

IDENTITIES AND DERIVED LENGTHS OF FINITARY INCIDENCE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR GROUP OF UNITS

MYKOLA KHRYPCHENKO AND SALVATORE SICILIANO

ABSTRACT. Let $FI(X, K)$ be the finitary incidence algebra of a poset X over a field K . In this short note we establish when $FI(X, K)$ satisfies a polynomial identity and when its group of units $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ satisfies a group identity. The Lie derived length of $FI(X, K)$ and the derived length of $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ are also determined.

INTRODUCTION

Let A be a unital associative algebra. Then A can be regarded as a Lie algebra via the Lie bracket defined by $[x, y] = xy - yx$, for all $x, y \in A$. The *Lie derived series* of A is defined inductively by

$$A^{[0]} = A \text{ and } A^{[n+1]} = [A^{[n]}, A^{[n]}], \quad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover, following [14], we consider the series of associative two-sided ideals of A defined by

$$A^{(0)} = A \text{ and } A^{(n+1)} = [A^{(n)}, A^{(n)}] A, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The algebra A is said to be *Lie solvable* (respectively, *strongly Lie solvable*) if $A^{[n]} = \{0\}$ (respectively, $A^{(n)} = \{0\}$) for some n . In this case, the minimal n with such a property is called the *Lie derived length* (respectively, *strong Lie derived length*) of A and denoted by $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(A)$ (respectively, $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(A)$). Clearly, strong Lie solvability implies Lie solvability of A (and $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(A) \leq \text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(A)$), but the converse is not true in general. For instance, the algebra $M_2(K)$ of 2×2 matrices over K is Lie solvable but not strongly Lie solvable when $\text{char}(K) = 2$.

The terms of the *descending central series* of A are defined inductively by

$$\gamma_1(A) = A \text{ and } \gamma_{n+1}(A) = [\gamma_n(A), A], \quad n \geq 1.$$

We say that A is *Lie nilpotent* if there is an n such that $\gamma_n(A) = \{0\}$, in which case the minimal n such that $\gamma_{n+1}(A) = \{0\}$ is called the *Lie nilpotency class* of A .

The systematic study of the influence of the properties of the associated Lie ring $(R, [,])$ on the structure of a ring (R, \cdot) was initiated by Jennings [14]. Nowadays, there is an extensive literature devoted to the study of the Lie identities of several classes of associative algebras and to the interplay with the corresponding properties of their group of units. Passi, Passman and Sehgal [22] completely characterized Lie nilpotency and Lie solvability of the group ring $K[G]$ of a group G over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Riley and Shalev [25] studied Lie properties (Lie nilpotency, Lie solvability and the n -Engel property) of the (restricted) enveloping algebra $u(L)$ of a restricted Lie algebra L over a field of positive characteristic p different from 2. The case $p = 2$ was treated by Siciliano and Usefi in [28] (see also the survey paper [29]). Lie properties of Poisson algebras were investigated both in the general setting [31], and in the context of the symmetric Poisson algebra of a Lie algebra [19, 27, 30]. There is a relation between Lie nilpotency [5] and Lie solvability [1] of a radical ring R and the corresponding properties of its adjoint group (R, \circ) .

Date: February 6, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16S50; 16R10; 17B60.

Key words and phrases. Finitary incidence algebra; polynomial identity; Lie solvable; derived length.

Clearly, any Lie solvable associative algebra satisfies a polynomial identity. Passman [23] characterized those Lie algebras whose enveloping algebra (universal or restricted) satisfies a polynomial identity. Giambruno, Sehgal and Valenti [12] proved that the group algebra $K[G]$ of a torsion group G over an infinite field K satisfies a polynomial identity whenever its group of units $U(K[G])$ satisfies a group identity. Passman [24] complemented the previous result by describing those G such that $U(K[G])$ satisfies a group identity. The results were generalized to arbitrary fields in [17, 18].

