

THE ORBIFOLD DT/PT VERTEX CORRESPONDENCE

YIJIE LIN

ABSTRACT. We present an orbifold topological vertex formalism for PT invariants of toric Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. We give a proof of the orbifold DT/PT Calabi-Yau topological vertex correspondence. As an application, we derive an explicit formula for the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex in terms of loop Schur functions and prove the multi-regular orbifold DT/PT correspondence.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Geometry of toric Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds	5
2.2. Orbifold PT stable pairs	6
2.3. The DT partition function	8
3. The orbifold PT topological vertex	8
3.1. Partitions, labelled box configurations and topological vertices	8
3.2. K -theory decomposition of orbifold PT stable pairs	12
3.3. The PT partition function	15
3.4. The PT topological vertex formalism	20
4. Orbifold DT theory and the graphical condensation recurrence	22
4.1. The dimer model for orbifold DT theory	22
4.2. The graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold DT theory	25
4.3. Weights for orbifold DT theory	29
5. Orbifold PT theory and the graphical condensation recurrence	37
5.1. Labelled box configurations and AB configurations for orbifold PT theory	37
5.2. The double-dimer model for orbifold PT theory	39
5.3. The graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold PT theory	41
5.4. Weights for orbifold PT theory	44
6. Orbifold DT/PT correspondence	52
6.1. A proof of orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence	52
6.2. Remarks on orbifold GW/DT correspondence and DT crepant resolution conjecture	54
References	54

1. INTRODUCTION

The topological vertex has been proposed to be an effective tool for computing enumerative invariants such as Gromov-Witten invariants, Donaldson-Thomas invariants and Pandharipande-Thomas invariants of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds since it made an appearance in physics for calculating the topological string amplitudes [1]. The GW topological vertex is developed in [1, 19, 20, 16, 25, 22] as a generating function of Hodge integrals while the DT topological vertex is a generating function of 3D partitions [27, 21]. Applying the technique of virtual localization [11], the GW/DT correspondence [21] can be reduced to verify first the GW/DT vertex correspondence and then the compatibility with the edge terms in their gluing laws. As a geometric approach to the reduced DT theory, the PT theory [32] equips with its topological vertex as a generating function of labelled box configurations

[33], where the conjectured DT/PT vertex correspondence is resolved recently in [13] for the Calabi-Yau case. There are alternative approaches to the DT/PT correspondence in [6, 42, 41]. With the rationality property of PT theory [28, 29], the GW/PT correspondence is treated in [30, 31, 24].

For toric CY 3-orbifolds, the orbifold DT and GW topological vertices are developed in [7] and [35] as generating functions of 3D partitions colored by irreducible representations of a finite Abelian group and of Hurwitz-Hodge integrals respectively. The orbifold GW/DT vertex correspondence for toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} quotient singularities is studied in [35, 46, 38, 39, 36]. The foundation of the (relative) orbifold PT theory in the general case is investigated in [17, 18]. When I had obtained PT invariants of toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities (see Theorem 3.22) through exploring the orbifold PT topological vertex as in [7], I was informed by Patrick Lei last October during the email communication on the progress of PT theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that Zhang [44] had also studied the orbifold PT topological vertex and obtained the 1-leg orbifold PT vertex formula in terms of Schur functions. Thanks to the proof of [33, Conjecture 2] by Jenne, Webb and Young in [13], Zhang and I had independently obtained the same formula of the PT partition function, see also [44, Theorem/Conjecture 4.19] where the 3-leg case is conjectural. However, there are several differences between ours as follows. We have slightly different arguments on the description of the T -fixed orbifold PT stable pairs, see [44, Section 4.6] and Section 2.2 respectively. Compared with [44, Proposition 4.25], the more explicit proof of T -equivariant K-theory decomposition of the finite cokernel \mathbb{Q} as a sum of vertex terms is presented in Proposition 3.15, which is essential for deriving the sign formula and hence the PT partition function later. Next, the PT partition function here is defined by virtual localization as in [33] together with perfect obstruction theories and virtual fundamental classes developed in [17] especially for the 3-leg case with non-isolated fixed points, while the PT invariant defined as Behrend's weighted Euler characteristic in [44, Definition 4.4] fails to deal with the full 3-leg case by [4, Theorem 3.4], see Section 3.3 and [44, Section 4] respectively. Explicitly, we have the following PT version of [7, Theorem 10].

Theorem 1.1. *(see Theorem 3.22 and [44, Theorem/Conjecture 4.19]) Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Then the PT partition function $PT(\mathcal{X})$ is*

$$PT(\mathcal{X}) = \underline{PT}(\mathcal{X}) \Big|_{q_{e,0} \rightarrow -q_{e,0}, q \rightarrow -q}$$

where

$$\underline{PT}(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{\{\lambda_e\} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}} \prod_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} E_{\lambda_e}^e \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} (-1)^{\Xi_{\pi_v}} W_{\lambda_{1,v} \lambda_{2,v} \lambda_{3,v}}^{n_{e_3,v}} ((-1)^{\tilde{s}(\lambda_{3,v})} \mathbf{q}_v)$$

and

$$E_{\lambda_e}^e = (-1)^{S_{\lambda_e}^e} \cdot \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} v_{e,k}^{|\lambda_e|_k, n_e} \right) \cdot q_e^{C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}} \cdot \left(\overline{q_{f_{v_0}^{\lambda_e}}} \right)^{\delta_{0,e}} \cdot \left(q_{f'_{v_0}^{\lambda'_e}} \right)^{\delta'_{0,e}} \cdot \left(q_{g_{v_\infty}^{\lambda_e}} \right)^{\delta_{\infty,e}} \cdot \left(\overline{q_{g'_{v_\infty}^{\lambda'_e}}} \right)^{\delta'_{\infty,e}}$$

with edges $(e, f_{v_0}, f'_{v_0}, g_{v_\infty}, g'_{v_\infty})$ oriented as in Figure 1 (see other notations in Section 3).

In [44], the author has proved the 1-leg orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence by obtaining the explicit formula for the 1-leg orbifold PT topological vertex. In this paper, we will extend this result to the full 3-leg case, i.e., prove the 3-leg orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence by generalizing the DT/PT Calabi-Yau topological vertex correspondence in [13] to the orbifold case.

In [13], the DT topological vertex $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ (see Definition 3.2) is described as some dimer model via the well-known correspondence between 3D partitions and dimer configurations on the honeycomb graph while the PT topological vertex $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ (see Definition 3.7) has certain double-dimer model description with the established correspondence between labelled box configurations and tripartite double-dimer configurations on the honeycomb graph. With these descriptions, the so-called graphical condensation discovered by Kuo [15, Theorem 5.1] is applied to derive a graphical condensation recurrence for the DT vertex while the analogue technique developed in [12, Theorem 1.0.2] is employed to obtain a graphical condensation recurrence for the PT vertex. Then the DT/PT vertex correspondence [13,

Theorem 1.0.1] (see also Theorem 3.9) is a consequence of the same recurrence obtained in both sides together with the base 2-leg case proved in [33]. This strategy can be extended and carried out for toric CY 3-orbifolds with cyclic orbifold structure explicitly as follows. The orbifold DT vertex $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$ (see Definition 3.4) and the orbifold PT vertex $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$ (see Definition 3.10) as generating functions of colored 3D partitions and colored labelled box configurations can be also described as some dimer model and double-dimer model respectively but with the same generalized weighted rule in Definition 4.3, see Section 4.1 and Section 5.2. Next, the graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold DT theory is obtained in Section 4.2 as follows

$$\frac{V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = \frac{V_{\lambda^{rc}\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda\mu^{rc}\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc}\mu^{rc}\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1} + q^{K_1(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^r\mu^c\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^c\mu^r\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc}\mu^{rc}\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1}$$

which coincides with the graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold PT theory in Section 5.3

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = W_{\lambda^{rc}\mu\nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda\mu^{rc}\nu}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda^{rc}\mu^{rc}\nu}^n)^{-1} + q^{K_1(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda^r\mu^c\nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda^c\mu^r\nu}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda^{rc}\mu^{rc}\nu}^n)^{-1}$$

where

$$q^{K_1(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} := q_{-\tilde{d}(\lambda')}^{d(\lambda')} \cdot q_{\tilde{d}(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} \cdot \prod_{i=-\tilde{d}(\lambda')}^{\tilde{d}(\mu)} q_i^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\lambda')+1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\mu)+1}^{\ell(\mu)} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i}.$$

That is, both $Y_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu) := \frac{V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n}$ and $Y_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu) := W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$ are solutions Y of the following equation:

$$(1) \quad Y(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \frac{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu) \cdot Y(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu)}{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)} + q^{K_1(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} \cdot \frac{Y(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu) \cdot Y(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu)}{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)}.$$

The above graphical condensation recurrence (1) generalizes [13, Equation (2)] if we set $q_l := q$ for all l (or equivalently $n = 1$). However, it is not enough to determine the 3-leg orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence since we don't have the 2-leg correspondence $Y_1(\lambda, \emptyset, \nu) = Y_2(\lambda, \emptyset, \nu)$ and $Y_1(\emptyset, \mu, \nu) = Y_2(\emptyset, \mu, \nu)$ as the base case via Remark 4.8. Actually, it is observed that the 2-leg correspondence $Y_1(\lambda, \mu, \emptyset) = Y_2(\lambda, \mu, \emptyset)$ can be obtained by Equation (1), [44, Theorem 5.22] and Remark 4.8. This observation leads us to explore more graphical condensation recurrences from orbifold DT and PT theories in order to obtain the desired 2-leg correspondence and hence the full 3-leg case. Specifically, with different choices of graphs for the dimer model and double-dimer model, both $Y_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ and $Y_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ are also the solutions Y of the following two more recurrences:

$$(2) \quad Y(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \frac{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu) \cdot Y(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc})}{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc})} + q^{K_2(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} \cdot \frac{Y(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c) \cdot Y(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r)}{Y(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc})}$$

and

$$(3) \quad Y(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = \frac{Y(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu) \cdot Y(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc})}{Y(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc})} + q^{K_3(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} \cdot \frac{Y(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c) \cdot Y(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r)}{Y(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc})}$$

where

$$q^{K_2(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} := q_{1-d(\lambda')}^{-\lambda'_{d(\lambda')}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\lambda')-1} q_{-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\lambda')-1} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\nu_{d(\nu)}-d(\nu)} q_i^{-1},$$

$$q^{K_3(\lambda,\mu,\nu)} := q_{d(\mu)-1}^{-\mu_{d(\mu)-1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\mu)-1} q_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\mu)-1} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\nu)-d(\nu)} q_{-i}^{-1},$$

see Section 4.2 and Section 5.3 for more details.

When Y is chosen to be Y_1 or Y_2 , there exists certain symmetry between Equation (2) and Equation (3) due to symmetries of $Y_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ and $Y_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ in Remarks 3.5 and 3.11 together with Lemma 4.12, but Equation (2) or (3) can not be derived from Equation (1) via symmetries unless $n = 1$, see also Section 4.2 and 5.3. With the 1-leg correspondence from [44, Theorem 5.22] as the base case, it follows from graphical condensation recurrences (1), (2) and (3) that

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 6.2) *If ν is multi-regular, then*

$$\frac{V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n.$$

This completes the proof of the full 3-leg orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence, which generalizes DT/PT vertex correspondence in [13, Theorem 1.0.1] if $n = 1$. Although we don't impose the multi-regular condition on ν in recurrences (1), (2) and (3), the 3-leg correspondence in Theorem 1.2 doesn't hold for any partition $\nu \neq \emptyset$ with $n > 1$, see Remark 6.4. Now we have the explicit formula for $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$ in terms of loop Schur functions.

Corollary 1.3. (see Corollary 6.3 and Remark 6.5) *If ν is multi-regular, then*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = q^{-A_\lambda} \cdot \overline{q^{-A_{\mu'}}} \cdot \left(\prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} q_{i-j}^{-j} \right) \cdot \mathfrak{s}_\nu \cdot \sum_{\eta} q_0^{-|\eta|} \cdot \overline{s_{\lambda'/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu'})} \cdot s_{\mu/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu}).$$

Notice that if $\lambda, \mu = \emptyset$ and $\nu \neq \emptyset$, the formula in Corollary 1.3 is true without multi-regularity of ν due to [44, Theorem 5.22]. The second application is

Corollary 1.4. (see Corollary 6.6) *Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Then we have*

$$DT'_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = PT_{mr}(\mathcal{X}).$$

where $DT'_{mr}(\mathcal{X})$ and $PT_{mr}(\mathcal{X})$ are defined in Section 2.3 and Section 3.3 respectively.

This gives a proof of orbifold DT/PT correspondence [44, Conjecture 4.7] for toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Restricted on any given multi-regular edge assignment (see Section 2.1), the equality in Corollary 1.4 still holds at the level of formal series without using the equality of rational functions proposed in the alternative proof [2, Theorem A]. We may investigate orbifold GW/DT/PT correspondence and DT crepant resolution conjecture for other toric CY 3-orbifolds satisfying the hard Lefschetz condition such as the ones with singularities of type D and E .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recollect some definitions and properties of toric CY 3-orbifolds and definitions of DT partition functions, and characterize T -fixed locus of orbifold PT stable pairs. We devote Section 3 to present the PT version of the theory of orbifold topological vertex developed in [7] for completeness where we give the definition of PT partition functions by virtual localization without using weighted Euler characteristic including the full 3-leg case which removes conjectural parts in [44, Conjectures 4.23, 4.16, 4.19]. In Section 4, we generalize the dimer description of the DT topological vertex in [13, Section 3.2] to the orbifold case and derive three graphical condensation recurrences for orbifold DT theory, one of which generalizes the one in [13, Section 3.3]. In Section 5, we show that AB configurations and double-dimer configurations as two combinatorial descriptions of the PT topological vertex in [13, Section 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4] can be extended to the ones for the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex, and obtain graphical condensation recurrences for orbifold PT theory containing the one in [13, Section 4.5] as a special case. In Section 6, we present a proof of orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence, which leads to an explicit formula for the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex in terms of loop Schur functions appearing in the proof of orbifold GW/DT correspondence and DT crepant resolution conjecture in [38, 39, 36, 37], and a proof of multi-regular orbifold DT/PT correspondence for toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Patrick Lei, a PhD student of Professor Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, for bringing to my attention the work of Zhang [44], and thank Xiaowen Hu for his helpful suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We first recollect some relevant ingredients of the structure of toric CY 3-orbifolds (with transverse A_{n-1} singularities) in [7] for formulating PT partition functions in Section 3, and then discuss the relation between T -fixed PT stable pairs and T -fixed orbifold PT stable pairs, which give the description

of T -fixed orbifold PT stable pairs in Section 2.2, see also [44, Section 4.6] for comparison as mentioned in Introduction. We also recall definitions of reduced (multi-regular) DT partition functions in [7] for describing orbifold DT/PT correspondence later.

2.1. Geometry of toric Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds. In this subsection, we briefly recall some relevant geometry of toric Calabi-Yau (CY) 3-orbifolds in [7]. A CY 3-orbifold is a smooth quasi-projective Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{X} of dimension 3 over \mathbb{C} with generically trivial stabilizers and its canonical bundle is trivial, i.e., $K_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. The local model of \mathcal{X} at any point p is given by $[\mathbb{C}^3/H_p]$, where H_p is a finite subgroup of $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ as the automorphism group of p . It is shown in [7, Lemma 40] that a toric CY 3-orbifold \mathcal{X} is uniquely determined by its coarse moduli space X , which is a simplicial toric variety. The Deligne-Mumford torus of \mathcal{X} defined in [10, Definition 3.1] is isomorphic to $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3 \subset \mathcal{X}$ with the naturally extended action on \mathcal{X} . More generally, one may refer to [5, 10] for the theory of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks.

A toric CY 3-orbifolds \mathcal{X} is determined by its combinatorial data called a web diagram $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$, which is a finite trivalent planar graph with edges and vertices satisfying certain conditions, see [7, Appendix B] for more details. Denote by $V_{\mathcal{X}}$ all vertices of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$ and by $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ all edges of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$. Set $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}} := \{V_{\mathcal{X}}, E_{\mathcal{X}}\}$, where vertices and edges correspond to torus fixed points and torus invariant curves of \mathcal{X} respectively, and regions divided by edges in the plane correspond to torus invariant divisors. Let p_v be the torus fixed point corresponding to $v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}$. Here, $E_{\mathcal{X}}$ may contain some non-compact edges. If $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a compact edge corresponding to a torus invariant curve $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$, then \mathcal{C} is an abelian gerbe [10] over a football $\mathbb{P}_{l_0, l_{\infty}}^1$ constructed from a \mathbb{P}^1 with root construction of order l_0 and l_{∞} at 0 and ∞ .

Next, we assume that \mathcal{X} is a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities, which means that nontrivial orbifold structure is supported on a union of disjoint smooth curves and the stabilizer along each curve is \mathbb{Z}_n where n is variable for different curves. Then the local model of \mathcal{X} at a torus fixed point is of the form $[\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_n]$ with the generator of \mathbb{Z}_n acting on the coordinates with weights $(1, -1, 0)$. Denote by \mathcal{C}_e the torus invariant curve (line) corresponding to e and by n_e the order of the stabilizer on \mathcal{C}_e for each $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$.

An orientation on $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$ is defined by taking a choice of direction for each edge and labeling three edges incident to each vertex v by $(e_{1,v}, e_{2,v}, e_{3,v})$ in counterclockwise ordering where if an edge e is incident to a vertex v with $n_e > 1$, define $e_{3,v} = e$. On $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$, we define an edge assignment by choosing a partition λ_e for each edge e with the convention that $\lambda_e = \emptyset$ for any non-compact edge. Denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$ the set of all edge assignments $\{\lambda_e \mid e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}\}$. With one edge assignment $\{\lambda_e\}$, we define a triple of partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ for each vertex v by taking $\lambda_{i,v} = \lambda_{e_{i,v}}$ for $e_{i,v}$ oriented outward from v and $\lambda_{i,v} = \lambda'_{e_{i,v}}$ for $e_{i,v}$ with the opposite orientation. Here, $\lambda' = \{(j, i) \mid (i, j) \in \lambda\}$ is the conjugate of λ . This orientation convention is used to guarantee the compatibility between edge partitions and triple vertex outgoing partitions in Section 3. We call an edge assignment $\{\lambda_e\}$ multi-regular if for each $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have $|\lambda_e|_l = \frac{1}{n}|\lambda|$ for all $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ where $|\lambda_e|_l$ is defined in Section 3.4, and each partition λ_e is called multi-regular.

According to the orientation of e , let \mathcal{D}_e and \mathcal{D}'_e be torus invariant divisors corresponding to the right and left regions incident to e respectively, see Figure 1. Denote by $p_{0,e}$ and $p_{\infty,e}$ the torus fixed points corresponding to the initial and final vertices v_0, v_{∞} adjacent to e . Let $\mathcal{D}_{0,e}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}$ be the torus invariant divisors intersecting \mathcal{C}_e transversely at $p_{0,e}$ and $p_{\infty,e}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{1,v}, \mathcal{D}_{2,v}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{3,v}$ be torus invariant divisors corresponding to the regions opposite to the edges $e_{1,v}, e_{2,v}$ and $e_{3,v}$. Let p_e be a generic point on \mathcal{C}_e . As in [7], we assume that for each edge e that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e) &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(m_e p_e - \delta_{0,e} p_{0,e} - \delta_{\infty,e} p_{\infty,e}), \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{D}'_e) &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(m'_e p_e - \delta'_{0,e} p_{0,e} - \delta'_{\infty,e} p_{\infty,e}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{0,e} = 1$ if the edge $f_{v_0} := \mathcal{D}_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{0,e}$ is labelled by e_{3,v_0} and $\delta_{0,e} = 0$ otherwise, and $\delta'_{0,e} = 1$ if the edge $f'_{v_0} := \mathcal{D}'_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{0,e}$ is labelled by e_{3,v_0} and $\delta'_{0,e} = 0$ otherwise. Let $g_{v_{\infty}} := \mathcal{D}_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}$ and

$g'_{v_\infty} := \mathcal{D}'_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}$. One can define $\delta_{\infty,e}, \delta'_{\infty,e}$ corresponding to $g_{v_\infty}, g'_{v_\infty}$ similarly. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{D}_e) &= m_e - \frac{\delta_{0,e}}{n_{f_{v_0}}} - \frac{\delta_{\infty,e}}{n_{g_{v_\infty}}}, \\ \deg \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{D}'_e) &= m'_e - \frac{\delta'_{0,e}}{n_{f'_{v_0}}} - \frac{\delta'_{\infty,e}}{n_{g'_{v_\infty}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Calabi-Yau condition implies that

$$m_e + m'_e - \delta_{0,e} - \delta'_{0,e} - \delta_{\infty,e} - \delta'_{\infty,e} + 2 = 0.$$

Let $K(\mathcal{X})$ be the Grothendieck group of compactly supported coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} modulo the numerical equivalence \sim , where $\mathcal{G}_1 \sim \mathcal{G}_2$ if $\chi(\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H})$ for any locally free sheave \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{X} . For $0 \leq d \leq 3$, let $F_d K(\mathcal{X})$ be the subgroup of those elements in $FK(\mathcal{X})$ with support of dimension at most d . It is shown in [7, Section 3.3] that classes in $F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$ are generated by $[\mathcal{O}_p]$ for a generic point p in \mathcal{X} , $[\mathcal{O}_{p_e} \otimes \rho_k]$ for any (compact or not) edge $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$, and $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-1) \otimes \rho_k]$ for any compact edge $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$. Here, ρ_k , $0 \leq k < n_e$, are the irreducible representations of \mathbb{Z}_{n_e} with the convention that $\mathcal{O}_{p_e}(-k\mathcal{D}_e) \cong \mathcal{O}_{p_e} \otimes \rho_k$, and the classes $[\mathcal{O}_p], [\mathcal{O}_{p_e} \otimes \rho_k]$ and $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-1) \otimes \rho_k]$ are associated to variables $q, q_{e,k}$ and $v_{e,k}$ respectively. Among all these generators for $F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$, we have the relation

$$[\mathcal{O}_p] = [\mathcal{O}_{p_e} \otimes R_{reg}] = \sum_{k=0}^{n_e-1} [\mathcal{O}_{p_e} \otimes \rho_k]$$

with the associated relation in variables $q = \prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} q_{e,k}$ for each edge $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$, where $R_{reg} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_e-1} \rho_k$ is the regular representation of \mathbb{Z}_{n_e} .

