a contradiction to $|1 - \alpha| < |1 - \beta|$.

Now we have known $K < 1$. Then,

$$\frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \geq \frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{((1 - K)C + (K + 1)\tau)^2}.$$

It follows from inequality (2.2) that

$$\frac{(4 - 2\eta)K}{((1 - K)C + (K + 1)\tau)^2} \leq \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)(1 - |\beta|^2)}{|\alpha - \beta|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - 1.$$

Then,

$$K^2 - 2\left(\frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon^2)(C - \tau)^2}\right)K + \frac{(C + \tau)^2}{(C - \tau)^2} \geq 0.$$

Let A_1 and A_2 be the two roots of the above quadratic polynomial of K ,

$$A_1 = \frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2 - \sqrt{(2 - \eta)^2\varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(2 - \eta)(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{(C - \tau)^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)},$$

$$A_2 = \frac{C + \tau}{C - \tau} + \frac{(2 - \eta)\varepsilon^2 + \sqrt{(2 - \eta)^2\varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(2 - \eta)(C^2 - \tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon^2)}}{(C - \tau)^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{C+\tau}{C-\tau} + \frac{(2-\eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon^2)(C-\tau)^2}\right)\left(\frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau}\right) + \frac{(C+\tau)^2}{(C-\tau)^2} \\
&= \left(\frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau} - \frac{C+\tau}{C-\tau}\right)^2 - \frac{(4-2\eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon^2)(C-\tau)^2} \cdot \left(\frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau}\right) \\
&= \frac{16C^2\tau^2}{(C^2-\tau^2)^2} - \frac{(4-2\eta)\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon^2)(C^2-\tau^2)} \\
&= \frac{16C^2}{(C^2-\tau^2)^2} \left(\tau^2 - \frac{3\varepsilon^2(C^2-\tau^2)}{16C^2(1-\varepsilon^2)}\right) \\
&< 0,
\end{aligned}$$

one can see that

$$0 < A_1 < \frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau} < 1 < A_2.$$

Then, we always have

$$K \leq A_1 < \frac{C-\tau}{C+\tau} < 1.$$

Moreover, put $C_2 = \frac{C+\tau}{C-\tau} \cdot A_1$,

$$\left|\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta}\right| \leq \frac{K(C+\tau)}{C-\tau} \leq C_2 < 1.$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of complex numbers in a strip cone $SC(\xi, \theta_0, T_1, T_2)$, $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi)$, satisfying that*

1. $|1 - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
2. there exist two positive numbers $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta < 1$, such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta < 1.$$

Then there exists a positive integer N and two positive constants C_1 and C_2 , such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left|\frac{1-z_{n+1}}{1-z_n}\right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

Furthermore, this implies $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |1 - z_n| < \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ lie in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta_0, T_1, T_2)$ (see Figure 3).

Denote

$$z_n = x_n + \mathbf{i}(1 - x_n) \cot \theta_n, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$C = |1 - \mathbf{i} \cot \theta_0|.$$

Following from $z_n \in SC(1, \theta_0, T_1, T_2)$, we could also denote

$$z_n = (1 - t_n e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_0}) + t_n e^{\mathbf{i}\zeta_n},$$




FIGURE 3. Zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $B(z)$

where $t_n \in [T_1, T_2]$ if $T_1 T_2 > 0$, and $t_n \in (-\infty, T_1] \cup [T_2, +\infty)$ if $T_1 T_2 < 0$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n (e^{i\theta_0} - e^{i\zeta_n}) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - x_n.$$

Notice that t_n is uniformly far away from 0 whenever $T_1 T_2 > 0$ or $T_1 T_2 < 0$. Then, $\zeta_n \rightarrow \theta_0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cot \theta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Im} z_n}{1 - \text{Re} z_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t_n (\sin \zeta_n - \sin \theta_0)}{t_n (\cos \zeta_n - \cos \theta_0)} = \cot \theta_0.$$

