

CONSISTENT AND CONVERGENT DISCRETIZATIONS OF HELFRICH-TYPE ENERGIES ON GENERAL MESHES

PETER GLADBACH AND HEINER OLBERMANN

ABSTRACT. We show that integral curvature energies on surfaces of the type $E_0(M) := \int_M f(x, n_M(x), Dn_M(x)) d\mathcal{H}^2(x)$ have discrete versions for triangular complexes, where the shape operator Dn_M is replaced by the piecewise gradient of a piecewise affine edge director field. We combine an ansatz-free asymptotic lower bound for any uniform approximation of a surface with triangular complexes and a recovery sequence consisting of any regular triangulation of the limit sequence and an almost optimal choice of edge director.

1. INTRODUCTION

Discrete notions of curvature for embedded surfaces are important to various applications: simulation of elastic plates and shells, surface regularization, and partial differential equations on surfaces.

In the smooth setting, curvature energies of surfaces are well-studied. These energies are typically of integral form,

$$E_0(M) := \int_M f(x, n_M(x), Dn_M(x)) d\mathcal{H}^2(x). \quad (1)$$

Here $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is an immersed smooth surface, $n_M : M \rightarrow S^2$ its oriented unit normal field, and $Dn_M(x) \in \text{Lin}(T_x M; T_x M)$ the *shape operator* of M at x , which encodes the extrinsic curvature of M .

The energies of the type (1) are called *Helfrich-type energies*, with particular attention given to the *Willmore energy*

$$W(M) := \int_M |Dn_M(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^2, \quad (2)$$

which has links to plate theory, mean curvature flow, and conformal geometry.

A number of discrete versions of energies (1) and (2) have been proposed, see e.g. [BSSZ08, MDSB03, GDP⁺06, CW17, SW19, SW20]. Typically, the objects studied are not smooth surfaces but *triangular complexes*, which are finite collections of triangles $\mathcal{T} := \{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 that are glued together pairwise along edges. These objects appear in a variety of contexts, including computer graphics, finite elements, and algebraic topology.

A widely used discrete version of the Willmore energy (2) is the finite-difference model introduced in [GHDS03]

$$E_{FD}(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\kappa, \kappa' \text{ n.n.}} \frac{l_{\kappa, \kappa'}}{d_{\kappa, \kappa'}} |\bar{n}(\kappa) - \bar{n}(\kappa')|^2, \quad (3)$$

Date: 2023/02/06.

for which the authors recently [GO21] showed Γ -convergence to the Willmore energy (2). Here, $\bar{n}(\kappa) \in S^2$ denotes the oriented unit normal of a triangle $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$, $l_{\kappa, \kappa'} > 0$ the edge length between nearest neighbors $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathcal{T}$, and $d_{\kappa, \kappa'} > 0$ the distance between the circumcenters of κ, κ' .

However, as already seen in [GHDS03] and [SF12], the construction of an approximating sequence $\mathcal{T}_h \rightarrow M$ with $E_{FD}(\mathcal{T}_h) \rightarrow W(M)$ is extremely sensitive to the chosen mesh. Low-energy configurations must be defined on meshes with nearly right angles.

In this article, we instead study a different discrete energy introduced in [GGRZ06],

$$E(\mathcal{T}, n) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \int_{\kappa} f(x, \bar{n}(\kappa), Dn_{\kappa}) d\mathcal{H}^2(x). \quad (4)$$

Here $\bar{n}(\kappa) \in S^2$ is the oriented unit normal of κ and $n : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a so-called *edge director*, which can be chosen subject to some constraints on every edge $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$ and is then linearly interpolated on every triangle $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$ between the three edge midpoints, yielding the piecewise constant gradient $Dn_{\kappa} \in \text{Lin}(T\kappa; \mathbb{R}^3)$. We note here that the piecewise affine interpolation is not continuous along the edges, only on the edge midpoints, and thus belongs to a geometric version of the Crouzeix-Raviart nonconforming finite element space [CR73].

We will show under the assumption that all surfaces are graphs, that the cluster points of the minimizers of the discrete variational problem (4) are minimizers of the continuous problem (1). Furthermore we show that every (graphical) surface of regularity $W^{2,p}$ can be approximated by discrete ones simultaneously in $W^{1,p}$ and in energy. In the parlance of [GGRZ06], these results amount to the statement that (4) is a “convergent” and “consistent” discretization of (1).