2.2. Orbifold PT stable pairs. In this subsection, we will recall moduli spaces of orbifold PT stable pairs in [17, 18] and show that every orbifold PT stable pair has the similar characterization as in [33]. Let \mathcal{X} be a 3-dimensional smooth projective Deligne-Mumford stack over \mathbb{C} . The definition of every group in the natural filtration $F_0 K(\mathcal{X}) \subset F_1 K(\mathcal{X}) \subset F_2 K(\mathcal{X}) \subset F_3 K(\mathcal{X}) = K(\mathcal{X})$ is the same as the one in Section 2.1. Given $\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$, let $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ be the moduli space of orbifold PT stable pairs $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with $[\mathcal{F}] = \beta$, where \mathcal{F} is pure of dimension one and φ has finite 0-dimensional cokernel. Here, $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ is denoted by $\text{PT}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}^\beta$ in [18, Remark 5.6], which is a projective scheme over \mathbb{C} . And $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ is a fine moduli space, which carries a perfect obstruction theory and has a virtual fundamental class $[\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{vir}$, see [17, Theorem 5.20 and 5.21] for more details. Since $\dim \text{Supp} \mathcal{F} = 1$, we have $\beta \notin F_0 K(\mathcal{X})$ implicitly throughout this paper.

For an orbifold PT stable pair $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, as in [32, Section 1.3], we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a stacky curve, and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in \mathcal{X} . Here, $\text{Im } \varphi \subset \mathcal{F}$ is equal to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ as a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, and is also a pure sheaf which implies that locally in the étale topology $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module by [?, Appendix C] and [40, IV-B]. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Set $\mathfrak{q} = \text{coker } \varphi$. Denote by $\text{Supp}^{red}(\mathfrak{q})$ the finite 0-dimensional reduced closed substack support of \mathfrak{q} . Then $\text{Supp}^{red}(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ be the ideal sheaf of a finite 0-dimensional closed substack of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$. It can be shown as in [32, Proposition 1.8] that an orbifold PT stable pair $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (or denoted by (\mathcal{F}, φ)) with support $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfying $\text{Supp}^{red}(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \text{Supp}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}/\mathfrak{m})$ is equivalent to a subsheaf of $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ for $r \gg 0$. As in the proof of [32, Proposition 1.8], \mathfrak{q} can be viewed as a coherent subsheaf of

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

and hence \mathcal{F} can be viewed as a coherent subsheaf of $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})$ such that we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold. We can compactify \mathcal{X} to the projective one $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ and use the T -equivariant residue to define the PT invariants of \mathcal{X} similarly as in [26, 23, 45] due to the compactness of T -fixed locus $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$. One may view $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ as a quasi-projective moduli scheme as the restriction of $\text{PT}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}, \beta)$ by the equivalence of descriptions of (quasi)-projective Deligne-Mumford stacks [14, Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4]. Now we follow [33, Section 2] to give a description of T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair. Let $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}] \in \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$ be a T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair. Assume \mathfrak{Q} is the cokernel of $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Then the sheaf \mathcal{F} is supported on torus invariant curves and \mathfrak{Q} must be supported on torus fixed points. Let $\mathcal{X}^T = \{p_\alpha\}$ be all the torus fixed points in \mathcal{X} . By [7, Lemma 46], for each torus fixed point p_α , there is a T -invariant open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = [\mathbb{C}^3/G_\alpha]$. Here, the finite subgroup $G_\alpha \subset T$ act on \mathbb{C}^3 by $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (t_1 z_1, t_2 z_2, t_3 z_3)$ where $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in G_\alpha$ and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Denote by $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ the restriction of $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ on \mathcal{U}_α , then φ_α is a T -invariant section of T -invariant sheaf \mathcal{F}_α . Let \mathcal{C}_α be the support of \mathcal{F}_α . Assume that \mathcal{C}_α is nonempty, then \mathcal{C}_α is also Cohen-Macaulay and containing T -fixed point $[(0, 0, 0)/G_\alpha]$, and is supported on at least one of three abelian gerbes [10] over their corresponding footballs restricted in \mathcal{U}_α along three coordinate directions of \mathcal{U}_α intersecting at their common stacky point $[(0, 0, 0)/G_\alpha]$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}_\alpha = \text{coker } \varphi_\alpha$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = [\mathbb{C}^3/G_\alpha]$, by [43, Example 7.21] sheaves $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_\alpha}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}$, \mathcal{F}_α and \mathfrak{Q}_α are equivalent to the corresponding G_α -equivariant sheaves on $U_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^3$ denoted by \mathcal{I}_{C_α} , \mathcal{O}_{C_α} , \mathcal{O}_{U_α} , F_α and \mathfrak{Q}_α respectively, where $C_\alpha (\ni (0, 0, 0))$ is the support of F_α and is supported on the coordinate lines of $U_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^3$ corresponding to those of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. And C_α is T -fixed subscheme of pure dimension one and hence is Cohen-Macaulay. By the definition of (orbifold) PT stable pairs, an orbifold PT stable pair $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is equivalent to G_α -equivariant PT stable pair $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow F_\alpha$ fitting into the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow F_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ on U_α corresponding to [33, (2-3)]. Since \mathfrak{Q}_α has finite zero-dimensional support at the origin of $U_\alpha = [\mathbb{C}^3/G_\alpha]$, then \mathfrak{Q}_α can be viewed as a finite dimensional representation \mathcal{Q}_α of G_α by [9, Lemma 5.1.23 or Section 5.2.1].

By [32, Proposition 1.8], a T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ corresponds to the G_α -equivariant subsheaf of

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^r, \mathcal{O}_{C_\alpha})/\mathcal{O}_{C_\alpha}$$

on $U_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^3$, where \mathfrak{m}_α is the ideal sheaf of $(0, 0, 0)$ in $C_\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

To characterize orbifold PT stable pairs, we now briefly recall some notation for the description of PT stable pairs $\mathcal{O}_{U_\alpha} \rightarrow F_\alpha$ in [33]. Since C_α is T -fixed and Cohen-Macaulay, then \mathcal{I}_{C_α} is a monomial ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ which determines a 3D partition π given by the union of the infinite cylinders on the three nonnegative axes with cross-sections λ, μ, ν . As $\dim C_\alpha = 1$, at least one of λ, μ, ν is nonempty. Let $\mathbf{M} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{M}_i$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_1 &= \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_1^{-1}] \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_2, x_3]}{\lambda[x_2, x_3]}, \\ \mathbf{M}_2 &= \mathbb{C}[x_2, x_2^{-1}] \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_3, x_1]}{\mu[x_3, x_1]}, \\ \mathbf{M}_3 &= \mathbb{C}[x_3, x_3^{-1}] \otimes \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]}{\nu[x_1, x_2]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda[x_2, x_3]$, $\mu[x_3, x_1]$ and $\nu[x_1, x_2]$ are monomial ideals determined by partitions λ , μ and ν respectively as in [33, Section 2.2].

By the similar argument in [33, Section 2], the T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair $(\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ corresponds to a finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodule

$$\mathcal{Q}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$$

as a G_α -module (or a representation of G_α), and every finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodule $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ as a G_α -module can be viewed as the restriction of a T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair on \mathcal{X} to $[\mathbb{C}^3/G_\alpha]$. Here the i -th component of $(1, 1, 1)$ is the canonical T -invariant element in \mathbf{M}_i , and it should be interpreted as 0 if \mathbf{M}_i is 0. In [33], $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ is also denoted by $\mathbf{M}/\mathcal{O}_{C_\alpha}$. It is shown in [33] that a connected component of the moduli space of T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodules of $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ (or $\mathbf{M}/\mathcal{O}_{C_\alpha}$) is a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's. This implies that a connected component \mathbf{Q} of T -fixed locus of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ is also a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's, which is projective and nonsingular. As in the proof of [33, Proposition 3], the component \mathbf{Q} is corresponding to some connected component of moduli space of the labelling of a collection of labelled box configurations, see Lemma 3.13 for more details. See also [44, Lemma 4.21] for comparison.

2.3. The DT partition function. We briefly recall several DT partition functions in [7]. Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Let $F_{mr}K(\mathcal{X})$ be the multi-regular part of $F_1K(\mathcal{X})$, each of whose elements is represented by some coherent sheaf such that the associated representation of the stabilizer group at the generic point is a multiple of the regular representation, see [7, Section 4.1] for more details. As $F_0K(\mathcal{X}) \subset F_{mr}K(\mathcal{X}) \subset F_1K(\mathcal{X})$, we define

$$DT(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_1K(\mathcal{X})} DT_\alpha(\mathcal{X})q^\alpha; \quad DT_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_{mr}K(\mathcal{X})} DT_\alpha(\mathcal{X})q^\alpha; \quad DT_0(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_0K(\mathcal{X})} DT_\alpha(\mathcal{X})q^\alpha$$

and

$$DT'(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{DT(\mathcal{X})}{DT_0(\mathcal{X})}; \quad DT'_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{DT_{mr}(\mathcal{X})}{DT_0(\mathcal{X})}$$

where $DT_\alpha(\mathcal{X})$ is defined by the weighted Euler characteristic of $\text{Hilb}^\alpha(\mathcal{X})$ in [7, Definition 1], and for some choice of a basis $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_l$ of $F_1K(\mathcal{X})$ and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i \mathbf{b}_i$, we have $q^\alpha = q_1^{c_1} \dots q_l^{c_l}$ and the similar definition of q^α for $\alpha \in F_{mr}K(\mathcal{X})$ and $\alpha \in F_0K(\mathcal{X})$. The explicit formula for $DT(\mathcal{X})$ is obtained as a sum over all edge assignments in [7, Theorem 10] while $DT_{mr}(\mathcal{X})$ is the sum over all multi-regular edge assignments.

3. THE ORBIFOLD PT TOPOLOGICAL VERTEX

The goal of this section is to derive the PT topological vertex formalism (see Theorem 3.22) for toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities, which is also obtained by Zhang [44, Theorem/Conjecture 4.19] mentioned in Introduction where we point out some differences between ours. In [13], the authors prove the nonsingularity of T_0 -fixed PT stable pairs, which is useful for defining the PT partition function (see Formula (6)) in Section 3.3 via virtual localization. This completes the proof of [44, Conjecture 4.23] (and [44, Proposition/Conjecture 4.16]) and hence the proof of [44, Theorem/Conjecture 4.19] for the full 3-leg case. For completeness and comparison, we present our argument here and suggest the reader also refer to [44, Section 4] for his argument. We first recall the (orbifold) DT and PT topological vertices and the (orbifold) DT/PT vertex correspondence in Section 3.1. We explicitly present the proof of K -theory class of the finite cokernel \mathbf{Q} as a sum over vertex terms in Proposition 3.15 which is important for deriving the sign formula in Theorems 3.18 and 3.21 and hence PT partition functions in Section 3.4 following the method in [7, Section 6].

3.1. Partitions, labelled box configurations and topological vertices. In this subsection, we recall definitions of 3D partitions and the (orbifold) DT topological vertex in [7, Section 3.1], and then recollect labelled box configurations and the PT topological vertex defined in [33], and finally give the definition of orbifold PT topological vertex that will be used later.

Definition 3.1. ([7, Definition 4]) Let λ, μ, ν be three ordinary 2D partitions. Let π be the subset of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) for $i, j, k \geq 0$, if any of $(i+1, j, k)$, $(i, j+1, k)$, $(i, j, k+1)$ is in π , then (i, j, k) is also in π ;
- (ii) three 2D partitions λ, μ, ν are associated to π such that (a) $(j, k) \in \lambda$ if and only if $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ for all $i \gg 0$, (b) $(k, i) \in \mu$ if and only if $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ for all $j \gg 0$, (c) $(i, j) \in \nu$ if and only if $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ for all $k \gg 0$;

Then we call π a 3D partition asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) . We say $\{(i, j, k) | (j, k) \in \lambda\}$, $\{(i, j, k) | (k, i) \in \mu\}$, and $\{(i, j, k) | (i, j) \in \nu\}$ the leg of π in the first, second and third direction respectively.

For the triple of ordinary 2D partitions (λ, μ, ν) , we employ some notation in [13, Section 2] and [33, Section 2.5]. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cyl}_1 &= \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 | (j, k) \in \lambda\}, \\ \text{Cyl}_2 &= \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 | (k, i) \in \mu\}, \\ \text{Cyl}_3 &= \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 | (i, j) \in \nu\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq l \leq 3$, let $\text{Cyl}_l^+ = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^3 \cap \text{Cyl}_l$ and $\text{Cyl}_l^- = \text{Cyl}_l \setminus \text{Cyl}_l^+$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I}^- &= \text{Cyl}_1^- \cup \text{Cyl}_2^- \cup \text{Cyl}_3^-, \\ \text{I}^+ &= (\text{Cyl}_1^+ \cup \text{Cyl}_2^+ \cup \text{Cyl}_3^+) \setminus (\text{II} \cup \text{III}), \\ \text{II}_1 &= (\text{Cyl}_2 \cap \text{Cyl}_3) \setminus \text{Cyl}_1, \\ \text{II}_2 &= (\text{Cyl}_1 \cap \text{Cyl}_3) \setminus \text{Cyl}_2, \\ \text{II}_3 &= (\text{Cyl}_1 \cap \text{Cyl}_2) \setminus \text{Cyl}_3, \\ \text{II} &= \text{II}_1 \cup \text{II}_2 \cup \text{II}_3, \\ \text{III} &= \text{Cyl}_1 \cap \text{Cyl}_2 \cap \text{Cyl}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that Cyl_l , Cyl_l^\pm , I^\pm , II_l , II , and III are defined associated to (λ, μ, ν) , we also write them as $\text{Cyl}_l(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, $\text{Cyl}_l^\pm(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, $\text{I}^\pm(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, $\text{II}_l(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, $\text{II}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, and $\text{III}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ respectively. Let π be a 3D partition asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) . Then Cyl_l^+ is the leg of π in the l -th direction defined above. Define the renormalized volume of π as

$$\|\pi\| = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \pi} \xi_\pi(i, j, k)$$

where

$$\xi_\pi(i, j, k) = 1 - |\{l \in \{1, 2, 3\} | (i, j, k) \in \text{Cyl}_l^+\}|.$$

Then $\|\pi\| = |\pi \setminus (\text{I}^+ \cup \text{II} \cup \text{III})| - |\text{II}| - 2|\text{III}|$ as in [13, Section 3.1]. Let $\mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the set of all 3D partitions π asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) . Now we have the topological vertex defined by Okounkov, Reshetikhin and Vafa as follows.

Definition 3.2. ([7, Definition 5]) The DT topological vertex is defined by

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} q^{\|\pi\|}.$$

Remark 3.3. To avoid the confusion, we employ the notation $\|\cdot\|$ to denote the renormalized volume rather than $|\cdot|$ in [7], which has been used to denote the number of elements in some set.

Assume that a finite abelian group G acts on \mathbb{C}^3 with characters r_1, r_2, r_3 for three coordinate lines. Let \widehat{G} be the set of all the characters of irreducible representations of G , i.e, the set of all nonzero complex-valued functions on G . The DT G -vertex is defined in [7] by

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^G = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \prod_{r \in \widehat{G}} q_r^{\|\pi\| r}$$

where

$$\|\pi\|_r = \sum_{\substack{(i,j,k) \in \pi \\ r_1^i r_2^j r_3^k = r}} \xi_\pi(i, j, k),$$

is the renormalized number of boxes $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ with color $r \in \widehat{G}$. Roughly speaking, the orbifold topological vertex $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^G$ counts the 3D partitions colored with elements of \widehat{G} .

If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have

Definition 3.4. ([7, Definition 6]) *The DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex is defined by*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} q_0^{\|\pi\|_0} \cdots q_{n-1}^{\|\pi\|_{n-1}}$$

where for $0 \leq l < n$,

$$\|\pi\|_l = \sum_{\substack{(i,j,k) \in \pi \\ i-j=l \pmod n}} \xi_\pi(i, j, k)$$

is the renormalized number of boxes $(i, j, k) \in \pi$ with color $i - j = l \pmod n$.

Remark 3.5. *There are symmetries for the (orbifold) DT topological vertex [7, Section 3.1] as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} &= V_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}; \quad V_{\lambda\mu\nu} = V_{\lambda'\nu'\mu'}; \quad V_{\lambda\mu\nu} = V_{\nu'\mu'\lambda'}; \\ V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) &= V_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}^n(q_0, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_1), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = \overline{V}_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}^n. \end{aligned}$$

where the overline denote the exchange of variables $q_k \leftrightarrow q_{-k}$ with the subscripts in \mathbb{Z}_n .

The labelled box configurations are introduced in [33] in order to describe the PT topological vertex. It is shown in [33, Section 2.5] that a finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodule of $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ associated to (λ, μ, ν) defined in Section 2.2 corresponds to a labelled box configuration with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) defined as follows.

Definition 3.6. ([33, Section 2.5]) *A labelled box configuration with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) is defined as a finite subset of $\text{I}^- \cup \text{II} \cup \text{III}$ whose elements are called boxes, where a type III box w may be labelled by an element of*

$$\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_w \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{2}_w \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{3}_w}{\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1)_w} \right).$$

These boxes satisfy the following rule:

(i) *If $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in \text{I}^-$ and any of*

$$(w_1 - 1, w_2, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2 - 1, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3 - 1)$$

is a box, then w must be a box.

(ii) *If $w \in \text{II}_i$ and any of*

$$(w_1 - 1, w_2, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2 - 1, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3 - 1)$$

is a box other than a type III box labelled by the 1-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{i}$, then w must be a box.

(iii) *If $w \in \text{III}$ and the span of the subspaces of*

$$\frac{\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_w \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{2}_w \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{3}_w}{\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1)_w}$$

induced by boxes

$$(w_1 - 1, w_2, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2 - 1, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3 - 1)$$

is not zero, then w must be a box. And if the span has dimension 2, then w must be an unlabelled box. If the span has dimension 1, then w may be either a box labelled by the span or an unlabelled box.

Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a labelled box configuration with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) . We define the length of a labelled box configuration $\tilde{\pi}$ by the sum of contributions from all boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$ as follows: (i) each of type Γ^- boxes, type II boxes, and type III labelled boxes contributes 1; (ii) each of type III unlabelled boxes contributes 2. Let $\Gamma^-(\tilde{\pi})$ be the set of type Γ^- boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\text{II}(\tilde{\pi})$ the set of type II boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$. Denote by $\text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi})$ the set of type III labelled boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$ and by $\text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})$ the set of type III unlabelled boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$. Set $\text{III}(\tilde{\pi}) = \text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi}) \cup \text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})$. Now we define the length of $\tilde{\pi}$ by

$$\ell(\tilde{\pi}) = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{\pi}} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}}(i, j, k)$$

where

$$\eta_{\tilde{\pi}}(i, j, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \in \Gamma^-(\tilde{\pi}) \cup \text{II}(\tilde{\pi}) \cup \text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi}); \\ 2, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \in \text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi}). \end{cases}$$

Notice that $|\tilde{\pi}| = |\Gamma^-(\tilde{\pi})| + |\text{II}(\tilde{\pi})| + |\text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi})| + |\text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})|$ and $\ell(\tilde{\pi}) = |\tilde{\pi}| + |\text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})|$. Let $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$ be the set of underlying boxes of $\tilde{\pi}$ by forgetting the labellings of $\text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi})$. And we call $\tilde{\pi}$ a labelling of $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the set of components of the moduli space of labelled box configurations with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) . Let $[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the component of the moduli space of labellings of $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$ containing $\tilde{\pi}$. It is obvious that all elements in one component $[\tilde{\pi}]$ have the same length, which we denoted by $\ell([\tilde{\pi}])$. Let $\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the minimal 3D partition asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) , i.e., the union of infinite cylinders on three nonnegative axes with cross-sections λ, μ, ν . It is proved in [33, Proposition 3] that each component $[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ corresponding to some component of moduli space of T -fixed $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodule of $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ is a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's.

