

GRAPHS WITH LARGE MINIMUM DEGREE AND NO SMALL ODD CYCLES ARE 3-COLOURABLE

JULIA BÖTTCHER, NÓRA FRANKL, DOMENICO MERGONI CECHELLI, OLAF PARCZYK,
AND JOZEF SKOKAN

ABSTRACT. Answering a question by Letzter and Snyder, we prove that for large enough k any n -vertex graph G with minimum degree at least $\frac{1}{2k-1}n$ and without odd cycles of length less than $2k+1$ is 3-colourable. In fact, we prove a stronger result that works with a slightly smaller minimum degree.

1. INTRODUCTION

Determining the chromatic number of a graph is a difficult problem. This explains why a wealth of results in graph theory aims instead at determining meaningful upper bounds on this quantity, which can also be seen as bounds on the permitted structural complexity of the graphs under consideration. One natural question in this direction then is if the chromatic number of the family of \mathcal{H} -free graphs is bounded for finite non-trivial \mathcal{H} . Here, a graph G is \mathcal{H} -free for a set \mathcal{H} of graphs, if G does not contain any member of \mathcal{H} as a subgraph, and \mathcal{H} is *non-trivial* if none of the graphs in \mathcal{H} is a forest. This question was answered negatively by Erdős [5] in one of the early applications of the celebrated probabilistic method: For every finite non-trivial \mathcal{H} and every positive integer c , there are \mathcal{H} -free graphs with chromatic number at least c .

In another influential paper, Erdős and Simonovits [6] asked what happens if a minimum degree condition is also introduced. More precisely, they initiated the study of the so-called chromatic profile of \mathcal{H} . To define this, it is convenient to introduce some notation. We denote by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ the family of all \mathcal{H} -free graphs, and by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}, \alpha)$ the set of graphs in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ of minimum degree at least $\alpha|V(G)|$. For $c \geq 2$ a positive integer, the *chromatic profile* of \mathcal{H} as a function in c is

$$\delta_\chi(\mathcal{H}, c) = \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \forall G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}, \alpha), \chi(G) \leq c\}.$$

This function measures how large the minimum degree needs to be in order to guarantee that an \mathcal{H} -free graph has chromatic number at most c . Erdős and Simonovits [6] judged that in full generality this quantity seemed ‘too complicated’ to study. Despite considerable progress in the last few decades, this judgment still stands firm. The goal of this paper is to contribute to the understanding of the chromatic profile of the family of odd cycles up to a certain length.

But let us first summarise what is known. Soon after Erdős and Simonovits’s paper, Andrásfai, Erdős and Sós [2] proved that $\{K_r\}$ -free graphs of minimum degree strictly larger than $\frac{3r-7}{3r-4}|V(G)|$ have chromatic number at most $r-1$. Moreover, in the same paper examples were given of $\{K_r\}$ -free graphs of minimum degree $\frac{3r-7}{3r-4}|V(G)|$ whose

Date: March 8, 2023.

NF was supported by an LMS Early Career Fellowship at an earlier stage of this work, and was Partially supported by ERC Advanced Grant ‘GeoScape’. OP was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID: 390685689).

chromatic number is exactly r . In other words, $\delta_\chi(\{K_r\}, r-1) = \frac{3r-7}{3r-4}$. Other known results include $\delta_\chi(\{K_3\}, 3) = \frac{10}{29}$ by Haggkvist [8] and Jin [9], and $\delta_\chi(\{K_3\}, c) = \frac{1}{3}$ for every $c \geq 4$ by Brandt and Thomassé [3]. On the other hand, Thomassen [15] showed that $\delta_\chi(\{C_5\}, c) \leq \frac{6}{c}$ and more generally an upper bound for $\delta_\chi(\{C_k\}, c)$; together with a result of Ma [12] this implies for every fixed k that

$$\Omega\left((k+1)^{-4(c+1)}\right) = \delta_\chi(\{C_k\}, c) = O\left(\frac{k}{c}\right).$$

The next developments concerned two quantities related to the chromatic profile: the chromatic threshold and the homomorphism threshold. The *chromatic threshold* of \mathcal{H} is

$$\delta_\chi(\mathcal{H}) = \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \exists K \text{ s.t. } \forall G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}, \alpha), \chi(G) \leq K\},$$

and measures how large the minimum degree needs to be to guarantee that the chromatic number of \mathcal{H} -free graphs is bounded by some constant. For example, the result by Brandt and Thomassé [3] mentioned above shows that $\delta_\chi(\{C_3\}) = \frac{1}{3}$. The chromatic threshold is by now much better understood than the chromatic profile. Building on the work of Łuczak and Thomassé [17], and generalising various previous results, Allen, Böttcher, Griffiths, Kohayakawa, and Morris [1] determined the chromatic threshold of every finite family \mathcal{H} . For more details about the history of the study of the chromatic threshold see this paper and the references therein.

To get an even better picture, one can consider the more restrictive notion of the *homomorphism threshold* $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{H})$ of a family \mathcal{H} , which is a measure of the smallest minimum degree that guarantees that \mathcal{H} -free graphs are homomorphic to a small \mathcal{H} -free graph. That is,

$$\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{H}) = \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \exists F \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ s.t. } \forall G \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}, \alpha), G \text{ is hom. to } F\}.$$

Note that $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{H}) \geq \delta_\chi(\mathcal{H})$. Determining homomorphism thresholds is distinctively harder than determining chromatic thresholds. Łuczak [11] showed that for K_3 the homomorphism threshold equals the chromatic threshold, which as discussed above is $\frac{1}{3}$. Goddard and Lyle [7] and Nikiforov [13] extended this to all cliques, showing $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\{K_k\}) = \delta_\chi(\{K_k\}) = \frac{2k-5}{2k-3}$. Letzter and Snyder [10] considered a generalisation to longer odd cycles instead. They proved $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\{C_5\}) \leq \frac{1}{5}$ and $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{C}_5) = \frac{1}{5}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{2k-1} = \{C_3, \dots, C_{2k-1}\}$ is the family of odd cycles up to length $2k-1$. Extending this, Ebsen and Schacht [4] proved $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\{C_{2k-1}\}) \leq \frac{1}{2k-1}$ and $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}) = \frac{1}{2k-1}$ for all $k \geq 2$. Complementing the first of these results, Sankar [14] recently proved that $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\{C_{2k-1}\}) > 0$ for all $k \geq 2$. This shows that, in contrast to cliques, the homomorphism threshold for odd cycles behaves differently than the chromatic threshold since $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}) = 0$ for $k > 2$.

Returning to the chromatic profile, what can be said about families of odd cycles? Already the methods by Andrásfai, Erdős and Sós [2] give $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 2) = \frac{2}{2k+1}$, where the lower bound comes from a blow-up of C_{2k+1} . Moving on to 3-colourability, when establishing the homomorphism threshold for \mathcal{C}_5 , Letzter and Snyder [10] showed that graphs in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_5, \frac{1}{5} + \varepsilon)$ are in fact homomorphic to graphs of chromatic number 3, which implies $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_5, 3) \leq \frac{1}{5}$. The best-known lower bound, on the other hand, is $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_5, 3) \geq \frac{14}{73}$, which is given by an asymmetric blow-up of a \mathcal{C}_5 -free graph on 22 vertices (cf. the graph $G_{3,3}$ in Van Ngoc and Tuza [16]). The homomorphisms constructed in Ebsen and Schacht's [4] generalisation, however, were not to 3-colourable graphs. Thus, their result does not imply an upper bound on $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3)$.

Providing such an upper bound is the main contribution of this paper. We prove that for k large enough the homomorphism threshold $\frac{1}{2k-1}$ is an upper bound on the chromatic profile $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3)$. This answers a question of Letzter and Snyder [10]. In fact, we can prove a slightly stronger upper bound, which shows that $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3)$ is strictly smaller than $\delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1})$ for large k .

Theorem 1.1. *For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and any integer $k \geq k(t) = 5490 + 45t$ the following holds. Any \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} -free graph G of minimum degree at least $\frac{1}{2k+t}|V(G)|$ is 3-colourable. In other words, for $k \geq k(t)$, we have $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3) \leq \frac{1}{2k+t}$.*

Since $k(t)$ is linear in t , we conclude that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3) \leq \frac{1}{(2+\varepsilon)k}$ for large enough k . Concerning lower bounds for $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3)$, we only know of bounds that are much smaller. Such a bound can be achieved for example as follows. Take a generalised \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} -free Mycielski graphs of minimum degree 3, and chromatic number 4, as described for example in Van Ngoc and Tuz [16]; a balanced blow up of these constructions gives 4-chromatic \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} -free graphs of minimum degree $\frac{3}{2k^2+k+1}|V(G)|$. As this lower bound and our upper leave a considerable gap, we make no further effort here in optimising the constant factor in either of them.

It would be interesting to know if our upper bound or this lower bound provides the right order of magnitude for $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3)$.

Question 1.2. *Is $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3) \geq \frac{c}{f(k)}$ with c constant and $f(k)$ linear in k ? Or is $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, 3) \leq \frac{c}{f(k)}$ with c constant and $f(k)$ quadratic in k ?*

Similarly, we did not try to optimise our $k(t)$, since with our proof technique one probably cannot bring this down to a single digit when $t = 0$. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to know what happens for small k . In particular, our result motivates the following question.

Question 1.3. *Is $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_5, 3) < \frac{1}{5} = \delta_{\text{hom}}(\mathcal{C}_5)$?*

Finally, we remark that with our argument it is easy to derive a more general upper bound $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, c) \leq \frac{1}{2k\lfloor c/3 \rfloor}$ for $c \geq 3$ and sufficiently large k . Observe that $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, c) \leq \delta_\chi(\{\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}\}, c)$ and thus this upper bound complements the bound $\delta_\chi(\{\mathcal{C}_k\}, c) = O\left(\frac{k}{c}\right)$ mentioned earlier in that it applies to the case of fixed c and large k , while the latter is meaningful for fixed k and large c . We will briefly explain how our general bound can be obtained at the end of Section 3.

