

Mycielskian of Signed Graphs

Albin Mathew ¹ Germina K A ²

Abstract

In this paper, we define the Mycielskian of a signed graph and discuss the properties of balance and switching of the Mycielskian of a given signed graph. We provide a relation between the chromatic number of a signed graph and its Mycielskian. We also find the structure of different matrices related to the Mycielskian of a signed graph.

Keywords: Signed graph, Signed graph coloring, Mycielskian of a signed graph.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 05C22, Secondary 05C15, 05C50.

1 Introduction

A signed graph $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ consists of an underlying graph $G = (V, E)$, together with a function $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, called the signature or sign function. The sign of a cycle in a signed graph is the product of the signs of its edges and if every cycle in a signed graph is positive, it is said to be balanced. A signed graph which is not balanced is called an unbalanced signed graph. A signed graph is said to be all-positive if all of its edges are positive and any graph G can be considered as an all-positive signed graph.

Any signed graph $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ can be switched using a switching function $\zeta : V(\Sigma) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. For an edge $e = uv$ in Σ , the switched signature σ^ζ is defined as

¹Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod - 671316, Kerala, India. **Email:** albinmathewamp@gmail.com,

²Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod - 671316, Kerala, India. **Email:** srgerminaka@gmail.com

$\sigma^\zeta(e) = \zeta(u)\sigma(e)\zeta(v)$, and the switched signed graph is $\Sigma^\zeta = (G, \sigma^\zeta)$ (see [6, Section 3]). The signs of cycles are unaltered by switching and any balanced signed graph can be switched to an all-positive signed graph. If one signed graph can be switched from the other, they are said to be switching equivalent.

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, simple, connected and undirected graphs/signed graphs. For the standard notation and terminology in graphs and signed graphs not given here, the reader may refer to [2] and [7, 9] respectively.

1.1 Mycielskian of signed graphs

The Mycielski construction of a simple graph was introduced by J. Mycielski [5] in his search for triangle-free graphs with arbitrarily large chromatic number. The Mycielskian for a finite, simple, connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. [1] The Mycielskian $\mu(G)$ of G is a graph whose vertex set is the disjoint union $V \cup V' \cup \{w\}$, where $V' = \{v' : v \in V\}$, and whose edge set is $E \cup \{u'v : uv \in E\} \cup \{v'w : v' \in V'\}$. The vertex w is called the root of $\mu(G)$ and $v' \in V'$ is called the twin of v in $\mu(G)$.

We use the notation $M(\Sigma)$ to denote the Mycielskian of a signed graph Σ .

Motivated from the Definition 1.1, we define the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ of the signed graph Σ as

Definition 1.2. Let $\Sigma = (G, \sigma)$ be a given signed graph, where the underlying graph $G = (V, E)$, is a finite simple connected graph. Then the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ of Σ is the signed graph with:

1. the vertex set $V_M = V(M(\Sigma))$ of $M(\Sigma)$ is the disjoint union $V \cup V' \cup W$, where $V = V(\Sigma)$, $V' = \{v' : v \in V\}$ and $W = \{w\}$ and,
2. the edge set $E_M = E(M(\Sigma))$ of $M(\Sigma)$ is $E \cup E' \cup F$, where $E = E(\Sigma)$, $E' = \{u'v : uv \in E\}$ and $F = \{v'w : v' \in V'\}$ and,

3. the signature function σ_M of $M(\Sigma)$ is defined as $\sigma_M(u'v) = \sigma_M(uv') = \sigma(uv)$ and $\sigma_M(v'w) = 1$.

The following are some immediate observations.

Observation 1.3. Let Σ be a signed graph with p vertices and q edges. Then

- (i) $M(\Sigma)$ has $2p + 1$ vertices.
- (ii) If Σ contains r positive edges and $q - r$ negative edges, then $M(\Sigma)$ contains $3r + p$ positive edges and $3(q - r)$ negative edges.
- (iii) For each vertex $v \in V$, the degree, $d_{M(\Sigma)}(v) = 2d_G(v)$.
- (iv) For each vertex $v' \in V'$, the degree, $d_{M(\Sigma)}(v') = d_G(v) + 1$.
- (iv) $d_{M(\Sigma)}(w) = p$.