Definition 3.7. ([33, Section 5.3]) *The PT topological vertex is defined by*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}]) q^{\ell([\tilde{\pi}]) + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|}$$

where $\chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}])$ is topological Euler characteristic of $[\tilde{\pi}]$.

Remark 3.8. *The PT topological vertex defined here is slightly different from that in [33, (5-3)] by replacing the variable $-q$ by q , but coincides with the definition in [13, Section 4.1] due to the equality $\ell([\tilde{\pi}]) + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\| = |\tilde{\pi}| + |\text{III}_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})| - |\text{II}(\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu))| - 2|\text{III}(\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu))|$. The symmetry of $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the same as that of $V_{\lambda\mu\nu}$:*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu} = W_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}; \quad W_{\lambda\mu\nu} = W_{\lambda'\nu'\mu'}; \quad W_{\lambda\mu\nu} = W_{\nu'\mu'\lambda'}.$$

The DT/PT equivariant topological vertex correspondence is conjectured by Pandharipande and Thomas in [33, Conjecture 4] and proved in the following Calabi-Yau case.

Theorem 3.9. ([13, Theorem 1.0.1])

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu} = M(q)W_{\lambda\mu\nu}$$

where $M(q)$ is the MacMahon function defined by

$$M(q) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^n}.$$

As in the DT side, we define the following PT G -vertex

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^G = \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}]) \prod_{r \in \hat{G}} q_r^{\ell([\tilde{\pi}])_r + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_r}$$

where

$$\ell([\tilde{\pi}]_r) = \sum_{\substack{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{\pi} \\ r_1^i r_2^j r_3^k = r}} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}}(i, j, k)$$

is the length of boxes $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{\pi}$ colored by $r \in \widehat{G}$. Again, when $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$, one expects the following definition of PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex used later, see also [44, Definition 4.27].

Definition 3.10. *The PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex is defined by*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}]) q_0^{\ell(\tilde{\pi})_0 + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_0} \cdots q_{n-1}^{\ell(\tilde{\pi})_{n-1} + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_{n-1}}$$

where for $0 \leq l \leq n-1$,

$$\ell(\tilde{\pi})_l = \sum_{\substack{(i,j,k) \in \tilde{\pi} \\ i-j=l \pmod n}} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}}(i, j, k)$$

is the length of boxes $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{\pi}$ colored by $i-j=l \pmod n$.

Remark 3.11. *As in Remark 3.5, we have the following symmetries for the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) = W_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}^n(q_0, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_1) \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = \overline{W}_{\mu'\lambda'\nu'}^n.$$

which has fewer symmetries than that of $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ in Remark 3.8.

As in the manifold case, we expect the following conjecture of the DT/PT \mathbb{Z}_n -orbifold topological vertex correspondence.

Conjecture 3.12. ([44, Conjecture 5.20]) *If ν is multi-regular, then*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$$

where

$$V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n = M(1, q)^n \prod_{0 < a \leq b < n} M(q_a \cdots q_b, q) M(q_a^{-1} \cdots q_b^{-1}, q)$$

and

$$M(v, q) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - vq^m)^m}.$$

This conjecture will be proved in Section 6.

3.2. K -theory decomposition of orbifold PT stable pairs. As mentioned in Introduction, the more explicit proof of T -equivariant K -theory decomposition of the finite cokernel \mathbf{Q} is present in Proposition 3.15, which is essential for the in Section 3.3 and 3.4. One can refer to [44, Proposition 4.25] for comparison. As a generalization of the description of the T -fixed PT stable pairs in [33, Section 2.6], we have the following orbifold PT version of [7, Lemma 13].

Lemma 3.13. *The T -fixed points in*

$$\coprod_{\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})} \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$$

are in bijective correspondence with the set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ where $\{\tilde{\pi}_v \mid v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}\}$ is a collection of labelled box configurations $\tilde{\pi}_v$ with outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ and $\{\lambda_e \mid e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}\}$ is an edge assignment which is compatible with triple outgoing partitions at all vertices as in Section 2.1.

Proof. It follows from the description of T -fixed orbifold PT stable pairs in Section 2.2. See also the proof of [44, Lemma 4.21]. \square

Remark 3.14. *In Lemma 3.13, T -fixed points are not isolated when the moduli space of the labelling of $\tilde{\pi}_v$ for some $v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}$ has positive dimension, i.e., the moduli space is a m -th product of \mathbb{P}^1 's for some integer $m \geq 1$. And every labelled box configuration for each vertex has the color scheme uniquely determined by the coordinate positions of their boxes and representations of the local group.*

In order to formulate the K -theory classes of T -fixed orbifold PT stable pairs, we make the same convention as in DT side [33, Remark 14] to identify a box in a labelled box configuration, or a 3D partition, or an edge partition with its corresponding divisor as follows. For a labelled box configuration $\tilde{\pi}_v$, the notation $\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v$ corresponding to a box $(i, j, k) \in \tilde{\pi}_v$ means that $\mathcal{D} = i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} + j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} + k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}$. This convention is the same for a 3D partition π_v . For an edge partition λ_e , the notation $\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e$ corresponding to a box $(i, j) \in \lambda_e$ means $\mathcal{D} = i\mathcal{D}_e + j\mathcal{D}'_e$. These divisors are compatible due to the orientation in Section 2.1. Now we have

Proposition 3.15. *Given $\beta \in F_1K(\mathcal{X})$, let $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{F}] \in \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$ be a T -fixed orbifold PT stable pair corresponding to the set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ of labelled box configurations $\tilde{\pi}_v$ with outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ and edge partitions λ_e . Let $\mathbf{Q} = \text{coker } \varphi$. Then we have the following T -equivariant K -theory decomposition:*

$$\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D})$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) \right) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D})$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_v := \pi_{\min}(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ and the set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ is corresponding to a T -invariant Cohen-Macaulay substack $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of dimension one, or equivalently a torus fixed point in $\text{Hilb}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{X})$ for some $\alpha \in F_1K(\mathcal{X})$ as in [7, Lemma 13].

Proof. If $Y \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a T -invariant substack of dimension zero, then \mathcal{O}_Y must be supported on the torus fixed points of \mathcal{X} . By [7, Lemma 13] it corresponds to the set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v \mid v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}\}$ which is a collection of 3D partitions $\tilde{\pi}_v$ asymptotic to $(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)$. By [7, Proposition 4] we have

$$\mathcal{O}_Y = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}).$$

It is shown in the proof of [7, Lemma 13] that for each v the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{\pi}_v} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ corresponding to a T -invariant substack of dimension zero $Y \subset \mathcal{X}$ is generated by the image of the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad (i, j, k) \notin \tilde{\pi}_v.$$

For a T -invariant open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_v := [\mathbb{C}^3/G_v]$ of p_v , a finite T -invariant 0-dimensional sheaf supported on $[(0, 0, 0)/G_v]$ is equivalent to a finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -module as a G_v -module. Therefore the K -class decomposition $\sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D})$ corresponds to the finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -module (as a G_v -module) whose \mathbb{C} -basis is given by monomials $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k$ for all (i, j, k) belonging to the 3D partition $\tilde{\pi}_v$. This correspondence holds for any 3D partition $\tilde{\pi}_v$ asymptotic to $(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)$. And it has the correspondence between tensor product of elements $\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D})$ for $\mathcal{D} = i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} + j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} + k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}$ in K -theory and multiplication of elements $x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k$ in the T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -module as a G_v -module. This implies that tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\pm D_{i,v})$ corresponds to multiplication by $x_i^{\mp 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Since $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a T -invariant Cohen-Macaulay substack of dimension one, it follows from [7, Proposition 4] that

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D})$$

where the 3D partition $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is equal to $\pi_{\min}(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ as $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and the set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ is corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ by [7, Lemma 13]. Here, $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is determined by $\tilde{\pi}_v$ via its outgoing partitions. Let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal sheaf of torus fixed points in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then on the local model at p_v for each v , $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is generated by the image of the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

where $(i, j, k) \in \bar{\pi}_v$ and $i + j + k \geq 1$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^r \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is generated by the image of the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

where $(i, j, k) \in \bar{\pi}_v$ and $i + j + k \geq r$ on the local model at p_v for each v . We define $\mathcal{I}_r \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ to be the ideal such that for each v it is locally generated by the image of the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$$

where $(i, j, k) \in \bar{\pi}_v \setminus \{(i, j, k) \mid 0 \leq i, j, k < r\}$. Now it is easy to see that

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}).$$

As in the direct sum decomposition of $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})$ as modules on the local model [33, Section 2.4], we have the following decomposition in K -theory

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) = \varinjlim \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r|_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}})$$

where \mathcal{C}_{λ_e} is T -invariant substack of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ supported only on \mathcal{C}_e with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}} = \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D})$ by [7, Proposition 4]. Notice that $\{\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v}\}$ and $\{\lambda_e\}$ are compatible. Since for $r \gg 0$

$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r|_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-r\mathcal{D}_{0,e} - r\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}) \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r|_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(r\mathcal{D}_{0,e} + r\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}$, we have $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r|_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}) = \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D} + r\mathcal{D}_{0,e} + r\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e})$. Notice that for each $\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D} + r\mathcal{D}_{0,e} + r\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{k=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{p_{0,e}}(-\mathcal{D} + k\mathcal{D}_{0,e}) + \sum_{k=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{p_{\infty,e}}(-\mathcal{D} + k\mathcal{D}_{\infty,e}).$$

Now we have

$$\sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r|_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_e}}) = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_{e_{i,v}}} \sum_{k=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D} + k\mathcal{D}_{i,v}) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \\ &= \varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \\ &= \varinjlim \left(\sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_{e_{i,v}}} \sum_{k=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D} + k\mathcal{D}_{i,v}) + \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \bar{\pi}_v} (-\xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D})) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As before, for each v , one representative of the equivalence class $[x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k]$ in the \mathbb{C} -basis described in [33, Page 1848] of the T -module $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ (as a G_v -module) corresponds to a unique element $\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v})$ in the v -term part of K -class decomposition of $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$

above. Here, the \mathbb{C} -dimension of $[x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k]$ is equal to the coefficient of $\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-i\mathcal{D}_{1,v} - j\mathcal{D}_{2,v} - k\mathcal{D}_{3,v})$.

As the 0-dimensional sheaf \mathbf{Q} is a coherent subsheaf of $\varinjlim \mathcal{H}om(\mathfrak{m}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) / \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}$, then the K -theory decomposition of \mathbf{Q} follows from the description of \mathbf{Q} locally as a finitely generated T -invariant $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -submodule of $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ (as a G_v -module) corresponding to a labelled box configuration $\tilde{\pi}_v$ with outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ as shown in [33, Section 2.5].

Now the proof is completed by the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Remark 3.16. By the definition of $\tilde{\pi}_v$ and $\bar{\pi}_v$, the K -theory decomposition of \mathcal{F} can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \zeta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{C_e}(-\mathcal{D})$$

where

$$\zeta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(i, j, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \in \text{I}^-(\tilde{\pi}_v); \\ -1, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \in (\text{II}(\bar{\pi}_v) \setminus \text{II}(\tilde{\pi}_v)) \cup \text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi}_v); \\ -2, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \in \text{III}(\bar{\pi}_v) \setminus \text{III}(\tilde{\pi}_v) \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\pi}_v &:= \text{I}^-(\tilde{\pi}_v) \cup (\text{II}(\bar{\pi}_v) \setminus \text{II}(\tilde{\pi}_v)) \cup \text{III}_{lb}(\tilde{\pi}_v) \cup (\text{III}(\bar{\pi}_v) \setminus \text{III}(\tilde{\pi}_v)) \\ \text{II}(\bar{\pi}_v) &= \text{II}(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v}), \quad \text{III}(\bar{\pi}_v) = \text{III}(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v}). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. The PT partition function. As mentioned in Introduction, based on the perfect obstruction theory and virtual fundamental classes developed in [17, Section 5] for orbifold PT theory, we define the PT partition function via the virtual localization following [33] as follows.

Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold. The DT invariant of \mathcal{X} is defined in [7, Section 5.1] by Behrend's weighted Euler characteristic [3] of the moduli space $\text{Hilb}^\alpha(\mathcal{X})$ for $\alpha \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$, which is equal to a signed count over the T -fixed points by [4, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5]. This definition is also employed for PT invariants of \mathcal{X} in [44, Section 4]. However, it is not suitable to take the formula in [4, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5] directly for the definition of PT invariant of \mathcal{X} since the T -fixed points of the moduli space $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ for $\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$ are not necessary isolated as stated in Remark 3.14. More generally, as the integration over the virtual fundamental class $[\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$, PT invariants of \mathcal{X} can be defined by T -equivariant residue, which resolves [44, Conjecture 4.23, 4.16, 4.19].

The virtual fundamental class of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ has been defined for a 3-dimensional smooth projective Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{X} in [17, Theorem 5.21]. However, a toric CY 3-orbifold \mathcal{X} here is quasi-projective, we will employ the strategy as in [8, 26] by restricting to the T -fixed locus of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ each of whose connected component is a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's, and considering the T -equivariant perfect obstruction theories on T -fixed locus and the associated virtual fundamental classes in T -equivariant Chow ring, and then applying the virtual localization formula [11] to define T -equivariant integral for PT invariant of \mathcal{X} . Actually, we will follow the argument in [33, Section 4.2 and Section 5.2].

Let \mathbf{Q} be a connected component of T -fixed locus of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$. By Lemma 3.13, we have $\mathbf{Q} = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathbf{Q}_v$ where \mathbf{Q}_v is a connected component of moduli space of labelled box configurations containing $\tilde{\pi}_v$ for each $v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}$. Each \mathbf{Q}_v can be viewed as a connected component $[\tilde{\pi}_v]$ of moduli space of labellings of $\tilde{\pi}_v$ due to [33, Proposition 3]. Since \mathbf{Q}_v is a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's, then \mathbf{Q} is also a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's which is obviously projective and nonsingular. By the similar argument in [33, Section 4.1 and 4.2], we have the following formula in localized T -equivariant Chow ring of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T} \varsigma_* \left(e(T_{\mathbf{Q}}) \cdot \frac{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}}^1)}{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}}^0)} \cap [\mathbf{Q}] \right) = [\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}},$$

where $\varsigma : \mathbf{Q} \hookrightarrow \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$ is the inclusion map and $E_{\mathbf{Q}}^0 \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{Q}}^1$ is the dual of T -equivariant perfect obstruction theory restricted on \mathbf{Q} . One may take integration over the left hand side of the equation (4) to define PT invariant of \mathcal{X} as in [8, 26] although it seems difficult to follow [33, Section 4] to compute T -equivariant class $\frac{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}}^1)}{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}}^0)}$. Alternatively, we will adopt another virtual localization with a different action, which is easy to deal with T -equivariant Euler classes in our CY case.

Let $T_0 = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in T \mid t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1\}$. Then T_0 preserves the canonical form of \mathcal{X} since it acts trivially on the form $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ on the local model $[\mathbb{C}^3/G]$ of each T -fixed point due to [7, Lemma 46]. Let \mathbf{Q}_0 be a connected component of T_0 -fixed locus of $\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$. The moduli space of T_0 -invariant submodules of $\mathbf{M}/\langle(1, 1, 1)\rangle$ as in Section 2.2 is conjectured to be nonsingular [33, Conjecture 2], and

fortunately it is proved recently in [13]. Then \mathbf{Q}_0 is also nonsingular. And as above we have

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_0 \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^{T_0}} \varsigma_{0*} \left(e(T_{\mathbf{Q}_0}) \cdot \frac{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^1)}{e(E_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^0)} \cap [\mathbf{Q}_0] \right) = [\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$$

in $A_*^{T_0}(\text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta))_{\text{loc}}$, where $\varsigma_0 : \mathbf{Q}_0 \hookrightarrow \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^{T_0}$ is the inclusion map and $E_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^0 \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^1$ is the dual of T_0 -equivariant symmetric perfect obstruction theory $E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0$ (see [17, Section 5.3]) restricted on \mathbf{Q}_0 . By the self-duality of perfect obstruction theory, we define the following PT invariant of \mathcal{X} by integration against the left hand side classes of the formula (5):

$$\begin{aligned} PT_\beta(\mathcal{X}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_0 \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^{T_0}} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_0} e(T_{\mathbf{Q}_0})(-1)^{\text{rk}(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^\bullet, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^\bullet)_0)} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_0 \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^{T_0}} \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q}_0)(-1)^{\text{rk}(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^\bullet, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^\bullet)_0)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q}_0)$ is the topological Euler characteristic of \mathbf{Q}_0 , and $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}_0}^\bullet = \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{Q}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\} \in D^b(\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{Q}_0)$ is the universal complex with \mathbb{F} flat over \mathbf{Q}_0 . Here, we have identified each orbifold PT stable pair $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in \mathbf{Q}_0 with the complex $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ as in [33, Proposition 1.21]. As in [33, Section 5.2], we have the $\mathbb{C}^* = T/T_0$ action on every T_0 -fixed component \mathbf{Q}_0 , and every element of \mathbf{Q} is the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus of a unique component $\mathbf{Q}_0 \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^{T_0}$ while any \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus of \mathbf{Q}_0 is an element of some $\mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$. Then we have

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q}_0) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{Q}_0 \\ \mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T}} \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q})$$

and

$$PT_\beta(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T} \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q})(-1)^{\text{rk}(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\bullet, \mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\bullet)_0)}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\bullet = \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\} \in D^b(\mathcal{X} \times \mathbf{Q})$.

Definition 3.17. *The PT partition function of \mathcal{X} is defined by*

$$PT(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})} PT_\beta(\mathcal{X}) q^\beta$$

and the multi-regular PT partition function is defined by

$$PT_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\beta \in F_{mr} K(\mathcal{X})} PT_\beta(\mathcal{X}) q^\beta$$

where q^β for $\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})$ is defined in Section 2.3.

Assume that $[\mathbf{I}^\bullet] \in \mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$ where the complex $\mathbf{I}^\bullet = \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\}$ in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$ concentrated in degree 0 and 1 corresponds to the T -invariant orbifold PT stable pair $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, which is the restriction of the T -invariant universal complex $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\bullet$ on $[\mathbf{I}^\bullet]$. Let $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ be the set of labelled box configurations and edge partitions corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (or \mathbf{I}^\bullet) by Lemma 3.13. Since $\mathbf{Q} = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathbf{Q}_v$ and \mathbf{Q}_v corresponds to a component $[\tilde{\pi}_v]$ of moduli space of labellings of $\tilde{\pi}_v$ for each $v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then

$$\chi(\mathbf{Q}) = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q}_v) = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}_v]).$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\beta)$ be the set of all collections $\{[\tilde{\pi}_v]\}$ corresponding to all components $\mathbf{Q} \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T$. Then we have

$$PT_\beta(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{Q} = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathbf{Q}_v \subset \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)^T} (-1)^{\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0} \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{Q}_v)$$

$$(6) \quad = \sum_{\{[\tilde{\pi}_v]\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\beta)} (-1)^{\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0} \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}_v]).$$

Next, we will compute the parity of $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0$ to obtain the explicit formula of $PT(\mathcal{X})$. We first employ some notation from the DT side in [7, Section 6] as follows. Define

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(W) &= \text{vdim } W \bmod 2, \quad \text{for a virtual } T\text{-representation } W; \\ s_2(M) &= M \bmod 2, \quad \text{for } M \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

For a T -representation W , the shifted dual of W is defined by $W^* = W^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}[-\mu]$ where W^\vee is the dual representation of W and $\mu \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is the primitive weight defined by $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\mu]$ in [7, Lemma 18]. Here $\mathbb{C}[\mu]$ is a one-dimensional T -representation with weight μ . And one can define $\sigma(W - W^*) = s_1(W)$ for a virtual T -representation W by [7, Proposition 6] where σ is defined on anti-self shifted dual virtual representations. For $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2 \in \text{D}^b(\mathcal{X})$, we define $\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ in K -theory, and $\chi(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ with the special case $\chi(\mathcal{H}_1) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{H}_1)$. Define $\lambda_{-1}W = \sum_i (-1)^i \Lambda^i W$ for a vector bundle V . Now it follows from the argument in [7, Section 6] that $s_2(\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0) = s_1(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0)$ can be computed as follows, see also the proof in [44, Section 4.7].