Organisation. The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. We start in Section 2 with introducing some basic notation, explaining the strategy of our proof of Theorem 1.1, providing the setup used in this proof as well as the main lemmas we need for this, and outlining what further will be needed for the proof of these lemmas. In Section 3 we then prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of our main technical lemma (Lemma 2.3) is provided in Section 6. To prepare for this proof, we develop tools for finding bipartite subgraphs in a weighted graph in Section 4, and for lower bounding the neighbourhood size of certain cycles and paths in Section 5. We will explain as part of Section 2 how these tools are used.

2. NOTATION AND OVERVIEW OF THE PROOF

Before we explain the proof idea for our main theorem we review some (mostly) standard notation and transfer it in a natural way to graphs that are equipped with weights on their edges.

Notation. Let G be a graph and let $B \subseteq V(G)$ be a set of vertices. We denote by $G[B]$ the subgraph of G induced by B . If $G[B]$ is connected, then we also say as a shorthand that B is *connected*. We write $G \setminus B$ for the graph $G[V(G) \setminus B]$. As usual, $N(v)$ denotes the (open) *neighbourhood* of a vertex v of G . For a set of vertices B , we denote with $\text{int}(B) = \{v \in B : N(v) \subseteq B\}$ the *interior* of B . We write B^c for the *complement* $V(G) \setminus B$ of B in G . For a graph G the *distance* $d_G(x, y)$ of two vertices x, y in G is the minimum number of edges of a path in G with end-vertices x and y . For two sets of vertices $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ the *distance* $d_G(A, B)$ is the minimum of $d_G(x, y)$ over all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. For an integer $i \geq 0$ the (closed) *i -th neighbourhood* of B in G is given by

$$N_G^i[B] = \{x \in V(G) : \exists v \in B \text{ s.t. } d_G(x, v) \leq i\}.$$

Often we also omit the subscript G when it is clear from the context in which graph we are taking neighbourhoods. We remark that if D is a subgraph of G on a smaller vertex set we also write $N^i[D]$ instead of $N^i[V(D)]$.

We shall also work with the following type of auxiliary graphs with weights on their edges. For a graph H a *weight function* is a function of the form $\omega : E(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and a graph endowed with such a function is called a *weighted graph*. All concepts defined above for unweighted graphs also apply to weighted graphs. The weight of a subgraph H' of H is $\omega(H') = \sum_{e \in E(H')} \omega(e)$. We say that H is *weighted bipartite* if there is no cycle in H of odd weight. We also say that $B \subseteq V(H)$ is *weighted bipartite* when $H[B]$ is and the graph is clear from the context.

In addition to the notion of unweighted distance defined above, for weighted graphs we shall also use a weighted version as follows. The *weighted distance* $d_{\omega, H}(x, y)$ of two vertices x, y in a weighted graph H is the minimum weight of a path from x to y . Moreover, for any vertex v and for an integer $i \geq 0$, we define the (closed) *weighted i -th neighbourhood* around v as

$$N_{\omega}^i[v] = \{x \in V(H) : d_{\omega}(x, v) \leq i\}.$$

Overview of the proof. The starting point of our proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by Thomassen's approach [15] to establishing the chromatic threshold of C_5 . As in that approach, we start by fixing a maximal set of non-adjacent vertices v_1, \dots, v_h with disjoint neighbourhoods $N(v_1), \dots, N(v_h)$, which leaves a set of remaining vertices $X = V(G) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^h N^1[v_i]$, and then analyse the structure of our graph based on the resulting vertex partition. However, our analysis uses different and new ideas and is substantially more complex as we work with a different setup.

It turns out that given any two of the vertices above, say v_i, v_j , the crucial information we need for this analysis is the length of a shortest path between $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$ whose internal vertices lie in X . Moreover, we only care about this path if it is of length at most 3. Such a path of length at most 3 gives a v_i, v_j -path of length in $\{3, 4, 5\}$. Consequently, one main idea in our proof is to represent the structure of our graph by introducing an auxiliary weighted graph H on the vertex set $[h]$. In H we have an edge ij whenever such a v_i, v_j -path with length in $\{3, 4, 5\}$ exists; moreover, we assign as a weight to the edge ij the length of the path between v_i and v_j . Since our graph has no odd cycles of length smaller than $2k + 1$, it is easy to see that this auxiliary graph has no cycles of odd weight smaller than $2k + 1$. Moreover, by assuming that G is connected and by choosing the vertices v_1, \dots, v_h carefully, we can guarantee that H has a spanning tree of edges of weight 3. This is the motivation for the definition of the following family of graphs.

Definition 2.1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathcal{H}(k)$ the family of graphs H with the following two properties:

- There is a weight function $\omega : E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$ on the edges of H such that in H there are no cycles C such that $\omega(C)$ is odd and smaller than $2k + 1$.
- There is a tree T spanning H such that all edges of T have weight 3.

Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(k, s)$ the graphs in $\mathcal{H}(k)$ on at most s vertices.

This auxiliary graph H encapsulates substantial structural information of G , which is essential in our proof of the 3-colourability of G . We do the latter by obtaining a partition of the vertex set of G as required by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let G be a graph on vertex set V . If there is a set of vertices $A \subseteq V$ such that $G[A]$ is connected, $G[V \setminus A]$ is bipartite, and for all $v \in V \setminus A$ we have that $G[A \cup \{v\}]$ is bipartite, then $\chi(G) \leq 3$.

Proof. First choose a colouring of A using colours $\{1, 2\}$, and a colouring of $V \setminus A$ using colours $\{3, 4\}$. Note that since A is connected, every neighbour of a vertex $v \in V \setminus A$ in A is of the same colour. Now we recolour vertices of colour 4 as follows. If a vertex $v \in V \setminus A$ of colour 4 is connected to a vertex of colour 1, recolour it with 2, otherwise recolour it with 1. \square

This criterion that guarantees 3-colourability motivates the following decomposition lemma of the auxiliary graph H , which is the heart of our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.3 (Main technical lemma). For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and any integer $k \geq k(t) = 5490 + 45t$ the following holds. For any $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k + t)$ there exists a subset B of $V(H)$ such that $H[B]$ is connected, $H \setminus B$ is weighted bipartite, and $H[B \cup \{v\}]$ is weighted bipartite for all $v \in V(H) \setminus B$.

Given this lemma the main task in proving Theorem 1.1 is to “translate” this partition of the auxiliary graph H into a partition of G with essentially the same properties. The proof of this lemma relies on a surgical analysis of the neighbourhood $N^1[C]$ of a cycle C of odd weight and a careful combination of paths to build B . We now provide the key ideas of the argument together with additional lemmas in the next subsection, before turning to the proof of the main theorem.

Strategy to approach Lemma 2.3. We briefly discuss here the main ideas behind our proof of Lemma 2.3, which is detailed in Sections 4, 5 and 6. First, we note that we prove a statement which is slightly stronger than Lemma 2.3. Indeed, when constructing the connected set B we ensure that both $N[B]$ and $H \setminus B$ are weighted bipartite.

In Section 4 we study how to guarantee the first property. In particular, we are going to show that simple constructions like balls around a vertex and neighbourhoods of lightest paths are weighted bipartite. Moreover, we prove that when we select our sets carefully the property of being weighted bipartite passes to the union in a very precise way. This allows us to build larger weighted bipartite sets.

However, these results alone are not sufficient to obtain our goal. Indeed, once we get such a candidate set B , we need to prove that also $H \setminus B$ is weighted bipartite. The following lemma shows that for this it is sufficient that the interior of B is large enough.

Lemma 2.4. Let $k \geq 8$ and t be natural numbers, and $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k + t)$. Let $B \subseteq V(H)$ be good and $|\text{int}(B)| \geq \frac{4}{3}k + t$. Then $H \setminus B$ is weighted bipartite.

If the interior of B has size at least $\frac{4}{3}k + t$, its complement is of size at most $|V(H)| - |\text{int}(B)| \leq \frac{2k}{3}$ and we are going to show that this is not enough space to contain a cycle of odd weight. For example, it can not contain a cycle of odd weight with only edges of weight 3, because this cycle has at least $\frac{2k+1}{3}$ vertices, too much to fit into the complement of B . In general, the cycle might have edges of other weights, but the spanning tree of weight 3 then guarantees that we find additional vertices in the neighbourhood of the cycle. It turns out that also in general we get exactly the same bound as in the example above.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $k \geq 8$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}(k)$. If C is a non-spanning cycle of odd weight, then $|N^1[C]| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$.*

We would like to emphasise that exactly this is the reason why we require the spanning tree of weight 3. We quickly give the details of how to obtain Lemma 2.4 from Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. By assumption on the interior, B is not empty. Assume $H \setminus B$ is not weighted bipartite, and let $S \subseteq B^c = V(H) \setminus B$ be a cycle of odd in $H \setminus B$. As B is not empty this cycle is not spanning in H . Hence, we can apply Lemma 2.5 to conclude that $|N^1[S]| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$. Since no vertex of $S \subseteq B^c$ can have a neighbour in $\text{int}(B)$, we have $N^1[S] \subseteq \text{int}(B)^c$ and so $|\text{int}(B)^c| \geq |N^1[S]| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$. However, we also have $|V(H)| = 2k + t$ and $|\text{int}(B)| \geq \frac{4}{3}k + t$ which gives $|\text{int}(B)^c| \leq 2k - \frac{4}{3}k < \frac{2k+1}{3}$, a contradiction. \square

In Section 5 we prove Lemma 2.5 and a useful corollary. Finally, in Section 6 we combine the results presented in Sections 4 and 5 to show the existence of a weighted bipartite set B with large interior to prove Lemma 2.3. As promised we now turn to the proof of the main theorem.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $k \geq k(t) = 5490 + 45t$ be an integer. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex graph with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq n/(2k + t)$ that does not contain an odd cycle of length shorter than $2k + 1$. Since we want to show that the chromatic number of G is at most 3, we may assume that G is connected.