Hence, for any two positive number

$$0 < \eta \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \tau \leq \sqrt{\frac{3C^2 \varepsilon^2}{16C^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) + 3\varepsilon^2}},$$

there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq N$,

$$3 \leq 4 - 2\eta \leq (1 + x_n - (1 - x_n) \cot^2 \theta_n)(1 + x_{n+1} - (1 - x_{n+1}) \cot^2 \theta_{n+1}) \leq 4$$

and

$$|\cot \theta_n - \cot \theta_0| < \tau.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 , such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 - z_n} \right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

Furthermore, this implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - z_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - z_1| C_2^{n-1} = \frac{|1 - z_1|}{1 - C_2} < \infty.$$

□

Now, we show that one can select a "fine" subsequence of zeros of each Blaschke product $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$.

Definition 2.6. A sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be fine, if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) The sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in some certain strip cone $SC(\xi, \theta, T_1, T_2)$.
- (2) $|\xi - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to ξ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (3) There exist two positive numbers $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta < 1$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta.$$

Moreover, there exists a positive integer N and two positive constants C_1 and C_2 , such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{\xi - z_{n+1}}{\xi - z_n} \right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

In particular, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi - z_n| < \infty$.

- (4) $\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{\xi}(z_n)$ monotonically tends to $+\infty$, where $\varphi_{\xi}(z) = (\xi + z)/(\xi - z)$.
Moreover, there are two positive numbers \widetilde{C}_1 and \widetilde{C}_2 such that

$$0 < \widetilde{C}_1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{\xi}(z_n)}{\operatorname{Re} \varphi_{\xi}(z_{n+1})} \leq \widetilde{C}_2 < 1.$$

Furthermore, a Blaschke product is said to be fine if its zeros sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is fine. And denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ the family of all fine Blaschke products.

Lemma 2.7. Let B be a Blaschke product in \mathcal{H}_{SC} with zeros $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then B has a factor in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$, i.e., the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a fine subsequence.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ lies in some certain strip cone $SC(1, \theta_0, T_1, T_2)$. Recall that $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the following conditions.

1. $|1 - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. There exists a positive number $0 < \delta < 1$, such that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \delta$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0, L_1, L_2)$ the image of the strip cone $SC(1, \theta_0, T_1, T_2)$ under φ . We may write

$$L_1 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0\right) \cdot x - c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0\right) \cdot x - c_2 = 0.$$

Since $|1 - z_{n+1}| \leq |1 - z_n|$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(z_{n+1})| &= \left| \frac{1 + z_{n+1}}{1 - z_{n+1}} \right| \\ &= \left| 1 - \frac{2}{1 - z_{n+1}} \right| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{2}{1 - z_{n+1}} \right| - 1 \\ &\geq \left| \frac{2}{1 - z_n} \right| - 1 \\ &\geq \left| \frac{2}{1 - z_n} - 1 \right| - 2 \\ &= |\varphi(z_n)| - 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and positive numbers ε and δ , such that

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho(z_{n_k}, z_{n_{k+1}}) \leq \delta < 1.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.5, there are positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - z_{n_{k+1}}}{1 - z_{n_k}} \right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

Since $z_{n_k} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varphi(z_{n_k}) \in SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0, L_1, L_2)$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_{n_k})| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1 + z_{n_k}}{1 - z_{n_k}} \right| = +\infty,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_k})}{|\varphi(z_{n_k})|} = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_0\right) > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\varphi(z_{n_k})}{\varphi(z_{n_{k+1}})} \right| \Big/ \left| \frac{1 - z_{n_{k+1}}}{1 - z_{n_k}} \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1 + z_{n_k}}{1 + z_{n_{k+1}}} \right| = 1.$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_k})}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_{k+1}})} \right) \Big/ \left| \frac{1 - z_{n_{k+1}}}{1 - z_{n_k}} \right| = 1.$$

Then, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that for any $k \geq k_0$,

$$0 < \frac{C_1}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_k})}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_{k+1}})} \leq \frac{1 + C_2}{2} < 1.$$

Therefore, the subsequence $\{z_{n_k}\}_{k=k_0}^\infty$ is as required. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_{k+1}}) > \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n_k}).$$

□

Then, to prove our main theorem, it suffices to consider the Blaschke products in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$.