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a T -invariant orbifold PT stable pair and let $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ be the corresponding set of labelled box configurations and edge partitions. Let $\bar{\pi}_v := \pi_{\min}(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ be the 3D partitions determined by outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ of the labelled box configuration $\tilde{\pi}_v$ for each $v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\mathbf{I}^\bullet = \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\} \in \text{D}^b(\mathcal{X})$ be the complex concentrated in degree 0 and 1. Then we have*

$$s_1(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0) = s_2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2(\mathbf{V}(v)) + \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{X})} s_2(\mathbf{E}(e))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(v) &= \ell(\tilde{\pi}_v) + \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{A})) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \eta_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(N_{\mathcal{C}_{e_{i,v}}/\mathcal{X}}) \right) \\ &+ \|\tilde{\pi}_v\| + \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \tilde{\pi}_v} \xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) \otimes \lambda_{-1} N_{\mathcal{C}_{e_{i,v}}/\mathcal{X}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \lambda_{j,v}} h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{j,v})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}(e) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \lambda_e} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_e)).$$

Proof. By [17, Lemma 5.16], we have the following equality

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0 - \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0 = \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) - \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet).$$

As there exist an exact triangle in $D^b(\mathcal{X})$:

$$\mathcal{F}[-1] \rightarrow \mathbf{I}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F},$$

and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

then by [7, Proposition 6-(1)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0 - (\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0)^* \\ &= \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) - \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet) \\ &= \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) - (\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}))^* + \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{Q}) - (\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{Q}))^* \\ & \quad - (\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathbf{Q}) - (\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathbf{Q}))^*) - \tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) - \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & s_1(\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0) \\ &= s_1(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) + s_1(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mathbf{Q})) + s_1(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathbf{Q})) + \sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) + \sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q})) \\ &= s_2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) + s_2(\chi(\mathbf{Q})) + s_1(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathbf{Q})) + \sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) + \sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q})). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 3.15, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D}).$$

It is proved in [7, Section 6.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}})) &= \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \lambda_e} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_e)) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\|\bar{\pi}_v\| + \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) \otimes \lambda_{-1} N_{\mathcal{C}_{e_i,v}/\mathcal{X}} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \lambda_{j,v}} h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{j,v})). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.15 and using the similar argument as in [7, Section 6.2], we have

$$\chi(\mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{A})),$$

$$\sigma(\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q})) = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \right)$$

and

$$s_1(\tilde{\chi}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}, \mathbf{Q})) = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \xi_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \right) \\
& + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(N_{\mathcal{C}_{e_{i,v}}/\mathcal{X}}) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the proof is completed by combining all the above results. \square

Remark 3.19. *The pairity of $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0$ in Theorem 3.18 have the contribution from the edge part $\mathbf{E}(e)$ which is the same as $\mathbf{SE}_{\lambda(e)}(e)$ in DT case [7, Theorem 21], while its vertex part $\mathbf{V}(v)$ is more complicated involving labelled box configurations $\bar{\pi}_v$, due to the first 6 terms. The sum of the last 4 terms in $\mathbf{V}(v)$ is exactly the vertex part of DT side $\mathbf{SV}_{\pi(v)}(v)$ with $\pi(v) = \bar{\pi}_v$. The formula for $\mathbf{V}(v)$ is arranged for comparing with the DT case and noticing the computation needed only for the additional terms later. Actually, as in Remark 3.16, the formula $\mathbf{V}(v)$ can be rewritten as*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}(v) &= \ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + \|\bar{\pi}_v\| + \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{A})) \\
&+ \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \zeta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \zeta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{i,v}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \zeta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}) \otimes \lambda_{-1}(N_{\mathcal{C}_{e_{i,v}}/\mathcal{X}}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \lambda_{i,v}} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \lambda_{j,v}} h^0(\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D}_{j,v})),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + \|\bar{\pi}_v\| = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \zeta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A})$. See also [44, Page 94].

Remark 3.20. *When \mathcal{X} is a scheme X , then $\mathcal{C}_e \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for all $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$. By Remark 3.19 and [7, Example 22], we have*

$$s_2(\mathbf{E}(e)) = m_e |\lambda_e| \pmod{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
s_2(\mathbf{V}(v)) &= s_2 \left(\ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) + 3 \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) + 6 \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \bar{\pi}_v} \eta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{A}) \zeta_{\bar{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{B}) \right) \\
&= s_2(2\ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + 3\ell(\bar{\pi}_v)^2) \\
&= \ell(\bar{\pi}_v) \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$s_1(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0) = s_2 \left(\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \ell(\bar{\pi}_v) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} m_e |\lambda_e| \right)$$

exactly coincides with the sign in [33, Theorem/Conjecture 2] proved in [13] due to

$$\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) + \chi(\mathbf{Q}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \ell(\bar{\pi}_v).$$

Assume that the local model of a torus fixed point p_v is of the form $[\mathbb{C}^3/G_v]$ with a finite abelian group G_v . Let $r_i \in \widehat{G}_v$ be the character obtained from the action of G_v on $\mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-D_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, different from the convention in [7, Example 23]. Let $0 \in \widehat{G}_v$ be the trivial character where we have

identified the group \widehat{G}_v of characters of $G_v \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ with the corresponding additive subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^3 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_2(\mathbf{V}(v)) &= s_2(\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_0 + \ell(\tilde{\pi}_v) + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|) + \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{r \in \widehat{G}_v} s_2(|\lambda_{i,v}|_r \cdot |\lambda_{j,v}|_{r+r_j}) \\
&+ \sum_{r \in \widehat{G}_v} s_2 \left((\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_r + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_r) \sum_{i=1}^3 (\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_{r+r_i} + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_{r+r_i}) \right) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{r \in \widehat{G}_v} s_2 \left(|\lambda_{i,v}|_r \left(\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_r + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_r + \sum_{j \in \{1,2,3\} \setminus \{i\}} (\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_{r+r_j} + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_{r+r_j}) \right. \right. \\
&\left. \left. + \ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_{r-r_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 r_j} + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_{r-r_i + \sum_{j=1}^3 r_j} \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\lambda_{i,v}|_r$ is the number of elements in 2D partition $\lambda_{i,v} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ colored by $r \in \widehat{G}_v$, and $\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_r, \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_r$ are defined as in Section 3.1.

As in the DT case [7, Remark 24], one can obtain the general formula for the PT partition function $PT(\mathcal{X})$ in Definition 3.17 by combining Lemma 3.13, Proposition 3.15, and Theorem 3.18 with the formula (6). The explicit formula is presented in the following transverse A_{n-1} case.

3.4. The PT topological vertex formalism. Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. We present the explicit formula for PT partition function of \mathcal{X} for full 3-leg case as follows. We first recall some notations in [7, Section 3.3] that are used later. For a 2D ordinary partition λ , define

$$\lambda[k, n] := \{(i, j) \in \lambda \mid i - j \equiv k \pmod{n}\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda|_{k, n} := |\lambda[k, n]|$$

where we also write $|\lambda|_{k, n}$ as $|\lambda|_k$ for simplicity. We call a partition η multi-regular if $|\eta|_k = \frac{|\eta|}{n}$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. With some abuse of notation, we use the notation $|\cdot|_k$ not only for edge partitions λ_e with the above definition, but also for outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ of labelled box configurations $\tilde{\pi}_v$ with the slightly different convention: we define the color of $(j, k) \in \lambda_{1,v}$, $(k, i) \in \lambda_{2,v}$, and $(i, j) \in \lambda_{3,v}$ by $-j \pmod{n}$, $i \pmod{n}$, and $i - j \pmod{n}$ respectively due to transverse A_{n-1} singularities and hence $|\lambda_{i,v}|_l$ is defined to be the number of boxes in $\lambda_{i,v}$ colored by $l \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ where $n = n_{e_3, v}$. Define

$$\Xi_{\tilde{\pi}_v} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_{e_3, v}-1} |\lambda_{3,v}|_k (|\lambda_{1,v}|_k + |\lambda_{2,v}|_k + |\lambda_{1,v}|_{k+1} + |\lambda_{2,v}|_{k-1}).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m, m'}^\lambda &:= \sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} (-mi - m'j + 1), \\
C_{m, m'}^\lambda[k, n] &:= \sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda[k, n]} (-mi - m'j + 1), \\
A_\lambda(k, n) &:= \sum_{(i, j) \in \lambda} \left\lfloor \frac{i+k}{n} \right\rfloor,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_e^{C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}} := \prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} q_{e, k}^{C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}[k, n_e]}, \quad q_e^{A_\lambda} := \prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} q_{e, k}^{A_\lambda(k, n_e)}.$$

We define for each edge $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$

$$\mathbf{q}_e = (q_{e,0}, q_{e,1}, \dots, q_{e, n_e-1}), \quad \overline{\mathbf{q}}_e = (q_{e,0}, q_{e, n_e-1}, \dots, q_{e,1})$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_e}^e = \sum_{k=0}^{n_e-1} \left(C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}[k, n_e] (|\lambda_e|_{k-1} - |\lambda_e|_{k+1}) + |\lambda_e|_k (1 + (1 + m_e + \delta_{0,e} + \delta_{\infty,e}) |\lambda_e|_{k-1}) \right).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{q}_v = \begin{cases} \mathbf{q}_{e_{3,v}}, & \text{if } e_{3,v} \text{ is oriented outward from } v; \\ \overline{\mathbf{q}_{e_{3,v}}}, & \text{if } e_{3,v} \text{ is oriented inward toward } v. \end{cases}$$

and $(-1)^{\tilde{s}_k(\lambda_{3,v})} \mathbf{q}_v$ be the vector \mathbf{q}_v with its each component $q_{e,k}$ multiplied by the sign $(-1)^{\tilde{s}_k(\lambda_{3,v})}$ where $\tilde{s}_k(\lambda_{3,v}) = |\lambda_{3,v}|_{k-1} + |\lambda_{3,v}|_{k+1}$ and $e = e_{3,v}$.

With these notation, we have

Theorem 3.21. *Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} -singularities. Then the pairity of $\dim \text{Ext}(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0$ in Theorem 3.18 is*

$$s_1(\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}^\bullet, \mathbf{I}^\bullet)_0) = s_2(\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}})) + \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2(\mathbf{V}(v)) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} s_2(\mathbf{E}(e))$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}(e) = \begin{cases} |\lambda_e|(m_e + \delta_0 + \delta_\infty), & \text{if } n_e = 1; \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}[k, n] (|\lambda_e|_{k-1} - |\lambda_e|_{k+1}) + |\lambda_e|_k (1 + (1 + m_e) |\lambda_e|_{k-1}), & \text{if } n_e > 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(v) &= \ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n_{e_{3,v}}-1} (\ell(\tilde{\pi}_v)_k + \|\tilde{\pi}_v\|_k) (|\lambda_{3,v}|_{k-1} + |\lambda_{3,v}|_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n_{e_{3,v}}-1} |\lambda_{3,v}|_k (|\lambda_{1,v}|_k + |\lambda_{2,v}|_k + |\lambda_{1,v}|_{k+1} + |\lambda_{2,v}|_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.18 and the similar argument in the proof of [7, Theorem 25]. See also the proof of [44, Proposition 4.29]. \square

Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the web diagram of \mathcal{X} . Given an edge assignment $\{\lambda_e \mid e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}\} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$, there should be many collections of labelled box configurations where each collection $\{\tilde{\pi}_v \mid v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}\}$ of labelled box configurations $\tilde{\pi}_v$ with outgoing partitions $(\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v})$ determined by $\{\lambda_e\}$ via the compatibility in Section 2.1. And each set $\{\tilde{\pi}_v, \lambda_e\}$ corresponds to one T -fixed point in $\prod_{\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})} \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ by Lemma 3.13. Therefore, for a given edge assignment $\{\lambda_e\} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$, there are corresponding several connected components of T -fixed locus of $\prod_{\beta \in F_1 K(\mathcal{X})} \text{PT}(\mathcal{X}, \beta)$ where each component is of the form

$\prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} [\tilde{\pi}_v]$ satisfying some compatible conditions.




FIGURE 1. Orientations of the edge e and its adjacent edges.

Now we have the following PT version of [7, Theorem 10], see also [44, Theorem/Conjecture 4.19].

Theorem 3.22. *Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Define*

$$\underline{PT}(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{\{\lambda_e\} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}} \prod_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} E_{\lambda_e}^e \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} (-1)^{\Xi_{\pi_v}} W_{\lambda_{1,v}, \lambda_{2,v}, \lambda_{3,v}}^{n_{e_3,v}} ((-1)^{\tilde{s}(\lambda_{3,v})} \mathbf{q}_v)$$

where

$$E_{\lambda_e}^e = (-1)^{S_{\lambda_e}^e} \cdot \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} v_{e,k}^{|\lambda_e|k, n_e} \right) \cdot q_e^{C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e}} \cdot \left(\overline{q_{f_{v_0}}^{A_{\lambda_e}}} \right)^{\delta_{0,e}} \cdot \left(q_{f'_{v_0}}^{A_{\lambda'_e}} \right)^{\delta'_{0,e}} \cdot \left(q_{g_{v_\infty}}^{A_{\lambda_e}} \right)^{\delta_{\infty,e}} \cdot \left(\overline{q_{g'_{v_\infty}}^{A_{\lambda'_e}}} \right)^{\delta'_{\infty,e}}$$

with edges $(e, f_{v_0}, f'_{v_0}, g_{v_\infty}, g'_{v_\infty})$ are the oriented edges presented as in Figure 1, and the overline denotes the exchange of variables $q_k \leftrightarrow q_{-k}$. Then we have

$$PT(\mathcal{X}) = \underline{PT}(\mathcal{X}) \Big|_{q_{e,0} \rightarrow -q_{e,0}, q \rightarrow -q}$$

that is, $PT(\mathcal{X})$ is obtained from $\underline{PT}(\mathcal{X})$ by replacing variables $q_{e,0}$ by $-q_{e,0}$ for all $e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}$ and hence replacing q by $-q$.

Proof. Since

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}} = \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \overline{\pi}_v} \xi_{\overline{\pi}_v}(\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{O}_{p_v}(-\mathcal{D}) + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_{\mathcal{D} \in \lambda_e} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(-\mathcal{D})$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}}) &= \sum_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \|\overline{\pi}_v\|_0 + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} C_{m_e, m'_e}^{\lambda_e} [0, n_e] + \sum_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \left(\delta_{0,e} \cdot A_{\lambda_e}(0, n_{f_{v_0}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta'_{0,e} \cdot A_{\lambda'_e}(0, n_{f'_{v_0}}) + \delta_{\infty,e} \cdot A_{\lambda_e}(0, n_{g_{v_\infty}}) + \delta'_{\infty,e} \cdot A_{\lambda'_e}(0, n_{g'_{v_\infty}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by using Definitions 3.10, 3.17, the formula (6), Proposition 3.15 for $\beta = \mathcal{F}$, Theorem 3.21, and [7, Lemma 15 and Proposition 5] via the similar argument in [7, Section 6.3]. \square

Remark 3.23. *The terms involving f_{v_0} and g'_{v_∞} in the expression of $E_{\lambda_e}^e$ are added by the overline since the choice of orientations of f_{v_0} and g'_{v_∞} opposite to those of f and g' in [7] exchanges generators $[\mathcal{O}_{p_{f_{v_0}}} \otimes \rho_k] \leftrightarrow [\mathcal{O}_{p_{f_{v_0}}} \otimes \rho_{-k}]$, $[\mathcal{O}_{p_{g'_{v_\infty}}} \otimes \rho_k] \leftrightarrow [\mathcal{O}_{p_{g'_{v_\infty}}} \otimes \rho_{-k}]$ in [7, Lemma 17 and Proposition 5].*

4. ORBIFOLD DT THEORY AND THE GRAPHICAL CONDENSATION RECURRENCE

In this section, we show the dimer model for DT theory in [13, Section 3.2] can be extended to our orbifold case in Section 4.1 and generalize the graphical condensation recurrence for DT theory in [13, Section 3.3] in Section 4.2, where we also obtain two more recurrences for orbifold DT theory and exhibit the equivalence between them by the symmetry of DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex. We compute the weights appearing in these three recurrences in Section 4.3, which generalize the ones in [13, Section 5.2].

4.1. The dimer model for orbifold DT theory. In order to describe the orbifold DT topological vertex as some dimer model, we recall some relevant notation and definitions in [13, Section 2 and 3] as follows. Notice that any ordinary 2D partition η in this section has its parts indexed starting from 1 other than 0 in Section 3.

Definition 4.1. ([13, Definition 2.0.1 and 2.0.2]) *For any partition $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_l)$, define the Maya diagram of η by the set*

$$S(\eta) = \left\{ \eta_i - i + \frac{1}{2} \mid i \geq 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

For a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, define

$$S^+ = \left\{ t \in S \mid t > 0 \right\}; \quad S^- = \left\{ t \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \setminus S \mid t < 0 \right\}.$$

If $|S^+|, |S^-| < +\infty$, define the charge of S as

$$c(S) = |S^+| - |S^-|.$$

Then the set $\{t - c(S) \mid t \in S\}$ is the Maya diagram of some partition $\hat{\eta}$, i.e.,

$$\{t - c(S) \mid t \in S\} = S(\hat{\eta})$$

and we call S the charge $c(S)$ Maya diagram of $\hat{\eta}$.

Definition 4.2. ([13, Definition 2.0.3]) For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$ with the Maya diagram $S(\eta)$, the partitions η^r , η^c and η^{rc} are defined by the following equations respectively

$$S(\eta^r) = \{t + 1 \mid t \in S(\eta) \setminus \{\min S(\eta)^+\}\},$$

$$S(\eta^c) = \{t - 1 \mid t \in S(\eta) \cup \{\max S(\eta)^-\}\},$$

$$S(\eta^{rc}) = \{t \mid t \in (S(\eta) \setminus \{\min S(\eta)^+\}) \cup \{\max S(\eta)^-\}\}.$$

That is, the set $S(\eta) \setminus \{\min S(\eta)^+\}$ is the charge -1 Maya diagram of η^r , the set $S(\eta) \cup \{\max S(\eta)^-\}$ is the charge 1 Maya diagram of η^c , and the set $(S(\eta) \setminus \{\min S(\eta)^+\}) \cup \{\max S(\eta)^-\}$ is the (charge 0) Maya diagram of η^{rc} .

As in [13], let $\mathbf{H}(N)$ be the $N \times N \times N$ honeycomb graph divided into three sectors with some choice of labellings of vertices on the outer face, which is presented in different ways for the DT and PT case respectively, see Figure 2 for the graph $\mathbf{H}(4)$. With the convention in [13], the labelled vertex v in sector i is said to be in sector i^+ or i^- if the labelled number is positive or negative.




FIGURE 2. The left graph $\mathbf{H}(4)$ is divided into 3 sectors with the labellings of outer vertices for DT case. The right graph is again $\mathbf{H}(4)$ but divided into another 3 sectors with the different labellings of outer vertices for PT case.

As is shown in [15, 13], a 3D partition (or a plane partition) visualized as some collection of boxes can be viewed from a suitable direction as certain rhombus tiling within a hexagonal region consisting of triangles, denoted by faces of a finite planar graph. And its dual graph is exactly the beautiful honeycomb graph, which is the dimer configuration (or the so-called perfect matching) of this dual graph, see [15, Fig. 17] or [13, Figure 3] for examples. Labelled box configurations are related to AB configurations which also have this visualized description in Section 5.2. In order to describe

the orbifold DT or PT topological vertex formula via some weighted configurations on the modified honeycomb graph as in [13], we assign the weights of edges of the graph $\mathbf{H}(N)$ as follows.

Definition 4.3. *Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the honeycomb graph $\mathbf{H}(N)$ is weighted by the following rule:*

- (i) *all the non-horizontal edges are weighted by 1;*
- (ii) *the $2N$ horizontal edges in the central column are weighted from bottom to top by*

$$q_0^0, q_0^1, q_0^2, \dots, q_0^{2N-1};$$

- (iii) *for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, the $(2N-i)$ horizontal edges in the i -th column on the right hand side of the central column (corresponding to 0-th column) are weighted from bottom to top by*

$$q_{-i}^0, q_{-i}^1, q_{-i}^2, \dots, q_{-i}^{2N-i-1};$$

- (iv) *for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$, the $(2N-i)$ horizontal edges in the i -th column on the left hand side of the central column (corresponding to 0-th column) are weighted from bottom to top by*

$$q_i^i, q_i^{i+1}, q_i^{i+2}, \dots, q_i^{2N-1},$$

where for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equality $q_k = q_{k'}$ is used implicitly through this paper if $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $k' \equiv k \pmod n$.

The weighted rule in Definition 4.3 is shown in Figure 3 for $\mathbf{H}(4)$ as an example.




FIGURE 3. The graph $\mathbf{H}(4)$ with weights as assigned in Definition 4.3.

Remark 4.4. *The weighted rule is defined such that if one add a box (i, j, k) to a 3D partition asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) , the weight of the corresponding dimer configuration should be multiplied by a factor of q_{i-j} , and removing a box (i, j, k) will multiply the weight by a factor q_{i-j}^{-1} . If all q_i are equal to q , the weighted rule here generalize the one in [15, Section 6] (see also [13, Definition 2.0.4]).*

Let (λ, μ, ν) be a triple of partitions with their Maya diagrams

$$S_1 := S(\lambda), \quad S_2 := S(\mu), \quad S_3 := S(\nu).$$

The modified honeycomb graph $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is defined by removing vertices labelled by elements in $S_i^+ \cup S_i^-$ from the i -th sector of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. If we view any 3D partition asymptotic to (λ, μ, ν) as a collection of boxes, it is shown in [13, Section 3.2] that there is a bijective correspondence between all these box collections and all the dimer configurations on (or perfect matchings of) $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$. One may refer to [13, Figure 3] as an example for this correspondence.