The purpose of this note is to characterize finitary incidence algebras satisfying a polynomial identity. Recall [15] that the *finitary incidence algebra* $FI(X, K)$ of a poset (X, \leq) over a field K is the vector space of formal sums of the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{x \leq y} \alpha_{xy} e_{xy},$$

where $x, y \in X$, $\alpha_{xy} \in K$ and e_{xy} is a symbol, such that for any pair $x < y$ there exists only a finite number of $x \leq u < v \leq y$ with $\alpha_{uv} \neq 0$. The product in $FI(X, K)$ is given by the convolution

$$\alpha\beta = \sum_{x \leq y} \left(\sum_{x \leq z \leq y} \alpha_{xz} \beta_{zy} \right) e_{xy}, \quad (1)$$

so that $FI(X, K)$ is associative and has identity element $\delta := \sum_{x \in X} e_{xx}$. The algebra $FI(X, K)$ coincides with the classical incidence algebra $I(X, K)$ whenever X is locally finite. Incidence algebras were introduced by Rota [26] and have become an important tool in combinatorics [4, 35] and combinatorial topology [21, 36]. Recently, a special attention has been paid to the associated Lie algebra [2, 3] and linear maps on it [8, 9, 10, 11, 37].

Feinberg [7] proved that the incidence algebra of a locally finite quasiordered set X over a field satisfies a polynomial identity if and only if X is bounded. Nachev [20] independently obtained a similar result for incidence algebras over commutative rings. Leroux and Sarreillé [16] characterized path algebras satisfying a polynomial identity. Spiegel [32] described linear homogeneous identities of $I(X, K)$ of minimal degree. Moreover, in [33] he proved that $I(X, K)$ satisfies a polynomial identity if and only if $I(X, K)$ is Lie solvable, and in [34] he showed that this is also equivalent to the facts that the group of units $\mathcal{U}(I(X, K))$ of $I(X, K)$ satisfies a group identity and that $\mathcal{U}(I(X, K))$ is solvable. On the other hand, nilpotency and solvability of the group $\mathcal{U}_1 = \delta + J(FI(X, K))$ were characterized by Dugas, Herden and Rebrovich [6].

The main result of our note is Theorem 1.3 which generalizes the results of [7, 20, 33, 34]. As a consequence, we calculate the Lie derived length of $FI(X, K)$ in Corollary 1.5, and the derived length of its group of units $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ in Corollaries 1.5 and 1.8. Moreover, in Corollary 1.7 we recover [6, Theorem 41] complementing it with the exact values of the nilpotency class and derived length of \mathcal{U}_1 . Finally, in Corollary 1.9 we characterize nilpotency of $FI(X, K)$ and $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$.

1. IDENTITIES AND DERIVED LENGTHS OF $FI(X, K)$ AND $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$

Given an associative unital algebra A , we write $\mathcal{U}(A)$ for the group of units of A and $J(A)$ for the Jacobson radical of A . Given a group G , we denote by $G^{(n)}$ the n -th term of the derived series of G . If G is solvable, then $\text{dl}(G)$ denotes its derived length. Group commutators are denoted by $(g, h) = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$, for all $g, h \in G$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be an associative unital algebra, I an ideal of A and G a subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(A)$ contained in $1 + I$. Then $G^{(n)} \subseteq 1 + I^{(n)}$. In particular, if I is strongly solvable, then G is solvable and $\text{dl}(G) \leq \text{dl}^{Lie}(I)$.*

Proof. Induction on n . The base case $n = 0$ is trivial. Assume then $n > 0$ and $G^{(n-1)} \subseteq 1 + I^{(n-1)}$. For all $g, h \in G^{(n-1)}$ we have $(g, h) = 1 + [g^{-1}, h^{-1}]gh \in 1 + I^{(n)}$. Since $1 + I^{(n)}$ is a monoid, this yields the desired conclusion, which completes the proof. \square

Let (X, \leq) be a poset. The *length* of a finite chain $C \subseteq X$ is $l(C) := |C| - 1$. The *length* of X , denoted $l(X)$, is the supremum of $l(C)$ for all finite chains $C \subseteq X$. We say that X is *bounded* if $l(X) < \infty$. Given $x < y$ in X , the length of the interval $\{z \in X \mid x \leq z \leq y\}$ will be denoted by $l(x, y)$.

