

On curvature-squared invariants of minimal five-dimensional supergravity from superspace

Gregory Gold, Jessica Hutomo, Saurish Khandelwal,
and Gabriele Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli

*School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Queensland,
St Lucia, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia*

g.gold@uq.edu.au; j.hutomo@uq.edu.au; s.khandelwal@uq.edu.au;
g.tartaglino-mazzucchelli@uq.edu.au

Abstract

We elaborate on the off-shell superspace construction of curvature-squared invariants in minimal five-dimensional supergravity. This is described by the standard Weyl multiplet of conformal supergravity coupled to two compensators being a vector multiplet and a linear multiplet. In this set-up, we review the definition of the off-shell two-derivative gauged supergravity together with the three independent four-derivative superspace invariants defined in arXiv:1410.8682. We provide the explicit expression for the linear multiplet based on a prepotential given by the logarithm of the vector multiplet primary superfield. We then present for the first time the primary equations of motion for minimal gauged off-shell supergravity deformed by an arbitrary combination of these three four-derivative locally superconformal invariants. We also identify a four-derivative invariant based on the linear multiplet compensator and the kinetic superfield of a vector multiplet which can be used to engineer an alternative supersymmetric completion of the scalar curvature squared.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Superconformal multiplets in 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace	6
2.1	The standard Weyl multiplet	6
2.2	The Abelian vector multiplet	9
2.3	The linear multiplet	10
3	Superconformal actions	12
3.1	BF action	13
3.2	Vector multiplet compensator	14
3.3	Linear multiplet compensator	15
3.4	Gauged supergravity action	17
3.5	Weyl-squared	18
3.6	$\log W$	19
3.7	Scalar curvature squared	22
4	Superconformal equations of motion	22
4.1	Vector multiplet	23
4.2	Linear multiplet	24
4.3	Standard Weyl multiplet	25
5	An alternative scalar curvature-squared invariant	26

1 Introduction

Almost five decades after the first (two-derivative) supergravity was constructed (for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions), higher-order locally supersymmetric invariants are still largely unknown. Higher-order curvature terms play, however, a significant

role in string theory, where quantum corrections take the form of an infinite series potentially constrained by supersymmetry order by order in the string tension α' and the string coupling g_s . Many open problems in string theory, for example its vacua structure, are unresolved due to the lack of information about the full quantum corrected supergravity effective action. The complexity of such an effective theory is even made worse by the fact that the purely gravitational higher-curvature terms are related by supersymmetry to contributions depending on p -forms, which describe part of the string spectrum. These terms, which have not yet been systematically understood, play an important role in studying, for example, the moduli in compactified string theory and the low-energy description of string dualities; see, e.g., [1–3]. In the context of string-inspired holographic dualities, such as the AdS/CFT, higher-order $1/N$ corrections in quantum field theories translate into higher curvature terms on the gravity side making these contributions fundamental for precision tests in AdS/CFT. New interesting analyses in this topic have been performed in the last few years – see for example [4–12] and references therein.

One obstacle to constructing locally supersymmetric higher-order invariants is that often supersymmetry is only realised *on-shell*, meaning *the symmetry algebra closes by using equations of motion*. In on-shell approaches – which are, e.g., typically used in 10- and 11-dimensional theories – one needs to intertwine the construction of higher-order invariant terms in the Lagrangian of interest with a systematic and consistent deformation of the supersymmetry transformations making the problem remarkably involved. This obstacle is simplified by using *off-shell supersymmetry* where one introduces extra (auxiliary) degrees of freedom to obtain supersymmetric multiplets possessing *model-independent transformation rules*. In a low number of space-time dimensions (D), in particular $D \leq 6$, off-shell techniques are by now well developed and understood for up to eight real supercharges – see [13–19] for reviews on off-shell approaches to supersymmetry and supergravity. In these cases, the construction of supergravity higher-derivative invariants can in principle be systematically approached. A restricted list of references using off-shell approaches to construct locally supersymmetric higher-derivative invariants is: [20–46].

The scope of our paper is to enhance the classification of off-shell curvature-squared invariants of minimal five-dimensional (5D) supergravity. Minimal on-shell 5D supergravity was introduced four decades ago in [47, 48], and the first off-shell description was given in [49] by the use of superspace techniques. Since then, 5D minimal supergravity and its matter couplings have been extensively studied at the component level, both in on-shell [50–53] and off-shell [54–63] settings. The superspace approach to general off-shell 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity-matter systems has then been developed in [20, 64–66]; see

also [67] for a recent local supertwistor description of 5D conformal supergravity.

In our paper we will specifically use the 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal superspace approach of [20].¹ This approach merges advantages of the 5D superconformal tensor calculus of [57–63] with the superspace approaches of [49, 64–66]. In the superconformal setup (both in components and superspace), one enlarges the supergravity gauge group to be described by local superconformal transformations, plus potentially internal symmetries. Local Poincaré supersymmetry is then recovered by using an appropriate choice of compensating multiplets that are used to gauge fix extra non-physical symmetries within the conformal algebra. For instance, in this setup, the off-shell formulation of minimal 5D supergravity is achieved by coupling the standard Weyl multiplet of 5D conformal supergravity to two off-shell conformal compensators: a vector multiplet and a hypermultiplet, the latter conveniently described by a linear multiplet. These will be the off-shell multiplets used in our paper. Within this setup, locally supersymmetric completions of the Weyl tensor squared and the scalar curvature squared were constructed for the first time, respectively, in [25] and [32] by using component fields techniques. Up to total derivatives, a generic combination of curvature-squared terms in 5D should include also a Ricci tensor squared invariant. A third independent locally superconformal invariant which includes Ricci squared was indeed constructed in superspace in [20] by using a 5D analog of the “log multiplet” construction in 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity of [33]. However, due to the computational complexity of the log multiplet in 5D, the component analysis of this invariant has not appeared so far – in a follow-up paper, we will report on the component structure of this invariant which has been computed by making use of the computer algebra program *Cadabra* [72, 73].

Note that the conformal approach described above is not unique. In five dimensions it is known that an efficient setup to describe general supergravity-matter couplings make use of a vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet as a multiplet of conformal supergravity in place of the standard Weyl one [59, 61].² A remarkable property of systems based on the use of a 5D vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet, that are related to the Poincaré supergravity first

¹Conformal superspace was originally introduced by D. Butter for 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity in [68] and then extended to other space-time dimensions $2 \leq D \leq 6$ for various amount of supersymmetry in [20, 38, 41, 69–71] – see also [18, 19] for recent reviews.

²The vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet terminology is used here to stress that the variant multiplet of conformal supergravity in [59, 61] is defined as an on-shell vector multiplet coupled to the standard Weyl multiplet. It was recently shown in [74, 75] that an on-shell hypermultiplet in a standard Weyl multiplet background can be reinterpreted as yet another new variant Weyl multiplet of off-shell conformal supergravity which was referred to as hyper-dilaton Weyl.

introduced in [76], is the simplicity to define a third locally supersymmetric curvature-squared invariant. In fact, by employing a map between fields of the vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet and an off-shell vector multiplet, in [27] a locally supersymmetric extension of the Riemann tensor squared was constructed (a construction that however is not applicable for a standard Weyl multiplet). This, together with the Weyl-squared invariant of [25], was sufficient for Ozkan & Pang to construct in [30,32] a locally supersymmetric extension of the Gauss-Bonnet combination which is expected to play a key role in the description of the first α' corrections to compactified string theory [77,78].

Despite the remarkable features mentioned above, two important disadvantages of the use of a vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet are that: (i) the spectrum of the on-shell theory do not precisely match the one of minimal Poincaré supergravity as in fact it leads to an extra on-shell physical multiplet that includes a scalar (dilaton) field; (ii) it is not possible to describe gauged supergravity and then AdS supergravity.³ This second limitation has a clear impact if one is interested in using off-shell supergravity in the study of AdS/CFT. Indeed, the authors of [8,11,12] employed a formulation of minimal gauged supergravity in 5D based on the standard Weyl multiplet, for which however they could only use two of the three independent invariants, the ones of [25,30,32], explicitly known in terms of the component fields. To this regard, it is worth explaining that, as first discussed in [4], see also [5,8,11,12], the use of two invariants might suffice in 5D since a curvature dependent redefinition of the metric can reabsorb one of the three curvature-squared terms. It remains however a nontrivial open problem to prove this statement for whole locally supersymmetric invariants (e.g., including fermions) and to have clear control of the supersymmetry transformations under this redefinition. All three invariants might also play a role to construct general higher-derivative invariants beyond four derivatives. It is also worth mentioning that the related recent analysis of [9] was based on the three independent curvature-squared invariants of [25,27,30,32] defined using a vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet. However, it remains unclear to us whether the analysis of [9] might have some issues with supersymmetry, due to the constraints in defining the gauging (or equivalently the cosmological constant term) in a vector-dilaton Weyl formulation.

Considering the potential subtleties in the recent studies in [5,8,9,11,12] it is natural

³It has been proposed in [79], and successively described also in superspace in [20], how to gauge a system based on the vector-dilaton Weyl multiplet by appropriately deforming the constraint of the on-shell vector multiplet. However, so far, this construction has not been systematically studied as for gauged supergravities based on the standard Weyl multiplet, including curvature-squared invariants. Interestingly, the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet of [74,75] has no apparent issues concerning gauging, at least for matter systems not including extra physical hypermultiplets.

to look back at [20] and elaborate on properties of the three independent curvature-squared invariants for minimal supergravity constructed in superspace. A fundamental property of these locally superconformal invariants is that they can all be constructed by using a standard Weyl multiplet, making straightforward their addition to the 5D minimal off-shell two-derivative gauged supergravity theory. In this paper we then start to report on new results based on these invariants. More specifically, we will present here detailed expressions of all the composite primary superfields associated to each invariant – including a new expression for the log multiplet – which can be readily used for component analyses. We will then describe the primary equations of motion in superspace that describe minimal 5D gauged supergravity deformed by an arbitrary combination of three curvature-squared invariants. Our results are defined in superspace, but they can be straightforwardly translated in components by using the analysis of [20]. In particular, since all the expressions are fully covariant and described explicitly in terms of composites of descendants of the various multiplets, one could, for example, straightforwardly obtain the whole set of deformed supergravity equations of motion by the successive action of Q -supersymmetry. This will then be a new step towards several applications of the three locally superconformal invariants of [20]. Moreover, we also introduce an alternative four-derivative invariant based on the linear multiplet compensator and the kinetic superfield of the vector multiplet compensator. This can be used to engineer a scalar curvature squared invariant also in alternative off-shell supergravities as for example the formulation based on the recently introduced 5D hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet [75].

Our paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we describe the structure of 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal multiplets that will be used in this work. In section 3 we give the salient details of [20] concerning the superspace construction of various locally superconformal invariants (including curvature-squared ones) which will play the role of action principles. One of our new results in section 3 includes the expression of a composite primary multiplet which defines the “log multiplet” curvature-squared invariant. Section 4 contains the main results of our paper: the superconformal primary equations of motion of all the curvature-squared terms for the minimal 5D gauged off-shell supergravity based on the standard Weyl multiplet. An alternative construction of a scalar curvature square invariant is presented in section 5. Our notation and conventions correspond to that of [20] (see also [75], where a handful of typos from [20] were fixed).

2 Superconformal multiplets in 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace

In this section we review several superconformal multiplets which will serve as building blocks for the various curvature-squared invariants presented in this work. After describing the standard Weyl multiplet of conformal supergravity in 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal superspace, we move on to the discussion of the Abelian vector and off-shell linear multiplets. Here we make use of the approach and results given in [20]. We refer the reader to [49, 64–66, 80–83] for other works on flat and curved superspace and off-shell multiplets in five dimensions.

2.1 The standard Weyl multiplet

The standard Weyl multiplet of 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal supergravity [61] is associated with the gauging of the superconformal algebra $F^2(4)$. The multiplet contains $32 + 32$ physical components described by a set of independent gauge fields: the vielbein e_m^a , the gravitino $\psi_{m\alpha}^i$, the $SU(2)_R$ gauge field ϕ_m^{ij} , and a dilatation gauge field b_m . The other gauge fields associated with the remaining gauge symmetries: the spin connection ω_m^{ab} , the S -supersymmetry connection $\phi_{m\alpha}^i$, and the special conformal connection f_m^a are composite fields, i.e., they are algebraically determined in terms of the other fields by imposing constraints on some of the curvature tensors. The standard Weyl multiplet also comprises a set of covariant auxiliary fields: a real antisymmetric tensor w_{ab} , a fermion χ_α^i , and a real auxiliary scalar D .

