

**ON THE LOCAL EVERYWHERE HÖLDER CONTINUITY FOR
WEAK SOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF NOT CONVEX
VECTORIAL PROBLEMS OF THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS**

TIZIANO GRANUCCI

Dedicated to my family, Elisa Cirri, Caterina Granucci and Delia Granucci

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the regularity of the local minima of the following integral functional

$$(0.1) \quad J(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\nabla u^{\alpha}(x)|^p + G(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \, dx$$

where Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 < p < n$. In particular, not convexity (quasi-convexity, policonvexity or rank one convexity) hypothesis will be made on the density G , neither structure hypothesis nor radial nor diagonal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the regularity of the local minima of the following integral functional

$$(1.1) \quad J(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\nabla u^{\alpha}(x)|^p + G(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \, dx$$

where Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 < p < n$.

Moreover the following hypotheses hold

H.1.1: $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function such that

$$|G(x, s_1, \xi_1) - G(x, s_2, \xi_2)| \leq a(x) |s_1 - s_2|^{\alpha} (|\xi_1| + |\xi_2| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|s_1| + |s_2| + 1)^{q_2} |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^{\beta}$$

for \mathcal{L}^n almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, where $0 < \alpha < \min\{1, p - q_1\}$, $q_1 < p$, $0 < \beta < \min\{1, p - q_2\}$, $q_2 < p$, $a \in L_{loc}^{\sigma_1}(\Omega)$ is a not negative function, $b \in L_{loc}^{\sigma_2}(\Omega)$ is a not negative function, $\sigma_1 > \frac{pp^*}{(p^* - \alpha)p - q_1 p^*}$, $\sigma_2 > \frac{pp^*}{(p - \beta)p^* - q_2 p}$,

$$\frac{q_1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} < \frac{p}{n}$$

Date: 27/06/2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49N60, 35J50.

Key words and phrases. Hölder continuity, not convex problems.

I thank my family Elisa Cirri, Caterina Granucci, Delia Granucci for their support. I also thank my friends Monia Randolfi and Massimo Masi for the many discussions and for the many advice.

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

and

$$\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{q_2}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} < \frac{p}{n}$$

The main result of this article is the following regularity theorem:

Theorem 1. *If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a minimizer of (1.1) and H.1.1 holds then $u \in C_{loc}^{0,\delta}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

Theorem 1 is interesting for a few reasons. We know that in the vector case there are many counter examples, refer to [14, 19, 21], and in general the minima are not everywhere regular, refer to [16, 37]. Furthermore, starting from the end of the 1970s, using suitable hypotheses of convexity and regularity on the density Φ for the minima of functionals of the type

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi(|\nabla u(x)|) dx$$

regularity theorems have been proved, refer to [1, 2, 4-7, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 34, 42-44]. Theorem 1 has no hypothesis either of structure or form, or of regularity or convexity on the density G . Finally, the proof of Theorem 1 is particularly simple, in fact the previous Theorem 1 derives from the following Cacciopoli inequalities using the techniques introduced by E. De Giorgi in [13].

Theorem 2. *If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a minimizer of () and H.1.1 holds then, for every $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ compact, two positive constants $C_{Cac,1}$, $C_{Cac,2}$ (dependenti solo da Σ , p e n) and a radius $R_0 > 0$ exist such that for every $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ for every $x_0 \in \Sigma$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows*

$$\int_{A_{k,\varrho}^{\alpha}} |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{Cac,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^{\alpha}} (u^{\alpha} - k)^p dx + C_{Cac,2} |A_{k,s}^{\alpha}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{k,\varrho}^{\alpha}} |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{Cac,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^{\alpha}} (k - u^{\alpha})^p dx + C_{Cac,2} |B_{k,s}^{\alpha}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

where $A_{k,s}^{\alpha} = \{u^{\alpha} > k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$ and $B_{k,s}^{\alpha} = \{u^{\alpha} < k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$.

