

# A BIJECTION BETWEEN STRONGLY STABLE AND TOTALLY SYMMETRIC PARTITIONS

SETH IRELAND

ABSTRACT. Artinian monomial ideals in  $d$  variables correspond to  $d$ -dimensional partitions. We define  $d$ -dimensional strongly stable partitions and show that they correspond to strongly stable ideals in  $d$  variables. We show a bijection between strongly stable partitions and totally symmetric partitions which preserves the side length of the minimal bounding box.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The subgroup of  $GL_d(K)$  consisting of upper triangular matrices is called the Borel group. Ideals which are fixed by the Borel group are monomial ideals called Borel-fixed ideals. Generic initial ideals are always Borel-fixed, and in characteristic zero, ideals are Borel-fixed if and only if they are strongly stable [4]. So the generic initial ideals over a field of characteristic zero are exactly the strongly stable ideals [1].

Artinian monomial ideals in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  correspond naturally to  $d$ -dimensional partitions by considering the monomials which are not in the ideal. We can think of these as  $d$ -dimensional stacks of blocks in a ( $d$ -dimensional) corner. Totally symmetric partitions are  $d$ -dimensional partitions which are fixed by the symmetric group  $S_d$ .

In this paper, we define strongly stable partitions (Definition 2.5), show that they naturally correspond to strongly stable ideals (Proposition 4.5), and finally show a bijection with totally symmetric partitions which preserves the side length  $n$  of the smallest box containing the partitions (Theorem 1).

## 2. PARTITIONS

Let  $d$  be a positive integer and let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A  $d$ -dimensional partition is a finite subset  $P \subset \mathbb{N}^d$  such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_d) \in P \implies (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j - 1, \dots, a_d) \in P$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$  if  $a_j > 0$ . Refer to the elements of a partition as *cells*.


Denote the set of  $d$ -dimensional partitions with largest coordinate of any cell equal to  $n-1$  by  $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ . We think of these as  $d$ -dimensional partitions which fit inside a  $d$ -dimensional box of side length  $n$  and also touch at least one edge of the box. Note that the "boxes" we refer to are  $n \times \dots \times n$  boxes of dimension  $d$  (every side of the box is the same length). Integer partitions correspond exactly with the 2-dimensional partitions.

**Example 2.2.** For example, consider the integer partition  $7=3+2+1+1$ . We can represent the integer partition with the Ferrers diagram below,

---

*Keywords:* Generic Initial Ideal, Borel Group, Borel Ideal, Symmetric Monomial Ideal, Strongly Stable Ideal, Strongly Stable Partition, Totally Symmetric Plane Partition, Plane Partition, Enumeration.

*MSC classification:* Primary: 05A17; Secondary: 13F55.




which depicts the 2-dimensional partition

$$P = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0), (3, 0)\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(4).$$

**Example 2.3.** A 3-dimensional partition is commonly called a *plane partition*. An example of a plane partition of 10 is

$$P = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0), (0, 2, 0), (2, 0, 0)\} \in \mathcal{P}_3(3)$$

which we can visualize with the diagram below



Plane partitions are also commonly represented in matrix notation. In matrix notation, the partition above could be written

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array}$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $P$  be a  $d$ -dimensional partition. For a cell  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in P$ , define the  $j$ th *arm length* to be the largest integer  $h_j$  such that  $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_d) \in P$ . Denote the vector of arm lengths by  $H(\alpha) = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$  and call this the *Hook vector* of  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A *strongly stable partition* is a partition for which every cell's Hook vector is weakly increasing.

We will denote the set of strongly stable partitions which fit inside a box of side length  $n$  by


$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d(n) := \{P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_d(n) \text{ and } P \text{ is strongly stable}\}$$

**Example 2.6.** An example of a 2-dimensional strongly stable partition  $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(7)$  is given below with Hook vectors given inside each cell.

0,0			
0,1			
0,2			
1,3	0,0		
2,4	1,1	0,0	
2,5	1,2	0,1	
3,6	2,3	1,2	0,0

Note that 2-dimensional strongly stable partitions are exactly the integer partitions with no repeats. These are commonly called *strict* partitions.

