

# DYNAMICAL COHERENCE IN ISOTOPY CLASSES OF FIBERED PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS

LUIS PEDRO PIÑEYRÚA\* AND MARTÍN SAMBARINO\*\*

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce the notion of *fibred partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms* and we prove that any partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism isotopic to a fibred one where the isotopy take place inside partially hyperbolic systems is *dynamically coherent*. Moreover we prove some global stability result: every two partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms in the same connected component of a fibred partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, are leaf conjugate.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the major problems in dynamical systems is to determine wheather two systems are equivalent or not from some point of view. In the topological sense this is done by the conjugacy problem: we say that two diffeomorphisms  $f : M \rightarrow M$  and  $g : M \rightarrow M$  are *conjugate* if there is a homeomorphisms  $h : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $f \circ h = h \circ g$ . In the hyperbolic setting this problem was achieved by Franks [Fr], [Fr1] and A. Manning [Man] with the well-known results about the clasification of the globally hyperbolic (Anosov) diffeomorphisms on nilmanifolds: any Anosov diffeomorphism on a nilmanifold is conjugated to its linear part.

A fundamental tool in the proofs of these results is the stable manifold theorem, i.e. the integrability of the stable and unstable bundles of a uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Since these subbundles are transversal, their corresponding integrated foliations fill the space at least locally.

In the partially hyperbolic case, given  $f : M \rightarrow M$  with a splitting of the form  $TM = E_f^s \oplus E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$ , it is well known that the bundles  $E_f^s$  and  $E_f^u$  integrate into unique  $f$ -invariant foliations  $\mathcal{W}_f^s$  and  $\mathcal{W}_f^u$  [HPS]. However, the center bundle  $E_f^c$  can have many different behaviours and one hopes to be able to integrate the center bundle too, although this is not always the case. This represents the first important difference between global and partial hyperbolicity.

We say that a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$  is *dynamically coherent* if the bundles  $E_f^s \oplus E_f^c$  and  $E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$  are integrable (and hence, the center bundle  $E_f^c$  is integrable too). Otherwise we say that  $f$  is *dynamically incoherent*. The first example of dynamically incoherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism was built in [Wi] (see also [BuW0]) on a six dimensional nilmanifold with 4-dim center bundle. Later in [RHRHU1], the authors built an example on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^3$  (with 1-dim center bundle). In the later example on the 3-torus, the lack of differentiability on the bundles breaks uniqueness for the center bundle (although there are curves

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05C??. Secondary: 05C??

*Key words and phrases.* sample paper.

\*L.P.P was partially supported by CAP's doctoral scholarship and CSIC group 618.

\*\*M.S. was supported by...

tangent to  $E^c$  by Peano's theorem). In the 6-dimensional manifold example, despite having  $C^1$  bundles, the Frobenius condition fails and thus no integrability is possible on the center bundle.

It is an open question whether dynamical coherence is a  $C^1$ -open condition among partially hyperbolic systems. A closely related property is *plaque expansiveness* which implies this in the affirmative way [HPS]. Let us mention here that to the best of our knowledge, every known example of a dynamically coherent diffeomorphism is plaque-expansive, and therefore  $C^1$  stably dynamically coherent. On the other hand, in [BGHP] the authors built  $C^1$  open sets of dynamically incoherent examples in certain Seifert 3-manifolds.

In addition to these  $C^1$  local results, in recent years the attention has been paid in the (apparently) relation between integrability of the bundles and the isotopy class of the map. The first result in this direction is [FPS] where the authors obtained dynamical coherence for entire isotopy classes of linear Anosov diffeomorphisms on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . This is the first result where the integrability of the center-stable and center-unstable bundle is obtained for a whole isotopy class of maps (the nilmanifold case of this result is proven in [Pi]). In the same direction, in [BFFP2] it is proven that in certain Seifert 3-manifolds, every partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity is dynamically coherent, and very recently in [Mar] dynamical coherence is obtained in isotopy classes of discretized Anosov flows. On the other hand, in [BFFP] the authors (following the construction in [BGHP]) obtained entire isotopy classes of dynamically incoherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms.

All these results are somehow surprising, since on the one hand integrability is quite hard and technical to get, and on the other hand there is a lot of freedom to move inside isotopy classes (and there is no assumption on the behaviour on center bundles despite domination). By the previous evidence, it seems that integrability or not of the center-stable and center-unstable bundles is a phenomenon that depends directly on the isotopy class of the diffeomorphism (a purely topological property).

Our contribution in this article is to go towards this direction by generalizing the mechanisms obtained by T. Fisher, R. Potrie and M. Sambarino in [FPS] to a more general setting where similar techniques can be applied. In particular we are able to obtain dynamical coherence in entire isotopy classes for new kind of diffeomorphisms and manifolds. Let us first give the following definition in order to state precisely the main theorems of this paper. Given a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  we will say that  $f$  is *fibred*, if it verifies the following two conditions (the precise definition is given in Definition 3.2 but the following capture the essence of it):

- the foliations  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^u$  have global product structure in the universal cover  $\widetilde{M}$  and the same happens with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^s$ .
- the quotient space  $\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  is a (topological) manifold and the induced map in the quotient by center leaves  $\widetilde{f}_c : \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \rightarrow \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  is a hyperbolic homeomorphism.

Some examples of this type of maps include linear Anosov, linear partially hyperbolic automorphisms, direct products, skew products, and more general fibrations over Anosov diffeomorphisms. In particular our results applies directly to these kind of maps. We will see these examples in detail in Subsection 3.2.

Our main theorem is the following:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Let  $g$  be a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism isotopic to  $f$  such that the isotopy is inside the set of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (preserving the dimension of the bundles). Then  $g$  is dynamically coherent.*

From the proof of this theorem, we will be able to prove a classification result. Recall that the Franks-Manning results give a complete classification of Anosov diffeomorphisms on nilmanifolds. In the partially hyperbolic setting the picture is a bit different since every diffeomorphism can be embedded as the center leaf of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Therefore, the classification of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms modulo conjugacy is basically the classification of all diffeomorphisms. In short, another equivalence relation is needed. According to [HPS] we say that two dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  are *leaf conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$ , called a leaf conjugacy, such that  $h$  maps a  $f$ -center leaf to a  $g$ -center leaf, and  $h \circ f(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot)) = g \circ h(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot))$ . We then prove the following.

**Theorem B.** *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Then every partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $g$  which is isotopic to  $f$  such that the isotopy is inside the set of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms (preserving the dimension of the bundles) is leaf conjugate to  $f$ .*

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we present some preliminaries and well known results. In Section 3 we introduce in detail the fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, we present some examples and we obtain a stability result. We then pass to Section 4 where we prove an integrability criterion for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms isotopic to fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, which we use in Section 5 to obtain dynamical coherence in the whole isotopy class of a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism and prove Theorem A. Finally in Section 6 we deal with leaf conjugacy and prove Theorem B.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We say that a diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  is *partially hyperbolic* if there exists a  $Df$ -invariant splitting  $TM = E_f^s \oplus E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$  such that there exists  $N > 0$  and  $\lambda > 1$  verifying that for every  $x \in M$  and unit vectors  $v^\sigma \in E_f^\sigma$ , with  $\sigma = s, c, u$  we have:

- $\lambda \|Df_x^N(v^s)\| < \|Df_x^N(v^c)\| < \lambda^{-1} \|Df_x^N(v^u)\|$ , and
- $\|Df_x^N(v^s)\| < \lambda^{-1} < \lambda < \|Df_x^N(v^u)\|$ .

We are going to assume that  $N = 1$  due to N. Gourmelon [Gou] work on adapted metrics. We will note by  $\text{PH}(M)$  to the set of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms acting on the manifold  $M$ .

It is well known that if  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  then the stable and unstable bundles  $E_f^s$  and  $E_f^u$  are only Hölder continuous. Nevertheless the celebrated stable manifold theorem says that the strong bundles  $E_f^u$  and  $E_f^s$  are uniquely integrable [HPS]. Their corresponding unique integral foliations are called the *strong unstable* and *strong stable* foliations respectively, and we note them by  $\mathcal{W}_f^u$  and  $\mathcal{W}_f^s$ . Notice that since  $E_f^u$  and  $E_f^s$  are  $Df$ -invariant, then unique integrability (or having a unique integral

foliation) implies that their corresponding integral foliations  $\mathcal{W}_f^*$  are invariant under the dynamics, i.e.,  $f(\mathcal{W}_f^*(\cdot)) = \mathcal{W}_f^*(f(\cdot))$  for  $* = u, s$ .

Despite the stable manifold theorem, we don't have *a priori* integrability of the rest of the bundles  $E_f^{cs}$ ,  $E_f^{cu}$  and  $E_f^c$ . This fact leads to the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** A partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$  is *dynamically coherent* if the center-unstable bundle  $E_f^{cu} := E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$  and the center-stable bundle  $E_f^{cs} := E_f^c \oplus E_f^s$  integrate to invariant foliations. They are called the center-unstable foliation, resp. the center-stable foliation and denoted by  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cu}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cs}$ .

Notice that dynamical coherence implies that the center distribution  $E_f^c$  is integrable too: if  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  is dynamically coherent, then for any  $x \in M$  the set  $\mathcal{W}_f^c(x) := \mathcal{W}_f^{cs}(x) \cap \mathcal{W}_f^{cu}(x)$  integrates  $E_f^c$  to an invariant foliation and we call  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  the center foliation. On the other hand, the integrability of  $E_f^c$  does not imply dynamical coherence: if  $E_f^c$  integrates into  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  and if we take  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cs}(x) = \cup_{y \in \mathcal{W}_f^c(x)} \mathcal{W}_f^s(y)$  we obtain a plaque tangent to  $E_f^{cs}(x)$  but the union of this plaques is not going to be a foliation necessary.

We want to remark also that we don't require unique integrability of the bundles  $E_f^{cs}$  and  $E_f^{cu}$  in the definition above, although every known example of dynamical coherence is uniquely integrable. In [BuW0] there is a long discussion about all the possible definitions of dynamical coherence that have been used since its introduction and every implications between them.

It is an open question whether dynamical coherence is a  $C^1$ -open condition among  $\text{PH}(M)$ . A closely related property is *plaque expansiveness*. Before introducing it, we need another definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Given  $\epsilon > 0$  we say that a sequence of points  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset M$  is a  $\epsilon$ -pseudo orbit with respect to  $f$  if  $d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) < \epsilon$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In addition, we say that the pseudo orbit respects  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  if  $f(x_n) \in \mathcal{W}_f^c(x_{n+1})$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We say that  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  is *plaque expansive* (see [HPS, Section 7]) if  $f$  is dynamically coherent and there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  with the following property: if  $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $(q_n)_{n \geq 0}$  are  $\epsilon$ -pseudo orbits which respect  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  and such that  $d(p_n, q_n) \leq \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , then  $q_n \in \mathcal{W}_f^c(p_n)$ .

