

ON THE DOUBLE DENSITY SPECTRA OF COMPACT SPACES

ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ AND JAN VAN MILL

ABSTRACT. The set $dd(X)$ of densities of *all* dense subspaces of a topological space X is called the *double density spectrum* of X . In this note we present a couple of results that imply $\lambda \in dd(X)$, provided that X is a *compact* space and λ is a cardinal satisfying certain conditions.

As a consequence of these results, we prove that

$$dd(X) = [d(X), w(X)]$$

holds for any polyadic space X . This, in turn, implies that $dd(G) = [d(G), w(G)]$ for any locally compact topological group G .

1. INTRODUCTION

For any topological space X we denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the family of all dense subspaces of X . Then

$$dd(X) = \{d(D) : D \in \mathcal{D}(X)\},$$

i.e. the set of densities of *all* dense subspaces of X is called the *double density spectrum* of X . This concept was introduced and studied in [4]. While the double density spectra of Hausdorff, resp. regular spaces were completely characterised there, only some partial results were obtained about the double density spectra of compact spaces, in fact only for separable compacta. In this note we shall present some new results on this problem, by providing information about the double density spectra of certain well-studied classes of compact spaces, for instance polyadic spaces.

Of course, we have $d(X) = \min dd(X)$ and $\delta(X) = \sup dd(X) \leq \pi(X)$ for any space X . Now, by the main result of [5] we have $\pi(X) = \max dd(X)$ if X is compact, so this problem reduces to the following question: For what cardinals λ does $d(X) < \lambda < \pi(X)$ imply $\lambda \in dd(X)$ for a compactum X ?

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25, 54B35, 54C05, 54D30, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. density of a topological space, compact space, polyadic space.

The first author was supported by NKFIH grant no. K 129211.

For all undefined notions, see [2].

2. THE CLASS $\Gamma(\lambda)$

We start by presenting a relatively simple lemma that will turn out to be very useful below.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $E \subset f[X]$ is such that $|E| = d(E) = \lambda$, moreover $d(f^{-1}(y)) \leq \lambda$ for every $y \in E$. Then there is a dense subset F of $f^{-1}[E]$ such that $|F| = d(F) = \lambda$ as well.*

Proof. We may fix a dense subset D_y of $f^{-1}(y)$ with $1 \leq |D_y| \leq \lambda$ for each $y \in E$. We claim that $F = \bigcup\{D_y : y \in E\}$ is as required.

Indeed, then $|F| = \lambda$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}(f^{-1}[E])$ are obvious. Also, if $H \subset F$ and $|H| < \lambda$ then $f[H] \subset E$ has cardinality $< \lambda$ as well, hence $f[H]$ is not dense in E , consequently H cannot be dense in F by the continuity of f . But this means that $d(F) = \lambda$. \square

Note that if $f^{-1}[E]$ happens to be dense in X in the above Lemma 2.1, then so is F , hence we have $\lambda \in dd(X)$.

Now, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection with Y a T_1 -space and $y \in Y$ has pseudo-character $\leq \lambda$ in Y then $f^{-1}(y)$ is a closed G_λ -set in X . Thus, in view of Lemma 2.1, we are naturally led to the following definition.

Definition 2.2. For any infinite cardinal λ we denote by $\Gamma(\lambda)$ the class of all spaces in which every closed G_λ -set has density $\leq \lambda$.

Note that $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$ clearly implies $d(X) \leq \lambda$. Also, the class $\Gamma(\lambda)$ is trivially closed under continuous images. This observation, together with our next result, provides a large variety of compacta that belong to $\Gamma(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.3. *If X is the product of at most 2^λ many compacta of weight $\leq \lambda$ then $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Assume that $X = \prod\{X_i : i \in I\}$, where $|I| \leq 2^\lambda$ and \mathcal{B}_i is a base of the compact space X_i with $|\mathcal{B}_i| \leq \lambda$ for every $i \in I$. Then the family \mathcal{B} consisting of all elementary open sets of the form

$$\bigcap\{p_i^{-1}[B_i] : i \in a\}$$

with $a \in [I]^{<\omega}$ is a base of X . Here $p_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection of X onto its i -th factor space X_i . Note that every member of \mathcal{B} depends only on a finite number of coordinates.