First, we construct an auxiliary graph H on $h \leq 2k + t$ vertices with weight function $w : E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$ as follows. Let $v_1 \in V$ be any vertex, set $V_1 = \{v_1\} \cup N(v_1)$, and set the index i to $i = 2$. If possible, we pick a vertex $v_i \in V \setminus V_{i-1}$ such that $\{v_i\} \cup N(v_i)$ is disjoint from V_{i-1} and such that there is an edge between $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$. We let $V_i = \{v_i\} \cup N(v_i) \cup V_{i-1}$, we increase the index i by one, and repeat the above. We stop this process when we cannot pick the vertex v_i anymore. We let $h \geq 1$ be the index of the last vertex we picked before the process stopped. Note that $h \leq 2k + t$ because $n \geq |V_h| > hn/(2k + t)$ by the minimum degree of G . Furthermore, we set $X = V \setminus V_h$.

Now let H be the graph with vertex set $[h]$ and with all edges $ij \in \binom{[h]}{2}$ such that $d(N(v_i), N(v_j)) \leq 3$. To every edge $ij \in E(H)$, we assign the weight

$$\omega(e) = d(N(v_i), N(v_j)) + 2 \in \{3, 4, 5\},$$

which is an upper bound on the distance between v_i and v_j in G . We thus obtain a graph H on $h \leq 2k + t$ vertices and with weight function $w : E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$. We observe the following simple properties of H and the V_i .

Claim 3.1.

- (P1) *There is no cycle C in H whose weight is odd and less than $2k + 1$.*
- (P2) *Each vertex $x \in X$ has a neighbour in $N(v_i)$ for some $i \in [h]$.*
- (P3) *For every $i \in [h]$ the neighbourhood $N(v_i)$ is independent, if $k \geq 2$.*
- (P4) *For every $i \in [h]$ the set $\{u \in V(H) : d(u, v_i) = 2\}$ is independent, if $k \geq 3$.*
- (P5) *If for some $i, j \in [h]$ there is a path of length 2 from $N(v_i)$ to $N(v_j)$ in G , then $\omega(ij) = 4$ in H , as long as $k \geq 4$.*
- (P6) *If for some $i, j \in [h]$ there is a path of length 3 from $N(v_i)$ to $N(v_j)$ in G , then $\omega(ij) \in \{3, 5\}$ in H , as long as $k \geq 5$.*

Proof. Property (P1) follows directly from our assumptions, because any cycle in H of odd weight less than $2k + 1$ would directly create an odd cycle of length less than $2k + 1$ in G . Indeed, a cycle C in H with an odd weight less than $2k + 1$ corresponds, by our construction, to a closed odd walk with less than $2k + 1$ edges in G , which in turn contains an odd cycle shorter than $2k + 1$.

To see (P2), observe that if this was not the case then $\{x\} \cup N(x)$ would be disjoint from V_h . Hence, a shortest path from x to V_h , which exists as G is connected, has length at least 2. But then the penultimate vertex on this path could be chosen as v_{h+1} , contradicting our assumption that the selection process stopped.

Since an edge pq in $N(v_i)$ gives a triangle v_i, p, q in G we obtain (P3). For (P4), assume that $k \geq 3$ and there is an edge pq in $\{u \in V(H) : d(u, v_i) = 2\}$. Let p' be a neighbour of p in $N(v_i)$, and q' be a neighbour of q in $N(v_i)$. Then p, p', v_i, q', q is a closed walk of length 5, a contradiction.

Next we show (P5). Let p, x, q be a path of length 2 from $N(v_i)$ to $N(v_j)$. Assume that $\omega(ij) \neq 4$. Then $\omega(ij)$ must be 3, so there is an edge $p'q'$ between $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$. But then $p, x, q, v_j, q', p', v_i$ is a closed walk of length 7, a contradiction if $k \geq 4$.

It remains to prove (P6). Let p, x, y, q be a path of length 3 from $N(v_i)$ to $N(v_j)$, and assume that $\omega(ij) \notin \{3, 5\}$. Then $\omega(ij)$ must be 4, so there is a path p', z, q' of length 2 between $N(v_i)$ and $N(v_j)$. But then $p, x, y, q, v_j, q', z, p', v_i$ is a closed walk of length 9, a contradiction if $k \geq 5$. \square

It follows from the construction of H that there is a spanning tree T in H with $\omega(e) = 3$ for all $e \in E(T)$. As also Property (P1) holds, $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k + t)$. As $k \geq k(t)$, by Lemma 2.3, there exists a set $B \subseteq [h]$ such that $H[B]$ is connected, $H[[h] \setminus B]$ is weighted bipartite, and $H[B \cup \{u\}]$ is weighted bipartite for all $u \in [h]$.

Our goal is to use this set B to construct a set $A \subseteq V$ such that G and A satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.2, so that we can conclude that G is 3-colourable. This is the case if A satisfies the following properties.

- (A1) $G[A]$ is connected,
- (A2) $G[V \setminus A]$ is bipartite,
- (A3) $G[A \cup \{v\}]$ is bipartite for all $v \in V$.

We construct A as follows. Denote by A_0 the union of the sets $\{v_b\} \cup N(v_b)$ over all $b \in B$, let $X_0 \subseteq X = V \setminus V_h$ be the set of vertices that have a neighbour in A_0 , and set $A = A_0 \cup X_0$. It remains to verify that A satisfies conditions (A1)–(A3).

Since $H[B]$ is connected, we immediately obtain (A1): Indeed, it is easy to verify that $G[A]$ is connected if $H[B]$ is connected and if additionally for any edge bb' in $H[B]$ we have a path from v_b to $v_{b'}$ in $G[A]$. The latter, however, is the case because by definition of H we have $d(N(v_b), N(v_{b'})) \leq 3$ and this can only hold if there is an edge between $N(v_b)$ and $N(v_{b'})$ in G .

For proving that (A2) also holds, we shall use the following claim.

Claim 3.2. *Each vertex in $X \setminus X_0$ has a neighbour in some $N(v_i)$ with $i \in [h] \setminus B$.*

Proof. Any vertex in X has a neighbour in some $N(v_i)$ with $i \in [h]$ by (P2) of Claim 3.1. In addition, $X_0 \subseteq X$ contains all the vertices that have a neighbour in some $N(v_i)$ with $i \in B$. The claim follows. \square

This allows us to show (A2).

Claim 3.3. *$G[V \setminus A]$ is bipartite.*

Proof. Assume that $G[V \setminus A]$ is not bipartite, and fix an odd cycle C of shortest length. Recall that the set $V \setminus A$ consists of vertices in $\{v_i\} \cup N(v_i)$ with $i \in [h] \setminus B$, and the vertices in $X \setminus X_0$.

We start with the following operations. Removing from C all vertices in $C \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_h\}$ gives a collection $Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{\ell'}$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint paths, unless we are in the degenerate case that $C \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_h\} = \emptyset$ in which we simply let $Q'_1 = C$. Observe that by definition of A each removed vertex v_j has $j \in [h] \setminus B$. In each Q'_i we now further identify all vertices in $\bigcup_{j \in [h] \setminus B} N(v_j)$ and split Q'_i along these vertices into (sub)paths. More precisely, for a fixed i let $Q'_i = q'_1, \dots, q'_{s'}$ and let $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_\ell$ be all indices j such that $q'_j \in \bigcup_{j \in [h] \setminus B} N(v_j)$. Then Q'_i is split into the paths q'_1, \dots, q'_{j_1} and $q'_{j_1}, \dots, q'_{j_2}$ and so on, up to $q'_{j_\ell}, \dots, q'_{s'}$. By performing this splitting for all Q'_i we obtain, in total, a collection Q_1, \dots, Q_ℓ of pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths which has the following properties for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ by definition of A and Claim 3.2. All internal vertices of Q_i are contained in $X \setminus X_0$, and there is $j \in [h] \setminus B$ such that the first vertex of Q_i and the last vertex of Q_{i-1} (which might be the same) are both contained in $N(v_j)$, where $Q_0 = Q_\ell$. Again, we allow the degenerate case that we only have $Q_1 = C$ with all vertices internal.

Next, for each fixed $i \in [\ell]$, we construct a walk R_i in H corresponding to the path $Q_i = q_1, \dots, q_s$ whose weight has the same parity as the length $s - 1$ of Q_i . To this end, in the non-degenerate case, let $r_1, r_s \in [h] \setminus B$ be such that $q_1 \in N(v_{r_1})$ and $q_s \in N(v_{r_s})$. In the degenerate case, we choose r_1 and r_s later. Our walk R_i has end-vertices r_1 and r_s . Recall that $q_2, \dots, q_{s-1} \in X \setminus X_0$. We distinguish four cases.

Non-degenerate case $s = 2$: In this case $r_1 \neq r_2$ by (P3) of Claim 3.1.

as otherwise $q_1 q_2 v_{r_1}$ would form a triangle in G . In this case, for R_i we take the edge $e = r_1 r_2$, which has weight $\omega(e) = 3$ because $q_1 q_2$ is an edge between $N(v_{r_1})$ and $N(v_{r_2})$.

Non-degenerate case $s = 3$: If $r_1 = r_3$, we can simply take the one vertex path $R_i = r_1$. Otherwise, if $r_1 \neq r_3$, let $r_2 \in [h] \setminus B$ be such that q_2 has a neighbour x in $N(v_{r_2})$, which exists by Claim 3.2. By (P5) of Claim 3.1 if $r_2 \neq r_1$ then the edge $r_1 r_2$ has weight 4. In particular, we can choose $r_2 = r_3$ with $r_2 \neq r_1$ and for R_i we take the edge $r_1 r_2 = r_1 r_3$ with weight 4.

Non-degenerate case $s > 3$: For $j = 3, \dots, s - 2$, we use Claim 3.2 to conclude there is $r_j \in [h] \setminus B$ such that q_j has a neighbour y_j in $N(v_{r_j})$. We set $r_2 = r_1$, $r_{s-1} = r_s$, and let $y_2 = q_1$, $y_{s-1} = q_s$. Note that with this q_j has a neighbour y_j in $N(v_{r_j})$ also for $j = 2$ and $j = s - 1$. Finally, we define R_i as r_2, r_3, \dots, r_{s-1} .