It is known that plaque expansiveness is a  $C^1$ -open condition (see Theorem 7.4 in [HPS]). The importance of plaque expansivity lies on the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** (Theorem 7.1 [HPS], see also Theorem 1 in [PSW1]). *Let us assume that  $f$  is dynamically coherent and plaque expansive. Then any  $g \in \text{PH}(M)$  which is sufficiently  $C^1$ -close to  $f$  is also dynamically coherent and plaque expansive. Moreover, there exists a homeomorphism  $h = h_g: M \rightarrow M$ , such that  $h$  maps a  $f$ -center leaf to a  $g$ -center leaf, and  $h \circ f(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot)) = g \circ h(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot))$ .*

As a result, every  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  dynamically coherent and plaque-expansive is  $C^1$  stably dynamically coherent. The problem then, is to decide when a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism is plaque expansive. This question is open in its full generality although plaque expansivity has been obtained in several cases:

- when the center foliation  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  is  $C^1$  (or  $E_f^c$  is  $C^1$  or both  $E_f^{cs}$  and  $E_f^{cu}$  are  $C^1$ ) this was proved in [HPS].

- when  $Df|_{E_f^c}$  is an isometry this was proved in [RHRHU0], originally mentioned in [HPS] without proof.
- when the center foliation  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  is uniformly compact, i.e. every center leaf is compact and there is a uniform bound on the volumes, proved in [BB], [Car].
- when  $f$  is a discretized Anosov flow [Mar].

We finish this section by recalling another definition (which we already mention in the introduction) that arises from Theorem 2.4 and it is related with the topological stability of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

**Definition 2.5.** We say that two dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms  $f, g : M \rightarrow M$  are *leaf conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$ , called a *leaf conjugacy*, such that  $h$  maps a  $f$ -center leaf to a  $g$ -center leaf, and  $h \circ f(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot)) = g \circ h(\mathcal{W}_f^c(\cdot))$ .

Leaf conjugacy is the analogous to conjugacy for Anosov diffeomorphisms in the partially hyperbolic case (notice that we need dynamically coherence for this definition to make sense). Hence by Theorem 2.4 every  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  dynamically coherent and plaque expansive is topologically stable in the sense mentioned above.

### 3. FIBERED PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS

**3.1. Definitions and quotient dynamics.** Given a homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  on a metric space  $(X, \text{dist})$ , we define the *stable set* and the *stable set of size  $\epsilon$*  of a point  $x \in X$  as the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_f^s(x) &= \{y \in X : \text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0\} \\ \mathcal{W}_f^s(x, \epsilon) &= \{y \in X : \text{dist}(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

In the same way but looking at the past, we define the *unstable set* and the *unstable set of size  $\epsilon$*  of a point  $x \in X$  as the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_f^u(x) &= \{y \in X : \text{dist}(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0\} \\ \mathcal{W}_f^u(x, \epsilon) &= \{y \in X : \text{dist}(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a homeomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X$  on a metric space  $(X, \text{dist})$  is *uniformly hyperbolic* if there exist  $C > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that:

- (1)  $\text{dist}(f^n(x_1), f^n(x_2)) \leq C\lambda^{-n}\text{dist}(x_1, x_2)$ , for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{W}_f^s(x, \epsilon)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .
- (2)  $\text{dist}(f^{-n}(x_1), f^{-n}(x_2)) \leq C\lambda^{-n}\text{dist}(x_1, x_2)$ , for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{W}_f^u(x, \epsilon)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .
- (3) if  $\text{dist}(x_1, x_2) < \delta$  then  $\mathcal{W}_f^s(x_1, \epsilon)$  and  $\mathcal{W}_f^u(x_2, \epsilon)$  intersect at exactly one point denoted by  $[x_1, x_2]$ , and this point depends continuously with  $(x_1, x_2)$ .

Originally it was said that a homeomorphism  $f$  satisfying the previous definition had *hyperbolic coordinates*. In [Mañ1] (Chapter IV, Section 9) R. Mañé presents a slightly different definition of hyperbolic homeomorphisms that the one we stated, but later J. Ombach observed in [Om3] that they're both equivalent. We state it this way because we think is the most natural one (the same definition also appeared in [Vi]). Hyperbolic coordinates or hyperbolic homeomorphisms, appeared on the 70's in the attempt to give a topological description of hyperbolicity (see [Wal2], [Om1], [Om2], [Om3]). Notice that Definition 3.1 is purely topological.

According to Definition 2.1, a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  has two invariant foliations  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cu}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cs}$  tangent to  $E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$  and  $E_f^s \oplus E_f^c$  respectively. This implies in addition, that we have a center foliation  $\mathcal{W}_f^c(x) := \mathcal{W}_f^{cs}(x) \cap \mathcal{W}_f^{cu}(x)$  which is also  $f$ -invariant and tangent to  $E_f^c$ .

This center foliation  $\mathcal{W}_f^c$  gives a partition of the manifold  $M$  and thus we have a well defined quotient space  $M/\mathcal{W}_f^c$ . We are going to note by  $p : M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{W}_f^c$  to the projection into equivalence classes. Moreover, we have an induced map in the quotient space:

$$f_c : M/\mathcal{W}_f^c \rightarrow M/\mathcal{W}_f^c \quad \text{given by} \quad p \circ f = f_c \circ p$$

We have the same behaviour on the universal cover. Let  $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the universal cover of  $M$  and recall that  $M = \widetilde{M}/\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$  acts on  $\widetilde{M}$  by isometries. In this case we have that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  gives a partition of the manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  and we have a well defined quotient space  $\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$ . We are going to note by  $\widetilde{p} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  to the projection into equivalence classes, and its corresponding induced map will be:

$$\widetilde{f}_c : \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \rightarrow \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \quad \text{given by} \quad \widetilde{p} \circ \widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f}_c \circ \widetilde{p}$$

The idea of these maps is to “cancel” the non-hyperbolic behaviour of the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$  in order to get some hyperbolicity in the quotient space. As we mentioned in the introduction, nothing tell us that the previous quotient spaces will have nice properties (in some cases, not even Hausdorff). The following is the main object of this article.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism of class  $C^r$ . We say that  $f$  is *fibred* if:

- (H<sub>1</sub>) the foliations  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{uu}$  have global product structure; the foliations  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{ss}$  have global product structure.
- (H<sub>2</sub>) For every  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$  we have that  $d_H(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y})) < \infty$ . In particular, it induces a distance *dist* in the quotient space  $\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  by<sup>1</sup>

$$dist(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{y})) := d_H(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y}))$$

- (H<sub>3</sub>) the map  $\widetilde{f}_c : \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \rightarrow \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  is a hyperbolic homeomorphism.
- (H<sub>4</sub>) there exists a linear Anosov  $A : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  and a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $h : \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  such that  $A \circ h = h \circ \widetilde{f}_c$ .

The following diagram illustrates all maps involved in the previous definition:

<sup>1</sup>In general the Hausdorff distance is defined between compact sets, but it works in the same way for closed sets under the assumption that is finite and defined as

$$d_H(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y})) := \max \left\{ \sup_{z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x})} d(z, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y})), \sup_{z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y})} d(z, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x})) \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}} & \widetilde{M} \\
\widetilde{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \widetilde{p} \\
\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{f}_c} & \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c \\
h \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\
\mathbb{R}^{d-c} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{R}^{d-c}
\end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Condition  $(H_2)$  in the definition above implies the following: given  $K > 0$  there is  $C > 0$  such that, if  $d(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \leq K$  then  $\text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{y})) \leq C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a compact fundamental domain and let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in \Gamma$  be such that if  $\widetilde{x} \in D$  then  $B(\widetilde{x}, K) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k \gamma_i \cdot D =: \widehat{D}$ . By compactness and since  $\widetilde{p} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  is continuous, there exists  $C > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in \widehat{D}$  then  $\text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(x), \widetilde{p}(y)) \leq C$ .

Now if  $\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{M}$  and  $d(\widetilde{z}, \widetilde{w}) \leq K$ , there is  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \widetilde{z} \in D$  and this implies that  $\gamma \cdot \widetilde{w} \in D$  too. We conclude that:  $\text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{z}), \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{w})) = \text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{z}), \widetilde{p}(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{w})) \leq C$   $\square$

Let us give a simple notation that will be useful in the whole article. If  $A$  is a hyperbolic matrix with a splitting  $\mathbb{R}^{d-c} = E_A^s \oplus E_A^u$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  we are going to note by

$$\Pi_v^\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow v + E_A^\sigma$$

for  $\sigma = s, u$  to the corresponding orthogonal projections.

Now let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. From now on for simplicity, we are going to note by

$$[\widetilde{x}] := h \circ \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \quad \text{for every } \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Recall that  $M = \widetilde{M}/\Gamma$  where  $\gamma = \pi_1(M)$  acts on  $\widetilde{M}$  by isometries. Then we can define an action of  $\Gamma$  in  $\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  by the equation

$$\gamma \cdot \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}) := \widetilde{p}(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{x})$$

Since for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have that  $\gamma \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{x})$  the action is well defined and moreover for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and every  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dist}(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}), \gamma \cdot \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{y})) &= \text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{y})) = d_H(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{y})) \\
&= d_H(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{y})) = \text{dist}(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{y}))
\end{aligned}$$

and the action preserves the distance. In the same way we can define an action of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-c} = \text{Image}(h)$  by the equation:

$$\gamma \cdot [\widetilde{x}] := [\gamma \cdot \widetilde{x}]$$

Notice that since  $[\widetilde{x}] := h \circ \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x})$  this is equivalent to  $\gamma \cdot h \circ \widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x}) := h \circ \widetilde{p}(\gamma \cdot \widetilde{x})$ . This action is well defined, but it doesn't necessary preserves the distance. However we have the following estimate.

**Lemma 3.4.** *There exists a constant  $K > 0$  s.t. for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and every  $[\tilde{x}], [\tilde{y}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  we have:*

$$\|\gamma \cdot [\tilde{x}] - \gamma \cdot [\tilde{y}]\| \leq K \|[\tilde{x}] - [\tilde{y}]\|$$

*Proof.* Let  $C_h > 0$  and  $C_{h^{-1}} > 0$  be the Lipschitz constants of  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  respectively. Then given  $[\tilde{x}], [\tilde{y}] \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  we have:

$$\text{dist}(h^{-1}[\tilde{x}], h^{-1}[\tilde{y}]) \leq C_{h^{-1}} \|[\tilde{x}] - [\tilde{y}]\|$$

Since  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  preserving the distance, given  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have:

$$\text{dist}(\gamma \cdot h^{-1}[\tilde{x}], \gamma \cdot h^{-1}[\tilde{y}]) = \text{dist}(h^{-1}[\tilde{x}], h^{-1}[\tilde{y}]) \leq C_{h^{-1}} \|[\tilde{x}] - [\tilde{y}]\|$$

This implies that:

$$\|\gamma \cdot [\tilde{x}] - \gamma \cdot [\tilde{y}]\| = \|h(\gamma \cdot h^{-1}[\tilde{x}]) - h(\gamma \cdot h^{-1}[\tilde{y}])\| \leq C_h \cdot C_{h^{-1}} \|[\tilde{x}] - [\tilde{y}]\|$$

Taking  $K = C_h \cdot C_{h^{-1}}$  we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** In the previous lemma we use the hypothesis that the map  $h^{-1}$  in Definition 3.2 is Lipschitz. This is the only part of the article when we use this property, but it will have strong consequences.