Let $\mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G})$ be the sum of the weights of all dimer configurations on the graph \mathbf{G} . There is a unique minimal dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ corresponding to the 3D partition $\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ defined in Section 3. By the weighted rule, the dimer configuration being minimal here means that if we set all q_l to be equal to q , the weight of this dimer configuration with respect to q is minimal as in [13], or that this dimer configuration has minimal weight with respect to each q_l . Denote this minimal dimer configuration by $\mathbf{D}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)$ and its weight by $q^{\omega_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}$, which is a polynomial of variables $q_{1-N}, q_{2-N}, \dots, q_0, \dots, q_{N-2}, q_{N-1}$. For any subset $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^3$, we define

$$|\mathbf{S}|_l = \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbf{S} \mid i - j \equiv l \pmod{n}\}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(q^{\omega_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) = V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\Pi(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l}.$$

This implies the following orbifold version of [13, Theorem 3.2.1].

Theorem 4.5. *We have the following limit in the sense of formal Laurent series*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) = V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) := \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu))$$

and

$$q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} := q^{\omega_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\Pi(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l}.$$

4.2. The graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold DT theory. The graphical condensation is discovered by Kuo in [15], and is successfully applied in [13] to derive the recurrence for DT topological vertex. In this section, we will obtain graphical condensation recurrences for DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex with the weighted rule in Definition 4.3 by the following technique.

Theorem 4.6. *([15, Theorem 5.1]) Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \mathbf{E})$ be a given edge-weighted plane bipartite graph with $|\mathbf{V}_1| = |\mathbf{V}_2|$. Let vertices a, b, c and d appear on a face of \mathbf{G} in a cyclic order. If $a, c \in \mathbf{V}_1$ and $b, d \in \mathbf{V}_2$, then*

$$\mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G})\mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G} - \{a, b, c, d\}) = \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G} - \{a, b\})\mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G} - \{c, d\}) + \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\})\mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}).$$

As in [13], we choose \mathbf{G} to be $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)$ and let

$$a := \max S_1^-, \quad b := \min S_1^+, \quad c = \max S_2^-, \quad d = \min S_2^+.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} - \{a, b, c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{a, b\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

It is an important observation [13] that the graph $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ is derived from the charge -1 Maya diagram of λ^r , the charge 1 Maya diagram of μ^c , and (the charge 0) Maya diagram of ν , and that 3D partitions asymptotic to (λ^r, μ^c, ν) are corresponding to dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ but with the origin in \mathbb{Z}^3 shifted to the face directly above the central face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. While the graph $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ is obtained from the charge 1 Maya diagram of λ^c , the charge -1 Maya diagram of μ^r , and Maya diagram of ν , together with 3D partitions asymptotic to (λ^c, μ^r, ν) corresponding to dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ but with the origin in \mathbb{Z}^3 shifted to the face directly below the central face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. One can refer to [13, Figure 4 and 5] for example. Let $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\uparrow}(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\downarrow}(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)$ be the minimal

dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ and $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ with their weights denoted by $q^{\omega_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)}$ and $q^{\omega_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}$ respectively. Let

$$q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} = q^{\omega_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{II}(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu)|_l},$$

$$q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} = q^{\omega_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{II}(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu)|_l}.$$

Set

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}) := \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}),$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}) := \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}).$$

As in Section 4.1, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}) = V_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n,$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}) = V_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n.$$

Now it follows from Theorem 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)) \\ &= q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu)) \\ &+ q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)) \\ &= \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu)) \\ &+ \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is proved in Section 4.3.1 that

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} = q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ is defined in Lemma 4.17 and independent of N . Now take $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following graphical condensation recurrence

$$V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n \cdot V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n = V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n \cdot V_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n + q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot V_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n \cdot V_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n.$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad \frac{V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} = \frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1} + q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1}$$

which generalizes the one in [13, Section 3.3] by Remark 4.18.

However, this recurrence is still not enough to determine orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence for the full 3-leg case unless one has 2-leg correspondence as the base case via Remark 4.8. As explained in Introduction, due to the fewer symmetries of $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$ (resp. $W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$) than $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}$ (resp. $W_{\lambda \mu \nu}$), one need to explore more graphical condensation recurrences for our orbifold case.

To derive the next graphical condensation recurrence, we take \mathbf{G} to be $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc})$ and

$$a := \max S_1^-, \quad b := \min S_1^+, \quad c := \max S_3^-, \quad d := \min S_3^+.$$

Then as above we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{G} - \{a, b, c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{a, b\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the graph $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ is obtained from the charge -1 Maya diagram of λ^r , the Maya diagram of μ , and the charge 1 Maya diagram of ν^c , while the graph $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ is obtained from the charge 1 Maya diagram of λ^c , the Maya diagram of μ , and the charge -1 Maya diagram of ν^r . However, slightly different from the first case, 3D partitions asymptotic to (λ^r, μ, ν^c) correspond to dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ but with the origin in \mathbb{Z}^3 shifted directly to the face on the left-up side of the central face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$, and 3D partitions asymptotic to (λ^c, μ, ν^r) correspond to dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ but with the origin in \mathbb{Z}^3 shifted directly to the face on the right-down side of the central face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. We omit the figures and one may take an example to draw (or modify) the pictures as in [13, Figure 5] to witness the required shift.

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\swarrow}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\searrow}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)$ be the minimal dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ and $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ with their weights denoted by $q^{\omega_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)}$ and $q^{\omega_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}$ respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) &:= \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}) &:= \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}q^{\omega_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} &:= q^{\omega_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{III}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c)|_l}, \\ q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} &:= q^{\omega_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{III}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r)|_l}.\end{aligned}$$

As in the first case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) &= V_{\lambda^r \mu \nu^c}^n, \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}) &= V_{\lambda^c \mu \nu^r}^n.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc})) \\ &= \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc})) \\ &+ \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\})\end{aligned}$$

It is shown in Section 4.3.2 that

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ defined in Lemma 4.26 is independent of N . Now let $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} = \frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1} + q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^r \mu \nu^c}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda^c \mu \nu^r}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1}.$$

As explained in Introduction, it is enough to determine the orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence from the above two equations and [44, Theorem 5.22] together with the symmetries of $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$ and $W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$,

see Section 5.3 for the orbifold PT case. Since $d(\nu) = d(\nu')$ and $\tilde{d}(\nu') = \nu_{d(\nu)}$ shown in Section 4.3, one can verified that (refer to Lemma 4.26 and Lemma 4.33)

$$q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} = \overline{q^{K_3(\mu', \lambda', \nu')}}.$$

In addition, by Remark 3.5 and Lemma 4.12, we have

$$\frac{\overline{V}_{\mu', \lambda', \nu'}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{\overline{V}_{\mu'(\lambda')^{rc}(\nu')^{rc}}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} = \frac{\overline{V}_{\mu'(\lambda')^{rc} \nu'}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{\overline{V}_{\mu' \lambda' (\nu')^{rc}}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} + \overline{q^{K_3(\mu', \lambda', \nu')}} \cdot \frac{\overline{V}_{\mu'(\lambda')^c(\nu')^r}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{\overline{V}_{\mu'(\lambda')^r(\nu')^c}^n}{\overline{V}_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n}$$

which is equivalent to Equation (9). Therefore, Equation (9) follows from Equation (8) and the symmetry of $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$. Conversely, Equation (8) can again follow from Equation (9) and the symmetry of $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$. However, Equation (7) doesn't follow from Equation (8) or (9) due to the fewer symmetries of $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$ than $V_{\lambda \mu \nu}$ in Remark 3.5 unless $n = 1$. For the completeness, we independently obtain the third graphical condensation recurrence (9) as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{a, b, c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{a, b\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}), \\ \mathbf{G} - \{c, d\} &= \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a := \max S_2^-, \quad b := \min S_2^+, \quad c := \max S_3^-, \quad d := \min S_3^+.$$

Now the graph $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$) is obtained from the Maya diagram of λ , the charge -1 (resp. 1) Maya diagram of μ^r (resp. μ^c), and the charge 1 (resp. -1) Maya diagram of ν^c (resp. ν^r). And 3D partitions asymptotic to (λ, μ^r, ν^c) (resp. (λ, μ^c, ν^r)) correspond to dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$) but with the origin in \mathbb{Z}^3 shifted directly to the face on the left-down (resp. right-up) side of the central face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. Let $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\swarrow}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\min}^{\nearrow}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)$ be the minimal dimer configurations on $\mathbf{G} - \{a, d\}$ and $\mathbf{G} - \{b, c\}$ with their weights denoted by $q^{\omega_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)}$ and $q^{\omega_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}$ respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) &:= \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}) &:= \left(q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} &:= q^{\omega_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{II}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c)|_l}, \\ q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} &:= q^{\omega_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{II}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r)|_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc})) \\ &= \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc})) \\ &+ \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is computed in Section 4.3.3 that

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ defined in Lemma 4.33 is independent of N . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}) &= V_{\lambda \mu^r \nu^c}^n, \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^D(\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}) &= V_{\lambda \mu^c \nu^r}^n, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$(9) \quad \frac{V_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} = \frac{V_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1} + q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda \mu^r \nu^c}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \frac{V_{\lambda \mu^c \nu^r}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \cdot \left(\frac{V_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu^{rc}}^n}{V_{\emptyset \emptyset \emptyset}^n} \right)^{-1}.$$

4.3. Weights for orbifold DT theory. In order to formulate and compute weights for both orbifold DT and PT theories, we will first introduce some properties of partitions and their modified partitions in [13, Section 5.1] as follows. For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, let

$$d(\eta) = \max\{i : \eta_i \geq i\}, \quad \tilde{d}(\eta) = \max\{i : \eta_i \geq d(\eta)\}.$$

Then we have $\ell(\eta) \geq \tilde{d}(\eta) \geq d(\eta) \geq 1$. It is easy to verify that $d(\eta) = d(\eta')$ and $\tilde{d}(\eta') = \eta_{d(\eta)}$.

Lemma 4.7. ([13, Lemma 5.1.9, 5.1.17 and Remark 5.1.31]) *Let $\eta \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i^r &= \begin{cases} \eta_i + 1, & \text{if } i < d(\eta), \\ \eta_{i+1}, & \text{if } i \geq d(\eta), \end{cases} \\ \eta_i^c &= \begin{cases} \eta_i - 1, & \text{if } i \leq \tilde{d}(\eta), \\ d(\eta) - 1, & \text{if } i = \tilde{d}(\eta) + 1, \\ \eta_{i-1}, & \text{if } i > \tilde{d}(\eta) + 1, \end{cases} \\ \eta_i^{rc} &= \begin{cases} \eta_i, & \text{if } i < d(\eta), \\ d(\eta) - 1, & \text{if } d(\eta) \leq i \leq \tilde{d}(\eta), \\ \eta_i, & \text{if } i > \tilde{d}(\eta). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.8. *It follows easily from [13, Remark 5.1.11, 5.1.27 and 5.1.28] that for any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have $|\eta^r|, |\eta^c|, |\eta^{rc}| < |\eta|$.*

Lemma 4.9. ([13, Remark 5.1.12, 5.1.19 and Lemma 5.1.34]) *Let $\eta \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Then*

- (i) *if $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, then $\ell(\eta^r) = \ell(\eta) - 1$, $\ell(\eta^c) = \ell(\eta^{rc}) = 0$;*
- (ii) *if $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 > 1$, then $\ell(\eta^r) = \ell(\eta) - 1$, $\ell(\eta^c) = 1$ and $\ell(\eta^{rc}) = 0$;*
- (iii) *if $d(\eta) > 1$, then $\ell(\eta^r) = \ell(\eta) - 1$, $\ell(\eta^c) = \ell(\eta) + 1$ and $\ell(\eta^{rc}) = \ell(\eta)$.*

Lemma 4.10. ([13, Lemma 5.1.13 and Remark 5.1.22, 5.1.32]) *Let $\eta \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Then*

- (i) $d(\eta^r) = d(\eta) \iff \eta_{d(\eta)+1} = d(\eta)$, $d(\eta^r) = d(\eta) - 1 \iff \eta_{d(\eta)+1} < d(\eta)$,
- (ii) $d(\eta^c) = d(\eta) \iff \eta_{d(\eta)} > d(\eta)$, $d(\eta^c) = d(\eta) - 1 \iff \eta_{d(\eta)} = d(\eta)$,
- (iii) $d(\eta^{rc}) = d(\eta) - 1$.

Remark 4.11. *By Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10, we have*

- (i) *if $d(\eta) > 1$ and $d(\eta^r) = d(\eta) - 1$, then $\tilde{d}(\eta) = d(\eta)$;*
- (ii) *if $d(\eta) > 1$ and $d(\eta^r) = d(\eta)$, then $\tilde{d}(\eta) \geq d(\eta) + 1$ and $\eta_i = d(\eta)$ for $d(\eta) + 1 \leq i \leq \tilde{d}(\eta)$.*
- (iii) *if $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 > 1$ or $\eta_1 = 1$, then $\tilde{d}(\eta) = \ell(\eta)$ and $\eta_i = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq \ell(\eta)$.*
- (iv) $\eta^c = \emptyset$ *if and only if $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, i.e., $\eta_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\eta)$.*

Lemma 4.12. ([13, Lemma 5.1.24 and Remark 5.1.30]) *Let $\eta \neq \emptyset$ be a partition. Then*

$$(\eta^c)' = (\eta^r)^r; \quad (\eta^r)' = (\eta^c)^c; \quad (\eta^{rc})' = (\eta^r)^{rc}.$$

Lemma 4.13. ([13, Lemma 5.1.16 and Lemma 5.1.23]) *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

- (i) $\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \eta_i^r > i + 1\} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : i \leq d(\eta) - 1\}$,
- (ii) $\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \eta_i^c \geq i - 1\} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : i \leq d(\eta)\}$.

Next, we employ the following notations for simplifications later.

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{\varpi_1(m,l,k)} &:= \prod_{i=0}^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-l} q_{j-i+k}^{m-i}, \\
q^{\varpi_2(\eta,m,k)} &:= \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^m q_{j-i+k}^{\eta_i}, \\
q^{\varpi_3(\eta,m,k)} &:= \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{\eta_i-1} q_{j-i+k}^{-m+i}, \\
q^{\varpi_4(\eta,m,k)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-k} q_{m-i+j+k-1}^{m+\eta_i-i+k-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{m-i+j+k-1}^{m+\eta_i-i+k-1}, \\
q^{\varpi_5(\eta,m,k)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-k} q_{i-j-k-m+1}^{\eta_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{i-j-k-m+1}^{\eta_i-j}, \\
q^{\varpi_6(\eta,m)} &:= (q_m^{m+\eta_1})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq \eta_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{m+j+1-i}^{m+1+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i+1 < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{m+j+1-i}^{m+1+\eta_i-i}, \\
q^{\varpi_7(\eta,m)} &:= (q_{-m}^{\eta_1})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq \eta_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{i-j-m-1}^{\eta_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i+1 < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{i-j-m-1}^{\eta_i-j},
\end{aligned}$$

where m, l, k are all integers and η is a partition. And for any function $F(\{q_k\})$ of variables $\{q_k\}$, the notation $\overline{F(\{q_k\})}$ denotes $F(\{q_k\})|_{q_k \leftrightarrow q_{-k}}$ throughout this paper.

4.3.1. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu)$.* In this subsection, we will compute the weights of several dimer configurations as in [13, Section 5.2] with the given weighted rule in Definition 4.3. The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N)$ corresponding to the empty 3D partition has the weight from the N^2 horizontal dimers (see the tilings on the floor of the rightmost picture in [13, Figure 15] for $\mathbf{H}(5)$ as an example), which is

$$\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{N-1-i}.$$

The dimer configuration corresponding to the 3D partition $\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ with $N(|\lambda| + |\mu| + |\nu|) - |\mathbb{II}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)| - 2|\mathbb{III}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|$ boxes has the weight computed as follows

$$\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{N-1-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{i-j-1}^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^N}{\prod_{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{II}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} q_{i-j} \cdot \prod_{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{III}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} q_{i-j}^2}.$$

The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ has more horizontal dimers in sector 1 and 2 and fewer horizontal dimers in sector 3 than the above dimer configuration. In fact, in sector 1, if $(\lambda')_i \geq i$, the i -th part of λ' contributes $(i-1)$ more horizontal dimers with weights: $q_{N+1-i}^{N-i+\lambda'_i}, \dots, q_{N-1}^{N-i+\lambda'_i}$, if $\lambda'_i < i$, the i -th part of λ' contributes λ'_i more horizontal dimers with weights: $q_{N+1-i}^{N-i+\lambda'_i}, \dots, q_{N-i+\lambda'_i}^{N-i+\lambda'_i}$. In sector 2, if $\mu_i \geq i$, the i -th part of μ contributes $(i-1)$ more horizontal dimers with weights: $q_{i-1-N}^{\mu_i-1}, q_{i-2-N}^{\mu_i-2}, \dots, q_{1-N}^{\mu_i-i+1}$; if $\mu_i < i$, the i -th part of μ contributes μ_i more horizontal dimers with weights: $q_{i-1-N}^{\mu_i-1}, q_{i-2-N}^{\mu_i-2}, \dots, q_{i-\mu_i-N}^0$. In sector 3, the horizontal dimers which are not in the minimal

dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ have the weight $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{2N-i}$. Then we have

Lemma 4.14. *The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ has the weight*

$$q^{\omega_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} = q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\Pi(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\Pi(\lambda, \mu, \nu)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{N-1-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{i-j-1}^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{-2N+i} \\ &\times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N-i+\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda'_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N-i+\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \\ &= q^{\varpi_1(N-1, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\lambda', N-1, 1)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu, N-1, 1)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\lambda', N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\mu, N, 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have the following

Lemma 4.15. *The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{a, d\}$ has the weight*

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} = q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\Pi(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\Pi(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^N \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i}^{N-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i+1}^{(\lambda^r)'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{i-j-1}^{\mu^c_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{-2N+i} \\ &\times \left(q_N^{N+(\lambda^r)'_1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq (\lambda^r)'_{i+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{N+j+1-i}^{N+1+(\lambda^r)'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^r)'_{i+1} < i \leq \ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=1}^{(\lambda^r)'_i} q_{N+j+1-i}^{N+1+(\lambda^r)'_i-i} \\ &\times \left(q_{-N}^{\mu^c_1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq \mu^c_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{i-j-N-1}^{\mu^c_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu^c_i+1 < i \leq \ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu^c_i} q_{i-j-N-1}^{\mu^c_i-j} \\ &= q^{\varpi_1(N, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2((\lambda^r)^c, N, 1)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu^c, N, 1)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N+1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_6((\lambda^r)^c, N)} \cdot q^{\varpi_7(\mu^c, N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.16. *The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu) - \{b, c\}$ has the weight*

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} = q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\Pi(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\Pi(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i}^{N-i-2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{(\lambda^c)'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{i-j-1}^{\mu^r_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{-2N+i} \\ &\times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq (\lambda^c)'_i} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{N-i+j-1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^c)'_i < i \leq \ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=1}^{(\lambda^c)'_i} q_{N-i+j-1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \\ &\times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu^r_i} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{i-j-N+1}^{\mu^r_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu^r_i < i \leq \ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu^r_i} q_{i-j-N+1}^{\mu^r_i-j} \\ &= q^{\varpi_1(N-2, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2((\lambda^r)^c, N-2, 1)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu^r, N-2, 1)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N-1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4((\lambda^r)^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\mu^r, N, 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Lemma 4.14 that

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} = 1.$$

We still need the following lemmas to obtain the graphical condensation recurrence (7).

Lemma 4.17. For any partitions $\lambda, \mu \neq \emptyset$ and ν , we have

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} = q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where

$$q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} := q_{-\tilde{d}(\lambda')}^{d(\lambda')} \cdot q_{\tilde{d}(\mu)}^{d(\mu)} \cdot \prod_{i=-\tilde{d}(\lambda')}^{\tilde{d}(\mu)} q_i^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\lambda')+1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\mu)+1}^{\ell(\mu)} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i}$$

is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 4.14, 4.15, 4.16, 4.19, 4.20, 4.21, 4.22, 4.23. \square

Remark 4.18. When $n = 1$, i.e., all q_i are equal to q , then we have

$$q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} := q^{d(\lambda') + d(\mu) - \tilde{d}(\mu) - \tilde{d}(\lambda') - 1} = q^{d(\lambda) + d(\mu) - \mu'_{d(\mu)} - \lambda_{d(\lambda)} - 1}$$

which is equal to q^{-K} in [13, Section 5.2].

Lemma 4.19.