Our results can therefore be framed within a vast area of research called everywhere regularity that was born with the fundamental works of Uhlenbeck [44], Tolksdorf [42, 43] and Acerbi - Fusco [1]. The literature in this area is very wide, in the bibliography we report only some articles, refer to [1,2, 4-7, 15, 17-21, 34-36, 42-44]. Theorem 1 is part of the study of the regularity of the minima of functionals of the following type

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} F(x, u, \nabla u) dx$$

in this case, as far as the limited knowledge of the author is concerned, there are few results, in particular we refer to [8-11, 25, 29-33]. The previous theorem 1 can therefore be included in a series of results obtained in recent years [8-11, 25,

29-33]. In [8] Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo introduced the following class of vectorial functionals

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u) \, dx$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $n > 1$, $m \geq 1$ and

$$f(x, \nabla u) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m F_{\alpha}(x, \nabla u^{\alpha}) + G(x, \nabla u)$$

where $F_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following standard growth condition

$$k_1 |\xi^{\alpha}|^p - a(x) \leq F_{\alpha}(x, \xi^{\alpha}) \leq k_2 |\xi^{\alpha}|^p + a(x)$$

for every $\xi^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for almost every $x \in \Omega$, where k_1 and k_2 are two real positive constants, $p > 1$ and $a \in L_{loc}^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ is a non negative function. In [8], Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo analyze two different types of hypotheses on the G function. They started by assuming that $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory rank one convex function satisfying the following growth condition

$$|G(x, \xi)| \leq k_3 |\xi|^q + b(x)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, here k_3 is a real positive constant, $1 \leq q < p$ and $b \in L_{loc}^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function. Moreover Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo in [8] study the case where $n \geq m \geq 3$, and $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function defined as

$$G(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m G_{\alpha}(x, (adj_{m-1}\xi)^{\alpha})$$

here $G_{\alpha} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{m!}{n!(n-m)!}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory convex function satisfying the following growth conditions

$$0 \leq G_{\alpha}(x, (adj_{m-1}\xi)^{\alpha}) \leq k_4 |(adj_{m-1}\xi)^{\alpha}|^r + b(x)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, here k_4 is a real positive constant, $1 \leq r < p$ and $b \in L_{loc}^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ is a non negative function. In both cases, by imposing appropriate hypotheses on the parameters q and r , Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo proved that the local minimizers of the vectorial functional (1.2) are locally hölder continuous functions.

In [32] the author studied the regularity of the minima of the following functional

$$(1.3) \quad J(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m f_{\alpha}(x, u^{\alpha}(x), \nabla u^{\alpha}(x)) + G(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \, dx$$

where Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 < p < n$ e supponendo che le seguenti ipotesi valgano

H.2.1: For every $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ the function $f_{\alpha} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and the following growth conditions hold

$$(1.4) \quad |\xi^{\alpha}|^p - b_{\alpha}(x) |s|^{\gamma_{\alpha}} - a_{\alpha}(x) \leq f_{\alpha}(x, s, \xi^{\alpha}) \leq L_{\alpha} (|\xi^{\alpha}|^p + b_{\alpha}(x) |s|^{\gamma_{\alpha}} + a_{\alpha}(x))$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $\xi^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $L_{\alpha} > 1$, $1 < p \leq \gamma_{\alpha} < p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$, b_{α} and a_{α} are two not-negative function,

$b_\alpha \in L_{loc}^{\sigma_\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $a_\alpha \in L_{loc}^\kappa(\Omega)$ with $\sigma_\alpha = \frac{p^*}{p^* - \gamma_\alpha - \epsilon p^*}$, $\kappa = \frac{n}{p - \epsilon n}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{p}{n}$.

H.2.2: $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and the following growth conditions hold

$$(1.5) \quad |G(x, u, \xi)| \leq C(|\xi|^q + |u|^q + a(x))$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ where $C > 1$, $1 \leq q < \frac{p^2}{n} < p$, a is a not-negative function and $a \in L_{loc}^\kappa(\Omega)$ with $\kappa = \frac{n}{p - \epsilon n}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{p}{n}$.

H.2.3: The function $G(x, u, \cdot)$ is rank one convex then

$$G(x, u, \lambda \xi^1 + (1 - \lambda) \xi^2) \leq \lambda G(x, u, \xi^1) + (1 - \lambda) G(x, u, \xi^2)$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and for every $\xi^1, \xi^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ with $\text{rank}\{\xi^1 - \xi^2\} \leq 1$.

H.2.4: The function $G(x, \cdot, \xi)$ is hölder continuous and for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ it follows

$$(1.6) \quad |G(x, u, \xi) - G(x, v, \xi)| \leq c(x) |\xi|^\delta |u - v|^\beta$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ with $0 < \delta < q$ and $0 < \beta < \min\left\{\frac{p^*(q-\delta)}{q}, p - \delta, 1\right\}$, c is a not-negative function and $c(x) \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ with $\sigma > \frac{p^*q}{p^*(q-\delta) - \beta q}$ and

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} < \frac{p}{n}$$

H.2.4 (bis): H.1.4 holds with $c(x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} < \frac{p}{n}$$

In particular, the author in [32] proves the following regularity theorem.