**3.2. Examples.** The following are a few examples of fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms.

*Anosov automorphisms.* Let  $A \in \text{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$  be a hyperbolic matrix with a splitting of the form  $\mathbb{R}^d = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{ws} \oplus E_A^{wu} \oplus E_A^{uu}$ . This matrix induces an Anosov diffeomorphism  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ . We can see  $f$  as a fibered partially hyperbolic with trivial fibers. In this case,  $\mathbb{R}^d/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\tilde{f}_c = A$  and the four points in Definition 3.2 are trivially satisfied.

On the other hand we can see  $f$  as a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism by taking the center bundle as  $E_f^c = E_A^{ws} \oplus E_A^{wu}$ . Since  $E_A^c$  is a linear subspace, we get that  $f$  is dynamically coherent and moreover  $f$  has global product structure (as in  $(H_1)$ ). The quotient space is  $\mathbb{R}^d/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu} = \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  and the map  $\tilde{p}$  can be seen as the orthogonal projection  $\Pi^{su} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu} = \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  proving point  $(H_2)$ . The quotient map is  $\tilde{f}_c = A|_{E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}}$  and we get  $(H_3)$ . Point  $(H_4)$  is not needed since  $\tilde{f}_c$  is already linear (or in this case  $h = Id$ ). Therefore  $f$  is a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

*Partially hyperbolic automorphisms.* Let  $A \in \text{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$  be a matrix with a splitting of the form  $\mathbb{R}^d = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^c \oplus E_A^{uu}$ , where  $E_A^c$  is the generalized eigenspace associated to the eigenvalues of modulus equal to one. Like in the Anosov case above, the matrix  $A$  induces a map  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  which is a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Since  $f$  is linear, it's clear that  $f$  has global product structure as in  $(H_1)$ , the quotient space is  $\mathbb{R}^d/\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}$  and the map  $\tilde{p}$  is the orthogonal projection  $\Pi^{su} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}$  proving  $(H_2)$ . Finally observe that  $\tilde{f}_c = A|_{E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}}$  and thus we get point  $(H_3)$ . Once again we don't need point  $(H_4)$  since  $\tilde{f}_c$  is already linear. We conclude that  $f$  is fibered partially hyperbolic.



*Anosov  $\times$  Identity.* Let  $A \in \text{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$  be a hyperbolic matrix with a splitting of the form  $\mathbb{R}^d = E_A^s \oplus E_A^u$  and let  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  be the induced Anosov diffeomorphism as above. Let  $N$  be any other manifold of any dimension and let  $g : \mathbb{T}^d \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d \times N$  be the map  $g = f \times \text{Id}$ . Then  $g$  is a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with global product structure proving point  $(H_1)$ . The center leaves are of the form  $\mathcal{W}_g^c(x, y) = \{x\} \times N$ , and thus its quotient space is  $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \tilde{N})/\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^c = \mathbb{R}^d = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}$ , the projection  $\tilde{p}_g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \tilde{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d \times \tilde{N})/\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^c = \mathbb{R}^d$  is just the projection on the first coordinate and the induced map is  $\tilde{g}_c = A|_{E_A^s \oplus E_A^u}$ . This shows points  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_3)$ . Finally  $h = \text{Id}$  and we get  $(H_4)$ , proving that  $g$  is fibered partially hyperbolic.

*Dominated splitting examples.* Generalizing the previous example take  $f : M \rightarrow M$  any of the previous fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms and let  $N$  be a manifold of any dimension. Take a map  $g : N \rightarrow N$  such that its behaviour is dominated by  $f$ : there exist  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\|Dg_y\| \leq \lambda m(Df_x|_{E_f^u})$  and  $\|Df_x|_{E_f^s}\| \leq \lambda m(Dg_y)$  for every  $x \in M, y \in N$ . Then the map  $F : M \times N \rightarrow M \times N$  defined by  $F = f \times g$  is a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Since the center leaves are  $\mathcal{W}_F^c(x, y) = \mathcal{W}_f^c(x) \times N$  the quotient space is  $(\tilde{M} \times \tilde{N})/\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_F^c = \tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$ . Moreover since  $f$  is fibered, we have that  $F$  has global product structure as in  $(H_1)$ . The projection  $\tilde{p}_F$  is the function  $\tilde{p}_F(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{p}_f(\tilde{x})$  where  $\tilde{p}_f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}/\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c$  proving  $(H_2)$  and the induced map is just  $\tilde{F}_c = \tilde{f}_c$  getting point  $(H_3)$ . If we take  $h = h_f$  we have  $(H_4)$  and therefore  $F$  is a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

*Skew-products.* Let  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  be an Anosov diffeomorphism induced by some hyperbolic matrix as above and let  $G$  be a compact Lie group. Take a smooth function  $\theta : N \rightarrow G$  and consider the map  $F : N \times G \rightarrow N \times G$  given by  $F(x, g) = (f(x), \theta(x)g)$ . Then it is easy to see that  $F$  is a dynamically coherent partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with global product structure in the universal cover proving  $(H_1)$ . The center leaves are given by  $\mathcal{W}_F^c(x, g) = \{x\} \times G$ , and therefore we have point  $(H_2)$ . By the same reason the projection into equivalence classes  $\tilde{p}_F$  is just the projection into the first coordinate and the induced map is just  $\tilde{F}_c = \tilde{f}$  getting point  $(H_3)$ . Since the Anosov in the base  $f$  is linear, we have  $(H_4)$  and therefore  $F$  is a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

*Fiberings.* More general than the previous examples, we have the systems that fiber over partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. Take  $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a splitting of the form  $T\mathbb{T}^d = E_f^s \oplus E_f^c \oplus E_f^u$ . Take a fibration  $N \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{T}^d$ , i.e.  $\pi^{-1}(\{x\}) \simeq N$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ , and denote by  $N(x) = \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$  to the fiber through  $x$ . Consider a lift  $F : M \rightarrow M$ , that is a map such that  $\pi \circ F = f \circ \pi$ . Then if we ask for the lift  $F$  to verify:

$$\|Df_{\pi(x)}|_{E_f^s}\| < m(DF_x|_{TN(x)}) \leq \|DF_x|_{TN(x)}\| < m(Df_{\pi(x)}|_{E_f^u})$$

then  $F$  is partially hyperbolic and a dynamically coherent. Moreover since the map in the base  $f$  is a fibered p.h. we have that  $F$  has global product structure  $(H_1)$  and center leaves in the universal cover are  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_F^c(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\pi(\tilde{x})) \times \tilde{N}$  proving  $(H_2)$ . It is direct to check that the projection map  $\tilde{p}_F$  is just the composition  $\tilde{p}_f \circ \pi$ , showing

point  $(H_3)$ . Finally taking  $h_F = h_f$  we get point  $(H_4)$  and  $F$  is a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism.

**3.3. Shadowing and stability.** The main objects in this article are fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms and their induced hyperbolic homeomorphisms on their quotient spaces. Uniform hyperbolicity is a robust property, and in this subsection we are going to see that this fundamental relation between hyperbolicity and stability is given by a property which is in the core of hyperbolicity: the *shadowing property*.

The pseudo-orbit tracing property or shadowing property originally appears in the works of R. Bowen where he studied the ergodic properties of Anosov or Axiom A diffeomorphisms. This shadowing property was quickly generalized to many contexts (for example hyperbolic homeomorphisms) but we are just going to state the simplest version since it is enough for our purposes

Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a homeomorphism on a metric space  $(X, dist)$  and take a  $C^0$ -perturbation of size  $K > 0$ , that is a map  $g : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $dist(f(x), g(x)) < K$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then given a point  $x \in X$  the  $g$ -orbit of  $x$ , i.e. the sequence  $x_n := g^n(x)$  satisfies the following:  $dist(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) = dist(f(x_n), g(x_n)) < K$ , i.e the  $g$ -orbit of  $x$  is a  $K$  pseudo orbit with respect to  $f$  according to Definition 2.2.

Now the real problem is to determine which condition must satisfy  $f$  in order to get a precise relation between  $K$ -pseudo orbits and truly orbits of  $f$ . The key ingredient turn out to be uniform hyperbolicity as the following classical lemma shows. We are going to present a specific statement of the lemma, the one that best suits for our purposes, although there are more general versions.

**Lemma 3.6** (Shadowing lemma for hyperbolic matrixes). *Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a hyperbolic matrix. Then given  $K > 0$  there is  $\alpha > 0$  such that for every  $K$ -pseudo orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  there is a unique  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\|A^n(y) - x_n\| < \alpha$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that the  $A$ -orbit of  $y$  is the one that  $\alpha$ -shadows the pseudo orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .*

We are not going to see a proof of this lemma, the interested reader can found a proof in [Sam]. The only thing we are going to mention is that the constant  $\alpha$  depends on the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $K$ . The following theorem establishes the relation between the shadowing property and the  $C^0$ -stability for fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms.

**Theorem 3.7** (Stability of fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms). *Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Then for every  $g : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\sup\{dist(f(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x}))\} < K < \infty$  for some lift  $\tilde{g} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ , there exist a continuous and surjective map  $H_g : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  and a number  $\alpha = \alpha(f, K) > 0$  such that:*

- (1)  $A \circ H_g = H_g \circ \tilde{g}$
- (2)  $d_{C^0}(H_g, h \circ \tilde{p}) < \alpha$
- (3) the map  $H_g$  varies continuously with  $g$  in the  $C^0$  topology.
- (4)  $H_g$  is  $\Gamma$  invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. By hypothesis, there exists a linear Anosov  $A : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  and a bi Lipschitz homeomorphism  $h : \tilde{M}/\tilde{W}_f^c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  such that  $A \circ h = h \circ \tilde{f}_c$ . Let  $g \in \text{PH}(M)$  be such that  $\sup\{dist(f(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x})) : \tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}\} = K < \infty$  for some lift  $\tilde{g} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  on the universal

cover. Now for this  $K > 0$  we know by Lemma 3.3 that there is  $C > 0$  such that, if  $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \leq K$  then  $\text{dist}(\tilde{p}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{p}(\tilde{y})) \leq C$ .

Given a point  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  we define the following sequence:

$$G_n(\tilde{x}) := h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) = [\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})]$$

We claim that  $\{G_n(\tilde{x})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $C_h C$ -pseudo orbit with respect to  $A$  where  $C_h$  is the Lipschitz constants of  $h$ . First observe that:

$$A(G_n(\tilde{x})) = A \circ h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) = h \circ \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))$$

Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(G_n(\tilde{x})) - G_{n+1}(\tilde{x})\| &= \|A \circ h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^{n+1}(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &= \|h \circ \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^{n+1}(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\leq C_h \text{dist}(\tilde{p} \circ \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})), \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^{n+1}(\tilde{x}))) \\ &= C_h \text{dist}(\tilde{p}(\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))), \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x})))) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x})) \leq K$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ , we have that  $d(\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})), \tilde{g}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))) \leq K$  and therefore  $\text{dist}(\tilde{p}(\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))), \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})))) \leq K$ . We conclude that  $\|A(G_n(\tilde{x})) - G_{n+1}(\tilde{x})\| \leq C_h K$  proving that  $\{G_n(\tilde{x})\}$  is a  $C_h C$ -pseudo orbit with respect to  $A$ . Since  $A : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  is a hyperbolic automorphism, we can apply the Shadowing Lemma 3.6 and obtain a unique vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  such that  $\|A^n v - G_n(\tilde{x})\| < \alpha$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that  $\alpha$  depends only on  $f$ ,  $C_h$  and  $K$  (and  $C_h$  depends on  $f$ ). Therefore the map  $H_g : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  given by  $H_g(\tilde{x}) = v$  is well defined. Now by definition we have:

$$G_{n+1}(\tilde{x}) = h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^{n+1}(\tilde{x})) = h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}))) = G_n(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}))$$

Then

$$\|A^n(A \circ H_g)(\tilde{x}) - G_n(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}))\| = \|A^{n+1}(H_g(\tilde{x})) - G_{n+1}(\tilde{x})\| < \alpha$$

and the uniqueness in the Shadowing Lemma implies that

$$H_g(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x})) = A(H_g(\tilde{x})) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad A \circ H_g = H_g \circ \tilde{g}$$

proving point 1. By definition we have that  $\|A^n \circ H_g(\tilde{x}) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))\| < \alpha$ , thus taking  $n = 0$  gives  $\|H_g(\tilde{x}) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{x})\| < \alpha$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ , proving point 2.