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_1(N, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-2, 0, 0)}}{(q^{\varpi_1(N-1, 0, 0)})^2} = q_N^N \cdot \prod_{i=1-N}^{N-1} q_i.$$

Lemma 4.20. For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-2, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}} = q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\eta_i} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} q_{i-\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=N-\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{N-d(\eta)} q_i^{d(\eta)}}$$

Proof. If $d(\eta) > 1$, by Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.9 and Remark 4.11, we have

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+2}^{\ell(\eta)+1} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i}^{\eta_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-2, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-d(\eta)+1}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{\eta_i}} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-2, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i}^{\eta_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i+1} \cdot \prod_{j=1-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{N-d(\eta)} q_j^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{-i+1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-d(\eta)+1}} \\
&= q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\eta_i} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i+1} \cdot \prod_{j=N-\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{N-d(\eta)} q_j^{d(\eta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 > 1$, then by Remark 4.11

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-2, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^N q_j^{\eta_1-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_j^{\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i}} = \frac{q_N^{\eta_1}}{\prod_{j=1-\ell(\eta)}^N q_j}.$$

Similarly, if $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, we have

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-2, 1)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{\eta_i}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1-\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} q_j}.$$

Now the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 4.21. *For any partition η , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_3(\eta, N+1, 1)} q^{\varpi_3(\eta, N-1, 1)}}{(q^{\varpi_3(\eta, N, 1)})^2} = 1.$$

Lemma 4.22. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_6(\eta^c, N)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_4(\eta, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i-i}}{q_N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-i}}.$$

Proof. If $d(\eta) > 1$ and $d(\eta^r) = d(\eta)$, then by Lemmas 4.7, 4.9, 4.10, 4.13 and Remark 4.11

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q^{\varpi_6(\eta^c, N)}}{q^{\varpi_4(\eta, N, 1)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{d(\eta)} \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} q_{N+j-i}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=2}^{\eta_i} q_{N+j-i}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N+j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{N+d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{N+j-i}^{N+\eta_i-i}}{q_N^{N+\eta_1-1} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{d(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\eta_i-i}} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N+j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{N+d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{q^{\varpi_4(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_4(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-d(\eta)+j-1}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+2}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\eta_i-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N-i+\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N-i+d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{\eta_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N-i+\eta_i}} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N+d(\eta)-i}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i+j}}{\prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-d(\eta)+j}^{N-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{q^{\varpi_6(\eta^c, N)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_4(\eta, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N+j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{N+d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N+d(\eta)-i}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i+j}}{\prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-d(\eta)+j}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{N+\eta_i-i}} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N+j-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i+j} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N+d(\eta)-i}^{d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i-i}}{\prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{d(\eta)-i} \cdot q_N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-i}} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i-i}}{q_N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by using the above similar argument for the other cases. \square

Lemma 4.23. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_7(\eta^c, N)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_5(\eta, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{i-N}^{\eta_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{i-N-1}^{\eta_i-1}}.$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.22. \square

4.3.2. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc})$.* The similar argument as in Section 4.3.1 shows that

Lemma 4.24. *The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}$ has the weight*

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} := q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\text{II}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\text{III}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \\
&= \prod_{i=0}^N \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+2}^{(\lambda^r)_i'} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{i-j}^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{-2N+i}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(q_N^{N+(\lambda^r)'_1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq (\lambda^r)'_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{N+j+1-i}^{N+1+(\lambda^r)'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^r)'_i+1 < i \leq \ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=1}^{(\lambda^r)'_i} q_{N+j+1-i}^{N+1+(\lambda^r)'_i-i} \\
& \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \\
& = q^{\varpi_1(N,1,1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2((\lambda^c)^c, N-1, 2)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu, N, 0)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu^c, N+1, 2)} \cdot q^{\varpi_6((\lambda^c)^c, N)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\mu, N, 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.25. *The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}$ has the weight*

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} := q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{III}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r)|_l} \cdot q_l^{2|\text{III}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; n)} \\
& = \prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i-1}^{N-i-2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{(\lambda^c)'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{i-j-2}^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^{-2N+i+1} \\
& \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq (\lambda^c)'_i} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{N-i+j-1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^c)'_i < i \leq \ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=1}^{(\lambda^c)'_i} q_{N-i+j-1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i} q_{i-j-N}^{\mu_i-j} \\
& = q^{\varpi_1(N-2, -1, -1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2((\lambda^c)^r, N-1, 0)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu, N-2, 2)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu^r, N-1, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4((\lambda^c)^r, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\mu, N, 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1$$

and still require the following lemmas to derive the graphical condensation recurrence (8).

Lemma 4.26. *For any partitions $\lambda, \nu \neq \emptyset$ and μ , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where

$$q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} := q_{1-d(\lambda^c)}^{-\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\lambda^c)-1} q_{-i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\lambda^c)-1} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\nu_{d(\nu)}-d(\nu)} q_i^{-1}$$

is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 4.14, 4.24, 4.25, 4.27, 4.28, 4.29, 4.30, 4.22. □

The following lemma follows from the similar argument in Section 4.3.1.

Lemma 4.27.

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_1(N,1,1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-2,-1,-1)}}{(q^{\varpi_1(N-1,0,0)})^2} = q_0^{1-N} \cdot q_N^N \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} q_i.$$

Lemma 4.28. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta^c, N-1, 2)} q^{\varpi_2(\eta^r, N-1, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)} q^{\varpi_2(\eta^{rc}, N-1, 1)}} = q_{1-d(\eta)}^{-\eta_{d(\eta)}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\eta_i} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} q_{N-i+1}^{\eta_i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{N-i}^{\eta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} q_i}.$$

Lemma 4.29. For any partition η , we have

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N, 0)} q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-2, 2)}}{(q^{\varpi_2(\eta, N-1, 1)})^2} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\eta_i}.$$

Lemma 4.30. For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_3(\eta^c, N+1, 2)} q^{\varpi_3(\eta^r, N-1, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_3(\eta, N, 1)} q^{\varpi_3(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} = q_0^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\eta_{a(\eta)} - d(\eta)} q_i^{-1}.$$

4.3.3. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc})$.* Again, by the similar argument as in Section 4.3.1 we have

Lemma 4.31. The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{a, d\}$ has the weight

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} := q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+2}^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{i-j}^{\mu_i^r} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{\nu_i^c} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{-2N+i} \\ & \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{N+j-i}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda'_i} q_{N+j-i}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \\ & \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i^r} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{i-j-N+1}^{\mu_i^r-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^r < i \leq \ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i^r} q_{i-j-N+1}^{\mu_i^r-j} \\ &= q^{\varpi_1(N-1, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\lambda', N-2, 2)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu^r, N-1, 0)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu^c, N, 2)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\lambda', N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_5(\mu^r, N, 0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.32. The minimal dimer configuration of $\mathbf{H}(N; \lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}) - \{b, c\}$ has the weight

$$q^{\omega_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} := q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r)|_l} \cdot q_l^{-2|\text{III}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r)|_l}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i-1}^{N-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i}^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{i-j-2}^{\mu_i^c} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^{-2N+i+1} \\ & \times \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda'_i} q_{N-i+j}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \\ & \times \left(q_{-N}^{\mu_1^c} \right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i:2 \leq i \leq \mu_i^c+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-2} q_{i-j-N-1}^{\mu_i^c-j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^c+1 < i \leq \ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=1}^{\mu_i^c} q_{i-j-N-1}^{\mu_i^c-j} \\ &= q^{\varpi_1(N-1, -1, -1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_2(\lambda', N, 0)} \cdot \overline{q^{\varpi_2(\mu^c, N-1, 2)}} \cdot q^{\varpi_3(\nu^r, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\varpi_4(\lambda', N, 1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_7(\mu^c, N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1$$

and still demand the following lemmas to achieve the recurrence (9).

Lemma 4.33. For any partitions $\mu, \nu \neq \emptyset$ and λ , we have

$$\frac{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; n)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; n)}}{q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; n)} \cdot q^{\tilde{\omega}_{\min}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; n)}} = q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where

$$q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} := q_{d(\mu)-1}^{-\mu d(\mu)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\mu)-1} q_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\mu)-1} \left(\frac{q_i}{q_{i-1}} \right)^{\mu_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda')} \left(\frac{q_{-i}}{q_{-i+1}} \right)^{\lambda'_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}(\nu)-d(\nu)} q_{-i}^{-1}$$

is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 4.14, 4.31, 4.32, 4.34, 4.29, 4.28, 4.35, 4.23. \square

As in Section 4.3.2, we have

Lemma 4.34.

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_1(N-1,1,1)} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-1,-1,-1)}}{(q^{\varpi_1(N-1,0,0)})^2} = q_0^{1-N} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} q_{-i}.$$

Lemma 4.35. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\varpi_3(\eta^c, N, 2)} q^{\varpi_3(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\varpi_3(\eta, N, 1)} q^{\varpi_3(\eta^{rc}, N, 1)}} = q_0^N \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)-d(\eta)} q_{-i}^{-1}.$$

5. ORBIFOLD PT THEORY AND THE GRAPHICAL CONDENSATION RECURRENCE

We recall the essential idea in [13, Section 4] that the PT vertex can be alternatively expressed as the sum over certain subset of AB configurations, which is available for deriving the graphical condensation recurrence in double-dimer model [12] for PT theory. In this section, we extend this idea to the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex with the similar double-dimer model description, and obtain three recurrences as in the orbifold DT case one of which generalizes the recurrence in [13, Section 4.5].

5.1. Labelled box configurations and AB configurations for orbifold PT theory. We will briefly review the relation between labelled box configurations with AB configurations in [13, Section 4.2], and then show the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex as a sum indexed by some AB configurations. In the following we will employ notations I^- , II , III defined with respect to (λ, μ, ν) in Section 3.

Definition 5.1. (*[13, Definition 4.2.1 and Conditions 4.2.2, 4.2.3]*)

(1) *An AB configuration is a pair (A, B) of finite sets where $A \subseteq I^- \cup III$ and $B \subseteq II \cup III$ has elements called boxes, satisfying the following conditions*

(i) *if $w \in I^- \cup III$ and any of*

$$(w_1 - 1, w_2, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2 - 1, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3 - 1)$$

is a box in A , then $w \in A$.

(ii) *if $w \in II \cup III$ and any of*

$$(w_1 - 1, w_2, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2 - 1, w_3), \quad (w_1, w_2, w_3 - 1)$$

is a box in B , then $w \in B$.

We denote by \mathcal{AB}_{all} the set of all AB configurations.

(2) *Given a labelled box configuration $\tilde{\pi}$ with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) . If an AB configuration (A, B) satisfies $A \cup B = \tilde{\pi}$ and $A \cap B = III_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})$ as the same sets of boxes in $\tilde{\pi}$, then we call (A, B) an AB configuration on $\tilde{\pi}$. We denote by $\mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})$ the set of all AB configurations on $\tilde{\pi}$.*

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the set of all labelled box configurations with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) . Given $\tilde{\pi} \in \widehat{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, one can construct the base AB configuration $AB_{base}(\tilde{\pi}) = (A, B)$ in [13, Definition 4.2.4] where $A := I^-(\tilde{\pi}) \cup III(\tilde{\pi})$ and $B := II(\tilde{\pi}) \cup III_{ulb}(\tilde{\pi})$. It is shown in [13, Section 4.2.1] that $AB_{base}(\tilde{\pi}) \in \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})$. Conversely, $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}_{all}$ is called a labelled AB configuration if the algorithm [13, Algorithm 4.2.13] succeeds in labelling connected components of $\mathcal{L}(A, B) := (I^- \cap A) \cup (II \setminus B) \cup$

(III \cap ($A\Delta B$)). For a labelled AB configuration (A, B) , the labelled boxes $\tilde{\pi}(A, B)$ is defined in [13, Definition 4.2.22]. Due to [13, Lemma 4.2.24], we define

$$\mathcal{AB} := \bigcup_{\tilde{\pi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi}).$$

Then $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$ if and only if (A, B) is a labelled AB configuration by [13, Theorem 4.2.26] and $\tilde{\pi}(A, B)$ is a labelled box configuration if $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$ by [13, Corollary 4.2.27]. Recall that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is the set of components of the moduli space of labelled box configurations with outgoing partitions (λ, μ, ν) in Section 3. By [13, Corollary 4.2.31], if $[\tilde{\pi}_1], [\tilde{\pi}_2] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ and $[\tilde{\pi}_1] \neq [\tilde{\pi}_2]$, then $\mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi}_1) \cap \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi}_2) = \emptyset$. For any element $\tilde{\pi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ in one given component in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$, the sets $\mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})$ are the same by the definition. Then

$$\mathcal{AB} := \bigcup_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi}).$$

And it is shown in [13, Corollary 4.2.34] that $|\mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})| = \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}])$ where $[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is the component of the moduli space of labellings of $\tilde{\pi}$ containing $\tilde{\pi}$. The orbifold version of [13, Definition 4.2.35] is presented as follows.

Definition 5.2.

$$Z_{\mathcal{AB}}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) = \sum_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|A|_l + |B|_l - |\text{II}|_l - 2|\text{III}|_l}.$$

This definition is an alternative expression of the orbifold PT topological vertex $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n$, i.e., we have the following orbifold version of [13, Theorem 4.2.36].

Theorem 5.3.

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) = Z_{\mathcal{AB}}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}).$$

Proof. With the discussion above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathcal{AB}}(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}) &= \sum_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|A|_l + |B|_l - |\text{II}|_l - 2|\text{III}|_l} \\ &= \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \sum_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|A \cup B|_l + |A \cap B|_l - |\text{II}|_l - 2|\text{III}|_l} \\ &= \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \sum_{(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{\ell(\tilde{\pi})_l + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_l} \\ &= \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} |\mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})| \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{\ell(\tilde{\pi})_l + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_l} \\ &= \sum_{[\tilde{\pi}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \chi_{\text{top}}([\tilde{\pi}]) \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{\ell(\tilde{\pi})_l + \|\pi_{\min}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)\|_l} \\ &= W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

5.2. The double-dimer model for orbifold PT theory. We will briefly review the (labelled) double-dimer model introduced in [13, Section 4.3 and 4.4], which is also valid for describing the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex. Given an AB configuration (A, B) , define

$$\mathfrak{A} = R_2 \cup (\text{I}^- \cup \text{III}) \setminus A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{B} = R_1 \cup (\text{II} \cup \text{III}) \setminus B$$

where R_1 (resp. R_2) is the subset of \mathbb{Z}^3 whose elements have at least one negative coordinate (resp. two negative coordinates). One can view \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} as rhombus tilings of the plane due to [13, Lemma 4.3.3], which are corresponding to dimer configurations \mathbf{D}_A and \mathbf{D}_B of the infinite honeycomb graph \mathbf{H} . A double-dimer configuration is obtained from the union of two dimer configurations. Let $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$ be the double-dimer configuration on \mathbf{H} by superimposing \mathbf{D}_A and \mathbf{D}_B with their origins in \mathbb{Z}^3 corresponding to the same face of \mathbf{H} . Then $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$ is composed of loops, double edges and infinite paths. One can refer to [13, Figure 9 and 10] for examples. Given an AB configuration (A, B) , there is a labelling algorithm [13, Algorithm 4.3.11] for $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$, which is shown to be equivalent to [13, Algorithm 4.2.13] in the sense that $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$ if and only if Algorithm 4.3.11 in [13] succeeds (see [13, Theorem 4.4.10]), and for a given $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$, the labelling in [13, Algorithm 4.2.13] assigned for some cell is the same as the one in [13, Algorithm 4.3.11] for the corresponding face (see [13, Theorem 4.4.11]). And it is verified in [13, Theorem 4.4.12] that $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$ if and only if both ends of each path in $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$ are contained in sector i for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. To describe the orbifold PT vertex and compare with the orbifold DT case, one need to truncate $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$ on \mathbf{H} to be the one on $\mathbf{H}(N)$. The following definition is useful for describing the truncation.

Definition 5.4. ([13, Definition 4.4.13 and 4.4.15]) *Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \mathbf{E})$ be a finite edge-weighted bipartite planar graph with $|\mathbf{V}_1| = |\mathbf{V}_2|$. Let \mathbf{N} be a set of special vertices called nodes on the outer face of \mathbf{G} . A double-dimer configuration on (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N}) is a multiset of the edges of \mathbf{G} such that each internal vertex is the endpoint of exactly two edges and each vertex in \mathbf{N} is the endpoint of exactly one edge. A planar pairing σ is tripartite if the nodes can be divided into three circularly contiguous sets R , G and B (the nodes in R , G and B are often colored by red, green and blue respectively) such that no node is paired with a node in the same set.*

For N large enough, it is shown that \mathbf{D}_B should be truncated to be a perfect matching $\mathbf{D}_B(N)$ of $\mathbf{H}(N)$ by [13, Lemma 4.4.14] and \mathbf{D}_A could be truncated to be a partial matching $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ of $\mathbf{H}(N)$, which implies that $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}$ can be truncated to be a double-dimer configuration $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$ on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ (see [13, Figure 11] for example) with nodes. By [13, Theorem 4.4.17], if $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$ and N is large enough, the double-dimer configuration $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$ is tripartite with nodes explicitly described as follows. Let $S_1 = S(\lambda)$, $S_2 = S(\mu)$ and $S_3 = S(\nu)$ be the Maya diagrams of λ, μ, ν respectively. Define $\mathbf{N}_i^+(N)$ (resp. $\mathbf{N}_i^-(N)$) to be the set of vertices on the outer face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$ in sector i^+ (resp. in sector i^-) which are not labelled by any of elements of S_i^+ (resp. S_i^-). Set $\mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{N}_i^+(N) \cup \mathbf{N}_i^-(N)$. It is proved in [13, Corollary 4.4.22] that the set of nodes of

$\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$ in sector i is $\mathbf{N}_i = \mathbf{N}_i^+(N) \cup \mathbf{N}_i^-(N)$. We have the following color scheme [13] for the nodes of $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$. In sector 1, the nodes in \mathbf{N}_1^+ are colored by blue and the nodes in \mathbf{N}_1^- are colored by red; in sector 2, the nodes in \mathbf{N}_2^+ are colored by red and the nodes in \mathbf{N}_2^- are colored by green; in sector 3, the nodes in \mathbf{N}_3^+ are colored by green and the nodes in \mathbf{N}_3^- are colored by blue. Since $|\mathbf{N}_i^+(N)| = |\mathbf{N}_i^-(N)|$, one can equip nodes $\mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)$ with the following tripartite rainbow pairing.

Definition 5.5. ([13, Section 4.4]) *Suppose there are $2r$ nodes in sector i numbered consecutively in clockwise order by $1, 2, \dots, 2r$, which are vertices on the outer face of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. If $r > 0$, we call the pairing $((1, 2r), (2, 2r-1), \dots, (r, r+1))$ the rainbow pairing of the nodes in sector i . If $r = 0$, we call the empty pairing as the rainbow pairing of the nodes in sector i . Denote the nodes by \mathbf{N} . If the nodes in sector i are paired according to the rainbow pairing for every $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we call the resulting pairing of \mathbf{N} the rainbow pairing of \mathbf{N} .*

Denote by $\mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N})$ the set of all double-dimer configurations on \mathbf{G} with nodes \mathbf{N} subject to the rainbow pairing σ . Let $\mathbf{Z}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N})$ be the sum of all the weights of double-dimer configurations in

$\mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N})$, where the weight of a k -loop double-dimer configuration is 2^k times its edge-weight defined by the product of weights of all its edges.

It is shown before [13, Definition 4.4.23] that a given AB configuration (A, B) can be consecutively changed into an AB configuration $(\text{III}, \text{II} \cup \text{III}) \in \mathcal{AB}(\tilde{\pi})$ by a sequence of removing or adding a single box, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is some labelled box configuration which is composed of type II boxes and type III boxes which are unlabelled. If an AB configuration (A', B') is derived from an AB configuration (A, B) by adding (resp. removing) a box to (resp. from) A or B and all the boxes in $A' \cup B'$ and $A \cup B$ are corresponding to faces of $\mathbf{H}(N)$, then $\mathbf{D}_{A'}(N)$ or $\mathbf{D}_{B'}(N)$ is obtained from $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ or $\mathbf{D}_B(N)$ by a local move shown in [13, Figure 13] (resp. [13, Figure 12]). According to the weighted rule in Definition 4.3, removing a box (i, j, k) will increase the edge-weight by a factor of q_{i-j} and adding a box (i, j, k) will decrease the edge-weight by a factor of q_{i-j} . Let $q^{\omega(A,B)(N)}$ be the edge-weight of $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$. It is shown in [13] that for N large enough, we have $\mathbf{D}_{(\text{III}, \text{II} \cup \text{III})}(N) \in \mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N))$, which is called the base double-dimer configuration. Denote by $q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}$ the edge-weight of $\mathbf{D}_{(\text{III}, \text{II} \cup \text{III})}(N)$. Then we have

$$q^{\omega(A,B)(N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|A|_l + |B|_l} = q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{|\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l}$$

if all of the boxes in $A \cup B$ correspond to faces of $\mathbf{H}(N)$. For N large enough, one can show as in [13] that if $|A| + |B| \leq \frac{N}{2}$ and $(A, B) \in \mathcal{AB}$, we have $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N) \in \mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{\mathcal{AB}}(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|A|_l - |B|_l + |\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB} \\ |A| + |B| \leq \frac{N}{2}}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|A|_l - |B|_l + |\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l} + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB} \\ |A| + |B| > \frac{N}{2}}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|A|_l - |B|_l + |\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l} \\ &= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB} \\ |A| + |B| \leq \frac{N}{2}}} q^{\omega(A,B)(N)} + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB} \\ |A| + |B| > \frac{N}{2}}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|A|_l - |B|_l + |\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l}. \end{aligned}$$

The similar argument as in [13] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) \\ &= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{AB} \\ |A| + |B| \leq \frac{N}{2}}} q^{\omega(A,B)(N)} + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N))) \\ |A| + |B| > \frac{N}{2}}} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} q_l^{-|A|_l - |B|_l + |\text{II}|_l + 2|\text{III}|_l} \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau : \mathcal{AB}_{all} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{H}(N))$ maps any AB configuration (A, B) to $\mathbf{D}_{(A,B)}(N)$. Here, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{H}(N))$ is the set of all double-dimer configurations with nodes on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ and $\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{D}_\sigma(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N))) \subseteq \mathcal{AB}$ as shown in [13]. Now, by Theorem 5.3, we have the following orbifold version of [13, Theorem 4.4.24].