To frame our result in yet another manner, Theorem 2.5 below is the statement that under the assumption that all surfaces are graphs over the same domain, the discrete energy $E(\mathcal{T}, n)$ Γ -converges to its continuous counterpart $E_0(M)$. The above statement about cluster points follows from the latter by general facts from the theory of Γ -convergence [Dal93, Bra02].

The proof of the compactness and lower bound part of Theorem 2.5 relies on recasting the discrete surface normal as an element in the so-called Crouzeix-Raviart space [CR73], for which suitable compactness results exist [BO08, Ort11]. In order to identify the limit of the edge directors with the limit of the discrete surface normal, one needs appropriate estimates, which we obtain in Lemma 3.1. The upper bound part of Theorem 2.5 follows from a judicious construction of the edge director (the idea of which can already be found in [GGRZ06]) and some calculus.

The plan of the paper is as follows: After introducing some notation, we state the main result in Section 2. The proof is given in Section 3. In an appendix we sketch an analogous construction and proof based on *pseudo-unit edge directors*.

2. SETUP AND STATEMENT OF RESULT

2.1. General notation. The symbol “ C ” will be used as follows: A statement such as “ $a \leq Cb$ ” has to be understood as “there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $a \leq Cb$ ”. We also write $a \lesssim b$ in that situation. The l -dimensional Hausdorff measure will be denoted

by \mathcal{H}^l . For a sequence f_h in a Banach space W converging weakly to $f \in W$, we write $f_h \rightharpoonup f$ in W .

For points $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote the line segment connecting them by

$$[p_1, p_2] := \{tp_1 + (1-t)p_2 : t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

2.2. Triangular complexes. We define triangular complexes as follows:

Definition 2.1. • A triangle is a set $\kappa = \text{conv}(x, y, z) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ not colinear. The vertices of κ are the points x, y, z , and the edges of κ are the line segments $[x, y], [y, z], [x, z] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

- The unit normal of the triangle $\text{conv}(x, y, z)$ is one of the vectors

$$\bar{n}(\kappa) := \pm \frac{(y-x) \times (z-x)}{|(y-x) \times (z-x)|}.$$

- A triangular complex is a finite family of triangles $\mathcal{T} := \{\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N\}$ with the property that the intersection of two different triangles $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathcal{T}$ is either empty, a single common vertex, or an entire common edge.
- The set of vertices of the triangular complex \mathcal{T} is denoted by $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T})$, and contains all three vertices of all triangles $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$. The set of edges of the triangular complex \mathcal{T} is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$, and contains all three edges of all triangles $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$.
- The size of \mathcal{T} is given by the maximal diameter of any $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\text{size}(\mathcal{T}) = \max_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \text{diam}(\kappa).$$

Definition 2.2. Let $C_* > 1$. We will say that \mathcal{T} is regular if for every $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\kappa) \geq C_*(\text{diam}(\kappa))^2. \quad (5)$$

From now on it will always be understood that the partitions we are considering are regular. Also, the generic constant “ C ” (which is implicit in statements such as $a \lesssim b$) may depend on C_* in the sequel. Like C , the constant C_* may change its value in the course of the proof. However when considering sequences of regular triangular complexes $(\mathcal{T}_h)_{h>0}$, it will be independent of the sequence parameter h .

For a triangular complex \mathcal{T} , let $m(\mathcal{T})$ denote the set of midpoints of edges in \mathcal{T} . Given a regular triangular complex \mathcal{T} , we define the notion of edge director field $n : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ below. In this definition, we write $\tau(e) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$ for an edge $e = [x, y] \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$. (The sign ambiguity in the definition of τ does not have any consequence in its usage.)

Definition 2.3. A unit edge director is a map $n : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow S^2$ such that $n(e) \cdot \tau(e) = 0$ and $n(e) \cdot \bar{n}(\kappa) \geq 0$ for all $e = \kappa \cap \kappa' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$. The family of unit edge directors is denoted $N(\mathcal{T})$.

To each edge director field we associate a piecewise affine interpolation:

Definition 2.4. Let $n \in N(\mathcal{T})$. Define the piecewise-affine (but discontinuous) interpolation, also denoted $n : \bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, by defining its restriction to $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$ as the unique affine map $n|_{\kappa} : \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ that coincides with n on the edge midpoints $\frac{x+y}{2}$. Its

piecewise gradient $Dn_\kappa \in \text{Lin}(T\kappa; \mathbb{R}^3)$ is extended to a 3×3 matrix by precomposition with the orthogonal projection to $T\kappa$, so that we may write $Dn_\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$.