Example 1.4. Let Σ be the negative cycle C_4^- . The Mycielskian of C_4^- is constructed in Figure 1b.




Figure 1: A signed graph and its Mycielskian

2 Main results

Proposition 2.1. *The Mycielskian of a triangle free signed graph is triangle free.*

Proof. Firstly, by construction, $M(\Sigma)$ cannot have a triangle with w as a vertex. Now we prove that $M(\Sigma)$ has no triangle with a vertex from V' . If possible, let there exist a triangle, say $v'xyv'$, in $M(\Sigma)$, where $v' \in V'$ is any arbitrary vertex. Since V' is an independent set in $M(\Sigma)$, $x, y \notin V'$. Also, $x \neq w$ and $y \neq w$. Therefore, $x, y \in V$. Now, corresponding to the vertex $v' \in V'$, we have the vertex $v \in V$. Since x and v' are adjacent, by the construction of Mycielskian, x and v are adjacent. Similarly, y and v are adjacent. Hence, $vxyv$ form a triangle in Σ , which is a contradiction, since Σ is triangle free. Thus, $M(\Sigma)$ is triangle free. \square

2.1 Balance and switching in Mycielskian of signed graphs

Balance and switching are two important concepts in signed graph theory.

Remark 2.2 (Balance in $M(\Sigma)$). One may easily note that if Σ is unbalanced, then $M(\Sigma)$ is unbalanced. However, with the construction mentioned in Definition 1.2, for a balanced signed graph Σ , $M(\Sigma)$ need not be balanced. Hence, it is of interest to discuss the structure of Σ or the other constructions of $M(\Sigma)$ for which $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced whenever Σ is balanced.

Proposition 2.3. *The Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced if and only if Σ is all positive.*

Proof. If Σ is all positive, then so is $M(\Sigma)$, and hence is balanced. Conversely, If Σ has at least one negative edge, say $v_i v_j$, then $v_i v_j v'_i w v'_j v_i$ forms a negative 5 - cycle in $M(\Sigma)$, making it unbalanced. \square

Remark 2.4 (Switching in $M(\Sigma)$). Let Σ be a balanced signed graph, which is not all-positive. Then Σ is switching equivalent to Σ' , where Σ' is all-positive. However, by Proposition 2.3, $M(\Sigma)$ and $M(\Sigma')$ are not switching equivalent. Hence,

we conclude that the Mycielskian of two switching equivalent signed graphs need not be switching equivalent.

We shall now discuss the condition under which $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced whenever Σ is balanced.

We need the following theorem [3].

Theorem 2.5 (Harary's bipartition theorem [3]). *A signed graph Σ is balanced if and only if there is a bipartition of its vertex set, $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, such that every positive edge is induced by V_1 or V_2 while every negative edge has one endpoint in V_1 and one in V_2 . The bipartition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ is called a Harary bipartition for Σ .*

Theorem 2.6. *If Σ is balanced and σ_M satisfy the relation $\sigma_M(v'_i w) \sigma_M(v'_j w) = \sigma(v_i v_j)$ for every edge $v_i v_j$ in Σ , then the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced.*

Proof. Let Σ be a balanced signed graph. By Harary bipartition theorem, there exist a bipartition $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ of V such that every negative edge in Σ has its one end vertex in V_1 and the other in V_2 . We construct a Harary bipartition for $M(\Sigma)$ as follows.

For $i = 1, 2$, let $V'_i = \{v'_i : v_i \in V_i\}$ be the subsets of V' corresponding to the subsets V_1 and V_2 of V . Since $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, we have $V' = V'_1 \cup V'_2$. Every edge with both its end vertices in V_1 is positive and no vertices in V'_1 are adjacent. Also, for edges $v_i v'_j$, where $v_i \in V_1$ and $v'_j \in V'_1$, $\sigma_M(v_i v'_j) = \sigma(v_i v_j) = +1$. Thus, every edge with both its end vertices in $V_1 \cup V'_1$ is positive. Similarly, every edge with both its end vertices in $V_2 \cup V'_2$ is positive.

