
**ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MORPHISMS BETWEEN HILBERT C*-MODULES AND
MODULAR PIETSCH FACTORIZATION PROBLEM**

K. MAHESH KRISHNA

Post Doctoral Fellow

Statistics and Mathematics Unit

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre

Karnataka 560 059, India

Email: kmaheshak@gmail.com

Date: February 9, 2023

Abstract: Motivated from the theory of Hilbert-Schmidt morphisms between Hilbert C*-modules over commutative C*-algebras by Stern and van Suijlekom [*J. Funct. Anal.*, 2021], we introduce the notion of p-absolutely summing morphisms between Hilbert C*-modules over commutative C*-algebras. We show that an adjointable morphism between Hilbert C*-modules over monotone closed commutative C*-algebra is 2-absolutely summing if and only if it is Hilbert-Schmidt. We formulate version of Pietsch factorization problem for p-absolutely summing morphisms and solve partially.

Keywords: Absolutely summing operator, Commutative C*-algebra, Hilbert C*-module, Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 47B10, 47L20, 46L08, 46L05, 42C15.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his *Resume*, A. Grothendieck studied 1-absolutely and 2-absolutely summing operators between Banach spaces [22] (also see [8]). In 1967, for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, A. Pietsch introduced the notion of p-absolutely summing operators which became an area around the end of 20 century [7, 9, 18, 24, 26, 28–30, 38–41, 48, 50–52, 64, 65]. In 1979, Tomczak-Jaegermann studied p-summing operators by fixing by fixing number of points [63]. In 1970, Kwapien defined the notion of 0-summing operators [36]. In 2003, Farmer and Johnson introduced the notion of Lipschitz p-summing operators between metric spaces [11] (also see [4–6, 49]).

Definition 1.1. [1, 50] Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces, \mathcal{X}^* be the dual of \mathcal{X} and $1 \leq p < \infty$. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is said to be **p-absolutely summing** if there is a real constant $C > 0$ satisfying following: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|Tx_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{f \in \mathcal{X}^*, \|f\| \leq 1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In this case, the **p-absolutely summing norm** of T is defined as

$$\pi_p(T) := \inf \{ C : C \text{ satisfies Inequality (1)} \}.$$

The set of all p-absolutely summing operators from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is denoted by $\Pi_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$.

Following are most important results in the theory of p-absolutely summing operators.

Theorem 1.2. [50, 51] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces. Then $(\Pi_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}), \pi_p(\cdot))$ is an operator ideal.

Theorem 1.3. [1, 50] Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces. Then a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is 2-absolutely summing if and only if it is Hilbert-Schmidt. Moreover, $\|T\|_{HS} = \pi_2(T)$.

Theorem 1.4. [1, 50] (**Pietsch Factorization Theorem**) Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is p -absolutely summing if and only if there is a real constant $C > 0$ and a regular Borel probability measure on $B_{\mathcal{X}^*} := \{f : f \in \mathcal{X}^*, \|f\| \leq 1\}$ in weak*-topology such that

$$(2) \quad \|Tx\| \leq C \left(\int_{B_{\mathcal{X}^*}} |f(x)|^p d\mu_{B_{\mathcal{X}^*}}(f) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Moreover, $\pi_p(T) = \inf\{C : C \text{ satisfies Inequality (2)}\}$.

In this paper, we define the notion of p -absolutely summing morphisms between Hilbert C^* -modules over commutative C^* -algebras (Definition 2.1). We derive in Theorem 2.5 that an adjointable morphism between Hilbert C^* -module over a monote closed C^* -algebra is 2-summing if and only if modular Hilbert-Schmidt. We then formulate version of Pietsch factorization problem for p -absolutely summing morphisms and solve partially.

2. P-ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MORPHISMS

We define modular version of Definition 1.1 as follows. For the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules we refer [32, 47, 56].

Definition 2.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C^* -modules over a commutative C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . An adjointable morphism $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is said to be **modular p -absolutely summing** if there is a real constant $C > 0$ satisfying following: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle Tx_j, Tx_j \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x, x_j \rangle \langle x_j, x \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In this case, the p -absolutely summing norm of T is defined as

$$\pi_p(T) := \inf\{C : C \text{ satisfies Inequality (3)}\}.$$

The set of all p -absolutely summing morphisms from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} is denoted by $\Pi_p(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.

