

# ON HILBERT $C^*$ -MODULES WITH HILBERT DUAL

VLADIMIR MANUILOV AND EVGENIJ TROITSKY

ABSTRACT. We study such Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , that the Banach  $A$ -dual module carries a natural structure of Hilbert  $A$ -module. In this direction we prove that if  $A$  is monotone complete,  $M$  and  $N$  are Hilbert  $A$ -modules,  $M$  is self-dual, and both  $T : M \rightarrow N$  and its Banach  $A$ -dual  $T' : N' \rightarrow M'$  have trivial kernels and cokernels then  $M \cong N'$ . With the help of this result, for a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , we prove that the index of any  $A$ -Fredholm operator can be calculated as the difference of its kernel and cokernel as in the Hilbert space case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules were introduced by W. Paschke in [11] as a generalization of Hilbert spaces to modules over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an inner product taking values also in  $A$ .

Given a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $M$ , the dual module  $M'$  consists of all bounded anti- $A$ -linear maps from  $M$  to  $A$  (called functionals). It is a Banach  $A$ -module, but not necessarily a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, and a map  $m \mapsto \widehat{m} = \langle \cdot, m \rangle$  is an isometric inclusion  $M \subset M'$ . A module  $M$  is *self-dual* if this inclusion is an isomorphism. Self-dual modules have a lot of nice properties, e.g. [11, 1] (and also [10]), but they are rare. Unlike the first dual module, the second dual one,  $M''$  has a natural structure of a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, and there is an isometric inclusion  $M \subset M'' \subset M'$ . This is the end of the story, as  $M'''$  is canonically isomorphic to  $M'$  [12, Theorem 2.4] (see Theorem 2 below). A module  $M$  is *reflexive* if  $M = M''$ . Reflexive modules are not as nice as self-dual, but still share some nice properties of the latter, see e.g. [1, 5, 4] (and also [10]). The last remaining equality,  $M' = M''$ , was discussed in [?], where such modules were called *modules with Hilbert dual*.

Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $W^*$ -algebras have some special properties that make them closer to Hilbert spaces. In particular, although they need not be self-dual, the inner product extends to the dual modules, making them Hilbert  $W^*$ -modules as well. The same is true for monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras [3], so any Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over such  $C^*$ -algebra has Hilbert dual.

It is well known that if there is a bounded operator  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  between two Hilbert spaces with trivial kernel and cokernel then  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are isomorphic. Although Hilbert spaces differ only by cardinality, the construction of an isomorphism uses a polar decomposition for  $T$ , which need not to be invertible.

When we replace Hilbert spaces by Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, the result becomes more difficult, and definitely not true in full generality. Our aim is to clarify the conditions that allow to prove that two Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules,  $M$  and  $N$ , over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  are isomorphic when connected by a bounded operator  $T : M \rightarrow N$ . Our main result claims that if  $A$  is monotone

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L08; 58B34 .

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$ -Fredholm operator, dual module, self-dual module, orthogonal complement, polar decomposition.

The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 21-11-00080.

complete,  $M$  self-dual, and both  $T$  and its dual  $T' : N' \rightarrow M'$  have trivial kernels and cokernels then  $M \cong N'$  (Theorem 9). As an application, we generalize a result on decomposition of  $A$ -Fredholm operators from [2] to the case when  $A$  is monotone complete (Theorem 13) and correct the proof in the  $W^*$  case.

**Acknowledgment:** The authors are indebted to Michael Frank and Stefan Ivkovic for valuable discussions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Definitions of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, adjointable and non-adjointable operators and their basic properties can be found in textbooks, e.g. [11, 10, 6, 9].

Recall that the canonical inclusions for dual and double dual modules [12]. For  $m \in M$ , define  $\dot{m} \in M''$  by

$$(1) \quad \dot{m}(f) = f(m), \quad f \in M'.$$

The map  $m \mapsto \dot{m}$  is an isometric module map of  $M$  to  $M''$ . For  $X \in M''$  define  $\tilde{X} \in M'$  by  $\tilde{X}(m) = X(\dot{m})$ , where  $m \mapsto \dot{m}$ ,  $M \rightarrow M''$  was defined above. So, if we identify  $M$  with its image under  $m \mapsto \dot{m}$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  is the restriction of  $X$  on  $M$ . Notice that

$$(2) \quad (\dot{m})^\sim = \hat{m} \quad \text{for all } m \in M.$$

It is clear that the map  $X \mapsto \tilde{X}$  is a module map of  $M''$  into  $M'$  and that  $\|\tilde{X}\|_{M'} \leq \|X\|_{M''}$  for  $X \in M''$ . Moreover, this map is an isometry as it follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** (Prop. 2.1 in [12]). *Let  $N$  be a submodule of  $M'$  containing  $\widehat{M}$ . For any  $f \in N'$ , we have  $\|f\|_{N'} = \|f|_{\widehat{M}}\|$ , where*