Theorem 3. *If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, with $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 < p < n$, is a local minimizer of the functional (1.3) and the hypotheses H.2.1, H.2.2, H.2.3 and H.2.4 (or H.2.4 (bis)) hold then every componets u^α of the vectorial function u are a locally hölder continuous functions.*

In [33] the author generalizes these results by considering less restrictive conditions on the parameters, also considering the polyconvex case and studying borderline cases. In [31] the author studies the regularity of the minima of the following class of functionals

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{F}(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\nabla u^\alpha|^p + G(x, u, |\nabla u^1|, \dots, |\nabla u^m|) dx$$

considering the following hypotheses on density G .

H.3: Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$ and let $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}_{0,+}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Caratheodory function, where $\mathbb{R}_{0,+} = [0, +\infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{0,+}^m = \mathbb{R}_{0,+} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_{0,+}$ with $m \geq 1$; we make the following growth conditions on G : there exists a constant $L > 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\xi^\alpha|^q - \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |s^\alpha|^q - a(x) \leq G(x, s^1, \dots, s^m, |\xi^1|, \dots, |\xi^m|) \leq L \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\xi^\alpha|^q + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |s^\alpha|^q + a(x) \right]$$

for \mathcal{L}^n a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $s^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $\xi^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ and $m \geq 1$ and with $a(x) \in L^\sigma(\Omega)$, $a(x) \geq 0$ for \mathcal{L}^n a. e. $x \in \Omega$, $\sigma > \frac{n}{p}$, $1 \leq q < \frac{p^2}{n}$ and $1 < p < n$.

Assuming that the previous growth hypothesis H.3 holds, the author in [31] proved the following regularity result.

Theorem 4. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$; if $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, with $m \geq 1$, is a local minimum of the functional (1.9) and H.3 holds then $u^\alpha \in C_{loc}^{\alpha,\beta}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, with $\beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Theorem 1 of this article differs considerably from the previous regularity results presented in [8-11, 32,33], in fact, unlike the results given in [8-11, 32,33] no Convexity or Polyconvexity, or Quasi-Convexity, or Rank-one Convexity hypothesis is made on density G , while, unlike the results contained in [31], density G has no diagonal structure.

In [25] the author with M. Randolfi proved a regularity result for the minima of vector functionals with anisotropic growths of the following type

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m F_\alpha(x, \nabla u^\alpha) + G(x, \nabla u) \, dx$$

with

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) \leq F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) \leq L [\bar{B}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}(|\xi^\alpha|) + a(x)]$$

where $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$ are N functions belonging to the class $\Delta_2^{m_\alpha} \cap \nabla_2^{r_\alpha}$, \bar{B}_α is the Sobolev function associated with $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$'s, $\beta_\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function; oppure with

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) - a(x) \leq F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) \leq L_1 \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) + a(x) \right]$$

where $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$ are N-functions belonging to the class $\Delta_2^{m_\alpha} \cap \nabla_2^{r_\alpha}$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function, moreover, appropriate hypotheses are made on the density G , for more details we refer to [25]. In particular, using the techniques presented in [26-28], the author with M. Randolfi have shown that the minima of the functional (1.10) are locally bounded functions in the case (1.11) and locally Hölder continuous in the case (1.12), we refer to [25] for more details.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Before giving the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, for completeness we introduce a list of results that we will use during the proof.

2.1. Lemmata.

Lemma 1 (Young Inequality). *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $a, b > 0$ and $1 < p, q < +\infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ then it follows*

$$(2.1) \quad ab \leq \varepsilon \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{p}} q}$$

Lemma 2 (Hölder Inequality). *Assume $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ then if $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^q(\Omega)$ it follows*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |uv| \, dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Lemma 3. *Let $Z(t)$ be a nonnegative and bounded function on the set $[\varrho, R]$; if for every $\varrho \leq t < s \leq R$ we get*

$$(2.3) \quad Z(t) \leq \theta Z(s) + \frac{A}{(s-t)^\lambda} + \frac{B}{(s-t)^\mu} + C$$

where $A, B, C \geq 0$, $\lambda > \mu > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$ then it follows

$$(2.4) \quad Z(\varrho) \leq C(\theta, \lambda) \left(\frac{A}{(R-\varrho)^\lambda} + \frac{B}{(R-\varrho)^\mu} + C \right)$$

where $C(\theta, \lambda) > 0$ is a real constant depending only on θ and λ .

Refer to [12, 24].