The 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal superspace is parametrised by local bosonic (x^m) and fermionic (θ_i) coordinates $z^M = (x^m, \theta_i^\mu)$, where $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\mu = 1, \dots, 4$, and $i = 1, 2$. To perform the gauging of the superconformal algebra, one introduces covariant derivatives $\nabla_A = (\nabla_a, \nabla_\alpha^i)$ which have the form

$$\nabla_A = E_A - \omega_A^b X_b = E_A - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_A^{ab} M_{ab} - \Phi_A^{ij} J_{ij} - B_A \mathbb{D} - \mathfrak{F}_A^B K_B, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$= E_A - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_A^{ab} M_{ab} - \Phi_A^{ij} J_{ij} - B_A \mathbb{D} - \mathfrak{F}_A^{\alpha i} S_{\alpha i} - \mathfrak{F}_A^a K_a. \quad (2.1b)$$

Here $E_A = E_A^M \partial_M$ is the inverse super-vielbein, M_{ab} are the Lorentz generators, J_{ij} are generators of the $SU(2)_R$ R -symmetry group, \mathbb{D} is the dilatation generator, and $K_A = (K_a, S_{\alpha i})$ are the special superconformal generators. The super-vielbein one-form is $E^A = dz^M E_M^A$ with $E_M^A E_A^N = \delta_M^N$ and $E_A^M E_M^B = \delta_A^B$. We associate with each generator $X_{\underline{a}} = (M_{ab}, J_{ij}, \mathbb{D}, S_{\alpha i}, K_a)$ a connection super one-form $\omega^{\underline{a}} = (\Omega^{ab}, \Phi^{ij}, B, \mathfrak{F}^{\alpha i}, \mathfrak{F}^a) = dz^M \omega_M^{\underline{a}} = E^A \omega_A^{\underline{a}}$.

The algebra of covariant derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] = & -\mathcal{T}_{AB}{}^C \nabla_C - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}(M)_{AB}{}^{cd} M_{cd} - \mathcal{R}(J)_{AB}{}^{kl} J_{kl} \\ & - \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{D})_{AB} \mathbb{D} - \mathcal{R}(S)_{AB}{}^{\gamma k} S_{\gamma k} - \mathcal{R}(K)_{AB}{}^c K_c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

is constrained to be expressed in terms of a single primary superfield, the super-Weyl tensor $W_{\alpha\beta}$.⁴ It has the following properties

$$W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}, \quad K_A W_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \mathbb{D} W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.3)$$

and satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_\gamma^k W_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{(\alpha}^k W_{\beta\gamma)} + \frac{2}{5} \varepsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} \nabla^{\delta k} W_{\beta)\delta}. \quad (2.4)$$

In (2.2) $\mathcal{T}_{AB}{}^C$ is the torsion, and $\mathcal{R}(M)_{AB}{}^{cd}$, $\mathcal{R}(J)_{AB}{}^{kl}$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{D})_{AB}$, $\mathcal{R}(S)_{AB}{}^{\gamma k}$, and $\mathcal{R}(K)_{AB}{}^c$ are the curvatures associated with Lorentz, $SU(2)_R$, dilatation, S -supersymmetry, and special conformal boosts, respectively.

The full algebra of covariant derivatives (2.2) (including the explicit expressions for the torsion and curvature components in terms of the descendant superfields) are given in Refs. [20] and [75]. To make use of results of [20] it is important to note that in this paper we make use of the “traceless” frame conventional constraints for the conformal superspace algebra employed in appendix C of [20] as well as in [75]. We also refer the reader to these papers for the description of how to reduce superspace results to standard component fields.

It is useful to introduce the dimension-3/2 superfields

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k W_{\beta\gamma)}, \quad X_\alpha^i := \frac{2}{5} \nabla^{\beta i} W_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (2.5a)$$

and the dimension-2 descendant superfields constructed from spinor covariant derivatives of $W_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k W_{\beta\gamma\delta)k}, \quad X_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^{(i} X_{\beta)}^j, \quad Y := i \nabla^{\gamma k} X_{\gamma k}. \quad (2.5b)$$

It can be checked that only the superfields (2.5) and their vector derivatives appear upon taking successive spinor derivatives of $W_{\alpha\beta}$. The following relations define the tower of

⁴Here and in what follows, an antisymmetric rank-2 tensor $T_{ab} = -T_{ba}$ can equivalently be written as: $T_{ab} = (\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta} = 1/2 (\Sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} T_{ab}$.

covariant fields in the standard Weyl multiplet and are particularly useful for analysing the structure of curvature-squared invariants:

$$\nabla_\gamma^k W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k + \varepsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} X_{\beta)}^k, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^i X_\beta^j &= X_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} + \frac{i}{8} \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} Y - \frac{3i}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} (\Gamma^a)_{\alpha}{}^\rho \nabla_a W_{\beta\rho} - 2i \varepsilon^{ij} W_{\alpha}{}^\rho W_{\beta\rho} \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} W^{\gamma\delta} W_{\gamma\delta} - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} (\Gamma^a)_{\beta}{}^\rho \nabla_a W_{\alpha\rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^i W_{\beta\gamma\lambda}{}^j &= -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \left(W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} + 3i (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha(\beta} \nabla^a W_{\gamma\lambda)} + 3i \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} (\Gamma_a)_{\gamma}{}^\tau \nabla^a W_{\lambda)\tau} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} X_{\gamma\lambda)}{}^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^i W_{\beta\gamma\lambda\rho} &= -4i (\Gamma_a)_{\alpha(\beta} \nabla^a W_{\gamma\lambda\rho)}{}^i - 6i W_{\alpha(\beta\gamma}{}^i W_{\lambda\rho)} + 6i W_{\alpha(\beta} W_{\gamma\lambda\rho)}{}^i \\ &\quad + 6i \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} \left(W_{\gamma\lambda} X_{\rho)}^i - 2 (\Gamma_a)_{\gamma}{}^\tau \nabla^a W_{\lambda\rho\tau)}{}^i - W_{\gamma}{}^\tau W_{\lambda\rho\tau)}{}^i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha^i X_{\beta\gamma}{}^{jk} &= i \varepsilon^{ij} \left(-3 W_{(\beta}{}^\lambda W_{\gamma)\alpha\lambda}{}^k - \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} W^{\rho\tau} W_{\gamma)\rho\tau}{}^k - W_{\alpha\lambda} W_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\lambda k} - \frac{3}{2} W_{\beta\gamma} X_\alpha^k \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha(\beta} X_{\gamma)}^k + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} W_{\gamma)\lambda} X^{k\lambda} + 2 (\Gamma^a)_{\alpha}{}^\rho \nabla_a W_{\beta\gamma\rho}{}^k \\ &\quad + 2 (\Gamma^a)_{(\beta}{}^\rho \nabla_a W_{\gamma)\alpha\rho}{}^k - (\Gamma^a)_{\alpha(\beta} \nabla_a X_{\gamma)}^k + \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} (\Gamma^a)_{\gamma)\lambda} \nabla_a X^{k\lambda} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6e)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i Y = 8 (\Gamma^a)_{\alpha}{}^\beta \nabla_a X_\beta^i + 8 W_{\alpha}{}^\beta X_\beta^i. \quad (2.6f)$$

Due to (2.4), the $X_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij}$ and $W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ dimension-2 superfields of the standard Weyl multiplet obey the following Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_{(\alpha}{}^\gamma X_{\beta)\gamma}{}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} X^{\gamma(i} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{j)}, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\nabla_{(\alpha}{}^\lambda W_{\beta\gamma\tau)\lambda} = 3i \nabla_{(\alpha}{}^\lambda \left(W_{\beta\gamma} W_{\tau)\lambda} \right). \quad (2.7b)$$

The independent descendant superfields of $W_{\alpha\beta}$ are all annihilated by K_a . However, under S -supersymmetry, they transform as follows:

$$S_{\alpha i} W_{\beta\gamma\delta}{}^j = 6 \delta_i^j \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} W_{\gamma\delta)}, \quad S_{\alpha i} X_\beta^j = 4 \delta_i^j W_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.8a)$$

$$S_{\alpha i} W_{\beta\gamma\delta\rho} = 24 \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} W_{\gamma\delta\rho)}{}^i, \quad S_{\alpha i} Y = 8i X_{\alpha i}, \quad (2.8b)$$

$$S_{\alpha i} X_{\beta\gamma}{}^{jk} = -4 \delta_i^j W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k + 4 \delta_i^j \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} X_{\gamma)}^k. \quad (2.8c)$$

The conformal supergravity gauge group \mathcal{G} is generated by *covariant general coordinate transformations*, δ_{cgct} , associated with a local superdiffeomorphism parameter ξ^A and *standard superconformal transformations*, $\delta_{\mathcal{L}}$, associated with the local superfield parameters: the dilatation σ , Lorentz $\Lambda^{ab} = -\Lambda^{ba}$, $\text{SU}(2)_R$ $\Lambda^{ij} = \Lambda^{ji}$, and special conformal

transformations $\Lambda^A = (\eta^{\alpha i}, \Lambda_K^a)$. The covariant derivatives transform as

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}} \nabla_A = [\mathcal{K}, \nabla_A] , \quad (2.9a)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \xi^C \nabla_C + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{ab} M_{ab} + \Lambda^{ij} J_{ij} + \sigma \mathbb{D} + \Lambda^A K_A . \quad (2.9b)$$

A covariant (or tensor) superfield U transforms as

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}} U = (\delta_{\text{cgct}} + \delta_{\mathcal{H}}) U = \mathcal{K} U . \quad (2.10)$$

The superfield U is a *superconformal primary* of dimension Δ if $K_A U = 0$ (it suffices to require that $S_{\alpha i} U = 0$) and $\mathbb{D} U = \Delta U$.

2.2 The Abelian vector multiplet

In conformal superspace [20], a 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Abelian vector multiplet [83,84] is described by a real primary superfield W of dimension 1,

$$(W)^* = W , \quad K_A W = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D} W = W . \quad (2.11a)$$

The superfield W obeys the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^{(i} \nabla_{\beta}^{j)} W = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^{\gamma(i} \nabla_{\gamma}^{j)} W . \quad (2.11b)$$

Let us introduce the following descendants constructed from spinor derivatives of W :

$$\lambda_{\alpha}^i := -i \nabla_{\alpha}^i W , \quad X^{ij} := \frac{i}{4} \nabla^{\alpha(i} \nabla_{\alpha}^{j)} W = -\frac{1}{4} \nabla^{\alpha(i} \lambda_{\alpha}^{j)} . \quad (2.12a)$$

These superfields, along with

$$F_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{i}{4} \nabla_{(\alpha}^k \nabla_{\beta)k} W - W_{\alpha\beta} W = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{(\alpha}^k \lambda_{\beta)k} - W_{\alpha\beta} W , \quad (2.12b)$$

satisfy the following identities:

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i \lambda_{\beta}^j = -2 \varepsilon^{ij} (F_{\alpha\beta} + W_{\alpha\beta} W) - \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} X^{ij} - \varepsilon^{ij} \nabla_{\alpha\beta} W , \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha}^i F_{\beta\gamma} &= -i \nabla_{\alpha(\beta} \lambda_{\gamma)}^i - i \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} \nabla_{\gamma)}^{\delta} \lambda_{\delta}^i - \frac{3i}{2} W_{\beta\gamma} \lambda_{\alpha}^i - W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^i W \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} W_{\alpha(\beta} \lambda_{\gamma)}^i - \frac{3i}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} W_{\gamma)}^{\delta} \lambda_{\delta}^i , \end{aligned} \quad (2.13b)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i X^{jk} = 2i\varepsilon^{ij} \left(\nabla_\alpha^\beta \lambda_\beta^k - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^{\beta k} \right) + \frac{3i}{4} X_\alpha^k W . \quad (2.13c)$$

We also note that $F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} F_{ab}$. Due to (2.11b), a dimension-2 superfield of a vector multiplet in the traceless frame obeys the following Bianchi identity:

$$\nabla_{(\alpha}{}^\gamma F_{\beta)\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{\gamma k} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma k} . \quad (2.14)$$

The actions of the S -supersymmetry generator on the descendants are given by

$$S_\alpha^i \lambda_\beta^j = -2i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{ij} W , \quad S_\alpha^i F_{\beta\gamma} = 4\varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta} \lambda_{\gamma)}^i , \quad S_\alpha^i X^{jk} = -2\varepsilon^{i(j} \lambda_\alpha^{k)} , \quad (2.15)$$

while all the fields are annihilated by the K_a generators.