By the way, we could also find that fine sequences implies some other properties of Blaschke products. More precisely, we could obtain that each $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$ has a factor being an interpolating and one-component Blaschke product.

Lemma 2.8 (Corollary 2.5 in [4]). *Let B be a Blaschke product whose zeros z_n are contained in a Stolz domain and are separated. Suppose that $\rho(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \eta < 1$. Then B is a one-component inner function.*

Theorem 2.9. *Each $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$ has an interpolating and one-component Blaschke product factor.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, it suffices to prove that each $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ has an interpolating and one-component Blaschke product factor. Obviously, any fine sequence has a tail contained in some certain Stolz domain, and then a Blaschke product in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ is interpolating if and only if its zeros sequence is separated, i.e.,

$$\inf_{m \neq n} \rho(z_n, z_m) > 0.$$

Furthermore, together with Lemma 2.8, we only need to prove any fine sequence has a separated subsequence.

Without loss of generality, assume $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a fine sequence in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. Since $\varphi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, we have $z = (\varphi(z) - 1)/(\varphi(z) + 1)$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} |z_m - z_n| &= \left| \frac{\varphi(z_m) - 1}{\varphi(z_m) + 1} - \frac{\varphi(z_n) - 1}{\varphi(z_n) + 1} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2(\varphi(z_m) - \varphi(z_n))}{(\varphi(z_m) + 1)(\varphi(z_n) + 1)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \overline{z_m} z_n| &= \left| 1 - \frac{\overline{\varphi(z_m)} - 1}{\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + 1} \cdot \frac{\varphi(z_n) - 1}{\varphi(z_n) + 1} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2(\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + \varphi(z_n))}{(\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + 1)(\varphi(z_n) + 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2[\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + \varphi(z_n)]}{(\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + 1)(\varphi(z_n) + 1)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(z_m, z_n) &= \left| \frac{z_m - z_n}{1 - \overline{z_m} z_n} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{\varphi(z_m) - \varphi(z_n)}{\overline{\varphi(z_m)} + \varphi(z_n)} \right| \\
 &\geq \frac{|\varphi(z_m)| - |\varphi(z_n)|}{|\varphi(z_m)| + |\varphi(z_n)|} \\
 &= \left| \frac{1 - \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right|}{1 + \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right|} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, there are positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - z_{n_{k+1}}}{1 - z_{n_k}} \right| \leq C_2 < 1.$$

Since $|1 - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a positive integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$\left| \frac{1 + z_n}{1 + z_{n+1}} \right| \leq \frac{1 + C_2}{2C_2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_{n+1})} \right| &= \left| \frac{1 + z_n}{1 - z_n} \cdot \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 + z_{n+1}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1 + z_n}{1 + z_{n+1}} \right| \left| \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 - z_n} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{C_2 + 1}{2} \\
 &< 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for any $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{C_2 + 1}{2} \right)^{m-n} \\
 &\leq \frac{C_2 + 1}{2} \\
 &< 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(z_m, z_n) &\geq \left| \frac{1 - \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right|}{1 + \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right|} \right| \\
 &= 1 - \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_m)} \right|} + 1} \\
 &\geq 1 - \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\frac{C_2+1}{2}} + 1} \\
 &= \frac{1 - C_2}{3 + C_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\inf_{m \neq n} \rho(z_n, z_m) \geq \frac{1 - C_2}{3 + C_2} > 0.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

3. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we would prove our main theorem. Consider a Blaschke product

$$B = \lambda z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{z}_n}{|z_n|} \cdot \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}.$$

where $|\lambda| = 1$. It is well known that the finite Blaschke products with the same order are in the same component. Then, $B \sim zB$ if and only if for some certain $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\prod_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{z}_n}{|z_n|} \cdot \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z} \sim \prod_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{z}_n}{|z_n|} \cdot \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \bar{z}_n z}. \quad (3.1)$$