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{N'} = \sup_{m \in N, \|m\| \leq 1} \|f(m)\|.$$

After making all permissible identifications, we can then array the modules  $M$ ,  $M'$ , and  $M''$  as

$$(4) \quad M \xrightarrow{D} M'' \xrightarrow{I} M', \quad D(m) = \dot{m}, \quad I(X) = \tilde{X}, \quad I \circ D(m) = \hat{m}$$

by (2).

In [12] the inner product

$$(5) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'' : M'' \times M'' \rightarrow A, \quad \langle X, Y \rangle'' = Y(I(X)),$$

is introduced and the following statement is proved.

**Theorem 2** (Theorem 2.4 in [12]). *The map (5) is an  $A$ -valued inner product on  $M''$ . The norm obtained from this product coincides with the initial (operator) norm on  $M''$ . The map  $I$  from (4) is an isometry of  $M''$  into  $M'$ .*

## 3. HILBERT $C^*$ -MODULES WITH HILBERT DUAL

**Definition 3** ([?]). A Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $M$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is called a *module with a Hilbert dual* if the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $M$  extends to an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  on  $M'$  such that

- $f(m) = \langle f, \hat{m} \rangle'$  for any  $m \in M$  and any  $f \in M'$  (in particular,  $\langle \hat{m}, \hat{n} \rangle' = \hat{n}(m) = \langle m, n \rangle$  for any  $m, n \in M$ );
- $M'$  is complete with respect to the norm determined by the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ .

**Proposition 4.**  $M$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module with a Hilbert dual if and only if  $I(M'') = M'$ .

*Proof.* If  $I(M'') = M'$ , we can consider the induced inner product on  $M'$ :  $\langle f, g \rangle' := \langle I^{-1}(f), I^{-1}(g) \rangle''$ , where  $f, g \in M'$ . Let  $X = I^{-1}(f)$ . Then, by (2) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \widehat{m} \rangle' &= \langle \phi, I^{-1}(\widehat{m}) \rangle'' = \langle \phi, I^{-1}I(\dot{m}) \rangle'' \\ &= \langle \phi, \dot{m} \rangle'' = \dot{m}(I(\phi)) = \dot{m}(f) \\ &= f(m). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely let  $M$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module with a Hilbert dual, and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  be an extension of the inner product on  $M$  to  $M'$ . Then, besides the standard norm  $\|f\|_{M'}$  on  $M'$  (see (3)), there is also the norm  $\|f\|' = \|\langle f, f \rangle'\|^{1/2}$ ,  $f \in M'$ . As  $M'$  is complete with respect to both norms, and we have the following estimation: by Definition 3  $\langle f, \widehat{m} \rangle' \langle \widehat{m}, f \rangle' \leq (\|\widehat{m}\|')^2 \langle f, f \rangle' = (\|m\|)^2 \langle f, f \rangle'$  (see e.g. [10, Prop. 1.2.4]) and

$$\begin{aligned} (\|f\|')^2 &= \|\langle f, f \rangle'\| \geq \left( \sup_{m \in M, \|m\| \leq 1} \|\langle f, m \rangle'\| \right)^2 \\ &= \left( \sup_{m \in M, \|m\| \leq 1} \|f(m)\| \right)^2 = (\|f\|_{M'})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Id} : (M', \|\cdot\|') \rightarrow (M', \|\cdot\|_{M'})$  is an injective bounded epimorphism and the norms are equivalent, i.e. there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{M'} \leq \|f\|' \leq c\|f\|_{M'}$  for any  $f \in M'$ . Given  $f \in M'$ , consider the map  $g \mapsto \langle g, f \rangle'$ ,  $M' \rightarrow A$ . It is obviously anti- $A$ -linear. As the two norms are equivalent, this map is bounded by  $\|f\|_{M'}$ . Hence this map is a functional on  $M'$ , i.e. is an element of  $M''$ . This gives a bounded map  $\Phi : M' \rightarrow M''$ ,  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$ .