Consider any edge e having one end vertex in $V_1 \cup V'_1$ and the other in $V_2 \cup V'_2$. There are three possibilities.

1. If $e = v_i v_j$, where $v_i \in V_1$ and $v_j \in V_2$, then $\sigma_M(e) = \sigma_M(v_i v_j) = \sigma(v_i v_j) = -1$.
2. If $e = v_i v'_j$, where $v_i \in V_1$ and $v'_j \in V'_2$, then $\sigma_M(e) = \sigma_M(v_i v'_j) = \sigma(v_i v_j) = -1$.

3. If $e = v'_i v_j$, where $v'_i \in V'_1$ and $v_j \in V_2$, then $\sigma_M(e) = \sigma_M(v'_i v_j) = \sigma(v_i v_j) = -1$.

Hence, every edge joining $V_1 \cup V'_1$ and $V_2 \cup V'_2$ is negative.

We claim that : If $\sigma_M(v'_k w)$ is positive for some $v_k \in V_1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_i w)$ is positive for all $v_i \in V_1$ and $\sigma_M(v'_j w)$ is negative for all $v_j \in V_2$.

Consider the first part of the claim as **C**: if $\sigma_M(v'_k w)$ is positive for some $v_k \in V_1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_i w)$ is positive for all $v_i \in V_1$.

We prove **C** in following steps.

Consider $v_k \in V_1$ and let $v_i \in V_1$ be an arbitrary vertex.

C1: If $v_i v_k$ is an edge, then $\sigma_M(v'_i w) \sigma_M(v'_k w) = \sigma(v_i v_k) = +1$. Hence $\sigma_M(v'_i w)$ and $\sigma_M(v'_k w)$ are of the same sign.

C2: If $v_i v_k$ is not an edge, then we need to consider the $v_i - v_k$ paths. One may note that it is enough to consider the following distinct $v_i - v_k$ paths say, P_1 , P_2 and P_3 .

$P_1 : v_i x v_k$, where $v_i x$ and $x v_k$ are positive edges.

$P_2 : v_i x v_k$, where $v_i x$ and $x v_k$ are negative edges.

$P_3 : v_i x y v_k$, where $v_i x$ and $y v_k$ are negative edges and $x y$ is a positive edge.

C2.1 Consider the path P_1 . Then $x \in V_1$ and the case reduces to **C1**.

C2.2 Consider the path P_2 , then $x \in V_2$.

If possible, let $\sigma_M(v'_k w) = +1$ and $\sigma_M(v'_i w) = -1$. Now, if $\sigma_M(x' w) = +1$, then $\sigma_M(x' w) \sigma_M(v'_k w) = +1 \neq \sigma(x v_k)$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $\sigma_M(x' w) = -1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_i w) \sigma_M(x' w) = +1 \neq \sigma(v_i x)$, a contradiction.

C2.3 Consider the path P_3 . Then $x, y \in V_2$.

If possible, let $\sigma_M(v'_k w) = +1$ and $\sigma_M(v'_i w) = -1$. Since $\sigma_M(x' w) \sigma_M(y' w) = \sigma(x y) = +1$, $\sigma_M(x' w)$ and $\sigma_M(y' w)$ are of the same sign. If $\sigma_M(x' w) = \sigma_M(y' w) =$

+1, then $\sigma_M(y'w)\sigma_M(v'_kw) = +1 \neq \sigma(yv_k)$, a contradiction.

Similarly, if $\sigma_M(x'w) = \sigma_M(y'w) = -1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_iw)\sigma_M(x'w) = +1 \neq \sigma(v_ix)$, a contradiction.

Similar is the proof of the second part of the claim: $\sigma_M(v'_jw)$ is negative for all $v_j \in V_2$.