For every $k > 0$, put

$$Z_k := \{\alpha \in FI(X, K) \mid \alpha_{xy} = 0 \text{ if } l(x, y) \leq k - 1\}.$$

It turns out that the family $(Z_k)_{k>0}$ is a descending filtration of $FI(X, K)$. In particular, Z_k is an ideal of $FI(X, K)$ for every $k > 0$:

Lemma 1.2. *Let (X, \leq) be a poset and K a field. In the notation above, we have:*

- (i) $FI(X, K)^{(1)} \subseteq Z_1 = J(FI(X, K))$;
- (ii) $Z_m \cdot Z_n \subseteq Z_{m+n}$ for all $m, n > 0$;
- (iii) $\gamma_n(J(FI(X, K))) \subseteq Z_n$ for all $n > 0$;
- (iv) $FI(X, K)^{(n)} \subseteq Z_{2^{n-1}}$ for all $n > 0$.

Proof. Assertion (i) is proved in [15, Corollaries 2 and 3] and the remaining ones easily follow by induction using (1). \square

Finitary incidence algebras satisfying a polynomial identities are characterized in the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, \leq) be a poset and K a field. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $FI(X, K)$ satisfies a polynomial identity;
- (ii) $FI(X, K)$ is Lie solvable;
- (iii) $FI(X, K)$ is strongly Lie solvable;
- (iv) $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ satisfies a group identity;
- (v) $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is solvable;
- (vi) X is bounded.

Proof. We first show that (i) implies (vi). To this end, we use the idea of the proof of [32, Theorem 1]. Suppose that $FI(X, K)$ satisfies a polynomial identity f of degree n . By the multilinearization process (see e.g. [13, Theorem 1.3.7]), we may assume that f is multilinear. Moreover, by possibly multiplying f by new variables, we may assume that $n = 2k - 1$. Therefore $FI(X, K)$ satisfies an identity of the form

$$f(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{2k-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{2k-1}} c_\sigma \chi_{\sigma(1)} \chi_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \chi_{\sigma(2k-1)} \quad (2)$$

with coefficients $c_\sigma \in K$, where we can assume that $c_1 \neq 0$ (here Sym_{2k-1} denotes the symmetric group of $\{1, \dots, 2k-1\}$). Suppose, if possible, that there exists a chain $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_d$ in X with $d \geq k$. We must have

$$f(e_{x_1 x_1}, e_{x_1 x_2}, e_{x_2 x_2}, \dots, e_{x_{k-1} x_k}, e_{x_k x_k}) = c_1 e_{x_1 x_k} \neq 0,$$

as the only monomial in (2) with non-zero evaluation is $\chi_1 \chi_2 \cdots \chi_{2k-1}$. Thus, f is not a polynomial identity for $FI(X, K)$, a contradiction. This shows that X is bounded with $l(X) < k - 1$.

Lemma 1.2 (iv) shows that (vi) implies (iii), as $FI(X, K)^{(n)} = \{0\}$ whenever $2^{n-1} \geq l(X) + 1$. It is clear that (iii) implies (ii), (ii) implies (i), and (v) obviously implies (iv). The fact that (iv) implies (vi) can be proved by means of the same proof as in [34, Lemma 2]. Therefore, in order to complete the proof it is enough to prove that (iii) implies (v). For this purpose, apply Lemma 1.1 with $I = FI(X, K)$ and $G = \mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$. \square

Remark 1.4. Let (X, \leq) be a bounded poset and K a field. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.3 that $FI(X, K)$ does not satisfy any polynomial identity of degree less than $2l(X) + 2$.

Some consequences of Theorem 1.3 are given. The next result provides the exact value of the Lie derived length of a Lie solvable finitary incidence algebra, and of the derived length of $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ under a mild hypothesis on the ground field. As usual, we denote by $\lceil t \rceil$ the upper integral part of the real number t . Moreover, for a prime p , the field with p elements is denoted by \mathbb{F}_p .