To see the continuity of  $H_g$  suppose that the sequence  $\{\tilde{x}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \widetilde{M}$  is such that  $\tilde{x}_k \rightarrow \tilde{x}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and fix some integer  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^l(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_g(\tilde{x}_k)), h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^l(\tilde{x}))\| &= \|A^l(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_g(\tilde{x}_k)) - h \circ \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{g}^l(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_k)\| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^l(H_g(\tilde{x}_k)) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^l(\tilde{x}_k))\| < \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Since  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  is arbitrary, by the uniqueness of the shadowing we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_g(\tilde{x}_k) = H_g(\tilde{x})$  and  $H_g$  is continuous. Since  $d_{C^0}(H_g, h \circ \tilde{p}) < \alpha$  by a degree argument we get that  $H_g$  is surjective.

To prove the continuous variation with respect to  $g$ , take some  $\epsilon > 0$  and fix some large  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that every vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  with  $\|v\| \geq \epsilon$  verifies:  $\|A^{N_0}(v)\| > 2\alpha + C_h C$  or  $\|A^{-N_0}(v)\| > 2\alpha + C_h C$ . This is clear since  $A$  is hyperbolic. Let  $\mathcal{U}(g)$

be the  $C^0$  neighbourhood of  $g$  s.t. for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and  $|j| \leq N_0$  we have  $d(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x})) < K$ . Now take  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and  $|j| \leq N_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^j(H_g(\tilde{x})) - A^j(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}))\| &\leq \|A^j(H_g(\tilde{x})) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\quad + \|h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x})) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\quad + \|h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x})) - A^j(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\leq \alpha + C_h C + \alpha = 2\alpha + C_h C \end{aligned}$$

where the first and third inequalities come from the shadowing property, and the second one because  $\|h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x})) - h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x}))\| \leq C_h \text{dist}(\tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x})), \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x}))) \leq C_h C$  since  $d(\tilde{g}^j(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}'^j(\tilde{x})) < K$ . This implies  $\|H_g(\tilde{x}) - H_{g'}(\tilde{x})\| < \epsilon$  by the above condition and therefore we get point 3.

To finish the proof we have to prove that  $H_g$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Recall that by definition we have  $[\tilde{x}] = h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{x})$  and  $\gamma \cdot [\tilde{x}] = [\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}]$ . First notice that if we call  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  the induced map of  $\tilde{f}$  in the fundamental group, we get that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ :

$$\tilde{f}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})$$

and the same happens for every  $g$  as in the hypothesis:  $\tilde{g}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{g}(\tilde{x})$ . By induction we get that  $\tilde{f}^n(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) = \varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x})$ . In a similar way we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\gamma \cdot [\tilde{x}]) &= A([\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}]) = A(h \circ \tilde{p}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x})) = h \circ \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{f}(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) \\ &= h \circ \tilde{p}(\varphi(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})) \\ &= \varphi(\gamma) \cdot A \circ h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{x}) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot A([\tilde{x}]) \end{aligned}$$

By induction we get that  $A^n(\gamma \cdot [\tilde{x}]) = \varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot A^n([\tilde{x}])$ . Finally just observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) &= h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x})) = h \circ \tilde{p}(\varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot \tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) \\ &= \varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot h \circ \tilde{p}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) = \varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot G_n(\tilde{x}) \end{aligned}$$

To sum up, for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^n(\gamma \cdot H_g(\tilde{x})) - G_n(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x})\| &= \|\varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot A^n(H_g(\tilde{x})) - \varphi^n(\gamma) \cdot G_n(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq C_h C_{h^{-1}} \|A^n(H_g(\tilde{x})) - G_n(\tilde{x})\| < C_h C_{h^{-1}} \alpha \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality comes from Lemma 3.4. By uniqueness of the Shadowing Lemma, we get that  $H_g(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}) = \gamma \cdot H_g(\tilde{x})$ , proving point 4.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.** As we mentioned in Remark 3.5, we only use the fact that  $h^{-1}$  is Lipschitz in order to prove Lemma 3.4, and we have just used this lemma to prove Point 4 in the theorem above. In short, we need  $h^{-1}$  to be Lipschitz in order to get the  $\Gamma$  invariance of  $H_g$ .

**Remark 3.9.** In case  $g = f$  we have that  $H_f = h \circ \tilde{p}$ . In many parts of the article we will note  $H_f$  instead of  $h \circ \tilde{p}$ .

**Remark 3.10.** If  $g \in \text{PH}(M)$  is isotopic to  $f$  and we take a lift  $\tilde{g}$ , then we always have that

$$\sup\{d(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x})) : \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}\} < K < \infty$$

Therefore Theorem 3.7 applies and we get the map  $H_g$ .

**3.4. Re statement of the main results.** From now on  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$  will be a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism and we are going to consider the subset  $\text{PH}_f(M) \subseteq \text{PH}(M)$  of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms such that:

$$\text{PH}_f(M) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g \in \text{PH}(M) \text{ which are isotopic to } f \text{ and such that} \\ \dim E_g^\sigma = \dim E_f^\sigma, \text{ for } \sigma = ss, c, uu \end{array} \right\}$$

By Theorem 3.7 (and Remark 3.10) we have that for every  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  there is a continuous and surjective map  $H_g : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  such that  $A \circ H_g = H_g \circ \widetilde{g}$ . The first direct consequence of this semiconjugacy is the following:

$$\text{if } \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{ss}(\widetilde{x}) \text{ then } H_g(\widetilde{y}) \in E_A^{ss} + H_g(\widetilde{x})$$

and the same happens with the unstable manifold:

$$\text{if } \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\widetilde{x}) \text{ then } H_g(\widetilde{y}) \in E_A^{uu} + H_g(\widetilde{x})$$

This is easy to see since  $\widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{ss}(\widetilde{x})$  if and only if  $d(\widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{y}), \widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{x})) \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . This implies that  $\|H_g \circ \widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{y}) - H_g \circ \widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{x})\| \rightarrow 0$  and by the semiconjugacy relation this is the same as  $\|A^n(H_g(\widetilde{y}) - H_g(\widetilde{x}))\| \rightarrow 0$ . By hyperbolicity this can only happen if  $H_g(\widetilde{y}) \in E_A^{ss} + H_g(\widetilde{x})$ . The same calculation works for the past.

On the other hand suppose there are points  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$  such that their orbits are at finite distance at any time (this is the ‘‘ideal’’ picture of the behaviour on center leaves), then since  $A$  is uniformly hyperbolic we have  $H_g(\widetilde{x}) = H_g(\widetilde{y})$ . This motivates the following definition, which is the analogous to the one introduced in [FPS].

**Definition 3.11.** We say that a dynamically coherent  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  is *center-fibered* (CF) if  $H_g^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{x})) = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^c(\widetilde{x})$  for every  $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ .

In particular this means that two different center leaves of  $\widetilde{g}$  are sent by  $H_g$  to two different points in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-c}$ .

Now given a fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $f$ , we are going to note:

$$\text{PH}_f^0(M) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{connected components of } \text{PH}_f(M) \text{ which contains a} \\ \text{DC and CF p.h.d. with global product structure} \end{array} \right\}$$

We remark that the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms  $f$  is itself center fibered by definition because  $H_f = h \circ \widetilde{p}$ , then for every  $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  we have that:

$$(H_f)^{-1}(H_f(\widetilde{x})) = (h \circ \widetilde{p})^{-1}(h \circ \widetilde{p})(\widetilde{x}) = \widetilde{p}^{-1}(\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{x})) = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^c(\widetilde{x})$$

Then the set  $\text{PH}_f^0(M)$  is a non-empty open set with at least one connected component. Let us mention that in [FG] it is proved that given a linear Anosov  $A : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$  (with  $d \geq 10$ ), the space of Anosov diffeomorphisms homotopic to  $A$  has infinitely many connected components. In particular, this implies that  $\text{PH}_f^0(M)$  may have more than one connected component (besides the one containing  $f$ ).

With these new notations we can restate the main results of the article.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Every  $g \in \text{PH}_f^0(M)$  is dynamically coherent and center-fibered.*

A direct consequence from the proof of this theorem, is that it implies to have plaque expansiveness in the whole connected component. Applying Theorem 2.4 and a connectedness argument, we can obtain the following classification result.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Any two diffeomorphisms in the same connected component of  $\text{PH}_f^0(M)$  are leaf conjugate. In particular every  $g \in \text{PH}_f^0(M)$  in the same connected component of  $f$  is leaf conjugate to  $f$ .*

#### 4. INTEGRABILITY FOR FIBERED PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS

In this section we are going to see an integrability criterion for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms isotopic to fibered partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. For this criterion, we apply the ideas of [FPS] to our setting of quotient dynamics.

**4.1.  $\sigma$ -Properness.** Recall that given  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$ , for any  $*$   $\in \{ss, uu\}$ , for any  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we denote by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^*(\tilde{x}, \epsilon) := \{\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^*(\tilde{x}) : d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^*}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < \epsilon\}$$

to the  $\epsilon$ -ball in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^*$  of center  $\tilde{x}$  and radius  $\epsilon$ , where  $d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^*}$  denotes the leafwise distance, that is the distance induced by the Riemannian metric in  $\widetilde{M}$  restricted to the leaves.

In order to avoid any confusion (since we are working in different spaces), for any  $*$   $\in \{ss, uu\}$ , for any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$ , and for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we denote by

$$D_A^*(v, \epsilon) := \{w \in E_A^* + v : \|v - w\| < \epsilon\}$$

The following definition is the analogous of the one introduced in [FPS].

**Definition 4.1.** For  $\sigma = ss, uu$  we say that  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  is  $\sigma$ -proper if for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  the map  $H_g$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x})$  is uniformly proper. More precisely, for every  $R > 0$  there exists  $R' > 0$  such that

$$(H_g)^{-1}(D_A^\sigma(H_g(\tilde{x}), R)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R') \text{ for every } \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$$

**Remark 4.2.** In the previous definition, we can take  $R = 1$  by uniform hyperbolicity of the strong bundles, and the cocompactness of  $\widetilde{M}$ .

