

## A NON- $\mathbb{R}$ -FACTORIZABLE PRODUCT OF $\mathbb{R}$ -FACTORIZABLE GROUPS

OL'GA SIPACHEVA

ABSTRACT. An example of two  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups whose product is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable is constructed. One of these groups is second-countable.

In [1] and [2] we constructed an example of two groups, one Lindelöf and the other cosmic, whose product is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable. In this paper we show that cosmic group can be made second-countable, which gives a negative solution of Problem 8.5.2 in [3].

A topological group  $G$  is said to be  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable if, for every continuous function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a continuous epimorphism  $h: G \rightarrow H$  onto a second-countable topological group  $H$  and a continuous function  $g: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = g \circ h$ . It is known that any Lindelöf group is  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable [4, Assertion 1.1] and that  $\dim_0 H \leq \dim_0 G$  for any topological group  $G$  and any  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

The heart of the construction in [1] and [2] is two spaces  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\dim_0 C_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (2)  $\dim_0(C_1 \times C_2) > 0$ ;
- (3)  $C_1^n$  is Lindelöf for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (4) the underlying set of the space  $C_1$  is the Cantor set  $C$ , its topology is finer than that of  $C$ , and it has a base consisting of sets closed in  $C$ ;
- (5)  $C_2$  is second-countable; in fact,  $C_2$  is a subspace of the Cantor set  $C$ .

According to Theorem 7 of [5], both spaces  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are retracts of certain topological groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Therefore,  $C_1 \times C_2$  is a retract of (and hence  $C$ -embedded in)  $G_1 \times G_2$ , which implies that  $\dim_0(G_1 \times G_2) > 0$ . In [1] and [2] for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  the free Abelian topological groups  $A(C_1)$  and  $A(C_2)$  were taken. It was shown that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Lindelöf, zero-dimensional, and topologically isomorphic to closed subgroups of groups  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , each of which is a product of zero-dimensional second-countable topological groups. Since any product of zero-dimensional second-countable topological spaces is strongly zero-dimensional [6], it follows that so is the product  $M_1 \times M_2$ , and it contains  $G_1 \times G_2$  as a subgroup. Therefore,  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable, although both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are.

In this paper we show that the group  $G_2$  can be made second-countable. To be more precise, we show that  $C_2$  is a retract of a zero-dimensional second-countable group  $G_2$ . This does not affect the above argument (except that we can set  $M_2 = G_2$ ) but gives an example of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable group which ceases to be  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable when multiplied by a second-countable group.

Recall that the Boolean group  $B(X)$  with basis  $X$  is the set  $[X]^{<\omega}$  of finite subsets of  $X$  endowed with the operation  $\Delta$  of symmetric difference. Each point  $x \in X$  is identified with the singleton  $\{x\}$ . We use the notation  $+_2$  for the group

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22A05, 54F45.

*Key words and phrases.* topological group,  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable group, product of  $\mathbb{R}$ -factorizable groups.

operation of  $B(X)$  and occasionally write  $\Delta$  instead of  $+_2$ . Thus, if  $x \in X$ ,  $F, G \in B(X)$ , and  $\mathbf{A} \subset B(X)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x +_2 F &= \{x\} +_2 F = \{x\} \Delta F, & F +_2 G &= F \Delta G, \\ F +_2 \mathbf{A} &= \{F +_2 A : A \in \mathbf{A}\} = \{F \Delta A : A \in \mathbf{A}\}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, there exists a group topology on  $B(X)$  such that  $B(X)$  with this topology contains  $X$  as a subspace. The finest group topology with this property is called the *free group topology* on  $B(X)$ , and the group  $B(X)$  endowed with this topology is called the *free Boolean topological group* of  $X$ ; see [7] for details. In what follows, we use the same notation  $B(X)$  for the abstract Boolean group with basis  $X$  and for the free Boolean topological group of  $X$ .

In Theorem 3 (version 2) of [5], for a non-Archimedean space  $X$ , a retraction of the clopen subset

$$B_*(X) = \{F \in B(X) : |F| \text{ is odd}\}$$

of the free Boolean topological group  $B(X)$  onto  $X$  was constructed. In the particular case of the Cantor set  $C$ , the construction (slightly modified) is as follows.

Recall that  $C$  can be represented as the subset of  $[0, 1]$  consisting of those numbers whose ternary expansions do not contain 1. This suggests the natural base  $\mathcal{B}$  for the topology of  $C$ :

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U_{n_1 \dots n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}, n_i \in \{0, 2\} \text{ for } i \leq k\},$$

where  $U_{n_1 \dots n_k}$  denotes the set of all numbers in  $[0, 1]$  whose ternary expansions begin with  $0.n_1 \dots n_k$ . Clearly, the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  form a tree with respect to reverse inclusion and every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is clopen.