**Example 2.7.** An example of a 3-dimensional strongly stable partition  $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3(4)$  is given below. One can verify that the Hook vectors of each cell are weakly increasing.




**Definition 2.8.** A *totally symmetric partition* is a partition for which  $\alpha \in P \implies \pi(\alpha) \in P$  for all  $\pi \in S_d$ .

We denote the set of totally symmetric partitions which fit inside a box of side length  $n$  by


$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_d(n) := \{P \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_d(n) \text{ and } P \text{ is totally symmetric}\}$$

**Example 2.9.** An example of a 2-dimensional totally symmetric partition  $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_2(7)$  is given below.



Note that 2-dimensional totally symmetric partitions are commonly called *self-conjugate* partitions.

**Example 2.10.** An example of a 3-dimensional totally symmetric partition (totally symmetric plane partition)  $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_3(4)$  is shown below.



### 3. MONOMIAL IDEALS

Fix a positive integer  $n$  and let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero. We will often refer to *monomials* by their multi-exponent notation  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . In this notation, let  $\deg(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i$ . A *monomial ideal* is an ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  which is generated by monomials. Every monomial ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  has a unique minimal subset of monomial generators  $G(I)$ .

Elements  $(a_{i,j}) \in GL_d(K)$  induce a linear automorphism on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto f\left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_{i,1}x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^d a_{i,d}x_i\right)$$

The *Borel group* is the subgroup of  $GL_d(K)$  consisting of upper triangular matrices. The ideals which are fixed by the action of the Borel group on the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  are called *Borel-fixed ideals*.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be a monomial ideal. If  $m \frac{x_i}{x_j} \in I$  for all  $m \in I$  with  $x_j \mid m$  and  $i < j$ , then  $I$  is called *strongly stable*.

We will refer to the defining property of strongly stable ideals as the *variable exchange condition*.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $I$  be a monomial ideal. If  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ , then  $I$  is strongly stable iff  $I$  is Borel-fixed.*

Note that we are implicitly using the variable order  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_d$ . By shuffling this order, we would get different (but equivalent) sets of strongly stable ideals. The next proposition shows that we can check if  $I$  is strongly stable by checking the variable exchange condition on generators of  $I$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be a monomial ideal. Suppose that for all  $m \in G(I)$  and all integers  $1 \leq i < j \leq d$  such that  $x_j \mid m$ , we have  $m \frac{x_i}{x_j} \in I$ . Then  $I$  is strongly stable.*

See [4] for proofs of the previous two propositions.

**Example 3.4.** The ideal  $I = (x^4, x^3y, x^2y^3, xy^4, y^7)$  is strongly stable, because  $x^3y \frac{x}{y} = x^4 \in I$ ,  $x^2y^3 \frac{x}{y} = x^3y^2 \in I$ ,  $xy^4 \frac{x}{y} = x^2y^3 \in I$ , and  $y^7 \frac{x}{y} = xy^6 \in I$ .

Given a set of monomials  $A = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_r}\}$ , we can consider the minimal subset of  $A$  which generates the same ideal. We will use the notation  $\min(A)$  to refer to this minimal subset.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $m \in K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be a monomial. A *Borel move* is an operation that sends  $m$  to a monomial  $m \frac{x_{i_1}}{x_{j_1}} \cdots \frac{x_{i_s}}{x_{j_s}}$ , where  $i_t < j_t$  and  $m$  is divisible by  $x_{j_t}$  for all  $t$ .

**Definition 3.6.** A monomial ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a *strongly stable ideal* if it is closed under Borel moves.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $A$  be a subset of monomials. Define  $Borel(A)$  to be the smallest strongly stable ideal containing  $A$ . We call the monomials in  $A$  *Borel generators* of  $Borel(A)$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Every strongly stable ideal  $I$  has a unique minimal set of Borel generators. Refer to this set as  $Bgens(I)$ .*

**Proposition 3.9.** *Suppose  $I$  is a strongly stable ideal and  $m \in I$ . Then  $m \in Bgens(I)$  iff for all  $x_q$  dividing  $m$ ,  $\frac{m}{x_q} \notin I$  and  $m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q} \notin I$ .*

Definitions 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and Propositions 3.8 and 3.9 are due to [1]. Note that definitions 3.5 and 3.6 are equivalent to definition 3.1. Also, in [1], they refer to strongly stable ideals as *Borel ideals*. We have chosen to use the term strongly stable in this paper.