The definition of  $\sigma$ -properness can be expressed in a different and more geometric way. The next lemma gives the desire equivalence. We omit its proof since it is the same as Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 in [FPS]. Given  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  we say that  $g$  has condition:

( $I^\sigma$ ) If the function  $H_g$  is injective restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma$ -leaves.

( $S^\sigma$ ) If the function  $H_g$  is surjective restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma$ -leaves.

Then if  $g$  verifies both conditions, the map  $H_g|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x})} : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow E_A^\sigma + H_g(\tilde{x})$  is a homeomorphism.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  then,  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper if and only if  $g$  satisfies properties ( $I^\sigma$ ) and ( $S^\sigma$ ). Moreover ( $I^\sigma$ ) implies ( $S^\sigma$ ).*

**4.2. Strong almost dynamically coherence.** Given a subset  $K \subset \widetilde{M}$  and  $R > 0$  we call  $B(K, R)$  the  $R$ -neighbourhood of  $K$ , that is, the set of points in  $\widetilde{M}$  that are less than  $R$  from some point in  $K$ :

$$B(K, R) = \{\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M} : \text{there is } \tilde{y} \in K \text{ s.t. } d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < R\}$$

This includes the case  $K = \tilde{x}$  and:

$$B(\tilde{x}, R) = \{\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{M} : d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < R\}$$

**Definition 4.4** (Almost parallel foliations). Given  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$  two foliations in  $\tilde{M}$  we say they are almost parallel if there exists  $R > 0$  such that for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ , there are points  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{M}$  such that:

- $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}) \subset B(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}_1), R)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}_1) \subset B(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}), R)$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}) \subset B(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}_2), R)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}_2) \subset B(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}), R)$

It's easy to see that this is an equivalence relation. Moreover the condition can be expressed in terms of the Hausdorff distance: for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ , there exist  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $d_H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}_1)) < R$  and  $d_H(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(\tilde{x}), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\tilde{x}_2)) < R$ .

**Definition 4.5.** We say that  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  is *strongly almost dynamically coherent* (SADC) if there exists foliations  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}, \mathcal{F}_g^{cu}$  (not necessarily invariant) such that:

- $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}, \mathcal{F}_g^{cu}$  are transverse to  $E_g^{uu}, E_g^{ss}$  respectively,
- $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cu}$  are almost parallel to the foliations  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cu}$  respectively.




FIGURE 1. Almost parallel foliations  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_f^{cs}$

**Definition 4.6.** Given  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  which is SADC with their corresponding foliations  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cu}$ , we say that  $g$  has global product structure if  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  have global product structure (GPS) and,  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cu}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{ss}$  have global product structure.

**4.3. Integrability criterion.** The following is an integrability criterion for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms isotopic to fibered p.h. diffeomorphisms. For this criterion we adapt the ideas of [FPS] to our setting via quotient dynamics.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Assume that  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  verifies the following conditions:*

- $g$  is  $uu$ -proper.
- $g$  is SADC with global product structure.

*Then the bundle  $E_g^{cs}$  is integrable into a  $g$ -invariant foliation  $\mathcal{W}_g^{cs}$  that verifies  $H_g^{-1}(E_A^{ss} + H_g(\tilde{x})) = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x})$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  have global product structure.*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is pretty direct: take the foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  given by the SADC property and iterate it backwards by  $\tilde{g}$  hoping that in the limit it will converges to the desired foliation. Specifically the goal is to show that  $\{(H_g)^{-1}(H_g(\tilde{y}) + E_A^{ss}) : \tilde{y} \in \tilde{M}\}$  is the center-stable foliation of  $\tilde{g}$ .

First observe that this partition of  $\widetilde{M}$  is  $\widetilde{g}$ -invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{g}^{-1}((H_g)^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{y}) + E_A^{ss})) &= (H_g \circ \widetilde{g})^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{y}) + E_A^{ss}) = (A \circ H_g)^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{y}) + E_A^{ss}) \\ &= H_g^{-1}(A^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{y}) + E_A^{ss})) = H_g^{-1}(A^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{y})) + E_A^{ss}) \\ &= H_g^{-1}(H_g(\widetilde{g}^{-1}(\widetilde{y})) + E_A^{ss}) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the partition is invariant by deck translations since  $H_g$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Now take the foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  given by the SADC property. Since it is almost parallel to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $H_g$  is at bounded Hausdorff distance from  $H_f = h \circ \widetilde{p}$  we have that  $H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}(\widetilde{x}))$  is also at bounded Hausdorff distance from some translate of  $E_A^{ss}$  for every  $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ .

Since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  have global product structure, we can see the leaves of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  (and then of  $\widetilde{g}^{-n}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs})$ ) as graphs of functions from  $\mathbb{R}^{cs}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{uu}$ . Since the foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  is uniformly transversal to  $E_g^{uu}$  we know there are local product structure boxes of uniform size in  $\widetilde{M}$ , i.e. there is  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\forall \widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  there is a neighbourhood  $V_{\widetilde{x}} \supseteq B(\widetilde{x}, \epsilon)$  and  $C^1$ -local coordinates  $\psi_{\widetilde{x}} : \mathbb{D}^{cs} \times \mathbb{D}^{uu} \rightarrow V_{\widetilde{x}}$  such that:

- $\psi_{\widetilde{x}}(\mathbb{D}^{cs} \times \mathbb{D}^{uu}) = V_{\widetilde{x}}$
- For every  $\widetilde{y} \in B(\widetilde{x}, \epsilon) \subseteq V_{\widetilde{x}}$  we have that if we call  $W_n^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{y})$  to the connected component of  $V_{\widetilde{x}} \cap \widetilde{g}^{-n}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}(\widetilde{g}^n(\widetilde{y})))$  that contains  $\widetilde{y}$  then

$$\psi_{\widetilde{x}}^{-1}(W_n^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{y})) = \text{graph}(h_n^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}})$$

where  $h_n^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}} : \mathbb{D}^{cs} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{uu}$  is a  $C^1$  function with bounded first derivatives.

This way we get that the set  $\{h_n^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is precompact in the space of Lipschitz functions  $\mathbb{D}^{cs} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{uu}$  ([HPS]). Therefore the leaves of  $\widetilde{g}^{-n}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs})$  have convergent sub-sequences. From this point we have to deal with two problems: the first one is that *a priori* there could be a leaf with more than one limit, and second, that in the limit, different leaves might merge. We will handle these two problems in the same way. For every  $\widetilde{y} \in B(\widetilde{x}, \epsilon)$ , we call  $\mathcal{J}_{\widetilde{y}}^{\widetilde{x}}$  to the set of indices such that for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\widetilde{y}}^{\widetilde{x}}$  there is a Lipschitz function  $h_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}} : \mathbb{D}^{cs} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{uu}$  and a subsequence  $n_j \rightarrow +\infty$  such that:

$$h_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}} = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} h_{n_j}^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}}$$

Every  $h_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}}$  has its corresponding graph, and we note  $W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{y})$  to the image by  $\psi_{\widetilde{x}}$  of this graph. The following claim is crucial for the theorem.

**Claim 4.8.** *For every  $\widetilde{z} \in B(\widetilde{x}, \epsilon)$  and every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\widetilde{z}}^{\widetilde{x}}$ , we have that  $H_g(W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{z})) \subseteq H_g(\widetilde{z}) + E_A^{ss}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\widetilde{z} \in B(\widetilde{x}, \epsilon)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\widetilde{z}}^{\widetilde{x}}$ . Then by hypothesis there is subsequence  $n_j \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $W_{n_j}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{z}) \rightarrow W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{z})$ . Given  $\widetilde{y} \in W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{z})$  we want to prove that  $H_g(\widetilde{y}) \in H_g(\widetilde{z}) + E_A^{ss}$ . Call  $\widetilde{z}_{n_j} = \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\widetilde{y}) \cap W_{n_j}^{\widetilde{x}}(\widetilde{z})$  (see Figure 2 above). Then  $\widetilde{z}_{n_j} \rightarrow \widetilde{y}$  when  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\widetilde{g}^{n_j}(\widetilde{z}_{n_j}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}(\widetilde{g}^{n_j}(\widetilde{z}))$ . If  $H_g(\widetilde{y}) = H_g(\widetilde{z})$  we're done. Suppose by the contrary that  $H_g(\widetilde{z}) \neq H_g(\widetilde{y})$ . Then  $H_g(\widetilde{z}_{n_j}) \rightarrow H_g(\widetilde{y}) \neq H_g(\widetilde{z})$ . Note that  $\widetilde{z}$  and  $\widetilde{z}_{n_j}$  belong to the same leaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$ , and the same for  $\widetilde{g}^{n_j}(\widetilde{z})$  and  $\widetilde{g}^{n_j}(\widetilde{z}_{n_j})$ .



Since  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}$  is almost parallel to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $H_g$  is  $C^0$ -close to  $H_f = h \circ \tilde{p}$ , we have that there is constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\|\Pi_0^{uu} (H_g(\tilde{g}^{n_j}(\tilde{z})) - H_g(\tilde{g}^{n_j}(\tilde{z}_{n_j})))\| < C_1$$

Therefore by semiconjugacy we deduce that

$$\|\Pi_0^{uu} (A^{n_j}(H_g(\tilde{z})) - A^{n_j}(H_g(\tilde{z}_{n_j})))\| < C_1$$

Since  $A$  is hyperbolic this implies  $H_g(\tilde{z}) - H_g(\tilde{z}_{n_j}) \in E_A^{ss}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally taking the limit when  $j \rightarrow +\infty$  we get  $H_g(\tilde{z}) - H_g(\tilde{y}) \in E_A^{ss}$ .  $\square$

We are going to solve the two problems mentioned above in the same way. Suppose first that  $\tilde{z} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$  has two different limits  $W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z})$  and  $W_{\infty, \beta}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z})$ . Then there are points  $\tilde{z}_1 \in W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z})$  and  $\tilde{z}_2 \in W_{\infty, \beta}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z})$  that belong to the same  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$ -leaf. The previous claim implies that  $H_g(\tilde{z}_1)$  and  $H_g(\tilde{z}_2)$  belong to  $H_g(\tilde{z}) + E_A^{ss}$  and this can happen if and only if  $H_g(\tilde{z}_1) = H_g(\tilde{z}_2)$  which contradicts the injectivity of  $H_g|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}}$ .

For the second problem we manage the same way. Let's suppose there are points  $\tilde{z}_1 \neq \tilde{z}_2$  in  $B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$  such that their limits  $W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z}_1)$  and  $W_{\infty, \beta}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z}_2)$  have non empty intersection. Then we get two points  $\tilde{y}_1 \in W_{\infty, \alpha}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z}_1)$  and  $\tilde{y}_2 \in W_{\infty, \beta}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z}_2)$  inside the same  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$ -leaf. Again the previous claim implies  $H_g(\tilde{z}_1) = H_g(\tilde{z}_2)$  and this contradicts the injectivity of  $H_g|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}}$ .




FIGURE 2. Plaques does not merge.