Theorem 5.6.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) = W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1})$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) := \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)).$$

5.3. The graphical condensation recurrence for orbifold PT theory. In [12], the author has developed an analogue version of [15, Theorem 5.1] for the double-dimer case as follows.

Theorem 5.7. (See [12, Theorem 1.0.2] or [13, Theorem 4.5.1]) Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \mathbf{E})$ be a finite edge-weighted planar bipartite graph with a set of nodes \mathbf{N} . Divide the nodes into three circularly contiguous sets R, G, B such that $|R|, |G|$ and $|B|$ satisfy the triangle inequality and let σ be the corresponding tripartite pairing. Let a, b, c, d be nodes appearing in a cyclic order such that the set $\{a, b, c, d\}$ contains at least one node of each RGB color. If $a, c \in \mathbf{V}_1$ and $b, d \in \mathbf{V}_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N} - \{a, b, c, d\}) &= \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N} - \{a, b\}) \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N} - \{c, d\}) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}) \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}) \end{aligned}$$

where σ_{abcd} is the unique planar pairing on $\mathbf{N} - \{a, b, c, d\}$ in which like RGB colors are not paired together, and for $i, j \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, σ_{ij} is the unique planar pairing on $\mathbf{N} - \{i, j\}$ in which like RGB colors are not paired together.

As in [13], we choose $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N)$, $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)$ and let

$$a := \max S_1^-, \quad b := \min S_1^+, \quad c = \max S_2^-, \quad d = \min S_2^+.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, b, c, d\}); \\ (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, b\}); \\ (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{c, d\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we recall the important description of two double-dimer configurations on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ and $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}$ in [13, Section 4.5] as follows. Since $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{b, c\}$ and $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{a, d\}$, the pairing σ_{ad} on $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ has one more blue-green path connecting a blue node b in sector 1 and a green node c in sector 2 while the pairing σ_{bc} has one fewer blue-green path but one more red-green path and one more red-blue path than σ_{abcd} . The double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ is obtained from an AB configuration (A, B) with respect to (λ^r, μ^c, ν) , but is not equal to $\mathbf{D}_{(A, B)}(N)$. This dimer configuration and the corresponding tilings are shifted directly up by one unit prior to the truncation. We call it the base_{up} double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)}$. Similarly, the double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}$ is derived from an AB configuration with respect to (λ^c, μ^r, ν) , and this configuration and its corresponding tilings are shifted directly down by one unit prior to the truncation. And we call it the base_{down} double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}$. See [13, Example 4.5.2] for illustrations. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, d\}) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, d\}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{b, c\}) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{b, c\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Theorem 5.7, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_\sigma^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)) \\
&+ \frac{q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{b, c\}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is calculated in Section 5.4.1 that

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ defined in Lemma 5.11 is independent of N . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{a, d\}) &= W_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}), \\
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N) - \{b, c\}) &= W_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 5.6, let $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\
&= W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\
&+ q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n = W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n)^{-1} + q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda^r \mu^c \nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda^c \mu^r \nu}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu^{rc} \nu}^n)^{-1}.$$

which generalizes the one in [13, Section 4.5] by Remark 5.12.

But we still need more recurrences as in the orbifold DT case. First, we take $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N)$, $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)$ and let

$$a := \max S_1^-, \quad b := \min S_1^+, \quad c = \max S_3^-, \quad d = \min S_3^+.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, b, c, d\}), \\
(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, b\}), \\
(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{c, d\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{a, d\}$ and $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{b, c\}$, the pairing σ_{bc} on $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}$ has one more red-green path connecting a red node a in sector 1 and a green node d in sector 3 while the pairing σ_{ad} has one fewer red-green path but one more blue-red path and one more blue-green path than σ_{abcd} . The double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ is obtained from an AB configuration with respect to (λ^r, μ, ν^c) , but is not equal to $\mathbf{D}_{(A, B)}(N)$. This dimer configuration and the corresponding tilings are shifted in the left-up direction by one unit prior to the truncation. We call it the *base_{left-up}* double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)}$. Similarly, the double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}$ is derived from an AB configuration with respect to (λ^c, μ, ν^r) , and this configuration and its corresponding tilings are shifted by one unit in the right-down direction prior to the truncation. We call it the *base_{right-down}* double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}$. The figures are omitted and the reader may take an example to draw the pictures (or modify the pictures in [13, Example 4.5.2]) for the mentioned shift. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)), \\
\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)), \\
\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}) := \left(q^{\omega_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}).$$

By Theorem 5.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) \\ = & \frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu}(N)) \\ + & \frac{q^{\omega_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is proved in Section 5.4.2 that

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\omega_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = q^{-K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ defined in Lemma 5.19 is independent of N . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) &= W_{\lambda^r \mu \nu^c}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}), \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}) &= W_{\lambda^c \mu \nu^r}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 5.6, let $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu^{rc}}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ = & W_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ & + q^{-K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda^r \mu \nu^c}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda^c \mu \nu^r}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(11) \quad W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n = W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu^{rc}}^n)^{-1} + q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda^r \mu \nu^c}^n \cdot W_{\lambda^c \mu \nu^r}^n (W_{\lambda^{rc} \mu \nu^{rc}}^n)^{-1}.$$

As in DT case, one can derive another graphical condensation recurrence from the symmetry of $W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n$ and Equation (11) as follows

$$\overline{W}_{\mu' \lambda' \nu'}^n \cdot \overline{W}_{\mu'(\lambda')^{rc}(\nu')^{rc}}^n = \overline{W}_{\mu' \lambda'(\nu')^{rc}}^n \cdot \overline{W}_{\mu'(\lambda')^{rc} \nu'}^n + q^{K_3(\mu', \lambda', \nu')} \cdot \overline{W}_{\mu'(\lambda')^c(\nu')^r}^n \cdot \overline{W}_{\mu'(\lambda')^r(\nu')^c}^n$$

which is equivalent to Equation (12). Similarly, the relations among Equations (10), (11), (12) are similar as the orbifold DT case. Independently, the graphical condensation recurrence (12) can be obtained by setting $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N)$, $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N)$ and

$$a := \max S_2^-, \quad b := \min S_2^+, \quad c = \max S_3^-, \quad d = \min S_3^+.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, b, c, d\}); \\ (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, b\}); \\ (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) &= (\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{c, d\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{b, c\}$ and $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\} = \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N) \cup \{a, d\}$, the pairing σ_{ad} on $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ has one more red-blue path connecting a red node b in sector 2 and a blue node c in sector 3 while the pairing σ_{bc} has one fewer red-blue path but one more green-red path and one more green-blue path than σ_{abcd} . The double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{a, d\}$ is obtained from an AB configuration (A, B) with respect to (λ, μ^r, ν^c) , but is not equal to $\mathbf{D}_{(A, B)}(N)$. This dimer configuration and the corresponding tilings are shifted in the left-down direction by one unit prior to truncation. We call it the *base_{left-down}* double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{base}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)}$. Similarly, the double-dimer configuration on $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with nodes $\mathbf{N} - \{b, c\}$ is derived from an AB configuration with respect to (λ, μ^c, ν^r) , and this configuration and its corresponding

tilings are shifted by one unit in the right-up direction prior to truncation. We call it the $\text{base}_{\text{right-up}}$ double-dimer configuration and denote its edge-weight by $q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}) &:= \left(q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}).\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{abcd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(N)) \\ &= \frac{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ab}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N)) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{cd}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N)) \\ &+ \frac{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\})\end{aligned}$$

It is proved in Section 5.4.3 that

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = q^{-K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ defined in Lemma 5.26 is independent of N . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{ad}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{a, d\}) &= W_{\lambda \mu^r \nu^c}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}), \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{\sigma_{bc}}^{DD}(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N) - \{b, c\}) &= W_{\lambda \mu^c \nu^r}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 5.6, let $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu^{rc}}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ &= W_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \\ &+ q^{-K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^r \nu^c}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}) \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^c \nu^r}^n(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, \dots, q_{n-1}^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$(12) \quad W_{\lambda \mu \nu}^n = W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu}^n \cdot W_{\lambda \mu \nu^{rc}}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu^{rc}}^n)^{-1} + q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^r \nu^c}^n \cdot W_{\lambda \mu^c \nu^r}^n \cdot (W_{\lambda \mu^{rc} \nu^{rc}}^n)^{-1}.$$

5.4. Weights for orbifold PT theory. For simplifications, we introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned}q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, m, k, l)} &:= \prod_{i=1}^{m-\ell(\eta)-l} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-m+i-j+1}^{i+k}, \\ q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, m, k)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i} q_{-i-j+k+1}^{m+\eta_i-i-k} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i} q_{-i-j+k+1}^{m+\eta_i-i-k}, \\ q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, m, k, l)} &:= \prod_{i=1}^{m-\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=\ell(\eta)+1}^{m-i} q_{i+j-l}^{m+i-k}, \\ q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, m, k)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i-k} q_{i+j+k-1}^{m+\eta_i+j+k-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i-k} q_{i+j+k-1}^{m+\eta_i+j+k-1},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{\vartheta_5(\eta, m, k)} &:= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i-1} q_{-i-j+k+1}^{m+\eta_i-i-k+1} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i+1 < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i} q_{-i-j+k+1}^{m+\eta_i-i-k+1}, & \text{if } \eta \neq \emptyset, \end{cases} \\
q^{\vartheta_6(\eta, m)} &:= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \eta_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i-1} q_{i+j-1}^{m+\eta_i+j} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i+1 < i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i} q_{i+j-1}^{m+\eta_i+j}, & \text{if } \eta \neq \emptyset, \end{cases} \\
q^{\vartheta_7(\eta, m, k)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i < \eta_i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i+k} q_{-i-j+k}^{m+\eta_i-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i-1 \leq i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i+k-1} q_{-i-j+k}^{m+\eta_i-i-1}, \\
q^{\vartheta_8(\eta, m, k, l)} &:= \prod_{i:1 \leq i < \eta_i-k} \prod_{j=1}^{m-\eta_i} q_{i+j+k-l-1}^{m+\eta_i+j-2l-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\eta_i-k \leq i \leq \ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i-1} q_{i+j+k-l-1}^{m+\eta_i+j-2l-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where m, l, k are all integers and η is a partition.

5.4.1. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $(\mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu}(N))$.* We will compute the edge-weights of several double-dimer configurations as in [13, Section 5.3] with the given weighted rule in Definition 4.3. Since the base double-dimer configuration $\mathbf{D}_{(\text{III}, \text{II} \cup \text{III})}(N)$ has the edge-weight $q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}$, which is obtained from the weights of all horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ and the weights of all horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_B(N)$ where $(A, B) = (\text{III}, \text{II} \cup \text{III})$. For the horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ in sector 1, one can divided them into $\ell(\lambda') + 1$ groups with the group i along the i -th part of λ' if $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda')$ and the others as a group if $i > \ell(\lambda')$. For $i > \ell(\lambda')$, the product of weights of

all the edges in this group is $\prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\lambda')-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i$. If $\lambda'_i \geq i$, there are still $(N - \lambda'_i)$ horizontal

dimers in $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with weights: $q_{-i}^{N+\lambda'_i-i}, \dots, q_{-i-N+\lambda'_i+1}^{N+\lambda'_i-i}$. If $\lambda'_i < i$, there are still $(N - i)$ horizontal

dimers in $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with weights: $q_{-i}^{N+\lambda'_i-i}, \dots, q_{1-N}^{N+\lambda'_i-i}$. Similarly, one can divide all horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ in sector 2 into $\ell(\mu) + 1$ groups with the group i along the i -th part of μ if $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu)$ and the others as a group if $i > \ell(\mu)$. For $i > \ell(\mu)$, the product of weights of all the edges in this group is

$\prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu)-1} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu)+1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+i-1}$. If $\mu_i \geq i$, there are still $(N - \mu_i)$ horizontal dimers in $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with weights:

$q_i^{N+\mu_i}, q_{i+1}^{N+\mu_i+1}, \dots, q_{i+N-\mu_i-1}^{2N-1}$. If $\mu_i < i$, there are still $(N - i)$ horizontal dimers in $\mathbf{H}(N)$ with weights: $q_i^{N+\mu_i}, q_{i+1}^{N+\mu_i+1}, \dots, q_{N-1}^{2N+\mu_i-i-1}$. The total weight from the horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_A(N)$ in

sector 3 is $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i}$. Since the horizontal dimers of $\mathbf{D}_B(N)$ appears only in sector 3, the total

weights from $\mathbf{D}_B(N)$ is $\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{N-i-1}$. Therefore, we have

Lemma 5.8. *The edge-weight of the base double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\omega_{\text{base}}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\lambda')-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\lambda'_i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \\
&\times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu)-1} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu)+1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i+j-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i+j-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i}^{N-i-1} \\
& = q^{\vartheta_1(\lambda', N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\lambda', N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\mu, N, 0)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N, 1)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-1, 0, 0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

Lemma 5.9. *The edge-weight of the base_{up} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; n)} \\
& = \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell((\lambda^r)')-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i+1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq (\lambda^r)'_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-(\lambda^r)'_i-1} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+(\lambda^r)'_i-i+1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^r)'_i+1 < i \leq \ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+(\lambda^r)'_i-i+1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu^c)-1} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu^c)+1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+i} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i^c+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i^c-1} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i^c+j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^c+1 < i \leq \ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i^c+j} \\
& \times q_N^{-N} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^N \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i}^{N-i} \\
& = q^{\vartheta_1((\lambda^r)^c, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_5((\lambda^r)^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu^c, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_6(\mu^c, N)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N+1, 1)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N, 0, 0)} \cdot q_N^{-N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.10. *The edge-weight of the base_{down} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; n)} \\
& = \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell((\lambda^c)')-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i < (\lambda^c)'_i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-(\lambda^c)'_i+1} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^c)'_i-1 \leq i \leq \ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu^r)-1} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu^r)+1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+i-2} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i < \mu_i^r-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i^r+1} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i^r+j-2} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^r-1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{i+j-1}^{N+\mu_i^r+j-2} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i}^{N-i-2} \\
& = q^{\vartheta_1((\lambda^r)^r, N, -1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7((\lambda^r)^r, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu^r, N, 2, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_8(\mu^r, N+1, 1, 1)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu, N-1, 1)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-2, 0, 0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now it follows from Lemma 5.8 that

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)}} = 1.$$

And we still need the following lemmas to derived the graphical condensation recurrence (10).

Lemma 5.11. *For any partition $\lambda, \mu \neq \emptyset$ and ν ,*

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}^U(\lambda^r, \mu^c, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^D(\lambda^c, \mu^r, \nu; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)}} = q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} = (q^{K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)})^{-1}$ is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.8, 5.9, 5.10, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, 5.16, 4.21, 4.19. \square

Remark 5.12. *When $n = 1$, $q^{-K_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$ is equal to q^K in [13, Section 4.5] as in Remark 4.18.*

Lemma 5.13. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^c, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^r, N, -1, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^{rc}, N, 0, 1)}} = \begin{cases} q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{N-\ell(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)-1} q_i^{-1}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)} q_i^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1-\ell(\eta)}^{-1} q_i^{-N-i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)} q_i^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1-\ell(\eta)}^{-1} q_i^{-i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $d(\eta) > 1$, by Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^c, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^r, N, -1, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^{rc}, N, 0, 1)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\eta)-2} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{2i}} = \frac{q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{N-\ell(\eta)-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-\ell(\eta)-j}}.$$

If $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 > 1$, then by Lemma 4.9 and Remark 4.11

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^c, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^r, N, -1, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^{rc}, N, 0, 1)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=N-\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} q_{-j}^N \cdot \prod_{i=N-\ell(\eta)+1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)} q_j \cdot \prod_{j=1-\ell(\eta)}^{-1} q_j^{N+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, then by Lemma 4.9 and Remark 4.11

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^c, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^r, N, -1, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^{rc}, N, 0, 1)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=N-\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1}}{\prod_{i=N-\ell(\eta)+1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i} \\ &= \prod_{j=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)} q_j^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1-\ell(\eta)}^{-1} q_j^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.14. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \begin{cases} q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{-d(\eta)} \cdot q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{-N+\ell(\eta)} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i+1}}{q_{-i}} \right)^{\eta_i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}^{N-i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}^{-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} q_{-i}^{-N}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $d(\eta) > 1$, then $\eta^c \neq \emptyset$ by Remark 4.11. Then by Lemmas 4.7, 4.8, 4.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N, 0)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{N-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)-j}^{N+d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+2}^{\ell(\eta)+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_{i-1}-i+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)-j}^{N+d(\eta)-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1}}{\prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i}^{N+\eta_i-i}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\ell(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_{i+1}-i-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+\eta_i-i}} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{N+d(\eta)-i} \cdot \prod_{i=d(\eta)+1}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{N+\eta_i-i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-d(\eta)} q_{-d(\eta)-j+1}^{N-1}} \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{N-\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)-j}^{-N-d(\eta)+\tilde{d}(\eta)+1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} q_{-i+1}^{N+\eta_i-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \frac{q_{-\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{-d(\eta)} \cdot q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{-N+\ell(\eta)}}{\prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}} \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i+1}}{q_{-i}} \right)^{\eta_i}.$$

If $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 > 1$, then $\eta^c \neq \emptyset$ by Remark 4.11. Now we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_1} q_{-j}^{N+\eta_1-1} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+1-i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-\eta_1} q_{-j}^{N+\eta_1-1} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+1-i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}^{N-i}.$$

If $d(\eta) = 1$ and $\eta_1 = 1$, then $\eta^c = \emptyset$ by Remark 4.11. Then we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=0}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+1-i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} q_{-j}^N \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{\ell(\eta)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i} q_{-i-j+1}^{N+1-i}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}^{-i}}{\prod_{j=\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} q_{-j}^N}.$$

□

Lemma 5.15. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^c, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^r, N, 2, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^{rc}, N, 1, 1)}} = \begin{cases} q_{\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\ell(\eta)+1}^{N-1} q_i^{-1}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ \prod_{i=\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} q_i^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_i^{-N}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)-1} q_i^i \cdot \prod_{i=\ell(\mu)}^{N-1} q_i^{N+i-1}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.13. \square

Lemma 5.16. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_6(\eta^c, N)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_8(\eta^r, N+1, 1, 1)}}{q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \begin{cases} q_{\tilde{d}(\eta)}^N \cdot q_{\ell(\eta)}^{-N} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)+1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right)^{\eta_i} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{\tilde{d}(\eta)-1} q_i}{q_{\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{N+d(\eta)-1} \cdot \prod_{i=\tilde{d}(\eta)}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_i^N, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_i^{-i} \cdot \prod_{i=\ell(\eta)}^{N-1} q_i^{-N-i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.14. \square

5.4.2. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $(\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}}(N))$.* By the similar argument in Section 5.4.1, we have

Lemma 5.17. *The edge-weight of the base_{left-up} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq (\lambda^r)'_i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-(\lambda^r)'_i} q_{-i-j+2}^{N+(\lambda^r)'_i-i+1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^r)'_i+1 < i \leq \ell((\lambda^r)')} \prod_{j=1}^{N+1-i} q_{-i-j+2}^{N+(\lambda^r)'_i-i+1} \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu)-2} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu)+1}^{N-i-1} q_{i+j}^{N+i} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i-1} q_{i+j}^{N+\mu_i+j} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i-1} q_{i+j}^{N+\mu_i+j} \\ & \times q_N^{-N} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{N-i+1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^N \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i} \\ &= q^{\vartheta_1((\lambda^r)^c, N, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_5((\lambda^r)^c, N+1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu, N-1, -1, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\mu, N, 1)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu^c, N+1, 2)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N, 1, 1)} \cdot q_N^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.18. *The edge-weight of the base_{right-down} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell((\lambda^c)')-2} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^i \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i < (\lambda^c)'_i-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-(\lambda^c)'_i} q_{-i-j}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:(\lambda^c)'_i-1 \leq i \leq \ell((\lambda^c)')} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i-1} q_{-i-j}^{N+(\lambda^c)'_i-i-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu)+1}^{N-i+1} q_{i+j-2}^{N+i-2} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \mu_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i+1} q_{i+j-2}^{N+\mu_i+j-2} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i < i \leq \ell(\mu)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i+1} q_{i+j-2}^{N+\mu_i+j-2} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^{N-i-1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-2} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} q_{j-i-1}^{N-i-2} \\
& = q^{\vartheta_1((\lambda')^r, N, 0, 2)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7((\lambda')^r, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu, N+1, 3, 2)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\mu, N, -1)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu^r, N-1, 0)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-2, -1, -1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in Section 5.4.1, we have

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1$$

and still demand the following lemmas to obtain the recurrence (11).

Lemma 5.19. *For any partitions $\lambda, \nu \neq \emptyset$ and μ , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}^{LU}(\lambda^r, \mu, \nu^c; n)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^{RD}(\lambda^c, \mu, \nu^r; n)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; n)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda^{rc}, \mu, \nu^{rc}; n)}} = q^{-K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} = (q^{K_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu)})^{-1}$ is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.8, 5.17, 5.18, 5.20, 5.21, 5.22, 5.23, 4.30, 4.27. \square

The following lemmas follows from the similar argument in Section 5.4.1.