2.3. Definition of the discrete energy. We now present a discretization of the Helfrich-type energy (1) where the integrand

$$f : \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies the assumptions

(A1) $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in all its variables, and convex in the last.

(A2) $f(x, n, A) \gtrsim |A|^p$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$.

We will consider discrete energies $E : \{(\mathcal{T}, N(\mathcal{T}))\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, namely

$$E(\mathcal{T}, n) := \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \int_{\kappa} f(x, \bar{n}(\kappa), Dn_\kappa) d\mathcal{H}^2(x).$$

2.4. Piecewise affine graphs over triangulations. We introduce the notation that will help us exploit the assumption that all surfaces are graphs.

Assume that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an open polygon. Let \mathcal{T} be a regular triangulation of U , by which we mean a triangular complex consisting of triangles that are immersed in \mathbb{R}^2 with

$$\bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \kappa = \bar{U}.$$

(For the rest of the present section the symbol \mathcal{T} will denote triangular complexes of this kind, with the exception of Remark 2.6.) Now let u be a continuous function $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose restriction to each $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$ is affine. Then we define the *push-forward* of the triangulation \mathcal{T} under u as the triangular complex consisting of triangles that are immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 ,

$$u_*(\mathcal{T}) := \{(x, u(x)) : x \in \kappa, \kappa \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

We consider 3-tuples

$$(\mathcal{T}, u, n)$$

where \mathcal{T} is a regular triangulation of U , u is a piecewise affine continuous function $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is affine on each $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$, and $n \in N(u_*(\mathcal{T}))$. Let the set of such 3-tuples be denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_N(U).$$

Let $P_1(\mathcal{T})$ denote the set of piecewise affine functions relative to \mathcal{T} ,

$$P_1(\mathcal{T}) = \left\{ v \in L^1 \left(\bigcup_{\kappa \in \mathcal{T}} \kappa \right) : v|_\kappa \text{ is affine } \forall \kappa \in \mathcal{T} \right\}.$$

The (first order) Crouzeix-Raviart finite element space is defined as

$$\text{CR}(\mathcal{T}) = \{v \in P_1(\mathcal{T}) : v \text{ is continuous in } m(\mathcal{T})\}.$$

Any edge director field $n \in N(u_*(\mathcal{T}))$ can be associated to an element of $\text{CR}(\mathcal{T}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows. For an edge midpoint $p \in m(\mathcal{T})$, set $\underline{n}(p) = n(p, u(p))$. Then define \underline{n} by affine interpolation on every $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}$. This defines \underline{n} as an element of $\text{CR}(\mathcal{T}; \mathbb{R}^3)$. In order to

alleviate the notation, we will not distinguish \underline{n} and n in the sequel. No confusion will arise from this. The piecewise gradient of this map will be denoted by

$$\nabla n : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}.$$

This implies that the discrete shape operator $Dn|_\kappa$ and the piecewise gradient ∇n are related by

$$Dn|_\kappa(\text{id}_{2 \times 2} + e_3 \otimes \nabla u) = \nabla n,$$

where $\text{id}_{2 \times 2}$ denotes the two-by-two identity matrix.

In order to express the surface normal to the graph of u in terms of that function, we define $\mathbf{n} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S^2$,

$$\mathbf{n}(p) := \frac{(-p^\perp, 1)}{\sqrt{|p|^2 + 1}},$$

where $p^\perp = (-p_2, p_1)$ for $p = (p_1, p_2)$. Now we set

$$\begin{aligned} F : U \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, z, p, \xi) &\mapsto f((x, z), \mathbf{n}(p), \xi(\text{id}_{2 \times 2} + e_3 \otimes p)^{-1})\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which allows us to write

$$E(u_*(\mathcal{S}), n) = \int_U F(x, u(x), \nabla u(x), \nabla n(x)) dx.$$

Analogously, if $M = \text{Gr } u$ for some $u \in W^{2,p} \cap W^{1,\infty}(U)$, then

$$E_0(M) = \int_U F(x, u(x), \nabla u(x), \nabla(\mathbf{n}(\nabla u))(x)) dx.$$

2.5. Statement of the result. As above, we assume that $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a polygonal domain.