In 2021, Stern and van Suijlekom introduced the notion of modular Schatten class morphisms [59].

Definition 2.2. [59] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ be its Gelfand spectrum. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C^* -modules over \mathcal{A} . Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an adjointable morphism. We say that T is in the **modular p -Schatten class** if the function

$$\text{Tr} |T|^p : \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \ni \chi \mapsto \text{Tr} |\chi_* T|^p \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$$

lies in \mathcal{A} . The modular p -Schatten norm of T is defined as

$$\|T\|_p := \|\text{Tr} |T|^p\|_{\mathcal{A}}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Modular 2-Schatten (resp. 1-Schatten) class morphism is called as **modular Hilbert-Schmidt** (resp. **modular trace class**). We denote $\|T\|_2$ by $\|T\|_{HS}$.

ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MORPHISMS BETWEEN HILBERT C*-MODULES AND MODULAR PIETSCH FACTORIZATION PROBLEM

Using the theory of modular frames for Hilbert C*-modules (see [16]) Stern and van Suijlekom were able to derive following result.

Theorem 2.3. [59] *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C*-modules over \mathcal{A} . Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an adjointable morphism. Then T is modular Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if for every modular Parseval frame $\{\tau_n\}_n$ for \mathcal{M} , the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle |T|^p \tau_n, \tau_n \rangle$ converges in norm in \mathcal{A} and*

$$\mathrm{Tr} |T|^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle |T|^p \tau_n, \tau_n \rangle.$$

We now derive modular version of Theorem 1.3 with the following notion.

Definition 2.4. [62] *A C*-algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be **monotone closed** if every bounded increasing net in \mathcal{A} has the least upper bound in \mathcal{A} .*

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C*-modules over a commutative C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . Assume \mathcal{A} is monotone closed. Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an adjointable morphism. Then $T \in \Pi_2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ if and only if T is modular Hilbert-Schmidt. Moreover, $\|T\|_{\mathrm{HS}} = \pi_2(T)$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $T \in \Pi_2(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a modular Parseval frame for \mathcal{M} . Then

$$(4) \quad \langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, \tau_n \rangle \langle \tau_n, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M},$$

where the series converges in the norm of \mathcal{A} . To show T is modular Hilbert-Schmidt, using Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T\tau_n, T\tau_n \rangle$ converges in norm in \mathcal{A} . Note that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T\tau_n, T\tau_n \rangle$ is monotonically increasing. Since the C*-algebra is monotone closed, we are done if we show the sequence $\{\sum_{j=1}^n \langle T\tau_j, T\tau_j \rangle\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is 2-summing, using Equation (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle T\tau_j, T\tau_j \rangle \right\| &\leq \pi_2(T)^2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, \tau_j \rangle \langle \tau_j, x \rangle \right\| \leq \pi_2(T)^2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, \tau_j \rangle \langle \tau_j, x \rangle \right\| \\ &= \pi_2(T)^2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \|x\|^2 = \pi_2(T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{M} . Define

$$S : \mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, \omega_j \rangle \omega_j \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|^2 = \|S^*\|^2 &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \|S^*x\|^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle S^*x, \omega_n \rangle \omega_n \right\|^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x, S\omega_n \rangle \omega_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, x_j \rangle \omega_j \right\|^2 = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle x_j, x \rangle \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle Tx_j, Tx_j \rangle \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle TS\omega_j, TS\omega_j \rangle \right\| = \|TS\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \leq \|T\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \|S\| = \|T\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, x_j \rangle \langle x_j, x \rangle \right\|.$$

□

Note that we have not used monotonic closedness of C^* -algebra in “if” part. In view of Theorem 1.4, we formulate following problem.

Question 2.6. *Whether there exists a modular Pietsch factorization theorem?*

We solve Question (2.6) partially in the following theorem. Integrals in the following theorem is in the Kasparov sense [33].