We now show that R_i is a walk from r_1 to r_s whose weight has the same parity as the length of Q_i also in this case. First, we observe that R_i starts at $r_2 = r_1$ and ends at $r_{s-1} = r_s$. Next we note that $r_j \neq r_{j+1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, s - 2$ by (P4) of Claim 3.1. Finally, (P6) of Claim 3.1 implies that $r_j r_{j+1}$ has weight 3 or 5, since by construction, there is a path of length 3 between $N(v_{r_j})$ and $N(v_{r_{j+1}})$ (namely $y_j, q_j, q_{j+1}, y_{j+1}$). Since the weight of each edge in R_i is odd, the weight of R_i has the same parity as $s - 3$ (the number of

edges of R_i). Since Q_i has length $s - 1$, the weight of R_i and the length of Q_i have the same parity as desired.

Degenerate case: In this case $\ell = 1$ and Q_1 is a cycle $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, q_{s+1} = q_1$ of odd length s . For $j = 1, \dots, s$, we let $r_j \in [h] \setminus B$ be such that q_j has a neighbour in $N(v_{r_j})$. As in the previous case, we conclude that r_1, \dots, r_s, r_1 is a walk with edges of weight 3 or 5, hence a closed odd walk.

This completes the construction of the walks R_i in H . As C was an odd cycle in G , the sum of the lengths of the Q_i is odd. Further, by construction, either we are in the degenerate case when we get one closed odd walk, or we are in the non-degenerate case and each walk R_i ends in the same vertex as R_{i+1} starts in (where indices are taken modulo ℓ). In either case, the union of the walks R_i thus is a closed walk of odd weight in $H[[h] \setminus B]$ which contains a cycle of odd weight. This is the desired contradiction and, therefore, $G[V \setminus A]$ is bipartite. \square

Our final claim shows that (A3) holds.

Claim 3.4. $G[A \cup \{v\}]$ is bipartite for every $v \in V \setminus A$.

Proof. Let us assume that, for some $v \in V \setminus A$, there is an odd cycle C in $G[A \cup \{v\}]$. There are three cases: either $v = v_w$ with $w \in [h] \setminus B$, or $v \in N(v_w)$ with $w \in [h] \setminus B$, or $v \in X \setminus X_0$. We start by ruling out the first. Indeed, if $v = v_w$ with $w \in [h] \setminus B$, then v cannot be contained in C because $N(v_w) \subseteq V \setminus A$, hence $C \subseteq A$. We conclude that in this case we can simply choose some new $v \in N(v_w)$ and continue the following argument with this v .

In the other two cases, we proceed as follows. If $v \in X \setminus X_0$, by Claim 3.2 we can fix a $w \in [h] \setminus B$ such that v has a neighbour in $N(v_w)$. Otherwise, we fix $w \in [h] \setminus B$ such that $v \in N(v_w)$. By assumption $H[B \cup \{w\}]$ is weighted bipartite.

Recall that A consists of $\{v_i\} \cup N(v_i)$ with $i \in B$ and the vertices in X_0 , and that every vertex in X_0 has a neighbour in some $N(v_i)$ with $i \in B$. We want to construct a cycle of odd weight in $H[B \cup \{w\}]$ to obtain a contradiction. We proceed almost exactly as in Claim 3.3 and we shall not repeat the details here, but only indicate the differences: First of all, the relevant indices are now chosen from $B \cup \{w\}$ instead of $[h] \setminus B$, and the internal vertices of the paths Q_1, \dots, Q_t come from X_0 instead of $X \setminus X_0$. Moreover, if $v \in N(v_w)$ and v appears as an end-vertex of a path Q_i then we need to take w for the corresponding end-vertex of the path R_i . Similarly, in the case when $v \in X \setminus X_0$ and v appears as an internal vertex of a path Q_i , we take w as the corresponding vertex in the path R_i . The remaining arguments work as before, also in these two cases. \square

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

For the general upper bound $\delta_\chi(\mathcal{C}_{2k-1}, c) \leq \frac{1}{2k \lfloor c/3 \rfloor}$ we let G be a \mathcal{C}_{2k-1} -free graph of minimum degree at least $\frac{1}{2k \lfloor c/3 \rfloor} |V(G)|$ and obtain an auxiliary graph $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k \lfloor c/3 \rfloor)$ in the same way. Then we can partition H into $\lfloor c/3 \rfloor$ parts of size at most $2k$ and apply Lemma 2.3 to each of them. Almost exactly as above we can then translate the partition of each part back to a 3-colouring of the corresponding part of G , while also taking care of the left-over vertices in X , to obtain a $3 \lfloor c/3 \rfloor$ -colouring of G .

4. FINDING AND COMBINING WEIGHTED BIPARTITE SETS

In this section, we focus on finding sufficient conditions for a set to be weighted bipartite. We start with the following lemma, which states that certain balls around a vertex are weighted bipartite.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k \geq 5$ be an integer and H be a weighted graph with edge weight $\omega: E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$. If H contains no cycle of odd weight smaller than $2k + 1$, then for any $u \in V(H)$ we have that $N_\omega^{k-3}[u]$ is weighted bipartite.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. For this proof, it is practical to return to the unweighted setting. Hence, let G be the (unweighted) graph obtained from H by replacing every edge of weight s by a path with s edges. By construction, all vertices of H are also vertices of G . Note further that any odd cycle C in G corresponds to a cycle in H whose weight is exactly the length of C and vice versa.

Let us now assume for contradiction that for some $u \in V(H)$ there exists a cycle C_H of odd weight in $N_\omega^{k-3}[u]$, and denote by C the corresponding odd cycle in G . We define for all non-negative integers j , the level sets $L_j = \{x \in V(G) : d_G(u, x) = j\} \subseteq V(G)$ to be the sets containing all vertices in G at distance exactly j from u , and the set $B = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} L_j$. We claim that $C \subseteq B$. Indeed, for $x \in V(C) \cap V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ we have $d_G(u, x) = d_{\omega, H}(u, x) \leq k - 3$ and, thus, for any $y \in V(C)$ there exists $x \in V(C) \cap V(H)$ with $d_G(u, y) \leq d_G(u, x) + 2 \leq k - 1$.

Since C is an odd cycle, there must be an edge xy of C with x and y in the same level set L_j . Indeed, otherwise we could properly 2-colour the vertices of the odd cycle C by parity of the level of each vertex. We conclude that there are a u, x -path and a u, y -path each with exactly $j \leq k - 1$ edges. The odd closed walk obtained from these two paths and the edge xy contains an odd cycle of length at most $2j + 1 \leq 2k - 1$. But this corresponds to a cycle in H of weight odd and smaller than $2k + 1$, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Lemma 4.1 gives us a large family of sets that are weighted bipartite. This gives us access to many possible candidates for our set B . The additional advantage of Lemma 4.1 is that the sets it refers to are very simple, and this makes it easier to interpret our constructions later on. Our next lemma provides a similarly useful construction, allowing us to build weighted bipartite sets starting from a minimal weight path.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $i \geq 1$ be an integer and $k \geq 10i + 15$. Let H be a weighted graph with edge weight $\omega: E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$ which contains no cycle of weight odd and smaller than $2k + 1$. If P is a path of minimal weight between its end-vertices, then $N^i[P]$ is weighted bipartite.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a path P , which is of minimal weight between its end-vertices and such that $N^i[P]$ is not weighted bipartite. Further, assume that P is minimal with this property, i.e. for any path P' obtained from P after removing one of its end-vertices, we have that $N^i[P']$ is bipartite. Let P' be one of these shortened paths and let z be the end-vertex removed from P to obtain P' . We label the vertices in $N^i[P] \setminus N^i[P']$ by w_1, \dots, w_m and we take h the minimal index such that $L_h = N^i[P'] \cup \{w_1, \dots, w_h\}$ is not bipartite. This implies that in L_h there exists a cycle of odd weight. Let Q be one of these cycles, taken of minimal weight. Note that Q has to pass through w_h , so we denote with x and y the two neighbours of w_h in Q . Let x' and y' be the vertices in P' closest to x and y respectively.

Note that $d_{L_{h-1}}(x', x) \leq i + 1$ and $d_{L_h}(x, z) \leq d_{L_h}(w_h, z) + 1 \leq i + 1$. Where the second inequality comes from the fact that by definition $w_h \in N^i[P] \setminus N^{i+1}[P \setminus \{z\}]$. As P is a path of minimal weight between its end-vertices, the same holds for the sub-path between x' and z . Therefore,

$$d_{\omega, P}(x', z) \leq d_{\omega, L_{h-1}}(x', x) + d_{\omega, L_h}(x, z) \leq 5(2i + 2)$$

and the analogous argument gives $d_{\omega,P}(y', z) \leq 5(2i+2)$. This gives $d_{\omega,P}(x', y') \leq 5(2i+2)$ because x', y' and z are in the same path and z is one of the two end-vertices. This also implies $d_{\omega,L_{h-1}}(x, y) \leq 10(2i+2)$. We let $Q' \subseteq Q$ be the path in L_{h-1} with end-vertices x and y . The parity of $\omega(Q')$ and $d_{\omega,L_{h-1}}(x, y)$ has to be the same, as otherwise there would be a cycle of odd weight in L_{h-1} . But, as $\omega(xw_h) + \omega(yw_h)$ and $\omega(Q')$ have different parity, the parity of $d_{\omega,L_{h-1}}(x, y)$ is also different from the parity of $\omega(xw_h) + \omega(yw_h)$. Therefore, using that Q is the lightest cycle of odd weight, we get that $\omega(Q) \leq d_{\omega,L_{h-1}}(x, y) + 10 \leq 10(2i+2) + 10$. This is less than $2k+1$ for our choice of k and gives us the desired contradiction. \square

Now that we proved that the most basic sets (paths and balls) have our desired property, we are ready to start the construction of more complicated sets. In particular, the next Lemma shows how to combine two weighted bipartite sets. We need to point out that this combination is not always possible. It might be better to interpret the next result as a condition under which the property of being weighted bipartite is preserved under the union operation.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $i \geq 1$ be an integer and let H be a weighted graph. Let B_1, B_2 and P be three sets of vertices in H such that $d(B_1, B_2) \geq 2i+2$ and P is connected. If both $N^i[B_1 \cup P]$ and $N^i[B_2 \cup P]$ are weighted bipartite, then $N^i[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite.*

Proof. Let $K = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P$. We want to show that $N^i[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite and let us assume for contradiction that it contains a cycle C of odd weight. Let us denote by B'_1 the set $N^i[B_1] \setminus N^i[P]$ and by B'_2 the set $N^i[B_2] \setminus N^i[P]$. Since both $N^i[B_1 \cup P]$ and $N^i[B_2 \cup P]$ are weighted bipartite, C must intersect both B'_1 and B'_2 . Let $y(C)$ be the number of connected components of C induced by $C \cap (B'_1 \cup B'_2)$ in H . In other words, $y(C)$ is the number of times that C leaves B'_1 or B'_2 . It is possible that C leaves B'_1 , continues in $N^i[P]$, but then returns to B'_1 (or the same with B'_2), so $y(C)$ does not need to be even, but it has to be at least 2. Assume that C is such that $y(C)$ is minimal.