Theorem 2.5. (i) Let $(\mathcal{T}_h, u_h, n_h)$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}_N(U)$ with $\text{size}(\mathcal{T}_h) \leq h$, and the uniform bound $\|u_h\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq L$. Furthermore assume that

$$\sup_{h>0} \int_U F(x, u_h, \nabla u_h, \nabla n_h) dx \leq C.$$

Then there exists a subsequence (no relabeling) and some $u \in W^{2,p}(U)$ with surface normal $\mathbf{n}(\nabla u)$ such that $u_h \rightarrow u$ uniformly and

$$\begin{aligned} n_h &\rightarrow \mathbf{n}(\nabla u) && \text{in } L^q(U) \text{ for all } q \in [1, \infty) \\ n_h &\rightarrow \mathbf{n}(\nabla u) && \text{in } L^\infty(U) \text{ if } p > 2 \\ \nabla n_h &\rightarrow \nabla(\mathbf{n}(\nabla u)) && \text{in } L^p(U). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $(\mathcal{T}_h, u_h, n_h)$ and u be as in (i). Then

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_U F(x, u_h, \nabla u_h, \nabla n_h) dx \geq \int_U F(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla(\mathbf{n}(\nabla u))) dx. \quad (7)$$

(iii) Let $u \in W^{1,\infty} \cap W^{2,p}(U)$, and \mathcal{T}_h be a sequence of regular triangulations of U with size $\mathcal{T}_h = h$. Then there exist u_h and n_h such that $(\mathcal{T}_h, u_h, n_h) \in \mathcal{S}_N(U)$ and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_U F(x, u_h, \nabla u_h, \nabla n_h) dx = \int_U F(x, u, \nabla u, \nabla(\mathbf{n}(\nabla u))) dx.$$

If $u \in C^2(\bar{U})$ we may choose u_h the piecewise affine interpolation of u itself.

Remark 2.6. (i) With the integrand F chosen as in (6), the above result shows the discrete-to-continuum convergence

$$E(\mathcal{T}, n) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E_0$$

in the sense of Γ -convergence, under the assumption that all surfaces are Lipschitz graphs over the same domain U .

- (ii) In light of the compactness result [Lan85] for immersions of a fixed compact closed surface Σ for $p > 2$, we may replace the Lipschitz graph condition with the topological condition that every \mathcal{T} be a bounded immersion of a fixed compact closed surface, and obtain the analogue of Theorem 2.5. The reason, as shown in [Lan85], is that the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,p} \rightarrow C^{0,\alpha}$ ensures that surfaces with finite energy are locally Lipschitz graphs.
- (iii) We may state and prove an analogous result for discrete approximations via the definition of *pseudo-unit edge directors* instead of unit edge directors. This should be more efficient when it comes to computations. For further comment on this, see the appendix.
- (iv) Note that by the compactness part of the above theorem, the convergence of energies implies the strong $L^p(U)$ convergence of the discrete shape operator Dn_h to Dn_M , as long as f is strictly convex.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

The following lemma states that any unit edge director is close to the actual triangle normal field. Recall that \bar{n} denotes the (piecewise constant) surface normal of the triangular complex \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{T} be regular. Then for every $n \in N(\mathcal{T})$, $e = \kappa \cap \kappa' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$,*

$$|n(e) - \bar{n}(\kappa)| \lesssim (\text{diam } \kappa) |Dn_\kappa|.$$

Proof. For $e = [x, y]$, we write $\tau(e) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$. (Again, the sign ambiguity in the definition of τ will not have any consequence in the sequel.) Let e' be another edge bordering κ . By rescaling and a rigid motion we can assume $\text{diam } \kappa \approx 1$, and that $\tau(e) = (0, 1, 0)$, $\tau(e') = (s, t, 0)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} &\leq |s| \leq C \\ |t| &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

This also implies $\bar{n}(\kappa) = (0, 0, 1)$. Since $n(e) \cdot \tau(e) = 0$, we may write $n(e) = (\alpha, 0, \beta)$ and $n(e') = (-t/s\gamma, \gamma, \delta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |Dn_\kappa| &\gtrsim |n(e) - n(e')| \\ &\gtrsim \min_{r:|r|\lesssim 1} (|\alpha - r\gamma| + |\gamma|) \\ &\gtrsim |\alpha| + |\gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