Recall that $\varphi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$ is the fractional linear transformation from the unit open disk to the right half plane. Let $\alpha_n(t)$ be the unique point in \mathbb{D} such that

$$\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) = (1-t)\varphi(z_n) + t\varphi(z_{n+1}),$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore, following from the idea of Nestoridis [9], define the map B_t from $[0, 1]$ to \mathcal{F} by

$$t \mapsto B_t = \prod_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n(t)}}{|\alpha_n(t)|} \cdot \frac{\alpha_n(t) - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_n(t)}z}. \quad (3.2)$$

If the above map is continuous, then the relation (3.1) holds and consequently $B \sim zB$. To prove the continuity of B_t , the following theorem plays an important role.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 1 in [9]). *Let*

$$K_1 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\alpha_n} \alpha_n - z}{|\alpha_n| 1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\beta_n} \beta_n - z}{|\beta_n| 1 - \overline{\beta_n} z}$$

be two infinite Blaschke products such that $K_1(0) > 0$ and $K_2(0) > 0$, then we have the following inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_1 - K_2\|_{\infty} &\leq \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right| + 2 \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ess} \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\beta_n) - \mathbf{i}y} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now, we would apply Lemma 3.1 to verify the continuity of the path B_t . More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_t - B_{t+\Delta t}\|_{\infty} &\leq \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n(t)}{\alpha_n(t+\Delta t)} \right| + 2 \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{1 - \alpha_n(t)}{1 - \alpha_n(t+\Delta t)} \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ess} \sum_n \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t+\Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Then, to prove $\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \|B_t - B_{t+\Delta t}\| = 0$, it suffices to prove the three items in the right of the inequality (3.4) tend to 0 as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$, there exists a positive integer $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive number K_1 such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and small positive number Δt ,*

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n(t)}{\alpha_n(t+\Delta t)} \right| \leq K_1 \Delta t.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that the zeros sequence of $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ is fine in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. By the definition of $\alpha_n(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha_n(t) &= 1 - \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(\alpha_n(t))) \\ &= 1 - \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - 1}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1} \\ &= \frac{2}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_n(t + \Delta t) - \alpha_n(t) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n(t)) - (1 - \alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) \\ &= \frac{2\Delta t(\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n))}{(\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1)(\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) + 1)} \\ &= \frac{4\Delta t(z_{n+1} - z_n)}{(1 - z_n)(1 - z_{n+1})} \cdot \frac{1}{(\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1)(\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)$ increasingly tends to $+\infty$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)}{|\varphi(z_n)|} = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) > 0,$$

there is a positive number $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1| \geq |\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1)| \geq |\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z_n) + 1)| \geq \frac{|\varphi(z_n) + 1|}{R_1}.$$

In addition, the followings hold.

- (1) The sequence $|1 - z_n|$ non-increasingly tends to 1, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) by Lemma 2.5, there exists a positive constants C_1 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 - z_n} \right|.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\alpha_n(t + \Delta t) - \alpha_n(t)| \\ &= \left| \frac{4\Delta t(z_{n+1} - z_n)}{(1 - z_n)(1 - z_{n+1})} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{(\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1)(\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) + 1)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{4\Delta t(|1 - z_{n+1}| + |1 - z_n|)}{|1 - z_n||1 - z_{n+1}|} \cdot \frac{R_1^2}{|\varphi(z_n) + 1|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{8\Delta t|1 - z_n|}{|1 - z_n||1 - z_{n+1}|} \cdot \frac{R_1^2}{\left|\frac{2}{1 - z_n}\right|^2} \\ &= 2R_1^2 \cdot \Delta t \cdot \frac{|1 - z_n|}{|1 - z_{n+1}|} \cdot |1 - z_n| \\ &\leq \frac{2R_1^2}{C_1} \cdot |\Delta t| \cdot |1 - z_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Given a positive number $0 < r < 1$, it follows from $z_n \rightarrow 1$ that there exists a positive integer $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $n \geq N_1$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$|\alpha_n(t)| \geq r.$$