To sum up, we obtained that for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and every  $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$ , the limit  $W_{\infty}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{y})$  of the  $W_n^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{y})$  leaves is unique, and for every pair of points  $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$ , their limits are disjoint or coincide. These limits are also  $\tilde{g}$ -invariant. To get that it is truly a foliation, it's enough to observe the following: given two points  $\tilde{z}, \tilde{w} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)$ , we have that  $W_{\infty}^{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{z})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{w})$  intersect in a unique point. Since the leaves of

$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  varies continuously and the plaques of  $W_\infty^{\tilde{x}}$  either coincide or are disjoint, we get a continuous function from  $\mathbb{D}^{cs} \times \mathbb{D}^{uu}$  to a neighbourhood of  $\tilde{x}$  which sends horizontal disks to  $W_\infty^{\tilde{x}}$ -plaques. This proves that these plaques form a foliation. Since the leaves of the foliations are tangent to small cones around the  $E_g^{cs}$  direction and these leaves are  $\tilde{g}$ -invariant, we get that the foliation is tangent to  $E_g^{cs}$ . Finally observe that the foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  has the same properties that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$ . Thus we have global product structure between  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *If  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  verifies the following conditions:*

- *$g$  is  $uu$  and  $ss$  proper.*
- *$g$  is SADC with global product structure.*

*Then  $g$  is dynamically coherent, center fibered and has global product structure.*

We end this section with a proposition which finishes the proof of the equivalence between dynamically coherence and center fibered, with  $\sigma$  properness and SADC (in presence of global product structure).

**Proposition 4.10.** *If  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  is dynamically coherent, center fibered and has global product structure, then it is  $\sigma$ -proper ( $\sigma = ss, uu$ ) and SADC with global product structure.*

*Proof.* Take a dynamically coherent and center fibered  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$ , such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  have global product structure, and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{ss}$  have global product structure.

Suppose that there is  $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  such that  $H_g(\tilde{y}) = H_g(\tilde{x})$ . Then by center fibered this implies that  $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^c(\tilde{x}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x})$ . But then  $\{\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}\} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x})$  which violates the global product structure. This implies that  $H_g|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}}$  is a homeomorphism, and therefore  $g$  is  $uu$ -proper by Lemma 4.3. The case  $ss$ -proper is exactly the same.

Now recall that  $\mathcal{W}_g^{cs}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_g^{cu}$  are uniformly transverse to  $E_g^{uu}$  and  $E_g^{ss}$  respectively, and so in order to prove that  $g$  is SADC, it remains to show that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cu}$  are almost parallel to the center-stable and center-unstable foliations of  $f$ .

This is quite direct, since  $\sigma$ -properness, global product structure and center fibered implies that  $H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x})) = E_A^{ss} + H_g(\tilde{x})$  and  $H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cu}(\tilde{x})) = E_A^{uu} + H_g(\tilde{x})$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . This implies SADC because  $H_g$  is at bounded distance from  $h \circ \tilde{p} = H_f$ .  $\square$

## 5. DYNAMICAL COHERENCE IS OPEN AND CLOSED

To obtain the main theorem of this article, we have to prove that SADC,  $\sigma$ -properness ( $\sigma = ss, uu$ ) and global product structure (between the strong stable/unstable manifolds and the ones given by SADC) are  $C^1$  open and closed properties among partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms in  $M$  isotopic to  $f$ . Then we can apply Corollary 4.9 to a whole connected component as long as it contains a diffeomorphism with such properties.

### 5.1. SADC is $C^1$ open and closed.

**Proposition 5.1.** *SADC is a  $C^1$  open property among  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ .*

*Proof.* This is pretty direct since the same foliation works by the continuity of the  $E^{ss}$  and  $E^{uu}$  bundles. Take  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  with SADC property and let  $\mathcal{F}_g^{cs}, \mathcal{F}_g^{cu}$  be the foliations given by the SADC property. These foliations are transverse to  $E_g^{uu}, E_g^{ss}$  and their lifts are almost parallel to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cu}$  respectively. Then  $\angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) > \epsilon$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and there is  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  a neighbourhood of  $g$  in the  $C^1$  topology s.t. for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  we have  $\angle(E_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x}), E_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . Take  $\mathcal{F}_{g'}^{cs} = \mathcal{F}_g^{cs}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{g'}^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x})) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} &> \angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{g'}^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x})) + \angle(E_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x}), E_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) \\ &\geq \angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{g'}^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) \\ &= \angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) > \epsilon > 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\angle(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{g'}^{cs}(\tilde{x}), E_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x})) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for every  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . Then every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  has foliations  $\mathcal{F}_{g'}^{cs}, \mathcal{F}_{g'}^{cu}$  transverse to  $E_{g'}^{uu}, E_{g'}^{ss}$  and thus each  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  verifies SADC.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *SADC is a  $C^1$  closed property among  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $g_n \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  such that  $g_n \xrightarrow{C^1} g$  and every  $g_n \rightarrow g$  is SADC. Call  $E_n^{cs} = E_{g_n}^{ss} \oplus E_{g_n}^c$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_n^{cs}, \mathcal{F}_n^{cu}$  be the foliations given by the SADC property for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the  $C^1$  convergence we have  $E_n^{cs} \rightarrow E_g^{cs}$  and  $E_n^{uu} \rightarrow E_g^{uu}$ . Let  $\eta = \angle(E_g^{cs}, E_g^{uu})$  (minimum bound of the angle). Now since  $E_n^{cs} \rightarrow E_g^{cs}$  there is  $n_1 > 0$  such that  $\angle(E_g^{uu}, E_{n_1}^{cs}) > \frac{\eta}{2}$ . Take  $\mathcal{F}_{n_1}^{cs}$  foliation uniformly transverse to  $E_{n_1}^{uu}$ . Then there is  $n_2 > 0$  such that  $g_{n_1}^{-n_2}(\mathcal{F}_{n_1}^{cs})$  is contained in a cone centred at  $E_{n_1}^{cs}$  of radius  $\frac{\eta}{2}$ . Thus  $g_{n_1}^{-n_2}(\mathcal{F}_{n_1}^{cs})$  is uniformly transverse to  $E_g^{uu}$ . To finish the proof, just notice that since  $g_n$  is isotopic to  $f$ , it fixes the class of foliations almost parallel to any  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant foliation. Then  $\widetilde{g}_{n_1}^{-n_2}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n_1}^{cs})$  is almost parallel to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_f^{cs}$  and  $g$  is SADC.  $\square$

**5.2.  $\sigma$ -proper is  $C^1$  open.** The following is a classical fact about hyperbolic theory that we will need for the next proposition.

**Remark 5.3.** Given  $f \in \text{PH}(M)$ , there exist constants  $1 < \lambda_f < \Delta_f$  and there exists  $\mathcal{U}$  a  $C^1$ -neighbourhood of  $f$  s.t. for every  $g \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$  and  $R > 0$  we have:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}), \lambda_f R) \subset \tilde{g}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R)) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}), \Delta_f R)$$

Analogously for  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{ss}$  by applying  $\tilde{g}^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *For  $\sigma = ss, uu$ , being  $\sigma$ -proper is a  $C^1$  open property among  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  that is  $\sigma$ -proper, we must find a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  in the  $C^1$  topology such that every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  is  $\sigma$ -proper. Remark 4.2 says that it's enough to find a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  and  $R_1 > 0$  such that for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  and  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ :

$$(H_{g'})^{-1}(D_A^\sigma(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_1)$$

Since  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper, we know that  $H_g|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x})} : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow H_g(\tilde{x}) + E_A^\sigma$  is a homeomorphism. Then there is  $R_1 > 0$  s.t.

$$H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_1)^c) \cap D_A^\sigma(H_g(\tilde{x}), 2) = \emptyset$$

Call  $A_{r, R_1, g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x})$  the annulus  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, r)$  for  $R > r > 0$ . Then for  $R_2 > \Delta_g R_1$  we have that

$$H_g(A_{R_1, R_2, g}^\sigma(\tilde{x})) \cap D_A^\sigma(H_g(\tilde{x}), 2) = \emptyset$$

where we take  $\Delta_g > 1$  like in Remark 5.3. Now since  $H_g$  is continuous and  $\Gamma$ -invariant, it is uniformly continuous. Then there is  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  s.t. if  $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < \epsilon_1$  then  $d(H_g(\tilde{x}), H_g(\tilde{y})) < 1/4$ . Take the following  $C^1$ -neighbourhoods:

- From uniform hyperbolicity there is  $\mathcal{U}_1(g)$  such that the constants  $\Delta_g$  and  $\lambda_g$  are uniform in  $\mathcal{U}_1(g)$  (see Remark 5.3).
- The continuous variation of the leaves in the  $C^1$  topology says that for every  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  and  $R_2 > 0$ , there is  $\mathcal{U}_2(g)$  and  $\delta > 0$  s.t. for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}_2(g)$  and every pair of points  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$  with  $d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) < \delta$  we have  $d_{C^1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_2), \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{y}, R_2)) < \epsilon_1$ .
- Take  $\mathcal{U}_3(g) = \{g' \in \text{PH}_f(M) : d_{C^0}(H_{g'}, H_g) < 1/4\}$ .

Finally take  $\mathcal{U}_g := \mathcal{U}_1(g) \cap \mathcal{U}_2(g) \cap \mathcal{U}_3(g)$ . Now, let  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(g)$  and  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$  such that  $\tilde{y} \in A_{R_1, R_2, g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x})$ . Then there is  $\tilde{z} \in A_{R_1, R_2, g}^\sigma(\tilde{x})$  such that  $d(\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}) < \epsilon_1$  and from uniform continuity we get  $d(H_g(\tilde{z}), H_g(\tilde{y})) < 1/4$ . Since  $\tilde{z} \in A_{R_1, R_2, g}^\sigma(\tilde{x})$  and  $d(H_g(\tilde{z}), H_g(\tilde{y})) < 1/4$ , applying the triangular inequality we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &< \|H_g(\tilde{z}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| \leq \|H_g(\tilde{z}) - H_g(\tilde{y})\| + \|H_g(\tilde{y}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq 1/4 + \|H_g(\tilde{y}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|H_g(\tilde{y}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| > 2 - 1/4$ . Once again the triangular inequality gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 1/4 &< \|H_g(\tilde{y}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq \|H_g(\tilde{y}) - H_{g'}(\tilde{y})\| + \|H_{g'}(\tilde{y}) - H_{g'}(\tilde{x})\| + \|H_{g'}(\tilde{x}) - H_g(\tilde{x})\| \\ &\leq 1/4 + \|H_{g'}(\tilde{y}) - H_{g'}(\tilde{x})\| + 1/4 \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that  $\|H_{g'}(\tilde{y}) - H_{g'}(\tilde{x})\| > 2 - 3/4 > 1$ , which means

$$(5.1) \quad H_{g'}(A_{R_1, R_2, g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x})) \cap D_A^\sigma(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}), 1) = \emptyset \quad \text{for every } \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$$

Finally this implies

$$(H_{g'})^{-1}(D_A^\sigma(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_1) \quad \text{for every } \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$$

If it weren't the case, there will be  $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x})$  such that  $H_{g'}(\tilde{y}) \in D_A^\sigma(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}), 1)$  but  $\tilde{y} \notin \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_2)$ . By the choice of  $\Delta_g$  we know that there is  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) \in A_{R_1, R_2, g'}^\sigma(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}))$  and  $H_{g'}(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x})) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{x}), 1)$ . This contradicts (5.1) above.  $\square$

**5.3. SADC +  $\sigma$ -proper + GPS is  $C^1$  open.** In this subsection we are going to prove that given  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  which is  $\sigma$ -proper and SADC with global product structure, then every  $g'$  sufficiently  $C^1$  close to  $g$  is also  $\sigma$ -proper and SADC with global product structure (maybe with a different foliation than the original one).