Corollary 1.5. *Let (X, \leq) be a poset and K a field.*

(i) *If $FI(X, K)$ is Lie solvable, then*

$$\text{dl}_{Lie}(FI(X, K)) = \text{dl}^{Lie}(FI(X, K)) = \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1.$$

(ii) *If $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is solvable and $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$, then*

$$\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))) = \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1.$$

Proof. (i). As $FI(X, K)$ is Lie solvable, X is bounded by Theorem 1.3. Therefore, by Lemma 1.2 (iv) we have $FI(X, K)^{(n)} = \{0\}$ whenever $2^{n-1} \geq l(X) + 1$, hence $\text{dl}^{Lie}(FI(X, K)) \leq \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1$. On the other hand, as a Lie solvable algebra of derived length m satisfies a polynomial identity of degree 2^m , it follows from Remark 1.4 that $FI(X, K)^{[n]} \neq \{0\}$ for every n such that $2^{n-1} \geq l(X) + 1$. Hence $\text{dl}_{Lie}(FI(X, K)) \leq \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1$, yielding the first part of the statement.

(ii). We have $\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))) \leq \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1$ by Lemma 1.1 and (i). Let C be a chain of X with $|C| = l(X) + 1$. Since $|K| > 2$, it follows from [6, Theorem 22 and Lemma 28(g)] applied to $FI(C, K)$ that $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))^{(n)} \supseteq \mathcal{U}(FI(X, C))^{(n)} \neq \{\delta\}$ whenever $2^{n-1} < l(X) + 1$. We conclude that $\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))) \geq \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil + 1$, and the result follows. \square

Remark 1.6. The ground field \mathbb{F}_2 was correctly omitted in Corollary 1.5 (ii). For instance, if $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with the usual order, then $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, \mathbb{F}_2))$ is isomorphic to the group $UT(n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ of all $n \times n$ upper unitriangular matrices over \mathbb{F}_2 . It is well-known that the derived length of $UT(n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

Following [6], given a poset (X, \leq) and a field K , we set

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \delta + J(FI(X, K)) = \{f \in FI(X, K) \mid \alpha_{xx} = 1 \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

Solvability and nilpotency of \mathcal{U}_1 were characterized in [6, Theorem 41]. In our next result we provide an alternative, short proof of the just mentioned theorem, together with the exact value of the nilpotency class and the derived length of \mathcal{U}_1 :

Corollary 1.7. *Let (X, \leq) be a poset and K a field. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{U}_1 is solvable;
- (ii) \mathcal{U}_1 is nilpotent;
- (iii) X is bounded.

Moreover, in this case \mathcal{U}_1 has nilpotency class $l(X) + 1$ and derived length $\lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{U}_1 is solvable. Note that \mathcal{U}_1 is isomorphic to the adjoint group $(J(FI(X, K)), \circ)$ of $J(FI(X, K))$, where $f \circ g = f + g + fg$ for all $f, g \in J(FI(X, K))$. Therefore, by [1, Theorem A] we have that $J(FI(X, K))$ is Lie solvable. As a consequence, by Lemma 1.2 (i) we deduce that $FI(X, K)$ is Lie solvable, and so Theorem 1.3 forces that X is bounded. Hence (i) implies (iii), and (ii) obviously implies (i). Now, if X is bounded, then $J(FI(X, K))$ is Lie nilpotent by Lemma 1.2 (iii), so that \mathcal{U}_1 is nilpotent by [5, Corollary]. Therefore the three conditions of the statement are equivalent.

Finally, it follows from Lemma 1.2 (iii) and Remark 1.4 that the Lie nilpotency class of $J(FI(X, K))$ is $l(X) + 1$. By [5, Corollary], this value coincides with the nilpotency class of \mathcal{U}_1 . By applying Lemma 1.1 to $I = J(FI(X, K))$ and $G = \mathcal{U}_1$, we obtain $\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}_1) \leq \text{dl}^{Lie}(J(FI(X, K)))$. It follows from (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1.2 that $J(FI(X, K))^{(n)} \subseteq Z_{2^{n+1}-1} \subseteq Z_{2^n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, yielding $\text{dl}^{Lie}(J(FI(X, K))) \leq \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil$. Now, if $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ then note that $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1$, so $\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}_1) \geq \text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))) - 1 = \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil$. On the other hand, if $K = \mathbb{F}_2$, then we have $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K)) = \mathcal{U}_1$. Take a chain C in X with $|C| = l(X) + 1$.