In 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal superspace, there exists a prepotential formulation for the Abelian vector multiplet, which, was developed in [20], see also [64, 66, 80, 81] for earlier related analysis in other superspaces. The authors of [20] introduced a real primary superfield V_{ij} of dimension -2 , $\mathbb{D}V_{ij} = -2V_{ij}$. It was also shown that V_{ij} transforms as an isovector under $SU(2)_R$ transformations and is the 5D analogue of Mezincescu's prepotential [85–87] for the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Abelian vector multiplet. This then allows us to represent the field strength W as

$$W = -\frac{3i}{40} \nabla_{ij} \Delta^{ijkl} V_{kl} , \quad (2.16)$$

where we have defined the operators

$$\Delta^{ijkl} := -\frac{1}{96} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \nabla_\alpha^{(i} \nabla_\beta^j \nabla_\gamma^k \nabla_\delta^{l)} = -\frac{1}{32} \nabla^{(ij} \nabla^{kl)} = \Delta^{(ijkl)} , \quad (2.17a)$$

$$\nabla^{ij} := \nabla^\alpha{}^{(i} \nabla_\alpha^{j)} . \quad (2.17b)$$

It should be noted that V_{ij} in (2.16) is defined modulo gauge transformations of the form

$$\delta V_{kl} = \nabla_\alpha^p \Lambda^\alpha{}_{klp} , \quad \Lambda^\alpha{}_{klp} = \Lambda^\alpha{}_{(klp)} , \quad (2.18)$$

with the gauge parameter $\Lambda^\alpha{}_{klp}$ being a primary superfield,

$$S_\alpha^i \Lambda^\beta{}_{jkl} = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D} \Lambda^\beta{}_{jkl} = -\frac{5}{2} \Lambda^\beta{}_{jkl} . \quad (2.19)$$

2.3 The linear multiplet

The linear multiplet [88–98], or $\mathcal{O}(2)$ multiplet, can be described in terms of the primary superfield $G^{ij} = G^{ji}$, which is characterised by the properties

$$\nabla_\alpha^{(i} G^{jk)} = 0 , \quad (2.20a)$$

$$K_A G^{ij} = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D}G^{ij} = 3G^{ij} . \quad (2.20b)$$

We assume G^{ij} to be real, $(G^{ij})^* = \varepsilon_{ik}\varepsilon_{jl}G^{kl}$.

The component structure of G^{ij} is characterised by the following tower of identities:

$$\nabla_\alpha^i G^{jk} = 2\varepsilon^{i(j}\varphi_\alpha^{k)} , \quad (2.21a)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i \varphi_\beta^j = -\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}F + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta} + i\nabla_{\alpha\beta}G^{ij} , \quad (2.21b)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i F = -2\nabla_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta^i - 3W_{\alpha\beta}\varphi^{\beta i} - \frac{3}{2}X_{\alpha j}G^{ij} , \quad (2.21c)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i \mathcal{H}_a = 4(\Sigma_{ab})_\alpha^\beta \nabla^b \varphi_\beta^i - \frac{3}{2}(\Gamma_a)_\alpha^\beta W_{\beta\gamma}\varphi^{\gamma i} - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_a)_\gamma^\beta W_{\beta\alpha}\varphi^{\gamma i} , \quad (2.21d)$$

where we have defined the independent descendants superfields

$$\varphi_\alpha^i := \frac{1}{3}\nabla_{\alpha j}G^{ij} , \quad (2.22a)$$

$$F := \frac{i}{12}\nabla^{\gamma i}\nabla_\gamma^j G_{ij} = -\frac{i}{4}\nabla^{\gamma k}\varphi_{\gamma k} , \quad (2.22b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{abcd} := \frac{i}{12}\varepsilon_{abcde}(\Gamma^e)^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha^i\nabla_\beta^j G_{ij} \equiv \varepsilon_{abcde}\mathcal{H}^e . \quad (2.22c)$$

Here \mathcal{H}^a obeys the differential condition

$$\nabla_a \mathcal{H}^a = 0 , \quad \mathcal{H}^a := -\frac{1}{4!}\varepsilon^{abcde}\mathcal{H}_{bcde} . \quad (2.23)$$

The descendants (2.22) are all annihilated by K_a . Under the action of S -supersymmetry, they transform as follows:

$$S_\alpha^i \varphi_\beta^j = -6\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}G^{ij} , \quad S_\alpha^i F = 6i\varphi_\alpha^i , \quad S_\alpha^i \mathcal{H}_b = -8i(\Gamma_b)_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta^i . \quad (2.24)$$

We refer the reader to [20] for a superform description of the linear multiplet.

As described in [20], the linear multiplet constraints (2.20) may be solved in terms of an arbitrary primary real dimensionless scalar prepotential Ω ,

$$S_\alpha^i \Omega = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D}\Omega = 0 , \quad (2.25)$$

and the solution is

$$G^{ij} = -\frac{3i}{40}\Delta^{ijkl}\nabla_{kl}\Omega . \quad (2.26)$$

A crucial property of G^{ij} defined by (2.26) is that it is invariant under gauge transformations of Ω of the form

$$\delta\Omega = -\frac{i}{2}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\alpha^i\nabla_\beta^j B_{a ij} , \quad (2.27)$$

where the gauge parameter is assumed to have the properties

$$B_a^{ij} = B_a^{ji} , \quad S_\alpha^i B_a^{jk} = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D}B_a^{ij} = -B_a^{ij} , \quad (2.28)$$

and is otherwise arbitrary.

To conclude this section we introduce another result that will be used in the rest of the paper. Given a system of n Abelian vector multiplets W^I , with $I = 1, 2, \dots, n$, all satisfying (2.11), we can construct the following composite linear multiplet and its descendants [20]:

$$H^{ij} = C_{JK} \left\{ 2W^J X^{ijK} - i\lambda^{\alpha J} (\lambda_\alpha^{jK}) \right\} , \quad (2.29a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha^i = C_{JK} \left\{ iX^{ijJ} \lambda_{\alpha j}^K - 2iF_{\alpha\beta}^J \lambda^{\beta iK} - \frac{3}{2} X_\alpha^i W^J W^K - 2iW^J \nabla_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^{\beta iK} \right. \\ \left. - i(\nabla_{\alpha\beta} W^J) \lambda^{\beta iK} - 3iW_{\alpha\beta} W^J \lambda^{\beta iK} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.29b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F = C_{JK} \left\{ X^{ijJ} X_{ij}^K - F^{abJ} F_{ab}^K + 4W^J \square W^K + 2(\nabla^a W^J) \nabla_a W^K \right. \\ \left. + 2i(\nabla_\alpha^\beta \lambda_\beta^{iJ}) \lambda_i^{\alpha K} - 6W^{ab} F_{ab}^J W^K - \frac{39}{8} W^{ab} W_{ab} W^J W^K \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{8} Y W^J W^K + 6X^{\alpha i} \lambda_{\alpha i}^J W^K - 3iW_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^{\alpha iJ} \lambda_i^{\beta K} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.29c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_a = C_{JK} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{abcde} F^{bcJ} F^{deK} + 4\nabla^b \left(W^J F_{ba}^K + \frac{3}{2} W_{ba} W^J W^K \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2i(\Sigma_{ba})^{\alpha\beta} \nabla^b (\lambda_\alpha^{iJ} \lambda_{\beta i}^K) \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.29d)$$

where $\square := \nabla^a \nabla_a$ and $C_{JK} = C_{(JK)}$ is a constant symmetric in J and K . Equation (2.29) is the superspace analogue of the composite linear multiplet constructed in [61].

3 Superconformal actions

In this section, we review a main action principle that was used in [20] to construct various locally superconformally invariants (including curvature-squared ones) in superspace. A simple way to define it is based on a full superspace integral

$$S[\mathcal{L}] = \int d^{5|8} z E \mathcal{L} , \quad d^{5|8} z := d^5 x d^8 \theta , \quad E := \text{Ber}(E_M^A) , \quad (3.1)$$

where the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is a conformal primary superfield of dimension $+1$, $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$. This invariant can be proven to be locally superconformal invariant, that is, invariant under the supergravity gauge transformations (2.9).

3.1 BF action

The action involving the product of a linear multiplet with an Abelian vector multiplet is referred to as the BF action. Analogous to the component superconformal tensor calculus, this plays a fundamental role in the construction of general supergravity-matter couplings, see [57–63] for the 5D case, and it was a main building block for the invariants introduced in [20] that we focus on. In superspace the BF action may be described by

$$S_{\text{BF}} = \int d^{5|8}z E \Omega W = \int d^{5|8}z E G^{ij} V_{ij} . \quad (3.2a)$$

As implied by the equation above, the BF action can be written in different ways, see [20] for even more variants. In the first form in (3.2a), it involves the field strength of the vector multiplet, W , and the prepotential of the linear multiplet, Ω . By using (2.26), (2.16), and then integrating by parts, one may obtain the equivalent form of the BF action involving Mezincescu's prepotential V_{ij} and the field strength G^{ij} described by the right-hand side of (3.2a). One may also prove that the functionals $\int d^{5|8}z E \Omega W$ and $\int d^{5|8}z E G^{ij} V_{ij}$ are, respectively, invariant under the gauge transformations (2.27) and (2.18), thanks to the defining differential constraints satisfied by W and G^{ij} , eqs. (2.11b) and (2.20a).

In components, and in our notation, the BF action takes the form [20]

$$S_{\text{BF}} = - \int d^5x e \left(v_a \mathcal{H}^a + W F + X_{ij} G^{ij} + 2\lambda^{\alpha k} \varphi_{\alpha k} - \psi_{a_i}^\alpha (\Gamma^a)_\alpha^\beta \varphi_\beta^i W - i\psi_{a_i}^\alpha (\Gamma^a)_\alpha^\beta \lambda_{\beta j} G^{ij} + i\psi_{a_i}^\alpha (\Sigma^{ab})_\alpha^\beta \psi_{b\beta j} W G^{ij} \right) . \quad (3.2b)$$

In (3.2b), we have defined the usual component projection to $\theta = 0$, i.e., $U(z)| := U(z)|_{\theta=0}$. We associate the same symbol for the covariant component fields and the corresponding superfields, when the interpretation is clear from the context. Here $v_m := V_m|$ denotes a real Abelian gauge connection. Its real field strength is $f_{mn} := F_{mn}| = 2\partial_{[m}v_{n]}$. Note that the field strength f_{mn} may be expressed in terms of the bar-projected, covariant field strength $F_{ab} := F_{ab}|$ via the relation

$$F_{ab} = f_{ab} + i(\Gamma_{[a})_\alpha^\beta \psi_{b]_k}^\alpha \lambda_\beta^k + \frac{i}{2} W \psi_{[a}^\gamma \psi_{b]\gamma}^k , \quad f_{ab} := e_a^m e_b^n f_{mn} . \quad (3.3)$$

When projected to components, the lowest component of the covariant superfield \mathcal{H}_a satisfies the constraint $\nabla^a \mathcal{H}_a = 0$, where $\mathcal{H}_a := \mathcal{H}_a|$. It holds that

$$\mathcal{H}^a = h^a + 2(\Sigma^{ab})_\alpha^\beta \psi_{b_i}^\alpha \varphi_\beta^i - \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{abcde} (\Sigma_{bc})_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{d_i}^\alpha \psi_{e_j}^\beta G^{ij} . \quad (3.4)$$

The constraint $\nabla^a \mathcal{H}_a = 0$ implies the existence of a gauge three-form potential, b_{mnp} , and its exterior derivative $h_{mnpq} := 4\partial_{[m} b_{npq]}$. See [20] and [75] for more details.