Let w be any vertex in $C \cap B'_1$. Let q_1 and q_2 be the end-vertices of the maximal path in $C \cap N^{i+1}[B_1]$ containing w . That is, q_1 and q_2 are obtained by moving from w in both possible directions along C and then taking the first vertices that are outside $N^{i+1}[B_1]$. Because $q_1, q_2 \in N^{i+1}[B_1] \setminus N^i[B_1]$, $d(B_1, B_2) \geq 2i+2$, and $C \cap B'_2 \neq \emptyset$ it follows that $q_1 \neq q_2$ and $q_1, q_2 \in N^i[P]$.

As P is connected, there is a path in $N^i[P]$ between q_1 and q_2 . Since this path is different from the two paths between q_1 and q_2 in C (as it cannot overlap with B'_1 and B'_2), we obtain from C at least two cycles in $N^i[K]$, and at least one of them, let us call it C' , has odd weight. We have that $y(C') < y(C)$ since we substituted a path in C containing at least one component of $C \cap B'_1$ (and thus contributing at least one to $y(C)$) with a path in $N^i[P]$. This is a contradiction to the choice of C , which was picked with minimal value of $y(C)$. \square

We end this section with a corollary of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, which combines the two results in a form that is easier to apply.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $i \geq 1$ be an integer and $k \geq 10i+35$. Let H be a weighted graph with edge weight $\omega: E(H) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$ which contains no cycle of odd weight that is smaller than $2k+1$. Let B_1, B_2 be sets of vertices in H such that $d(B_1, B_2) \geq 2i+2$ and let P be a path of minimal weight between B_1 and B_2 . If $N^{3i+1}[B_1]$ and $N^{3i+1}[B_2]$ are weighted bipartite, then $N^i[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite.*

Proof. Let us first establish that $N^i[B_j \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite for $j = 1, 2$. Let P_j be the path on the first $2i + 2$ vertices of P starting from B_j . Note that P_j is disjoint from B_{3-j} since $d(B_1, B_2) \geq 2i + 2$. Let P'_j be the path, starting in the last vertex of P_j and ending in B_{3-j} . Then P'_j is non-empty and since P_j contains $2i + 2$ vertices we have $d(B_j, P'_j) \geq 2i + 2$.

Next we note that $N^i[B_j \cup P_j] \subseteq N^{3i+1}[B_j]$ is weighted bipartite. Also $N^i[P_j \cup P'_j] = N^i[P]$ is weighted bipartite by Lemma 4.2 as P is a shortest path between its end-vertices and $k \geq 10i + 15$. We can then apply Lemma 4.3 with $B_j \cup P_j$, $P_j \cup P'_j$, and P_j to deduce that $N^i[B_j \cup P_j \cup P'_j] = N^i[B_j \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite. Another application of Lemma 4.3 with B_1 , B_2 , and P immediately gives that $N^i[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]$ is weighted bipartite. \square

5. CYCLES OF ODD WEIGHT HAVE LARGE NEIGHBOURHOODS

We dedicate this section to proving Lemma 2.5, restated here.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $k \geq 8$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}(k)$. If C is a non-spanning cycle of odd weight, then $|N^1[C]| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$.*

Note that if all edges of the cycle are of weight 3, the cycle itself has at least $\frac{2k+1}{3}$ vertices, but if edges have other weights it might have fewer vertices. To overcome this, we use the spanning tree of edges of weight 3. Indeed, each maximal path of weight 3 edges in the cycle has a neighbour outside of the cycle. Carefully analysing this situation gives the desired bound.

Before working with cycles, we prove an analogous result for paths, which we use to prove the former. Before proceeding, we also remark that lemmas in this section are not stated in terms of $\mathcal{H}(k)$ as we want to apply them in more generality.

Lemma 5.1. *Let F be a weighted graph with edge weight $\omega: E(F) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$. Assume that $F = T \cup P$, where T is a spanning tree in which all edges have weight 3 and P is a non-spanning path of weight ℓ with end-vertices x and y . If F has no cycles of weight 11 and P has minimal weight among all x, y -paths in $N_F^1[P]$, then $|N_F^1[P]| \geq \frac{\ell}{3} + \frac{5}{3}$.*

Proof. We write $P = Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ as a concatenation of (possibly trivial) sub-paths Q_i such that within each Q_i all edges have weight 3 and the edge e_i between Q_i and Q_{i+1} has weight $\omega(e_i) > 3$.

If $s = 1$ then each edge of P has weight 3 and we are done because $|N^1[P]| > |P| = \frac{\ell}{3} + 1$, where the strict inequality comes from the fact that F is connected, and hence P has a neighbour in $V(F) \setminus V(P)$ (which is not empty because P is not spanning).

Assume now that s is at least 2. Since T is a spanning tree in F , for each Q_i we can fix a vertex $z_i \in N^1[Q_i] \setminus P$ and a vertex x_i in Q_i such that $z_i x_i$ has weight 3.

For $i < j$ we have $z_i \neq z_j$ unless $j = i + 1$ and $e_i = x_i x_{i+1}$, because otherwise P would not be an x, y -path of minimal weight in its neighbourhood. If $z_i = z_{i+1}$, we say that $(i, i + 1)$ has a *hat*. In this case we also know that $e_i = x_i x_{i+1}$ has weight 4, as otherwise x_i, x_{i+1}, z_i would form a cycle of weight 11. Moreover, neither $(i - 1, i)$ nor $(i + 1, i + 2)$ has a hat (otherwise we would have without loss of generality that $z_{i-1} = z_{i+1}$ and we could replace the sub-path x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1} of weight eight with $x_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, x_{i+1}$ of weight six).

Now, if $(i, i + 1)$ has a hat, we “merge” Q_i and Q_{i+1} : We rewrite $P = Q'_1, \dots, Q'_{s'}$ such that each Q'_j either is the concatenation Q_i, Q_{i+1} for some i such that $(i, i + 1)$ has a hat, or is Q_i for some i such that neither $(i - 1, i)$ nor $(i, i + 1)$ has a hat. In the former case, we say that Q'_j was formed by a hat. In both cases, we set $z'_j = z_i$. Observe that by




FIGURE 1. Shortcut in the case $d(C) = 2$, where $x_i z_i$ and $x_j z_i$ are edges of weight 3 and the sum of the weights of $x_i w$ and $x_j w$ is at least 7.

construction $z'_j \neq z'_{j'}$ for $j \neq j'$. We thus conclude that we have

$$|N^1[P]| \geq \sum_{j \in [s']} (|Q'_j| + 1) = s' + |P|.$$

Moreover, since Q'_j and Q'_{j+1} are connected by an edge of weight at most 5, we have

$$\omega(P) \leq 5(s' - 1) + \sum_{j \in [s']} \omega(Q'_j).$$

If Q'_j was formed from a hat, then $\omega(Q'_j) = 3(|Q'_j| - 2) + 4 = 3|Q'_j| - 2$ and otherwise $\omega(Q'_j) = 3(|Q'_j| - 1) \leq 3|Q'_j| - 2$. Therefore,

$$\omega(P) \leq 5(s' - 1) + \sum_{j \in [s']} (3|Q'_j| - 2) = 3s' - 5 + 3|P|,$$

and hence $|N^1[P]| \geq |P| + s' \geq \frac{\omega(P)}{3} + \frac{5}{3}$ as desired. \square

We are now ready to present our proof of Lemma 2.5, which provides a similar lower bound on the size of the neighbourhood of a non-spanning cycle of odd weight in a graph $H \in \mathcal{H}(k)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Let T be a spanning tree of edges of weight 3 associated to H . Let C be a cycle of odd weight (note that the weight has to be at least $2k + 1$ because $H \in \mathcal{H}(k)$). We write $C = Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ as a concatenation of sub-paths Q_i such that within each Q_i all edges have weight 3 and the edge e_i between Q_i and Q_{i+1} has weight $\omega(e_i) > 3$. We also call these sub-paths Q_i the *segments* of the cycle.

Since T is a spanning tree in H , for each segment Q_i we can fix a vertex x_i in Q_i and a vertex $z_i \in N^1[Q_i] \setminus C$ such that $x_i z_i$ is in T (and therefore has weight 3). Let $I(C) = C \cup \{z_i : i \in [s]\}$ and note that it suffices to show that $|I(C)| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$. We also point out that $I(C)$ might depend on the choice of the x_i and z_i . Since by removing edges in H we cannot increase the size of $N^1[C]$, it suffices to consider the graph $H(C)$ with vertex set $I(C)$ and edge set $E(C) \cup \{x_i z_i : i \in [s]\}$. We now could be tempted to immediately apply Lemma 5.1 to some spanning path P in C . However, this is not possible since P may not have minimal weight in $N^1_{H(C)}[P]$. Therefore, our goal is to “move” to a (possibly) different cycle C' in which we do not encounter this issue.