**Example 3.10.** For the ideal  $I = (x^4, x^3y, x^2y^3, xy^4, y^7)$ ,

$$Bgens(I) = \{x^3y, xy^4, y^7\}$$

**Definition 3.11.** A monomial ideal  $I$  is *symmetric* if it is closed under the action of the symmetric group  $S_d$  on the variables.

**Proposition 3.12.** *A monomial ideal  $I$  is symmetric iff  $G(I)$  is closed under the action of the symmetric group  $S_d$  on the variables.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $g \in G(I)$  and  $\pi \in S_d$ . Since  $I$  is symmetric,  $\pi(g) \in I$ . To see that  $\pi(g) \in G(I)$ , suppose not. Then there exists  $j$  so that  $\frac{\pi(g)}{x_j} \in I$ . It follows that  $\pi^{-1}(\frac{\pi(g)}{x_j}) = \frac{g}{x_{\pi^{-1}(j)}}$  so  $g \notin G(I)$ . Contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $u \in I$ . Then there exists some  $g \in G(I)$  so that  $g \mid u$ . It follows that  $\pi(g) \mid \pi(u)$  for all  $\pi \in S_d$ , so  $\pi(u) \in I$ .  $\square$

Given a set of monomials  $A = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_r}\}$ , we can *symmetrize* this set of monomials by letting  $S_d$  act on the variables. Denote this set by

$$sym(A) := \{\mathbf{x}^{\pi(\alpha_j)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_j} \in A; \pi \in S_d\}$$

**Definition 3.13.** A monomial  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha$  is a *pure power* of  $x_j$  if  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_d)$  with  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 3.14.** An ideal  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is *Artinian* if the Krull dimension of  $R/I$  is zero.

Note that a monomial ideal is Artinian if and only if  $I$  contains a pure power of  $x_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Denote the set of Artinian ideals with the largest degree of any pure power equal to  $n$  by  $\mathcal{A}_d(n)$ . Denote the set of Artinian strongly stable ideals with pure power  $x_d^n \in G(I)$  by

$$\mathcal{B}_d(n) := \{I \in \mathcal{A}_d(n) \mid I \text{ is strongly stable}\}$$

Similarly, use

$$\mathcal{T}_d(n) := \{I \in \mathcal{A}_d(n) \mid I \text{ is symmetric}\}$$

to denote the set of Artinian symmetric monomial ideals with pure power  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ .

**Proposition 3.15.** *Let  $I \in \mathcal{B}_d(n)$  with pure power  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ . Then for every pure power  $x_j^{k_j} \in G(I)$ , we have  $k_j \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We can use Borel moves to get  $x_j^n \in I$  for all  $j$ . So every pure power  $x_j^{k_j} \in G(I)$  must satisfy  $k_j \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.16.** *Let  $I \in \mathcal{T}_d(n)$  with pure power  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ . Then for every pure power  $x_j^{k_j} \in G(I)$ , we have  $k_j = n$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 3.12.  $\square$

#### 4. PARTITIONS CORRESPOND TO ARTINIAN MONOMIAL IDEALS

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $I \in \mathcal{A}_d(n)$ , then  $P = \{\alpha \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin I\} \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$ .*

*Proof.* First, we need to show that  $P = \{\alpha \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin I\}$  is a partition. Just notice that if  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in P$ , then  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin I$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{x}^{(a_1, \dots, a_j-1, \dots, a_d)} \notin I$  so  $(a_1, \dots, a_j - 1, \dots, a_d) \in P$ . Since  $I$  is Artinian,  $P$  is finite.

For every cell  $\gamma = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in P$ , we have  $c_i < n$ , because  $I$  contains a pure power of every variable with degree less than or equal to  $n$ . Since  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ ,  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, n-1) \in P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$ , then  $\{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \notin P\} \in \mathcal{A}_d(n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \notin P\}$ . It is clear that  $I$  is a monomial ideal. Since  $(0, 0, \dots, n, \dots, 0, 0) \notin P$ ,  $x_j^n \in I$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .


There is some cell  $\gamma = (c_1, \dots, c_j, \dots, c_d) \in P$  with  $c_j = n-1$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . By the definition of  $d$ -dimensional partition,  $(0, 0, \dots, n-1, \dots, 0) \in P$ , so  $x_j^n \in G(I)$ .  $\square$

The two propositions above allow us to define a map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d(n)$  by


$$\varphi(I) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha \notin I\}$$

with inverse  $\varphi^{-1} : \mathcal{P}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_d(n)$  given by  $\varphi^{-1}(P) = \{\mathbf{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \notin P\}$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $I = (x^4, x^2y, xy^2, y^3) \in \mathcal{A}_2(4)$ . Then  $\varphi(I)$  is the partition from Example 2.2 and is shown below with  $\bullet$  used to represent generators of  $I$ .



**Example 4.4.** Let  $I = (x^3, x^2y, y^3, x^2z, xyz, y^2z, z^2) \in \mathcal{A}_3(3)$ . Then  $\varphi(I)$  is the plane partition from Example 2.3 shown below.



We will show that we can restrict  $\varphi$  to a bijection between strongly stable ideals and strongly stable partitions and between symmetric monomial ideals and totally symmetric partitions.

**Proposition 4.5.** *A monomial ideal  $I$  is strongly stable iff  $\varphi(I)$  is a strongly stable partition.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\beta \in \varphi(I)$  so that  $\mathbf{x}^\beta \notin I$  and consider  $H(\beta) = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ . Fix  $1 \leq i < j \leq d$ . We have  $(b_1, \dots, b_i, \dots, b_j + h_j + 1, \dots, b_d) \notin \varphi(I)$ , and we can use the fact that  $I$  is strongly stable to get

$$\mathbf{x}^{(b_1, \dots, b_i, \dots, b_j + h_j + 1, \dots, b_d)} \in I \implies \mathbf{x}^{(b_1, \dots, b_i + h_j + 1, \dots, b_j, \dots, b_d)} \in I$$

so  $(b_1, \dots, b_i + h_j + 1, \dots, b_j, \dots, b_d) \notin P$ . Therefore,  $h_i < h_j + 1 \implies h_i \leq h_j$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in G(I)$  such that  $x_j \mid \mathbf{x}^\alpha$ . Then  $\frac{\mathbf{x}^\alpha}{x_j} = \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, \dots, a_j - 1, \dots, a_d)} \notin I$ , so  $\tilde{\alpha} = (a_1, \dots, a_j - 1, \dots, a_d) \in \varphi(I)$ . If  $H(\tilde{\alpha}) = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ , then  $h_j = 1$  because  $\alpha \notin P$ . Since  $\varphi(I)$  is a strongly stable partition,  $h_i \leq h_j = 1$  for  $i < j$ . In particular,  $(a_1, \dots, a_i + 1, \dots, a_j - 1, \dots, a_d) \notin I$ . So  $\mathbf{x}^{\alpha \frac{x_i}{x_j}} \in I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *A monomial ideal  $I$  is symmetric iff  $\varphi(I)$  is a totally symmetric partition.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\beta \in \varphi(I)$  and let  $\pi \in S_d$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}^\beta \notin I$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{\pi(\beta)} \notin I$ , so  $\pi(\beta) \in \varphi(I)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in I$ . Then  $\alpha \notin \varphi(I) \implies \pi(\alpha) \notin \varphi(I)$ , so  $\mathbf{x}^{\pi(\alpha)} \in I$ .  $\square$

In this section, we have shown that  $\varphi|_{\mathcal{B}_d(n)}: \mathcal{B}_d(n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d(n)$  and  $\varphi|_{\mathcal{T}_d(n)}: \mathcal{T}_d(n) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_d(n)$  are bijections. In the next section, we show a bijection  $\mathcal{B}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_d(n)$ .