3.2 Vector multiplet compensator

The two-derivative invariant for the vector multiplet compensator can be constructed using the above BF action principle (3.2a) but with the linear multiplet being a composite superfield. We denote by H_{VM}^{ij} the composite linear multiplet (2.29), which is built out of a single Abelian vector multiplet:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} &= i(\nabla^{\alpha(i}W)\nabla_{\alpha}^{j)}W + \frac{i}{2}W\nabla^{\alpha(i}\nabla_{\alpha}^{j)}W \\ &= -i\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j + 2WX^{ij} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

One can check that H_{VM}^{ij} is a dimension-3 primary superfield, $S_{\alpha}^k H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} = 0$. Thanks to the Bianchi identity (2.11b) obeyed by the field strength W , the composite superfield H_{VM}^{ij} satisfies the analyticity constraint

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^{(i}H_{\text{VM}}^{jk)} = 0 . \quad (3.6)$$

The vector multiplet action may then be rewritten as an integral over the full superspace,

$$S_{\text{VM}} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^{5|8}z E V_{ij} H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} . \quad (3.7)$$

It is also possible to write the action as

$$S_{\text{VM}} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^{5|8}z E \Omega_{\text{VM}} W , \quad (3.8)$$

where we have introduced the primary superfield Ω_{VM} defined by [20]:

$$\Omega_{\text{VM}} = \frac{i}{4} \left(W\nabla^{ij}V_{ij} - 2(\nabla^{\alpha i}V_{ij})\nabla_{\alpha}^j W - 2V_{ij}\nabla^{ij}W \right) . \quad (3.9)$$

This is a prepotential for H_{VM}^{ij} in the sense of (2.26).

The representations (3.7) and (3.8) allow us to compute the variation of S_{VM} with respect to the Mezincescu's prepotential,

$$\delta S_{\text{VM}} = \frac{3}{4} \int d^{5|8}z E \delta V_{ij} H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} . \quad (3.10)$$

Note that the above variation vanishes when δV_{ij} is a gauge transformation (2.18). This implies that

$$\int d^{5|8}z E \Lambda^{\alpha}_{ijk} \nabla_{\alpha}^{(k} H_{\text{VM}}^{ij)} = 0 , \quad (3.11)$$

that is, $\nabla_\alpha^{(i} H_{\text{VM}}^{jk)} = 0$. This result is true for any dynamical system involving an Abelian vector multiplet [20]. The variation with respect to the prepotential V_{ij} couples to a composite linear multiplet which depends on the specific form of the associated action principle – let us call this, in general, \mathbf{H}^{ij} which satisfies by construction the constraints (2.20). The equation of motion (EOM) for a vector multiplet is then $\mathbf{H}^{ij} = 0$. In the case of eq. (3.7), the EOM for the vector multiplet compensator is $H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} = 0$.

The superspace action S_{VM} can be reduced to components. The bosonic part of the component action reads [20]

$$S_{\text{VM}} = \int d^5x e \left\{ -\frac{1}{8}W^3\mathcal{R} + \frac{3}{2}W(\mathcal{D}^a W)\mathcal{D}_a W - \frac{3}{4}WX^{ij}X_{ij} + \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon_{abcde}v^a f^{bc} f^{de} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{4}Wf^{ab}f_{ab} + \frac{9}{4}W^2W^{ab}f_{ab} + \frac{39}{32}W^3W^{ab}W_{ab} - \frac{3}{32}W^3Y \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the scalar curvature. In the above, we have introduced the spin, dilatation, and $\text{SU}(2)_R$ covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_a

$$\mathcal{D}_a = e_a{}^m \mathcal{D}_m = e_a{}^m \left(\partial_m - \frac{1}{2}\omega_m{}^{bc} M_{bc} - b_m \mathbb{D} - \phi_m{}^{ij} J_{ij} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

The action is two-derivative and, upon gauge fixing dilatation by imposing $W = 1$, the first term gives a scalar curvature term, \mathcal{R} . The gauge fixing $W = 1$ can be achieved by requiring $W \neq 0$ meaning that the vector multiplet is a conformal compensator.

3.3 Linear multiplet compensator

The action for the linear multiplet compensator can also be constructed using the BF action principle (3.2a). In this case, the dynamical part of the action is described by a vector multiplet built out of the linear multiplet. We denote by \mathbf{W} the composite vector multiplet field strength:

$$\mathbf{W} := \frac{i}{16} G \nabla^{\alpha i} \nabla_\alpha^j \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{G^2} \right) = \frac{1}{4} F G^{-1} - \frac{i}{8} G_{ij} \varphi^{i\alpha} \varphi_\alpha^j G^{-3}, \quad (3.14)$$

with

$$G := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} G^{ij} G_{ij}} \quad (3.15)$$

being nowhere vanishing, $G \neq 0$. At the component level, the vector multiplet (3.14) was first derived by Zucker [99] as a 5D analogue of the improved 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ tensor multiplet [96]. The field strength \mathbf{W} obeys the constraints (2.11).

The action for the linear multiplet compensator may then be rewritten as

$$S_L = \int d^{5|8}z E \Omega \mathbf{W} . \quad (3.16)$$

Varying the prepotential Ω leads to

$$\delta S_L = \int d^{5|8}z E \delta \Omega \mathbf{W} . \quad (3.17)$$

Similar to what we discussed for the vector multiplet case, the previous form holds for the first-order variation of a matter system which includes a linear multiplet with respect to its prepotential Ω . In particular, the variation must vanish if $\delta \Omega$ is the gauge transformation (2.27). This holds if \mathbf{W} obeys the Bianchi identity (2.11b). In general, any dynamical system involving a linear multiplet then possesses a composite vector multiplet \mathbf{W} . The EOM for the linear multiplet is $\mathbf{W} = 0$ and for the specific case of the linear multiplet action of eq. (3.16) this is given by \mathbf{W} defined in (3.14).

The bosonic part of S_L is given by [20]

$$S_L = \int d^5x e \left\{ -\frac{3}{8}G\mathcal{R} + \frac{3}{32}GY - \frac{1}{8G}F^2 - \frac{3}{32}W^{ab}W_{ab}G + \frac{1}{4}G^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_a G^{ij})\mathcal{D}^a G_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}G^{-1}\mathcal{H}^a\mathcal{H}_a + \frac{1}{12}\varepsilon^{abcde}b_{cde} \left(\frac{1}{2}G^{-3}(\mathcal{D}_a G_{ik})(\mathcal{D}_b G_j^k)G^{ij} + G^{-1}R(J)_{ab}{}^{ij}G_{ij} \right) \right\} . \quad (3.18)$$

The action is two-derivative, and, with $G = 1$, the first term gives an \mathcal{R} term.

For later use it is useful to provide the explicit expressions of the composite descendant superfields of \mathbf{W} . These are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\alpha^i &= -i\nabla_\alpha^i \mathbf{W} \\ &= G^{-1} \left\{ -\frac{i}{2}\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\varphi^{\beta i} + \frac{3i}{4}W_{\alpha\beta}\varphi^{\beta i} + \frac{3i}{8}G^{ij}X_{\alpha j} \right\} \\ &\quad + G^{-3} \left\{ -\frac{i}{8}FG^{ij}\varphi_{\alpha j} - \frac{i}{8}G^{ij}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}\varphi_j^\beta + \frac{i}{4}G_{jk}\varphi^{\beta k}\nabla_{\alpha\beta}G^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\varphi^{\beta i}\varphi_\beta^j\varphi_{\alpha j} \right\} \\ &\quad + G^{-5} \left\{ -\frac{3}{8}G^{ij}G_{kl}\varphi^{\beta k}\varphi_\beta^l\varphi_{\alpha j} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.19a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}^{ij} &= \frac{i}{4}\nabla^{\alpha(i}\nabla_\alpha^{j)}\mathbf{W} \\ &= G^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\square G^{ij} + \frac{3}{64}W^{ab}W_{ab}G^{ij} - \frac{3}{64}YG^{ij} + \frac{3i}{4}X^{\alpha(i}\varphi_\alpha^{j)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +G^{-3} \left\{ -\frac{1}{16}F^2G^{ij} - \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{H}^a\mathcal{H}_aG^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{H}^aG^{k(i}\nabla_aG_k^{j)} - \frac{1}{4}G_{kl}(\nabla^aG^{k(i)}\nabla_aG^{j)l} \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{3i}{8}G^{ij}G_{kl}X^{\alpha k}\varphi_\alpha^l - \frac{i}{8}F\varphi^{\alpha(i}\varphi_\alpha^{j)} + \frac{3i}{8}W^{\alpha\beta}G^{ij}\varphi_\alpha^k\varphi_{\beta k} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{i}{16}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathcal{H}_a\varphi_\alpha^{(i}\varphi_\beta^{j)} + 8G^{k(i}(\nabla_a\varphi_\alpha^{j)})\varphi_{\beta k} + 2\varphi_\alpha^{(i}(\nabla_aG^{j)k})\varphi_{\beta k} \right) \right\} \\
& +G^{-5} \left\{ \frac{3i}{16}FG^{ij}G_{kl}\varphi^{\alpha k}\varphi_\alpha^l + \frac{3i}{16}G^{k(i}G^{j)l}(\Gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{H}_a\varphi_k^\alpha\varphi_l^\beta - \frac{3i}{8}G^{mn}G^{k(i}(\nabla_{\alpha\beta}G_m^{j)})\varphi_k^\alpha\varphi_n^\beta \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{3}{8}G^{k(i}\varphi_\alpha^{j)}\varphi^{\alpha l}\varphi_k^\beta\varphi_{\beta l} - \frac{3}{8}G^{kl}\varphi^{\alpha(i}\varphi_\alpha^{j)}\varphi_k^\beta\varphi_{\beta l} \right\} \\
& +G^{-7} \left\{ \frac{15}{32}G^{ij}G_{kl}G_{mn}\varphi^{\alpha k}\varphi_\alpha^l\varphi^{\beta m}\varphi_\beta^n \right\}, \tag{3.19b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}_{ab} &= \frac{1}{4}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{(\alpha}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta)k} - W_{ab}\mathbf{W} \\
&= G^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{[a}\mathcal{H}_{b]} - \frac{3i}{8}G_{ij}X_{ab}{}^{ij} + \frac{i}{4}W_{ab\alpha}{}^i\varphi_i^\alpha \right\} \\
& +G^{-3} \left\{ \frac{1}{4}G_{ij}\mathcal{H}_{[a}\nabla_{b]}G^{ij} - \frac{1}{4}G_{ij}(\nabla_{[a}G^{ik})\nabla_{b]}G_k{}^j + \frac{i}{2}G_{ij}(\Gamma_{[a})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{b]}\varphi_\alpha^i)\varphi_\beta^j \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{i}{8}(\Gamma_{[a})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{b]}G_{ij})\varphi_\alpha^i\varphi_\beta^j \right\} \\
& +G^{-5} \left\{ -\frac{3i}{8}G^k{}_{(i}G^l{}_{j)}(\Gamma_{[a})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{b]}G_{kl})\varphi_\alpha^i\varphi_\beta^j \right\}. \tag{3.19c}
\end{aligned}$$

3.4 Gauged supergravity action

An off-shell formulation for 5D minimal supergravity can be obtained by coupling the standard Weyl multiplet to two off-shell compensators: vector and linear multiplets [20, 54–63]. This is the 5D analogue of the off-shell formulation for 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity [96, 100]. The complete (gauged) supergravity action, S_{gSG} , is given by the following two-derivative action:

$$S_{\text{gSG}} = S_{\text{VM}} + S_{\text{L}} + \kappa S_{\text{BF}} = \int d^{5|8}z E \left\{ \frac{1}{4}V_{ij}H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} + \Omega\mathbf{W} + \kappa V_{ij}G^{ij} \right\} \tag{3.20a}$$

$$= \int d^{5|8}z E \left\{ \frac{1}{4}V_{ij}H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} + \Omega\mathbf{W} + \kappa\Omega W \right\}. \tag{3.20b}$$

The BF action κS_{BF} describes a supersymmetric cosmological term. The case $\kappa = 0$ case corresponds to Poincaré supergravity, while $\kappa \neq 0$ leads to gauged or anti-de Sitter supergravity.