By $|\alpha| = |\bar{n}(\kappa) \times n(e)|$ we obtain in particular

$$|\bar{n}(\kappa) \times n(e)| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa|. \quad (8)$$

To conclude, we use the assumption $n(e) \cdot \bar{n}(\kappa) \geq 0$, and obtain

$$|n(e) - \bar{n}(\kappa)| \lesssim |n(e) \times \bar{n}(\kappa)| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa|.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.5 (i). The uniform convergence of a subsequence (no relabeling) $u_h \rightarrow u$ to an L -Lipschitz continuous function $u \in W^{1,\infty}(U)$ is immediate from the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

By the growth assumptions on F in its last variable, we have that

$$\int_U |\nabla n_h|^p dx \leq C. \quad (9)$$

Hence by Theorem 4.3 of [Ort11]¹, we have that there exists $\tilde{n} \in W^{1,1}(U; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and a subsequence (no relabeling) such that

$$\begin{aligned} n_h &\rightarrow \tilde{n} \quad \text{in } L^1 \\ \nabla n_h &\rightarrow \nabla \tilde{n} \quad \text{in } L^1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account once more (9) and the fact that n_h is clearly bounded in L^∞ , this can be upgraded to $\tilde{n} \in W^{1,p}(U; \mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n_h &\rightarrow \tilde{n} \quad \text{in } L^q \text{ for all } q \in [1, \infty) \\ \nabla n_h &\rightarrow \nabla \tilde{n} \quad \text{in } L^p. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

By the estimates of Lemma 3.1, and the bounds on ∇n_h , we easily obtain that also

$$\bar{n}_h \rightarrow \tilde{n} \quad \text{in } L^p(U).$$

Since \tilde{n} is the strong L^p limit of the normal maps \bar{n}_h , which lie in the polar cap $\{\mathbf{n}(p) : \|p\| \leq L\} \subset S^2$, \tilde{n} also lies in the same polar cap, where \mathbf{n} is a diffeomorphism. Thus

$$\nabla u_h = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\bar{n}_h) \rightarrow \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\tilde{n}),$$

while at the same time $\nabla u_h \rightarrow \nabla u$ in every $L^q(U)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$. Thus $\tilde{n} = \mathbf{n}(\nabla u)$, and since $\tilde{n} \in W^{1,p}(U)$, we have $u \in W^{2,p}(U)$. □

¹Note that [Ort11] assumes a stronger, global mesh regularity than Definition 2.2. However, the proof of Theorem 4.3 of [Ort11] can be used verbatim in our setting.

Proof of Theorem 2.5 (ii). We may assume that the left hand side is finite, otherwise there is nothing to show. By part (i) of Theorem 2.5, we have that $n_h \rightarrow \mathbf{n}(\nabla u)$ for all $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $\nabla n_h \rightarrow \nabla(\mathbf{n}(\nabla u))$ in L^p . This is enough to prove the claimed inequality by standard weak lower semicontinuity results (see [Dac08, Theorem 3.23]). \square

We turn to the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 2.5, which is basically contained in the following approximation lemma. In its statement, $C^2(\overline{U})$ denotes the set of functions in $C^2(U)$ whose partial derivatives up to order two may be extended continuously to the closure of U .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u \in C^2(\overline{U})$. For h small enough the following holds true: If \mathcal{T}_h is a regular triangulation of U with $\text{size}(\mathcal{T}_h) = h$, then there exists a piecewise affine continuous function u_h and a normal field n_h such that $(\mathcal{T}_h, u_h, n_h) \in \mathcal{S}_N(U)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_h - u\|_{L^p} &\leq Ch^2 \\ \|\nabla u_h - \nabla u\|_{L^p} &\leq Ch \\ \|\nabla n_h - \nabla \mathbf{n}(\nabla u)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq Ch. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In the above inequalities, the constant C may depend on $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. We define u_h by letting $u_h(x) = u(x)$ for every vertex $x \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, and by affine interpolation on every $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}_h$. This choice immediately yields the first two inequalities in (11). It remains to construct $n_h \in N((u_h)_*(\mathcal{T}_h))$ satisfying the third estimate.