Now, consider any closed G_λ -set H in the product X , so $H = \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ for a family \mathcal{U} of open subsets of X with $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \lambda$. Since H is compact, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is a finite subset \mathcal{B}_U of \mathcal{B} such that

$$H \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}_U = V_U \subset U.$$

Clearly, then every such V_U depends only on a finite number of coordinates, say a_U . Then H depends only on the coordinates in $J = \bigcup \{a_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, where $|J| \leq \lambda$.

Let us denote the projection of H to the subproduct $X_J = \prod \{X_i : i \in J\}$ by H_J . Then we have

$$d(H_J) \leq w(H_J) \leq w(X_J) \leq \lambda.$$

Also, it is well-known that $|I \setminus J| \leq 2^\lambda$ implies $d(X_{I \setminus J}) \leq \lambda$ as well. But H is clearly homeomorphic to $H_J \times X_{I \setminus J}$, consequently we have $d(H) \leq \lambda$, and this completes our proof. \square

Let us recall now that a (compact) space is called μ -adic for some infinite cardinal number μ if it is the continuous image of some power of $A(\mu)$, the Alexandrov one-point compactification of the discrete space of size μ . The space X is *polyadic* if it is μ -adic for some $\mu \geq \omega$. If X is polyadic then we denote by $\mu(X)$ the smallest μ such that X is μ -adic. It is easy to see that $\mu(X) \leq d(X)$. Clearly, the class of polyadic spaces is the smallest class of spaces that contains all the $A(\mu)$'s and is closed under products and continuous images.

We note that ω -adic spaces are the same as dyadic spaces, i.e. the continuous images of the Cantor cubes.

Polyadic spaces were first studied by Mrówka [7] in 1970 as a generalisation of dyadic spaces.

Corollary 2.4. *If X is a polyadic space of weight κ then $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$ whenever $d(X) \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$.*

Proof. It is standard and easy to prove that X is actually the continuous image of $A(\mu(X))^\kappa$. But then Theorem 2.3 can be applied to the product $A(\mu(X))^\kappa$ because $w(A(\mu(X))) = \mu(X) \leq d(X) \leq \lambda$ and $\kappa = w(X) \leq 2^{d(X)} \leq 2^\lambda$. Thus we have $A(\mu(X))^\kappa \in \Gamma(\lambda)$, hence $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$ as well. \square

The next theorem is the main result of this section. It involves the cardinal function $\widehat{c}(X)$, the ‘‘hat version’’ of cellularity defined as the smallest cardinal κ for which there is no cellular (i.e. disjoint) family of κ open sets in X .

Another important ingredient of the proof is the fact that a continuous surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *quasi-open*, i.e. $\text{Int } f[U] \neq \emptyset$ for every

non-empty open subset of U of X , iff for every $E \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ we have $f^{-1}[E] \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. In fact, if X is also compact, hence the map f is also closed, then this already follows from f being *pseudo-open*, i.e. such that the inverse image of any dense open subset of Y is dense in X , see 1.2 and 1.3 of [6].

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a compact space and λ a regular cardinal such that $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$, moreover*

$$\lambda = \lambda^{<\widehat{c}(X)} < \pi(X).$$

Then $\lambda \in dd(X)$.

Proof. We may assume that X is a subspace of the Tychonov cube $[0, 1]^\kappa$, where $\kappa = w(X)$ and will show that there is $J \subset \kappa$ with $|J| = \lambda$ such that the projection map $p_J : [0, 1]^\kappa \rightarrow [0, 1]^J$ restricted to X is pseudo-open, and hence *quasi-open*. To this end, we first take an elementary submodel M of $H(\vartheta)$ for a large enough regular cardinal ϑ such that $X, \kappa \in M$, $|M| = \lambda$, and M is $<\widehat{c}(X)$ -closed, i.e. $[M]^\mu \subset M$ for all cardinals $\mu < \widehat{c}(X)$. This is possible because $\lambda = \lambda^{<\widehat{c}(X)}$ and $\widehat{c}(X)$ is regular. Using the regularity of λ we may also choose M to be $<\lambda$ -covering, that is such that $M \cap [M]^{<\lambda}$ is cofinal in $[M]^{<\lambda}$. This can be achieved by building up M as the union of an elementary chain of length λ of elementary submodels of size $<\lambda$.