Upon gauge fixing dilatation and superconformal symmetries (dilatation, S and K) and integrating out the various auxiliary fields, one obtains the on-shell Poincaré supergravity action of [47,48]. The contributions from the scalar curvature terms in eqs. (3.12) and (3.18) combine to give the normalised Einstein-Hilbert term $-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}$ plus a cosmological constant, see, e.g., [20] for details.

In the remaining subsections, we elaborate on the structure of three independent curvature-squared invariants [20, 25, 27, 30, 32]. These invariants were constructed in superspace [20] in the standard Weyl multiplet background. In particular, we present the full expressions of all the composite primary multiplets which generate these invariants with the log multiplet appearing for the first time in its expanded form in terms of the descendants of W and $W_{\alpha\beta}$.

3.5 Weyl-squared

We first consider a composite primary superfield that may be used to generate a supersymmetric completion of a Weyl-squared term. In superspace, it was described in [20] in terms of the super Weyl tensor:

$$H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} := -\frac{i}{2}W^{\alpha\beta\gamma i}W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^j + \frac{3i}{2}W^{\alpha\beta}X_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} - \frac{3i}{4}X^{\alpha i}X_{\alpha}{}^j. \quad (3.21)$$

It can be checked that H_{Weyl}^{ij} satisfies the constraints (2.20). The superfield H_{Weyl}^{ij} corresponds to the composite linear multiplet first constructed in components by Hanaki, Ohashi, and Tachikawa in [25].

With the aid of the relations (2.6), the component fields of the composite linear multiplet are straightforward to compute. They include the $\theta = 0$ projection (or the “bar-projection”) of H_{Weyl}^{ij} , together with the bar-projection of the following descendant superfields of H_{Weyl}^{ij} :

$$\varphi_{\text{Weyl}}^{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{3}\nabla_j^{\alpha}H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij}, \quad (3.22a)$$

$$F_{\text{Weyl}} = \frac{i}{12}\nabla_i^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha j}H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij}, \quad (3.22b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Weyl}}^a = \frac{i}{12}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha i}\nabla_{\beta j}H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij}. \quad (3.22c)$$

Eqs. (3.22) play an important role in analysing superconformal primary equations of motion in the next section. The resulting expression coincides, up to notations, to the results of [25]. We will give the full component expressions (3.22) in a follow-up paper.

By inserting the components of the composite linear multiplet (3.21) and (3.22) into the BF action (3.2), one may construct the following higher-derivative invariant in a standard Weyl multiplet background [20]

$$S_{\text{Weyl}} = \int d^5|8 z E V_{ij} H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} \quad (3.23a)$$

$$= - \int d^5 x e \left(v_a \mathcal{H}_{\text{Weyl}}^a + W F_{\text{Weyl}} + X_{ij} H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} + 2\lambda^k \varphi_k \text{Weyl} \right. \\ \left. - \psi_{ai} \Gamma^a \varphi_{\text{Weyl}}^i W - i\psi_{ai} \Gamma^a \lambda_j H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} + i\psi_{ai} \Sigma^{ab} \psi_{bj} W H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} \right), \quad (3.23b)$$

where the spinor indices here are suppressed. This defines a locally supersymmetric extension of the Weyl squared term [25, 27, 30, 32].

3.6 $\log W$

We now consider a composite linear superfield which includes a supersymmetric Ricci tensor-squared term. In superspace, it was described for the first time in [20] in analogy with the construction of a higher-derivative chiral invariant in 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity [33]. The composite superfield makes use of the standard Weyl multiplet coupled to the off-shell vector multiplet compensator. It takes the form⁵

$$H_{\log W}^{ij} = -\frac{3i}{40} \Delta^{ijkl} \nabla_{kl} \log W = \frac{3i}{1280} \nabla^{(ij} \nabla^{kl)} \nabla_{kl} \log W. \quad (3.24)$$

In general such a linear multiplet could be defined by replacing W with any primary scalar superfield of weight q for which it is possible to prove that (3.24) satisfies all the linear multiplet constraints, eq. (2.20), see [20]. However, for various applications, we choose to construct it in terms of the vector multiplet superfield strength, W . Due to the complexity in computing the action of six spinor derivatives on the “log multiplet,” the component analysis of $H_{\log W}^{ij}$ has not appeared so far. This calculation can be performed with the aid of the *Cadabra* software. Here we find that the full expression of $H_{\log W}^{ij}$ in terms of the descendant superfields of the vector and standard Weyl multiplets is given

⁵Note that there is an overall minus sign difference between the definition of the $\log W$ invariant in this paper and the one of [20].

by

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\log W}^{ij} = & -\frac{3i}{8}W_{ab}X^{abij} + \frac{51i}{64}X^{\alpha i}X_{\alpha}^j \\
& +W^{-1}\left\{\frac{9}{64}X^{ij}Y - \frac{3i}{8}F_{ab}X^{abij} - \frac{1}{2}\square X^{ij} - \frac{9}{64}X^{ij}W^{ab}W_{ab} + \frac{1}{4}W^{ab}W_{ab}{}^{\alpha(i}\lambda_{\alpha}^{j)}\right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}X_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} + \frac{3}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla_aX_{\beta}^{j)}\right\} \\
& +W^{-2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}X^{ij}\square W + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^a W)\nabla_a X^{ij} + \frac{1}{4}F^{ab}W_{ab}{}^{\alpha(i}\lambda_{\alpha}^{j)} - \frac{i}{2}\lambda^{\alpha(j}\square\lambda_{\alpha}^{i)}\right. \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{4}(\nabla^a\lambda^{\alpha i})\nabla_a\lambda_{\alpha}^j - \frac{3i}{16}\epsilon^{abcde}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}W_{de}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla_c\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} - \frac{3i}{8}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j\nabla^c W_{ac} \\
& \quad + \frac{3i}{64}Y\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j + \frac{3}{8}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}F^{ab}X_{\alpha}^{(i}\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} + \frac{3i}{128}W^{ab}W_{ab}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{9i}{256}\epsilon^{abcde}(\Gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta}W_{bc}W_{de}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j - \frac{3}{8}X^{ij}X^{\alpha k}\lambda_{\alpha k}\right\} \\
& +W^{-3}\left\{\frac{1}{8}X^{ij}F^{ab}F_{ab} - \frac{1}{8}X^{ij}X^{kl}X_{kl} - \frac{1}{4}X^{ij}(\nabla^a W)\nabla_a W\right. \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{8}\epsilon^{abcde}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}F_{de}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla_c\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} - \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}F_{ab}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla^b\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j\nabla^c F_{ac} + \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}X^{ij}\lambda_{\alpha}^k\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta k} + \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}X^{k(i}\lambda_{\alpha}^{j)}\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta k} \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{4}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}(\nabla_a X^{j)l})\lambda_{\beta l} + \frac{i}{4}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j\square W + \frac{3i}{4}(\nabla^a W)\lambda^{\alpha(i}\nabla_a\lambda_{\alpha}^{j)} \\
& \quad - \frac{i}{2}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^a W)\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\nabla^b\lambda_{\beta}^{j)} - \frac{3i}{16}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}W_{ab}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j\nabla^b W + \frac{3i}{32}W_{ab}F^{ab}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j \\
& \quad + \frac{9i}{64}\epsilon^{abcde}(\Gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta}W_{bc}F_{de}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j - \frac{3i}{32}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}X^{ij}W^{ab}\lambda_{\alpha}^k\lambda_{\beta k} \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{3i}{8}X^{\alpha k}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\lambda^{\beta j)}\lambda_{\beta k} - \frac{3i}{8}X^{\alpha k}\lambda^{\beta i}\lambda_{\beta}^j\lambda_{\alpha k}\right\} \\
& +W^{-4}\left\{-\frac{3i}{16}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j(\nabla^a W)\nabla_a W - \frac{3i}{8}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}X^{k(i}\lambda_{\alpha}^{j)}\lambda_{\beta k}\nabla_a W\right. \\
& \quad + \frac{3i}{8}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}F_{ab}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j\nabla^b W + \frac{3i}{32}F^{ab}F_{ab}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j + \frac{3i}{64}\epsilon^{abcde}(\Gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta}F_{bc}F_{de}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j \\
& \quad - \frac{3i}{16}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}X^{ij}F^{ab}\lambda_{\alpha}^k\lambda_{\beta k} - \frac{3i}{16}X^{ij}X^{kl}\lambda_k^{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha l} - \frac{3i}{32}X^{kl}X_{kl}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{15}{64}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^i\lambda_{\beta}^j\lambda^{\gamma k}\nabla_a\lambda_{\gamma k} - \frac{9}{32}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{\alpha}^{(i}\lambda^{\rho j)}\lambda_{\beta}^k\nabla_a\lambda_{\rho k}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{15}{32}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_\alpha^{(i}\lambda^{\rho j)}\lambda_\rho^k\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta k} - \frac{15}{64}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{\rho i}\lambda_\rho^j\lambda_\alpha^k\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta k} \\
& + \frac{9}{32}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}W^{ab}\lambda_\alpha^{(i}\lambda^{\rho j)}\lambda_\beta^k\lambda_{\rho k} + \frac{9}{64}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}W^{ab}\lambda^{\rho i}\lambda_\rho^j\lambda_\alpha^k\lambda_{\beta k} \Big\} \\
& + W^{-5} \left\{ \frac{3}{8}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_\alpha^{(i}\lambda^{\rho j)}\lambda_\beta^k\lambda_{\rho k}\nabla_a W + \frac{3}{8}(\Sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}F^{ab}\lambda^{\rho i}\lambda_\rho^j\lambda_\alpha^k\lambda_{\beta k} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{3}{8}X^{kl}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_\alpha^j\lambda_k^\beta\lambda_{\beta l} \right\} \\
& + W^{-6} \left\{ \frac{3i}{32}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_\alpha^j\lambda^{\beta k}\lambda_\beta^l\lambda_k^\gamma\lambda_{\gamma l} + \frac{3i}{16}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_\alpha^k\lambda^{\beta j}\lambda_\beta^l\lambda_k^\gamma\lambda_{\gamma l} \right\}. \tag{3.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the explicit expression (3.25), together with the relations (2.6), (2.8c), (2.13), and (2.15), we have shown that $H_{\log W}^{ij}$ is indeed a primary and linear superfield satisfying (2.20). Furthermore, we have computed the descendants of the primary superfield $H_{\log W}^{ij}$ defined as

$$\varphi_{\log W}^{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{3}\nabla_j^\alpha H_{\log W}^{ij}, \tag{3.26a}$$

$$F_{\log W} = \frac{i}{12}\nabla_i^\alpha\nabla_{\alpha j}H_{\log W}^{ij}, \tag{3.26b}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\log W}^a = \frac{i}{12}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha i}\nabla_{\beta j}H_{\log W}^{ij}. \tag{3.26c}$$

By using (3.25) and the BF action, eqs. (3.2a) and (3.2b), one may construct the following locally superconformal invariant in a standard Weyl multiplet background

$$S_{\log W} = \int d^{5|8}z E V_{ij}H_{\log W}^{ij} \tag{3.27a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = - \int d^5x e \left(v_a \mathcal{H}_{\log W}^a + W F_{\log W} + X_{ij}H_{\log W}^{ij} + 2\lambda^k\varphi_{k\log W} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \psi_{ai}\Gamma^a\varphi_{\log W}^i W - i\psi_{ai}\Gamma^a\lambda_j H_{\log W}^{ij} + i\psi_{ai}\Sigma^{ab}\psi_{bj}W H_{\log W}^{ij} \right). \tag{3.27b}
\end{aligned}$$

The resulting component action includes, for example, a $(\square\square W)$ term which, upon gauge-fixing $W = 1$, includes a Ricci tensor squared combination. A more detailed discussion of the (fairly involved) component structure of (3.26) will be given elsewhere.