Lemma 5.20. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^c, N, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^r, N, 0, 2)}}{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta^{rc}, N, 0, 1)}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1 \text{ or } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} q_{-i}^N, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.21. *For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_5(\eta^c, N+1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_7(\eta^r, N, 0)}}{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_0^{N-1} \cdot q_{1-d(\eta)}^{\eta d(\eta)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \left(\frac{q_{-i+1}}{q_{-i}} \right)^{\eta_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_{-i}}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ q_0^{N+\eta_1-1}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} q_{-i}^{-N}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.22. *For any partition η , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, N-1, -1, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, N+1, 3, 2)}}{(q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, N, 1, 1)})^2} = \frac{q_{\ell(\eta)}^{N-1}}{\prod_{i=\ell(\eta)+1}^{N-1} q_i}.$$

Lemma 5.23. *For any partition η , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, N, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, N, -1)}}{(q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, N, 0)})^2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta = \emptyset; \\ \frac{q_0^{N-1} \cdot q_{\ell(\eta)}^{-N}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right)^{\eta_i}, & \text{if } \eta \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

5.4.3. *Weights for the graphical condensation recurrence with $(\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}(N), \mathbf{N}_{\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}}(N))$.* The similar argument in Section 5.4.1 shows that

Lemma 5.24. *The edge-weight of the base_{left-down} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\omega_{base}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; n)} \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\lambda'_i+1} q_{-i-j+2}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{N+1-i} q_{-i-j+2}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu^r)-2} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu^r)+1}^{N-i-1} q_{i+j}^{N+i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i < \mu_i^r-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i^r} q_{i+j}^{N+\mu_i^r+j-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^r-1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu^r)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i-1} q_{i+j}^{N+\mu_i^r+j-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^c)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^c-1} q_{j-i+2}^{N-i} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-2} q_{j-i+1}^{N-i-1} \\
= & q^{\vartheta_1(\lambda', N, -1, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\lambda', N+1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu^r, N-1, 0, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_8(\mu^r, N, 1, 0)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu^c, N, 2)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-1, 1, 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.25. *The edge-weight of the base_{right-up} double-dimer configuration is*

$$\begin{aligned}
& q^{\omega_{base}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; n)} \\
= & \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\lambda')-2} \prod_{j=1}^i q_{-N+i-j+1}^{i+1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i \leq \lambda'_i} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\lambda'_i-1} q_{-i-j}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \cdot \prod_{i:\lambda'_i < i \leq \ell(\lambda')} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i-1} q_{-i-j}^{N+\lambda'_i-i} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{N-\ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=\ell(\mu^c)+1}^{N-i+1} q_{i+j-2}^{N+i-1} \cdot \prod_{i:1 \leq i < \mu_i^c+1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-\mu_i^c} q_{i+j-2}^{N+\mu_i^c+j-1} \cdot \prod_{i:\mu_i^c+1 \leq i \leq \ell(\mu^c)} \prod_{j=1}^{N-i+1} q_{i+j-2}^{N+\mu_i^c+j-1} \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\nu^r)} \prod_{j=0}^{\nu_i^r-1} q_{j-i}^{N-i} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^N q_{j-i-1}^{N-i-1} \\
= & q^{\vartheta_1(\lambda', N, 1, 2)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\lambda', N-1, -1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\mu^c, N+1, 2, 2)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_8(\mu^c, N, -1, 0)} \cdot \left(q^{\varpi_3(\nu^r, N, 0)} \right)^{-1} \cdot q^{\varpi_1(N-1, -1, -1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu^{rc}; nN)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = 1$$

and still require the following lemmas to achieve Equation (12).

Lemma 5.26. *For any partitions $\mu, \nu \neq \emptyset$ and λ , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\omega_{base}^{LD}(\lambda, \mu^r, \nu^c; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}^{RU}(\lambda, \mu^c, \nu^r; N)}}{q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu, \nu; N)} \cdot q^{\omega_{base}(\lambda, \mu^{rc}, \nu^{rc}; N)}} = q^{-K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)}$$

where $q^{-K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)} = (q^{K_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu)})^{-1}$ is independent of N .

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.8, 5.24, 5.25, 5.27, 5.28, 5.29, 5.30, 4.35, 4.34. \square

As in Section 5.4.1, we have

Lemma 5.27. *For any partition η , we have*

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, -1, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 1, 2)}}{(q^{\vartheta_1(\eta, N, 0, 1)})^2} = \frac{q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{N-\ell(\eta)-1}}{\prod_{i=1-N}^{-\ell(\eta)-1} q_i}.$$

Lemma 5.28. For any partition η , we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N+1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N-1, -1)}}{(q^{\vartheta_2(\eta, N, 0)})^2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta = \emptyset; \\ \frac{q_0^{N-1} \cdot q_{-\ell(\eta)}^{\ell(\eta)-N}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)-1} q_{-i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\eta)} \left(\frac{q_{-i+1}}{q_{-i}} \right)^{\eta_i}, & \text{if } \eta \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.29. For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^c, N+1, 2, 2)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^r, N-1, 0, 0)}}{q^{\vartheta_3(\eta, N, 1, 1)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_3(\eta^{rc}, N, 1, 1)}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1 \text{ or } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ q_{N-1}^{2N-1} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{N-2} q_i^{N+i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.30. For any partition $\eta \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\frac{q^{\vartheta_8(\eta^c, N, -1, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_8(\eta^r, N, 1, 0)}}{q^{\vartheta_4(\eta, N, 0)} \cdot q^{\vartheta_4(\eta^{rc}, N, 0)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_0^{N-1} \cdot q_{d(\eta)-1}^{\eta_{d(\eta)}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} q_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d(\eta)-1} \left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right)^{\eta_i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) > 1; \\ q_0^{N+\eta_1-1}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 > 1; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} q_i^{-N-i}, & \text{if } d(\eta) = 1 \text{ and } \eta_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

6. ORBIFOLD DT/PT CORRESPONDENCE

6.1. A proof of orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence. In this subsection, we will give a proof of Conjecture 3.12 and show some applications of orbifold DT/PT vertex correspondence. In fact, the 1-leg correspondence has been proved by explicitly expressing the 1-leg PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex formula in terms of Schur functions in [44, Theorem 5.22] via establishing the connection between 1-leg colored labelled box configurations and certain colored reverse plane partitions. Recall that a partition η is called multi-regular if $|\eta|_l = \frac{|\eta|}{n}$ for all $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Theorem 6.1. ([44, Theorem 5.22]) *If ν is multi-regular, then*

$$\frac{V_{\lambda\emptyset\emptyset}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\lambda\emptyset\emptyset}^n; \quad \frac{V_{\emptyset\mu\emptyset}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\emptyset\mu\emptyset}^n; \quad \frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^n.$$

With the above theorem as the base case, we have

Theorem 6.2. *If ν is multi-regular, then*

$$V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n.$$

Proof. It follows from the recurrences (7) and (10) with $\nu = \emptyset$, Remark 4.8, and Theorem 6.1 that

$$\frac{V_{\lambda\mu\emptyset}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\lambda\mu\emptyset}^n.$$

It follows from the recurrences (8) and (11) with $\mu = \emptyset$, Remark 4.8, and Theorem 6.1 that

$$\frac{V_{\lambda\emptyset\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\lambda\emptyset\nu}^n.$$

It follows from the recurrences (9) and (12) with $\lambda = \emptyset$, Remark 4.8, and Theorem 6.1 that

$$\frac{V_{\emptyset\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\emptyset\mu\nu}^n.$$

Therefore, the proof is completed by the recurrences (7) and (10), Remark 4.8, and the 2-leg case just proved above. \square

Now we recall some notation in [7, Section 3.4] as follows. Let $\mathbf{q}_0 = 1$ and define \mathbf{q}_t for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the recursive relations $\mathbf{q}_t = q_t \cdot \mathbf{q}_{t-1}$. Then we have

$$\{\cdots, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q}_{-1}, \mathbf{q}_{-2}, \cdots\} = \{\cdots, q_1 q_2, q_1, 1, q_0^{-1}, q_0^{-1} q_{-1}^{-1}, \cdots\}.$$

For a partition $\eta = (\eta_0 \geq \eta_1 \geq \eta_2 \geq \cdots)$, set

$$\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\eta} = \{\mathbf{q}_{-\eta_0}, \mathbf{q}_{1-\eta_1}, \mathbf{q}_{2-\eta_2}, \mathbf{q}_{3-\eta_3}, \cdots\}.$$

Let

$$q^{-A_\lambda} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k^{-A_\lambda(k,n)},$$

$$H_\nu := \prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{1}{1 - \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} q_s^{h_\nu^s(i,j)}},$$

where

$$h_\nu^s(i,j) := \text{the number of boxes of color } s \text{ in the } (i,j)\text{-hook of } \nu.$$

It follows from Theorem 6.2 and [7, Theorem 12] that

Corollary 6.3. *The PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex $W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n(q_0, q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1})$ has the following explicit formula:*

$$W_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n = q^{-A_\lambda} \cdot \overline{q^{-A_{\mu'}}} \cdot H_\nu \cdot \sum_{\eta} q_0^{-|\eta|} \cdot \overline{s_{\lambda'/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu'})} \cdot s_{\mu/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu})$$

where ν is multi-regular, $s_{\xi/\eta}$ is the skew Schur function associated to partitions $\eta \subset \xi$ ($s_{\xi/\eta} = 0$ if $\eta \not\subset \xi$), and the overline denotes the exchange of variables $q_k \leftrightarrow q_{-k}$ with subscripts in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Remark 6.4. *Actually it is proved in [44, Theorem 5.22] that for any partition $\nu \neq \emptyset$,*

$$\frac{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^n}{O_\nu \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n} = W_{\emptyset\emptyset\nu}^n$$

where

$$O_\nu = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n(q_k, q_{k+1}, \cdots, q_{n+k-1})^{-2|\nu|_k + |\nu|_{k+1} + |\nu|_{k-1}}.$$

However, this equality can not be extended to the full 3-leg case for any partition $\nu \neq \emptyset$ with $n > 1$, otherwise both $\frac{V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n}{V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n}$ and $\frac{V_{\lambda\mu\nu}^n}{O_\nu \cdot V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^n}$ ($\nu \neq \emptyset$) will satisfy Equation (2) or (3) by recurrences (8) and (11) or (9) and (12), which is impossible since $O_\nu \cdot O_{\nu^r} \neq O_{\nu^r} \cdot O_{\nu^c}$ for $n > 1$.

Remark 6.5. *It is shown in [39, Lemma A.1] that H_ν is related to the loop Schur function \mathfrak{s}_ν by*

$$\mathfrak{s}_\nu(q_0, q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1}) = \left(\prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} q_{i-j}^j \right) \cdot H_\nu$$

where

$$\mathfrak{s}_\nu(q_0, q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1}) := \left(\sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\nu, n)} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \nu \\ i-j=l \pmod n}} q_{l, \omega((i,j), T)} \right)_{q_{i,j} = q_i^j}.$$

Here, the partition $\nu \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ is viewed as a Young diagram in French notation which is different from English notation used for Young diagrams in [39]. For each ν and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\text{SSYT}(\nu, n)$ contains semi-standard Young tableaux of the colored Young diagram ν (the box $(i, j) \in \nu$ is colored by $i - j \pmod n$) whose boxes are numbered such that numbers are weakly increasing from bottom to top

and strictly increasing from left to right. For any $T \in \text{SSYT}(\nu, n)$, the weight $\omega((i, j), T)$ is defined to be the number appearing in the box (i, j) . The subscript of the parenthesis above means the substitution $q_{i,j} = q_i^j$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. One may also refer to [34] for loop Schur functions generalizing the classical Schur functions.

Next, we provide a proof of the multi-regular orbifold DT/PT correspondence in [44, Conjecture 4.7] for toric CY 3-orbifolds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities as follows.

Corollary 6.6. *Let \mathcal{X} be a toric CY 3-orbifold with transverse A_{n-1} singularities. Then we have*

$$DT'_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = PT_{mr}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.22, Theorem 6.2, [7, Theorem 10] and the degree 0 DT partition

$$DT_0(\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} V_{\emptyset\emptyset\emptyset}^{n_{e_3,v}}(\mathbf{q}_v) \Big|_{q_{e_3,v,0} \rightarrow -q_{e_3,v,0}}$$

which is obtained by the similar argument in the proof of [7, Theorem 10]. \square

Remark 6.7. *It is observed as in [39, 37] that if we choose for each edge e*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{C_e}(\mathcal{D}_e) &= \mathcal{O}_{C_e}(m'_e p_e - \delta'_{0,e} p_{0,e} - \delta'_{\infty,e} p_{\infty,e}), \\ \mathcal{O}_{C_e}(\mathcal{D}'_e) &= \mathcal{O}_{C_e}(m_e p_e - \delta_{0,e} p_{0,e} - \delta_{\infty,e} p_{\infty,e}) \end{aligned}$$

which are different from the convention in Section 2.1 when $n_e = 1$, then we have

$$\underline{PT}_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\{\lambda_e\} \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}} \prod_{e \in E_{\mathcal{X}}} \tilde{E}_{\lambda_e}^e \prod_{v \in V_{\mathcal{X}}} \tilde{W}_{\lambda_{1,v} \lambda_{2,v} \lambda_{3,v}}^{n_{e_3,v}}(\mathbf{q}_v)$$

and

$$PT_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) = \underline{PT}_{mr}(\mathcal{X}) \Big|_{q_{e,0} \rightarrow -q_{e,0}, q \rightarrow -q}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{\lambda_e}^e &= (-1)^{(m_e + \delta_{0,e} + \delta_{\infty,e})|\lambda_e|} \cdot \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n_e-1} v_{e,k}^{\frac{|\lambda_e|}{n_e}} \right) \cdot q_e^{C^{\lambda_e}_{m'_e, m_e}}, \\ \tilde{W}_{\lambda_{\mu\nu}}^n &= \left(\prod_{(i,j) \in \nu} q_{i-j}^{-j} \right) \cdot \mathfrak{s}_{\nu} \cdot \sum_{\eta} q_0^{-|\eta|} \cdot \overline{s_{\lambda'/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu'})} \cdot s_{\mu/\eta}(\mathbf{q}_{\bullet-\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Remarks on orbifold GW/DT correspondence and DT crepant resolution conjecture.

In [38, 39, 36], the authors establish the orbifold GW/DT correspondence for toric CY 3-folds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities by proving orbifold GW/DT vertex correspondence which is compatible with their gluing formulas. As the reduced DT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex used in [38, 39, 36] is the PT \mathbb{Z}_n -vertex by Theorem 6.2, the orbifold GW/DT correspondence there is actually the orbifold GW/PT correspondence. Similarly, the proof of DT crepant resolution conjecture for toric CY 3-folds with transverse A_{n-1} singularities in [37] can be viewed as the validity of the corresponding PT crepant resolution conjecture. Since the orbifold PT theory can be interpreted as a geometric approach to the reduced orbifold DT theory as in the smooth case, we will investigate the orbifold GW/PT correspondence further as in [28, 29, 30].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *The topological vertex*, Comm. Math. Phys. 254 (2005) 425–478.
- [2] S. V. Beentjes, J. Calabres, J. V. Rennemo, *A proof of the Donaldson-Thomas crepant resolution conjecture*, Invent. Math. 229 (2022), 451–562.

- [3] K. Behrend, *Donaldson–Thomas type invariants via microlocal geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) 170 (3) (2009) 1307–1338.
- [4] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, Algebra Number Theory 2 (3) (2008) 313–345.
- [5] L. Borisov, L. Chen, G. Smith, *The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne–Mumford stacks*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1) (2005) 193–215.
- [6] T. Bridgeland, *Hall algebras and curve-counting invariants*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (2011), no. 4, 969–998.
- [7] J. Bryan, C. Cadman and B. Young, *The orbifold topological vertex*, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), no. 1, 531–595.
- [8] J. Bryan and R. Pandharipande, *The local Gromov–Witten theory of curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), no. 1, 101–136.
- [9] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [10] B. Fantechi, E. Mann, F. Nironi, *Smooth toric Deligne–Mumford stacks*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 648 (2010) 201–244.
- [11] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. 135, (1999), no. 2, 487–518.
- [12] H. Jenne, *Combinatorics of the double-dimer model*, Adv. Math. 392 (2021).
- [13] H. Jenne, G. Webb and B. Young, *Double-Dimer condensation and the PT-DT correspondence*, arXiv:2109.11773
- [14] A. Kresch, *On the geometry of Deligne–Mumford stacks*, in: Algebraic Geometry. Part 1, Seattle, 2005, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 80, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, 259–271.
- [15] E. H. Kuo, *Applications of graphical condensation for enumerating matchings and tilings*, Theor. Comput. Sci., 319(1-3) (2004) 29–57.
- [16] Jun Li, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Kefeng Liu, and Jian Zhou, *A mathematical theory of the topological vertex*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), no. 1, 527–621.
- [17] Yijie Lin, *Moduli spaces of semistable pairs on projective Deligne–Mumford stacks*, to appear in Mathematical Research Letters.
- [18] Yijie Lin, *Relative orbifold Pandharipande–Thomas theory and the degeneration formula*, International Mathematics Research Notices, DOI:10.1093/imrn/rnab347.
- [19] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Kefeng Liu, Jian Zhou, *A proof of a conjecture of Mariño–Vafa on Hodge integrals*, J. Differential Geom. 65 (2003) 289–340.
- [20] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, Kefeng Liu, Jian Zhou, *A formula of two-partition Hodge integrals*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1) (2007) 149–184.
- [21] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory, I*, Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 5, 1263–1285.
- [22] D. Maulik, A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov, R. Pandharipande, *Gromov–Witten/Donaldson–Thomas correspondence for toric 3-folds*, Invent. Math. 186, (2011), no. 2, 435–479.
- [23] D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, and R. Thomas, *Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms*, (with an appendix by A. Pixton), J. Topol. 3, (2010), no. 4, 937–996.
- [24] A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *GW/PT descendent correspondence via vertex operators*, Communications in Mathematical Physics. 2020. no. 374. 1321–1359.
- [25] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and invariants of the unknot*, Geom. Topol. 8 (2004), 675–699.
- [26] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *The local Donaldson–Thomas theory of curves*, Geom. Topol. 14 (2010), no. 3, 1503–1567.
- [27] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, C. Vafa, *Quantum Calabi–Yau and classical crystals*, from: “The unity of mathematics”, (P Etingof, V Retakh, IM Singer, editors), Progr. Math. 244, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (2006) 597–618.

- [28] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, *Descendents on local curves: Rationality*, *Comp. Math.* 149 (2013), 81–124.
- [29] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, *Descendent theory for stable pairs on toric 3-folds*, *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan.* 65 (2013), 1337–1372.
- [30] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, *Gromov-Witten/Pairs descendent correspondence for toric 3-folds*, *Geom. Topol.* 18 (2014), 2747–2821.
- [31] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, *Gromov-Witten/Pairs correspondence for the quintic*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 30 (2017), 389–449.
- [32] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, *Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category*, *Invent. Math.* 178 (2009), no. 2, 407–447.
- [33] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, *The 3-fold vertex via stable pairs*, *Geom. Topol.* 13, 1835–1876 (2009).
- [34] D. Ross, *The loop Murnaghan-Nakayama rule*, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 39 (2014), 3–15.
- [35] D. Ross, *Localization and gluing of orbifold amplitudes: the Gromov-Witten orbifold vertex*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 366 (2011), no. 3, 1587–1620.
- [36] D. Ross, *On the Gromov-Witten/Donaldson-Thomas correspondence and Ruan’s conjecture for Calabi-Yau 3-orbifolds*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 340 (2015), no. 2, 851–864.
- [37] D. Ross, *Donaldson-Thomas theory and resolutions of toric transverse A-singularities*, *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 23 (2017), 15–37.
- [38] D. Ross and Z. Zong, *The gerby Gopakumar-Mariño-Vafa formula*, *Geom. Topol.* 17 (2013), no. 5, 2935–2976.
- [39] D. Ross and Z. Zong, *Cyclic Hodge integrals and loop Schur functions*, *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), 1448–1486.
- [40] J. P. Serre, *Local Algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, pp. xiv+128. Springer, Berlin (2000).
- [41] J. Stoppa and R. P. Thomas, *Hilbert schemes and stable pairs: GIT and derived category wall crossings*, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* 139(3), 297–339 (2011).
- [42] Y. Toda, *Curve counting theories via stable objects I. DT/PT correspondence*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 4, 1119–1157.
- [43] A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, *Invent. Math.* 97 (1989), no. 3, 613–670.
- [44] Tao Zhang, *Stable pair theory on toric orbifolds and colored reverse plane partitions*, PhD thesis.
- [45] Z. Zhou, *Donaldson-Thomas theory of $[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{P}^1$* , *Sel. Math. New Ser.* 24 (2018), 3663–3722.
- [46] Z. Zong, *Generalized Mariño-Vafa formula and local Gromov-Witten theory of orbi-curves*, *J. Differential Geom.* 100 (2015), no. 1, 161–190.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FUJIAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, FUZHOU 350117, P.R. CHINA
 Email address: yjlin@fjnu.edu.cn; yjlin12@163.com