Consider the composition  $I \circ \Phi : M' \rightarrow M'$ . Then, for any  $f \in M'$ ,  $m \in M$ ,

$$I \circ \Phi(f)(m) = \Phi(f)(\widehat{m}) = \langle f, \widehat{m} \rangle' = f(m).$$

Thus, the map  $I \circ \Phi$  is the identity map. Therefore,  $I$  is an epimorphism and  $I(M'') = M'$ .  $\square$

Any self-dual Hilbert  $C^*$ -module is obviously a module with a Hilbert dual. It was shown in [11] that any Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $W^*$ -algebra is a module with a Hilbert dual, and the first named author has shown in [3] that the same holds for a slightly bigger class of  $C^*$ -algebras, namely for monotone complete ones. Moreover, Theorem 4.7 of [3] claims that any Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has a Hilbert dual if and only if  $A$  is monotone complete.

There are also examples of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules with Hilbert dual over  $C^*$ -algebras beyond the class of monotone complete ones.

**Example 5.** Let  $A = C_b(0, 1]$  and  $M = C_0(0, 1]$ . (Recall that a commutative algebra is monotone complete iff it is an  $AW^*$ -algebra iff its spectrum is extremally disconnected. So this is not the case here.) Then  $A$  is the multiplier algebra of  $M$  regarded as an algebra.

Let us verify that  $M' = C_b(0, 1]$ . The inclusion  $C_b(0, 1] \subseteq M'$  is evident. Let now  $\varphi \in M'$ . Consider a countable partition of unity  $\{f_i\}$  for  $(0, 1]$ : each  $f_i$  is supported in  $(1/(i+1), 1/(i-1))$ . Thus, for any  $a \in M$ , one has  $a = \sum_i a f_i$  uniformly. Then  $\varphi(a) = \sum_i \varphi(a f_i) = \sum_i a \varphi(f_i)$  uniformly. So,  $\sum_i \varphi(f_i)$  is a multiplier of  $C_0(0, 1]$  corresponding to  $\varphi$ .

So,  $M' = A$  is a Hilbert module over  $A$ . Then it is clear that  $M'' = M'$ , because each  $A$ -functional on a unital  $A$  is determined by its value at  $1_A$ .

4. AROUND POLAR DECOMPOSITION IN HILBERT  $C^*$ -MODULES

Corollary 3 of [2] claims that if  $T : M \rightarrow N$  is an injective bounded module homomorphism of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over a  $W^*$ -algebra,  $T(M)^{\perp\perp} = N$  and if  $M$  is self-dual then  $T(T^*T)^{-1/2}$  is a bounded module isomorphism  $M \cong N$ . S. Ivkovic has informed us that there is a problem in the proof, thus urging us to revise this claim. Here is a counterexample.

**Example 6.** Let  $A = l_\infty$  be the  $W^*$ -algebra of bounded sequences,  $M = A$ . We shall use the notation  $\delta_n \in A$  for the sequence of zeroes with the only unit at the  $n$ -th place,  $\delta_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ . Consider the following closed submodule  $N \subset l_2(A)$  of all sequences of the form  $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$  where each  $a_n \in A$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , satisfies  $a_n = \delta_n a_n$ . If this set is not closed then take the closure.

Fix  $\lambda_n = n^{-1}$ , and set  $T(a) = (\lambda_1 \delta_1 a, \lambda_2 \delta_2 a, \dots) \in N$ ,  $a \in A$ . Then  $T : M \rightarrow N$  is injective, and  $T(M)^\perp = 0$  in  $N$ . Set  $f = T(T^*T)^{-1/2}$ , then  $f(a) = (\delta_1 a, \delta_2 a, \dots)$ . The range of  $f$  is greater than  $N$  (e.g. it contains  $f(1) = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$ , which is not in  $N$ ). Moreover,  $M = A$  is not isometrically isomorphic to  $N$ .

The reason for the error in the proof of Corollary 3 of [2] is that  $f$  maps  $M$  not to  $N$ , but to the dual module  $N'$ . The problem with the Lin's proof of the polar decomposition [7] is similar: he uses the polar decomposition of an operator over the enveloping  $W^*$ -algebra, but the unitary in this decomposition maps into the dual module.