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  be such that  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper, for  $\sigma = ss, uu$ , and SADC with global product structure. Then there is a  $C^1$  neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $g$  such that every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}$  is  $\sigma$  proper and SADC with global product structure.*

*Proof.* Take  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  such that  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper for  $\sigma = ss, uu$ , and SADC with their corresponding foliations  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cu}$ , and suppose that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  have global product structure (the other case is symmetric). By Theorem 4.7 we now that  $g$  is dynamically coherent, center-fibered and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  have global product structure.

Now we can replace  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  by  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  in the SADC definition of  $g$  (i.e. with these new foliations  $g$  is still SADC by Proposition 4.10). We have to do this interchange because we need  $\Gamma$ -invariance of the foliations (this will be clear in a moment).

By Proposition 5.1 we know there is a  $C^1$  neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_1$  of  $g$  such that every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}_1$  is SADC (applying the proposition to  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$ ).

On the other hand by Proposition 5.4 there is a  $C^1$  neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_2$  of  $g$  such that every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}_2$  is  $\sigma$ -proper. Moreover we know there is  $R_1 > 0$  such that:

$$(5.2) \quad (H_{g'})^{-1}(D_A^\sigma(H_{g'}(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^\sigma(\tilde{x}, R_1)$$

for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$  and  $g' \in \mathcal{U}_2$ .

**Claim 5.6.** *There is a  $C^1$  neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_3$  of  $g$  such that for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}_3$  and every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$  we have that:*

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R_1) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{x}) = \{\tilde{x}\}$$

*Proof.* Just notice that for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$  there is  $\epsilon(\tilde{x}) > 0$  and a  $C^1$  neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{x})$  of  $g$  such that for every  $g' \in \mathcal{U}(\tilde{x})$  and every  $\tilde{y} \in B(\tilde{x}, \epsilon(\tilde{x}))$  Equation (5.3) holds. Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  is  $\Gamma$  invariant, we can restrict ourselves to a compact fundamental domain. Then, we can cover this fundamental domain by finite balls  $B(\tilde{x}_1, \epsilon(\tilde{x}_1)), \dots, B(\tilde{x}_N, \epsilon(\tilde{x}_N))$  and take  $\mathcal{U}_3 = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \mathcal{U}(\tilde{x}_j)$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

To end the proof of the proposition, take  $g' \in \mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_3$  and take two points  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{M}$ . Now it is easy to see that  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  is non empty. By Equation (5.2) and Equation (5.3) of the claim, we have that  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  is exactly one point. This proves the global product structure between  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{uu}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** In the proof of the previous claim we need the foliation to be  $\Gamma$ -invariant, in order to restrict ourselves to points in a fundamental domain (to be able to take a finite cover). That's why we interchange  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_g^{cs}$  with  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{cs}$  in the proof.

**5.4. SADC +  $\sigma$ -proper + GPS is  $C^1$  closed.** The previous proposition shows that  $\sigma$ -properness and SADC with global product structure are  $C^1$  open among  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ . To finish the proof of the main theorem we have to prove that they are also  $C^1$ -closed properties. This is the most difficult part of the theorem. For the proof we are going to use once again Theorem 4.7. Recall that we already know that SADC is  $C^1$  closed by Proposition 5.2.

Before getting into the proof, recall that if  $A$  is a hyperbolic matrix with a splitting  $\mathbb{R}^{d-c} = E_A^{ss} \oplus E_A^{uu}$ , for  $\sigma = ss, uu$  and  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$  we denote by

$$\Pi_{\tilde{x}}^\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow \tilde{x} + E_A^\sigma$$

to the corresponding orthogonal projection.

**Theorem 5.8.** *For  $\sigma = ss, uu$ , being  $\sigma$ -proper and SADC with global product structure is a  $C^1$ -closed property in  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Take a sequence  $\{g_k\} \subset \text{PH}_f(M)$  with  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in the  $C^1$  topology, such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g_k$  is  $\sigma$ -proper and SADC with global product structure. By Proposition 5.2 we know that  $g$  is SADC. We have to prove that  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper and that we have global product structure. We are going to prove case  $\sigma = uu$ , but the case  $\sigma = ss$  is completely symmetric.

Note that every  $g_k$  is in the hypothesis of Theorem 4.7, then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $g_k$ -invariant foliation  $\mathcal{W}_{g_k}^{cs}$  tangent to  $E_{g_k}^{ss} \oplus E_{g_k}^c$  such that:

$$(5.4) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{x}) = (H_{g_k})^{-1}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) + E_A^{ss})$$

Notice also that by center-fibered we have that:

$$(5.5) \quad H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) = H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}) \text{ if and only if } \tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^c(\tilde{x})$$

**Claim 5.9.** *Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , a cone field  $\mathcal{C}^{uu}$  around  $E_g^{uu}$  and  $k_0$  such that if  $k \geq k_0$  and  $\tilde{D}$  is a disk tangent to  $\mathcal{C}^{uu}$  of internal radius larger than  $\epsilon$  and centered at  $\tilde{x}$ , then*

$$D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), \delta) \subset \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\tilde{D})$$

*Proof.* This is because  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in the  $C^1$  topology, and so  $E_k^\sigma \rightarrow E_g^\sigma$  for every  $\sigma$ . Then  $M$  has a finite cover of local product structure boxes  $B$  of size smaller than  $\epsilon$  such that for  $k \geq k_0$  large enough, these are local product structure boxes for  $g_k$  too. We can take these boxes  $B$  small enough to have:

- The boxes  $2B$  and  $3B$  are also local product structure boxes for  $g_k$ .
- For every  $B$  of the covering and every disk  $D \subset M$  tangent to  $\mathcal{C}^{uu}$  of internal radius larger than  $\epsilon$  and centered at a point  $x \in B$  we have that  $D$  intersects in a unique point in  $3B$  every center-stable plaque of  $\mathcal{W}_{g_k}^{cs}$  which intersects  $2B$ .




FIGURE 3. Boxes with product structure.

We can lift this cover by boxes and obtain a cover of  $\widetilde{M}$  with the same properties as above. The previous condition plus Equation (5.5) implies that:

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(2\tilde{B}) \subset \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\tilde{D})$$

where  $\tilde{D} \subset \widetilde{M}$  is a lift of a disk  $D \subset M$  as above. Using the injectivity of  $H_{g_k}$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{uu}$  leaves, we have that given a connected component  $2\tilde{B}$  of a lift we have  $\text{int}(\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(2\tilde{B})) \neq \emptyset$  and every point  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{B}$  verifies that  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}))$  lies in the interior of  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(2\tilde{B})$ . Since there are finite boxes (in  $M$ ), there is a uniform  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{B}))$  is at bounded  $\delta$  distance from the boundary of  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(2\tilde{B})$  independently of the box  $\tilde{B}$ . We deduce that every disk  $\tilde{D}$  of internal radius  $\epsilon$  and centered at  $\tilde{x}$  and tangent to a small cone around  $E_g^{uu}$  verifies that  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\tilde{D})$  contains  $D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), \delta)$  as desired.  $\square$

**Claim 5.10.** *For  $k$  sufficiently large enough and for every pair of points  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$ , we have that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  have non-trivial intersection.*

*Proof.* Given two points  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$ , take  $\mathcal{S}$  the segment in  $E_A^{uu} + H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})$  that connects  $H_k(\tilde{x})$  and  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}))$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and take the corresponding  $\delta > 0$ , the cones  $\mathcal{C}^{uu}$  and  $k_0 > 0$  from the previous claim. Then we have that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, \epsilon)) \supset D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), \delta)$$

In the same way we get that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, 2\epsilon)) \supset D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), 2\delta)$$

We can apply inductively the same argument, and since the segment  $\mathcal{S}$  is compact, we get  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, m\epsilon)) \supset D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), m\delta) \supset \mathcal{S}$$

Then there is a point  $\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, m\epsilon) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  such that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\tilde{z}) = \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}(\tilde{y})$$

Then  $H_{g_k}(\tilde{z}) - H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}) \in E_A^{ss}$  and this implies by Equation (5.4) that  $\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})$ . We conclude that  $\tilde{z} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  as desired.  $\square$

**Claim 5.11.** *For  $k$  sufficiently large, the foliations  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}$  have global product structure. Equivalently, the map  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})} : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) + E_A^{uu}$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* By the previous claim, we only have to prove that the intersection between  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  is unique for every pair of points  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \widetilde{M}$ . Since the leaf  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  intersects transversely  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})$  for every  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$  and  $H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g_k}^{cs}(\tilde{y})) = H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}) + E_A^{ss}$  we have that  $H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}))$  is topologically transverse to  $H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}) + E_A^{ss}$ . This implies that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} : H_{g_k}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})) \rightarrow H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) + E_A^{uu}$$

is a covering and since  $E_A^{uu}$  is contractible, it must be injective. This proves that  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  is a homeomorphism onto  $H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) + E_A^{uu}$ .  $\square$

This claim proves that  $g$  is SADC with global product structure. To finish the proof of the theorem we must prove there is  $R > 0$  such that:

$$(H_g)^{-1}(D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R), \quad \forall \tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$$

Fix  $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{M}$ . We know that  $d_{C^0}(H_{g_k}, H_g) < K_*$  for some constant  $K_* > 0$ . The previous claim says that the restriction of  $\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x})$  is a homeomorphism onto  $H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}) + E_A^{uu}$ . Then there is  $R_1 = R_1(\tilde{x}) > 0$  such that

$$\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu} \circ H_{g_k}((\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R_1))^c) \cap D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), 1 + 2K_*) = \emptyset$$

Take  $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R_1)^c$ . Then applying the triangular inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2K_* &< \|\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})) - \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}))\| \\ &\leq \|\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})) - \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}))\| \\ &\quad + \|\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x})) - \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{y}))\| \\ &\quad + \|\Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{y})) - \Pi_{H_{g_k}(\tilde{x})}^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{y}))\| \\ &< K_* + \|H_g(\tilde{x}) - H_g(\tilde{y})\| + K_* \end{aligned}$$

where we are using the fact that orthogonal projections does not increase the norm. Thus  $\|H_g(\tilde{x}) - H_g(\tilde{y})\| > 1$  and therefore we get

$$H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R_1)^c) \cap D_A^{uu}(H_{g_k}(\tilde{x}), 1) = \emptyset$$

which is the same that

$$(H_g)^{-1}(D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R_1)$$

Then we have proved that the function  $\varphi$  is well defined where

$$\varphi(x) = \inf\{R > 0 : (H_g)^{-1}(D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}), 1)) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, R)\}$$

By Remark 4.2 we have to prove that  $\varphi$  is uniformly bounded in  $\widetilde{M}$  for getting  $uu$ -proper. Since  $\varphi$  is  $\Gamma$ -periodic (because  $H_g$  is  $\Gamma$  periodic), it's enough to restrict ourselves to points in a fundamental domain which is compact. Thus it is enough to show that if  $\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$  then  $\varphi(\tilde{x}_n) \leq \varphi(\tilde{x})$ . To prove this, note that  $H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, \varphi(\tilde{x})))$  contains  $D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}), 1)$ . Now for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}), 1 + \delta) \subset H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}, \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon))$$

By continuous variation of the  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}$ -leaves and since  $H_g$  is continuous, we deduce that for  $n$  large enough  $H_g(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^{uu}(\tilde{x}_n, \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon))$  contains  $D_A^{uu}(H_g(\tilde{x}_n), 1)$ . This shows that  $\limsup \varphi(\tilde{x}_n) \leq \varphi(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon$ . Since the choice of  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we get the desire result.  $\square$



**5.5. Proof of the Theorem 3.12 (Theorem A).** Let  $g \in \text{PH}_f(M)$  be a diffeomorphism in the same connected component of a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism  $g'$  such that:

- $g'$  is dynamically coherent.
- $g'$  is center fibered.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{cs}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{uu}$  have GPS and,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{cu}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{g'}^{ss}$  have GPS.