Thus, considering the area of the triangle with vertices 0 , $\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)$ and $\alpha_n(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n(t)}{\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \sin \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n(t)}{\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{|\alpha_n(t + \Delta t) - \alpha_n(t)| \cdot 1}{|\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)| \cdot |\alpha_n(t)|} \\ &\leq \frac{R_1^2 \pi}{r^2 C_1} \cdot \Delta t \cdot |1 - z_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by $\sum_n |1 - z_n| < \infty$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\alpha_n(t)}{\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)} \right| \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{R_1^2 \pi}{r^2 C_1} \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} |1 - z_n|.$$

Moreover, write

$$K_1 = \frac{R_1^2 \pi}{r^2 C_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - z_n|$$

as required. □

Proposition 3.3. *For any $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$, there exists a positive integer $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive number K_2 such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and small positive number Δt ,*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ess} \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \leq K_2 \Delta t.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that the zeros sequence of $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ is fine in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. Let the strip $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, L_1, L_2)$ be the image of the strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ under the map $\varphi(z)$, where

$$L_1 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \cdot x - c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \cdot x - c_2 = 0.$$

Denote by L the straight line passing $\varphi(z_n)$ and parallel L_1 , and denote by ω_n the angle between L and the straight line passing through $\varphi(z_n)$ and $\varphi(z_{n+1})$. Then

$$\sin \omega_n \leq \frac{|c_1 - c_2|}{|\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n)|}.$$

Since there are positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \left| \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 - z_n} \right| \leq C_2 < 1,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\varphi(z_n)}{\varphi(z_{n+1})} \right| \Big/ \left| \frac{1 - z_{n+1}}{1 - z_n} \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1 + z_n}{1 + z_{n+1}} \right| = 1,$$

one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(z_n)| \left(\frac{|\varphi(z_{n+1})|}{|\varphi(z_n)|} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{C_2} - 1 \right) |\varphi(z_n)| \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_n \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sin \omega_n \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_1 - c_2|}{|\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n)|} = 0,$$

that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \arg(\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n)) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta.$$

Denote by ϑ_n the angle between the imaginary axis and the straight line passing through $\varphi(z_n)$ and $\varphi(z_{n+1})$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq N_2$

$$(1 - \epsilon) \sin \theta \leq \sin \vartheta_n \leq (1 + \epsilon) \sin \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} z_n > 0.$$

Denote by h_n the distance from $\mathbf{i}y$ to the straight line passing through $\varphi(\alpha_n(t))$ and $\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t))$. Consider the area of the triangle with vertices $\mathbf{i}y$, $\varphi(\alpha_n(t))$ and $\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t))$, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin \left(\arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right) \\ &= \frac{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \varphi(\alpha_n(t))| \cdot h_n}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(\alpha_n(t))}{(1 - \epsilon) \sin \theta} \cdot \max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} (1 + \epsilon) \sin \theta}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|} \\ &= \Delta t \cdot \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \cdot \frac{(\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \cdot \max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to $+\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \left(\arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right) \\ &\leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)}{2(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{(\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \cdot \max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\ &\leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)}{2(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{(\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \cdot \max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{|\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)| \cdot |\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)|} \\ &= \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)}{2(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1})}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)} \\ &\leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{2\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

(1) Suppose that y satisfies $\min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} \leq |c_1 - c_2|$.