The easiest way to fix Corollary 3 of [2] is to add the requirement of self-duality for  $N$  (see Corollary 10 below).

We want to pursue this issue further and to remove the requirement of self-duality for  $N$ .

Let  $T : K \rightarrow M$  be an operator between two Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Define  $T^\# : M \rightarrow K'$  by  $(T^\#m)(k) = \langle Tk, m \rangle$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $k \in K$ . If  $K \subset M$  then we denote by  $J$  the corresponding inclusion,  $J : K \rightarrow M$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Suppose that  $K \subset M$ ,  $K^\perp = 0$ ,  $M$  is self-dual and  $K$  has a Hilbert dual. Then  $\|\langle J^\#m, J^\#m \rangle'\| = \|\langle m, m \rangle\|$  for any  $m \in M$ . Also  $J^\# : M \rightarrow K'$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The map  $J^\#$  is given by  $(J^\#m)(k) = \langle J(k), m \rangle$ . Note that  $J^\#$  is a contraction. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^\#(m)\| &= \sup_{k \in K, \|k\| \leq 1} \|J^\#(m)(k)\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in K, \|k\| \leq 1} \|\langle J(k), m \rangle\| \leq \|m\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(6) \quad \|\langle J^\#m, J^\#m \rangle'\| \leq \|\langle m, m \rangle\|$$

for any  $m \in M$ .

For  $k, k' \in K$ , we have  $J^\#(J(k))(k') = \langle J(k'), J(k) \rangle = \langle k', k \rangle$ , i.e.,  $J^\#(J(k)) = \widehat{k}$ .

Let us define a map  $Q : K' \rightarrow M$ . Let  $\tau \in K'$ . Then the map

$$m \mapsto \bar{\tau}(m) = \langle J^\#m, \tau \rangle'$$

is a functional on  $M$  (algebraic properties are obvious, and boundedness follows from that of  $J^\#$ ). Then self-duality of  $M$  implies existence of a unique  $m_\tau \in M$  such that  $\bar{\tau}(m) = \langle m_\tau, m \rangle$  for any  $m \in M$ . Set  $Q(\tau) = m_\tau$ .

Then  $\bar{\tau}(m_\tau) = \langle m_\tau, m_\tau \rangle$ , and by (6) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_\tau\|^2 &= \|\langle m_\tau, m_\tau \rangle\| = \|\langle \tau, J^\#(m_\tau) \rangle'\| \\ &\leq \|\tau\| \cdot \|\langle J^\#(m_\tau), J^\#(m_\tau) \rangle'\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|\tau\| \cdot \|\langle m_\tau, m_\tau \rangle\|^{1/2} \\ &= \|\tau\| \cdot \|m_\tau\|, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\|m_\tau\| \leq \|\tau\|$ , and  $\|Q\| \leq 1$ .

Consider the composition  $Q \circ J^\# : M \rightarrow M$ . Set  $\tau = J^\#(m)$ ,  $n = m_\tau$ . Then  $Q \circ J^\#(m) = n$ , and

$$\langle n, k \rangle = \bar{\tau}(k) = \tau(k) = \langle m, k \rangle$$

for any  $k \in K$ . As  $K^\perp = 0$ , we have  $n = m$ , i.e.  $Q \circ J^\# = \text{id}_M$ . Since both  $Q$  and  $J^\#$  are contractions,  $J^\#$  is an isometric embedding.

Taking the composition in the opposite order, we have, for any  $\tau \in K'$  and  $k \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (J^\# \circ Q(\tau))(k) &= (J^\#(m_\tau))(k) = \langle J(k), m_\tau \rangle \\ &= \bar{\tau}(J(k))^* = \langle \tau, J^\# J(k) \rangle' \\ &= \langle \tau, \widehat{k} \rangle' = \tau(k) \end{aligned}$$