Then by Proposition 4.10 we have that  $g'$  is  $\sigma$  proper and SADC (and has GPS).

Propositions 5.1, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and Theorem 5.8 tell us that  $\sigma$ -proper, SADC and global product structure are open and closed properties in the  $C^1$  topology among  $\text{PH}_f(M)$ . In particular this implies that  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -proper, SADC and has global product structure. By Theorem 4.7 (and Corollary 4.9) we get that  $g$  is dynamically coherent, center fibered and has global product structure. This ends the proof.

## 6. LEAF CONJUGACY AND PROOF OF THEOREM 3.13 (THEOREM B)

In this section we are going to prove Theorem 3.13 (Theorem B in the introduction). For the proof we're going to show that center-fibered implies plaque expansiveness. Then we can conclude by Theorem 2.4 and a connectedness argument.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Every  $g \in \text{PH}_f^0(M)$  is plaque expansive.*

*Proof.* Take  $g \in \text{PH}_f^0(M)$ . We know from Theorem 3.12 that  $g$  is dynamically coherent and center fibered. Now take  $\epsilon > 0$  and two  $\epsilon$ -pseudo orbits  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that:

- (i)  $g(x_n) \in \mathcal{W}_g^c(x_{n+1})$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii)  $g(y_n) \in \mathcal{W}_g^c(y_{n+1})$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (iii)  $d(x_n, y_n) < \epsilon$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then we have to prove that  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  belong to the same center leaf. To do so, first take two lifts  $\widetilde{x}_0$  and  $\widetilde{y}_0$  of  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  respectively such that  $d(\widetilde{x}_0, \widetilde{y}_0) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is small enough, we have a unique pair of sequences  $\{\widetilde{x}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{\widetilde{y}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  that check points (i), (ii) and (iii).

Notice that center fibered imply that  $H_g(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{x}_n)) = H_g(\widetilde{x}_{n+1})$  and  $H_g(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{y}_n)) = H_g(\widetilde{y}_{n+1})$ . By semiconjugacy we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(H_g(\widetilde{x}_n)) &= H_g(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{x}_n)) = H_g(\widetilde{x}_{n+1}) \\ A(H_g(\widetilde{y}_n)) &= H_g(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{y}_n)) = H_g(\widetilde{y}_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{H_g(\widetilde{x}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{H_g(\widetilde{y}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are orbits of the linear map  $A : \mathbb{R}^{d-c} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-c}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^n(H_g(\widetilde{x}_0) - H_g(\widetilde{y}_0))\| &= \|A^n(H_g(\widetilde{x}_0)) - A^n(H_g(\widetilde{y}_0))\| = \|H_g(\widetilde{x}_n) - H_g(\widetilde{y}_n)\| \\ &\leq \|H_g(\widetilde{x}_0) - H_f(\widetilde{x}_0)\| + \|H_f(\widetilde{x}_0) - H_f(\widetilde{y}_0)\| \\ &\quad + \|H_f(\widetilde{y}_0) - H_g(\widetilde{y}_0)\| \leq 2K^* + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some constant  $K^* > 0$ . Since  $A$  is hyperbolic, this can happen if and only if  $H_g(\widetilde{x}_0) = H_g(\widetilde{y}_0)$ . By center-fibered we conclude that  $\widetilde{y}_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_g^c(\widetilde{x}_0)$  and therefore  $y_0 \in \mathcal{W}_g^c(x_0)$  proving that  $g$  is plaque-expansive.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.13.* Take  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  diffeomorphisms in the same connected component of  $\text{PH}_f^0(M)$ . Then there is a continuous path  $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \subset \text{PH}_f^0(M)$  connecting  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ .

By Theorem 3.12 every  $g_t$  is dynamically coherent and center fibered. Then by Proposition 6.1 every  $g_t$  is plaque expansive. We can apply Theorem 2.4 (Theorem 7.1 in [HPS]) to every  $g_t$  and obtain a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}(t)$  such that every partially hyperbolic in  $\mathcal{U}(t)$  is leaf conjugate to  $g_t$ . Since  $[0, 1]$  is compact and connected, we can cover  $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  by a finite union  $\cup_{i=1}^l \mathcal{U}(t_i)$ . Since leaf-conjugacy is an equivalence relation we conclude that  $g_0$  is leaf conjugate to  $g_1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AV] A. Avila and M. Viana, Stable accessibility with 2-dimensional center, *Astérisque*, **416**, Quelques aspects de la théorie des systèmes dynamiques : un hommage à Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. II (2020), 301-320.
- [BuW0] K. Burns and A. Wilkinson, Dynamical coherence and center bunching, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical System A* (Pesin birthday issue), **22** (2008), 89-100.
- [BFFP] T. Barthelmé, S. Fenley, S. Frankel and R. Potrie, Dynamical incoherence for a large class of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **41** (11), (2021) 3227-3243.
- [BFFP2] T. Barthelmé, S. Fenley, S. Frankel and R. Potrie, Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms homotopic to the identity in dimension 3 part 2: branching foliations. To appear in *Geometry and topology*.
- [Boh] D. Bohnet, Codimension-1 partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a uniformly compact center foliation, *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, **7** (2013), 565–604.
- [BB] D. Bohnet D and C. Bonatti, Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a uniformly compact center foliation: The quotient dynamics, *Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems*, **36** (2016), 1067–1105.
- [BGHP] C. Bonatti, A. Gogolev, A. Hammerlindl and R. Potrie, Anomalous partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms III: abundance and incoherence, *Geometry and Topology*, **24** (2020), 1751-1790.
- [BoWi] C. Bonatti and A. Wilkinson, Transitive partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-manifolds, *Topology*, **44** 3 (2005), 475–508.
- [Car] P. Carrasco, Compact dynamical foliations, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **35** 8 (2015), 2474-2498.
- [DeMM] V. De Martino and S. Martinchich, Codimension one compact center foliations are uniformly compact, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **40** 9 (2020), 2349-2367.
- [E] D.B.A. Epstein, Foliations with all leaves compact, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **26** 1 (1976), 265–282.
- [FG] F. T. Farrell and A. Gogolev, The space of Anosov diffeomorphisms, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, **89** (2014), 383-396.
- [FPS] T. Fisher, R. Potrie and M. Sambarino, Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of tori isotopic to Anosov, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **278** (2014), 149-168.
- [Fr] J. Franks, Anosov diffeomorphisms, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **14** (1970), 61-93.
- [Fr1] J. Franks, Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **145** (1969), 117-124.
- [Go] A. Gogolev, Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with compact center foliations, *Journal of Modern dynamics*, **5** 4 (2012), 747-769.
- [Gou] N. Gourmelon, Adapted metrics for dominated splittings, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **27** (2007), 1839-1849.
- [HPS] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant manifolds, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.*, **583** (1977).
- [Man] A. Manning, There are no new Anosov on tori, *Amer. Jour. of Math.*, **96** (1974), 422-429.
- [Mañ1] R. Mañé, Ergodic theory and differentiable dynamics, *Springer* (1987).
- [Mar] S. Martinchich, Global stability of discretized Anosov flows, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.03825>.
- [Om1] J. Ombach, Equivalent conditions for hyperbolic coordinates, *Topology and applications*, **23** (1986) 87-90.

- [Om2] J. Ombach, Consequences of the pseudo orbit tracing property and expansiveness, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (series A)*, **43** (1987), 301-313.
- [Om3] J. Ombach, Shadowing, expansiveness and hyperbolic homeomorphisms, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **61** (1996), 57-72.
- [Pi] L.P. Piñeyrúa, Dynamical coherence of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on nilmanifolds isotopic to Anosov, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.05279>.
- [Po] R. Potrie, Partially hyperbolicity and attracting regions in 3-dimensional manifolds (2012) <https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.1822>.
- [PSW1] C. Pugh, M. Shub and A. Wilkinson, Hölder foliations, revisited, *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, **6** (2012), 835-908.
- [HHTU] F. Rodríguez-Hertz, M. A. Rodríguez-Hertz, A. Tahzibi and R. Ures, Maximizing measures for partially hyperbolic systems with compact center leaves, *Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems*, **32** (2012), 825-839.
- [RHRHU0] M. A. Rodríguez Hertz, F. Rodríguez Hertz and R. Ures, A survey on partially hyperbolic systems, *Fields institute communications*, **51** (2007), 35-88.
- [RHRHU1] M. A. Rodríguez Hertz, F. Rodríguez Hertz and R. Ures, A non dynamically coherent example in  $\mathbf{T}^3$ , *Annals IHP (C): Analyse nonlineaire*, **33** (4) (2016), 1023-1032.
- [Sam] M. Sambarino, Hiperbolicidad y estabilidad (2009) Ediciones IVIC - Caracas.
- [UVY] R. Ures, M. Viana and J. Yang, Maximal entropy measures of diffeomorphisms of circle fiber bundles, *Journal of the London Math. Soc.*, **103** (2021), 1016-1034.
- [UVYY] R. Ures, M. Viana F. Yang and J. Yang, Thermodynamical  $u$ -formalism I: measures of maximal  $u$ -entropy for maps that factor over Anosov, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.02637>.
- [Vi] M. Viana, Almost all cocycles over any hyperbolic system have nonvanishing Lyapunov exponents, *Annals of Mathematics*, **167** (2008), 643-680.
- [VY] M. Viana and J. Yang, Physical measures and absolute continuity for onedimensional center direction, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **30** (2013), 845-877.
- [Wal2] P. Walters, On the pseudo orbit tracing property and its relationship to stability, *The structure of attractors in dynamical systems*, Springer, *Lectures notes in Mathematics*, **668** (1978), 231-244.
- [Wi] A. Wilkinson, Stable ergodicity of the time-one map of a geodesic flow, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, **18** (1998), 1545-1587.

LUIS PEDRO PIÑEYRÚA  
 IMERL, Facultad de Ingeniería  
 Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay  
 email: [lpineyrua@fing.edu.uy](mailto:lpineyrua@fing.edu.uy)

MARTÍN SAMBARINO  
 CMAT, Facultad de Ciencias  
 Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay  
 email: [samba@cmat.edu.uy](mailto:samba@cmat.edu.uy)