After having M , we put $J = M \cap \kappa$ and claim that $p_J \upharpoonright X : X \rightarrow Y = p_J[X]$ is pseudo-open, i.e. if G is dense open in Y then $X \cap p_J^{-1}[G] = (p_J \upharpoonright X)^{-1}[G]$ is dense in X .

To see this, let us fix an open base $\mathcal{B} \in M$ of $[0, 1]$ and let \mathcal{E} be the family of functions with domain a finite subset of κ and range included in \mathcal{B} . Clearly, $\mathcal{E} \in M$ and $M \models \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ iff $\varepsilon \in M \cap \mathcal{E}$ iff $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\text{dom}(\varepsilon) \subset J$. For every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ we denote by $[\varepsilon]$ the elementary open set in $[0, 1]^\kappa$ determined by ε . Also, for any $\varepsilon \in M \cap \mathcal{E}$ we use $[\varepsilon]_J$ to denote the projection $p_J[[\varepsilon]]$, which is an elementary open set in $[0, 1]^J$.

Since $\widehat{c}(Y) \leq \widehat{c}(X)$, we can choose a collection $\mathcal{F} \subset M \cap \mathcal{E}$ with $|\mathcal{F}| < \widehat{c}(X)$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\emptyset \neq Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J \subset G$, moreover the family $\{Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J : \varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is disjoint and its union is dense in G and hence in Y . Note that $|\mathcal{F}| < \widehat{c}(X)$ implies $\mathcal{F} \in M$.

Now, the fact that $H = \bigcup \{Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J : \varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is dense in Y can be reformulated as follows: For every $\varepsilon \in M \cap \mathcal{E}$, if $Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J \neq \emptyset$ then there is some $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J \cap [\eta]_J \neq \emptyset$. But $Y \cap [\varepsilon]_J \cap [\eta]_J \neq \emptyset$ is clearly equivalent with $X \cap [\varepsilon] \cap [\eta] \neq \emptyset$, consequently the following statement is satisfied in M :

$$\forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \exists \eta \in \mathcal{F} (X \cap [\varepsilon] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow X \cap [\varepsilon] \cap [\eta] \neq \emptyset).$$

Since all the three parameters of this formula, namely \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{F} , and X belong to M , by elementarity it is actually true. But this just means that $X \cap p_J^{-1}[H]$ is dense in X , hence so is the larger set $X \cap p_J^{-1}[G]$.

Next we show that $\pi(Y) = \lambda$. To see this, using that $M \cap [M]^{<\lambda}$ is cofinal in $[M]^{<\lambda}$, it suffices to show that $\{Y \cap [\eta]_J : \eta \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is not a π -base of Y for every $\mathcal{F} \in M \cap [\mathcal{E}]^{<\lambda}$. But we know that $|\mathcal{F}| < \lambda < \pi(X)$, hence there is $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ that witnesses this, i.e. $X \cap [\varepsilon] \setminus [\eta] \neq \emptyset$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$. By $\mathcal{F} \in M$ and elementarity, however, we have such an $\varepsilon \in M \cap \mathcal{E}$, i.e. with $\text{dom}(\varepsilon) \subset J$ as well. This then shows that $\{Y \cap [\eta]_J : \eta \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is not a π -base of Y .