and  $J^\# \circ Q = \text{id}_{K'}$ . Hence, as above,  $Q$  is an isometric embedding. Thus,  $Q$  and  $J^\#$  are isometric isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *The map  $J^\#$  satisfies  $\langle J^\#m, J^\#m \rangle' = \langle m, m \rangle$  for any  $m \in M$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 7 and its proof,  $J^\#$  is an isometry with the inverse isometry  $Q$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m, m \rangle &= \langle QJ^\#m, QJ^\#m \rangle \leq \|Q\|^2 \langle J^\#m, J^\#m \rangle' \\ &= \langle J^\#m, J^\#m \rangle' \leq \|J^\#\|^2 \langle m, m \rangle \\ &= \langle m, m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $A$  be a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $M, N$  be Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $A$ ,  $M$  self-dual, and let  $T : M \rightarrow N$  be an operator with  $(T(M))^{\perp\perp} = N$ ,  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Ker}(T') = \{0\}$ , where  $T' : N' \rightarrow M'$  is the adjoint operator. Then  $M \cong N'$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $T' : N' \rightarrow M'$  is a morphism of self-dual modules (see Theorem 2) with  $\text{Ker}(T') = \{0\}$ . Since  $M$  is self-dual,  $T$  is adjointable [11]. One has  $0 = \langle T^*(n), m \rangle = \langle n, T(m) \rangle$  and  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$  implies  $(T^*(N))^\perp = \{0\}$ .

Denote  $\Lambda_M : M \rightarrow M'$ ,  $m \mapsto \widehat{m}$ , and  $\Lambda_N : N \rightarrow N'$ ,  $n \mapsto \widehat{n}$ . Then  $T^*$  and  $T'$  are connected by the following commutative diagram:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M' & \xleftarrow{T'} & N' \\ \cong \uparrow \Lambda_M & & \uparrow \Lambda_N \\ M & \xleftarrow{T^*} & N. \end{array}$$

Thus  $(T^*(N))^\perp = \{0\}$  implies  $(T'(N'))^\perp = \{0\}$ .

Since  $N'$  is self-dual,  $T'$  is adjointable [11]. Let  $S = ((T')^*T')^{1/2} : N' \rightarrow N'$ . Then  $S(N')^\perp = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $f \in S(N')^\perp$ , then for  $f' = S(f)$ , one has

$$0 = \langle S(f'), f \rangle = \langle (T')^*T'f, f \rangle = \langle T'f, T'f \rangle$$

and  $f = 0$ . Denote the norm closure of  $S(N')$  by  $K$ . If  $J$  denotes the inclusion of  $K$  in  $N'$  then  $J^\# : N' \rightarrow K'$  is the above isometric isomorphism (since  $A$  is monotone complete, all modules are with a Hilbert dual). We can apply Lemmas 7 and 8 because  $N'$  is self-dual and  $K^\perp \subseteq S(N')^\perp = \{0\}$ .

Since  $\langle T'f, T'f \rangle = \langle Sf, Sf \rangle$ , the map  $U_0 : S(N') \rightarrow M'$  defined by  $U_0(Sf) := T'f$  is an isometric embedding and extends by continuity to an isometric embedding  $U : K \rightarrow M'$  (because  $M'$  is complete). Note that

$$U(K)^\perp \subseteq (U_0(S(N'))^\perp)^\perp = (T'(N'))^\perp = \{0\}.$$

So, since  $M'$  is self-dual, we can apply Lemmas 7 and 8. We obtain in this way an isometric isomorphism  $U^\# : M' \rightarrow K'$ . Thus  $(J^\#)^{-1} \circ U^\# : M' \cong K' \cong N'$  is the desired isometric isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $A$  be a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $M, N$  be self-dual Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $A$ , and let  $T : M \rightarrow N$  be an operator with  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $(T(M))^{\perp\perp} = N$ . Then  $M \cong N$ .*

*Proof.* In the self-dual case  $\Lambda_N$  is an isomorphism and  $T' = \Lambda_M \circ T^* \circ (\Lambda_N)^{-1}$  (see (7)). If  $T(M)^\perp = \{0\}$ , then  $\text{Ker}(T^*) = \{0\}$ . Indeed, suppose that  $T^*(n) = 0$ , then  $\langle T^*n, m \rangle = 0$  for any  $m \in M$ , so  $\langle n, Tm \rangle = 0$  for any  $m \in M$ , i.e.  $n \perp T(M)$ . Hence  $n = 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(T^*) = \{0\}$ . Thus, the property  $\text{Ker}(T') = \{0\}$  follows from the other conditions in this case.  $\square$

## 5. DECOMPOSITIONS INTO DIRECT SUMS

Here we explain that Corollary 3 in [2], which was based on an erroneous statement, is nevertheless true. As a bonus, we extend it from the case of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $W^*$  algebras to that over monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras.