Hence, the claim is proved.

Now consider the edges v'_iw , where, $v'_i \in V'_1 \cup V'_2$. Because of the claim, if $\sigma_M(v'_kw)$ is positive for some $v_k \in V_1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_iw)$ is positive for all $v_i \in V_1$ and $\sigma_M(v'_jw)$ is negative for all $v_j \in V_2$.

In this case, take $(V_M)_1 = V_1 \cup V'_1 \cup \{w\}$ and $(V_M)_2 = V_2 \cup V'_2$.

Similarly, if $\sigma_M(v'_kw)$ is negative for some $v_k \in V_1$, then $\sigma_M(v'_iw)$ is negative for all $v_i \in V_1$ and $\sigma_M(v'_jw)$ is positive for all $v_j \in V_2$.

In this case, take $(V_M)_1 = V_1 \cup V'_1$ and $(V_M)_2 = V_2 \cup V'_2 \cup \{w\}$.

Hence, in either cases, $V_M = (V_M)_1 \cup (V_M)_2$ forms a Harary bipartition for $M(\Sigma)$, and hence $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced \square

2.2 The chromatic number of Mycielskian of signed graphs

In 1981, Zaslavsky [8] introduced the concept of coloring a signed graph. For a signed graph Σ , he defined the signed coloring of Σ in μ colors, or in $2\mu + 1$ signed colors as a mapping $c : V(\Sigma) \rightarrow \{-\mu, -\mu + 1, \dots, 0, \dots, \mu - 1, \mu\}$. Whenever a coloring never assumes the value 0, it is referred to as a zero-free coloring. A coloring c is said to be proper if $c(u) \neq \sigma(e)c(v)$ for every edge $e = uv$ of Σ (see [8, Section 1]).

Máčajová *et.al* in [4] defined the chromatic number of a signed graph as follows.

Definition 2.7. [4] An n - coloring of a signed graph Σ is a proper coloring that

uses colors from the set M_n , which is defined for each $n \geq 1$ as

$$M_n = \begin{cases} \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\} & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm k\} & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases}$$

The smallest n such that Σ admits an n -colouring is called the *chromatic number* of Σ and is denoted by $\chi(\Sigma)$.

We have the following theorem in [1]

Theorem 2.8. [1] *Let $\chi(G)$ and $\chi(\mu(G))$ be the chromatic numbers of a graph G and its Mycielskian $\mu(G)$ respectively. Then $\chi(\mu(G)) = \chi(G) + 1$.*

Theorem 2.9. *Let $M(\Sigma)$ be the Mycielskian of a signed graph (Σ) . Then, $\chi(\Sigma) \leq \chi(M(\Sigma)) \leq \chi(\Sigma) + 1$.*

Furthermore, $\chi(M(\Sigma)) = \chi(\Sigma)$ if Σ is all negative and $\chi(M(\Sigma)) = \chi(\Sigma) + 1$ if Σ is all positive.

Proof. Let $\chi(\Sigma) = n$ and let $c : V \rightarrow M_n$ be an n -coloring for Σ . We extend c to an $(n + 1)$ -coloring of $M(\Sigma)$. If $n = 2k$, we extend c to an $(n + 1)$ -coloring of $M(\Sigma)$ by setting $c(v'_i) = c(v_i)$ for all i and $c(w) = 0$. If $n = 2k + 1$, we extend c to an $(n + 1)$ -coloring of $M(\Sigma)$ as follows. Let v_t be any vertex in V with $c(v_t) = 0$. Then for all $v_i \neq v_t$, set $c(v'_i) = c(v_i)$, $c(v'_t) = c(v_t) = k + 1$ and $c(w) = -(k + 1)$. Hence, $\chi(M(\Sigma)) \leq \chi(\Sigma) + 1$.