2.2. Polyconvex, Quasi-Convex and Rank-one Convex functions.

Definition 1. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be rank one convex if*

$$f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$$

for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ with $\text{rank}\{A - B\} \leq 1$.

Definition 2. *A Borel measurable function and locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasiconvex if*

$$f(A) \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D f(A + \nabla \varphi) \, dx$$

for every bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ and for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^{nm})$.

Definition 3. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be polyconvex if there exists a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ convex such that*

$$f(A) = g(T(A))$$

where $T : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\tau(n,m)}$ is such that

$$T(A) = (A, \text{adj}_2(A), \dots, \text{adj}_{n \wedge m}(A))$$

where $\text{adj}_s(A)$ stands for the matrix of all $s \times s$ minors of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$, $2 \leq s \leq n \wedge m = \min\{n, m\}$ and

$$\tau(n, m) = \sum_{s=1}^{n \wedge m} \sigma(s)$$

where $\sigma(s) = \frac{n!m!}{(s!)^2(m-s)!(n-s)!}$.

In particular we recall the following theorem.

Theorem 5. (1) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then

f convex $\implies f$ polyconvex $\implies f$ quasiconvex $\implies f$ rank one convex.

(2) If $m = 1$ or $n = 1$ then all these notions are equivalent.

(3) If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{nm})$ then rank one convexity is equivalent to Legendre-Hadamard condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial A_\alpha^i \partial A_\beta^j}(A) \lambda^i \lambda^j \mu_\alpha \mu_\beta \geq 0$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A = (A_\alpha^i)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$.

(4) If $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, polyconvex, quasiconvex or rank one convex then f is locally Lipschitz.

Refer to [12, 24].

2.3. Sobolev Spaces.

Theorem 6 (Sobolev Inequality). Let Ω be a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p < N$ there exists a real positive constant C_{SN} , depending only on p and N , such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_{SN} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

Theorem 7. (Rellich-Sobolev Immersion Theorem) Let Ω be a open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary then if $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p < N$ there exists a real positive constant C_{IS} , depending only on p and N , such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_{IS} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

Refer to [3, 12, 24, 40, 41].

For completeness we remember that if Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and u is a Lebesgue measurable function then $L^p(\Omega)$ is the set of the class of the Lebesgue measurable function such that $\int_\Omega |u|^p dx < +\infty$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the set of the function $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that its weak derivative $\partial_i u \in L^p(\Omega)$. The spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces with the respective norms

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

We say that the function $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belong in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if $u^\alpha \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, where u^α is the α component of the vector-valued function u ; we end by remembering that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

Definition 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set and $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belong to the De Giorgi class $DG^+(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ with $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_* > 0$, $\chi > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 0$ if

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}} (v-k)^p dx + \lambda_* (\chi^p + k^p R^{-N\varepsilon}) |A_{k,R}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for all $k \geq k_0 \geq 0$ and for all pair of balls $B_\varrho(x_0) \subset B_R(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and $A_{k,s} = B_s(x_0) \cap \{v > k\}$ with $s > 0$.

Definition 5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set and $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belong to the De Giorgi class $DG^-(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ with $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_* > 0$, $\chi > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 0$ if

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}} (k-v)^p dx + \lambda_* (\chi^p + |k|^p R^{-N\varepsilon}) |B_{k,R}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for all $k \leq -k_0 \leq 0$ and for all pair of balls $B_\varrho(x_0) \subset B_R(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and $B_{k,s} = B_s(x_0) \cap \{v < k\}$ with $s > 0$.

Definition 6. We set $DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0) = DG^+(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0) \cap DG^-(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$.

Theorem 8. Let $v \in DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$, then there exists a constant $C > 1$ depending only upon the data and not-dependent on v and $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that for every pair of balls $B_{\tau\varrho}(x_0) \subset B_\varrho(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R_0$

$$(2.12) \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_{\tau\varrho}(x_0))} \leq \max \left\{ \lambda_* \varrho^{\frac{N\varepsilon}{p}}; \frac{C}{(1-\tau)^{\frac{N}{p}}} \left[\frac{1}{|B_\varrho(x_0)|} \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |v|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}$$

moreover, there exists $\tilde{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ depending only upon the data and not-dependent on v and $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \text{osc}(v, B_\varrho(x_0)) \leq C \max \left\{ \lambda_* \varrho^{\frac{N\varepsilon}{p}}; \left(\frac{\varrho}{R} \right)^{\tilde{\alpha}} \text{osc}(v, B_R(x_0)) \right\}$$

where $\text{osc}(v, B_s(x_0)) = \text{ess sup}_{B_s(x_0)}(v) - \text{ess inf}_{B_s(x_0)}(v)$. Therefore $v \in C_{loc}^{0, \tilde{\alpha}_0}(\Omega)$ with $\tilde{\alpha}_0 = \max\{\tilde{\alpha}; N\chi\}$.