In this case,

$$\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} \leq \min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} + |c_1 - c_2| \leq 2|c_1 - c_2|.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{2\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{2|c_1 - c_2|}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)|c_1 - c_2|}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\varphi(z_n)|(1 - \epsilon) \sin \theta} \\
& = \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)|c_1 - c_2|}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)^2 \sin \theta} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \frac{|1 - z_n|}{|1 + z_n|} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)|c_1 - c_2|}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)^2 \sin \theta} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} |1 - z_n|.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) Suppose that y satisfies $\min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} \geq |c_1 - c_2|$. Let $N \geq N_2$ be the first positive such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_N) \geq \max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)} \\
& = \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \frac{\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_N)} \cdot \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_N)} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{C}_2^k \\
& = \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1 + \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1 - \epsilon)(1 - \widetilde{C}_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$|\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \geq \min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} \sin \theta \geq |c_1 - c_2| \sin \theta.$$

It easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\max\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|} & \leq \frac{\min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} + |c_1 - c_2|}{\min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\} \sin \theta} \\
& = \left(1 + \frac{|c_1 - c_2|}{\min\{|y - c_1|, |y - c_2|\}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\sin \theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $N_2 \leq n \leq N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N_2}^{N-1} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=N_2}^{N-1} \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{2(1-\epsilon)} \cdot \frac{(\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \cdot \max\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}}{|\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y| \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{2(1-\epsilon)} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{N-1} \frac{(\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \cdot \max\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}}{\min\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\} \sin\theta \cdot |\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y|} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon) \min\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\} \sin^2\theta} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{N-1} (\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_n)) \\
& = \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon) \sin^2\theta} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_N) - \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{N_2})}{\min\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon) \sin^2\theta} \cdot \frac{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_N)}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{N-1})} \cdot \operatorname{Re}\varphi(z_{N-1})}{\min\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}} \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon) \sin^2\theta} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{\widetilde{C}_1} \max\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}}{\min\{|y-c_1|, |y-c_2|\}} \\
& = \Delta t \cdot \frac{2\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon)\widetilde{C}_1 \sin^2\theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) - \mathbf{i}y}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) - \mathbf{i}y} \right| \\
& \leq \Delta t \cdot \left(\frac{2\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon)\widetilde{C}_1 \sin^2\theta} + \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)(1-\widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1-\epsilon)(1-\widetilde{C}_2)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we could write

$$K_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)(1-\widetilde{C}_1)|c_1-c_2|}{\widetilde{C}_1(1-\epsilon)^2 \sin\theta} \cdot \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} |1-z_n|, \\ \frac{2\pi(1+\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon)\widetilde{C}_1 \sin^2\theta} + \frac{\pi(1+\epsilon)(1-\widetilde{C}_1)}{\widetilde{C}_1(1-\epsilon)(1-\widetilde{C}_2)} \end{array} \right\}$$

as required. \square

Proposition 3.4. *For any $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$, there exists a positive integer $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive number K_3 such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and small positive number Δt ,*

$$\sum_n \left| \arg \frac{1 - \alpha_n(t)}{1 - \alpha_n(t + \Delta t)} \right| \leq K_3 \Delta t.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that the zeros sequence of $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$ is fine in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$. Let the strip $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, L_1, L_2)$

be the image of $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$ under the map $\varphi(z)$, where

$$L_1 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \cdot x - c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \cdot x - c_2 = 0.$$

For convenience, assume the line L_2 is on the right of the line L_1 . By translating L_2 one unit to the right, we obtain a new line \widehat{L}_2 , more precisely,

$$\widehat{L}_2 : y - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \cdot (x - 1) - c_2 = 0.$$

Let

$$\varphi(\widehat{z}_n) = \varphi(z_n) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\widehat{\alpha}_n(t)) = \varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1.$$

It is not difficult to see that $\{\varphi(\widehat{z}_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ also satisfies the following conditions as well as a fine sequence.

- (1) The sequence $\{\varphi(\widehat{z}_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ lies in the strip $SL(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta, L_1, \widehat{L}_2)$.
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \arg(\varphi(z_{n+1}) - \varphi(z_n)) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta$.
- (3) $\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\widehat{z}_n)$ monotonically tends to $+\infty$, and there are two positive numbers \widetilde{D}_1 and \widetilde{D}_2 such that

$$0 < \widetilde{D}_1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\widehat{z}_n)}{\operatorname{Re}\varphi(\widehat{z}_{n+1})} \leq \widetilde{D}_2 < 1.$$