Now, if Σ is all negative, it can be colored using just one color, namely -1 . Let $c : V(\Sigma) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be the proper 2-coloring for Σ . This can be extended to a proper 2-coloring for $M(\Sigma)$ by setting $c(v'_i) = c(v_i) = -1$ for all i and $c(w) = +1$. If Σ is all positive, then $M(\Sigma)$ is all positive. Thus, $\chi(M(\Sigma)) = \chi(|M(\Sigma)|) = \chi(|\Sigma|) + 1 = \chi(\Sigma) + 1$. \square

2.3 Matrices of the Mycielskian of signed graphs

Given a signed graph $\Sigma = (V, E, \sigma)$ where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ is the vertex set, $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q\}$ is the edge set and $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is the sign function. Let $M(\Sigma) = (V_M, E_M, \sigma_M)$ be the Mycielskian of Σ . In this section, we introduce the adjacency matrix, the incidence matrix and the Laplacian matrix of the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ of Σ .

2.3.1 The adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_M of the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$

The adjacency matrix of Σ , denoted by $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\Sigma)$, is a $p \times p$ matrix (a_{ij}) in which $a_{ij} = \sigma(v_i v_j)$ if v_i and v_j are adjacent and 0 otherwise (see [7, Section 3]).

Since v_i is adjacent to v'_j and v'_i is adjacent to v_j in $M(\Sigma)$ whenever v_i and v_j are adjacent in Σ , **the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_M** of the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$ takes the block form

$$\mathbf{A}_M = \mathbf{A}(M(\Sigma)) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}(\Sigma) & \mathbf{A}(\Sigma) & \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{A}(\Sigma) & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \mathbf{j}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times p}^t & \mathbf{j}_{1 \times p}^t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is a matrix of zeros and \mathbf{j} is a matrix of ones of the specified order.

\mathbf{A}_M is a symmetric matrix of order $2p + 1$.

2.3.2 The incidence matrix \mathbf{H}_M of the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$

The incidence matrix of Σ , denoted by $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\Sigma)$, is the $p \times q$ matrix

$$\mathbf{H}(\Sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(e_1) & \mathbf{x}(e_2) & \cdots & \mathbf{x}(e_q) \end{bmatrix}$$

where, for each edge $e_k = v_i v_j$, $1 \leq k \leq q$, the vector $\mathbf{x}(e_k) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ x_{pk} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$ has its i^{th} and j^{th} entries as $x_{ik} = \pm 1$ and $x_{jk} = \mp \sigma(e_k)$ respectively and all other entries as 0 (see [7, Section 3]).

Let us denote the vertex set V_M and the edge set E_M of $M(\Sigma)$ as

$$V_M = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p, v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_p, w\}$$

$$E_M = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q, e'_1, e''_1, e'_2, e''_2, \dots, e'_q, e''_q, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p\}$$

respectively, where, for each $1 \leq k \leq q$, the edges e'_k and e''_k of $M(\Sigma)$ are defined by $e'_k = v_i v'_j$ and $e''_k = v'_i v_j$ whenever $e_k = v_i v_j$ is an edge of Σ with $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ and f_i is defined by $f_i = v'_i w$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Then, the **incidence matrix** $\mathbf{H}_M = \mathbf{H}(M(\Sigma))$ takes the block form

$$\mathbf{H}_M = \mathbf{H}(M(\Sigma)) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \mathbf{H}(\Sigma)_{p \times q} & \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{y}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \mathbf{y}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_p & \mathbf{y}_p & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} \\ \hline \mathbf{0}_{p \times q} & \mathbf{y}_1 & \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{y}_2 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{y}_p & \mathbf{x}_p & \mathbf{I}_{p \times p} \\ \hline & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times 3q} & & & & & & & \mathbf{-j}_{1 \times p} \end{array} \right]$$

Here, $\mathbf{H}(\Sigma)$ is the incidence matrix of Σ , \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix, $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero matrix and $\mathbf{-j}$ is the matrix with all entries -1 of the specified order. \mathbf{x}_i 's and \mathbf{y}_i 's are matrices of order $p \times 1$ and satisfies the condition $\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{x}(e_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$.