For more details on De Giorgi's classes and for the proof of the Theorem 8 refer to [22, 24] (see also [13, 38, 39] for the De Giorgi–Moser–Nash Theorem).

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let us consider $y \in \Omega$ then we fix $R_0 = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varpi_N}}, \text{dist}(\partial\Omega, y) \right\}$, where $\varpi_N = |B_1(0)|$, and we define $\Sigma = \{x \in \Omega : |x - y| \leq R_0\}$. We fix $x_0 \in \Sigma$, $R_1 = \frac{1}{4} \text{dist}(\partial\Sigma, x_0)$, $0 < \varrho \leq t < s \leq R < R_0$, $B_z(x_0) = \{x : |x - x_0| < z\}$ and we choose $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_s(x_0))$ such that $\eta = 1$ on $B_t(x_0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ on $B_s(x_0)$ and $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}$ on $B_s(x_0)$. Let us define

$$\varphi = -\eta^p w$$

where $w \in W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$w^1 = \max(u^1 - k, 0), w^\alpha = 0, \alpha = 2, \dots, n$$

Let us observe that $\varphi = 0$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$ thus

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla u + \nabla\varphi = \nabla u$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$. Since u is a local minimizer of the functional (1.1) then we get

$$(3.2) \quad J(u, \Sigma) \leq J(u + \varphi, \Sigma)$$

it is

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\nabla u^\alpha|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\nabla u^\alpha + \nabla\varphi^\alpha|^p + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla\varphi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |\nabla u^\alpha|^p \, dx + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u^1|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |\nabla u^\alpha|^p \, dx + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla\varphi^1|^p + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla\varphi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

From (2.20) we deduce

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u^1|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla\varphi^1|^p + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla\varphi) \, dx \\ & = \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla\varphi^1|^p \, dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_r(x_0) \setminus} |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\ & + \int_{B_s(x_0)} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla\varphi) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_s(x_0) \setminus} G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla u^1|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla\varphi^1|^p \, dx + \\ & + \int_{B_s(x_0)} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla\varphi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $E_{k,s}^1 = \{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\} \cap B_s(x_0) \subset B_s(x_0)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
(3.7) \quad & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p \, dx + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \\
& + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\
(3.8) \quad & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p \, dx + \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) - G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) - G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
(3.9) \quad & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

using (3.8), (3.9) and H.1.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p \, dx + \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p \, dx \\
(3.11) \quad & \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\
& + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p \, dx + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} \, dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Now let's estimate the following term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx$$

since $\alpha, \beta < 1$, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^{p^*}(B_s(x_0))}^\alpha \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (a(x))^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^{\frac{q_1 p^*}{p^*-\alpha}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^*-\alpha}{p^*}} \\ & \quad + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (b(x))^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{\frac{pq_2}{p-\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since $\alpha < \min\{1, p - q_1\} < \frac{p^*(p-q_1)}{p}$ and $\beta < \min\{1, p - q_2\} < \frac{p(p^*-q_2)}{p^*}$, then using Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq C_{SI}^\alpha \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (a(x))^{\frac{pp^*}{p(p^*-\alpha)-q_1 p^*}} dx \right)^{\frac{p(p^*-\alpha)-q_1 p^*}{p(p^*-\alpha)}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1 p^*}{(p^*-\alpha)p}} \right)^{\frac{p^*-\alpha}{p^*}} \\ & \quad + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (b(x))^{\frac{pp^*}{(p-\beta)p^*-pq_2}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p-\beta)p^*-pq_2}{(p-\beta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{pq_2}{p^*(p-\beta)}} \right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\sigma_1 > \frac{pp^*}{(p^*-\alpha)p-q_1p^*}$ and $\sigma_2 > \frac{pp^*}{(p-\beta)p^*-q_2p}$ we get