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be Hilbert C^* -modules over a commutative C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be an adjointable morphism. Assume that there exists a Lie group $G \subseteq B_{\mathcal{M}} := \{x : x \in \mathcal{M}, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ satisfying following.*

- (i) $\mu_G(G) = 1$.
- (ii) *For each $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the map $G \ni y \mapsto \langle x.y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle$ is continuous.*
- (iii) *There exists a real $C > 0$ such that*

$$\langle Tx, Tx \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C^p \int_G (\langle x.y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu_G(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then T modular p -absolutely summing and $\pi_p(T) = C$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \langle Tx_j, Tx_j \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\| &\leq C^p \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \int_G (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu_G(y) \right\| = C^p \left\| \int_G \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu_G(y) \right\| \\ &\leq C^p \int_G \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\| d\mu_G(y) \leq C^p \int_G \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}, \|y\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\| d\mu_G(y) \\ &= C^p \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}, \|y\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\| \mu_G(G) = C^p \sup_{y \in \mathcal{M}, \|y\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\langle x_j, y \rangle \langle y, x_j \rangle)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. APPENDIX

In this appendix we formulate some problems for Banach modules over C^* -algebras based on the results in Banach spaces which influenced a lot in the modern development of Functional Analysis. Our first kind of problems come from the Dvoretzky theorem [12–15, 20, 21, 42–46, 53, 54, 58, 60]. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be finite dimensional Banach spaces such that $\dim(\mathcal{X}) = \dim(\mathcal{Y})$. Remember that the Banach-Mazur distance between \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} is defined as

$$d_{BM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) := \inf \{ \|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \text{ is invertible linear operator} \}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be the standard Euclidean Hilbert space.

Theorem 3.1. [1, 27] (*John Theorem*) *If \mathcal{X} is any n -dimensional real Banach space, then*

$$d_{BM}(\mathcal{Y}, (\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)) \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Theorem 3.2. [1, 10] (*Dvoretzky Theorem*) *There is a universal constant $C > 0$ satisfying the following property: If \mathcal{X} is any n -dimensional real Banach space and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$, then*

for every natural number

$$k \leq C \log n \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|\log \varepsilon|},$$

there exists a k -dimensional Banach subspace \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{X} such that

$$d_{BM}(\mathcal{Y}, (\mathbb{R}^k, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)) < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra with invariant basis number property (see [19] for a study on such C*-algebras) and \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} be finite rank Banach modules over \mathcal{A} such that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$. Modular Banach-Mazur distance between \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} is defined as

$$d_{MBM}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) := \inf\{\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text{ is invertible module homomorphism}\}.$$

Given a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by \mathcal{A}^n we mean the standard (left) module over \mathcal{A} . We equip \mathcal{A}^n with the C*-valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{A}^n \times \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$\langle (a_j)_{j=1}^n, (b_j)_{j=1}^n \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j^*, \quad \forall (a_j)_{j=1}^n, (b_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathcal{A}^n.$$

Hence norm on \mathcal{A}^n is given by

$$\|(a_j)_{j=1}^n\| := \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j a_j^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall (a_j)_{j=1}^n \in \mathcal{A}^n.$$

Then it is well-known that \mathcal{A}^n is a Hilbert C*-module. We denote this Hilbert C*-module by $(\mathcal{A}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Problem 3.3. (Modular Dvoretzky Problem) *Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all unital C*-algebras with invariant basis number property. What is the best function $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \times (0, \frac{1}{3}) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following property: If \mathcal{E} is any n -rank Banach module over a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} with IBN property and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$, then for every natural number*

$$k \leq \Psi(\mathcal{A}, \varepsilon, n),$$

there exists a k -rank Banach submodule \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{E} such that

$$d_{MBM}(\mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{A}^k, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)) < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

A particular case of Problem 3.3 is the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4. (Modular Dvoretzky Conjecture) *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra with IBN property. There is a universal constant $C > 0$ (which may depend upon \mathcal{A}) satisfying the following property: If \mathcal{E} is any n -rank Banach module and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}$, then for every natural number*

$$k \leq C \log n \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|\log \varepsilon|},$$

there exists a k -rank Banach submodule \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{E} such that

$$d_{MBM}(\mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{A}^k, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)) < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Our second kind of problems come from the type-cotype theory of Banach spaces [1, 9, 28, 29, 37–39, 61]. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall that for any n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2.$$

It is Equality (5) which motivated the definition of type and cotype for Banach spaces.