Claim 5.2. *There is a non-spanning cycle C' of odd weight in H such that C' has minimal weight among all cycles of odd weight in $H(C')$ (defined analogously as above) and such that $|I(C)| \geq |I(C')|$.*

Proof. We shall move through a sequence C_1, C_2, \dots of cycles until we obtain a cycle $C_{\bar{i}} = C'$ with the desired properties, where from one cycle C_ℓ to the next $C_{\ell+1}$ we do not increase the weight, we decrease the number s of segments, and we have $|I(C_\ell)| \geq |I(C_{\ell+1})|$. If successful, this process terminates since we always decrease the value of s and if $s = 1$ for some cycle C_ℓ , then C_ℓ is the only cycle in $I(C_\ell)$. We set $C_1 = C$ and now assume that we currently have a cycle C_ℓ with s segments, for which we either want

to show it has minimal weight among all cycles of odd weight in $H(C_\ell)$ or move to a new cycle $C_{\ell+1}$ with the properties just specified.

Let $d(C_\ell)$ be the maximum (unweighted) distance on C_ℓ between x_i and x_j such that $z_i = z_j$ over all choices $i, j \in [s]$. If $d(C_\ell) \leq 1$ then C_ℓ is of minimal weight in $H(C_\ell)$ (the path $x_i z_i x_j$ has larger weight than the edge $x_i x_j$) and we are done.

Next, assume $d(C_\ell) = 2$. Let x_i, x_j be two vertices of distance 2 on C_ℓ such that $z_i = z_j$. Let w be the vertex in C_ℓ adjacent to both x_i and x_j and let y_i and y_j be the other neighbours on C_ℓ of x_i and x_j , respectively, as shown in Figure 1. The cycle $x_i z_i x_j w$ is even, because it has weight at most 16 and $k \geq 8$. Therefore, the cycle $C_{\ell+1}$ obtained from C_ℓ by replacing w with z_i is of odd weight. Since x_i and x_j are in different segments and $x_i z_i, x_j z_j$ have weight 3, it also holds that $C_{\ell+1}$ has smaller weight than C_ℓ . Moreover, $C_{\ell+1}$ partitions into the same segments Q_1, \dots, Q_s except that Q_i and Q_j are replaced by $Q'_i = (Q_i \cup Q_j \cup \{z_i\}) \setminus \{w\}$ and potentially a singleton segment $\{w\}$ is removed. In particular, the number of segments decreases. Similarly, x_1, \dots, x_s and z_1, \dots, z_s can be chosen the same for $C_{\ell+1}$ except that x_j and z_j are removed and z_i is replaced by a neighbour of Q'_i in the spanning tree T that is outside of $C_{\ell+1}$ (and might be w). Note that we get $|I(C_{\ell+1})| \leq |I(C_\ell)|$.

Finally, assume that $d(C_\ell) \geq 3$. Let x_i, x_j be two vertices of distance $d(C_\ell)$ on C_ℓ such that $z_i = z_j$. Then x_i, z_i, x_j is a ‘‘shortcut’’, that is, a path that is of smaller weight than both x_i, x_j -paths P_\circ and P_\circ on C_ℓ . Hence, either x_i, z_i, x_j together with P_\circ or x_i, z_i, x_j together with P_\circ gives a cycle $C_{\ell+1}$ of odd weight that is smaller than that of C_ℓ . Since $|C_{\ell+1} \setminus C_\ell| = 1$, the new cycle $C_{\ell+1}$ partitions into some segments of C_ℓ and an additional segment Q' containing x_i, z_i, x_j and possibly some more vertices of the two segments of C_ℓ containing x_i and x_j . The number of segments in this partition of $C_{\ell+1}$ is less than s (since x_i and x_j were in different segments by definition). Moreover, for the segments Q_q retained from C_ℓ we keep the vertices x_q and z_q as before, and for the new segment Q' we pick vertices x' and z' such that x' is in Q' and z' is a neighbour of x' in T outside $C_{\ell+1}$, where potentially z' is not contained in $I(C_\ell)$. With these choices we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I(C_{\ell+1})| &= |C_{\ell+1}| + |I(C_{\ell+1}) \setminus C_{\ell+1}| \leq |C_\ell| - 1 + |I(C_\ell) \setminus C_\ell| \\ &< |I(C_\ell) \setminus C_\ell| + |C_\ell| = |I(C_\ell)|, \end{aligned}$$

as required and hence also successfully constructed $C_{\ell+1}$ in this case. \square

Let C' be an odd cycle such as the one promised by this claim, let s' be the number of its segments, and let $z'_1, \dots, z'_{s'}$ be the neighbours of the segments. Our goal now is to argue that $|I(C')| \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$, which proves the lemma since $|I(C')| \leq |I(C)|$. If $s' = 1$, then all but at most one edge of C' have weight 3 and thus $|I(C')| \geq |C'| + 1 \geq \frac{2k+1-5}{3} + 2 \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$. For the first inequality, we used that there is a vertex in $I(C') \setminus C'$, which is true because T is connected and C' is not spanning in H . Hence, assume from now on that $s' \geq 2$.

We can fix one edge e in C' that is not in the spanning tree T . Removing e from C' , we obtain a path P of weight at least $(2k+1) - 5$. We let T' be the graph consisting of all edges of weight 3 in $H(C')$ except e and one additional auxiliary vertex v connected to each of $z'_1, \dots, z'_{s'}$ with an edge of weight 3. Observe that T' is a tree. Now, consider the graph $F = (T' \cup H(C')) \setminus \{e\}$. We have that $V(F) \setminus V(P) \neq \emptyset$ (since $v \in V(F) \setminus V(P)$), and P has minimal weight among all paths in $N_F^1[P]$ connecting its end-vertices since v is not contained in $N_F^1[P]$ and by the minimality of C' . Moreover, F has no cycles of weight 11 since any such cycle would need to include the auxiliary vertex which is only connected to the vertices $z'_1, \dots, z'_{s'}$ which form an independent set in F , and thus any

cycle using the auxiliary vertex has weight at least 12. We conclude that we can apply Lemma 5.1 to P and T' to get $I(C') \geq N_F^1[P] \geq \frac{2k-4}{3} + \frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{2k+1}{3}$ as required. \square

We end this section with a useful corollary of Lemma 2.5.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\ell \geq 13$ be an odd integer. Let F be a weighted graph with edge weight $\omega: E(F) \rightarrow \{3, 4, 5\}$. Assume that $F = T \cup P$, where T is a spanning tree in which all edges have weight 3 and P is a non-spanning path with end-vertices x and y . If F has no cycles of odd weight below $\ell + 4$, and the minimal weight of an x, y -paths in F is at least ℓ , then $|N_F^1[P]| \geq \frac{\ell}{3} + \frac{4}{3}$.*

Proof. We add the edge xy to F and define its weight to be $s \in \{4, 5\}$ such that $\omega(P) + s$ is odd. Let C in F be that cycle of odd weight consisting of P and the edge xy . Because any x, y -path is of weight at least ℓ , in F there is no cycle whose weight is odd and smaller than $\ell + 4$. Therefore, $F \in \mathcal{H}(\frac{1}{2}(\ell + 4 - 1))$. We can then apply Lemma 2.5 with $k = \frac{1}{2}(\ell + 4 - 1) \geq 8$ an integer (since ℓ is odd), to get $|N_F^1[P]| = |N_F^1[C]| \geq \frac{\ell+4}{3}$. \square

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN TECHNICAL LEMMA

The main objective of this section is to prove our main technical lemma (Lemma 2.3). We need some further preparations. In the previous sections we first showed how to generate a candidate set B with weight-bipartite neighbourhood, and then how to guarantee that $H \setminus B$ is weighted-bipartite by analysing the size of $\text{int}(B)$. However, we did not combine yet results of these two types.

Observe that just taking a ball with Lemma 4.1 might only give a small set, while even cleverly removing a few vertices from a cycle of odd weight not necessarily makes it weighted bipartite. Therefore the first result of this section (and the last piece missing in order to prove Lemma 2.3), is a lemma combining these two. Indeed we show how we can create a candidate set which is weighted bipartite and with a lower bound on its size.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $i \geq 2$ and $k \geq 5i + 16$ be integers, and $H \in \mathcal{H}(k)$. For any C odd cycle in H and $p \in V(C)$ the following holds. There exists a path P such that $E(P) \subseteq E(C)$, $p \in V(P)$, $N^i[P]$ is weighted bipartite, and $|N^1[P]| \geq \frac{2}{3}k - \frac{10}{3}i - 5$.*

Moreover, we can guarantee that either p is in the unweighted middle of P (the lengths of the paths from the end-vertices of P to p differ by at most 1) or in the weighted middle of P (the weights of the paths from the end-vertices of P to p differ by at most 5).

Proof. We construct P as the output of the following recursive procedure starting with $V(P) = \{p\}$. In each step, we denote with u one of the vertices in $C \setminus P$ adjacent in C to P . If $N^i[P \cup \{u\}]$ is weighted bipartite, we update P to be the path obtained by extending P to the vertex u using the edge in C which connects u to P , and then repeat the step. Otherwise we stop the process and output P . Note that this process is well defined, since $N^i[\{p\}] \subseteq N_\omega^{5i}[\{p\}]$ and the latter is weighted bipartite by Lemma 4.1; while $N^i[C]$ is not. Also note that $N^i[P]$ is weighted bipartite by definition and, therefore, it suffices to prove that $|N^1[P]| \geq \frac{2}{3}k - \frac{10}{3}i - 5$.

If we want to ensure that p is in the unweighted middle of P , we simply alternate between extending both ends of P when we select u . For the weighted middle, we choose the u whose weighted distance to p in C is shorter. In both cases it is easy to see that this guarantees that p is in the desired position.

Once the process stops, let us denote with x, y the two end-vertices of P and with u_x, u_y the two vertices in $C \setminus P$ adjacent in C to x and y respectively (without loss of generality we assume we could not extend P to contain u_x). In order to get the lower

bound on $|N^1[P]|$, we want to use Corollary 5.3 with input $\ell = 2k - 10i - 19 \geq 13$. However, it is not immediately clear what graph to use as host graph F . Since H has an associated spanning tree T_H with edges of weight 3, the most immediate choice would be to use the graph obtained by removing from H all the edges not in P or in T_H , but there is no guarantee that in this graph there is no short path between x and y .