(3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq C_{SI}^\alpha \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{pp^*}{[(p^*-\alpha)p-q_1p^*]\sigma_1}} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)^{\frac{p(p^*-\alpha)-q_1p^*}{p(p^*-\alpha)}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1p^*}{(p^*-\alpha)p}} \right)^{\frac{p^*-\alpha}{p^*}} \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{pp^*}{[(p-\beta)p^*-pq_2]\sigma_2}} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)^{\frac{(p-\beta)p^*-pq_2}{(p-\beta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{pq_2}{p^*(p-\beta)}} \right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{p}} \\
& \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\left(\frac{p(p^*-\alpha)-q_1p^*}{pp^*} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \right)} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(p-\beta)p^*-pq_2}{pp^*} - \frac{1}{\sigma_2}} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla \varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_1 = 1 - \left(\frac{q_1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma_1}\right)$ and $\Theta_2 = 1 - \left(\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{q_2}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2}\right)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla\varphi| + 2|\nabla u| + 1)^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \leq 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} + 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} + 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \right] \\
& \leq 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha+q_1}{p}} + 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{q_1}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \right] \\
& \leq 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p+q_1}{p-(\alpha+q_1)}}} + \varepsilon \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} + \varepsilon 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} + \varepsilon 2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right] \\
& \leq \varepsilon \left(1 + 2^{1+\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \right) 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \\
& \quad + 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p+q_1}{p-(\alpha+q_1)}}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon \left(1 + 2^{1+\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \right) 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |\Sigma|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \right) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \\
& \quad + 2^{\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)q_1} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p+q_1}{p-(\alpha+q_1)}}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} |\Sigma|^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (|\varphi| + 2|u| + 1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \cdot \left(2^{p^*-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx + 2^{3p^*-2} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx + 2^{3p^*-2} |E_{k,s}^1| \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \\
& \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \cdot \left[2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \right] \\
& \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \cdot \left[2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p}} + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \right] \\
& \leq \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \cdot \left[2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta+q_2}{p}} + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right. \\
& \left. + 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right] \\
& \leq \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(E_{k,s}^1)} \right) \\
& \cdot \left[\frac{2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2} + \varepsilon 2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta+q_2}{p-(\beta+q_2)}}} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\left(\frac{q_2}{p^*}\right)\frac{p}{p-\beta}} + \varepsilon 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\left(\frac{q_2}{p^*}\right)\frac{p}{p-\beta}} + \varepsilon 2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \right] \\
& \leq \varepsilon \left(|\Sigma|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(\Sigma)} \right) \left(2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2} + 2^{1+(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \right) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \\
& + \left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(\Sigma)} \right) \left[\frac{2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta+q_2}{p-(\beta+q_2)}}} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} \left(\int_{B_s(x_0)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\left(\frac{q_2}{p^*}\right)\frac{p}{p-\beta}} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} |\Sigma|^{\left(\frac{q_2}{p^*}\right)\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

then using the Embedding Sobolev Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx + D_{2,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_1} + D_{3,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1,\Sigma} &= \left(1 + 2^{1+(\frac{2p-1}{p})q_1} \right) 2^{(\frac{p-1}{p})q_1} \left(C_{SI}^\alpha |\Sigma|^{\Theta_1} \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \right) \\ & \quad + \left(|\Sigma|^{\Theta_2} \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(\Sigma)} \right) \left(2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} C_{SI}^{q_2} + 2^{1+(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}} \right) \\ D_{2,\varepsilon,\Sigma} &= C_{SI}^\alpha \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p+q_1}{p-(\alpha+q_1)}}} + \frac{2^{(\frac{2p-1}{p})q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{pq_1}{p-\alpha}} + \frac{2^{(\frac{2p-1}{p})q_1}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} |\Sigma| \left(\frac{q_1}{p} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_{3,\varepsilon,\Sigma} = \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(\Sigma)} \left[\frac{2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta+q_2}{p-(\beta+q_2)}}} C_{SI}^{q_2} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} C_{SE}^{\frac{pq_2}{p-\beta}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{pq_2}{p-\beta}} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} |\Sigma| \left(\frac{q_2}{p^*} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \right]$$

Since

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & (3.16) \\ & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a(x) |\varphi|^\alpha (|\nabla u + \nabla \varphi| + |\nabla u| + 1)^{q_1} + b(x) (|u + \varphi| + |u| + 1)^{q_2} |\nabla \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + \varepsilon 2^p D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + D_{2,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_1} + D_{3,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.12) and (3.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ & \quad + \varepsilon D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + \varepsilon 2^p D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + D_{2,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_1} + D_{3,\varepsilon,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\ (3.17) \quad & \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p dx + (2^{p-1} + 2^{2p-1} p^p) \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ & \quad + D_{2,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_1} + D_{3,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{2,\Sigma} = C_{SI}^\alpha \|a\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{p+q_1}{p-(\alpha+q_1)}}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}\right)^{\frac{pq_1}{p-\alpha}} + \frac{2^{\left(\frac{2p-1}{p}\right)q_1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha}}} |\Sigma|^{\left(\frac{q_1}{p}\right)\frac{p}{p-\alpha}} \right]$$