3.7 Scalar curvature squared

Given a composite vector multiplet (3.14) and its corresponding descendants (3.19), we can then construct a composite linear multiplet defined by [20]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{R^2}^{ij} &:= H_{\text{VM}}^{ij}[\mathbf{W}] = i(\nabla^{\alpha(i}\mathbf{W})\nabla_{\alpha}^{j)}\mathbf{W} + \frac{i}{2}\mathbf{W}\nabla^{\alpha(i}\nabla_{\alpha}^{j)}\mathbf{W} \\ &= -i\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_{\alpha}^j + 2\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}^{ij} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Inserting the composite field $H_{R^2}^{ij}$ and its independent descendants ($\varphi_{R^2}^{\alpha i}$, F_{R^2} , and $\mathcal{H}_{R^2}^a$) into the BF action principle (3.2) leads to the following supersymmetric invariant

$$S_{R^2} = \int d^{5|8}z E V_{ij} H_{R^2}^{ij} \quad (3.29a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \int d^5x e \left(v_a \mathcal{H}_{R^2}^a + W F_{R^2} + X_{ij} H_{R^2}^{ij} + 2\lambda^k \varphi_{k R^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \psi_{ai} \Gamma^a \varphi_{R^2}^i W - i\psi_{ai} \Gamma^a \lambda_j H_{R^2}^{ij} + i\psi_{ai} \Sigma^{ab} \psi_{bj} W H_{R^2}^{ij} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.29b)$$

At the component level, the above action generates the scalar curvature-squared invariant constructed in [30, 32].

4 Superconformal equations of motion

Let us now combine the gauged supergravity action, S_{gSG} , with the three independent curvature-squared invariants described by eqs. (3.23), (3.27), and (3.29), to form a higher-derivative action

$$S_{\text{HD}} = S_{\text{gSG}} + \alpha S_{\text{Weyl}} + \beta S_{\log W} + \gamma S_{R^2} . \quad (4.1)$$

The goal of this section is to obtain superconformal primary equations of motion in superspace that describe minimal 5D gauged supergravity deformed by an arbitrary combination of the three curvature-squared invariants described by the action above.

We can obtain these equations of motion by varying the superspace action (4.1) with respect to the superfield prepotentials of the standard Weyl multiplet (\mathfrak{U}), the vector multiplet compensator (V_{ij}), and the linear multiplet compensator (Ω). Such variations lead to the supercurrent superfield \mathcal{J} , the linear multiplet of the EOM of V_{ij} , and the vector multiplet of the EOM of Ω , respectively. Alternatively, we can reduce (4.1) to components and vary it with respect to the highest dimension independent fields (Y , X_{ij} ,

and F) of the corresponding multiplets. The resulting equations of motion then describe the primary fields, i.e., the bottom components, of the multiplets of the equations of motion that arise from the variation of the full superfields. It is then straightforward to reinterpret them as the primary superfields of the equations of motion. By making use of code developed in *Cadabra*, the full higher-derivative action in components has been obtained by substituting the explicit form of composite primary multiplets described in subsections 3.5 to 3.7 together with their descendants. These results, and details of the derivation of the equations of motion which we derived by using a combination of both superspace and components arguments, will be presented in an upcoming paper. The important point to stress is that the equations of motion are fully locally superconformal covariant. From them, successively acting with spinor derivatives (which is equivalent to taking successive Q -supersymmetry transformations) one can obtain the whole tower of independent equations of motion. Note that the component action computed from (4.1) includes thousands of terms when fermions are considered, and it is not manifestly covariant due to the presence of naked gravitini and Chern-Simons terms. These would become covariant only after taking field variations and several integration by parts. An efficient alternative, and algorithmic, way to attack this problem is then by analysing the multiplets of the equations of motion starting from their primaries. Moreover, one could extract as much information as possible about the structure of the on-shell action (including all fermionic contributions) by directly working with the equations of motion in superspace.

In the next subsections, we will simply state the final results and show that the three primary equations of motion satisfy all necessary consistency checks dictated by their general structures. From this point of view, the results of this section stand on their own.

4.1 Vector multiplet

The vector multiplet equation of motion is obtained by varying (4.1) with respect to the superfield V_{ij} or equivalently the field X_{ij} . The resulting EOM is

$$0 = \frac{3}{4}H_{\text{VM}}^{ij} + \kappa G^{ij} + \alpha H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} + \beta H_{\log W}^{ij} + \gamma H_{R^2}^{ij} . \quad (4.2)$$

Note that the first two terms correspond to the EOM for the vector multiplet in the two-derivative supergravity theory S_{gSG} while the remaining three terms describe the contribution coming from the three curvature-squared invariants. It is clear that, as expected, the right-hand side of (4.2) is a linear multiplet satisfying (2.20).

4.2 Linear multiplet

The linear multiplet equation of motion is obtained by varying (4.1) with respect to the superfield Ω or equivalently the auxiliary field F . The resulting EOM is

$$0 = \mathbf{W} + \kappa W + \gamma W_{R^2} , \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} W_{R^2} = G^{-1} & \left[\frac{1}{2} X^{ij} \mathbf{X}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} \mathbf{F}_{ab} + W \square \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W} \square W + (\nabla^a W) \nabla_a \mathbf{W} \right. \\ & + \frac{3}{16} Y W \mathbf{W} - \frac{3}{2} W^{ab} (F_{ab} \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{F}_{ab} W) - \frac{39}{16} W^{ab} W_{ab} W \mathbf{W} \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\alpha i} \nabla_{\alpha}^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta i} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda^{\alpha i} \nabla_{\alpha}^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta i} + \frac{3}{2} X^{\alpha i} (W \lambda_{\alpha i} + \mathbf{W} \lambda_{\alpha i}) - \frac{3i}{2} W^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha}^i \lambda_{\beta i} \right] \\ & + G^{-3} \left[\frac{1}{4} G^{ij} \varphi_{\beta i} (\lambda_{\alpha j} \nabla^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{W} + \lambda_{\alpha j} \nabla^{\alpha\beta} W) + \frac{1}{2} G^{ij} \varphi_{\beta i} (W \nabla^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha j} + \mathbf{W} \nabla^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha j}) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} G^{ij} \varphi_{\alpha i} (F^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\beta j} + \mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\beta j}) - \frac{1}{4} G^{ij} F (W \mathbf{X}_{ij} + \mathbf{W} X_{ij}) \\ & - \frac{3}{4} G^{ij} W^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha i} (\mathbf{W} \lambda_{\beta j} + W \lambda_{\beta j}) + \frac{i}{4} F G^{ij} \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha j} + \frac{3i}{4} G_{ij} X^{\alpha i} \varphi_{\alpha}^j W \mathbf{W} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} G_{ij} \varphi^{\alpha i} (X^{jk} \lambda_{\alpha k} + \mathbf{X}^{jk} \lambda_{\alpha k}) - \frac{i}{4} \varphi^{\alpha i} \varphi_{\alpha}^j (X_{ij} \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{X}_{ij} W) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4} \varphi^{\alpha i} \varphi_{\alpha}^j \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta j}^L \right] \\ & + G^{-5} \left[\frac{3i}{8} G^{ij} G^{kl} \varphi_k^{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha l} (X_{ij} \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{X}_{ij} W - i \lambda_i^{\beta} \lambda_{\beta j}) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

It is possible to check explicitly that W_{R^2} is primary, $S_{\alpha}^i W_{R^2} = 0$. Moreover, we find that W_{R^2} can be expressed as

$$W_{R^2} = \frac{i}{32} G \nabla_{ij} \mathcal{R}_1^{ij} , \quad (4.5a)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{ij} = G^{-2} \left(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \frac{1}{2G^2} G^{ij} G_{kl} \right) H_{\text{bilinear}}^{kl} , \quad (4.5b)$$

and

$$H_{\text{bilinear}}^{kl} = 2W \mathbf{X}^{kl} + 2\mathbf{W} X^{kl} - 2\lambda^{\alpha k} \lambda_{\alpha}^l . \quad (4.5c)$$

This is exactly the structure of the composite vector multiplets \mathbb{W}_n in (5.1) with $n = 1$ and with a precise choice of composite linear multiplet $H^{kl} := H_{\text{bilinear}}^{kl}$. See section 5 for more detail on these composite vector multiplets. Besides the remarkably simple form of (4.5), this result guarantees that the right-hand side of eq. (4.3), and in particular (4.4), is a primary superfield satisfying the vector multiplet constraints (2.11), as expected. This is a very non-trivial consistency check of eq. (4.4).

4.3 Standard Weyl multiplet

The conformal supergravity equation of motion is obtained by varying (4.1) with respect to the standard Weyl multiplet prepotential superfield \mathfrak{U} or equivalently the field Y . The resulting EOM is

$$0 = \mathcal{J} = J_{\text{EH}} + \alpha J_{\text{Weyl}} + \beta J_{\log W} + \gamma J_{R^2} , \quad (4.6a)$$

with

$$J_{\text{EH}} = \frac{3}{32}(G - W^3) , \quad (4.6b)$$

$$J_{\text{Weyl}} = -\frac{3}{64}WY + \frac{3}{16}WW^{ab}W_{ab} + \frac{3}{32}F_{ab}W^{ab} - \frac{3}{16}\lambda_i^\alpha X_\alpha^i , \quad (4.6c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\log W} = & -\frac{3}{1024}WY - \frac{69}{1024}W^{ab}W_{ab}W + \frac{3}{32}\square W - \frac{3}{64}F_{ab}W^{ab} - \frac{3}{256}\lambda_j^\alpha X_\alpha^j \\ & + \frac{3}{128}F^{ab}F_{ab}W^{-1} - \frac{9}{128}X^{ij}X_{ij}W^{-1} + \frac{3i}{32}(\Gamma^a)^{\alpha\beta}W^{-1}\lambda_\alpha^i\nabla_a\lambda_{\beta i} \\ & + \frac{3}{64}W^{-1}(\nabla^a W)\nabla_a W - \frac{3i}{128}(\Sigma^{ab})^{\alpha\beta}F_{ab}\lambda_\alpha^i\lambda_{\beta i}W^{-2} - \frac{3i}{64}X^{ij}\lambda_i^\alpha\lambda_{\alpha j}W^{-2} \\ & - \frac{3i}{32}(\Gamma_b)^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_\alpha^j\lambda_{\beta j}W^{-2}\nabla^b W - \frac{3}{256}\lambda^{\alpha i}\lambda_i^\beta\lambda_\alpha^j\lambda_{\beta j}W^{-3} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.6d)$$

$$J_{R^2} = -\frac{3}{8}W\mathbf{W}^2 + \frac{3}{32}G^{-1}\left(WG^{ij}\mathbf{X}_{ij} + G^{ij}X_{ij}\mathbf{W} - iG^{ij}\lambda_i^\alpha\lambda_{\alpha j}\right) . \quad (4.6e)$$

Here J_{EH} is the EOM from the gauged supergravity action, S_{gSG} , which does not have any contribution from the cosmological constant term κ .

Analogous to the case of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity [101, 102], the 5D Weyl multiplet may be described by a single unconstrained real prepotential \mathfrak{U} [20]. Given a system of matter superfields φ^i , one can construct a Noether coupling between \mathfrak{U} and the matter supercurrent \mathcal{J} of the form

$$S[\varphi^i] = \int d^{5|8}z E \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{J} = \int d^5x e \left(Y J + \dots \right) , \quad (4.7)$$

where $J = \mathcal{J}|$. The supercurrent \mathcal{J} is a dimension-3 primary real scalar superfield. The conformal supergravity EOM (4.6) is obtained by varying the supergravity action with respect to \mathfrak{U}

$$\frac{\delta S[\varphi^i]}{\delta \mathfrak{U}} = \mathcal{J} = 0 . \quad (4.8)$$

The supercurrent multiplet in 5D was constructed by Howe and Lindström [83]. It satisfies the conservation equation

$$\nabla^{ij} \mathcal{J} = 0 , \quad (4.9)$$

when all matter superfields equations of motion are satisfied. Thus, as a consistency check, we shall prove that the expression \mathcal{J} in (4.6) satisfies the conservation constraint (4.9). It has been shown in [20] that this constraint holds for J_{EH} . For each invariant, we have indeed verified that the corresponding J is a primary superfield of dimension 3. It also satisfies $\nabla^{ij}J = 0$ provided the vector and linear multiplets equations of motion of eqs. (4.2) and (4.3), respectively, are imposed. Using *Cadabra* an explicit calculation shows that, off-shell, it holds

$$\nabla^{ij} J_{\text{Weyl}} = \frac{3i}{4} W H_{\text{Weyl}}^{ij} , \quad (4.10a)$$

$$\nabla^{ij} J_{\log W} = \frac{3i}{4} W H_{\log W}^{ij} , \quad (4.10b)$$

$$\nabla^{ij} J_{R^2} = \frac{3i}{4} W H_{R^2}^{ij} - \frac{3i}{4} G^{ij} W_{R^2} . \quad (4.10c)$$

It is then clear that the right-hand sides of (4.10) are proportional to the composite vector and linear multiplets appearing in (4.2) and (4.3). Consequently, the supercurrent conservation equation (4.9) is satisfied once the equations of motion for the compensators are used. This represents a very non-trivial consistency check of (4.6b)–(4.6e).