The next statement is known for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $W^*$ -algebras ([2], [10, Lemma 3.6.1]).

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $L$  be a self-dual Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, and let  $K \subseteq L$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -submodule with a Hilbert dual. Then we have the orthogonal decomposition:  $L = K^{\perp\perp} \oplus K^\perp$ .*

*Proof.* For the inclusion  $i : K \subseteq L$ , consider the Banach adjoint map  $R = i' : L' \rightarrow K'$  of self-dual Hilbert modules. The map  $R$  is given by  $R(f)(k) = f(i(k))$ ,  $f \in L'$ ,  $k \in K$ , and satisfies the formula

$$(8) \quad R(\widehat{l})(k) = \widehat{l}(i(k)) = \langle l, i(k) \rangle_L = \langle \widehat{l}, \widehat{i(k)} \rangle_{L'}, \quad l \in L, k \in K.$$

As both  $K'$  and  $L$  are self-dual,  $R$  admits the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module adjoint morphism  $R^* : K' \rightarrow L' \cong L$ .

We claim that  $R^*R$  is a projection, and that  $\text{Ker}(R) = \text{Ker}(R^*R)$ .

The first claim would follow if we show that  $RR^* = \text{id}_{K'}$ . Note that  $R^*$  satisfies  $\langle f, R(\widehat{l}) \rangle_{K'} = \langle R^*(f), \widehat{l} \rangle_{L'}$ ,  $f \in K'$ ,  $l \in L$ . Taking here  $f = \widehat{k}$ , we get  $\langle \widehat{k}, R(\widehat{l}) \rangle_{K'} = \langle R^*(\widehat{k}), \widehat{l} \rangle_{L'}$ . Comparing this with (8), we see that  $R^*(\widehat{k}) = \widehat{i(k)}$  for any  $k \in K$ . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle RR^*(\widehat{k}), \widehat{r} \rangle_{K'} &= \langle R^*(\widehat{k}), R^*(\widehat{r}) \rangle_{L'} \\ &= \langle \widehat{i(k)}, \widehat{i(r)} \rangle_{L'} = \langle i(k), i(r) \rangle_{L'} \\ &= \langle k, r \rangle_K = \langle \widehat{k}, \widehat{r} \rangle_{L'} \end{aligned}$$



for any  $k, r \in K$ , hence  $\langle RR^*(\widehat{k}) - \widehat{k}, \widehat{r} \rangle_{K'} = 0$ , which implies that  $RR^*(\widehat{k}) = \widehat{k}$  for any  $k \in K$ . Now let  $f \in K'$ . Then

$$\langle RR^*(f), \widehat{k} \rangle_{K'} = \langle f, RR^*(\widehat{k}) \rangle_{K'} = \langle f, \widehat{k} \rangle_{K'},$$

or, alternatively,  $RR^*(f)(k) = f(k)$  for any  $k \in K$ , hence  $RR^* = \text{id}_{K'}$ .

For the second claim, it is clear that  $\text{Ker } R \subset \text{Ker } R^*R$ . To prove the opposite inclusion suppose that  $R(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in L' = L$ . Then  $\langle R(x), R(x) \rangle = \langle R^*R(x), x \rangle \neq 0$ , hence,  $R^*R(x) \neq 0$ .

It remains to observe that  $K^\perp = \text{Ker}(R)$  and thus is orthogonally complemented.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *Suppose that  $M$  and  $L$  are self-dual modules over a monotone complete algebra,  $T : M \rightarrow L$  is an (adjointable) morphism with  $\text{Ker}(T) = \{0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} \cong (T(\mathcal{M}))^{\perp\perp}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $N := (T(\mathcal{M}))^{\perp\perp} \supseteq T(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $T : M \rightarrow N$  (we use the same notation  $T$  for this morphism) satisfies the suppositions of Corollary 10 and we have  $M \cong N'$ . On the other hand we have  $L = (T(\mathcal{M}))^{\perp\perp} \oplus (T(\mathcal{M}))^\perp$  by Lemma 11. Thus  $N = (T(\mathcal{M}))^{\perp\perp}$  is a direct orthogonal summand of a self-dual module and thus a self-dual module itself. Hence  $N \cong N' \cong M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are countably generated  $A$ -modules and  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is an  $A$ -Fredholm operator, where  $A$  is a monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Ker } F$  and  $(\text{Im } F)^\perp$  are finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules and*

$$\text{index}(F) = [\text{Ker } F] - [(\text{Im } F)^\perp] \in K_0(A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus_\perp \mathcal{M}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1$  be the decompositions from the definition of  $A$ -Fredholm operator,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_1 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus_\perp \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1,$$