and

$$D_{3,\Sigma} = \|b\|_{L^{\sigma_2}(\Sigma)} \left[\frac{2^{(p^*-1)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\beta+q_2}{p-(\beta+q_2)}}} C_{SI}^{q_2} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} C_{SE}^{\frac{pq_2}{p-\beta}} \left(\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}\right)^{\frac{pq_2}{p-\beta}} + \frac{2^{(3p^*-2)\frac{q_2}{p^*}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2D_{1,\Sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{p-\beta}}} |\Sigma|^{\left(\frac{q_2}{p^*}\right)\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \right].$$

It is easy to observe that the constants $D_{2,\Sigma}$ and $D_{3,\Sigma}$ are independent of the point x_0 and that they depend only on the initial data.

Using (3.17), we get

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\ & \leq \frac{2^p}{1+2^p} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx + \left(\frac{2^p+2^{2p}p^p}{1+2^p}\right) \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{2D_{2,\Sigma}}{1+2^p} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} + \frac{2D_{3,\Sigma}}{1+2^p} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Lemma 3 we get

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^1} (u^1-k)^p dx + C_{C,2} |A_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} + C_{C,3} |A_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2}$$

Since $-u$ is a local minimizer of the following integral functional

$$\tilde{J}(v, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\nabla v^\alpha(x)|^p + \tilde{G}(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) dx$$

where $\tilde{G}(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) = G(x, -v(x), -\nabla v(x))$ then we get

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^1} (k-u^1)^p dx + C_{C,2} |B_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_1} + C_{C,3} |B_{k,s}^1|^{\Theta_2}$$

Similarly we can proceed for u^α with $\alpha = 2, \dots, m$. Since $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 > 1 - \frac{p}{n} + \epsilon$ then it follows

$$\int_{A_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^1} (u^\alpha-k)^p dx + (C_{C,2} + C_{C,3}) |A_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{n}+\epsilon}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^1} (k-u^\alpha)^p dx + (C_{C,2} + C_{C,3}) |B_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{n}+\epsilon}$$

for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof follows by applying Theorem 2 and Theorem 8.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco, Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals: the case $1 < p < 2$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 140, 1989, 115-134
- [2] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco, Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions, *J. Diff. Equ.*, 107, no 1, 1994, 46-67
- [3] T. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 11, 1976, 573-598
- [4] M. Bildhauer, M. Fuchs, Partial regularity for variational integrals with (s, μ, q) -growth, *Calc. Var.*, 13, 2001, 537-560
- [5] M. Bildhauer, M. Fuchs, G. Mingione, A priori gradient bounds and local $C^{1,\alpha}$ -estimates for (doble) obstacle problems under nonstandard growth conditions, *Z. Anal. Anw.*, 20, no 4, 2001, 959-985
- [6] M. Bildhauer, *Convex Variational Problems, Linear, Nearly Linear and Anisotropic Growth Conditions*, Springer, Berlin, 2003
- [7] D. Breit, B. Stroffolini, A. Verde, A general regularity theorem for functionals with φ -growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 383 (2011), 226-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.05.012>
- [8] G. Cupini, M. Focardi, F. Leonetti, E. Mascolo, On the Holder continuity for a class of vectorial problems, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 9 (2020), no. 1, 1008-1025. <https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2020-0039>
- [9] G. Cupini, F. Leonetti, E. Mascolo, Local boundedness for minimizers of some polyconvex integrals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 224 (2017), no.1, 269-289.
- [10] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo, Local boundedness of solutions to quasilinear elliptic systems, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 137, (2012), 287-315.
- [11] G. Cupini, P. Marcellini, E. Mascolo, Local boundedness of solutions to some anisotropic elliptic systems, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 595 (2013), 169-186.
- [12] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, 1989
- [13] E. De Giorgi, Sulla differenziabilità e l'analicità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino (Classe di Sci. mat. fis. e nat.)*, 3 (3) (1957), 25-43.
- [14] E. De Giorgi, Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 4 (1968), 135-137.
- [15] L. Diening, B. Stroffolini, A. Verde, Everywhere regularity of functional with φ -growth, *Manus. Math.*, 129 (2009), 440-481.
- [16] L. C. Evans, Quasiconvexity and partial regularity in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Raz. Mech. Anal.*, 95, 1986, 227-252
- [17] L. Esposito, G. Mingione, Some remarks on the regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic systems, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 11, no 1, 1998, 203-219
- [18] L. Esposito, G. Mingione, Partial regularity for minimizers of convex integrals with $L \log L$ -growth, *Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl.*, 7, 2000, 107-125
- [19] J. Frehse, A discontinuous solution of a mildly nonlinear system, *Math. Z.*, 124 (1973), 229-230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01214096>
- [20] M. Fuchs, G. Serengin, A regularity theory for variational integrals with $L \log L$ -growth, *Cal. Var.*, 6, 1998, 171-187
- [21] M. Fuchs, Local Lipschitz regularity of vector valued local minimizers of variational integrals with densities depending on the modulus of the gradient, *Math. Nachr.*, 284 (2011), 266-272.
- [22] M. Giaquinta, E. Giusti, On the regularity of minima of variational integrals, *Acta Mathematica*, 148 (1983), 285-298.
- [23] E. Giusti, M. Miranda, Un esempio di soluzioni discontinue per un problema di minimo relativo ad un integrale regolare del calcolo delle variazioni, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 2 (1968), 1-8
- [24] E. Giusti, *Metodi diretti nel Calcolo delle Variazioni*, U. M. I., Bologna, 1994.
- [25] T. Granucci, M. Randolfi, Regularity for local minima of a special class of vectorial problems with fully anisotropic growth, *manuscripta math.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-021-01360-0>