## 5. BIJECTION BETWEEN MONOMIAL IDEALS

Denote the set of all monomials in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  by  $M_d$  and the set of monomials with weakly increasing exponent vector by  $F_d$ . Then use  $\mathcal{F}_d(n)$  to denote the set of minimal subsets of  $F_d$  which contain  $x_d^n$  and no coordinate of any exponent vector exceeding  $n$ . Define a map  $\psi: M_d \rightarrow F_d$  by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)}) = \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2 + a_3, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_d)}$$

and notice that  $\psi^{-1}: F_d \rightarrow M_d$  is given by

$$\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{(a_1, \dots, a_d)}) = \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, a_2 - a_1, a_3 - a_2, \dots, a_d - a_{d-1})}$$

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\psi(m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q}) = \frac{\psi(m)}{x_q}$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q}\right) &= \psi(\mathbf{x}^{(a_1, \dots, a_q-1, a_{q+1}+1, \dots, a_d)}) \\
&= \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, a_2-a_1, a_3-a_2, \dots, a_q-a_{q-1}-1, a_{q+1}-a_q, a_{q+2}-a_{q+1}, \dots, a_d-a_{d-1})} \\
&= \frac{\psi(m)}{x_q}
\end{aligned}$$

□

For a subset of monomials  $A = \{\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\alpha_r}\}$ , we will use  $\psi(A) := \{\psi(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_1}), \dots, \psi(\mathbf{x}^{\alpha_r})\}$ . For an ideal  $I$ , we will use  $\psi(I)$  to refer to the ideal generated by  $\psi(G(I))$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *For a strongly stable ideal  $I$ ,  $m \in I \iff \psi(m) \in \psi(I)$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $m \in I$ , then there exists  $g \in G(I)$  so that  $g \mid m$ . If  $g = \mathbf{x}^{(c_1, \dots, c_d)}$  and  $m = \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, \dots, a_d)}$ , then  $c_i \leq a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . It follows that  $c_1 + \dots + c_i \leq a_1 + \dots + a_i$  for all  $i$ . So  $\psi(g) \mid \psi(m)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\psi(m) \in \psi(I)$  and let  $k_i := a_1 + \dots + a_i - (c_1 + \dots + c_i)$  so that

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k_1, \dots, k_d)} \psi(g) = \psi(m)$$

Note that  $k_i = a_i - c_i + k_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, d$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g} &:= g \frac{x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_{d-1}^{k_{d-1}}}{x_2^{k_1} x_3^{k_2} \dots x_d^{k_{d-1}}} \\
&= g \frac{x_1^{k_1-k_2} x_1^{k_2-k_3} \dots x_1^{k_{d-2}-k_{d-1}} x_1^{k_{d-1}}}{x_2^{k_1-k_2} x_3^{k_2-k_3} \dots x_{d-1}^{k_{d-2}-k_{d-1}} x_d^{k_{d-1}}} \\
&= \mathbf{x}^{(c_1+k_1, c_2+k_2-k_1, c_3+k_3-k_2, \dots, c_{d-1}+k_{d-1}-k_{d-2}, c_d-k_{d-1})} \\
&= \mathbf{x}^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, c_d-k_{d-1})}
\end{aligned}$$

since  $c_i + k_i - k_{i-1} = c_i + (a_i - c_i + k_{i-1}) - k_{i-1} = a_i$ . For the last coordinate, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_d - k_{d-1} &= c_d - (a_1 + \dots + a_{d-1} - (c_1 + \dots + c_{d-1})) \\
&= c_1 + \dots + c_d - (a_1 + \dots + a_{d-1}) \\
&\leq a_d
\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $\tilde{g} \mid m$ . Now, we claim that  $\tilde{g} \in I$  because it is a Borel move from  $g$ . To see this, notice that  $k_{i-1} - k_i = c_i - a_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, d$  and  $k_{d-1} = a_1 + \dots + a_{d-1} - (c_1 + \dots + c_{d-1}) \leq c_d$ . So  $\tilde{g}$  is divisible by each of the denominators in the second line of  $\tilde{g}$ . □

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $I$  is a strongly stable ideal, then  $Bgens(I) = \psi^{-1}(\min(\psi(G(I))))$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) Let  $m \in Bgens(I) \subset G(I)$ . For any  $x_q \mid \psi(m)$ ,  $\frac{\psi(m)}{x_q} = \psi\left(m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q}\right) \notin \psi(I)$  because  $m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q} \notin I$ . Therefore,  $\psi(m) \in \min(\psi(G(I)))$ .