Now, Y is compact, hence $\lambda = \pi(Y) \in dd(Y)$ by [5], consequently there is $E \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ such that $|E| = d(E) = \lambda$. Also, we have $w(Y) = \lambda$, hence for every $y \in E$, in fact for every $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is a closed G_λ -set in X , where $f = p_J \upharpoonright X : X \rightarrow Y$. So, as $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$, we have $d(f^{-1}(y)) \leq \lambda$ as well. But f is quasi-open, consequently $f^{-1}[E]$ is dense in X , consequently by Lemma 2.1 we get a dense subset F of X with $|F| = d(F) = \lambda$, hence $\lambda \in dd(X)$. \square

Let X be a compact CCC space such that $d(X) < \mathfrak{c} < \pi(X)$ and $X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{c})$. By Theorem 2.2 then $\mathfrak{c} \in dd(X)$, provided that \mathfrak{c} is regular. We do not know if the same conclusion is valid if \mathfrak{c} is singular.

Clearly, there is no such problem if \mathfrak{c} is replaced by \mathfrak{c}^{+n} , the n th successor of \mathfrak{c} , for $0 < n < \omega$. Since, by Theorem 2.1 of [4] $dd(X)$ is ω -closed, it follows that the above observation is also valid if we replace \mathfrak{c} with the singular $\mathfrak{c}^{+\omega}$.

Now, how about $\mathfrak{c}^{+\omega+1}$? As is known, the *singular cardinal hypothesis* implies that $\mathfrak{c}^{+\omega+1}$ is an ω -power, hence Theorem 2.5 applies to it. More generally, this argument yields that if X is a compact CCC space and $d(X) < \mathfrak{c}^{+\alpha} < \pi(X)$ with $X \in \Gamma((\mathfrak{c})^{+\alpha})$ then $\mathfrak{c}^{+\alpha} \in dd(X)$ for all $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, provided that the singular cardinal hypothesis holds. It is well-known that the failure of the singular cardinal hypothesis needs large cardinals. We do not know, however, if the failure of the above statement say for $\mathfrak{c}^{+\omega+1}$, or for $\mathfrak{c}^{+\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, would imply the existence of some large cardinal.

Finally we note that any dyadic compactum X is CCC and belongs to $\Gamma(\lambda)$ for any $d(X) \leq \lambda \leq w(X) = \pi(X)$ by Corollary 2.4. In the next section we shall show that in this case we always have $\lambda \in dd(X)$, i.e. $dd(X) = [d(X), w(X)]$.

3. THE CLASS $\Delta(\lambda)$ AND $dd(X)$ FOR POLYADIC X

In this section for any infinite cardinal λ we introduce a class of *compact* spaces that will turn out to include all polyadic spaces X such

that $d(X) \leq \lambda \leq w(X)$. The significance of these classes will become clear later.

Definition 3.1. For any infinite cardinal λ we denote by $\Delta(\lambda)$ the class of all *compact* spaces of weight $\geq \lambda$ in which there is a dense subset S such that

- (i) $w(\overline{T}) < \lambda$ for every $T \subset S$ with $|T| < \lambda$;
- (ii) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous onto and $w(Y) = \lambda$ then $|f[S]| = \lambda$.

While this definition might seem ad hoc, our following result justifies its relevance. We also note here that every class $\Delta(\lambda)$ is closed under continuous images of weight $\geq \lambda$. Indeed, assume that $X \in \Delta(\lambda)$ and S is a dense subset of X witnessing this. It is straight forward to see then that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection with $w(Y) \geq \lambda$, then $f[S]$ is a witness for $Y \in \Delta(\lambda)$.

Theorem 3.2. *If $X \in \Gamma(\lambda) \cap \Delta(\lambda)$ then $\lambda \in dd(X)$.*

Proof. Let S be a dense subset of X witnessing $X \in \Delta(\lambda)$. By [3] there is a continuous surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $w(Y) = \lambda$ because $w(X) \geq \lambda$, and set $E = f[S]$. Then E is dense in Y and by clause (ii) of Definition 2.2 we have $|E| = \lambda$.