5 An alternative scalar curvature-squared invariant

Recall the action defined in terms of a composite vector multiplet superfield, \mathbf{W} , written in eqs. (3.16) and (3.14), respectively. There also exists an infinite number of alternative vector multiplets composite of a linear multiplet compensating superfield, G_{ij} , and a superfield associated to a primary real $\mathcal{O}^{(2n)}$ multiplet $H^{i_1 \dots i_{2n}} = H^{(i_1 \dots i_{2n})}$, such that $\nabla_\alpha^{(j} H^{i_1 \dots i_{2n})} = 0$. In 5D this was constructed in [20] by extending the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ analysis of [87]. We refer to [20] for details, including the precise definition and literature on $\mathcal{O}^{(2n)}$ multiplets, and simply state the final result here. The following superfields

$$\mathbb{W}_n = \frac{i(2n)!}{2^{2n+3}(n+1)!(n-1)!} G \nabla_{ij} \mathcal{R}_n^{ij} , \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{ij} = G^{-2n} \left(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \frac{1}{2G^2} G^{ij} G_{kl} \right) H^{kli_1 \dots i_{2n-2}} G_{(i_1 i_2} \dots G_{i_{2n-3} i_{2n-2})} , \quad (5.2)$$

all satisfy the constraints (2.11) for any positive integer n . In fact, \mathbb{W}_1 is precisely the structure seen in W_{R^2} of eq. (4.5a). By considering $n = 2$, and choosing H^{ijkl} to be the square of a linear multiplet H^{ij} (distinguished from G^{ij}), $H^{ijkl} = H^{(ij} H^{kl)}$, we can

engineer an alternative scalar curvature-squared invariant. The result is in spirit similar to the scalar curvature-squared invariant engineered for 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ in [24] and directly related to 5D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ results in [39].⁶ Let us show how this works.

Consider the $n = 2$ composite superfield:

$$\mathbb{W}_2 = \frac{i}{32} G \nabla_{ij} \mathcal{R}_2^{ij} , \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_2^{ij} = G^{-4} \left(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \frac{1}{2G^2} G^{ij} G_{kl} \right) H^{(kl} H^{mn)} G_{mn} . \quad (5.4)$$

By explicitly computing (5.3), we may define \mathbb{W}_2 as a linear combination of real functions, \mathcal{P}_A and $\mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij}$, which are themselves comprised of descendants of the linear multiplets:

$$\mathbb{W}_2 = 2\mathcal{P}_A F^A + 2i\mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij} \varphi_i^{\alpha A} \varphi_{\alpha j}^B . \quad (5.5)$$

Here the index $A = 1, 2$ indicates the two linear superfields, $G_{ij}^1 = G_{ij}$ and $G_{ij}^2 = H_{ij}$. Note that this is analogous to eq. (2.5) in [39] with the first A index fixed so that $\mathcal{F}_{AB} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_B$ and with an appropriate normalisation factor added to the second term. All functions, \mathcal{P}_A and $\mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij}$, are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \frac{1}{8} H^2 G^{-3} - \frac{3}{32} (G_{kl} H^{kl})^2 G^{-5} , \quad (5.6a)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{8} (G_{kl} H^{kl}) G^{-3} , \quad (5.6b)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{11}{}^{ij} = -\frac{3}{16} G^{ij} H^2 G^{-5} - \frac{3}{16} (G_{kl} H^{kl}) H^{ij} G^{-5} + \frac{15}{64} (G_{kl} H^{kl})^2 G^{ij} G^{-7} , \quad (5.6c)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{12}{}^{ij} = \mathcal{P}_{21}{}^{ij} = \frac{1}{8} H^{ij} G^{-3} - \frac{3}{16} (G_{kl} H^{kl}) G^{ij} G^{-5} , \quad (5.6d)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{22}{}^{ij} = \frac{1}{8} G^{ij} G^{-3} . \quad (5.6e)$$

It is then a straightforward exercise to show that functions with two A indices are derivatives of functions with one, that is:

$$\mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_A}{\partial G_{ij}^B} . \quad (5.7)$$

They also satisfy the following constraints

$$\mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij} = \mathcal{P}_{(AB)}{}^{ij} , \quad \mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij} G_{jk}^B = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_k^i \mathcal{P}_A . \quad (5.8)$$

⁶GT-M is grateful for discussions with M. Ozkan on scalar curvature-squared invariants.

Lastly we may define functions of two derivatives on \mathcal{P}_A ,

$$\mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{ijkl} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{AB}{}^{ij}}{\partial G_{kl}^C} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{P}_A}{\partial G_{ij}^B \partial G_{kl}^C}, \quad (5.9)$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{ijkl} = \mathcal{P}_{(ABC)}{}^{ijkl}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{ABC}{}^{ijkl} \epsilon_{jk} = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

These are the constraints needed to ensure that \mathbb{W}_2 in (5.5) satisfies (2.11), which in our case are satisfied by construction.

To engineer the alternative scalar curvature-squared invariant, consider G_{ij} to be a compensator and H_{ij} to be composite of a vector multiplet, which is built out of a single Abelian vector multiplet, as in eq. (3.5),

$$H^{ij} := H_{\text{VM}}^{ij}. \quad (5.11)$$

In finding the \mathbb{X}_2^{ij} descendant of \mathbb{W}_2 , we are interested in squared contributions of F_{VM} being a descendant field of H_{VM}^{ij} . This is apparent from the fact that F_{VM} satisfies

$$F_{\text{VM}} = 4W \square W + \dots, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\square W$ gives rise to a \mathcal{R} contribution. Roughly speaking, by considering (5.5)–(5.6e) with the choice (5.11), we are squaring the kinetic term of the vector multiplet compensator which, in turn, leads to a scalar curvature squared invariant. In fact, if we look at the dimension-2 scalar descendant of \mathbb{W}_2 we obtain

$$\mathbb{X}_2^{ij} := \frac{i}{4} \nabla^\alpha ({}^i \nabla_\alpha^j) \mathbb{W}_2 = \frac{1}{8} G^{ij} G^{-3} F_{\text{VM}}^2 + \dots = \mathcal{P}_{22}{}^{ij} F_{\text{VM}}^2 + \dots, \quad (5.13a)$$

$$G_{ij} \mathbb{X}_2^{ij} = 4G^{-1} W^2 (\square W)^2 + \dots. \quad (5.13b)$$

Specifically, eq. (5.13b) is one term in the component action given by the BF action principle. If one proceeds in setting to constants G and W , by gauge fixing dilatation and using (two-derivative) equations of motion, we are left with a \mathcal{R}^2 contribution to the four-derivative component action. Although we have not yet analysed in detail the equations of motion and the component structure of this invariant, we expect it might play a role in studying higher-derivative invariants in alternative off-shell superspace settings, as, for example, the recent off-shell supergravity constructed in [75] by using the variant hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet of conformal supergravity. We leave for the future more investigations along this line.

Acknowledgements:

We are grateful to M. Ozkan and Y. Pang for discussions and collaboration related to this

work. This work is supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Future Fellowship FT180100353 and by the Capacity Building Package of the University of Queensland. G.G. and S.K. are supported by the postgraduate scholarships at the University of Queensland.

References

- [1] I. Antoniadis, S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and K. S. Narain, “R^{**4} couplings in M and type II theories on Calabi-Yau spaces,” Nucl. Phys. B **507** (1997) 571 [hep-th/9707013].
- [2] I. Antoniadis, R. Minasian, S. Theisen and P. Vanhove, “String loop corrections to the universal hypermultiplet,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 5079 [hep-th/0307268].
- [3] J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, “Higher-derivative couplings in string theory: dualities and the B-field,” Nucl. Phys. B **874**, 413 (2013). [arXiv:1304.3137 [hep-th]].
- [4] M. Baggio, N. Halmagyi, D. R. Mayerson, D. Robbins and B. Wecht, “Higher Derivative Corrections and Central Charges from Wrapped M5-branes,” JHEP **12** (2014), 042 [arXiv:1408.2538 [hep-th]].
- [5] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, D. Gang, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “Higher-derivative supergravity, wrapped M5-branes, and theories of class \mathcal{R} ,” JHEP **04** (2021), 058 [arXiv:2011.05971 [hep-th]].
- [6] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Higher-Derivative Supergravity in AdS₄ Holography,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, no.13, 131601 (2020) [arXiv:2006.09390 [hep-th]].
- [7] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “Higher-derivative supergravity, AdS₄ holography, and black holes,” JHEP **08**, 173 (2021) [arXiv:2106.04581 [hep-th]].
- [8] N. Bobev, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “AdS₅ Holography and Higher-Derivative Supergravity,” [arXiv:2112.06961 [hep-th]].
- [9] J. T. Liu and R. J. Saskowski, “Four-derivative corrections to minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions,” JHEP **05** (2022), 171 [arXiv:2201.04690 [hep-th]].
- [10] K. Hristov, “ABJM at finite N via 4d supergravity,” [arXiv:2204.02992 [hep-th]].
- [11] N. Bobev, V. Dimitrov, V. Reys and A. Vekemans, “Higher-Derivative Corrections and AdS₅ Black Holes,” [arXiv:2207.10671 [hep-th]].
- [12] D. Cassani, A. Ruipérez and E. Turetta, “Corrections to AdS₅ Black Hole Thermodynamics from Higher-Derivative Supergravity,” [arXiv:2208.01007 [hep-th]].
- [13] S. J. Gates, M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, “Superspace Or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry,” Front. Phys. **58**, 1-548 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200 [hep-th]].
- [14] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1992.

- [15] I. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko, *Ideas and methods of supersymmetry and supergravity: Or a walk through superspace*, IOP, Bristol (1998).
- [16] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*, Cambridge University Press (2012).
- [17] E. Lauria and A. Van Proeyen, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity in $D = 4, 5, 6$ Dimensions,” Lect. Notes Phys. **966** (2020), pp. [arXiv:2004.11433 [hep-th]].
- [18] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Superspace approaches to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity,” [arXiv:2210.17088 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Covariant superspace approaches to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,” [arXiv:2211.11162 [hep-th]].
- [20] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in five dimensions: New approach and applications,” JHEP **02** (2015), 111 [arXiv:1410.8682 [hep-th]].
- [21] E. Bergshoeff, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, “A supersymmetric R^2 -action in six dimensions and torsion,” Phys. Lett. B **173**, 73 (1986).
- [22] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit and T. Mohaupt, “Corrections to macroscopic supersymmetric black hole entropy,” Phys. Lett. B **451**, 309-316 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812082 [hep-th]].
- [23] T. Mohaupt, “Black hole entropy, special geometry and strings,” Fortsch. Phys. **49**, 3-161 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0007195 [hep-th]].
- [24] B. de Wit and F. Saueressig, “Off-shell $N = 2$ tensor supermultiplets,” JHEP **0609**, 062 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606148].
- [25] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, “Supersymmetric completion of an R^2 term in five-dimensional supergravity,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 533 (2007) [hep-th/0611329].
- [26] B. de Wit, S. Katmadas and M. van Zalk, “New supersymmetric higher-derivative couplings: Full $N=2$ superspace does not count!,” JHEP **01** (2011), 007 [arXiv:1010.2150 [hep-th]].
- [27] E. A. Bergshoeff, J. Rosseel and E. Sezgin, “Off-shell $D=5$, $N=2$ Riemann squared supergravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 225016 (2011) [arXiv:1107.2825 [hep-th]].
- [28] F. Coomans and A. Van Proeyen, “Off-shell $N = (1, 0)$, $D = 6$ supergravity from superconformal methods,” JHEP **1102**, 049 (2011) Erratum: [JHEP **1201**, 119 (2012)] [arXiv:1101.2403 [hep-th]].
- [29] E. Bergshoeff, F. Coomans, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen, “Higher Derivative Extension of 6D Chiral Gauged Supergravity,” JHEP **1207**, 011 (2012). [arXiv:1203.2975 [hep-th]].
- [30] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, “Supersymmetric completion of Gauss-Bonnet combination in five dimensions,” JHEP **1303**, 158 (2013) [Erratum-ibid. **1307**, 152 (2013)] [arXiv:1301.6622 [hep-th]].
- [31] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: Off-shell actions,” JHEP **10**, 073 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1205 [hep-th]].
- [32] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, “All off-shell R^2 invariants in five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,” JHEP **1308**, 042 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1540 [hep-th]].