For every edge $e = [x_1, x_2]$ in \mathcal{T}_h , we define n_h in the edge midpoint $m = \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}$ as the vector in S^2 orthogonal to $(x_2 - x_1, u(x_2) - u(x_1))$ closest to $\mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m))$, i.e. the unique vector in $\{w \in S^2 : w \cdot (x_2 - x_1, u(x_2) - u(x_1)) = 0\}$ that satisfies

$$\|n_h(m) - \mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m))\| = \text{dist}(\{w \in S^2 : w \cdot (x_2 - x_1, u(x_2) - u(x_1)) = 0\}, \mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m))).$$

In particular this choice guarantees that $n_h \in N((u_h)_*(\mathcal{T}_h))$.

On every $\kappa \in \mathcal{T}_h$, n_h is now defined by affine interpolation between the edge midpoints to yield an element of $\text{CR}(\mathcal{T}_h; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

To show the convergence $\nabla n_h \rightarrow \nabla \mathbf{n}(\nabla u)$ in L^∞ , it suffices to show that at every midpoint $m = \frac{x_1+x_2}{2}$ of an edge $e = [x_1, x_2]$,

$$|n_h(m) - \mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m))| \lesssim h^2. \tag{12}$$

Indeed, the last line of (11) follows from (12) easily by the fact that $\mathbf{n}(\nabla u) \in C^2$ and by Taylor's theorem.

By definition of \mathbf{n} , we have that

$$\mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m)) \cdot (x_2 - x_1, (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \nabla u(m)) = 0.$$

Hence the function $t \mapsto \mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m)) \cdot (x_2 - x_1, (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \nabla u(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1))$ has a zero in $t = \frac{1}{2}$ and its derivative is bounded by Ch , where C depends on $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^\infty}$. Thus

$$\left| \int_0^1 \mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m)) \cdot (x_2 - x_1, (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \nabla u(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1)) dt \right| \leq Ch^3.$$

This yields

$$|\mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m)) \cdot (x_2 - x_1, u(x_2) - u(x_1))| \leq Ch^3.$$

From this estimate and the construction of $n_h(m)$ as the vector in the grand circle in S^2 orthogonal to $(x_2 - x_1, u(x_2) - u(x_1))$ with least distance from $\mathbf{n}(\nabla u(m))$, we obtain (12). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5 (iii). For $u \in C^2(\bar{U})$ the upper bound statement in the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2. For $u \in W^{1,\infty} \cap W^{2,p}(U)$, one uses an approximation by C^2 -functions and a standard diagonal sequence argument. \square

APPENDIX A. PSEUDO-UNIT EDGE DIRECTORS

In the statement of the Theorem 2.5 we may replace the set of unit edge directors by the set of *pseudo-unit edge directors*, which we define below.

Definition A.1. A pseudo - unit edge director of a triangular complex \mathcal{T} is a map $n : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $n(e) \cdot \tau(e) = 0$ and $n(e) \cdot n_0(e) = 1$, where $n_0(e) \in S^2$ is the a-priori normal candidate

$$n_0(e) := \frac{n(\kappa) + n(\kappa')}{|n(\kappa) + n(\kappa')|}.$$

The family of pseudo-unit edge directors is denoted $PN(\mathcal{T})$.




FIGURE 1. While the triangle normals $\bar{n}(\kappa), \bar{n}(\kappa')$ are predetermined by the triangle's vertices, edge directors have one additional degree of freedom. A possible unit edge director $n(e)$ is shown in red, with a pseudo-unit edge director shown in blue.

The motivation for defining pseudo-unit edge directors as above is that for a given \mathcal{T} , the choice of some $n \in PN(\mathcal{T})$ amounts to satisfying a set of *linear* constraints. Such a choice should be less computationally demanding than the choice of some $n \in N(\mathcal{T})$. To obtain the statement of Theorem 2.5 for pseudo-edge directors, one only needs to make one notable modification in the proof, namely to replace the comparison estimate in Lemma 3.1 by Lemma A.2 below. We leave the other (rather obvious) modifications in the proof to the reader.