$F_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \cong \mathcal{N}_0$ ,  $F_1 : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_1$  are projective finitely generated modules. (Remind that by the definition an  $A$ -Fredholm operator is an adjointable operator having such matrix form.) Let  $x = x_0 + x_1$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,  $x_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $F(x) = 0$ , so  $0 = F_0(x_0) + F_1(x_1) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus \mathcal{N}_1$ . Thus  $F_0(x_0) = 0$ ,  $F_1(x_1) = 0$ , so  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . Thus  $\text{Ker } F = \text{Ker } F_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$ . By the same argument as for  $i'$  in the proof of Lemma 11,  $\text{Ker } F$  is a projective finitely generated  $A$ -module and has an orthogonal complement  $\mathcal{M}'_2$ . Also,  $\text{Im } F = \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus F(\mathcal{M}'_2)$ .

Note that  $(\text{Im } F)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1$  is the kernel of the adjoint operator:  $(\text{Im } F)^\perp = \text{Ker } F^*$ , because, as above,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Im } F)^\perp &= \{y \in \mathcal{N} : \langle Fx, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathcal{N} : \langle x, F^*y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathcal{N} : F^*y = 0\} \\ &= \text{Ker } F^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(\text{Im } F)^\perp$  is an orthogonal complementable finitely generated projective submodule of  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . Evidently,  $F(\mathcal{M}_2) \subseteq (\text{Im } F)^\perp_{\mathcal{N}_1}$  and we obtain the following form for  $F$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{M}_0 \hat{\oplus} (\mathcal{M}_2 \oplus \text{Ker } F) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0 \oplus ((\text{Im } F)^\perp)^\perp_{\mathcal{N}_1} \oplus (\text{Im } F)^\perp.$$

By Corollary 12,  $\mathcal{M}_2 \cong ((\text{Im } F)^\perp)^\perp_{\mathcal{N}_1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{index}(F) &= [\mathcal{M}_1] - [\mathcal{N}_1] \\ &= [\mathcal{M}_2] + [\text{Ker } F] - [((\text{Im } F)^\perp)^\perp_{\mathcal{N}_1}] - [(\text{Im } F)^\perp] \\ &= [\text{Ker } F] - [(\text{Im } F)^\perp] \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. FRANK. Self-duality and  $C^*$ -reflexivity of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. *Zeitschr. Anal. Anwendungen* **9** (1990), 165–176.
- [2] M. FRANK, E. V. TROITSKY. Lefschetz numbers and geometry of operators in  $W^*$ -modules. *Func. Anal. i Pril.* **30** (1996), no. 4, 45–57 (in Russian, English transl.: *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **30** (1996), 257–266).
- [3] M. FRANK. Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over monotone complete  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Nachr.* **175** (1995), 61–83.
- [4] M. FRANK, V. MANUILOV, E. TROITSKY. Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules from group actions: beyond the finite orbits case. *Studia Math.* **200** (2010), No. 2, 131–148.
- [5] M. FRANK, V. MANUILOV, E. TROITSKY. A reflexivity criterion for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over commutative  $C^*$ -algebras. *New York J. Math.* **16** (2010), 399–408.
- [6] E. C. LANCE. Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules - a toolkit for operator algebraists. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **210**. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [7] H. LIN. Bounded module maps and pure completely positive maps. *J. Operator Theory* **26** (1991), 121–138.
- [8] V. MANUILOV. On extendability of functionals on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. e-print, 2022. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.07089>.
- [9] V. M. MANUILOV, E. V. TROITSKY. Hilbert  $C^*$ - and  $W^*$ -modules and their morphisms. *J. Math. Sci.* **98** (2000), No. 2, 137–201.
- [10] V. M. MANUILOV, E. V. TROITSKY. Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2005.
- [11] W. L. PASCHKE. Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **182**, 443–468, 1973.
- [12] W. L. PASCHKE. The double  $B$ -dual of an inner product module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . *Canad. J. Math.* **26**, 1272–1280, 1974.

MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, 119991 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

*Email address:* manuilov@mech.math.msu.su

*Email address:* troitsky@mech.math.msu.su