- [33] D. Butter, B. de Wit, S. M. Kuzenko and I. Lodato, “New higher-derivative invariants in N=2 supergravity and the Gauss-Bonnet term,” *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 062 [arXiv:1307.6546 [hep-th]].
- [34] S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “N=6 superconformal gravity in three dimensions from superspace,” *JHEP* **01**, 121 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5552 [hep-th]].
- [35] M. Ozkan, “Supersymmetric curvature-squared invariants in five and six dimensions,” *PhD Thesis*, Texas A&M University, 2013.
- [36] D. Butter, B. de Wit and I. Lodato, “Non-renormalization theorems and N=2 supersymmetric backgrounds,” *JHEP* **03** (2014), 131 [arXiv:1401.6591 [hep-th]].
- [37] S. M. Kuzenko and J. Novak, “On curvature-squared terms in N=2 supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.8, 085033 (2015) [arXiv:1507.04922 [hep-th]].
- [38] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and S. Theisen, “Invariants for minimal conformal supergravity in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **1612**, 072 (2016) [arXiv:1606.02921 [hep-th]].
- [39] M. Ozkan, “Off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ linear multiplets in five dimensions,” *JHEP* **1611** (2016) 157 [arXiv:1608.00349 [hep-th]].
- [40] D. Butter, F. Ciceri, B. de Wit and B. Sahoo, “Construction of all N=4 conformal supergravities,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no.8, 081602 (2017) [arXiv:1609.09083 [hep-th]].
- [41] D. Butter, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “The component structure of conformal supergravity invariants in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **1705**, 133 (2017) [arXiv:1701.08163 [hep-th]].
- [42] J. Novak, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Gauss-Bonnet supergravity in six dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no. 11, 111602 (2017). [arXiv:1706.09330 [hep-th]].
- [43] D. Butter, J. Novak, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Curvature-squared invariants in six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **04**, 013 (2019) [arXiv:1808.00459 [hep-th]].
- [44] D. Butter, F. Ciceri and B. Sahoo, “ $N = 4$ conformal supergravity: the complete actions,” *JHEP* **01**, 029 (2020) [arXiv:1910.11874 [hep-th]].
- [45] S. Hegde and B. Sahoo, “New higher derivative action for tensor multiplet in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **01**, 070 (2020) [arXiv:1911.09585 [hep-th]].
- [46] M. Mishra and B. Sahoo, “Curvature squared action in four dimensional $N = 2$ supergravity using the dilaton Weyl multiplet,” *JHEP* **04**, 027 (2021) [arXiv:2012.03760 [hep-th]].
- [47] E. Cremmer, “Supergravities in 5 dimensions,” in *Supergravity and Superspace*, S. W. Hawking and M. Roček (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981, pp. 267–282.
- [48] A. H. Chamseddine and H. Nicolai, “Coupling the SO(2) supergravity through dimensional reduction,” *Phys. Lett. B* **96**, 89 (1980).
- [49] P. S. Howe, “Off-shell N=2 and N=4 supergravity in five-dimensions,” in *Quantum Structure of Space and Time*, M. J. Duff and C. J. Isham (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982, pp. 239–253.
- [50] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “The geometry of N=2 Maxwell-Einstein supergravity and Jordan algebras,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **242**, 244 (1984).

- [51] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra and P. K. Townsend, “Gauging the $D = 5$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity theories: More on Jordan algebras,” Nucl. Phys. B **253**, 573 (1985).
- [52] M. Günaydin and M. Zagermann, “The gauging of five-dimensional, $N = 2$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity theories coupled to tensor multiplets,” Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 131 (2000) [hep-th/9912027].
- [53] A. Ceresole and G. Dall’Agata, “General matter coupled $N = 2$, $D = 5$ gauged supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **585**, 143 (2000) [hep-th/0004111].
- [54] M. Zucker, “Minimal off-shell supergravity in five dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **570**, 267 (2000) [hep-th/9907082].
- [55] M. Zucker, “Gauged $N = 2$ off-shell supergravity in five dimensions,” JHEP **0008**, 016 (2000) [hep-th/9909144].
- [56] M. Zucker, “Off-shell supergravity in five-dimensions and supersymmetric brane world scenarios,” Fortsch. Phys. **51**, 899 (2003).
- [57] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, “Supergravity tensor calculus in 5D from 6D,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **104**, 835 (2000) [hep-ph/0006231].
- [58] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, “Off-shell $d = 5$ supergravity coupled to matter-Yang-Mills system,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 323 (2001) [hep-ph/0010288].
- [59] T. Fujita and K. Ohashi, “Superconformal tensor calculus in five dimensions,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **106**, 221 (2001) [hep-th/0104130].
- [60] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, “Gauge and non-gauge tensor multiplets in 5D conformal supergravity,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **108**, 1143 (2003) [hep-th/0208082].
- [61] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, M. Derix, T. de Wit, R. Halbersma and A. Van Proeyen, “Weyl multiplets of $N=2$ conformal supergravity in five-dimensions,” JHEP **0106**, 051 (2001) [hep-th/0104113].
- [62] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. de Wit, J. Gheerardyn, R. Halbersma, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, “Superconformal $N = 2$, $D = 5$ matter with and without actions,” JHEP **0210**, 045 (2002) [hep-th/0205230].
- [63] E. Bergshoeff, S. Cucu, T. de Wit, J. Gheerardyn, S. Vandoren and A. Van Proeyen, “ $N = 2$ supergravity in five dimensions revisited,” Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 3015 (2004) [hep-th/0403045].
- [64] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Super-Weyl invariance in 5D supergravity,” JHEP **04** (2008), 032 [arXiv:0802.3953 [hep-th]].
- [65] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Five-dimensional superfield supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **661**, 42 (2008) [arXiv:0710.3440 [hep-th]].
- [66] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “5D supergravity and projective superspace,” JHEP **0802**, 004 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3102 [hep-th]].
- [67] P. S. Howe and U. Lindström, “Superconformal geometries and local twistors,” JHEP **04** (2021), 140 [arXiv:2012.03282 [hep-th]].
- [68] D. Butter, “ $N=1$ conformal superspace in four dimensions,” Annals Phys. **325**, 1026 (2010) [arXiv:0906.4399 [hep-th]].

- [69] D. Butter, “N=2 conformal superspace in four dimensions,” JHEP **1110**, 030 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5914 [hep-th]].
- [70] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: New off-shell formulation,” JHEP **1309**, 072 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3132 [hep-th]].
- [71] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “Conformal (p, q) supergeometries in two dimensions,” JHEP **02** (2023), 166 [arXiv:2211.16169 [hep-th]].
- [72] K. Peeters, “A Field-theory motivated approach to symbolic computer algebra,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **176**, 550 (2007) [cs/0608005].
- [73] K. Peeters, “Introducing Cadabra: A symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems,” [hep-th/0701238].
- [74] G. Gold, S. Khandelwal, W. Kitchin and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Hyper-Dilaton Weyl Multiplet of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Conformal Supergravity,” JHEP **09** (2022), 016, [arXiv:2203.12203 [hep-th]].
- [75] J. Hutomo, S. Khandelwal, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli and J. Woods, “Hyperdilaton Weyl multiplets of 5D and 6D minimal conformal supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **107** (2023) no.4, 046009 [arXiv:2209.05748 [hep-th]].
- [76] H. Nishino and S. Rajpoot, “Alternative N = 2 supergravity in five dimensions with singularities,” Phys. Lett. B **502**, 246 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0011066].
- [77] B. Zwiebach, “Curvature squared terms and string theories,” Phys. Lett. **156B** (1985) 315.
- [78] S. Deser and A. N. Redlich, “String Induced Gravity and Ghost Freedom,” Phys. Lett. B **176** (1986) 350 Erratum: [Phys. Lett. B **186** (1987) 461].
- [79] F. Coomans and M. Ozkan, “An off-shell formulation for internally gauged D=5, N=2 supergravity from superconformal methods,” JHEP **1301**, 099 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4704 [hep-th]].
- [80] S. M. Kuzenko, “On compactified harmonic/projective superspace, 5D superconformal theories, and all that,” Nucl. Phys. B **745**, 176 (2006) [hep-th/0601177].
- [81] S. M. Kuzenko and W. D. Linch III, “On five-dimensional superspaces,” JHEP **0602**, 038 (2006) [hep-th/0507176].
- [82] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Five-dimensional N=1 AdS superspace: Geometry, off-shell multiplets and dynamics,” Nucl. Phys. B **785**, 34 (2007), 0704.1185 [hep-th].
- [83] P. S. Howe and U. Lindström, “The supercurrent in five dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **103**, 422 (1981).
- [84] B. Zupnik, “Harmonic superpotentials and symmetries in gauge theories with eight supercharges,” Nucl. Phys. B **554**, 365-390 (1999) [erratum: Nucl. Phys. B **644**, 405-406 (2002)] [arXiv:hep-th/9902038 [hep-th]].
- [85] L. Mezincescu, “On the superfield formulation of O(2) supersymmetry,” Dubna preprint JINR-P2-12572 (June, 1979).
- [86] P. S. Howe, K. S. Stelle and P. K. Townsend, “Supercurrents,” Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 332 (1981).

- [87] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, “New higher-derivative couplings in 4D $N = 2$ supergravity,” JHEP **1103**, 047 (2011) [arXiv:1012.5153 [hep-th]].
- [88] M. F. Sohnius, “Supersymmetry and Central Charges,” Nucl. Phys. B **138**, 109-121 (1978).
- [89] B. de Wit, J. W. van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, “Central Charges and Conformal Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **95**, 51-55 (1980).
- [90] B. de Wit, J. W. van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, “Structure of $N=2$ Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **184**, 77 (1981) [erratum: Nucl. Phys. B **222**, 516 (1983)].
- [91] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, R. Philippe, S. Q. Su and A. Van Proeyen, “Gauge and Matter Fields Coupled to $N=2$ Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **134**, 37-43 (1984).
- [92] J. Wess, “Supersymmetry and internal symmetry,” Acta Phys. Austriaca **41**, 409 (1975).
- [93] W. Siegel, “Superfields In Higher Dimensional Space-time,” Phys. Lett. B **80** (1979) 220.
- [94] W. Siegel, “Off-shell central charges,” Nucl. Phys. B **173**, 51 (1980).
- [95] M. F. Sohnius, K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Representations of extended supersymmetry,” in *Superspace and Supergravity*, S. W. Hawking and M. Roček (Eds.) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981, p. 283.
- [96] B. de Wit, R. Philippe and A. Van Proeyen, “The improved tensor multiplet in $N = 2$ supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **219**, 143-166 (1983).
- [97] A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, “Self-interacting tensor multiplets in $N = 2$ superspace,” Phys. Lett. B **147**, 297 (1984).
- [98] U. Lindström and M. Roček, “New hyperkähler metrics and new supermultiplets,” Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 21 (1988).
- [99] M. Zucker, “Supersymmetric brane world scenarios from off-shell supergravity,” Phys. Rev. D **64**, 024024 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009083 [hep-th]].
- [100] S. M. Kuzenko, “On $N = 2$ supergravity and projective superspace: Dual formulations,” Nucl. Phys. B **810**, 135 (2009) [arXiv:0807.3381 [hep-th]].
- [101] S. M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, “Correlation functions of conserved currents in $N=2$ superconformal theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 665-696 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907107 [hep-th]].
- [102] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, “ $N=2$ supergravity and supercurrents,” JHEP **12** (2010), 080 [arXiv:1011.0339 [hep-th]].