Lemma A.2. *Let \mathcal{T} be a regular triangular complex. There exists a constant $C > 0$ that depends only on C_* such that for every $n \in PN(\mathcal{T})$, $e = \kappa \cap \kappa' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$, if $(\text{diam } \kappa)(|Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|) < C$, then*

$$|n(e) - \bar{n}(\kappa)| \lesssim (\text{diam } \kappa)(|Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|).$$

Proof. Up until and including (8), the proof of Lemma 3.1 remains unchanged. Applying (8) also to κ' yields $|n(e) \times \bar{n}(\kappa')| \lesssim |Dn_{\kappa'}|$. By applying another rotation, we may change our assumptions on the explicit forms of the vectors at play to $n_0(e) = (0, 0, 1)$, $n(e) = (\alpha, 0, 1)$, $\bar{n}(\kappa) = (\sin \theta, 0, \cos \theta)$, $\bar{n}(\kappa') = (-\sin \theta, 0, \cos \theta)$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Inequality (8) applied to κ and κ' then becomes

$$|\alpha - \tan \theta| \lesssim \frac{|Dn_\kappa|}{\cos \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha + \tan \theta| \lesssim \frac{|Dn_{\kappa'}|}{\cos \theta}.$$

It follows that $|\sin \theta| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|$, i.e. that $|\bar{n}(\kappa) - \bar{n}(\kappa')| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|$. If the right-hand side is small enough then $|\sin \theta| < 1/2$ such that $\cos \theta > 1/2$ and $|\alpha| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|$. The estimate $|n(e) - \bar{n}(\kappa)| \lesssim |Dn_\kappa| + |Dn_{\kappa'}|$ then follows from the triangle inequality. \square

REFERENCES

- [BO08] L. Buffa and C. Ortner. Compact embeddings of broken sobolev spaces and applications. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 29:827–855, 2008.
- [Bra02] A. Braides. *Γ -convergence for beginners*, volume 22 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [BSSZ08] A. I. Bobenko, J. M. Sullivan, P. Schröder, and G. M. Ziegler. *Discrete differential geometry*. Springer, 2008.
- [CR73] M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart. Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations i. *Revue française d'automatique informatique recherche opérationnelle. Mathématique*, 7(R3):33–75, 1973.
- [CW17] K. Crane and M. Wardetzky. A glimpse into discrete differential geometry. *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, 64(10), 2017.
- [Dac08] B. Dacorogna. *Direct methods in the calculus of variations*, volume 78 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.
- [Dal93] G. Dal Maso. *An introduction to Γ -convergence*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 8. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [GDP⁺06] E. Grinspun, M. Desbrun, K. Polthier, P. Schröder, and A. Stern. Discrete differential geometry: an applied introduction. *ACM Siggraph Course*, 7(1), 2006.
- [GGRZ06] E. Grinspun, Y. Gingold, J. Reisman, and D. Zorin. Computing discrete shape operators on general meshes. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 25, pages 547–556. Wiley Online Library, 2006.
- [GHDS03] E. Grinspun, A. N. Hirani, M. Desbrun, and P. Schröder. Discrete shells. In *Proceedings of the 2003 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics symposium on Computer animation*, pages 62–67. Eurographics Association, 2003.
- [GO21] P. Gladbach and H. Olbermann. Approximation of the Willmore energy by a discrete geometry model. *Advances in Calculus of Variations*, 2021.
- [Lan85] J. Langer. A compactness theorem for surfaces with L_p -bounded second fundamental form. *Math. Ann.*, 270:223–234, 1985.
- [MDSB03] M. Meyer, M. Desbrun, P. Schröder, and A. H. Barr. Discrete differential-geometry operators for triangulated 2-manifolds. In *Visualization and mathematics III*, pages 35–57. Springer, 2003.
- [Ort11] C. Ortner. Nonconforming finite-element discretization of convex variational problems. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 31:847–864, 2011.

- [SF12] B. Schmidt and F. Fraternali. Universal formulae for the limiting elastic energy of membrane networks. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 60(1):172–180, 2012.
- [SW19] H. Schumacher and M. Wardetzky. Variational convergence of discrete minimal surfaces. *Numerische Mathematik*, 141(1):173–213, 2019.
- [SW20] H. Schumacher and M. Wardetzky. Variational methods for discrete geometric functionals. In *Handbook of Variational Methods for Nonlinear Geometric Data*, pages 153–172. Springer, 2020.

(Peter Gladbach) INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BONN, 53115 BONN, GERMANY

(Heiner Olbermann) INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE EN MATHÉMATIQUE ET PHYSIQUE, UCLouvain, 1348 LOUVAIN-LA-NEUVE, BELGIUM

Email address, Peter Gladbach: `gladbach@iam.uni-bonn.de`

Email address, Heiner Olbermann: `heiner.olbermann@uclouvain.be`