So we want to build a host graph F with the properties needed to use Corollary 5.3 with input ℓ . I.e. we want a graph F which contains (besides P) only edges of a spanning tree T composed of edges of weight 3. Also, we want that in F there are no odd cycles of weight less than $\ell + 4$, and that the path of minimal weight in F between x and y has weight at least ℓ .

In order to do this, we attach to $F' = N^i[P] \cap (P \cup T_H)$ a forest in such a way that in the resulting graph F the properties are satisfied (no short paths between x and y , no short odd cycles, and only edges from a tree or in P). We construct a new graph F from F' by adding some new vertices and then edges of weight 3 in such a way that $F = T \cup P$ (where T is a spanning tree of edges of weight 3), and such that there are no odd cycles in F of weight less than $\ell + 4$ and finally there are no x, y -paths of minimal weight that use any edge outside of $N^i[P] \cap (P \cup T_H)$. This is possible by connecting the components of the forest of $N^i[P] \cap (T_H \setminus P)$ with long paths of new vertices and new edges of weight 3 in an acyclic manner.

Even if F is not contained in H , its utility comes from the fact that we have $N_F^1[P] \subseteq N_H^1[P]$. It is actually sufficient to show that there is a sub-path of P such that its neighbourhood in F is large enough. For x', y' vertices in P we write $P_{x'y'}$ to denote the sub-path of P between those vertices. With notation, and using Corollary 5.3, it suffices to find any two vertices x', y' in P at weighted distance at least ℓ in F . By construction, this is equivalent to find x', y' in P at distance at least ℓ in $L = N^i[P] \cap (P \cup T_H)$.

We now want to find such x', y' . By construction, we do not have any odd cycles in $N^i[P]$ but we do have an odd cycle in $N^i[P \cup \{u_x\}]$ by choice of u_x . However, since there might be many vertices in $N^i[\{u_x\}] \setminus N^i[P]$, in order to use the condition that we have no short odd cycles in H we proceed cautiously as follows. Let us fix an arbitrary order of the vertices of $N^i[\{u_x\}] \setminus N^i[P] = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ and let h be the minimum index such that $L_h = N^i[P] \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_h\}$ contains an odd cycle, and let Q be an odd cycle of minimal weight in L_h . We are not interested in the order for its own sake, but because of the ordering and of the definition of L_h , we have that Q passes through z_h , and that there are no cycles of odd weight in L_{h-1} .

Let x'', y'' be the two neighbours of z_h in Q and let $x', y' \in P$ be vertices in P closest in L_{h-1} respectively to x'' and y'' . We claim that $d_{\omega, L_{h-1}}(x'', y'') \geq 2k - 9$ (weighted distance in L_{h-1} between x'' and y''). Indeed, assume that this is not true and let P' be the shortest path in L_{h-1} between x'' and y'' , and let P_Q be the path in $Q \cap L_{h-1}$ with end-vertices x'' and y'' . The parity of $\omega(P')$ and $\omega(P_Q)$ can not be different, because then there would be a cycle of odd weight in L_{h-1} . But the parity of $\omega(x''z_h) + \omega(z_hy'') \leq 10$ is different from $\omega(P_Q)$ and, therefore, from the parity of $\omega(P')$. This means that P' (which is a path between x'' and y'') together with z_h gives a cycle of odd weight that is at most $2k$. This is a contradiction and proves the claimed bound. Since $d_{\omega, L_{h-1}}(x'', x'), d_{\omega, L_{h-1}}(y'', y') \leq 5 \cdot (i + 1)$, we have that there are two points $x', y' \in P$ at distance at least $2k - 9 - 2 \cdot 5 \cdot (i + 1) = \ell$ in L_{h-1} (and therefore in L), as wanted. \square

Now we are ready for proceeding to the main proof of this section. The strategy bringing all this together is to use results of Section 4 to combine together constructions such as the one in Lemma 6.1 whenever easier constructions, like balls, do not work. We restate and prove the main lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (Main technical lemma). *For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and any integer $k \geq k(t) = 5490 + 45t$ the following holds. For any $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k + t)$ there exists a subset B of $V(H)$ such that $H[B]$ is connected, $H \setminus B$ is weighted bipartite, and $H[B \cup \{v\}]$ is weighted bipartite for all $v \in V(H) \setminus B$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let us fix the functions $\ell_0 = \ell_0(t) = 610 + 5t$ and $k(t) = 9\ell_0$. Let t be a natural number and $k \geq k(t)$. In particular, note that since $k \geq 5490$, we have that k is large enough to apply Lemma 6.1 with $i = 16$ and Corollary 4.4 with $i = 3$. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}(k, 2k + t)$ and distinguish two cases.

Case A. In this case, we assume that in H there are two cycles C_1 and C_2 of odd weight at weighted distance at least ℓ_0 from each other. Let P be a path of minimal weight between C_1 and C_2 (in particular we have $\omega(P) \geq \ell_0$). Let p_1 and p_2 be the end-vertices of P in C_1 and C_2 , respectively. For $j = 1, 2$, let B_j be the path in C_j (with p_j in the unweighted middle of B_j) given by Lemma 6.1 with $i = 15$, and note that $d(B_1, B_2) \geq 8$. See Figure 2 for an illustration of the situation. We denote by B the set $N^2[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]$. As $N^{10}[B_j]$ is weighted bipartite by construction for $j = 1, 2$, we have that $N^1[B]$ is weighted bipartite by Corollary 4.4 applied with $i = 3$.




FIGURE 2. Two cycles C_1 and C_2 at weighted distance at least ℓ_0 and the construction of the weighted bipartite set.

Since we showed that $N^1[B]$ weighted bipartite, it remains to show that $H \setminus B$ is weighted bipartite. In view of Lemma 2.4, it thus suffices to show that $|\text{int}(B)| = |N^1[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]| \geq \frac{4}{3}k + t$. As B_j is given by Lemma 6.1 with $i = 16$, we have $|N^1[B_j]| \geq \frac{2k}{3} - 59$. Therefore, as $N^1[B_1] \cap N^1[B_2] = \emptyset$ and $|P \cap N^1[B_j]| \leq 2$ for $j = 1, 2$, we get

$$|N^1[B_1 \cup B_2 \cup P]| \geq |N^1[B_1]| + |N^1[B_2]| + |P| - 4 > \frac{4}{3}k - 118 + \frac{1}{5}\ell_0 - 4 \geq \frac{4}{3}k + t,$$

where the last inequality uses the lower bound $\ell_0 = \ell_0(t) \geq 5 \cdot (122 + t) = 610 + 5t$.

Case B. We assume that the first case does not apply and, therefore, between any two odd cycles there is a path of weight at most ℓ_0 .

Let C_1 be a cycle of minimal odd weight (note we can assume $\omega(C_1) = 2k + 1$ without loss of generality) and let x_1 be a vertex in C_1 . We let $T_1 = N_\omega^{k-8}[x_1]$ and note that $N[T_1]$ is weighted bipartite by Lemma 4.1. Either the complement of T_1 induces a weighted bipartite subgraph of H , in which case we are done with $B = T_1$, or we can find a cycle C_2 of odd weight outside of T_1 such that in the complement of T_1 there are no cycles of shorter odd weight.

Assume we are in the second case and fix such a C_2 . Let x_2 be a vertex in $C_1 \setminus N[T_1]$ that minimises the distance $d_\omega(x_2, C_2)$. We have $d_\omega(x_2, C_2) \leq 2\ell_0$. Indeed, consider a vertex x'_2 in C_1 such that $d_\omega(x'_2, C_2) \leq \ell_0$; we have that $d_\omega(x'_2, C_1 \setminus N[T_1]) \leq \ell_0$ since

any path of weight at most ℓ_0 starting outside of $N[T_1] \subseteq N_\omega^{k-3}[x_1]$ cannot have an end-vertex at weighted distance less than $k - 3 - \ell_0$ from x_1 . Therefore we can find a vertex in $C_1 \setminus N[T_1]$ at distance at most $2\ell_0$ from C_2 .

We let $T_2 = N_\omega^{k-8}[x_2]$ and note that $N[T_2]$ is weighted bipartite by Lemma 4.1. For future reference, we note that there are at most two vertices in each of $C_1 \setminus N[T_1]$ and $C_1 \setminus N[T_2]$ and that $k - 2 \leq d_\omega(x_1, x_2) \leq k$, because $x_2 \in C_1 \setminus N[T_1]$ and $\omega(C_1) = 2k + 1$. Either the complement of T_2 induces a weighted bipartite subgraph of H , in which case we are done with $B = T_2$, or we can find a cycle C_3 of odd weight outside of T_2 such that in the complement of T_2 there are no cycles of shorter odd weight.

Assume we are in the second case and fix such a C_3 . In Figure 3 we illustrate this situation and the following argument. By assumption, we have that between C_1 and C_3 , and between C_2 and C_3 , there are paths of weight at most ℓ_0 . Therefore, there are $s_j \in C_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ such that $d_\omega(s_1, C_3), d_\omega(s_2, C_3) \leq \ell_0$. Let P_1, P_2 be the path in C_1, C_2 given by Lemma 6.1 with $i = 16$, and respectively x_1 and s_2 in the weighted middle. Denote with p, q the end-vertices of P_1 . We claim that $d_\omega(x_1, p), d_\omega(x_1, q) \leq k - 43$. Indeed, we know from Lemma 6.1 that $|d_\omega(x_1, p) - d_\omega(x_1, q)| \leq 5$ and if say $d_\omega(x_1, p) > k - 43$ we would also get $d_\omega(x_2, q) \geq k - 47$. But this implies that $C_1 \subseteq N^{16}[P_1]$. Indeed, continuing along P_1 from each of p, q towards x_2 for 16 steps of weight at least 3 each, we see with $k - 47 + 16 \cdot 3 \geq k + 1$ that $C_1 \subseteq N^{16}[P_1]$ since the weight of C_1 is $2k + 1$. This is in contradiction to the fact that $N^{16}[P_1]$ is weighted bipartite. This implies $N^7[P_1] \subseteq N_\omega^{35}[P_1] \subseteq N_\omega^{k-8}[x_1] = T_1$. This, together with $T_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$, implies that $d(P_1, P_2) \geq 8$.