Then Remark 1.6 allows to conclude that $\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}_1) \geq \text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(C, K))) = \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil$, and the assertion follows. \square

Since $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, \mathbb{F}_2)) = \mathcal{U}_1$, we have the following result complementing Corollary 1.5 (ii).

Corollary 1.8. *If $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, \mathbb{F}_2))$ is solvable, then*

$$\text{dl}(\mathcal{U}(FI(X, \mathbb{F}_2))) = \lceil \log_2(l(X) + 1) \rceil.$$

We conclude with the following

Corollary 1.9. *Let (X, \leq) be a poset and K a field. Then*

- (i) *$FI(X, K)$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if X is an antichain;*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is nilpotent if and only if either X is antichain or $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and X is bounded.*

Proof. (i). If X is an antichain, then $FI(X, K)$ is commutative, hence Lie nilpotent. Conversely, suppose that X is not an antichain and let $x, y \in X$ with $x < y$. Then $[e_{xy}, e_{yy}] = e_{xy}$, hence $FI(X, K)$ is not Lie nilpotent.

(ii). Suppose that $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is nilpotent. Then X is bounded by Theorem 1.3. Assume $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$. If X were not an antichain, then we could find a chain C in X with $|C| = n > 1$. As $\mathcal{U}(FI(C, K))$ is isomorphic to the group of all invertible $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over K , it follows that $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is not nilpotent, a contradiction. This proves necessity.

Let us prove sufficiency. Clearly, $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K))$ is abelian when X is an antichain. On the other hand, if $K = \mathbb{F}_2$, then $\mathcal{U}(FI(X, K)) = \mathcal{U}_1$, which is nilpotent by Corollary 1.7 provided that X is bounded. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mykola Khrypchenko was partially supported by CMUP, member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT — Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the project with reference UIDB/00144/2020. Salvatore Siciliano is a member of the "National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their applications" (GNSAGA-INdAM).

REFERENCES

- [1] AMBERG, B., AND SYSAK, Y. P. Radical rings with soluble adjoint groups. *J. Algebra* 247, 2 (2002), 692–702.
- [2] COLL, V. E., MAYERS, N. W., AND RUSSONIELLO, N. V. The index of nilpotent Lie poset algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 605 (2020), 118–129.
- [3] COLL, V. E. J., AND MAYERS, N. W. The index of Lie poset algebras. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* 177 (2021), 34. Id/No 105331.
- [4] DOUBILET, P., ROTA, G.-C., AND STANLEY, R. P. On the foundations of combinatorial theory. VI. The idea of generating function. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, vol. II: Probability theory. Univ. California Press, 1972, pp. 267–318.
- [5] DU, X. The centers of a radical ring. *Can. Math. Bull.* 35, 2 (1992), 174–179.
- [6] DUGAS, M., HERDEN, D., AND REBROVICH, J. Normal subgroups of the group of units of incidence algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 586 (2020), 64–88.
- [7] FEINBERG, R. B. Polynomial identities of incidence algebras. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 55 (1976), 25–28.
- [8] FORNAROLI, É. Z., KHRYPCHENKO, M., AND SANTULO JR., E. A. Commutativity preservers of incidence algebras. [arXiv:2207.10713](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.10713) (2022).
- [9] FORNAROLI, É. Z., KHRYPCHENKO, M., AND SANTULO JR., E. A. Lie automorphisms of incidence algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 150, 4 (2022), 1477–1492.
- [10] FORNAROLI, É. Z., KHRYPCHENKO, M., AND SANTULO JR., E. A. Proper Lie automorphisms of incidence algebras. *Glasgow Math. J.* 64, 3 (2022), 702–715.
- [11] FORNAROLI, É. Z., KHRYPCHENKO, M., AND SANTULO JR., E. A. Regular Hom-Lie structures on incidence algebras. [arXiv:2212.12591](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.12591) (2022).
- [12] GIAMBRUNO, A., SEHGAL, S., AND VALENTI, A. Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 125, 3 (1997), 629–634.