Definition 3.5. [1] Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. A Banach space \mathcal{X} is said to be of **(Rademacher) type p** if there exists $T_p(\mathcal{X}) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq T_p(\mathcal{X}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Definition 3.6. [1] Let $2 \leq q < \infty$. A Banach space \mathcal{X} is said to be of **(Rademacher) cotype q** if there exists $C_q(\mathcal{X}) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_q(\mathcal{X}) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j \right\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be a (left) Hilbert C^* -module over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We see that for any n points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 1\}} \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j x_j, \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k x_k \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j, x_j \rangle.$$

Problem 3.7. (Modular Type-Cotype Problems) *Whether there is a way to define type (we call modular-type) and cotype (we call modular-cotype) for Banach modules over C^* -algebras which reduces to Equality (6) for Hilbert C^* -modules?*

Problem 3.8. *Whether there is a notion of type and cotype for Banach modules over C^* -algebras such that Kwapien theorems holds?, In other words, whether following statements hold?*

- (i) *A Banach module \mathcal{M} over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} has modular-type 2 and modular-cotype 2 if and only if \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} .*
- (ii) *If \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are Banach modules over a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} of modular-type 2 and modular-cotype 2, respectively, then a bounded module morphism $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ factors through a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} .*

Problem 3.9. (Modular Khinchin-Kahane Inequalities Problems) *Whether there is a Khinchin-Kahane inequalities for Banach modules over C^* -algebras which reduce to Equality (6) for Hilbert C^* -modules?*

Our third kind of problems come from Grothendieck inequality [1–3, 8, 17, 22, 23, 25, 31, 55, 57].

Theorem 3.10. [1, 3, 8, 17, 22, 57] **(Grothendieck Inequality)** *There is a universal constant K_G satisfying the following: For any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if a scalar matrix*

$[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}$ satisfy

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} s_j t_k \right| \leq 1, \quad \forall s_j, t_k \in \mathbb{K}, |s_j| \leq 1, |t_k| \leq 1,$$

then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} \langle u_j, v_k \rangle \right| \leq K_G, \quad \forall u_j, v_k \in \mathcal{H}, \|u_j\| \leq 1, \|v_k\| \leq 1.$$

Problem 3.11. (Modular Grothendieck Inequality Problem - 1) Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all unital C*-algebras. Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C*-module over a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A}^+ be the set of all positive elements in \mathcal{A} . What is the best function $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$ satisfying the following property: If $[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n} \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} s_j t_k, \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n a_{p,q} s_p t_q \right\rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall s_j, t_k \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$s_j s_j^* = s_j^* s_j = 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m, t_k t_k^* = t_k^* t_k = 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

then

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} \langle u_j, v_k \rangle, \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n a_{p,q} \langle u_p, v_q \rangle \right\rangle \leq \Psi(\mathcal{A}, m, n), \quad \forall u_j, v_k \in \mathcal{E},$$

$$\langle u_j, u_j \rangle = 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m, \langle v_k, v_k \rangle = 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

In particular, whether Ψ depends on m and n ?

Problem 3.12. (Modular Grothendieck Inequality Problem - 2) Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all unital C*-algebras. Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C*-module over a unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A}^+ be the set of all positive elements in \mathcal{A} . What is the best function $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$ satisfying the following property: If $[a_{j,k}]_{1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n} \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} s_j t_k, \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n a_{p,q} s_p t_q \right\rangle \leq 1, \quad \forall s_j, t_k \in \mathcal{A}, \|s_j\| \leq 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m, \|t_k\| \leq 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

then

$$\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} \langle u_j, v_k \rangle, \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^n a_{p,q} \langle u_p, v_q \rangle \right\rangle \leq \Psi(\mathcal{A}, m, n), \quad \forall u_j, v_k \in \mathcal{E},$$

$$\|u_j\| \leq 1, \forall 1 \leq j \leq m, \|v_k\| \leq 1, \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

In particular, whether Ψ depends on m and n ?

We believe strongly that Ψ depends on \mathcal{A} .

Remark 3.13. Modular Bourgain-Tzafriri restricted invertibility conjecture and Modular Johnson-Lindenstrauss flattening conjecture have been stated in [34, 35].