Now consider the lightest path P in the whole graph that connects s_1 and s_2 (respectively the fixed vertices in C_1 and C_2 closest to C_3). We let s'_1 (and s'_2) be the last (respectively first) vertex of P on P_1 (respectively P_2), and denote by P' the sub-path of P between s'_1 and s'_2 . We now apply Corollary 4.4 with $i = 3$ to the sets $B_1 = P_1$ and $B_2 = P_2$. This gives us that $N^3[P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P']$ is weighted bipartite.

If P' has weight at least ℓ_0 , then P' has at least $\frac{1}{5}\ell_0 \geq 122 + t$ vertices, and we are done with $B = N^2[P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P']$ by Lemma 2.4, as

$$|\text{int}(B)| \geq |N^1[P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P']| \geq |N^1[P_1]| + |N^1[P_2]| + |P'| - 4 \geq 2(\frac{2}{3}k - 59) + |P'| - 4 \geq \frac{4}{3}k + t.$$

Otherwise, P' has weight less than ℓ_0 and for an illustration of the following argument we refer to Figure 4.

We investigate the weighted distance from s_1 to s_2 . Let us first show that $d_\omega(x_1, s_1) \leq \ell_0 + 5$. Indeed, we have that there are at most two vertices in $C_1 \setminus T_2$ and $d_\omega(s_1, C_1 \setminus T_2) \leq \ell_0$ (by choice of s_1 and as $C_3 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$). Moreover, $d_\omega(x_1, s'_2) \geq k - 7$ (as $s'_2 \in C_2 \subseteq T_1^c$), which gives $d_\omega(s_1, s'_2) \geq k - \ell_0 - 12$. As s'_1 lies on a lightest path from s_1 to s'_2 we then get

$$d_\omega(s_1, s'_1) = d_\omega(s_1, s'_2) - d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1) \geq k - \ell_0 - 12 - d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1).$$

Because $\omega(C_1) = 2k + 1$ and $x_2 \notin T_1$ we have $d_\omega(x_1, s'_1) + d_\omega(s'_1, x_2) \leq k + 8$; moreover it holds $d_\omega(x_1, s'_1) + d_\omega(s'_1, s'_2) \geq d_\omega(x_1, s'_2) \geq k - 7$. Subtracting these two inequalities gives us $d_\omega(x_2, s'_1) \leq 15 + d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1)$ (algebraically).

As $C_3 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$ and $d_\omega(s_2, C_3) \leq \ell_0$ we have $d_\omega(s_2, x_2) \geq k - \ell_0$. This, with the fact that s'_2 lies on a shortest s'_1, s_2 -path gives us

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega(s_2, s'_2) &= d_\omega(s_2, s'_1) - d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1) \\ &\geq d_\omega(s_2, x_2) - d_\omega(s'_1, x_2) - d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1) \\ &\geq k - \ell_0 - 2d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1) - 15. \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 3. Three cycles C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 at weighted distance at most ℓ_0 and the construction of the weighted bipartite set in the case when $d_\omega(s'_1, s'_2) \geq \ell_0$.

Putting all these together, including $d_\omega(s'_1, s'_2) = \omega(P')$ which we are now assuming to be at most ℓ_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(P) &= d_\omega(s_1, s_2) = d_\omega(s_1, s'_1) + d_\omega(s'_1, s'_2) + d_\omega(s'_2, s_2) \\ &\geq 2k - 2d_\omega(s'_2, s'_1) - 2\ell_0 - 27 \geq 2k - 4\ell_0 - 27. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now fix s_3 in C_3 a vertex closest to s_2 and let us apply Lemma 6.1 with $i = 16$ to obtain a path P_3 in C_3 with s_3 in its unweighted middle. Without loss of generality (by taking a sub-path in which s_3 is still in its unweighted middle) we can assume that $|P_3| \leq \frac{2}{3}k - 59$. Note that the distance of s_3 to any other vertex in P_3 is at most $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{3}k - 59) + 1$ and, therefore, the weighted distance of s_3 to any other vertex in P_3 is at most $\frac{5}{3}k - \frac{5}{2}57$. Since $d_\omega(s_2, s_3) \leq \ell_0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega(s_1, P_3) &\geq d_\omega(s_1, s_2) - d_\omega(s_2, s_3) - \max_{v \in P_3} \{d_\omega(v, s_3)\} \\ &\geq 2k - 4\ell_0 - 27 - \frac{5}{3}k + \frac{5}{2}57 - \ell_0 \geq \frac{2}{3}k - 5\ell_0 + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $P_3 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$, we have $d_\omega(x_2, P_3) \geq k - 7$ and so we have two ‘antipodal’ points on C_1 that are both ‘far’ from P_3 , which we can use to show that P_1 and P_3 are also ‘far’. More precisely, because we showed $d_\omega(x_1, s_1) \leq \ell_0 + 5$ and $k - 2 \leq d_\omega(x_1, x_2) \leq k$, and because C_1 is a cycle of minimal odd weight (and therefore given two points in the cycle, the natural sub-path of the cycle between them is a path with minimal weight between them) we get that for any vertex v in P_1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega(x_2, v) &\leq d_\omega(x_2, x_1) - d_\omega(x_1, v) \\ &\leq d_\omega(x_2, x_1) + d_\omega(x_1, s_1) - d_\omega(s_1, v) \\ &\leq k + \ell_0 + 5 - d_\omega(v, s_1) \end{aligned}$$

and then also

$$\begin{aligned} d_\omega(v, P_3) &\geq \max\{d_\omega(x_2, P_3) - d_\omega(x_2, v), d_\omega(s_1, P_3) - d_\omega(s_1, v)\} \\ &\geq \max\{k - 7 - (k - d_\omega(v, s_1) - \ell_0 - 5), \frac{2}{3}k - 5\ell_0 + 2 - d_\omega(v, s_1)\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}k - 2\ell_0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of our lower bound on k , we have $d(P_1, P_2) \geq \frac{1}{5}(\frac{1}{3}k - 2\ell_0) \geq 8$. Moreover, we know $N^{10}[P_j]$ is weighted bipartite for $j = 1, 3$. Therefore, we can employ Corollary 4.4 with $i = 3$ and a shortest path P'' between P_1 and P_3 to obtain that $N^3[P_1 \cup P_3 \cup P'']$ is weighted bipartite. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |N^1[P_1 \cup P'' \cup P_3]| &\geq |N^1[P_1]| + |N^1[P_3]| + |P''| - 4 \\ &\geq 2(\frac{2}{3}k - 59) + \frac{1}{5}(\frac{1}{3}k - 2\ell_0) - 4 \\ &\geq \frac{4}{5}k + t. \end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality is given by our lower bound $k \geq 9\ell_0 = 5490 + 45t$. This concludes the proof in this case with Lemma 2.4 applied to $B = N^2[P_1 \cup P'' \cup P_3]$.




FIGURE 4. Three cycles C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 at weighted distance at most ℓ_0 and the construction of the weighted bipartite set in the case when $d_w(s'_1, s'_2) \leq \ell_0$.

□

REFERENCES

1. P. Allen, J. Böttcher, S. Griffiths, Y. Kohayakawa, and R. Morris, *The chromatic thresholds of graphs*, Adv. Math. **235** (2013), 261–295.
2. B. Andrásfai, P. Erdős, and V. T. Sós, *On the connection between chromatic number, maximal clique and minimal degree of a graph*, Discrete Math. **8** (1974), 205–218.
3. S. Brandt and S. Thomassé, *Dense triangle-free graphs are four-colourable: A solution to the Erdős-Simonovits problem*, <http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/stephan.thomasse/>.
4. O. Ebsen and M. Schacht, *Homomorphism thresholds for odd cycles*, Combinatorica **40** (2020), no. 1, 39–62.
5. P. Erdős, *Graph theory and probability*, Canadian J. Math. **11** (1959), 34–38.
6. P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, *On a valence problem in extremal graph theory*, Discrete Math. **5** (1973), 323–334.
7. W. Goddard and J. Lyle, *Dense graphs with small clique number*, J. Graph Theory **66** (2011), no. 4, 319–331.
8. R. Häggkvist, *Odd cycles of specified length in nonbipartite graphs*, Graph theory (Cambridge, 1981), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 62, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1982, pp. 89–99.
9. G. P. Jin, *Triangle-free four-chromatic graphs*, Discrete Math. **145** (1995), no. 1-3, 151–170.
10. S. Letzter and R. Snyder, *The homomorphism threshold of $\{C_3, C_5\}$ -free graphs*, J. Graph Theory **90** (2019), no. 1, 83–106.

11. T. Łuczak, *On the structure of triangle-free graphs of large minimum degree*, *Combinatorica* **26** (2006), 489–493.
12. J. Ma, *Cycles with consecutive odd lengths*, *European J. Combin.* **52** (2016), 74–78.
13. V. Nikiforov, *Chromatic number and minimum degree of K_r -free graphs*, arXiv:1001.2070.
14. M. Sankar, *Homotopy and the homomorphism threshold of odd cycles*, arXiv:2206.07525.
15. C. Thomassen, *On the chromatic number of pentagon-free graphs of large minimum degree*, *Combinatorica* **27** (2007), no. 2, 241–243.
16. N. Van Ngoc and Z. Tuza, *4-chromatic graphs with large odd girth*, *Discrete Math.* **138** (1995), no. 1-3, 387–392, 14th British Combinatorial Conference (Keele, 1993).
17. T. Łuczak and S. Thomassé, *Coloring dense graphs via vc-dimension*, arXiv:1007.1670.

(JB) LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOUGHTON STREET,
LONDON WC2A 2AE, UK

Email address: j.boettcher@lse.ac.uk

(NF) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE OPEN UNIVERSITY, UK, AND ALFRÉD
RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email address: nora.frankl@open.ac.uk

(DMC) LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOUGHTON STREET,
LONDON WC2A 2AE, UK

Email address: d.mergoni@lse.ac.uk

(OP) FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
ARNIMALLEE 3, 14195 BERLIN, GERMANY

Email address: parczyk@mi.fu-berlin.de

(JS) LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOUGHTON STREET,
LONDON WC2A 2AE, UK

Email address: j.skokan@lse.ac.uk