There are two natural orders on the set of subsets of  $C$ , the order by inclusion and the order induced by the usual order on  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$ . In what follows, when writing, say, " $A < B$ ," " $\min A$ ," or " $A$  is on the left of  $B$ ," we always mean the latter, unless otherwise is explicitly stated.

Let  $F$  be any finite subset of  $C$ . We say that a set  $A \subset C$  is *F-void* if  $A \cap C = \emptyset$ , *F-even* if  $|A \cap F|$  is even and positive, and *A-odd* if  $|A \cap F|$  is odd. Clearly, each *F-even* element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in a maximal (by inclusion) *F-even* element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and the union of these maximal *F-even* elements is equal to the union of all *F-even* elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, this union is itself *F-even*.

For  $F \in B_*(C)$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} r(F) &= \min(F \setminus \bigcup \{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \text{ is } F\text{-even or } F\text{-void}\}) \\ &= \min(F \setminus \bigcup \{B : B \text{ is an inclusion-maximal } F\text{-even element of } \mathcal{B}\}). \end{aligned}$$

The map  $r: B_*(C) \rightarrow C$  thus defined is a retraction [5, Theorem 7 (version 1)]. Extending it by, say, 0 to  $B(C)$ , we obtain a retraction  $\hat{r}: B(C) \rightarrow C$  (because  $B_*(C)$  is clopen in  $B(X)$ ). The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof that  $\hat{r}$  is continuous with respect to a second-countable zero-dimensional group topology  $\tau$  on  $B(C)$  defined below.

Given a disjoint open cover  $\Gamma$  of  $C$ , we set

$$\mathbf{H}_\Gamma = \{F \in B(C) : |F \cap U| \text{ is even for each } U \in \Gamma\}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $B(C)$ . It is open in the free group topology of  $B(C)$  (see [7]).

The countable family

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbf{H}_\Gamma : \Gamma \text{ is a (finite) disjoint cover of } C \text{ by elements of } \mathcal{B}\}$$

of subgroups is a local base at zero for a group topology  $\tau$  of  $B(C)$ , which is coarser than the free group topology. It is easy to check that  $C$  is contained in  $(B(C), \tau)$

as a subspace. Indeed, take any point  $x \in C$  and any base neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a disjoint cover of  $C$  consisting of  $V_x$  and some other elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $F \in \mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  and  $x +_2 F = \{x\} \Delta F \in C$ , then either  $F = \emptyset$  or  $F = \{x, y\}$ . In the latter case,  $x +_2 F = y$  and by the definition of  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  the point  $y$  must belong to the same element of  $\Gamma$  as  $x$ , that is, to  $V_x$ . Thus,  $(x +_2 \mathbf{H}_\Gamma) \cap C \subset V_x$ . This shows that the topology induced by  $\tau$  on  $C$  is not coarser than the original topology of  $C$ . On the other hand, it cannot be finer, because  $\tau$  is coarser than the free group topology. Obviously,  $(B(C), \tau)$  is  $T_0$  and hence Tychonoff.

Note that all elements in any  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  are of even cardinality. Therefore, for every  $F \in B_*(C)$ , we have  $F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\Gamma = \{F \Delta H : H \in \mathbf{H}_\Gamma\} \subset B_*(C)$ .

Let us show that the map  $r$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau|_{B_*(C)}$ . Suppose that  $x = r(F)$  for  $F \in B_*(X)$ . By construction  $x \in F$ . Take any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  be all inclusion-maximal  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ ; their number is finite because they are pairwise disjoint (since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tree) and each of them intersects the finite set  $F$ . None of these elements contains  $x$  (because  $x = r(F)$ ), and all of them are clopen. Choose a base neighborhood  $V_x \in \mathcal{B}$  of  $x$  satisfying the conditions  $V_x \subset U$ ,  $V_x \cap F = \{x\}$ , and  $V_x \cap V_i = \emptyset$  for  $i \leq m$ . Consider the cover of  $C$  consisting of the sets  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  and  $V_x$  and of all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  disjoint from them. Let  $\Gamma$  be a disjoint refinement of this cover. Clearly, it is finite. We claim that  $r(F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\Gamma) \subset V_x$ .

Indeed, take an  $H \in \mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ . We must show that  $r(F \Delta H) \in V_x$ . Note that an element  $V$  of  $\Gamma$  is  $(F \Delta H)$ -odd if and only if it is  $F$ -odd, because each element of  $\Gamma$  is either  $H$ -even or  $H$ -void and a point of  $F$  can be cancelled in  $F \Delta H$  only by some point of  $H$ .

Let  $V$  be the leftmost  $F$ -odd ( $= (F \Delta H)$ -odd) element of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $V \cap F$  is not covered by any inclusion-maximal  $F$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , because all such elements are included in  $\Gamma$  and  $V$  is not among them. By the definition of the map  $r$  we have  $x = r(F) \leq \min(V \cap F)$ , and  $V_x$  is  $F$ -odd. Therefore,  $V = V_x$ .