- [26] Granucci, T., Randolfi, M.: Local boundedness of quasi-minimizers of fully anisotropic scalar variational problems. *Manuscr. Math.* 160, 99–152 (2019)
- [27] Granucci, T.: An Harnack inequality for quasi-minima of scalar integral functionals with general growth conditions. *Manuscr. Math.* 152, 345–380 (2017)
- [28] Granucci, T.: L^Φ - L^∞ inequalities and new remarks on the Hölder continuity of the quasi-minima of scalar integral functionals with general growths. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* 22, 165–212 (2016)
- [29] Granucci, T.: An Example of Everywhere Regularity for Minima of Vectorial Integral Functionals of the Calculus of Variation, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 15, 2021, no. 7, 291 - 302
- [30] Granucci, T.: An Example of Everywhere Hölder Continuity for a Weak Solution of a Particular Vectorial Problem, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 14, 2020, no. 7, 305 - 313
- [31] T. Granucci, On the everywhere hölder continuity of the minima of a class of vectorial integral functionals of the calculus of variation, submitted
- [32] T. Granucci, Everywhere hölder continuity of vectorial local minimizers of special classes of integral functionals with rank one integrands, submitted
- [33] T. Granucci, Not convex densities and everywhere hölder continuity of weak solutions of vectorial problems, submitted
- [34] P. Marcellini, Everywhere regularity for a class of elliptic systems without growth conditions, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa*, 23 (1996), 1-25.
- [35] G. Mingione, Singularities of minima: a walk on the wild side of the calculus of variations, *Journal of global optimization*, 40, (2008) 209-223
- [36] G. Mingione, Regularity of minima: an invitation to the dark side of the calculus of variations, *Applications of mathematics*, 51, (2006) 355-426
- [37] C. B. Morrey, Partial regularity results for nonlinear elliptic systems, *J. Math. Mech.*, 17, 1968, 649-670
- [38] J. Moser, A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14 (1961), 457-468. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160130308>
- [39] J. Nash, Continuity of solution of parabolic and elliptic equations, *Amer. J. of Math.*, 80 (1958), 931-954. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372841>
- [40] S. L. Sobolev, Sur un théorème d'analyse fonctionnelle, *Math. Sb. (N.S)*, 46, 1938, 471-496
- [41] G. Talenti, Best constants in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. di Matem. Pura ed Appl.*, 110, 1976, 353-372
- [42] P. Tolksdorf, A new proof of a regularity theorem, *Invent. Math.*, 71 (1983), no.1, 43-49.
- [43] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a More General Class of Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, *J. of Differ. Equ.*, 51 (1984), 126-150.
- [44] K. Uhlenbeck, Regularity for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems, *Acta Math.*, 138 (1977), 219-240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02392316>

MIUR, ISTITUTO LEONARDO DA VINCI, VIA DEL TERZOLLE 91, 50127, FIRENZE, ITALY

Email address: tizianogranucci@libero.it

URL: <https://www.tizianogranucci.com/>