2.3.3 The Laplacian matrix \mathbf{L}_M of the Mycielskian $M(\Sigma)$

The Laplacian matrix of Σ , denoted by $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$ is the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{L}(\Sigma) = \mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|) - \mathbf{A}(\Sigma)$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\Sigma)$ is the adjacency matrix of Σ and $\mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|)$ is the degree matrix of the underlying graph $|\Sigma|$ (see [7, Section 3]). Accordingly, we define the **Laplacian**

matrix for the Mycielskian of Σ as

$$\mathbf{L}_M = \mathbf{L}(M(\Sigma)) = \mathbf{D}(|M(\Sigma)|) - \mathbf{A}(M(\Sigma)) = \mathbf{D}_M - \mathbf{A}_M$$

where, \mathbf{A}_M is the adjacency matrix and \mathbf{D}_M is the diagonal degree matrix of the Mycielskian of Σ . Now, \mathbf{D}_M takes the block form

$$\mathbf{D}_M = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|)_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & (\mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|) + \mathbf{I})_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times p}^t & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times p}^t & p \end{bmatrix}$$

where $p = |V|$, $\mathbf{D}(\Sigma)$ is the diagonal degree matrix of Σ , \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix and $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero matrix of the specified order.

Consequently, the Laplacian matrix $\mathbf{L}_M = \mathbf{L}(M(\Sigma))$ takes the block form

$$\mathbf{L}_M = \begin{bmatrix} (2\mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|) - \mathbf{A}(\Sigma))_{p \times p} & -\mathbf{A}(\Sigma)_{p \times p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times 1} \\ -\mathbf{A}(\Sigma)_{p \times p} & (\mathbf{D}(|\Sigma|) + \mathbf{I})_{p \times p} & -\mathbf{j}_{p \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times p}^t & -\mathbf{j}_{1 \times p}^t & p \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Conclusion and Scope

In this paper, we have defined the Mycielskian of a signed graph and discussed some of its properties. We have seen that the Mycielskian of a balanced signed graph need not be balanced and hence we provide a construction of $M(\Sigma)$ for which $M(\Sigma)$ is balanced whenever Σ is balanced. This paper also discuss the chromatic number of the Mycielskian of a signed graph and established that the chromatic number of a signed graph and its Mycielskian are related. We also established the block forms of various matrices of the Mycielskian of a signed graph such as the adjacency matrix, the incidence matrix and the Laplacian matrix. Constructing balance preserving Mycielskian of signed graphs, switching preserving Mycielskian of signed graphs are yet to be studied. Computing the spectrum of the Mycielskian of signed graphs for various matrices is another interesting area for further investigation.

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to acknowledge his gratitude to the University Grants Commission (UGC), India, for providing financial support in the form of Junior Research fellowship (NTA Ref. No.: 191620039346).

References

- [1] R. Balakrishnan, K. Ranganathan, **A Textbook of Graph Theory**, Springer, 2012. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-4529-6.
- [2] F. Harary, **Graph Theory**, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [3] F. Harary, On the notion of balance of a signed graph, *Michigan Math. J.* **2** (1953) 143–146. doi:10.1307/mmj/1028989917.
- [4] E. Máčajová, A. Raspaud, M. Škoviera, The chromatic number of a signed graph., *Electron. J. Combin.* **23**(1) (2016) (article P1.14). doi:10.37236/4938
- [5] J. Mycielski, Sur le colouriage des graphes., *Colloq. Math.* **3** (1955) 161-162.
- [6] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **4** (1982) 47–74. Erratum, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **5** (1983) 248. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(82)90033-6.
- [7] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graphs and geometry, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.* **37**(2-4) (2012) 95–143 doi:10.48550/arXiv.1303.2770.
- [8] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graph coloring, *Discrete Mathematics* **39**(2) (1982) 215-228. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(82)90144-3.

- [9] T. Zaslavsky, A mathematical bibliography of signed and gain graphs and allied areas. VII Edition, *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, **8**(1998), Dynamic Surveys, #8, 124 pp. doi:10.37236/29.