The point  $r(F \Delta H)$  cannot belong to an  $(F \Delta H)$ -even element  $W$  of  $\Gamma$ , because  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{B}$  and so all points occurring in such a  $W$  are discarded when defining  $r(F \Delta H)$ . Therefore, the element  $V$  of  $\Gamma$  containing  $r(F \Delta H)$  either coincides with  $V_x$  or is on the right of  $V_x$ . According to the definition of  $r$ , there exists a family  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $(F \Delta H)$ -even elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{B}' \supset \{y \in F \Delta H : y < V\}$ .

Suppose that  $V \neq V_x$ . Note that  $V_x$  is  $(F \Delta H)$ -odd, because it contains precisely one point of  $F$  and an even number (or none) of the points of  $H$ . In particular,  $(F \Delta H) \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  be all inclusion-maximal elements of  $\mathcal{B}'$  intersecting  $(F \Delta H) \cap V_x$ . Each  $W_i$ , being an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , either is contained in some element of  $\Gamma$  or is a union of some elements of  $\Gamma$ , because  $\mathcal{B}$  is a tree and  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a cover of  $C$ . By maximality the sets  $W_1, \dots, W_k$  are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, if  $k \geq 2$ , then all of them are contained in  $V_x$  and  $V_x \cap (F \Delta H) = \bigcup_{i \leq k} W_i \cap (F \Delta H)$ . This is impossible, because  $|V_x \cap (F \Delta H)|$  is odd and all  $|W_i \cap (F \Delta H)|$  are even. Thus, some element  $W$  of  $\mathcal{B}'$  contains  $V_x \ni x$ . Moreover, this  $W$  is a union of some elements of  $\Gamma$ . This means that  $|W \cap H|$  is even and hence so is  $|W \cap F|$ , because  $W$  is  $(F \Delta H)$ -even. However,  $x$  equals  $r(F)$  and hence does not belong to any  $F$ -even or  $F$ -void element of  $\mathcal{B}$ . This contradiction proves that  $V = V_x$ , i.e.,  $r(F \Delta H) \in V_x$ .

Thus,  $r(F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\Gamma) \subset V_x$ . We have shown that, for any  $F \in B_*(C)$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x = r(F)$  in  $C$ , there exists an  $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}$  such that the image of the open neighborhood  $F +_2 \mathbf{H}_\Gamma$  of  $F$  in  $(B(C), \tau)$  under  $r$  is contained in  $U$ . This means that  $r$  is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau|_{B_*(C)}$ .

To obtain a retraction  $\hat{r}: (B(C), \tau) \rightarrow C$ , it remains to extend  $r$  over  $B(C) \setminus B_*(C)$ , e.g., by 0; this extension is continuous, because  $B_*(C)$  is clopen in  $(B(C), \tau)$ .

Restricting  $\hat{r}$  to the subgroup  $G_2$  of  $(B(C), \tau)$  generated by  $C_2 \subset C$ , we obtain a retraction of  $G_2$  onto  $C_2$ , because  $r(F) \in F$  for any  $F \in B(C)$  and hence  $r(G_2) = C_2$ . The group  $(B(C), \tau)$  is metrizable and zero-dimensional, since its local base at zero consists of countably many open (and hence closed) subgroups, and it is separable, since its topology is coarser than the separable free group topology. Therefore,  $G_2$  is a second-countable zero-dimensional group.

The author is very grateful to Evgenii Reznichenko, who suggested that the original construction might be refined.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Sipacheva, “No product theorem for the covering dimension of topological groups,” arXiv:2207.04961 [math.GN].
- [2] O. Sipacheva, “No subgroup theorem for the covering dimension of topological groups,” arXiv:2303.04593 [math.GN].
- [3] A. Arhangel'skii and M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures* (Atlantis Press/World Sci., Amsterdam–Paris, 2008).
- [4] M. G. Tkachenko, “Factorization theorems for topological groups and their applications,” *Topol. Appl.* **38**, 21–37 (1991).
- [5] P. M. Gartside, E. A. Reznichenko, and O. V. Sipacheva, “Mal'tsev and retral spaces,” *Topol. Appl.* **80**, 115–129 (1997).
- [6] K. Morita, “On the dimension of the product of Tychonoff spaces,” *General Topology Appl.* **3**, 123–133 (1973).
- [7] O. Sipacheva, “Free Boolean topological groups,” *Axioms* **4**, 492–517 (2015).

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL TOPOLOGY AND GEOMETRY, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, M. V. LOMONOSOV MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY 1, MOSCOW, 199991 RUSSIA

*Email address:* o-sipa@yandex.ru, osipa@gmail.com