( $\supseteq$ ) Let  $m \in \psi^{-1}(\min(\psi(G(I))))$ . Then  $\psi(m)$  is minimal, so  $\frac{\psi(m)}{x_q} = \psi\left(m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q}\right) \notin \psi(I)$ . It follows that  $m \frac{x_{q+1}}{x_q} \notin I$ . □



Note that this gives an algorithm to compute  $Bgens(I)$  given a generating set  $G(I)$ . As a result of Proposition 3.15, observe that for any  $I \in \mathcal{B}_d(n)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^\alpha \in G(I) \implies \deg(\alpha) \leq n.$$

It follows that every coordinate of  $\psi(\mathbf{x}^\alpha)$  is less than or equal to  $n$ . This observation combined with the previous proposition allows us to define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda : \mathcal{B}_d(n) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d(n) \\ I &\mapsto \psi(Bgens(I)). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.4.**  $\Lambda : \mathcal{B}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d(n)$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* We claim that  $\Lambda^{-1} : \mathcal{F}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_d(n)$  is given by  $S \mapsto Borel(\psi^{-1}(S))$ . Since  $x_d^n \in S$ ,  $\psi^{-1}(x_d^n) = x_d^n \in \psi^{-1}(S)$ . There are no other pure powers of  $x_d$  in  $\psi^{-1}(S)$ , so  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ . It follows that  $Borel(\psi^{-1}(S)) \in \mathcal{B}_d(n)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda)(I) &= Borel(\psi^{-1}(\psi(Bgens(I)))) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.5.** If  $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a symmetric monomial ideal, then  $sym(G(I) \cap F_d) = G(I)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\subseteq$ ) If  $u \in sym(G(I) \cap F_d)$ , then  $u = \pi(m)$  for some  $m \in G(I) \cap F_d$  and some  $\pi \in S_d$ . Since  $G(I)$  is closed under operations of  $S_d$ ,  $u \in G(I)$ .

( $\supseteq$ ) If  $u \in G(I)$ , then there exists some  $\pi \in S_d$  so that  $\pi(u) \in G(I) \cap F_d$ , so  $u \in sym(G(I) \cap F_d)$ . □

For an ideal  $I \in \mathcal{T}_d(n)$ , define a map  $\Omega : \mathcal{F}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_d(n)$  by

$$\Omega(A) = ideal(sym(A))$$

**Proposition 5.6.**  $\Omega : \mathcal{F}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_d(n)$  is a bijection

*Proof.* We claim that the inverse  $\Omega^{-1} : \mathcal{T}_d(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d(n)$  is given by  $\Omega^{-1}(I) = G(I) \cap F_d$ . Notice that for  $I \in \mathcal{T}_d(n)$ , we have  $x_d^n \in G(I)$ , so  $x_d^n \in G(I) \cap F_d$ . It follows that  $\Omega^{-1}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_d(n)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\Omega^{-1}(I)) &= \Omega(G(I) \cap F_d) \\ &= ideal(sym(G(I) \cap F_d)) \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

□


**Theorem 1.** There is a bijection between  $d$ -dimensional strongly stable partitions and  $d$ -dimensional totally symmetric partitions which preserves the side length  $n$  of the minimal  $d$ -dimensional box containing the partitions.

*Proof.* We have the diagram of bijections below. The vertical bijections were given in section 4 and the horizontal map  $\Omega \circ \Lambda$  was shown to be a bijection by Propositions 5.4 and 5.6.


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}_d(n) & \xrightarrow{\Omega \circ \Lambda} & \mathcal{T}_d(n) \\
\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d(n) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_d(n)
\end{array}$$

□

**Example 5.7.** Consider the strongly stable ideal  $I = (x^4, x^3y, x^2y^3, xy^4, y^7) \in \mathcal{B}_2(7)$  from Example 3.10. The corresponding partition  $\varphi(I) \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(7)$  from Example 2.6 is shown on the left below. Minimal generators of  $I$  which are not in  $Bgens(I)$  are represented with  $\bullet$ . Elements of  $Bgens(I)$  are represented by  $*$ . The middle diagram depicts  $\psi(I)$  and illustrates that  $\psi(Bgens(I)) = \min(\psi(G(I)))$ .