On the other hand, by (i) we have $w(f[\overline{T}]) \leq w(\overline{T}) < \lambda = w(Y)$ for any $T \in [S]^{<\lambda}$, because the weight of a continuous image of a compact space may not be bigger than the weight of the domain. Consequently, no $D \in [E]^{<\lambda}$ is dense in E (or equivalently Y) because there is $T \in [S]^{<\lambda}$ with $f[T] = D$, and so $w(\overline{D}) \leq w(\overline{T}) < \lambda = w(Y)$. In other words, we have $|E| = d(E) = \lambda$.

Now, $w(Y) = \lambda$ clearly implies that $f^{-1}(y)$ is a closed G_λ -set in X for all $y \in Y$, hence $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$ implies $d(f^{-1}(y)) \leq \lambda$. Thus we may apply Lemma 2.1 to conclude that there is a dense subset F of $f^{-1}[E]$ such that $|F| = d(F) = \lambda$. But we clearly have $S \subset f^{-1}[E]$, hence $f^{-1}[E]$ is dense in X , consequently so is F . This, however, means that F is a witness for $\lambda \in dd(X)$. \square

Our aim now is to show that all polyadic spaces belong to $\Delta(\lambda)$ for the relevant values of λ . To do that, we need some preparation.

We start with the trivial observation that any space X decomposes in the disjoint union $X = I(X) \cup X'$, where $I(X)$ is the set of all isolated points and X' is the set of all accumulation points of X . The following simple observation will turn out to be crucial below.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be any closed and continuous map. Then $f[X] \setminus f[X'] \subset I(f[X])$, and so it is a discrete subset of Y .*

Proof. Indeed, let $y \in f[X] \setminus f[X']$, this means that $f^{-1}(y) \subset I(X)$. Consequently, $X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$ is closed in X , hence its f -image $f[X] \setminus \{y\}$ is closed in $f[X]$, hence $y \in I(f[X])$. \square

The next result is the most significant step towards our aim.

Theorem 3.4. *If $\omega \leq \mu < \lambda \leq \kappa$ then $A(\mu)^\kappa \in \Delta(\lambda)$.*

Proof. To make our notation specific, we assume that the underlying set of $A(\mu)$ is $\mu + 1 = \mu \cup \{\mu\}$, with all $\alpha < \mu$ isolated and the top ordinal μ being the compactifying point. Then the points of $A(\mu)^\kappa$ are functions with domain κ and range included in $\mu + 1$. Clearly, $A(\mu)^\kappa$ is compact of weight $\geq \lambda$, hence we only have to find a dense $S \subset A(\mu)^\kappa$ satisfying clauses (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1.

To do that, for every $x \in A(\mu)^\kappa$ we define the support $\text{sp}(x)$ of x as follows:

$$\text{sp}(x) = \{\xi < \kappa : x(\xi) \in \mu\}.$$

We then set $S = \{x \in A(\mu)^\kappa : |\text{sp}(x)| < \omega\}$, clearly S is dense in $A(\mu)^\kappa$ and we claim that it witnesses $A(\mu)^\kappa \in \Delta(\lambda)$. Interestingly, S does not depend on λ .

To check (i), take any $T \in [S]^{<\lambda}$. Then $\nu = |\bigcup\{\text{sp}(x) : x \in T\}| < \lambda$ as well, hence \bar{T} is homeomorphic to a subspace of $A(\mu)^\nu$ that clearly has weight $\mu \cdot \nu < \lambda$.

Now we turn to checking (ii). To this end, we let $S_n = \{x \in S : |\text{sp}(x)| \leq n\}$ for any $n < \omega$. Every such S_n is clearly closed in $A(\mu)^\kappa$, and hence is compact. Also, it is clear that

$$I(S_{n+1}) = S_{n+1} \setminus S_n = \{x \in S : |\text{sp}(x)| = n + 1\}.$$

Note that S_0 is the singleton consisting of the constant μ -valued function on κ .