REFERENCES

- [1] Fernando Albiac and Nigel J. Kalton. *Topics in Banach space theory*, volume 233 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [2] Ron Blei. The Grothendieck inequality revisited. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 232(1093):vi+90, 2014.

-
- [3] Ron C. Blei. An elementary proof of the Grothendieck inequality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 100(1):58–60, 1987.
- [4] Geraldo Botelho, Mariana Maia, Daniel Pellegrino, and Joedson Santos. A unified factorization theorem for Lipschitz summing operators. *Q. J. Math.*, 70(4):1521–1533, 2019.
- [5] Geraldo Botelho, Daniel Pellegrino, and Pilar Rueda. A unified Pietsch domination theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 365(1):269–276, 2010.
- [6] Dongyang Chen and Bentuo Zheng. Remarks on Lipschitz p -summing operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(8):2891–2898, 2011.
- [7] Andreas Defant and Klaus Floret. *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, volume 176 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [8] Joe Diestel, Jan H. Fourie, and Johan Swart. *The metric theory of tensor products: Grothendieck’s resume revisited*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [9] Joe Diestel, Hans Jarchow, and Andrew Tonge. *Absolutely summing operators*, volume 43 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [10] Aryeh Dvoretzky. Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces. In *Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces (Jerusalem, 1960)*, pages 123–160. Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem; Pergamon, Oxford, 1961.
- [11] Jeffrey D. Farmer and William B. Johnson. Lipschitz p -summing operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(9):2989–2995, 2009.
- [12] T. Figiel. Some remarks on Dvoretzky’s theorem on almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Colloq. Math.*, 24:241–252, 1971/72.
- [13] T. Figiel. A short proof of Dvoretzky’s theorem. In *Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1974–1975: Espaces L^p , applications radonifiantes et géométrie des espaces de Banach, Exp. No. XXIII*, pages 6 pp. (erratum, p. 3). 1975.
- [14] T. Figiel. A short proof of Dvoretzky’s theorem on almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Compositio Math.*, 33(3):297–301, 1976.
- [15] T. Figiel, J. Lindenstrauss, and V. D. Milman. The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Acta Math.*, 139(1-2):53–94, 1977.
- [16] Michael Frank and David R. Larson. Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 48(2):273–314, 2002.
- [17] Shmuel Friedland, Lek-Heng Lim, and Jinjie Zhang. An elementary and unified proof of Grothendieck’s inequality. *Enseign. Math.*, 64(3-4):327–351, 2018.
- [18] D. J. H. Garling. *Inequalities: a journey into linear analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [19] Phillip M. Gipson. Invariant basis number for C^* -algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 59(1):85–98, 2015.
- [20] Y. Gordon, O. Guedon, and M. Meyer. An isomorphic Dvoretzky’s theorem for convex bodies. *Studia Math.*, 127(2):191–200, 1998.
- [21] Yehoram Gordon. Gaussian processes and almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Ann. Probab.*, 16(1):180–188, 1988.
- [22] Alexandre Grothendieck. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16, 1955.
- [23] Uffe Haagerup. A new upper bound for the complex Grothendieck constant. *Israel J. Math.*, 60(2):199–224, 1987.
- [24] Tuomas Hytonen, Jan van Neerven, Mark Veraar, and Lutz Weis. *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. II: Probabilistic methods and operator theory*, volume 67 of *A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer, 2017.
- [25] G. J. O. Jameson. The interpolation proof of Grothendieck’s inequality. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 28(2):217–223, 1985.
- [26] G. J. O. Jameson. *Summing and nuclear norms in Banach space theory*, volume 8 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [27] Fritz John. Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions. In *Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant on his 60th Birthday, January 8, 1948*, pages 187–204. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1948.
- [28] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, editors. *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. Vol. I*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001.
- [29] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, editors. *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces. Vol. 2*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [30] William B. Johnson and Gideon Schechtman. Computing p -summing norms with few vectors. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):19–31, 1994.