- [13] GIAMBRUNO, A., AND ZAICEV, M. *Polynomial identities and asymptotic methods.*, vol. 122 of *Math. Surv. Monogr.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2005.
- [14] JENNINGS, S. A. Central chains of ideals in an associative ring. *Duke Math. J.* 9 (1942), 341–355.
- [15] KHRYPCHENKO, N. S., AND NOVIKOV, B. V. Finitary incidence algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 37, 5 (2009), 1670–1676.
- [16] LEROUX, P., AND SARREILLÉ, J. Structure of incidence algebras of graphs. *Commun. Algebra* 9 (1981), 1479–1517.
- [17] LIU, C.-H. Group algebras with units satisfying a group identity. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 127, 2 (1999), 327–336.
- [18] LIU, C.-H., AND PASSMAN, D. S. Group algebras with units satisfying a group identity. II. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 127, 2 (1999), 337–341.
- [19] MONTEIRO ALVES, I. Z., AND PETROGRADSKY, V. Lie structure of truncated symmetric Poisson algebras. *J. Algebra* 488 (2017), 244–281.
- [20] NACHEV, N. A. Polynomial identities in incidence algebras. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 32, 6(198) (1977), 233–234.
- [21] ORLIK, P., AND SOLOMON, L. Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes. *Invent. Math.* 56 (1980), 167–189.
- [22] PASSI, I. B. S., PASSMAN, D. S., AND SEHGAL, S. K. Lie solvable group rings. *Can. J. Math.* 25 (1973), 748–757.
- [23] PASSMAN, D. S. Enveloping algebras satisfying a polynomial identity. *J. Algebra* 134, 2 (1990), 469–490.
- [24] PASSMAN, D. S. Group algebras whose units satisfy a group identity. II. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 125, 3 (1997), 657–662.
- [25] RILEY, D. M., AND SHALEV, A. The Lie structure of enveloping algebras. *J. Algebra* 162, 1 (1993), 46–61.
- [26] ROTA, G.-C. On the foundations of combinatorial theory. I. Theory of Möbius functions. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* 2, 4 (1964), 340–368.
- [27] SICILIANO, S. Solvable symmetric Poisson algebras and their derived lengths. *J. Algebra* 543 (2020), 98–110.
- [28] SICILIANO, S., AND USEFI, H. Lie solvable enveloping algebras of characteristic two. *J. Algebra* 382 (2013), 314–331.
- [29] SICILIANO, S., AND USEFI, H. Lie properties of restricted enveloping algebras. In *Lie algebras and related topics. Workshop on Lie algebras, in honor of Helmut Strade’s 70th birthday, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy, May 22–24, 2013. Proceedings.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2015, pp. 141–152.
- [30] SICILIANO, S., AND USEFI, H. On a conjecture about solvability of symmetric Poisson algebras. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 53, 5 (2021), 1299–1311.
- [31] SICILIANO, S., AND USEFI, H. Solvability of Poisson algebras. *J. Algebra* 568 (2021), 349–361.
- [32] SPIEGEL, E. A note on PI incidence algebras. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* 29, 2 (1999), 685–690.
- [33] SPIEGEL, E. PI incidence algebras and Capelli polynomials. *Commun. Algebra* 29, 6 (2001), 2651–2656.
- [34] SPIEGEL, E. Group identities in incidence rings. *Commun. Algebra* 30, 5 (2002), 2529–2534.
- [35] STANLEY, R. P. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, vol. 49 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [36] WACHS, M. L. Poset topology: tools and applications. In *Geometric combinatorics.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS); Princeton, NJ: Institute for Advanced Studies, 2007, pp. 497–615.
- [37] ZHANG, X., AND KHRYPCHENKO, M. Lie derivations of incidence algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 513 (2017), 69–83.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA CATARINA, CAMPUS REITOR JOÃO DAVID FERREIRA LIMA, FLORIANÓPOLIS, SC, CEP: 88040-900, BRAZIL AND CMUP, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS, UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE S/N, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

Email address: nskhrypchenko@gmail.com

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA “ENNIO DE GIORGI”, UNIVERSITÀ DEL SALENTO, VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE-ARNESANO, 73100-LECCE, ITALY

Email address: salvatore.siciliano@unisalento.it