Then, the Proposition 3.3 also holds for the sequence $\{\varphi(\widehat{z}_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$. That is, there exists a positive integer $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive number K_3 such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and small positive number Δt ,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{ess} \sum_{n=N_3}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\widehat{\alpha}_n(t)) - iy}{\varphi(\widehat{\alpha}_n(t + \Delta t)) - iy} \right| \leq K_3 \Delta t.$$

In particular, the above inequality holds for $y = 0$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 \Delta t &\geq \sum_{n=N_3}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\widehat{\alpha}_n(t))}{\varphi(\widehat{\alpha}_n(t + \Delta t))} \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=N_3}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\varphi(\alpha_n(t)) + 1}{\varphi(\alpha_n(t + \Delta t)) + 1} \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=N_3}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{\frac{1 + \alpha_n(t)}{1 - \alpha_n(t)} + 1}{\frac{1 + \alpha_n(t + \Delta t)}{1 - \alpha_n(t + \Delta t)} + 1} \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=N_3}^{\infty} \left| \arg \frac{1 - \alpha_n(t + \Delta t)}{1 - \alpha_n(t)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Main Theorem : Given any $B \in \mathcal{H}_{SC}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that its zeros lie in a strip cone $SC(1, \theta, T_1, T_2)$, $\theta \in (0, \pi)$. By Lemma 2.7, it has a factor $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{SC}$, denoted by

$$\widetilde{B}(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \overline{z}_n z}.$$

Following from Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.1, we could choose $N \geq \max\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and then there is a continuous path from

$$\prod_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \overline{z_n}z} \quad \text{to} \quad \prod_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z_n|}{z_n} \frac{z_n - z}{1 - \overline{z_n}z}.$$

Therefore, by the path-connectedness of Möbius transformations and Lemma 2.1, we have $B \sim zB$.

Declarations

- Ethics approval
Not applicable.
- Competing interests
The author declares that there are no conflict of interest or competing interests.
- Authors' contributions
All authors reviewed this paper.
- Funding
There is no funding source for this manuscript.
- Availability of data and materials
Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] Aleksandrov, A.: On embedding theorems for coinvariant subspaces of the shift operator II. *J. Math. Sciences* **110**, 2907-2929 (2002).
- [2] Aleman, A., Lyubarskii, Y., Malinnikova, E., Perfekt, K.: Trace ideal criteria for embeddings and composition operators on model spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270**(3), 861-883 (2016)
- [3] Carleson, L.: An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions. *Amer. J. Math.* **80**, 921-930 (1958)
- [4] Cima, J., Mortini, R.: One-component inner functions. *Complex Anal. Synerg.* **3**(1), Paper No. 2, 15pp (2017)
- [5] Cohn, B.: Carleson measures for functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **103**, 347-364 (1982)
- [6] Herrero, D.: Inner functions under uniform topology. *Pacific J. Math.* **51**, 167-175 (1974)
- [7] Marshall, D.: Blaschke products generate H^∞ . *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82**, 494-496 (1976)
- [8] Marshall, D., Stray, A.: Interpolating Blaschke products. *Pacific J. Math.* **173**(2), 491-499 (1996)
- [9] Nestoridis, V.: Inner functions invariant connected components. *Pacific J. Math.* **83**(2), 473-480 (1979)

- [10] Nestoridis, V.: Inner functions: noninvariant connected components. *Pacific J. Math.* **87**(1), 199-209 (1980)
- [11] Nicolau, A., Suárez, D.: Paths of inner-related functions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**(9), 3749-3774 (2012)
- [12] Reijonen, A.: Remarks on one-component inner functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **44**(1), 569-580 (2019)
- [13] Tse, K.: Nontangential interpolating sequences and interpolation by normal functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29**, 351-354 (1971)

Yue Xin

School of Mathematics, Jilin University, 130012, Changchun, P. R. China

e-mail: 179929393@qq.com

Bingzhe Hou

School of Mathematics, Jilin University, 130012, Changchun, P. R. China

e-mail: houbz@jlu.edu.cn