ABSOLUTELY SUMMING MORPHISMS BETWEEN HILBERT C^* -MODULES AND MODULAR PIETSCH FACTORIZATION PROBLEM

- [31] Sten Kaijser. A simple-minded proof of the Pisier-Grothendieck inequality. In *Banach spaces, harmonic analysis, and probability theory (Storrs, Conn., 1980/1981)*, volume 995 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 33–44. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [32] Irving Kaplansky. Modules over operator algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 75:839–858, 1953.
- [33] G. G. Kasparov. Topological invariants of elliptic operators. I. K -homology. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 39(4):796–838, 1975.
- [34] K. Mahesh Krishna. Modular Bourgain-Tzafriri restricted invertibility conjectures and Johnson-Lindenstrauss flattening conjecture. *arXiv:2208.05223v1 [math.FA]*, 10 August, 2022.
- [35] K. Mahesh Krishna. Modular Paulsen problem and modular projection problem. *arXiv:2207.12799.v1 [math.FA]*, 26 July, 2022.
- [36] S. Kwapien. On a theorem of L. Schwartz and its applications to absolutely summing operators. *Studia Math.*, 38:193–201, 1970.
- [37] Rafal Latała and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz. On the best constant in the Khinchin-Kahane inequality. *Studia Math.*, 109(1):101–104, 1994.
- [38] Daniel Li and Herve Queffelec. *Introduction to Banach spaces: analysis and probability. Vol. 1*, volume 166 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [39] Daniel Li and Herve Queffelec. *Introduction to Banach spaces: analysis and probability. Vol. 2*, volume 167 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [40] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczynski. Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications. *Studia Math.*, 29:275–326, 1968.
- [41] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I: Sequence spaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [42] J. Matousek. *Lectures on discrete geometry*, volume 212 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [43] Ivan Matsak and Anatolij Plichko. Dvoretzky’s theorem by Gaussian method. In *Functional analysis and its applications*, volume 197 of *North-Holland Math. Stud.*, pages 171–184. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2004.
- [44] V. Milman. Dvoretzky’s theorem—thirty years later. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2(4):455–479, 1992.
- [45] V. D. Milman. A new proof of A. Dvoretzky’s theorem on cross-sections of convex bodies. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 5(4):28–37, 1971.
- [46] Vitali D. Milman and Gideon Schechtman. *Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces*, volume 1200 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [47] William L. Paschke. Inner product modules over B^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 182:443–468, 1973.
- [48] A. Pelczynski. A characterization of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. *Studia Math.*, 28:355–360, 1966/67.
- [49] Daniel Pellegrino and Joedson Santos. A general Pietsch domination theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 375(1):371–374, 2011.
- [50] A. Pietsch. Absolut p -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Raumen. *Studia Math.*, 28:333–353, 1966/67.
- [51] Albrecht Pietsch. *Operator ideals*, volume 20 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980.
- [52] Albrecht Pietsch. *History of Banach spaces and linear operators*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007.
- [53] Gilles Pisier. Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces. In *Probability and analysis (Varenna, 1985)*, volume 1206 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 167–241. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [54] Gilles Pisier. *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, volume 94 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [55] Gilles Pisier. Grothendieck’s theorem, past and present. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 49(2):237–323, 2012.
- [56] Marc A. Rieffel. Induced representations of C^* -algebras. *Advances in Math.*, 13:176–257, 1974.
- [57] Ronald E. Rietz. A proof of the Grothendieck inequality. *Israel J. Math.*, 19:271–276, 1974.
- [58] Gideon Schechtman. A remark concerning the dependence on ϵ in Dvoretzky’s theorem. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88)*, volume 1376 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 274–277. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [59] Abel B. Stern and Walter D. van Suijlekom. Schatten classes for Hilbert modules over commutative C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 281(4):Paper No. 109042, 35, 2021.
- [60] Andrzej Szankowski. On Dvoretzky’s theorem on almost spherical sections of convex bodies. *Israel J. Math.*, 17:325–338, 1974.

- [61] S. J. Szarek. On the best constants in the Khinchin inequality. *Studia Math.*, 58(2):197–208, 1976.
- [62] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*, volume 124 of *Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [63] Nicole Tomczak-Jaegermann. Computing 2-summing norm with few vectors. *Ark. Mat.*, 17(2):273–277, 1979.
- [64] Nicole Tomczak-Jaegermann. *Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals*, volume 38 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- [65] P. Wojtaszczyk. *Banach spaces for analysts*, volume 25 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.