Let now f be a continuous surjection of $A(\mu)^\kappa$ onto Y with $w(Y) = \lambda$. We shall show by induction on $n < \omega$ that $|f[S_n]| \leq \lambda$ for all $n < \omega$. This is trivial for $n = 0$. The induction step from n to $n + 1$ uses Lemma 3.3 for the obviously closed map $f \upharpoonright S_{n+1}$. Indeed, this tells us that $f[S_{n+1}] \setminus f[S_n]$ is discrete in Y , and hence has cardinality $\leq \lambda$, hence $|f[S_n]| \leq \lambda$ implies $|f[S_{n+1}]| \leq \lambda$. This, together with $S = \bigcup\{S_n : n < \omega\}$ then implies $|f[S]| \leq \lambda$ as well. But (i) clearly implies that $f[T]$ cannot be dense in Y for $T \in [S]^{<\lambda}$, hence we actually have $|f[S]| = \lambda$, completing the proof of (ii). \square

Now we can easily formulate and prove what we aimed for.

Theorem 3.5. *If X is a polyadic space then $dd(X) = [d(X), w(X)]$.*

Proof. If $d(X) = w(X)$ then the statement is obvious, so assume that $d(X) < w(X)$. As we have seen in the previous section, $\mu(X) \leq d(X)$ and X is the continuous image of $A(\mu(X))^{w(X)}$. Since we always have $d(X) = \min dd(X)$, it remains to consider λ with $\mu(X) \leq d(X) < \lambda \leq w(X)$. By Theorem 3.4 in this case $A(\mu(X))^{w(X)} \in \Delta(\lambda)$, hence $\lambda \leq w(X)$ implies $X \in \Delta(\lambda)$ as well. However, by Corollary 2.4 we also have $X \in \Gamma(\lambda)$, consequently Theorem 3.2 implies that $\lambda \in dd(X)$. \square

It is worth to note that, as a byproduct, this result implies that $\pi(X) = w(X)$ for any polyadic space X because $\pi(X) = \max dd(X) \leq w(X)$. Of course, this fact is well-known.

As is well-known, any compact topological group G is dyadic, consequently we have $dd(G) = [d(G), w(G)] = [\log w(G), w(G)]$. In fact, the equality $dd(G) = [d(G), w(G)]$ holds for all locally compact topological groups as well, being an immediate consequence of the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *The Alexandrov one-point compactification $A(G)$ of any locally compact topological group G is polyadic.*

Proof. By Cleary and Morris [1], we may assume that

$$G = \mathbb{R}^n \times K \times D,$$

where $n < \omega$, K is a compact group, and D is discrete. It suffices to prove that G has a polyadic compactification aG since the 1-point compactification of G is a continuous image of aG . The 1-point compactification $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of \mathbb{R}^n is dyadic, being compact metric. The 1-point compactification $A(D)$ is trivially polyadic since D is discrete. Hence as K is dyadic, and the product of polyadic spaces is polyadic, the compactification $A(\mathbb{R}^n) \times K \times A(D)$ of G is indeed polyadic. \square

Since it is obvious that $dd(G) = dd(A(G))$ and $\pi(G) = \pi(A(G)) = w(A(G))$, we indeed conclude that $dd(G) = [d(G), \pi(G)] = [d(G), w(G)]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Cleary and S. Morris, *Topologies on locally compact groups*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. **38** (1988), 105–111.
- [2] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, Mathematical Centre Tract, vol. 34, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [3] I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions on continuous images*, Proceedings of the Tennessee Topology Conference (Nashville, TN, 1996), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, pp. 89–94.
- [4] I. Juhász, J. van Mill, L. Soukup, and Z. Szentmiklóssy, The double density spectrum of a topological space, Israel J. Math., published online in December 2022: <https://rdcu.be/c2ml4>
- [5] I. Juhász and S. Shelah, $\pi(X) = \delta(X)$ for compact X , Top. Appl. **32** (1989), 289–294.

- [6] I. Juhász, L. Soukup, and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *Spaces of small cellularity have nowhere constant continuous images of small weight*, Top. Appl., **281** (2020), 107212
- [7] S. Mrówka, *Mazur theorem and m -adic spaces*, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci. Sér. Math. Astronom. Phys., **18** (1970), 299–305.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

Email address: juhasz@renyi.hu

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Email address: j.vanMill@uva.nl