**Example 5.8.** Consider the strongly stable partition  $P \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3(4)$  from Example 2.7 shown below.



One can check that  $I = (x^2, xy^2, y^3, xyz, y^2z, xz^2, yz^3, z^4) \in \mathcal{B}_3(4)$  is the corresponding strongly stable ideal ( $\varphi(I) = P$ ) and that  $Bgens(I) = \{x^2, xz^2, y^2z, z^4\}$ . To compute the totally symmetric partition corresponding to  $P$ , we first compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(\Lambda(I)) &= \Omega(\psi(Bgens(I))) \\
&= \Omega(\{x^2y^2z^2, xyz^3, y^2z^3, z^4\}) \\
&= \text{ideal}(\text{sym}(\{x^2y^2z^2, xyz^3, y^2z^3, z^4\}))
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, notice that  $\varphi(\text{ideal}(\text{sym}(\{x^2y^2z^2, xyz^3, y^2z^3, z^4\}))) \in \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_3(4)$  is the partition shown below.



### 6. ENUMERATIONS

In this last section, we will explore the enumeration of strongly stable partitions (equivalently totally symmetric partitions) in boxes. Let

$$B_d(n) := \sum_{k=0}^n |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_d(k)|$$

denote the number of  $d$ -dimensional strongly stable partitions which fit in a box of side length  $n$ . Similarly, use

$$T_d(n) := \sum_{k=0}^n |\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_d(k)|$$

By convention, we count the empty partition. As a result, we have  $B_d(0) = T_d(0) = 1$  since the empty partition fits inside a box of side length 0. As a result of Theorem 1,

$$B_d(n) = T_d(n)$$

for positive integers  $d, n$ .

In [3], Hawkes shows a bijection between  $d$ -dimensional totally symmetric partitions inside a box of side length  $n$  and  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional totally symmetric partitions inside a box of side length  $d + 1$ . As a result,  $T_d(n) = T_{n-1}(d + 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Combining this with the formula above, we have

$$B_d(n) = B_{n-1}(d + 1)$$

for positive integers  $d, n$ .

Lastly, we consider some formulae for  $B_d(n)$  when  $d$  is fixed. When  $d = 1$ , partitions are simply nonnegative integers, and every 1-dimensional partition is (trivially) strongly stable.

$$B_1(n) = T_1(n) = n + 1$$

The number of 2-dimensional strongly stable partitions which fit inside a box of side length  $n$  (strict integer partitions with largest part  $n$ ) is given by

$$B_2(n) = T_2(n) = 2^n,$$

because for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have only the choice of whether or not to include  $i$  in the integer partition.

In [5], Stembridge proved that the number of totally symmetric plane partitions which fit inside a box of side length  $n$  is given by the product formula

$$T_3(n) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2j)!(n+2j+1)!}{(3j+1)!(n+j)!}$$

and so we have a formula for  $B_3(n)$ . There is no known formula for  $B_d(n) = T_d(n)$  for  $d \geq 4$  [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Christopher A Francisco, Jeffrey Mermin, and Jay Schweig. “Borel generators”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 332.1 (2011), pp. 522–542.
- [2] Hawkes, Graham. *Number of totally symmetric solid partitions which fit in an  $n \times n \times n$  box*. <https://oeis.org/A236691>. Accessed: 2023-01-22. 2014.
- [3] Hawkes, Graham. *Totally Symmetric Partitions in Boxes*. [https://oeis.org/A005157/a005157\\_2.pdf](https://oeis.org/A005157/a005157_2.pdf). Accessed: 2023-01-22. 2014.
- [4] Jürgen Herzog and Takayuki Hibi. “Monomial ideals”. In: *Monomial Ideals*. Springer, 2011, p. 57.
- [5] John R Stembridge. “The enumeration of totally symmetric plane partitions”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 111.2 (1995), pp. 227–243.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, CO, USA  
Email address: [seth.ireland@colostate.edu](mailto:seth.ireland@colostate.edu)